

Primal and dual algorithms
for the minimum covering Euclidean ball
of a set of Euclidean balls in \mathbb{R}^n

P. M. Dearing, Mark Cawood
School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
Clemson University

March 13, 2024

Abstract

Primal and dual algorithms are developed for solving the n -dimensional convex optimization problem of finding the Euclidean ball of minimum radius that covers m given Euclidean balls, each with given center and radius. Each algorithm is based on a directional search method in which a search path may be a ray or a two-dimensional conic section in \mathbb{R}^n . At each iteration, a search path is constructed by the intersection of bisectors of pairs of points, where the bisectors are either hyperplanes or n -dimensional hyperboloids. The optimal step size along each search path is determined explicitly.

Keywords: minimum covering ball, one-center location, min-max location, nonlinear programming

Corresponding author: P. M. Dearing, pmdrn@clemson.edu, School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Clemson University, 520 Bentbrook Lane, Clemson, SC 29631, USA

1 Introduction

Let $P = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m\}$ be a given set of m distinct points in \mathbb{R}^n . For each point $\mathbf{p}_i \in P$, let r_i be a non-negative radius, and let $[\mathbf{p}_i, r_i] = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i\| = r_i\}$ denote the Euclidean ball where \mathbf{p}_i is the center, r_i is the radius, and $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i\|$ is the Euclidean distance between \mathbf{p}_i and \mathbf{x} . The problem of finding the **minimum covering Euclidean ball of a set of Euclidean balls** is to determine the ball $[\mathbf{x}^*, z^*]$, with center \mathbf{x}^* and minimum radius z^* that covers, or contains, $[\mathbf{p}_i, r_i]$ for all $\mathbf{p}_i \in P$. The problem is denoted by $M(P)$ and is written as:

$$M(P): \quad \min \quad z \\ \text{s.t.} \quad z \geq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i, \quad \mathbf{p}_i \in P.$$

If all the radii are equal, then all the radii may be assumed to be zero, and the problem is to find the minimum covering ball of the points $\mathbf{p}_i \in P$, called the **minimum covering ball problem**. Problem $M(P)$ has the following equivalent representation:

$$M(P): \quad \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \max_{\mathbf{p}_i \in P} \|\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{x}\| + r_i.$$

This version of the problem is known as the **min-max location problem with fixed distance** and as the **one-center delivery problem**. The center \mathbf{x} is the location of a facility that minimizes the maximum service to points $\mathbf{p}_i \in P$, where service is measured as the travel distance from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{p}_i plus a fixed travel distance r_i .

This paper presents primal and dual algorithms for solving problem $M(P)$. At each iteration of either algorithm the problem structure is used to construct a search path that is either a ray or a two-dimensional conic section in \mathbb{R}^n , and to determine the optimal step size along the search path.

2 Literature

The problem of finding the minimum covering circle of a set of points in \mathbb{R}^2 was first posed by Sylvester [18] in 1857. Various geometric solutions were reported by Sylvester [19], Crystal [4], Blumenthal and Whalen [2], Rademacher and Toeplitz [17], and Elzinga and Hearn [9]. Voronoi diagrams [1] have also been used to solve the problem in \mathbb{R}^2 . Megiddo [14] reported an algorithm for $M(P)$, with $n = 3$, that is linear in m .

For the minimum covering ball problem of a set of points in \mathbb{R}^n , Elzinga and Hearn [10] solved the dual problem as a convex, quadratic programming problem. Hopp and Reeve [13] extended the Sylvester and Crystal algorithm to \mathbb{R}^n ; however, their results relied on a heuristic without proof of convergence. Fischer, Gärtner and Kutz [11] expanded the Hopp and Reeve algorithm, gave a proof of finite convergence, and were able to solve problems for m up to 10,000 and n up to 2,000. Megiddo [15] extended his approach in [14] to \mathbb{R}^n , which yielded an algorithm that is linear in m but exponential in n . Dyer [8] improved the time-complexity of Megiddo's algorithm. Dearing and Zeck [6] reported a dual algorithm based on search paths constructed from bisectors of pairs of points. Cavaleiro and Alizadeh [3] present computational improvements to the Dearing and Zeck approach.

The literature for the minimum covering ball of a set of balls in \mathbb{R}^n is more limited. Elzinga and Hearn [9] presented a geometrical algorithm for the problem in \mathbb{R}^2 . Xu, Freund and Sun [20] reported computational results for four general approaches to problem $M(P)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 : a second-order cone reformulation, a sub-gradient approach, a quadratic programming scheme, and a randomized incremental algorithm. Two approaches for problem $M(P)$ in

\mathbb{R}^n were reported by Zhou, Toh and Sun [21]: an unconstrained convex program whose objective function approximates the maximum objective function, and a reformulation of $M(P)$ as a second order cone programming problem. They solve problems with n up to 10,000, and m up to 5,000. Applications of problem $M(P)$ are referenced in [11] and [14]. Plastria [16] presents a survey of the min-max location problems.

The approach taken here develops primal and dual algorithms that are based on properties and structures of problem $M(P)$. Both algorithms are examples of the active set method [12], where a set $S \subset P$ is active if the points in S are affinely independent and if the constraints of $M(P)$ corresponding to points in S hold at equality. At each iteration, a search path is constructed from the intersections of bisectors of pairs of points in the active set S . For the primal algorithm, primal feasibility and complementary slackness conditions are maintained for points on the search path. For the dual algorithm, dual feasibility and complementary slackness conditions are maintained for points on the search path. The step size is determined explicitly for each algorithm.

If the radii are equal for all the balls corresponding to points in S , the search path is a ray (the intersection of hyperplanes), but if some of the radii are unequal for the balls corresponding to points in S , the search path is a two-dimensional conic section (the intersection of hyperboloids).

3 Properties of Problem $M(P)$

The following properties of problem $M(P)$ are used to develop the primal and dual algorithms. The first property follows from the statement of problem $M(P)$ as a min-max location problem.

Property 1. *The objective function $\max_{\mathbf{p}_i \in P} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i$, is continuous and strictly convex, so that a minimum solution \mathbf{x}^* exists and is unique.* \square

Observe that a ball $[\mathbf{p}_k, r_k]$ is contained in a ball $[\mathbf{p}_j, r_j]$, if and only if $r_j \geq \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_k$. In this case, any ball that covers $[\mathbf{p}_j, r_j]$ also covers $[\mathbf{p}_k, r_k]$, so that $[\mathbf{p}_k, r_k]$ is redundant and \mathbf{p}_k can be eliminated from the set P . Furthermore, the ball $[\mathbf{p}_j, r_j]$ is the **trivial solution** for $M(P)$ if $r_j \geq \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_k$ for all $\mathbf{p}_k \in P$. Subsequently, it is assumed for problem $M(P)$ that no ball is contained in any other ball, that is,

$$r_j < \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_k \quad \text{for each pair} \quad \mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in P. \quad (1)$$

Under Assumption (1), problem $M(P)$ has no redundant points and hence no trivial solution.

For each pair of points $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in P$, with corresponding radii r_j and r_k , the **bisector** of \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k , is the set:

$$B_{j,k} = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_j = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k\}. \quad (2)$$

If $r_j = r_k$, the bisector $B_{j,k}$ is the hyperplane that is orthogonal to the line through \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k , and contains the midpoint between \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k . If $r_j \neq r_k$, and if Assumption (1) holds, the bisector $B_{j,k}$ is one sheet of a hyperboloid of two sheets in \mathbb{R}^n , whose foci are the points \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k , and whose parameters are determined by $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k, r_j$ and r_k .

Bisectors of pairs of points in P provide a key structure for developing the solution algorithms presented here. The following necessary condition for optimality is part of this structure.

Property 2. *If Assumption (1) holds, and if $[\mathbf{x}^*, z^*]$ is optimal to problem $M(P)$, then \mathbf{x}^* is on at least one bisector.*

Proof: Assume to the contrary that the optimal center is not on any bisector, so that $z^* = \|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_j$ for exactly one point $\mathbf{p}_j \in P$, and $z^* > \|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k$ for all $\mathbf{p}_k \neq \mathbf{p}_j$. Define the ray $X = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}^* + \alpha(\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{x}^*), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$, and let $z_k(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k$, for each $\mathbf{p}_k \in P$. For \mathbf{p}_j , $z_j(\alpha)$ is continuous for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, with $z_j(0) = z^*$, and $z_j(1) = r_j$. For $\mathbf{p}_k \neq \mathbf{p}_j$, $z_k(0) = \|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k < z^*$, and $z_k(1) = \|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k > r_j$ by Assumption 1. Thus for each $\mathbf{p}_k \neq \mathbf{p}_j$, there exists $\alpha_{j,k} > 0$, such that $z_k(\alpha_{j,k}) = z_j(\alpha_{j,k})$. Let $\alpha^* = \min_{\mathbf{p}_k \neq \mathbf{p}_j} \{\alpha_{j,k}\}$. Then $z_j(\alpha^*) < z^*$ and $z_k(\alpha^*) < z^*$, which contradicts the optimality of $[\mathbf{x}^*, z^*]$. \square

A subset S of P is an **active set** [12] corresponding to a ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ if the points in S are affinely independent, and if the constraint of $M(P)$ corresponding to each point in S holds at equality, that is, $\|\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{x}\| + r_i = z$, for each $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$. The primal and dual algorithms developed here are examples of the active-set method [12] of constrained optimization. At each iteration the search path is determined by the points in S and their radii. At each iteration the size of S may increase, decrease or remain unchanged.

Property 3.2 and Assumption (1) lead to the following result.

Property 3. *If S is an active set corresponding to the covering ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and $|S| > 1$, then $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \mathbf{p}_i$ for all $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$.*

Proof: If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{p}_i$ for some $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$, then $r_i = z_S$, and $r_i = \|\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_j$ for each $\mathbf{p}_j \in S$, which violates Assumption (1). \square

Given an active set S , Property 3.3 shows that for each $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$, the norm $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i\|$ is differentiable with respect to \mathbf{x} over the domain $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$. Several properties of $M(P)$ follow from the Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions [12] which are stated next.

Property 4. *Let $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ be a ball corresponding to the active set S . Then the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is optimal to $M(P)$ if and only if there exists variables $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for $\mathbf{p}_i \notin S$ such that*

$$z_S \geq \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i \quad \mathbf{p}_i \in P \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in S} \lambda_i = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in S} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i)}{\|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\|} \lambda_i = \mathbf{0} \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda_i \geq 0 \quad \mathbf{p}_i \in S \quad (6)$$

$$(z_S - \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| - r_i) \lambda_i = 0 \quad \mathbf{p}_i \in P. \quad (7)$$

Property 5. *At optimality, statements (4), (5) and (6) of the KKT conditions are equivalent to:*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in S} \pi_i = 1 \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in S} (\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i) \pi_i = \mathbf{0} \quad (9)$$

$$\pi_i \geq 0 \quad \mathbf{p}_i \in S. \quad (10)$$

Proof: Since $\|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| > 0$, for all $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$, the change of variables $\pi_i = \frac{\lambda_i / \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\|}{\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in S} \lambda_i / \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\|}$ and $\lambda_i = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| \pi_i}{\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in S} \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| \pi_i}$ for each $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$, shows the equivalence of conditions (4), (5), and (6) to conditions (8), (9), and (10). \square

Properties 3.4 and 3.5 lead to additional properties of an optimal ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

Property 6. *The minimum covering ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ for problem $M(P)$ is determined by an active set S of at most $n + 1$ affinely independent points in P , and the optimal center \mathbf{x}_S lies in the convex hull of S , denoted by $\text{conv}(S)$. Furthermore, \mathbf{x}_S is in the relative interior of $\text{conv}(S)$, denoted by $\text{ri}(S)$ if and only if S is a minimal active set for which the KKT conditions are satisfied at $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.*

Proof: Conditions (8) and (9) determine a linear system with $n + 1$ linear equations. A solution is determined by at most $n + 1$ linearly independent columns of the system which correspond to at most $n + 1$ affinely independent points from P . Conditions (8), (9) and (10) are equivalent to $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S)$. Finally, each column of (8) and (9) with $\pi_i = 0$ can be eliminated from S , so that $\pi_i > 0$ for all $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$, if and only if $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{ri}(S)$, if and only if S is a minimal active set satisfying the KKT conditions at $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$. \square

If S is an active set of size s corresponding to an optimal covering ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, there may be more than s constraints that are active. Property 3.6 shows that the constraints corresponding to points in an active set S are sufficient to determine the optimal covering ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

If S is an active set corresponding to the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, then \mathbf{x}_S is on the bisector $B_{j,k}$ for each pair of points $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in S$. Consequently, \mathbf{x}_S is on the intersection of bisectors $B_{j,k}$ over all pairs of points $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in S$, denoted by B_S . That is, $\mathbf{x}_S \in B_S = \cap_{\{\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k\} \subseteq S} B_{j,k}$.

Each search path constructed here for the primal and dual algorithms is a space curve contained in B_S , so that each point on the search path is also on each bisector for all pairs of points in S . Thus, the complementary slackness conditions (7) are maintained at each point on the search path.

Reference [5] presents results on intersections of hyperplanes with n -dimensional conic sections, along with expressions for computing the parameters and vectors of the intersections. Results from [5] that are applicable to problem $M(P)$ are stated in the Appendix. A key property is that the non-empty intersection of two n -dimensional hyperboloids with a common focal point is contained in a hyperplane, and that the intersection of the two hyperboloids is equivalent to the intersection of either hyperboloid with the hyperplane. Using this property, B_S is equivalent to the intersection of one bisector (a hyperboloid) with $s - 2$ hyperplanes, so that B_S is a conic section of dimension $n - s + 2$. The Appendix includes closed form expressions for computing the parameters and vectors of B_S .

If all the points in S have equal radii, then all the bisectors are hyperplanes, and the intersection B_S is a hyperplane of dimension $n - s + 1$. However, if some pair of points in S have unequal radii, B_S is a conic section of dimension $n - s + 2$.

4 Primal Algorithm

At each iteration of the primal algorithm there is an active set S and a corresponding ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ that satisfy expressions (3) and (7) of the KKT conditions, but do not satisfy expressions (4), (5) and (6) of the KKT conditions. That is, S and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ are primal feasible but not dual feasible.

Since $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is primal feasible at each iteration, z_S is an upper bound on the optimal objective function value of $M(P)$. Assuming non-degeneracy, the radius z_S will be shown to decrease at each iteration of the primal algorithm.

The primal algorithm is initialized by choosing \mathbf{x}_S to be any point in \mathbb{R}^n , computing $z_S = \max_{\mathbf{p}_i \in P} \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i$, and choosing $S = \{\mathbf{p}_j\}$, where \mathbf{p}_j is some point such that $z_S = \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_j$. Observe that $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is primal feasible, but $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{aff}(S)$, which implies $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{conv}(S)$ so that \mathbf{x}_S is not dual feasible.

Primal Search Phase

Given an active set S and a primal feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, a search path $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) : \alpha \geq 0\}$ is constructed so that $X_S \subset B_S$, and $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{x}(0)$. Thus, S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i$, for $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$. Also, the complementary slackness conditions (7) are satisfied by S and $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$. If all the points in S have equal radii, the search path will be a ray, but if some points in S have unequal radii, the search path will be a two-dimensional conic section in \mathbb{R}^n .

Case 1: All points in S have equal radii

The Search Path: The points in S are denoted by $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$, where by assumption $r_{i_j} = r_{i_1}$ for $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. In this case, the search path is the ray

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha \mathbf{d}_S, \alpha \geq 0\}, \text{ where } \mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S), \quad (11)$$

and $\text{sub}(S) = \text{span}[(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_2}), \dots, (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_s})]$. That is, \mathbf{d}_S is the component of $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)$ that is orthogonal to the projection of $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)$ onto $\text{sub}(S)$. If $s = 1$, as in the initial step with $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}\}$, $\mathbf{d}_S = \mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S$.

The next property shows that for a positive step size along the search path, the set S remains active and the radius of the ball is decreased.

Property 7. Suppose the set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ is an active set for the primal feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, with $r_{i_1} = r_{i_j}$ for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha \mathbf{d}_S, \alpha \geq 0\}$ where $\mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)$. Then S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is decreasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \hat{\alpha}_S$, where $\hat{\alpha}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S}{\|\mathbf{d}_S\|^2} > 0$.

Proof: For each pair of points \mathbf{p}_{i_1} and \mathbf{p}_{i_j} in S , with $r_{i_1} = r_{i_j}$, the bisector $B_{i_1, i_j} = \{\mathbf{x} : (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}) \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}) \mathbf{x}_S\}$ since $\mathbf{x}_S \in B_{i_1, i_j}$. Then $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}) \mathbf{x}(\alpha) = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}) \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}) \mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}) \mathbf{x}_S$, since \mathbf{d}_S is orthogonal to $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_j})$ by construction. Thus, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, and for $\alpha \geq 0$, $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in B_{i_1, i_j}$, that is, $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1} = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}\| + r_{i_j}$, so that S is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$.

By the construction of \mathbf{d}_S , $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S > 0$. Property 3.3 implies that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \neq \mathbf{p}_{i_1}$ for all α , so that $z(\alpha)$ is differentiable with respect to α . Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is convex and has a unique minimum at $\hat{\alpha}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S}{\|\mathbf{d}_S\|^2} > 0$, so that $z(\alpha)$ is decreasing along X_S for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \hat{\alpha}_S$. \square

Corollary 8. Given $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}\}$, let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha \mathbf{d}_S, \alpha \geq 0\}$ where $\mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)$. Then $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$ is decreasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \hat{\alpha}_S$, where $\hat{\alpha}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S}{\|\mathbf{d}_S\|^2} > 0$.

The Step Size: For each $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$, the step size $\alpha_k \geq 0$ is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1, k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, k}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_j, k}$ for all $\mathbf{p}_j \in S$. That is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j, k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus, it suffices to consider the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1, k}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,k}$, $z(\alpha_k) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$, so that the constraint corresponding to the point \mathbf{p}_k is active. Geometrically, the search moves the center $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ of the ball along the path X_S , while decreasing the radius $z(\alpha)$.

There are two sub-cases to consider for computing α_k depending on whether the radius r_{i_1} equals the radius r_k .

Sub-case 1: $r_{i_1} = r_k$, so that $B_{i_1,k}$ is a hyperplane. The intersection of X_S and $B_{i_1,k}$ is determined by solving for α using the equation $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_k)\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_k)(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} + \mathbf{p}_k)/2$. If $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_k)\mathbf{d}_S = 0$, set $\alpha_k = \infty$, else set

$$\alpha' = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_k)(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} + \mathbf{p}_k)/2 - (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_k)\mathbf{x}_S}{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_k)\mathbf{d}_S}. \quad (12)$$

If $\alpha' < 0$, set $\alpha_k = \infty$, else set $\alpha_k = \alpha'$.

Sub-case 2: $r_{i_1} \neq r_k$, so that $B_{i_1,k}$ is a hyperboloid. The intersection of X_S and $B_{i_1,k}$ is determined by substituting the expression for $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ into the quadratic form (A.65) for the hyperboloid $B_{i_1,k}$, with center $\mathbf{c}_{i_1,k}$, axis vector $\mathbf{v}_{i_1,k}$, eccentricity $\epsilon_{i_1,k}$, and parameters $a_{i_1,k}$ and $c_{i_1,k}$. This gives the quadratic equation

$$A_k\alpha^2 + B_k\alpha + C_k = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $A_k = (\mathbf{d}_S)^2 - \epsilon_{i_1,k}^2(\mathbf{d}_S\mathbf{v}_{i_1,k})^2$, $B_k = 2(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,k})\mathbf{d}_S - 2\epsilon_{i_1,k}^2[(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,k})\mathbf{v}_{i_1,k}][\mathbf{d}_S\mathbf{v}_{i_1,k}]$, and $C_k = (\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,k})^2 - \epsilon_{i_1,k}^2[(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,k})\mathbf{v}_{i_1,k}]^2 + a_{i_1,k}^2 - c_{i_1,k}^2$. If $A_k = 0$, there is one real solution, $\alpha_k = -C_k/B_k$. If there are no real solutions, $\alpha_k = \infty$. Otherwise, let α' and α'' be the real solutions. If $A_k < 0$ and $r_{i_1} > r_k$, then $\alpha_k = \max\{\alpha', \alpha''\}$, or if $r_{i_1} < r_k$, then $\alpha_k = \min\{\alpha', \alpha''\}$. If $A_k > 0$ and $r_{i_1} > r_k$, then $\alpha_k = \min\{\alpha', \alpha''\}$, or if $r_{i_1} < r_k$, then $\alpha_k = \infty$.

From the Proof of Property 4.1, $\mathbf{x}(\hat{\alpha}_S) \in X_S \cap \text{aff}(S)$, where

$$\hat{\alpha}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_S}{\|\mathbf{d}_S\|^2} \quad (14)$$

The step size in the Primal Search phase is given by

$$\alpha_S = \min\{\hat{\alpha}_S, \alpha_k : \mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S\}. \quad (15)$$

If $\alpha_S = \hat{\alpha}_S$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S) \in \text{aff}(S)$. In this case, the Update Phase is entered to check the optimality of the active set S and the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$.

Otherwise, let \mathbf{p}_e be a point in $P \setminus S$ so that $\alpha_S = \alpha_e$. Then α_S is the smallest step size so that the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$ remains primal feasible and contains $[\mathbf{p}_e, r_e]$. The set $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$ are checked for optimality in the Update Phase.

There may be a tie for the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , in which case the next iteration of the Search Phase may result in a degenerate step with zero step size. Cycling can be avoided by applying an adaptation of Bland's rule that chooses the point with the smallest index among all points that are candidates for entering.

Case 2: At least two points in S have unequal radii

The Search Path: The points in S are ordered by non-increasing radii and denoted by $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$, with $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$. By the assumption of unequal radii, $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$, so that B_{i_1,i_s} is one sheet of a hyperboloid. A search path X_S is constructed to be a two-dimensional conic section such that $X_S \subset B_S = \cap_{\{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}, \mathbf{p}_{i_k}\} \subset S} B_{i_j,i_k}$. Properties A.7 and A.8 show that B_S is the intersection of B_{i_1,i_s} with $s-2$ hyperplanes, resulting in a conic section of dimension $n-s+2$. The vectors and parameters of B_S , namely the center \mathbf{c}_S , axis vector

\mathbf{v}_S , vertex \mathbf{a}_S , eccentricity e_S , and parameters a_S and b_S , are computed using expressions (A.69) - (A.87) in the Appendix. If $\epsilon_S > 1$, then B_S is one sheet of a hyperboloid; if $\epsilon_S < 1$, B_S is an ellipsoid; if $\epsilon_S = 1$, B_S is a paraboloid.

Property A.9 in the Appendix shows that for any vector \mathbf{u}_S orthogonal to \mathbf{v}_S , there is a two-dimensional conic section $Y_S \subset B_S$ that has the same vectors and parameters as B_S . Furthermore, $Y_S \subset \text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$. Thus, Y_S is either one sheet of a hyperbola, an ellipse, or a parabola if $\epsilon_S > 1$, $\epsilon_S < 1$, or $\epsilon_S = 1$, respectively. The search path X_S is constructed to be a sub-path of Y_S determined by a particular choice of \mathbf{u}_S .

Case 2a: X_S is a hyperbola: If $\epsilon_S > 1$, then B_S is one sheet of a hyperboloid, and

$$Y_S = \{\mathbf{y}(\beta) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \sec(\beta) \mathbf{v}_S + b_S \tan(\beta) \mathbf{u}_S : -\pi/2 < \beta < \pi/2\} \quad (16)$$

is one sheet of a two-dimensional hyperbola in $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$. The vector \mathbf{u}_S is chosen to be the normalized component of $(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)$ that is orthogonal to the projection of $(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)$ onto \mathbf{v}_S . That is,

$$\mathbf{u}_S = [(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) - ((\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{v}_S) \mathbf{v}_S] / \|(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) - ((\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{v}_S) \mathbf{v}_S\|. \quad (17)$$

Property A.8 shows that Y_S is a subset of B_S , and that $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{a}_S$ is the vertex of the hyperbola Y_S and of the hyperboloid B_S . Furthermore, the point $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) \in Y_S$, where $\beta_S = -\tan^{-1} \{ \sqrt{(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)^2 - a_S^2} / (\epsilon_S a_S) \} < 0$. As β increases from β_S to 0, the point $\mathbf{y}(\beta)$ moves from \mathbf{x}_S toward \mathbf{a}_S and dual feasibility.

The search path $X_S \subset Y_S$ is defined by

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S) \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}. \quad (18)$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$, and $\mathbf{x}(-\beta_S) = \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{a}_S \in \text{aff}(S)$. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$, the points $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ are on B_S and move along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S to \mathbf{a}_S , that is, toward $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$ and dual feasibility.

Property 9. Suppose the set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ is an active set for the primal feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$, with $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$. Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}$ be the search path along a hyperbola Y_S determined by (16) and (18). Then S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is decreasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$.

Proof: By construction, $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in B_{i_1, i_j}$ for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$, so that $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1} = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}\| + r_{i_j}$. Thus, S is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$.

Direct computation shows that $z(\alpha)$ has a minimum value at $\alpha = -\beta_S$, and since $z(\alpha)$ is convex with respect to α , $z(\alpha)$ is decreasing from 0 to $-\beta_S$. \square

The Step Size: For each $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$, the step size $\alpha_k \geq 0$ is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1, k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, k}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_j, k}$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. That is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j, k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus, it suffices to consider the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1, k}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, k}$, $z(\alpha_k) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$, so that the constraint corresponding to the point \mathbf{p}_k is active. Geometrically, the search moves the center \mathbf{x}_S of the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ along the path X_S , while decreasing the radius z_S .

The hyperplane $H_k = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k\}$ is constructed such that $X_S \cap B_{i_1, k} = X_S \cap H_k$. Order the set of three points $\{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}, \mathbf{p}_k\}$ by non-increasing radii, and denote the ordered

set by $\{\mathbf{p}_{j_1}, \mathbf{p}_{j_2}, \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\}$, so that $r_{j_1} \geq r_{j_2} \geq r_{j_3}$. By assumption, $r_{j_1} > r_{j_3}$. The vectors \mathbf{h}_k and \mathbf{d}_k of the hyperplane H_k are computed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } r_{j_1} = r_{j_2} > r_{j_3} \quad & \mathbf{h}_k = (\mathbf{p}_{j_1} - \mathbf{p}_{j_2}) / \|\mathbf{p}_{j_1} - \mathbf{p}_{j_2}\|, \quad \mathbf{d}_k = .5(\mathbf{p}_{j_1} + \mathbf{p}_{j_2}) \\ \text{if } r_{j_1} > r_{j_2} = r_{j_3} \quad & \mathbf{h}_k = (\mathbf{p}_{j_2} - \mathbf{p}_{j_3}) / \|\mathbf{p}_{j_2} - \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\|, \quad \mathbf{d}_k = .5(\mathbf{p}_{j_2} + \mathbf{p}_{j_3}) \\ \text{if } r_{j_1} > r_{j_2} > r_{j_3} \quad & \mathbf{h}_k = (\epsilon_{j_1, j_2} \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_2} - \epsilon_{j_1, j_3} \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_3}) / \|\epsilon_{j_1, j_2} \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_2} - \epsilon_{j_1, j_3} \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_3}\| \\ & \mathbf{w}_k = (\mathbf{h}_k - (\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_3}) \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_3}) / \|\mathbf{h}_k - (\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_3}) \mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_3}\| \\ & \mathbf{d}_k = \mathbf{d}_{j_1, j_3} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_2} (\mathbf{d}_{j_1, j_2} - \mathbf{d}_{j_1, j_3})}{\mathbf{v}_{j_1, j_2} \mathbf{w}_k} \mathbf{w}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The intersection $X_S \cap H_k$ is equivalent to the intersection of $Y_S \cap H_k$, which is determined by solving the equation $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_k) = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k$ for β_k , which is equivalent to solving the equation

$$A \sec(\beta_k) + B \tan(\beta_k) = C, \quad (20)$$

where $A = a_S \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{v}_S$, $B = b_S \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{u}_S$, and $C = \mathbf{h}_k (\mathbf{d}_k - \mathbf{c}_S)$.

Let $D_h = \sqrt{C^2 - (A^2 - B^2)}$. The value C^2 is the squared distance between H_k and the center \mathbf{c}_S . The value $A^2 - B^2$ is the minimum squared distance between \mathbf{c}_S and H_k such that $H_k \cap Y_S \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $H_k \cap Y_S \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) \geq 0$,

If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) > 0$, there are two solutions, β_1 and β_2 , determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tan(\beta_1) &= \frac{-AB - CD_h}{AC - BD_h}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sec(\beta_1) = \frac{B^2 + C^2}{AC - BD_h} \\ \text{or} \\ \tan(\beta_2) &= \frac{-AB + CD_h}{AC + BD_h}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sec(\beta_2) = \frac{B^2 + C^2}{AC + BD_h}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

If $\sec(\beta_1) > 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \in Y_S$, and $\beta_1 := \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-AB - CD_h}{AC - BD_h} \right)$. If $\sec(\beta_1) < 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \notin Y_S$, and $\beta_1 := \infty$. Similarly, if $\sec(\beta_2) > 0$, then $\beta_2 := \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-AB + CD_h}{AC + BD_h} \right)$, and if $\sec(\beta_2) < 0$, $\beta_2 := \infty$. Then, $\beta_k = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$.

If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) = 0$, there is one solution, $\beta_k := \tan^{-1}(-B/C)$. If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) < 0$, set $\beta_k := \infty$.

The step size α_k along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S is given by $\alpha_k = \beta_k - \beta_S$. If $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq -\beta_S$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$ is the point of intersection of X_S and $B_{i_1, k}$. If $\alpha_k < 0$, then set $\alpha_k = \infty$, since this is a step in the opposite direction. If $\alpha_k > -\beta_S$, then set $\alpha_k = \beta_S$, since $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$ is on the opposite side of $\text{aff}(S)$ from \mathbf{x}_S .

Case 2b: X_S is an ellipse: If $\epsilon_S < 1$, then B_S is an ellipsoid, and

$$Y_S = \{\mathbf{y}(\beta) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \cos(\beta) \mathbf{v}_S + b_S \sin(\beta) \mathbf{u}_S : 0 < \beta < 2\pi\}. \quad (22)$$

is a two-dimensional ellipse in $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$. The axis vector \mathbf{v}_S is oriented as follows so that the objective function value is decreasing along the search path. If $(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{v}_S > 0$, reset $\mathbf{v}_S := -\mathbf{v}_S$. The vector \mathbf{u}_S is chosen to be the normalized component of $(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)$ that is orthogonal to the projection of $(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)$ onto \mathbf{v}_S . That is,

$$\mathbf{u}_S = [(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) - ((\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{v}_S) \mathbf{v}_S] / \|(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) - ((\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{v}_S) \mathbf{v}_S\|. \quad (23)$$

Property A.9 shows that Y_S is a subset of B_S , and that the points $\mathbf{y}(0)$ and $\mathbf{y}(\pi)$ are the vertices of the ellipse Y_S and of the ellipsoid B_S . Furthermore, the point $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) \in Y_S$,

where β_S is computed as follows: $\beta_S = -\cos^{-1}\{\sqrt{(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)^2 - b_S^2}/(\epsilon_S a_S)\} < 0$. As β increases from β_S to 0, the point $\mathbf{y}(\beta)$ moves from \mathbf{x}_S to the vertex $\mathbf{y}(0)$, that is, toward $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$ and dual feasibility.

The search path X_S is defined in terms of Y_S by

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S) \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}. \quad (24)$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$, and $\mathbf{x}(-\beta_S) = \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{a}_S$. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$, the points $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ are on B_S and move along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S toward the vertex \mathbf{a}_S , that is, toward $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$ and dual feasibility.

For the case when X_S is an ellipse, the following property and its proof are analogous to Property 4.2 for the case when X_S is a hyperbola.

Property 10. *Given the active set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ for the primal feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$, with $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$. Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}$ be the search path along the ellipse $\mathbf{y}(\alpha)$ determined by (22) and (24). Then S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is decreasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$.*

The Step Size: For each $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$, the step size $\alpha_k \geq 0$ is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1, k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, k}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_j, k}$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. That is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j, k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus, it suffices to consider the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1, k}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, k}$, $\|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1} = z(\alpha_k)$, so that the constraint corresponding to the point \mathbf{p}_k is active. Geometrically, the search moves the center \mathbf{x}_S of the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ along the path X_S , while decreasing the radius z_S .

The hyperplane $H_k = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k\}$ is constructed so that $X_S \cap H_k = B_{i_1, k} \cap H_k$. Order the set of three points $\{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}, \mathbf{p}_k\}$ by non-increasing radii, and denote the ordered set by $\{\mathbf{p}_{j_1}, \mathbf{p}_{j_2}, \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\}$, so that $r_{j_1} \geq r_{j_2} \geq r_{j_3}$. By assumption, $r_{j_1} > r_{j_3}$. The vectors \mathbf{h}_k and \mathbf{d}_k of the hyperplane $H_k = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k\}$ are computed by (19).

The intersection $X_S \cap B_{i_1, i_j}$ is equivalent to the intersection of $Y_S \cap H_k$, which is determined by solving the equation $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_k) = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k$ for β_k , which is equivalent to solving the equation

$$A \cos(\beta_k) + B \sin(\beta_k) = C, \quad (25)$$

where $A = a_S \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{v}_S$, $B = b_S \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{u}_S$, and $C = \mathbf{h}_k (\mathbf{d}_k - \mathbf{c}_S)$.

Let $D_e = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2 - C^2}$. The value C^2 is the squared distance between H_k and the center \mathbf{c}_S . The expression $A^2 + B^2$ is the maximum squared distance between \mathbf{c}_S and H_k such that $H_k \cap Y_S \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $H_k \cap Y_S \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 \geq 0$.

If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 \geq 0$, there are two possible solutions, β_1 and β_2 , determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\beta_1) &= \frac{AC + BD_e}{A^2 + B^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\beta_1) = \frac{BC - AD_e}{A^2 + B^2} \\ \text{or} \\ \cos(\beta_2) &= \frac{AC - BD_e}{A^2 + B^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\beta_2) = \frac{BC + AD_e}{A^2 + B^2} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

If $\sin(\beta_1) > 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \in X_S$, and $\beta_1 := \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{BC - AD_e}{AC + BD_e}\right)$. If $\sin(\beta_1) < 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \notin X_S$, and $\beta_1 := \infty$. Similarly, if $\sin(\beta_2) > 0$, then $\beta_2 := \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{BC + AD_e}{AC - BD_e}\right)$, and if $\sin(\beta_2) < 0$, $\beta_2 := \infty$. Then, $\beta_k = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$.

If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 = 0$, there is one solution $\beta_k := \tan^{-1}(B/A)$. If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 < 0$, set $\beta_k := \infty$.

The step size α_k along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S is given by $\alpha_k = \beta_k - \beta_S$. If $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq -\beta_S$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$ is the point of intersection of X_S and $B_{i_1,k}$. If $\alpha_k < 0$, then set $\alpha_k = \infty$, since this is a step in the opposite direction. If $\alpha_k > -\beta_S$, then set $\alpha_k = \beta_S$, since $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$ is on the opposite side of $\text{aff}(S)$ from \mathbf{x}_S .

Case 2c: X_S is a paraboloid: If $\epsilon_S = 1$, then B_S is a paraboloid of dimension $n - s + 2$, and

$$Y_S = \{\mathbf{y}(\beta) = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S + \tilde{c}_S \beta^2 \mathbf{v}_S + 2\tilde{c}_S \beta \mathbf{u}_S : -\infty < \beta < \infty\} \quad (27)$$

is a two-dimensional parabola in $\text{aff}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$, where $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$ and \tilde{c}_S are determined by (A.85) - (A.87). The vector \mathbf{u}_S is chosen to be the normalized component of $(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)$ that is orthogonal to the projection of $(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)$ onto $\text{sub}(S)$. That is,

$$\mathbf{u}_S = ((\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)) / \|(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S - \mathbf{x}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)\|. \quad (28)$$

Property A.9 shows that Y_S is a subset of B_S , and that the point $\mathbf{y}(0)$ is the vertex of the parabola Y_S and of the paraboloid B_S . Let β_S be the parameter such that $\mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$. If $\mathbf{x}_S = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$, but if $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$, then $\beta_S = \sqrt{-2 + \sqrt{4 + (\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)^2 / (\tilde{c}_S^2)}}$. If a point was deleted from S in the last iteration, $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$, else, $\mathbf{x}_S = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$.

The search path X_S is defined to be a subset of Y_S given by

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), \alpha \geq 0\}. \quad (29)$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$, and for $\alpha \geq 0$, the points $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ are on B_S and move along X_S from \mathbf{x}_S toward \mathbf{p}_e and dual feasibility.

For the case when X_S is a parabola, the following property and its proof are analogous to Properties 4.2 and 4.3 for the case when X_S is based on a hyperbola or an ellipse, respectively.

Property 11. *Given the active set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ for the primal feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$, with $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$. Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}$ be the search path along the parabola $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ determined by (27) and (29). Then S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is increasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S \pi/2$.*

The Step Size: For each point $\mathbf{p}_k \in P$, the step size $\alpha_k \geq 0$ is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1,k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,k}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_j,k}$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, that is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j,k}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k)$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus, it suffices to determine the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1,k}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,k}$, $z(\alpha_k) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$, so that the constraint corresponding to the point \mathbf{p}_k is active. Geometrically, the search moves the center $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ along the path X_S while increasing $z(\alpha)$.

Theorem A.1 shows that $X_S \cap B_{i_1,k} = X_S \cap H_k$, where the hyperplane $H_k = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k\}$ is constructed as follows. Order the set of three points $\{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}, \mathbf{p}_k\}$, by non-increasing radii, and denote the ordered set by $\{\mathbf{p}_{j_1}, \mathbf{p}_{j_2}, \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\}$, so that $r_{j_1} \geq r_{j_2} \geq r_{j_3}$. By assumption, $r_{j_1} > r_{j_3}$. The vectors \mathbf{h}_k and \mathbf{d}_k of the hyperplane $H_k = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k\}$, are computed by the expressions (19).

The intersection of X_S and H_k is equivalent to the intersection of Y_S and H_k , and is determined by by solving the equation $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_k) = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k$, for β_k , which yields the quadratic equation

$$A\beta_k^2 + B\beta_k = C, \quad (30)$$

where $A = \tilde{c}_S \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{v}_S$, $B = \tilde{c}_S \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{u}_S$, and $C = \mathbf{h}_k(\mathbf{d}_k - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S)$. If $Y_S \cap H_e \neq \emptyset$, there are possibly two intersection points corresponding to the following two solutions to equation (30):

If $B^2 + A^2 - C^2 < 0$, there is no solution and no intersection point, and $\beta_k := \infty$. If $B^2 + A^2 - C^2 = 0$, or if $C = 0$, then there is one solution β_k . Else, $B^2 + A^2 - C^2 > 0$, and there are two solutions β_1 and β_2 . If $\beta_1 < \beta_S$, or $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \neq \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_k$, $\beta_1 := \infty$. If $\beta_2 < \beta_S$, or $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_2) \neq \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_k$, $\beta_2 := \infty$. Then $\beta_k := \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$. The step size α_k along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S is given by $\alpha_k = \beta_k - \beta_S$.

Given the step size α_k for each $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$, the step size in the Primal Search phase is given by $\alpha_S = \min\{\beta_S, \alpha_k : \mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S\}$. If $\alpha_S = \beta_S$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S) \in \text{aff}(S)$. In this case, $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$ and the set S are checked for optimality in the Update Phase.

Otherwise, let \mathbf{p}_e be a point in $P \setminus S$ so that $\alpha_S = \alpha_e$. Then α_S is the smallest step size from \mathbf{x}_S so that the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$ remains primal feasible and contains the ball $[\mathbf{p}_e, r_e]$. The set $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ and the solution $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$ are checked for optimality in the Update Phase.

There may be a tie for the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , in which case the next iteration of the Search Phase may result in a degenerate step with zero step size. It can be shown that cycling will be avoided by an adaptation of Bland's rule that chooses the point with the smallest index among all points that are candidates for entering.

Primal Update Phase

In the Update Phase the set S and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ are checked for optimality using equations (4) and (5) of the KKT conditions. If a solution exists with $\lambda_{i_j} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, then the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is dual feasible, and hence optimal to $M(P)$.

If a solution exists, but some $\lambda_{i_l} < 0$, then $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{aff}(S)$ but $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{conv}(S)$, so that $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is not optimal. In this case, a point $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$, such that $\lambda_{i_l} < 0$, is chosen to leave S . The algorithm returns to the Update Phase with the set $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

If no solution exists, then $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{aff}(S)$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is not optimal. In this case, the points in S are affinely independent, and the algorithm returns to the Search Phase with the set S and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

Property 12. *If the points in S are affinely dependent, and if the equations (4) and (5) of the KKT conditions, with $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}(\alpha_S)$ and S have a solution with $\lambda_{i_l} < 0$, then the points in $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ are affinely independent.*

Proof: The points in $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely independent if and only if the columns of the linear system determined by (4) and (5) over the set $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are linearly independent. The linear system determined by (4) and (5) over the set $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ has the same columns as the linear system over the set $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ except that the column corresponding to \mathbf{p}_{i_l} is replaced by the column corresponding to \mathbf{p}_e . Since the multiplier $\lambda_{i_l} \neq 0$, the columns over the set $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ are linearly independent, which implies that the points in set $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ are affinely independent. \square

The next property shows that if the point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} leaves S , because $\lambda_{i_l} < 0$, then the point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} is covered by the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$ during the next search phase.

Property 13. *In the Update Phase, suppose the point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} is chosen to leave the set S because $\lambda_{i_l} < 0$ in the solution to equations (4) and (5) of the KKT conditions over the set S . Let $X_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$ denote the search path determined by $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, which may be a ray, a hyperbola determined by (16), an ellipse determined by (22), or a parabola. In either case, the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$ remains feasible with respect to the leaving point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} , that is, $[\mathbf{p}_{i_l}, r_{i_l}] \subset [\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$.*

Proof: Let $z_{i_j}(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}\| + r_{i_j}$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, and let $z'_{i_j}(\alpha)$ denote the directional derivative of $z_{i_j}(\alpha)$ at the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \neq \mathbf{p}_{i_j}$ on the path $X_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$. That is, $z'_{i_j}(\alpha) = \nabla z_{i_j}(\alpha) \mathbf{x}'(\alpha) = \frac{(\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_j})}{\|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}\|} \mathbf{x}'(\alpha)$, where $\mathbf{x}'(\alpha)$ is the normalized tangent vector to the search path at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$. By construction of the search path $X_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$, $z(\alpha) = z_{i_j}(\alpha)$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$. This implies the directional derivatives are equal, that is, $z'_{i_1}(\alpha) = z'_{i_j}(\alpha)$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$. Property 4.2 shows that $z_{i_j}(\alpha)$ is decreasing along the path $X_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$, that is, $\nabla z'_{i_j}(\alpha) < 0$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$.

Equation (5) is written as $\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_j})}{\|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}\|} \lambda_{i_j} + \frac{(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_l})}{\|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_l}\|} (\lambda_{i_l}) = 0$. Substituting $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_S$, multiplying each summand by the tangent vector $\mathbf{x}'(0)$, substituting $z'_{i_1}(0) = z'_{i_j}(0)$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and applying equation (4), equation (5) may be written as $z'_{i_1}(0)(1 - \lambda_{i_l}) = z'_{i_l}(0)(-\lambda_{i_l})$.

Since $z'_{i_1}(0) < 0$ and $\lambda_{i_l} < 0$, then $z'_{i_l}(0) < 0$, so that $z_{i_l}(\alpha)$ is decreasing at $\alpha = 0$. Furthermore $z'_{i_1}(0) = z'_{i_l}(0)(-\lambda_{i_l})/(1 - \lambda_{i_l}) > z'_{i_l}(0)$, so that $z_{i_l}(\alpha)$ is decreasing at a faster rate than $z_{i_j}(\alpha)$ for $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. This shows that $[\mathbf{p}_{i_l}, r_{i_l}] \subset [\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_{S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}}$. \square

5 Pseudocode for Primal Algorithm

1. Input: a set of distinct points $P = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,
a radius $r_i \geq 0$, a ball $[\mathbf{p}_i, r_i] = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i\| \leq r_i\}$ for each $\mathbf{p}_i \in P$.
Output: a unique ball $[\mathbf{x}^*, z^*]$, with minimum radius z^* , containing $[\mathbf{p}_i, r_i]$ for \mathbf{p}_i in P .
Assume condition (1) for each pair $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in P$.
2. Initialize: Choose any point $\mathbf{x}_S \in \mathbb{R}^n$, compute $z_S = \max_{\mathbf{p}_i \in P} \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i$,
Choose $S = \{\mathbf{p}_j\} \ni z_S = \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_j$
3. Search Path: Denote the active set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$.
 - 3 a: $r_{i_1} = r_{i_s}$ so that all the points in S have equal radii.
The search path is X_S is given by (11).
Find the step size α_k so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, k}$, for $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$.
If $r_{i_1} = r_k$, then $B_{i_1, k}$ is a hyperplane. Determine the step size α_k using (12).
If $r_{i_1} \neq r_k$, then $B_{i_1, k}$ is a hyperboloid. Determine α_k by solving (13).
Determine $\hat{\alpha}_S$ using (14).
Choose $\alpha_S = \min\{\hat{\alpha}_S, \alpha_k : \mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S\}$,
If $\alpha_S = \hat{\alpha}_S$, go to Step 4 with active set S and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] = [\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$.
Else, chose $\mathbf{p}_e \in P \setminus S$, so that $\alpha_S = \alpha_e$.
Reset $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$. Go to Step 4.
 - 3 b: $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$, so that the points in S have unequal radii.
Determine $\mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{c}_S, a_S, b_S$, and ϵ_S of $B_S = \cap_{j=2}^s B_{i_1, i_j}$ using (A.69) - (A.87).
3 b (1): If $\epsilon_S > 1$, B_S is a hyperboloid of dimension $n - s + 2$.

- If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$; else compute β_S .
Construct the hyperbola Y_S using (16) where \mathbf{u}_S is determined by (17).
Construct the search path X_S using (18).
Find the step size α_k so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,k}$, for $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$.
Find the step size β_k so that $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_k) = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_k$, where \mathbf{h}_k is given by (19),
and β_k is determined by (20) and (21).
Then $\alpha_k = \beta_k - \beta_S$.
- 3 b (2): If $\epsilon_S < 1$, B_S is an ellipsoid of dimension $n - s + 2$.
If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$; else compute β_S .
Construct \mathbf{v}_S , \mathbf{u}_S and the ellipse Y_S using (23) and (22).
Construct the search path X_S , using (24).
Find the step size α_k so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,k}$, for $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$.
Find the step size β_k so that $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_k) = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_S$, where \mathbf{h}_k is given by (19),
and β_k is determined by (25) and (26).
Then $\alpha_k = \beta_k - \beta_S$.
- 3 b (3): If $\epsilon_S = 1$, B_S is a paraboloid of dimension $n - s + 2$.
If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$; else compute β_S .
Construct \mathbf{v}_S , \mathbf{u}_S and the parabola Y_S using (27) and (28).
Construct the search path X_S using (29).
Find the step size α_k so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_k) \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,k}$, for $\mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S$.
Find the step size β_k so that $\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{y}(\beta_k) = \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{d}_S$, where \mathbf{h}_k is given by (19),
and β_k is determined by (30).
Then $\alpha_k = \beta_k - \beta_S$.
- Determine $\hat{\alpha}_S$ using (14).
Choose $\alpha_S = \min\{\hat{\alpha}_S, \alpha_k : \mathbf{p}_k \in P \setminus S\}$,
If $\alpha_S = \hat{\alpha}_S$, go to Step 4 with active set S and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] = [\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$.
Else, choose $\mathbf{p}_e \in P \setminus S$, so that $\alpha_S = \alpha_e$.
Reset $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] = [\mathbf{x}(\alpha_S), z(\alpha_S)]$. Go to Step 4.
4. Update S : Given $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and S ,
Compute solution of (4) and (5) with S and \mathbf{x}_S .
If there is a solution with $\lambda_{i_j} \geq 0$, $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is optimal
If there is a solution but $\lambda_{i_t} < 0$ for some $\mathbf{p}_{i_t} \in S$, then \mathbf{p}_{i_t} leaves.
Return to Search Phase with $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_t}\}$ and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.
If no solution, return to Search Phase with $S := S$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

6 Dual Algorithm

At each iteration of the dual algorithm there is an active set S and a corresponding ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ that satisfy expressions (4), (5), (6), and (7) of the KKT conditions, but do not satisfy expressions (3) of the KKT condition. That is, S and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ are dual feasible but not primal feasible.

Since $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is a feasible covering of the balls $[\mathbf{p}_i, r_i]$ for $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$, then $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is an optimal solution to the problem $M(S)$, a relaxation of $M(P)$. Therefore, z_S is a lower

bound on the optimal objective function value for $M(P)$. Assuming non-degeneracy, the radius z_S will be shown to increase at each iteration of the dual algorithm.

The dual algorithm is initialized by choosing $S = \{\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k\}$, for any two points $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in P$, with $r_j \geq r_k$. The initial solution is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_j + \mathbf{p}_k)}{2} + \frac{(r_j - r_k)}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k)}{\|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k\|}, \text{ and } z_S = \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_j\| + r_j = \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_k\| + r_k. \quad (31)$$

Observe that S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{ri}(S)$, and $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S)$, so that $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is dual feasible to $M(P)$. If $r_j = r_k$, then \mathbf{x}_S is the center point between \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k , and $B_{j,k}$ is the hyperplane $\{\mathbf{x} : (\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k)\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k)\mathbf{x}_S\}$. If $r_j > r_k$, then \mathbf{x}_S is the vertex $\mathbf{a}_{j,k}$ of the sheet $B_{j,k}$ of the hyperboloid $HB_{j,k}$.

Dual Update Phase

A dual feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is optimal to $M(P)$ if it is primal feasible to $M(P)$, that is, if $z_S \geq \|\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{x}_S\| + r_i$, for all $\mathbf{p}_i \in P$. If not optimal, then an entering point $\mathbf{p}_e \in P \setminus S$ is chosen such that $z_S < \|\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}_S\| + r_e$.

If the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely independent, then $|S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}| \leq n + 1$, and $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, so that $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ remains dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The algorithm enters the Search Phase with the set S , the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and the entering point \mathbf{p}_e . The Search Phase searches for a new solution that is active for the set $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$.

If the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely dependent, then a point $\mathbf{p}_l \in S$ is chosen to leave S . Since $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is dual feasible to $M(P)$, there exists a non-negative solution (π_1, \dots, π_s) to the linear system (8), (9), over the set S . Since the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely dependent, the linear system (32) and (33) has a solution with $\lambda_j < 0$, for some $\mathbf{p}_j \in S$.

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_j \in S} \lambda_j = -1 \quad (32)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_j \in S} (\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_j) \lambda_j = -(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_e). \quad (33)$$

A leaving point \mathbf{p}_l is chosen by the ‘minimum ratio rule,’ that is,

$$\frac{\pi_l}{-\lambda_l} = \min_{\mathbf{p}_j \in S} \left\{ \frac{\pi_j}{-\lambda_j} : \lambda_j < 0 \right\}. \quad (34)$$

The next Theorem shows that \mathbf{x}_S remains dual feasible for the set $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\}$.

Theorem 14. *Suppose that $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and the corresponding active set S , is a dual feasible, but not an optimal, solution to $M(P)$. Suppose that \mathbf{p}_e is the point chosen to enter the set S and that the set $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ is affinely dependent. If the leaving point \mathbf{p}_l is chosen by (34), then the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\}$ are affinely independent, and $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\})$.*

Proof: A non-negative solution to the linear system (8), (9) over the set S corresponds to a basic feasible solution if and only if the points \mathbf{p}_j in S are affinely independent. The entering point \mathbf{p}_e corresponds to the right hand side column of the linear system (32), (33). The minimum ratio rule guarantees that if the column corresponding to \mathbf{p}_l is replaced by the column corresponding to \mathbf{p}_e , then the columns of the new basis, which correspond to points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\}$, are linearly independent, and that the new basis yields a non-negative solution for the system (8), (9), with S replaced by $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\}$. Thus, the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\}$ are affinely independent, $|S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\}| \leq n + 1$, and $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\})$. \square

If the point \mathbf{p}_l leaves S , then the set S is reset to $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_l\}$. Thus $|S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}| \leq n+1$, and $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, so that $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ remains dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The updated set S remains active for $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$. The algorithm enters the Search Phase with the set S , the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and the entering point \mathbf{p}_e . The Search Phase searches for a new solution that is active for the set $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$.

Dual Search Phase

Given a dual feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, its active set S , and an entering point \mathbf{p}_e as determined in the Update Phase, a search path $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) : \alpha \geq 0\}$ is constructed so that $X_S \subset B_S$, $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{x}(0)$, and S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i$ for $\mathbf{p}_i \in S$. Also, the complementary slackness conditions (7) are satisfied by S and $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$.

A step size α' is determined so that $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$ is active for $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, then $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$ is also dual feasible for $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. In this case, reset $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and set $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. The Update Phase is entered with S and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, some point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} is chosen to leave S , and a step size α^* is determined so that the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$. The set S is reset to $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and the ball is reset to $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , its corresponding dual feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and the entering point \mathbf{p}_e .

If all the points in S have equal radii, the search path will be a ray, but if some points in S have unequal radii, the search path will be a two dimensional conic section.

Case 1: All points in S have equal radii

The points in S are denoted by $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$, where $r_{i_j} = r_{i_1}$ for $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. In this case, the search path is the ray:

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha \mathbf{d}_S, \alpha \geq 0\}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}), \quad (35)$$

and $\text{sub}(S) = \text{span}[(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_2}), \dots, (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_s})]$. Thus, \mathbf{d}_S is the component of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_1})$ orthogonal to the projection of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_1})$ onto $\text{sub}(S)$. If $s = 1$, with $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}\}$, $\mathbf{d}_S = \mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}$.

Property 15. Suppose the active set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ corresponds to the dual feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and the radius of each point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$ is r_{i_1} . Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha \mathbf{d}_S, \alpha \geq 0\}$ where $\mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_1})$. Let $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Then S is an active set corresponding to the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is increasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \hat{\alpha}_S$, where $\hat{\alpha}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S}{\|\mathbf{d}_S\|^2} > 0$.

Proof: For each pair of points \mathbf{p}_{i_j} and \mathbf{p}_{i_k} in S , with $r_{i_j} = r_{i_k}$, the bisector B_{i_j, i_k} may be written as $B_{i_j, i_k} = \{\mathbf{x} : (\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}) \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}) \mathbf{x}_S\}$ since $\mathbf{x}_S \in B_{i_j, i_k}$. Then $(\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}) \mathbf{x}(\alpha) = (\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}) \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha (\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}) \mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}) \mathbf{x}_S$, since \mathbf{d}_S is orthogonal to $(\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k})$ by construction. Thus for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j}, \mathbf{p}_{i_k} \in S$, and for $\alpha \geq 0$, $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in B_{i_j, i_k}$, so that S is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$.

For each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}) \mathbf{d}_S > 0$ by the construction of \mathbf{d}_S . Property 3.3 implies that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \neq \mathbf{p}_{i_j}$ for all α , so that $z(\alpha)$ is differentiable with respect to α . Thus for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, $\hat{\alpha}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S}{\|\mathbf{d}_S\|^2} > 0$ is the unique maximum of $z(\alpha)$, so $z(\alpha)$ is increasing along X_S for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \hat{\alpha}_S$. \square

Corollary 16. Given $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}\}$, let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha \mathbf{d}_S, \alpha \geq 0\}$ where $\mathbf{d}_S = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)$. Then $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$ is increasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \hat{\alpha}_S$, where $\hat{\alpha}_S = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S}{\|\mathbf{d}_S\|^2} > 0$.

The Step Size: The step size $\alpha' \geq 0$ is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1,e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,e}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_j,e}$ for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. That is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j,e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus it suffices to consider the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1,e}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,e}$, $z(\alpha') = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_e\| + r_e = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$, that is, $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ is active at $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Geometrically, the search moves the center $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ of the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$ along the path X_S , while the radius $z(\alpha)$ increases.

There are two sub-cases to consider for computing α' depending on whether the radius r_{i_1} equals the radius r_e .

Sub-case 1: $r_{i_1} = r_e$, so that $B_{i_1,e}$ is a hyperplane. The intersection of X_S and $B_{i_1,e}$ is determined by substituting the expression for the ray $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ into the equation for the hyperplane $B_{i_1,e}$ which yields $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_e)\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_e)(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} + \mathbf{p}_e)/2$, and

$$\alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_e)(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} + \mathbf{p}_e)/2 - (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_e)\mathbf{x}_S}{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_e)\mathbf{d}_S}. \quad (36)$$

If $(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_e)\mathbf{d}_S = 0$, or if $\alpha < 0$, set $\alpha' := \infty$. Otherwise, set $\alpha' = \alpha$.

Sub-case 2: $r_{i_1} \neq r_e$, so that $B_{i_1,e}$ is a hyperboloid. The intersection of X_S and $B_{i_1,e}$ is determined by substituting the expression for the ray $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ into the quadratic form (A.65) for the hyperboloid $B_{i_1,e}$, with center $\mathbf{c}_{i_1,e}$, axis vector $\mathbf{v}_{i_1,e}$, eccentricity $\epsilon_{i_1,e}$, and parameters $a_{i_1,e}$ and $c_{i_1,e}$. This gives the quadratic equation

$$A_e \alpha^2 + B_e \alpha + C_e = 0, \quad (37)$$

where $A_e = (\mathbf{d}_S)^2 - \epsilon_{i_1,e}^2 (\mathbf{d}_S \mathbf{v}_{i_1,e})^2$, $B_e = 2(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,e})\mathbf{d}_S - 2\epsilon_{i_1,e}^2 [(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,e})\mathbf{v}_{i_1,e}][\mathbf{d}_S \mathbf{v}_{i_1,e}]$, and $C_e = (\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,e})^2 - \epsilon_{i_1,e}^2 [(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_{i_1,e})\mathbf{v}_{i_1,e}]^2 + a_{i_1,e}^2 - c_{i_1,e}^2$. If there are no real solutions, $\alpha' = \infty$. If there is one real solution α , then $\alpha' = \alpha$. Otherwise, let α_1 and α_2 be the real solutions. If $A_e < 0$ and $r_{i_1} < r_e$, then $\alpha' = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, or if $r_{i_1} > r_e$, then $\alpha' = \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. If $A_e > 0$ and $r_{i_1} < r_e$, then $\alpha' = \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, or if $r_{i_1} > r_e$, then $\alpha' = \infty$.

If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$ is dual feasible. To determine if $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, substitute $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_S + \alpha \mathbf{d}_S$ for \mathbf{x}_S in equation (9), expand and simplify to obtain the linear system:

$$T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \alpha \hat{\mathbf{d}}_S \quad (38)$$

$$\text{where } T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_1} & \dots & \mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_s} & \mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_e \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{d}}_S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{d}_S \end{bmatrix},$$

and each variable is a function of α , that is, $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = [\pi_{i_1}(\alpha), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha), \pi_e(\alpha)]$. The matrix T is $n+1 \times s+1$ with rank $s+1$. The linear system (38) has a solution since $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in \text{aff}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ for $\alpha \geq 0$.

If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, reset $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Then the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and its active set S are checked for optimality by the Update Phase.

Otherwise, some component of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha')$ is negative, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, a new step size α^* is determined so that $0 \leq \alpha^* \leq \alpha'$ and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Since the $s+1$ points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely independent, $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ is a simplex with $s+1$ vertices and $s+1$ facets. The $s+1$ vertices are the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The $s+1$

facets are denoted by $F_{i_j} = \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}\})$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, and by $F_e = \text{conv}(S)$, for \mathbf{p}_e . Each point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$ corresponds to the component $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_{i_j} . The point \mathbf{p}_e corresponds to the component $\pi_e(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_e .

Since $\mathbf{x}(0) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, X_S must intersect some facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ between $\mathbf{x}(0)$ and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. For each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, the step-size $\alpha_{i_j} \geq 0$ is computed, if it exists, so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in X_S \cap F_{i_j}$, which is equivalent to $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$ in the solution to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \alpha_{i_j}\hat{\mathbf{d}}_S$.

To determine α_{i_j} for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, so that $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$, in the solution $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j})$ to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \alpha_{i_j}\hat{\mathbf{d}}_S$, solve $T\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$ for $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, and solve $T\boldsymbol{\delta} = \hat{\mathbf{d}}_S$ for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$. Then, $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \alpha_{i_j}\hat{\mathbf{d}}_S = T(\boldsymbol{\gamma} - \alpha_{i_j}\boldsymbol{\delta})$. If $\gamma_{i_j} - \alpha_{i_j}\delta_{i_j} = 0$, then $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$. For each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, set $\alpha_{i_j} = \gamma_{i_j}/\delta_{i_j}$ if $\delta_{i_j} > 0$, and set $\alpha_{i_j} = \infty$ if $\delta_{i_j} \leq 0$. For the point \mathbf{p}_e , $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{conv}(S)$ implies $\alpha_e \leq 0$. That is, if $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_S$, so that $\alpha_e = 0$. If $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{aff}(S)$, where $\alpha_e = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_S}{\mathbf{d}_S^2} < 0$.

The intersection of X_S and the facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ first encountered along X_S occurs at the step size α^* , where

$$\alpha^* = \min_{\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S} \{\alpha_{i_j}\}. \quad (39)$$

Cavaleiro and Alizadeh [3] present an equivalent procedure for finding α^* .

The leaving point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} of S is chosen so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$. Then the solution to the linear system (38) with $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*)$ substituted for \mathbf{x}_S , yields $\pi_{i_l}(\alpha^*) = 0$, and $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha^*) \geq 0$, for $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in F_{i_l}$. This construction shows that $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ and that $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$. However, the constraint corresponding to \mathbf{p}_e is not active at $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$, and \mathbf{p}_e is not added to S .

Delete the point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} from S . Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. The set S is active with respect to the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

If there is more than one point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ such that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$, then X_S intersects the corresponding facets F_{i_j} simultaneously, and there is a tie for the leaving point. In this case choose any point \mathbf{p}_{i_j} such that $\alpha_{i_j} = \alpha^*$, to be deleted from S . Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$. This case may lead to a degenerate iteration at the next step with $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l} = 0$ for some \mathbf{p}_{i_l} . Cycling will not occur since at each degenerate iteration one point is deleted from the finite set S . After a finite number of points are deleted, S is reduced to two points, and the step size α will be positive at the next iteration.

Property 17. *If all the points in S have equal radii, the radius of the covering ball, given by $z_S(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}\| + r_{i_j}$ for any $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, is strictly increasing for $\alpha > 0$, and the distance $\|\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}(\alpha)\|$ is strictly decreasing for $\alpha > 0$.*

Proof: By construction of \mathbf{d}_e , $(\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_e = 0$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. and $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_e > 0$. The convex function $g_j(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{x}(\alpha)\|^2$ has a unique minimum point at $\alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_j} - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_e}{\|\mathbf{d}_e\|^2} = 0$, so that $g_j(\alpha)$ is increasing for $\alpha > 0$. Thus $z_S(\alpha)$ is increasing for $\alpha > 0$. The convex function $f(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}(\alpha)\|^2$ has a unique minimum at $\alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_e}{\|\mathbf{d}_e\|^2} > 0$, so that $f(\alpha)$ is decreasing for $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_e}{\|\mathbf{d}_e\|^2}$. \square

Thus, for each iteration with $\alpha > 0$, $z_S(\alpha) > z_S$. Since $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{ri}(S)$, a positive step size must occur at least at the first iteration.

Case 2: At least two points in S have unequal radii

The Search Path: The points in S are ordered by non-increasing radii and denoted by $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$, with $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$. By the assumption of unequal radii, $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$, so that B_{i_1, i_s} is one sheet of a hyperboloid. The intersection of bisectors $B_S = \cap_{\{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}, \mathbf{p}_{i_k}\} \subset S} B_{i_j, i_k}$ is shown, by Properties A.7 and A.8, to be the intersection of the sheet B_{i_1, i_s} with $s - 2$ hyperplanes resulting in a conic section of dimension $n - s + 2$. The vectors and parameters of B_S , namely the center \mathbf{c}_S , axis vector \mathbf{v}_S , vertex \mathbf{a}_S , eccentricity e_S , and parameters a_S and b_S are computed using expressions (A.69) - (A.87) in the Appendix. If $\epsilon_S > 1$, then B_S is one sheet of a hyperboloid. If $\epsilon_S < 1$, then B_S is an ellipsoid. If $\epsilon_S = 1$, then B_S is a paraboloid.

Property A.9 in the Appendix shows that for any vector \mathbf{u}_S orthogonal to \mathbf{v}_S , there is a two dimensional conic section $Y_S \subset B_S$ that has the same vectors and parameters as B_S . Furthermore, $Y_S \subset \text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$. Thus Y_S is either one sheet of a hyperbola, an ellipse, or a parabola if $\epsilon_S < 1$, $\epsilon_S < 1$, or $\epsilon_S = 1$, respectively. The search path X_S is chosen to be a sub-path of Y_S determined by a particular choice of \mathbf{u}_S .

Case 2a: X_S is a hyperbola:

If $\epsilon_S > 1$, then B_S is one sheet of a hyperboloid of dimension $n - s + 2$, and

$$Y_S = \{\mathbf{y}(\beta) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \sec(\beta) \mathbf{v}_S + b_S \tan(\beta) \mathbf{u}_S : -\pi/2 < \beta < \pi/2\} \quad (40)$$

is one sheet of a two-dimensional hyperbola in $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$. The vector \mathbf{u}_S is chosen to be the normalized component of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)$ that is orthogonal to the projection of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)$ onto $\text{sub}(S)$, that is,

$$\mathbf{u}_S = ((\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)) / \|(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)\|. \quad (41)$$

Property A.8 shows that Y_S is a subset of B_S , and that the point $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{a}_S$ is the vertex of the hyperbola Y_S and of the hyperboloid B_S . Let β_S be the parameter such that $\mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$. If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$, but if $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = -\tan^{-1}\{\sqrt{(\mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{x}_S)^2 - a_S^2}/(\epsilon_S a_S)\}$. If a point was deleted from S in the last iteration, $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \mathbf{a}_S$, else, $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$.

The search path $X_S \subset Y_S$ is defined to be a subset of Y_S given by

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}. \quad (42)$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$, and for $\alpha \geq 0$, the points $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ are on B_S and move along X_S from \mathbf{x}_S toward \mathbf{p}_e and primal feasibility.

Property 18. Suppose the set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ is an active set for the dual feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$, with $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$. Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}$ be the search path along a hyperbola Y_S determined by (40) and (42). Then S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is increasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$.

Proof: By construction, $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in B_{i_1, i_j}$ for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, so that $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1} = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_j}\| + r_{i_j}$. Thus, S is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$. Direct computation shows that $z(\alpha)$ has a minimum value at $\alpha = 0$, and since $z(\alpha)$ is convex with respect to α , $z(\alpha)$ is increasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$. \square

The Step Size: The step size α' is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1, e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, e}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_j, e}$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, that is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j, e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus, it suffices to determine the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1, e}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,e}$, $z(\alpha') = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_e\| + r_e = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$, that is, $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Geometrically, the search moves the center $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ along the path X_S while increasing $z(\alpha)$.

Theorem A.1 shows that $X_S \cap B_{i_1,e} = X_S \cap H_e$, where the hyperplane $H_e = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e\}$ is constructed as follows. Order the set of three points $\{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_2}, \mathbf{p}_e\}$, by non-increasing radii, and denote the ordered set by $\{\mathbf{p}_{j_1}, \mathbf{p}_{j_2}, \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\}$, so that $r_{j_1} \geq r_{j_2} \geq r_{j_3}$. By assumption, $r_{j_1} > r_{j_3}$. The vectors \mathbf{h}_e and \mathbf{d}_e of the hyperplane $H_e = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e\}$, are computed by the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } r_{j_1} = r_{j_2} > r_{j_3} \quad & \mathbf{h}_e = (\mathbf{p}_{j_1} - \mathbf{p}_{j_2}) / \|\mathbf{p}_{j_1} - \mathbf{p}_{j_2}\|, \quad \mathbf{d}_e = .5(\mathbf{p}_{j_1} + \mathbf{p}_{j_2}) \\ \text{if } r_{j_1} > r_{j_2} = r_{j_3} \quad & \mathbf{h}_e = (\mathbf{p}_{j_2} - \mathbf{p}_{j_3}) / \|\mathbf{p}_{j_2} - \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\|, \quad \mathbf{d}_e = .5(\mathbf{p}_{j_2} + \mathbf{p}_{j_3}) \\ \text{if } r_{j_1} > r_{j_2} > r_{j_3} \quad & \mathbf{h}_e = (\epsilon_{j_1,j_2} \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_2} - \epsilon_{j_1,j_3} \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_3}) / \|\epsilon_{j_1,j_2} \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_2} - \epsilon_{j_1,j_3} \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_3}\| \\ & \mathbf{w}_e = (\mathbf{h}_e - (\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_3}) \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_3}) / \|\mathbf{h}_e - (\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_3}) \mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_3}\| \\ & \mathbf{d}_e = \mathbf{d}_{j_1,j_3} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_2}(\mathbf{d}_{j_1,j_2} - \mathbf{d}_{j_1,j_3})}{\mathbf{v}_{j_1,j_2} \mathbf{w}_e} \mathbf{w}_e. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The intersection of X_S and H_e is equivalent to the intersection of Y_S and H_e , and is determined by solving the equation $\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{y}(\beta') = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e$, for β' . Since Y_S is a hyperbola, with $\epsilon_S > 1$, the equation $\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{y}(\beta') = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e$ is equivalent to the equation

$$A \sec(\beta') + B \tan(\beta') = C, \quad (44)$$

where $A = a_S \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{v}_S$, $B = b_S \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{u}_S$, and $C = \mathbf{h}_e(\mathbf{d}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)$.

Let $D_h = \sqrt{C^2 - (A^2 - B^2)}$. The value C^2 is the squared distance between H_e and the center \mathbf{c}_S . The value $A^2 - B^2$ is the minimum squared distance between \mathbf{c}_S and H_e such that $H_e \cap Y_S \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $H_e \cap Y_S \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) \geq 0$,

If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) > 0$, there are two solutions, β_1 and β_2 , determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tan(\beta_1) &= \frac{-AB - CD_h}{AC - BD_h}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sec(\beta_1) = \frac{B^2 + C^2}{AC - BD_h} \\ \text{or} \\ \tan(\beta_2) &= \frac{-AB + CD_h}{AC + BD_h}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sec(\beta_2) = \frac{B^2 + C^2}{AC + BD_h}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

If $\sec(\beta_1) > 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \in Y_S$, and $\beta_1 := \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-AB - CD_h}{AC - BD_h}\right)$. If $\sec(\beta_1) < 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \notin Y_S$, and $\beta_1 := \infty$. Similarly, if $\sec(\beta_2) > 0$, then $\beta_2 := \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-AB + CD_h}{AC + BD_h}\right)$, and if $\sec(\beta_2) < 0$, $\beta_2 := \infty$. Then $\beta' = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$.

If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) = 0$, there is one solution, $\beta' := \tan^{-1}(-B/C)$. If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) < 0$, set $\beta' := \infty$.

The step size α' along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S is given by $\alpha' = \beta' - \beta_S$.

If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$ is dual feasible. To determine if $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, substitute $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \sec(\alpha) \mathbf{v}_S + b_S \tan(\alpha) \mathbf{u}_S$ for \mathbf{x}_S in equation (9), expand and simplify to obtain the following linear system:

$$T \boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \sec(\alpha) \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \tan(\alpha) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S, \quad (46)$$

where

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_1} & \dots & \mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{p}_{i_s} & \mathbf{c}_S - \mathbf{p}_e \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{v}_S \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_S \end{bmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

and each variable is a function of α , that is, $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = [\pi_{i_1}(\alpha), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha), \pi_e(\alpha)]$. The matrix T is $n + 1$ by $s + 1$ with rank $s + 1$. The linear system (46) has a solution since $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in \text{aff}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ for $\alpha \geq 0$.

If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, reset $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Then the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and its active set S are checked for optimality by the Update Phase.

Otherwise, some component of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha')$ is negative, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, a new step size α^* is determined so that $0 \leq \alpha^* < \alpha'$, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Since the $s + 1$ points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely independent, $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ is a simplex with $s + 1$ vertices and $s + 1$ facets. The $s + 1$ vertices are the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The $s + 1$ facets are denoted by $F_{i_j} = \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}\})$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, and by $F_e = \text{conv}(S)$, for \mathbf{p}_e . Each point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$ corresponds to the component $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_{i_j} . The point \mathbf{p}_e corresponds to the component $\pi_e(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_e .

Since $\mathbf{x}(0) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, X_S must intersect some facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ between $\mathbf{x}(0)$ and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. For each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, the step-size $\alpha_{i_j} \geq 0$ is computed, if it exists, so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in X_S \cap F_{i_j}$, which is equivalent to $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$ in the solution to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \sec(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \tan(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$.

To determine α_{i_j} for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, so that $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$, in the solution $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j})$ to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \sec(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \tan(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$, solve $T\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$ for $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, solve $T\boldsymbol{\delta} = \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S$ for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$, and solve $T\boldsymbol{\xi} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$ for $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Then, $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \sec(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \tan(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S = T(\boldsymbol{\gamma} - a_S \sec(\alpha_{i_j})\boldsymbol{\delta} - b_S \tan(\alpha_{i_j})\boldsymbol{\xi})$. If $\gamma_{i_j} - a_S \sec(\alpha_{i_j})\delta_{i_j} - b_S \tan(\alpha_{i_j})\xi_{i_j} = 0$, then $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$. Thus, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, solve $A \sec(\alpha_{i_j}) + B \tan(\alpha_{i_j}) = C$, for α_{i_j} , using (??), where $A = a_S \delta_{i_j}$, $B = b_S \xi_{i_j}$, and $C = \gamma_{i_j}$. If $\alpha_{i_j} < 0$ or $\alpha_{i_j} > \alpha'$, set $\alpha_{i_j} = \infty$. If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) < 0$, set $\alpha_{i_j} = \infty$. If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) = 0$, set $\alpha_{i_j} = \tan^{-1}(B/A)$. If $C^2 - (A^2 - B^2) > 0$, there are two solutions β_1 and β_2 determined by (45) so that $\alpha_{i_j} = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$.

For the point \mathbf{p}_e , $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{conv}(S)$ implies $\alpha_e \leq 0$. That is, if $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_S$, so that $\alpha_e = 0$. If $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{aff}(S)$, where $\alpha_e = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_S}{\mathbf{d}_S^2} < 0$.

Thus the intersection of X_S and the facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ first encountered along X_S occurs at the step size α^* , where

$$\alpha^* = \min_{\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S} \{\alpha_{i_j}\}. \quad (48)$$

The leaving point $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ is chosen so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$. Then the solution to the linear system (46) with $\alpha = \alpha^*$ yields $\pi_{i_l}(\alpha^*) = 0$, and $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha^*) \geq 0$, for $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in F_{i_l}$. This construction shows that $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ and that $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\} \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. However, the constraint corresponding to \mathbf{p}_e is not active at $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$.

Delete the point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} from S . Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. The set S is active with respect to the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

Case 2b: X_S is an ellipse: If $\epsilon_S < 1$, then B_S is an ellipsoid of dimension $n - s + 2$, and

$$Y_S = \{\mathbf{y}(\beta) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \cos(\beta)\mathbf{v}_S + b_S \sin(\beta)\mathbf{u}_S : 0 \leq \beta \leq 2\pi\} \quad (49)$$

is a two-dimensional ellipse in $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$. The vector \mathbf{v}_S is oriented as follows so that the objective function value is increasing along the search path. If $(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_S)\mathbf{v}_S < 0$, reset $\mathbf{v}_S := -\mathbf{v}_S$. The vector \mathbf{u}_S is chosen to be the normalized component of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)$ that is

orthogonal to the projection of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)$ onto $\text{sub}(S)$, that is,

$$\mathbf{u}_S = ((\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)) / \|((\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{c}_S))\|. \quad (50)$$

Property A.9 shows that Y_S is a subset of B_S , and that the points $\mathbf{y}(0)$ and $\mathbf{y}(\pi)$ are vertices of the ellipse Y_S and of the ellipsoid B_S . Let β_S be the parameter such that $\mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$. If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$, but if $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = \cos^{-1}\{\sqrt{(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{c}_S)^2 - b_S^2}/(\epsilon_S a_S)\}$. If a point was deleted from S in the last iteration, $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \mathbf{a}_S$, else, $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$.

The search path X_S is defined to be a subset of Y_S given by

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), \alpha \geq 0\}. \quad (51)$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$, and for $\alpha \geq 0$, the points $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ are on B_S and move along X_S from \mathbf{x}_S toward \mathbf{p}_e and primal feasibility.

For the case when X_S is an ellipse, the following property and its proof are analogous to Property 6.3 for the case when X_S is based on a hyperbola.

Property 19. *Given the active set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ for the primal feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$, with $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$. Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}$ be the search path along the ellipse $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ determined by (49) and (51). Then S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is increasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$.*

The Step Size: The step size α' is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1,e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,e}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_j,e}$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, that is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j,e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus, it suffices to determine the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1,e}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,e}$, $z(\alpha') = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_e\| + r_e = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$, that is, $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Geometrically, the search moves the center $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ along the path X_S while increasing $z(\alpha)$.

Theorem A.1 shows that $X_S \cap B_{i_1,e} = X_S \cap H_e$, where the hyperplane $H_e = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e\}$ is constructed as follows. Order the set of three points $\{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}, \mathbf{p}_e\}$ by non-increasing radii, and denote the ordered set by $\{\mathbf{p}_{j_1}, \mathbf{p}_{j_2}, \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\}$, so that $r_{j_1} \geq r_{j_2} \geq r_{j_3}$. By assumption, $r_{j_1} > r_{j_3}$. The vectors \mathbf{h}_e and \mathbf{d}_e of the hyperplane $H_e = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e\}$ are computed by the expressions (43).

The intersection of X_S and H_e is equivalent to the intersection of Y_S and H_e , and is determined by solving the equation $\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{y}(\beta') = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e$ for β' . Since Y_S is an ellipse, with $\epsilon_S < 1$, the equation $\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{y}(\beta') = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e$ is equivalent to the equation

$$A \cos(\beta') + B \sin(\beta') = C, \quad (52)$$

where $A = a_S \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{v}_S$, $B = b_S \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{u}_S$, and $C = \mathbf{h}_e (\mathbf{d}_e - \mathbf{c}_S)$.

Let $D_e = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2 - C^2}$. The value C^2 is the squared distance between H_e and the center \mathbf{c}_S . The expression $A^2 + B^2$ is the maximum squared distance between \mathbf{c}_S and H_e such that $H_e \cap X_S \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $H_e \cap X_S \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 \geq 0$,

If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 \geq 0$, there are two possible solutions, β_1 and β_2 , determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\beta_1) &= \frac{AC + BD_e}{A^2 + B^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\beta_1) = \frac{BC - AD_e}{A^2 + B^2} \\ \text{or} \\ \cos(\beta_2) &= \frac{AC - BD_e}{A^2 + B^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\beta_2) = \frac{BC + AD_e}{A^2 + B^2} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

If $\sin(\beta_1) > 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \in X_S$, and $\beta_1 := \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{BC-AD_e}{AC+BD_e}\right)$. If $\sin(\beta_1) < 0$, then $\mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \notin X_S$, and $\beta_1 := \infty$. Similarly, if $\sin(\beta_2) > 0$, then $\beta_2 := \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{BC+AD_e}{AC-BD_e}\right)$, and if $\sin(\beta_2) < 0$, $\beta_2 := \infty$. Then, $\beta' = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$.

If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 = 0$, there is one solution $\beta' := \tan^{-1}(B/A)$. If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 < 0$, set $\beta' := \infty$.

The step size α' along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S is given by $\alpha' = \beta' - \beta_S$.

If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$ is dual feasible. To determine if $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, substitute $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \cos(\alpha)\mathbf{v}_S + b_S \sin(\alpha)\mathbf{u}_S$ for \mathbf{x}_S in equation (9), expand and simplify to obtain the following linear system:

$$T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \cos(\alpha)\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \sin(\alpha)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S \quad (54)$$

where T , $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$, $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S$, and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$ are defined by (47), and each variable is a function of α , that is, $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = [\pi_{i_1}(\alpha), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha), \pi_e(\alpha)]$. The matrix T is $s+1 \times n+1$ with rank $s+1$. The linear system (54) has a solution since $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in \text{aff}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ for $\alpha \geq 0$.

If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, reset $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Then the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and its active set S are checked for optimality by the Update Phase.

Otherwise, some component of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha')$ is negative, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, a new step size α^* is determined so that $0 \leq \alpha^* < \alpha'$, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Since the $s+1$ points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely independent, $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ is a simplex with $s+1$ vertices and $s+1$ facets. The $s+1$ vertices are the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The $s+1$ facets are denoted by $F_{i_j} = \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}\})$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, and by $F_e = \text{conv}(S)$, for \mathbf{p}_e . Each point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$ corresponds to the component $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_{i_j} . The point \mathbf{p}_e corresponds to the component $\pi_e(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_e .

Since $\mathbf{x}(0) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, X_S must intersect some facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ between $\mathbf{x}(0)$ and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. For each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, the step-size $\alpha_{i_j} \geq 0$ is computed, if it exists, so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in X_S \cap F_{i_j}$, which is equivalent to $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$ in the solution to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \cos(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \sin(\alpha_{i_j})\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$.

To determine α_{i_j} for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, so that $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$, in the solution $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j})$ to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \cos(\alpha)\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \sin(\alpha)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$, solve $T\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$ for $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, solve $T\boldsymbol{\delta} = \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S$ for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$, and solve $T\boldsymbol{\xi} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$ for $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Then, $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - a_S \cos(\alpha)\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - b_S \sin(\alpha)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S = T(\boldsymbol{\gamma} - a_S \cos(\alpha_{i_j})\boldsymbol{\delta} - b_S \sin(\alpha_{i_j})\boldsymbol{\xi})$. If $\gamma_{i_j} - a_S \cos(\alpha_{i_j})\delta_{i_j} - b_S \sin(\alpha_{i_j})\xi_{i_j} = 0$, then $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$. Thus, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, solve $A \cos(\alpha_{i_j}) + B \sin(\alpha_{i_j}) = C$, for α_{i_j} , using (??), where $A = a_S \delta_{i_j}$, $B = b_S \xi_{i_j}$, and $C = \gamma_{i_j}$. If $\alpha_{i_j} < 0$ or $\alpha_{i_j} > \alpha'$, set $\alpha_{i_j} := \infty$. If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 < 0$, set $\alpha_{i_j} = \infty$. If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 = 0$, set $\alpha_{i_j} = \tan^{-1}(B/A)$. If $A^2 + B^2 - C^2 > 0$, there are two solutions β_1 and β_2 determined by (53), so that $\alpha_{i_j} = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$.

For the point \mathbf{p}_e , $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{conv}(S)$ implies $\alpha_e \leq 0$. That is, if $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_S$, so that $\alpha_e = 0$. If $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{aff}(S)$, where $\alpha_e = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S)\mathbf{d}_S}{\mathbf{d}_S^2} < 0$.

Thus, the intersection of X_S and the facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ first encountered along X_S occurs at the step size α^* , where

$$\alpha^* = \min_{\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S} \{\alpha_{i_j}\}. \quad (55)$$

Choose $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$. Then the solution to the linear system (54) with $\alpha = \alpha^*$ yields $\pi_{i_l}(\alpha^*) = 0$, and $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha^*) \geq 0$, for $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in F_{i_l}$. This construction shows that $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ and that $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$

is dual feasible with respect to $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\} \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. However, the constraint corresponding to \mathbf{p}_e is not active at $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$.

Delete the point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} from S . Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. The set S is active with respect to the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

If there is more than one point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ such that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$, then X_S intersects the corresponding facets F_{i_j} simultaneously, and there is a tie for the leaving point. In this case choose any point \mathbf{p}_{i_j} such that $\alpha_{i_j} = \alpha^*$, to be deleted from S . The set S is reset to $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}\}$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , and the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$, and the search continues on the facet F_{i_j} . This case may lead to a degenerate iteration at the next step with $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l} = 0$ for some \mathbf{p}_{i_l} . Cycling will not occur since at each degenerate iteration one point is deleted from the finite set S . After a finite number of points are deleted, S is reduced to two points, and the step size α will be positive at the next iteration.

Case 2c: X_S is a parabola: If $\epsilon_S = 1$, then B_S is a paraboloid of dimension $n - s + 2$, and

$$Y_S = \{\mathbf{y}(\beta) = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S + \tilde{c}_S \beta^2 \mathbf{v}_S + 2\tilde{c}_S \beta \mathbf{u}_S : -\infty < \beta < \infty\} \quad (56)$$

is a two-dimensional parabola in $\text{aff}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$, where $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$ and \tilde{c}_S are determined by (A.85) - (A.87). The vector \mathbf{u}_S is chosen to be the normalized component of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S)$ that is orthogonal to the projection of $(\mathbf{p}_e - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S)$ onto $\text{sub}(S)$, that is,

$$\mathbf{u}_S = ((\mathbf{p}_e - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S)) / \|(\mathbf{p}_e - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S) - \text{Proj}_{\text{sub}(S)}(\mathbf{p}_e - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S)\|. \quad (57)$$

Property A.9 shows that Y_S is a subset of B_S , and that the point $\mathbf{y}(0)$ is the vertex of the parabola Y_S and of the paraboloid B_S . Let β_S be the parameter such that $\mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$. If $\mathbf{x}_S = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$, but if $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$, then $\beta_S = \sqrt{-2 + \sqrt{4 + (\mathbf{x}_S - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S)^2 / (\tilde{c}_S^2)}}$. If a point was deleted from S in the last iteration, $\mathbf{x}_S \neq \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$, else, $\mathbf{x}_S = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S$.

The search path X_S is defined to be a subset of Y_S given by

$$X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), \alpha \geq 0\}. \quad (58)$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{y}(\beta_S) = \mathbf{x}_S$, and for $\alpha \geq 0$, the points $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ are on B_S and move along X_S from \mathbf{x}_S toward \mathbf{p}_e and primal feasibility.

For the case when X_S is a parabola, the following property and its proof are analogous to Property 6.3 for the case when X_S is based on a hyperbola.

Property 20. *Given the active set $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$ for the primal feasible ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$, with $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$. Let $X_S = \{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}(\alpha + \beta_S), 0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S\}$ be the search path along the parabola $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ determined by (56) and (58). Then S is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha), z(\alpha)]$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, where $z(\alpha) = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha) - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$. Furthermore, $z(\alpha)$ is increasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\beta_S$.*

The Step Size: The step size α' is determined, if it exists, so that X_S intersects the bisector $B_{i_1,e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,e}$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_j,e}$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, that is, X_S simultaneously intersects the bisectors $B_{i_j,e}$ at $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$, for all $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$. Thus, it suffices to determine the intersection of X_S with only $B_{i_1,e}$.

At the point $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1,e}$, $z(\alpha') = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_e\| + r_e = \|\mathbf{x}(\alpha') - \mathbf{p}_{i_1}\| + r_{i_1}$, that is, $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ is an active set for the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Geometrically, the search moves the center $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ along the path X_S while increasing $z(\alpha)$.

Theorem A.1 shows that $X_S \cap B_{i_1,e} = X_S \cap H_e$, where the hyperplane $H_e = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e\}$ is constructed as follows. Order the set of three points $\{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_2}, \mathbf{p}_e\}$, by non-increasing radii, and denote the ordered set by $\{\mathbf{p}_{j_1}, \mathbf{p}_{j_2}, \mathbf{p}_{j_3}\}$, so that $r_{j_1} \geq r_{j_2} \geq r_{j_3}$. By assumption, $r_{j_1} > r_{j_3}$. The vectors \mathbf{h}_e and \mathbf{d}_e of the hyperplane $H_e = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e\}$, are computed by the expressions (43).

The intersection of X_S and H_e is equivalent to the intersection of Y_S and H_e , and is determined by solving the equation $\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{y}(\beta) = \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e$ for β . which yields the quadratic equation

$$A\beta^2 + B\beta = C, \quad (59)$$

where $A = \tilde{c}_S \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{v}_S$, $B = \tilde{c}_S \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{u}_S$, and $C = \mathbf{h}_e (\mathbf{d}_e - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S)$. If $Y_S \cap H_e \neq \emptyset$, there are possibly two intersection points corresponding to the following two solutions to equation (59):

If there are no real solutions, $\beta' = \infty$. If there is one real solution, β' . Otherwise, there are two real solutions β_1 and β_2 .

If $B^2 + A^2 - C^2 < 0$, there is no solution and no intersection point, and $\beta' = \infty$. If $B^2 + A^2 - C^2 = 0$, or if $C = 0$, then $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta'$. Else, $B^2 + A^2 - C^2 > 0$, and there are two solutions β_1 and β_2 . If $\beta_1 < \beta_S$, or $\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{y}(\beta_1) \neq \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e$, set $\beta_1 := \infty$. If $\beta_2 < \beta_S$, or $\mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{y}(\beta_2) \neq \mathbf{h}_e \mathbf{d}_e$, set $\beta_2 := \infty$. Then $\beta' = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$. The step size α' along the path X_S from \mathbf{x}_S is given by $\alpha' = \beta' - \beta_S$.

If $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$ is dual feasible. To determine if $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, substitute $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_S + \tilde{c}_S \alpha^2 \mathbf{v}_S + 2\tilde{c}_S \alpha \mathbf{u}_S$ for \mathbf{x}_S in equation (9), expand and simplify to obtain the following linear system:

$$T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \tilde{c}_S \alpha^2 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - \tilde{c}_S \alpha \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S \quad (60)$$

where T , $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$, $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_S$, and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$ are defined by (47). and each variable is a function of α , that is, $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha) = [\pi_{i_1}(\alpha), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha), \pi_e(\alpha)]$. The matrix T is $s+1 \times n+1$ with rank $s+1$. The linear system (60) has a solution since $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in \text{aff}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ for $\alpha \geq 0$.

If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, reset $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha'), z(\alpha')]$. Then the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and its active set S are checked for optimality by the Update Phase.

Otherwise, some component of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha')$ is negative, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. In this case, a new step size α^* is determined so that $0 \leq \alpha^* < \alpha'$, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Since the $s+1$ points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ are affinely independent, $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ is a simplex with $s+1$ vertices and $s+1$ facets. The $s+1$ vertices are the points in $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The $s+1$ facets are denoted by $F_{i_j} = \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}\})$, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, and by $F_e = \text{conv}(S)$, for \mathbf{p}_e . Each point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$ corresponds to the component $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_{i_j} . The point \mathbf{p}_e corresponds to the component $\pi_e(\alpha)$ of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha)$ and to the facet F_e .

Since $\mathbf{x}(0) \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \notin \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$, X_S must intersect some facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ between $\mathbf{x}(0)$ and $\mathbf{x}(\alpha')$. For each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, the step-size $\alpha_{i_j} \geq 0$ is computed, if it exists, so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in X_S \cap F_{i_j}$, which is equivalent to $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$ in the solution to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j} \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$.

To determine α_{i_j} for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, so that $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$, in the solution to $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j}^2 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$, solve $T\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$ for $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, solve $T\boldsymbol{\delta} = \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S$ for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$, and solve $T\boldsymbol{\xi} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S$ for $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Then, $T\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_{i_j}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j}^2 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_S - 2\tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_S = T(\boldsymbol{\gamma} - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j}^2 \boldsymbol{\delta} - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j} \boldsymbol{\xi})$. If $\gamma_{i_j} - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j}^2 \delta_{i_j} - \tilde{c}_S \alpha_{i_j} \xi_{i_j} = 0$, then $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) = 0$. Thus, for each $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, solve $A\alpha_{i_j}^2 + B\alpha_{i_j} = C$, for α_{i_j} , where $A = \tilde{c}_S \delta_{i_j}$, $B = \tilde{c}_S \xi_{i_j}$, and $C = \gamma_{i_j}$. If $\alpha_{i_j} < 0$ or $\alpha_{i_j} > \alpha'$, set $\alpha_{i_j} := \infty$. If $B^2 + C^2 - A^2 < 0$, set $\alpha_{i_j} := \infty$. If $B^2 + C^2 - A^2 = 0$, set $\alpha_{i_j} := -B/(2A)$. If $B^2 + C^2 - A^2 > 0$, there are

two solutions β_1 and β_2 . If $\gamma_{i_j} - \tilde{c}_S \beta_1^2 \delta_{i_j} - \tilde{c}_S \beta_1 \xi_{i_j} \neq 0$, or if $\beta_1 \leq \beta_S$, set $\beta_1 := \infty$. If $\gamma_{i_j} - \tilde{c}_S \beta_2^2 \delta_{i_j} - \tilde{c}_S \beta_2 \xi_{i_j} \neq 0$, or if $\beta_2 \leq \beta_S$, set $\beta_2 := \infty$. Then $\alpha_{i_j} := \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$.

For the point \mathbf{p}_e , $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{conv}(S)$ implies $\alpha_e \leq 0$. That is, if $\mathbf{x}_S \in \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_S$, so that $\alpha_e = 0$. If $\mathbf{x}_S \notin \text{conv}(S)$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha_e) \in \text{aff}(S)$, where $\alpha_e = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{x}_S) \mathbf{d}_S}{\mathbf{d}_S^2} < 0$.

Thus, the intersection of X_S and the facet of $\text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$ first encountered along X_S occurs at the step size α^* , where

$$\alpha^* = \min_{\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S} \{\alpha_{i_j}\}. \quad (61)$$

Choose $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$. Then the solution to the linear system (60) with $\alpha = \alpha^*$ yields $\pi_{i_l}(\alpha^*) = 0$, and $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha^*) \geq 0$, for $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*) \in F_{i_l}$. This construction shows that $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$ is an active set for $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ and that $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\} \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. However, the constraint corresponding to \mathbf{p}_e is not active at $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$.

Delete the point \mathbf{p}_{i_l} from S . Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. The set S is active with respect to the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is dual feasible with respect to $S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , and the ball $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$.

If there is more than one point $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_e\}$ such that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$, then X_S intersects the corresponding facets F_{i_j} simultaneously, and there is a tie for the leaving point. In this case choose any point \mathbf{p}_{i_j} such that $\alpha_{i_j} = \alpha^*$, to be deleted from S . The set S is reset to $S := S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}\}$. The Search Phase is re-entered with the active set S , the entering point \mathbf{p}_e , and the ball $[\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$, and the search continues on the facet F_{i_j} . This case may lead to a degenerate iteration at the next step with $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l} = 0$ for some \mathbf{p}_{i_l} . Cycling will not occur since at each degenerate iteration one point is deleted from the finite set S . After a finite number of points are deleted, S is reduced to two points, and the step size α will be positive at the next iteration.

7 Dual Algorithm

1. Input: a set of distinct points $P = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,
a radius $r_1, \geq 0$, a ball $[\mathbf{p}_i, r_i] = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i\| \leq r_i\}$ for each $\mathbf{p}_i \in P$.
Output: a unique ball $[\mathbf{x}^*, z^*]$, with minimum radius z^* , containing $[\mathbf{p}_i, r_i]$ for \mathbf{p}_i in P .
Assume condition (1) for each pair $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in P$.
2. Initialize: Choose $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_2}\}$, for any two points $\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_2} \in P$, ordered $\ni r_{i_1} \geq r_{i_2}$.
Compute the dual feasible solution $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ using (31).
3. Optimality: $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ is optimal if $\|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_i\| + r_i \leq z_S, \forall \mathbf{p}_i \in P \setminus S$.
Else, choose entering point $\mathbf{p}_e \in P \setminus S \ni \|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{p}_e\| + r_e > z_S$.
4. Update: If (32), (33) has no solution, go to Step 5 with $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, S , and \mathbf{p}_e .
Else, (32), (33) has a solution λ . Solve (8), (9) for π .
Determine a leaving point $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ using minimum ratio test (34).
Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$. Go to Step 5 with $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$, its active set S , and entering point \mathbf{p}_e .
5. Search Path: Denote $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$, ordered so that $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$.

- 5 a. If $r_{i_1} = r_{i_s}$, the search path X_S is given by (35).
Find the step size α' so that $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in X_S \cap B_{i_1, e}$.
If $r_{i_1} = r_e$, determine step size α' using (36).
If $r_{i_1} \neq r_e$, determine step size α' by solving the quadratic equation (37).
Solve (38) for $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') = (\pi_{i_1}(\alpha'), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha'), \pi_e(\alpha'))$.
If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Go to Step 3 with $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and S .
If $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha') < 0$, for some $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, find α_{i_j} using (38) $\ni \mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in F_{i_j}, \forall \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$.
Choose $\alpha^* = \min\{\alpha_{i_j} : \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S\}$, and choose $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$.
Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. Go to Step 5.
- 5 b. If $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$, determine $\mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{c}_S, a_S, b_S, \epsilon_S$ of B_S using (A.69) - (A.87).
- 5 b 1: If $\epsilon_S > 1$, B_S is a hyperboloid of dimension $n - s + 2$.
If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$; else compute β_S .
Construct the hyperbola Y_S using (40) where \mathbf{u}_S is determined by (41).
Construct the search path X_S using (42).
Find the step size β' using (43), (44), (45).
Then $\alpha' = \beta' - \beta_S$.
Solve (46) for $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') = (\pi_{i_1}(\alpha'), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha'), \pi_e(\alpha'))$.
If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Go to Step 3 with $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and S .
If $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha') < 0$, for some $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, find α_{i_j} using (47) $\ni \mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in F_{i_j}, \forall \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$.
Choose $\alpha^* = \min\{\alpha_{i_j} : \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S\}$, and choose $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$.
Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] = [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. Go to Step 5.
- 5 b 2: If $\epsilon_S < 1$, B_S is an ellipsoid of dimension $n - s + 2$.
If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$; else compute β_S .
Construct $\mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S$ and the ellipse Y_S using (49) and (50).
Construct the search path X_S using (51).
Find the step size β' using (43), (52) (53).
Then $\alpha' = \beta' - \beta_S$.
Solve (54) for $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') = (\pi_{i_1}(\alpha'), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha'), \pi_e(\alpha'))$.
If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Go to Step 3 with $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and S .
If $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha') < 0$, for some $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, find α_{i_j} using (53) $\ni \mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in F_{i_j}, \forall \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$.
Choose $\alpha^* = \min\{\alpha_{i_j} : \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S\}$, and choose $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$.
Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] = [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. Go to Step 5.
- 5 b 3: If $\epsilon_S = 1$, B_S is a paraboloid of dimension $n - s + 2$.
If $\mathbf{x}_S = \mathbf{a}_S$, then $\beta_S = 0$; else compute β_S .
Construct the parabola and \mathbf{u}_S using (56) and (57).
Construct the search path X_S using (58).
Find the step size β' using (59).
Then $\alpha' = \beta' - \beta_S$.
Solve (60) for $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') = (\pi_{i_1}(\alpha'), \dots, \pi_{i_s}(\alpha'), \pi_e(\alpha'))$.
If $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha') \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{x}(\alpha') \in \text{conv}(S \cup \{\mathbf{p}_e\})$. Go to Step 3 with $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S]$ and S .

If $\pi_{i_j}(\alpha') < 0$, for some $\mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$, find α_{i_j} using (60) $\ni \mathbf{x}(\alpha_{i_j}) \in F_{i_j}, \forall \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S$.
 Choose $\alpha^* = \min\{\alpha_{i_j} : \mathbf{p}_{i_j} \in S\}$, and choose $\mathbf{p}_{i_l} \in S$ so that $\alpha^* = \alpha_{i_l}$.
 Reset $S := S \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_{i_l}\}$, and $[\mathbf{x}_S, z_S] := [\mathbf{x}(\alpha^*), z(\alpha^*)]$. Go to Step 5. Go to 5.

8 Computational Results

Computational results for the primal and dual algorithms are forthcoming.

A Conic Sections in \mathbb{R}^n

This Appendix presents results from reference [5] that are used to find the intersection of a hyperplane with each of the conic sections in \mathbb{R}^n . These results are used to develop the search paths of the primal and dual algorithms for problem $M(P)$. Recall from Section 2, that a pair of points $\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k \in P$, with radii $r_j > r_k$, defines the **bisector**

$$B_{j,k} = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{x}\| = r_j - r_k\} \quad (\text{A.62})$$

which is one sheet of the hyperboloid $HB_{j,k}$ in \mathbb{R}^n with two sheets, where

$$HB_{j,k} = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{x}\| = r_j - r_k\}. \quad (\text{A.63})$$

The other sheet of $HB_{j,k}$ is given by

$$\hat{B}_{j,k} = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{x}\| = r_j - r_k\}, \quad (\text{A.64})$$

so that $HB_{j,k} = B_{j,k} \cup \hat{B}_{j,k}$. The hyperboloid $HB_{j,k}$ is specified by the **focal points** \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k , and the radii r_j and r_k with $r_j > r_k$. The **center** of $HB_{j,k}$ is the center point of the line segment between \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k , given by $\mathbf{c}_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_j + \mathbf{p}_k)$, and the distance from $\mathbf{c}_{j,k}$ to \mathbf{p}_j , or to \mathbf{p}_k , is given by $c_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k\|$. The unit vector $\mathbf{v}_{j,k} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k}{\|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k\|}$ from \mathbf{p}_k to \mathbf{p}_j is called the **axis vector**, and is parallel to the **major axis**, which is the line through \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k . The center $\mathbf{c}_{j,k}$ locates the hyperboloid and the axis vector $\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$ specifies its orientation.

Under the assumption that $r_j > r_k$, the point of intersection between the major axis and the sheet $B_{j,k}$ occurs at the **vertex** $\mathbf{a}_{j,k}$, where $\mathbf{a}_{j,k} = \mathbf{c}_{j,k} + a_{j,k}\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$, with $a_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2}(r_j - r_k)$. The vertex $\mathbf{a}_{j,k}$ is the center of the smallest ball containing, or internally tangent to, the two balls $[\mathbf{p}_j, r_j]$ and $[\mathbf{p}_k, r_k]$. Each point \mathbf{x} on $B_{j,k}$ is the center of a ball $[\mathbf{x}, z_{\mathbf{x}}]$, with radius $z_{\mathbf{x}} = \|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{x}\| + r_j = \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{x}\| + r_k$, that contains, and is internally tangent to, the two balls $[\mathbf{p}_j, r_j]$ and $[\mathbf{p}_k, r_k]$. The sheet $\hat{B}_{j,k}$ intersects the major axis at the vertex $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j,k} = \mathbf{c}_{j,k} - a_{j,k}\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$, which is the center of the smallest ball externally tangent to the two balls $[\mathbf{p}_j, r_j]$ and $[\mathbf{p}_k, r_k]$. Problem $M(P)$ is concerned only with the sheet $B_{j,k}$.

The **eccentricity** specifies the shape of the hyperboloid $HB_{j,k}$ and is given by $\epsilon_{j,k} = \frac{c_{j,k}}{a_{j,k}}$. Assumption (1) implies that $0 < a_{j,k} < c_{j,k}$, so that $\epsilon_{j,k} > 1$, as required for a hyperboloid. The **directrix** of the sheet $B_{j,k}$ is the hyperplane with normal vector $\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$ containing the point $\mathbf{d}_{j,k}$, where $\mathbf{d}_{j,k} = \mathbf{c}_{j,k} + d_{j,k}\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$, and $d_{j,k} = \frac{a_{j,k}^2}{c_{j,k}} = \frac{(r_j - r_k)^2}{2\|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k\|}$. The directrix is given by $\mathbf{v}_{j,k}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_{j,k}\mathbf{d}_{j,k} = \mathbf{v}_{j,k}\mathbf{c}_{j,k} + d_{j,k} = \frac{\|\mathbf{p}_j\|^2 - \|\mathbf{p}_k\|^2 + (r_j - r_k)^2}{2\|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k\|}$. The directrix of the sheet $\hat{B}_{j,k}$ is the hyperplane with normal vector $\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$ through the point $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{j,k} = \mathbf{c}_{j,k} - d_{j,k}\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$.

An n -dimensional **ellipsoid**, $E_{j,k}$, is specified by the same vectors and parameters that specify a hyperboloid, all of which are determined by the focal points \mathbf{p}_j and \mathbf{p}_k and the positive constant $a_{j,k}$, where

$$E_{j,k} = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{x}\| = 2a_{j,k}\}.$$

The axis vector $\mathbf{v}_{j,k}$, the center $\mathbf{c}_{j,k}$, the parameter $c_{j,k}$, vertices $\mathbf{a}_{j,k}$, $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j,k}$, eccentricity $\epsilon_{j,k}$, and directrix $\mathbf{d}_{j,k}$, $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{j,k}$, each have the same definition for an ellipsoid as for a hyperboloid, except that $c_{j,k} < a_{j,k}$, so that $\epsilon_{j,k} = c_{j,k}/a_{j,k} < 1$. The next property gives a representation of a hyperboloid, or an ellipsoid, as a quadratic form.

Property 21. *Given the focal points \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 , with corresponding axis vector \mathbf{v} , center point \mathbf{c} , positive constants a and c , and eccentricity $\epsilon = c/a$, let H be the hyperboloid determined by these vectors and parameters if $\epsilon > 1$, and let E be the ellipsoid determined by these vectors and parameters if $\epsilon < 1$. Define:*

$$Q = \{\mathbf{x} : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})^T [I - \epsilon^2 \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T] (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}) = a^2 - c^2\} = \{\mathbf{x} : [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}]^2 - [\epsilon(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})\mathbf{v}]^2 = a^2 - c^2\} \quad (\text{A.65})$$

$$\text{and } C = \{\mathbf{x} : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})^T [I - \epsilon^2 \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T] (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}) = 0\} = \{\mathbf{x} : [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}]^2 - [\epsilon(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})\mathbf{v}]^2 = 0\}.$$

If $c > a$, then $Q = H$, and if $c < a$, then $Q = E$. If $\epsilon > 1$, then C is the cone that is the asymptotic approximation to the hyperboloid H .

An n -dimensional **paraboloid**, symmetric about its major axis, is specified by two points \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 only, as the set of all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ whose distance from \mathbf{p}_1 equals the distance from \mathbf{x} to the hyperplane that is orthogonal to the major axis and contains the point \mathbf{p}_2 . The axis vector is $\mathbf{v} = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)}{\|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2\|}$. The paraboloid is defined as the set

$$P = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{x}\| = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_2)\}. \quad (\text{A.66})$$

The center of the paraboloid, also its vertex, is $\mathbf{c} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2}{2}$, and the parameter $c = \frac{\|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2\|}{2}$.

Property 22. *The paraboloid P has the equivalent expression*

$$P = \{\mathbf{x} : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})^T [I - \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T] (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}) = 4c\mathbf{v}((\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}))\} \quad (\text{A.67})$$

From the classical studies of conic sections in \mathbb{R}^3 , it is well known that if a plane and a cone intersect at an appropriate angle, measured between the axis vector and the hyperplane, the intersection is a hyperbola of dimension 2. The following development extends these results to \mathbb{R}^n and considers the intersection of a hyperplane with a hyperboloid, an ellipsoid, and a paraboloid. Conditions are given for the resulting intersection to be a hyperboloid, an ellipsoid or a paraboloid of dimension $n - 1$, and expressions are derived for the parameters of the resulting structure. These results are then applied to the conic sections arising in problem $M(P)$.

Property 23. *Suppose Q is a hyperboloid in \mathbb{R}^n , centered at \mathbf{c} , with axis vector \mathbf{v} of unit length, eccentricity ϵ , and parameters $a < c$, and suppose $HP = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{c} + \hat{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{h})\}$, is a hyperplane with normal vector $\|\mathbf{h}\| = 1$ through the point $\mathbf{c} + \hat{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{h}$. Let $\rho = \sqrt{1 - (\mathbf{h}\mathbf{v})^2}$. Then $Q \cap HP$ is a non-empty hyperboloid of dimension $n - 1$ iff $\epsilon\rho > 1$, a non-empty ellipsoid of dimension $n - 1$ iff $\epsilon\rho < 1$ and $\sqrt{a^2(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2)} \leq \hat{\mathbf{h}}$, or a paraboloid of dimension $n - 1$ iff $\epsilon\rho = 1$. The expression $\sqrt{a^2(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2)}$ is the minimum distance between the hyperplane HP passing through the point \mathbf{c} (with $\hat{\mathbf{h}} = 0$), and the hyperplane HP passing through a point on Q .*

Property 24. Suppose Q is an ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n , centered at \mathbf{c} , with axis vector \mathbf{v} of unit length, eccentricity ϵ , and parameters $a > c$, and suppose $HP = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{c} + \hat{h}\mathbf{h})\}$, is a hyperplane with normal vector $\|\mathbf{h}\| = 1$ through the point $\mathbf{c} + \hat{h}\mathbf{h}$. Let $\rho = \sqrt{1 - (\mathbf{h}\mathbf{v})^2}$. Then $Q \cap HP$ is a non-empty ellipsoid of dimension $n - 1$ iff $\sqrt{a^2(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2)} \geq \hat{h}$.

Property 25. Suppose $P = \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{x}\| = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_2)\}$ is a paraboloid centered at \mathbf{c} with axis vector \mathbf{v} and parameter c , and suppose that $HP = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}) = \hat{h}\}$, is a hyperplane with $\|\mathbf{h}\| = 1$, and $P \cap HP \neq \emptyset$. Let $\rho = \sqrt{1 - (\mathbf{h}\mathbf{v})^2}$. Then $P \cap HP$ is a paraboloid of dimension $n - 1$ if $\rho = 1$ or an ellipsoid of dimension $n - 1$ if $\rho < 1$.

The relationship between the condition $\epsilon\rho > 1$ and the intersection of the hyperboloid H and the hyperplane HP are illustrated by Figure 1, which shows the vectors \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{h} , and the point \mathbf{c} , in the affine plane $\text{aff}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{c})$. The projection of the hyperboloid H onto $\text{aff}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{c})$ is shown by the hyperbola of two sheets, with parameters a and c . The projection of the hyperplane HP onto $\text{aff}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{c})$ is some line parallel to the ray determined by the vector \mathbf{g} that is orthogonal to \mathbf{h} . Let β be the angle between \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{v} , which is the same angle between the projection of HP and \mathbf{v} . Then $\rho = \mathbf{g}\mathbf{v} = \cos(\beta)$.

Let C be the cone that is the asymptotic approximation to H , so that the projection of C onto $\text{aff}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{c})$ is illustrated by the dashed lines through \mathbf{c} , that is, the asymptotes of the hyperbola. The angle between either asymptote and \mathbf{v} is α .

Then, $\epsilon\rho > 1$ if and only if $\rho > a/c$ if and only if $\cos(\beta) > \cos(\alpha)$ if and only if $\beta < \alpha$ for $0 \leq \beta \leq \pi/2$, if and only if $HP \cap H \neq \emptyset$. That is, HP intersects both sheets of H . Alternatively, $\epsilon\rho < 1$ if and only if HP intersects one sheet of C , or the point \mathbf{c} . If $\epsilon\rho < 1$, the distance squared between HP and \mathbf{c} is \hat{h}^2 , and the minimum distance squared between \mathbf{c} and $HP \cap Q$ is $a^2(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2)$, so that the condition $a^2(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2) \leq \hat{h}^2$ implies HP intersects one sheet of Q .

The following corollary gives expressions that are used to compute the vectors and parameters of the $n - 1$ dimensional conic section resulting from the intersection of a hyperplane and an n -dimensional hyperboloid.

Corollary 26. If $HB \cap HP$ is an hyperboloid or an ellipsoid, then its vectors are given as follows: axis vector $\mathbf{g}_1 = (\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{h})\mathbf{h})/\|\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}\|$ (the component of \mathbf{v} that is orthogonal to the projection of \mathbf{v} onto \mathbf{h}), center $\hat{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{c} + \hat{h}\mathbf{h} + \tilde{c}\mathbf{g}_1$, vertex $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \hat{\mathbf{c}} + \hat{a}\mathbf{g}_1$ (for a hyperboloid) or $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \hat{\mathbf{c}} \pm \hat{a}\mathbf{g}_1$ (for an ellipse), focal points $\hat{\mathbf{c}} \pm \hat{a}\epsilon\rho\mathbf{g}_1$, where $\hat{a} = \frac{(1 - \epsilon^2)(a^2(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2) - \hat{h}^2)}{(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2)^2}$, $\tilde{c} = \frac{\epsilon^2\rho\hat{h}}{(1 - \epsilon^2\rho^2)^2}$. If $HB \cap HP$ is a paraboloid, then its axis vector and center is the same as above. Its focal points are $\hat{\mathbf{c}} \pm \tilde{c}\mathbf{g}_1$, with parameters $\tilde{c} = \frac{\epsilon\sigma\hat{h}}{2}$, $\hat{c} = \frac{(\epsilon^2\rho^2 - 1)\hat{h}^2 - (a^2 - c^2)}{4\tilde{c}}$.

The class of hyperboloids $HB_{j,k}$ that occur in the problem $M(P)$ have additional properties. Let $T = \{\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_l\}$ be a set of three affinely independent points from P ordered so that $r_j \geq r_k \geq r_l$, and let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{j,k}, B_{j,l}, B_{k,l}\}$ denote the bisectors corresponding to the respective pairs of points from T . If the radii are unequal, that is $r_j > r_l$, then at least two pairs of points from T have unequal radii, and the bisector corresponding to each of these pairs is one sheet of a hyperboloid.

The following theorem constructs a unique hyperplane H_T that contains the intersection of any two bisectors in \mathcal{B} . The theorem also shows that for any two bisectors in \mathcal{B} , say $B_{j,k}$ and $B_{j,l}$, then $B_{j,k} \cap B_{j,l} = B_{j,k} \cap H_T = B_{j,l} \cap H_T$. Also, if one of the bisectors in \mathcal{B} is a hyperplane, then it is identical to H_T . This result allows the intersection of two bisectors to be determined by the intersection of either one of the bisectors with the hyperplane H_T .




Figure 1: Hyperbola H projected onto the affine plane $\text{aff}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{c})$

In the subsequent development, a bisector corresponding to two points with unequal radii will be referred to as a hyperboloid, even though the bisector is only one sheet of the hyperboloid generated by the two points and their radii.

Theorem 27. *Suppose that $T = \{\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_l\}$ is a subset of three affinely independent points from P , ordered so that $r_j \geq r_k \geq r_l$, with $r_j > r_l$, and suppose that the intersection of the three bisectors from $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{j,k}, B_{j,l}, B_{k,l}\}$ is nonempty. Let*

$$H_T = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_T \mathbf{d}_T\} = \{\mathbf{x} : (\epsilon_{j,k} \mathbf{v}_{j,k} - \epsilon_{j,l} \mathbf{v}_{j,l}) \mathbf{x} = (\epsilon_{j,k} \mathbf{v}_{j,k} - \epsilon_{j,l} \mathbf{v}_{j,l}) \mathbf{d}_T\}, \quad (\text{A.68})$$

where \mathbf{d}_T is the intersection of $\text{aff}(T)$, the directrix $\mathbf{v}_{j,l} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_{j,l} \mathbf{d}_{j,l}$ of $B_{j,l}$, and the directrix $\mathbf{v}_{j,k} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_{j,k} \mathbf{d}_{j,k}$ of $B_{j,k}$. If any one of the bisectors in \mathcal{B} is a hyperplane, it is identical to H_T . For each pair of bisectors in \mathcal{B} , their intersection equals the intersection of H_T with either bisector in the pair.

The next property shows how to compute the intersection point \mathbf{d}_T under the assumptions of Theorem A.1.

Property 28. *Given a set $T = \{\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_l\}$ of affinely independent points from P , so that $r_j \geq r_k \geq r_l$, with $r_j > r_l$, and the hyperplane $H_T = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_T \mathbf{d}_T\}$, containing the intersections of $B_{j,k}, B_{j,l}$, and $B_{k,l}$, then*

$$\mathbf{d}_T = \mathbf{d}_{jl} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{jk}(\mathbf{d}_{jk} - \mathbf{d}_{jl})}{\mathbf{v}_{jk} \mathbf{u}_T} \mathbf{u}_T, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{u}_T = \frac{\mathbf{h}_T - (\mathbf{v}_{jl} \mathbf{h}_T) \mathbf{v}_{jl}}{\|\mathbf{h}_T - (\mathbf{v}_{jl} \mathbf{h}_T) \mathbf{v}_{jl}\|}$$

is a unit vector in $\text{aff}(T)$ that is orthogonal to \mathbf{v}_{jk} .

The following development applies the above results to problem $M(P)$ for the case where at least two points in the active set S have unequal radii. Order the points in S by non-increasing radii, so that $S = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$, with $r_{i_1} \geq \dots \geq r_{i_s}$. By the assumption of unequal radii, $r_{i_1} > r_{i_s}$, so that B_{i_1, i_s} is a hyperboloid.

In this case, determining the search path requires computing the parameters and vectors of a two-dimensional conic section that is a subset of $B_S = \cap_{\{\mathbf{p}_{i_j}, \mathbf{p}_{i_k}\} \subset S} B_{i_j, i_k}$, the intersection of all bisectors of pairs of points in S . The following property gives an equivalent expression for B_S , as the intersection of the $s-1$ bisectors B_{i_1, i_j} for $j = 2, \dots, s$.

Property 29. $B_S = \cap_{j=2}^s B_{i_1, i_j}$.

The next property gives an equivalent expression for B_S as the intersection of the hyperboloid B_{i_1, i_s} with a sequence of $s-2$ hyperplanes H_j for $j = 2, \dots, s-1$.

Property 30. $B_S = B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{s-1} H_j$, where $H_j = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{h}_j \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_j \mathbf{d}_j\}$ and is constructed from each triple of points $T_j = \{\mathbf{p}_{i_1}, \mathbf{p}_{i_j}, \mathbf{p}_{i_s}\}$, for $j = 2, \dots, s-1$, as specified in Theorem A.1 and Property A.4.

The vectors and parameters of $B_S = B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{s-1} H_j$ are computed sequentially. Initially, the vectors and parameters of B_{i_1, i_s} are computed and designated as: $\mathbf{v}_1 := \mathbf{v}_{i_1, i_s}$, $\mathbf{c}_1 := \mathbf{c}_{i_1, i_s}$, $\mathbf{d}_1 := \mathbf{d}_{i_1, i_s}$, $\mathbf{a}_1 := \mathbf{a}_{i_1, i_s}$, $\epsilon_1 := \epsilon_{i_1, i_s}$, $a_1 := a_{i_1, i_s}$, $b_1 := b_{i_1, i_s}$, $c_1 := c_{i_1, i_s}$. Also, define $\mathbf{h}\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{0}$.

Then for $k = 2, \dots, s-1$, given the vectors and parameters \mathbf{v}_{k-1} , \mathbf{c}_{k-1} , \mathbf{d}_{k-1} , \mathbf{a}_{k-1} , ϵ_{k-1} , a_{k-1} , b_{k-1} , c_{k-1} , of $B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j$, the expressions (A.69) through (A.82) compute the vectors \mathbf{v}_k , \mathbf{c}_k , \mathbf{d}_k , \mathbf{a}_k , and parameters ϵ_k , a_k , b_k , c_k , of $B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j \cap H_k$. For the iterations $k = 3, \dots, s-1$, H_k must be projected onto $\cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j$.

$$\text{If } r_{i_1} > r_{i_k} \quad \mathbf{h}_k = (\epsilon_{i_1, i_k} \mathbf{v}_{i_1, i_k} - \epsilon_{i_1, i_s} \mathbf{v}_{i_1, i_s}) / \|\epsilon_{i_1, i_k} \mathbf{v}_{i_1, i_k} - \epsilon_{i_1, i_s} \mathbf{v}_{i_1, i_s}\| \quad (\text{A.69})$$

$$\text{If } r_{i_1} = r_{i_k} \quad \mathbf{h}_k = (\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}) / \|\mathbf{p}_{i_1} - \mathbf{p}_{i_k}\| \quad (\text{A.70})$$

$$\mathbf{hp}_k = (\mathbf{h}_k - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{hp}_j) \mathbf{hp}_j) / \|\mathbf{h}_k - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (\mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{hp}_j) \mathbf{hp}_j\| \quad (\text{A.71})$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{k-1} = (\mathbf{hp}_k - (\mathbf{hp}_k \mathbf{v}_{k-1}) \mathbf{v}_{k-1}) / \|\mathbf{hp}_k - (\mathbf{hp}_k \mathbf{v}_{k-1}) \mathbf{v}_{k-1}\| \quad (\text{A.72})$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k = (\mathbf{v}_{k-1} - (\mathbf{v}_{k-1} \mathbf{hp}_k) \mathbf{hp}_k) / \|\mathbf{v}_{k-1} - (\mathbf{v}_{k-1} \mathbf{hp}_k) \mathbf{hp}_k\| \quad (\text{A.73})$$

$$\mathbf{d}_k = \mathbf{d}_{k-1} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{i_1, i_k} (\mathbf{d}_{i_1, i_k} - \mathbf{d}_{k-1})}{\mathbf{v}_{i_1, i_k} \mathbf{u}_{k-1}} \mathbf{u}_{k-1} \quad (\text{A.74})$$

$$\hat{h}_k = \mathbf{hp}_k (\mathbf{d}_k - \mathbf{c}_{k-1}) \quad (\text{A.75})$$

$$\rho_k = \mathbf{v}_{k-1} \mathbf{v}_k \quad (\text{A.76})$$

$$\sigma_k = \mathbf{v}_{k-1} \mathbf{hp}_k \quad (\text{A.77})$$

$$\epsilon_k = \epsilon_{k-1} \rho_k \quad (\text{A.78})$$

$$\text{If } \epsilon_k \neq 1,$$

$$\tilde{c}_k = \epsilon_{k-1}^2 \rho_k \sigma_k \hat{h}_k / (1 - \epsilon_k^2) \quad (\text{A.79})$$

$$a_k^2 = [(1 - \epsilon_{k-1}^2)(a_{k-1}^2(1 - \epsilon_k^2) - \hat{h}_k^2)] / (1 - \epsilon_k^2)^2 \quad (\text{A.80})$$

$$\mathbf{c}_k = \mathbf{c}_{k-1} + \hat{h}_k \mathbf{hp}_k + \tilde{c}_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (\text{A.81})$$

$$\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{c}_k + a_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (\text{A.82})$$

$$\text{If } \epsilon_k > 1, \quad b_k^2 = -a_k^2(1 - \epsilon_k^2) = c_k^2 - a_k^2 \quad (\text{A.83})$$

$$\text{If } \epsilon_k < 1, \quad b_k^2 = a_k^2(1 - \epsilon_k^2) = a_k^2 - c_k^2 \quad (\text{A.84})$$

$$\text{If } \epsilon_k = 1,$$

$$\tilde{c}_k = \epsilon_{k-1} \sigma_k \hat{h}_k / 2 \quad (\text{A.85})$$

$$\hat{c}_k = [(1 - \epsilon_{k-1}^2 \sigma_k^2) \hat{h}_k^2 + b_{k-1}^2] / (4 \tilde{c}_k) \quad (\text{A.86})$$

$$\mathbf{c}_k = \mathbf{c}_{k-1} + \hat{h}_k \mathbf{hp}_k + \hat{c}_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (\text{A.87})$$

From expression (A.71), $\mathbf{hp}_2 = \mathbf{h}_2$, and for each $k > 2$, \mathbf{hp}_k is the orthogonal complement of the projection of \mathbf{h}_k onto the intersection of the hyperplanes $H_2 \cap \dots \cap H_{k-1}$. Furthermore, the vectors \mathbf{hp}_k are mutually orthogonal. Given \mathbf{hp}_k , expression (A.72) computes the vector \mathbf{u}_{k-1} to be orthogonal to \mathbf{v}_{k-1} , and in the plane determined by \mathbf{hp}_k and \mathbf{v}_{k-1} . Expression (A.73) computes the principal axis vector \mathbf{v}_k for $B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j \cap H_k$. Expression (A.74) computes the point \mathbf{d}_k which lies on the principal axis vector \mathbf{v}_k through the center of $B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j \cap H_k$. Expression (A.75) computes the distance \hat{h} from the center \mathbf{c}_{k-1} of $B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j$ to the point \mathbf{d}_k on the major axis of $B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j \cap H_k$.

If $\epsilon_{k-1} \rho_k \neq 1$, then the intersection is either a hyperboloid or an ellipsoid, and expression (A.81) computes the center \mathbf{c}_k and expression (A.82) computes the vertex (or vertices) \mathbf{a}_k based on Corollary A.1.

If $\epsilon_{k-1} \rho_k = 1$, then the intersection is a paraboloid, and expression (A.87) computes the center \mathbf{c}_k (which is also the vertex) based on (A.85) and (A.86) from Corollary A.1.

Thus B_S is determined by intersecting the hyperboloid B_{i_1, i_s} with $s - 2$ hyperplanes, resulting in the conic section of dimension $n - s + 2$, with center \mathbf{c}_S , axis vector \mathbf{v}_S , vertex \mathbf{a}_S , eccentricity ϵ_S , and parameters a_S and b_S .

Although B_{i_1, i_s} is a hyperboloid, the conic section B_S may be a hyperboloid or an ellipsoid. At each intersection of $B_{i_1, i_s} \cap_{j=2}^{k-1} H_j$ with H_k for $k = 2, \dots, s-1$, the eccentricity ϵ_k is reduced, so that for some k , it may be that $\epsilon_k < 1$, in which case B_S is an ellipsoid.

Given the conic section B_S , the following property shows that for any vector \mathbf{u}_S orthogonal to \mathbf{v}_S , there is a two-dimensional conic section in $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$, with the same parameters and vectors as B_S .

Property 31. *Given the conic section B_S , let \mathbf{u}_S be any unit vector orthogonal to the axis vector \mathbf{v}_S . If B_S is a hyperboloid, then $\{\mathbf{y}(\alpha) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \sec(\alpha)\mathbf{v}_S + b_S \tan(\alpha)\mathbf{u}_S, -\pi/2 < \alpha < \pi/2\}$, with $b_S^2 = \sqrt{c_S^2 - a_S^2}$, is one sheet of a two-dimensional hyperbola in the affine plane $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$, with the same vectors and parameters as B_S . If B_S is an ellipsoid, then $\{\mathbf{y}(\alpha) = \mathbf{c}_S + a_S \cos(\alpha)\mathbf{v}_S + b_S \sin(\alpha)\mathbf{u}_S, -\pi/2 < \alpha < \pi/2\}$, with $b_S^2 = \sqrt{a_S^2 - c_S^2}$, is a two-dimensional ellipse in the affine plane $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$, with the same vectors and parameters as B_S . If B_S is a paraboloid, then $\{\mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{c} + c\alpha^2\mathbf{v}_S + 2c\alpha\mathbf{u}_S, -\infty < \alpha < \infty\}$, is a two-dimensional parabola in the affine plane $\text{aff}(\mathbf{c}_S, \mathbf{v}_S, \mathbf{u}_S)$, with the same vectors and parameters as B_S .*

References

- [1] Aurenhammer, F. and Klein, R., Voronoi diagrams, *Handbook of Computational Geometry*, Sack and Urrutia, ed., 201-290, North Holland (2000).
- [2] Blementhal, L. M. and G. E. Wahlin, On the spherical surface of smallest radius enclosing a bounded subset of n -dimensional euclidean space, *Bull. of the AMS* 47 771-777, (1941).
- [3] Cavaleiro, M and F. Alizadeh, A faster dual algorithm for the Euclidean minimum covering ball problem, arXive, 1706.10256v3,(2019).
- [4] Chrystal, G., On the problem to construct the minimum circle enclosing n given points in the plane, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, Vol 3, 30-33 (1885).
- [5] Dearing, P. M., Intersections of hyperplanes with conic sections in \mathbb{R}^n , ArXive, 1702.03205, (2017)
- [6] Dearing, P. M., and C. R. Zeck, A Dual Algorithm for the minimum covering ball problem in \mathbb{R}^n , *Operations Research Letters*, Vol. 37, 171-175 (2009).
- [7] Dyer, M. E., On a multidimensional search technique and its application to the Euclidean one-center problem, *SIAM J. Comput.* 15 725-738, (1986)
- [8] Dyer, M. E., A class of convex programs with applications to computational geometry, *Proceedings 8th Annual ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, 9-15 (1992).
- [9] Elzinga, J., and Hearn, D. W., Geometrical solutions for some minimax location problems, *Transportation Science*, Vol. 6, 379-394 (1972).
- [10] Elzinga, J., and Hearn, D. W., The minimum covering sphere problem, *Management Science*, Vol. 19, 96-104 (1972).
- [11] Fischer, K., Gärtner, B., and Kutz, M., Fast smallest-enclosing-ball computation in high dimensions, *Proceedings of the 11th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA)*, *Lecture Notes Computer Science*, Vol 2832, Springer-Verlag, 630-641 (2003).
- [12] Gill, P. E., W. Murray, and M. H. Wright, *Numerical linear algebra and optimization*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA (1991).
- [13] Hopp, T. H., Reeve, C. P., An algorithm for computing the minimum covering sphere in any dimension, Technical Report NISTIR 5831, National Institute of Standards and Technology (1996).
- [14] Megiddo, N., Linear-time algorithms for linear programming in \mathbb{R}^3 and related problems, *Siam J. Comput.*, Vol 12, 759-776 (1983).
- [15] Megiddo N., The weighted euclidean 1-center problem, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, Vol. 8, 498-504 (1983).
- [16] Plastria, F., Continuous Covering Location Problems, *Facility Location: Application and Theory*, (edited by Drezner and Hamacher), Springer-Verlag, New York, 37-79 (2002).

- [17] Rademacher, H. and Toeplitz, O., *The Enjoyment of Mathematics*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1957).
- [18] Sylvester, J. J., A question in the geometry of situation, *Quart.J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 1, 79 (1857).
- [19] Sylvester, J. J., On Poncelet's approximation linear valuation of surd forms, *Philosophical Magazine*, (Fourth Series Vol. XX), 203-222 (1860).
- [20] Xu, Sheng, R. M. Freund, J. Sun, Solution methodologies for the smallest enclosing circle problem, *Computational Optimization and Applications* 25, 283-292 (2003)
- [21] Zhou, G., Toh, K. and Sun, J., Efficient algorithms for the smallest enclosing ball problem, *Computational Optimization and Applications*, Vol 30, 147-160 (2005).