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#### Abstract

We prove that the moduli space of $n$-pointed stable maps $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space whenever the moduli space $\bar{M}_{0, n+3}$ of $(n+3)$-pointed rational curves is. We also show that $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a $\log$ Fano variety for $n \leq 5$.


## 1. Introduction

Given a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety $X$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of any characteristic, $X$ is a Mori dream space if $X$ has the following properties (see for instance [3]): (1) The Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ of $X$ is finitely generated, and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathrm{N}_{1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} ;(2)$ the cone of nef divisors $\operatorname{Nef}(X)$ is generated by a finite number of semi-ample divisors; and (3) there are finitely many small, $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modifications $f_{i}: X \rightarrow X_{i}$ of $X$ such that each $X_{i}$ has properties (1) and (2) and the moving cone of $X$ is the union of the pullbacks of the nef cones of the $X_{i}$. In other words, if $X$ is a Mori dream space, then one would be able, at least in principle, to explicitly describe the birational models $X_{i}$ of $X$, which are isomorphic to $X$ in codimension one, and to use them to describe the nef cone of $X$. It would also follow that the effective cone of $X$ is polyhedral. The nef and effective cones of divisors are crucial in understanding the birational geometry of a variety. In particular, for moduli spaces of curves an understanding of how these cones relate to each other was a crucial ingredient in the proof that the moduli space of stable curves $\bar{M}_{g}$ is of general type for $g=22$ and $g \geq 24$ (see for instance [6]). Even for $g=0$ the moduli space $\bar{M}_{0, n}$, parameterizing stable rational curves with $n$ ordered marked points and not too far from being a toric variety, presents a surprisingly rich birational geometry. The partial results obtained in two decades of intensive investigation range from the positive side (for instance, $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ is a Mori dream space for $n \leq 6$, see [12] and [2]) to the negative one (as the breakthrough in [4] that $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ is not a Mori dream space for $n \geq 134$, later improved to $n \geq 13$ in [9] and then to $n \geq 10$ in [11]).

[^0]Here we address the same question for a different but closely related moduli space. As it is well-known (see for instance [13], Remark 1.4), the Kontsevich moduli space $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ parameterizing $n$-pointed stable maps to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of genus 0 and degree 1 is isomorphic to the FultonMacPherson compactification $\mathbb{P}^{1}[n]$ of the configuration space of $n$ distinct ordered points in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. The natural projection $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right) \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n}$ implies by [14] that if $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space then $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ is a Mori dream space too. In particular, it follows that $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is not a Mori dream space for $n \geq 10$.

In Section 2 we establish a converse statement: if $\bar{M}_{0, n+3}$ is a Mori dream space then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space too (see Proposition (1). In order to do so, we introduce a natural birational map $\bar{M}_{0, n+3} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ which is surjective in codimension one and we apply [15]. In particular, from the known results for $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ we recover the fact that $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space for $n \leq 3$, which is already well understood: indeed, $\mathbb{P}^{1}[1] \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}[2] \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{1}[3]$ appears in the list of smooth Fano threefolds (for instance [13], p. 108), so it is a Mori dream space by [1], Corollary 1.3.2.

To go further we need to implement a different strategy. After rephrasing in Section 3 the characterization of ample divisors on $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ provided by [5], in Section 4 we check that $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a $\log$ Fano variety for $n \leq 5$ but not for $n=6$. We conclude that $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space for $n \leq 5$ (see Corollary (1) and we point out that new ideas are required to address the remaining open cases $6 \leq n \leq 9$ (see Remark (1).

We work over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.
We are grateful to the anonymous referee for detailed suggestions in order to improve the above Introduction.

## 2.

First we recall the definition and the basic properties of both $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ and $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ following $[7$.

The moduli space $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ parameterizes isomorphism classes of stable curves of genus 0 with $n$ ordered marked points:

$$
\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)
$$

For every subset $S \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $2 \leq|S| \leq n-2$ the boundary component $\Delta_{S}$ is the closure in $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ of the locus of stable curves

$$
\left(C_{1}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in S}\right) \cup\left(C_{2}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in S^{c}}\right) .
$$

The moduli space $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ parameterizes isomorphism classes of stable maps of degree 1 from curves of genus 0 with $n$ ordered marked points to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ :

$$
\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)
$$

For every subset $S \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $2 \leq|S| \leq n$ the boundary component $B_{S}$ is the closure in $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ of the locus of stable maps:

$$
\left(C_{1}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in S}, \mathrm{pt}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cup\left(C_{2}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in S^{c}}, \text { id }: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)
$$

collapsing the first component to the point $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ and mapping the second component identically to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Both $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ and $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ are smooth projective varieties and in both cases the union of the boundary components is a normal crossing divisors (see for instance [10], Theorem 2.3).

Proposition 1. If $\bar{M}_{0, n+3}$ is a Mori dream space then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space.

Proof. By [15], Proposition 1.3 and Remark 2.2, the claim follows if there is a birational map $\bar{M}_{0, n+3} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ which is surjective in codimension one.
Let

$$
U_{0}:=\left\{\left(C_{0} \cup \ldots \cup C_{k}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n+3}\right) \in \bar{M}_{0, n+3}: p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, p_{n+3} \in C_{0}\right\}
$$

and notice that $U_{0}$ is dense in $\bar{M}_{0, n+3}$ since it contains the open part $M_{0, n+3} \subset \bar{M}_{0, n+3}$ parameterizing smooth rational curves.

Consider the natural rational map:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Phi: U_{0} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right) \\
\left(C_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in S_{0}}, p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, p_{n+3}\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k}\left(C_{j}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in S_{j}}\right) \\
\mapsto\left(C_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(\pi\left(p_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in S_{0}}, \mathrm{id}\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k}\left(C_{j}=\mathbb{P}^{1},\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in S_{j}}, \mathrm{pt}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $k \geq 0$ (for $k=0$ we adopt the standard convention $\bigcup_{j=1}^{0} \ldots=\emptyset$ ), $S_{0} \cup \ldots \cup S_{k}=\{1, \ldots, n\}, \pi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $\pi\left(p_{n+1}\right)=0, \pi\left(p_{n+2}\right)=1, \pi\left(p_{n+3}\right)=\infty$, id : $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is the identity on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{pt}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ collapses $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ to a point.

By definition, $\Phi$ is injective, it is surjective onto the open part $M_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right) \subset \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ parameterizing stable maps with smooth domain $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and for every subset $S \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $2 \leq|S| \leq n$ the image $\Phi\left(\Delta_{S} \cap U_{0}\right)$ is dense in $B_{S}$, so that every boundary component of $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is dominated by $\Phi$. It follows that $\Phi$ induces a birational $\operatorname{map} \bar{M}_{0, n+3} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ which is surjective in codimension one.
3.

According to [5], the ample cone of $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ can be described in terms of natural maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha: & \bar{M}_{0, n+1} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right) \\
\beta_{i}: & \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

defined in [5], 2.1 and 2.2. Indeed, by [5], Theorem 2.3, a divisor $H$ on $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is ample if and only if $\alpha^{*} H$ is ample on $\bar{M}_{0, n+1}$ and $\beta_{i}^{*} H$ is ample on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

In addition to the divisors $\Delta_{S} \subset \bar{M}_{0, n}$ and $B_{S} \subset \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$ we introduce also the classes

$$
\psi_{i}:=c_{1}\left(T_{i}^{*}\right),
$$

where $T_{i}^{*}$ is the line bundle on $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ whose fiber over $\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is the cotangent space $\left(T_{p_{i}} C\right)^{*}$, and

$$
L_{i}:=\left\{\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \in \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right): f\left(p_{i}\right)=0\right\}
$$

The pullback of the classes $B_{S}$ and $L_{i}$ under the maps $\alpha$ and $\beta_{i}$ is computed in [5], Proposition 2.5 (see also [5], Table 1), in terms of the classes $\Delta_{S}$ and $\psi_{i}$, namely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{*} B_{S} & =\Delta_{S} \text { if }|S| \leq n-1 \\
\alpha^{*} B_{S} & =-\psi_{n+1} \text { if }|S|=n \\
\alpha^{*} L_{i} & =0 \text { for every } i=1, \ldots, n \\
\beta_{i}^{*} B_{S} & =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \text { for } S=\{1, \ldots, n\} \text { and } S=\{i\}^{c} \\
\beta_{i}^{*} B_{S} & =0 \text { otherwise } \\
\beta_{i}^{*} L_{i} & =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \\
\beta_{j}^{*} L_{i} & =0 \text { for every } j \neq i
\end{aligned}
$$

The canonical class of $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is

$$
K_{n}=-2 L+\sum_{s=3}^{n}(s-2) B[s]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & :=\sum_{i=1}^{s} L_{i} \\
B[s] & :=\sum_{|S|=s} B_{S}, \quad 2 \leq s \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

(see [10], p. 596). Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{*} K_{n} & =-(n-2) \psi_{n+1}+\sum_{s=3}^{n-1}(s-2) \sum_{\substack{|S|=s \\
n+\mid \nmid S}} \Delta_{S} \\
\beta_{i}^{*} K_{n} & =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-(n-2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-(n-3)) \\
& =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-(2 n-3))
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Fulton's conjecture (see [8], Conjecture 0.2), a divisor on $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ is ample if and only if it has positive intersection with all onedimensional strata, parameterizing $n$-pointed rational curves with at least $n-4$ singular points. More explicitly, let

$$
H=\sum_{|S| \geq 1} c_{S} \Delta_{S}
$$

where we adopt the convention $\Delta_{\{i\}}:=-\psi_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. By [8], Theorem 2.1, the divisor $H$ has positive intersection with all one-dimensional strata if and only if

$$
c_{I \cup J}+c_{I \cup K}+c_{I \cup L}-c_{I}-c_{J}-c_{K}-c_{L}>0
$$

for every partition $I \cup J \cup K \cup L$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
By [12], Theorem 1.2(3), Fulton's conjecture holds for $n \leq 7$.

## 4.

Finally we are going to check that $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a $\log$ Fano variety (hence a Mori dream space) for $n \leq 5$ but not for $n=6$.

Lemma 1. On $\bar{M}_{0,4}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ the divisor $K_{4}+B[4]$ is anti-ample, hence $\bar{M}_{0,4}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is log Fano.

Proof. By [5], Proposition 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{*}\left(K_{4}+B[4]\right) & =-3 \psi_{5}+\sum_{\substack{|S|=3 \\
5 \notin S}} \Delta_{S} \\
\beta_{i}^{*}\left(K_{4}+B[4]\right) & =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-3) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \\
& =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-6) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\beta_{i}^{*}\left(K_{4}+B[4]\right)$ is anti-ample on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$; on the other hand, in order to check that $\alpha^{*}\left(K_{4}+B[4]\right)$ is anti-ample on $\bar{M}_{0,5}$, by [8], Theorem 2.1, we have to consider the following partitions $I \cup J \cup K \cup L$ of $\{1, \ldots, 5\}=\{a, b, c, d, 5\}$ :

- $\{a\} \cup\{b\} \cup\{c\} \cup\{d, 5\}$
- $\{a\} \cup\{b\} \cup\{5\} \cup\{c, d\}$.

If

$$
\alpha^{*}\left(K_{4}+B[4]\right)=\sum_{|S| \geq 1} c_{S} \Delta_{S}
$$

then

$$
c_{I \cup J}+c_{I \cup K}+c_{I \cup L}-c_{I}-c_{J}-c_{K}-c_{L}=-1
$$

in both cases listed above, hence $\alpha^{*}\left(K_{4}+B[4]\right)$ is anti-ample by [12], Theorem 1.2(3), and $K_{4}+B[4]$ is anti-ample by [5], Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2. Let $D=\frac{1}{4} B[2]+\frac{1}{4} B[4]+B[5]$ on $\bar{M}_{0,5}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$. The divisor $K_{5}+D$ is anti-ample, hence $\frac{{ }_{M}^{M}}{0,5}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is log Fano.
Proof. By [5], Proposition 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{*}\left(K_{5}+D\right) & =-4 \psi_{6}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{|S|=2 \\
6 \notin S}} \Delta_{S}+\sum_{\substack{|S|=3 \\
6 \notin S}} \Delta_{S}+\left(2+\frac{1}{4}\right) \sum_{\substack{|S|=4 \\
6 \notin S}} \Delta_{S} \\
\beta_{i}^{*}\left(K_{5}+D\right) & =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(-2-\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(-8-\frac{1}{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\beta_{i}^{*}\left(K_{5}+D\right)$ is anti-ample on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$; on the other hand, in order to check that $\alpha^{*}\left(K_{5}+D\right)$ is anti-ample on $\bar{M}_{0,6}$, by [8], Theorem 2.1, we have to consider the following partitions $I \cup J \cup K \cup L$ of $\{1, \ldots, 6\}=\{a, b, c, d, e, 6\}:$

- $\{a\} \cup\{b\} \cup\{c\} \cup\{d, e, 6\}$
- $\{a\} \cup\{b\} \cup\{6\} \cup\{c, d, e\}$
- $\{a\} \cup\{b\} \cup\{c, d\} \cup\{e, 6\}$
- $\{a\} \cup\{6\} \cup\{b, c\} \cup\{d, e\}$.

If

$$
\alpha^{*}\left(K_{5}+D\right)=\sum_{|S| \geq 1} c_{S} \Delta_{S}
$$

then

$$
c_{I \cup J}+c_{I \cup K}+c_{I \cup L}-c_{I}-c_{J}-c_{K}-c_{L}=-\frac{1}{4}
$$

in all cases listed above, hence $\alpha^{*}\left(K_{5}+D\right)$ is anti-ample by [12], Theorem 1.2(3), and $K_{5}+D$ is anti-ample by [5],Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 3. Let $D=a_{2} B[2]+a_{3} B[3]+a_{4} B[4]+a_{5} B[5]+a_{6} B[6]$ on $\bar{M}_{0,6}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ with $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $a_{4} \geq 0$ and $a_{6} \leq 1$ then $K_{6}+D$ is not anti-ample.

Proof. By 5], Proposition 2.5, we have

$$
\alpha^{*}\left(K_{6}+D\right)=\sum_{|S| \geq 1} c_{S} \Delta_{S}
$$

with

$$
c_{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4+a_{6} \text { if } S=\{7\} \\
0 \text { if }|S|=1, S \neq\{7\} \\
3+a_{5} \text { if }|S|=2,7 \in S \\
a_{2} \text { if }|S|=2,7 \notin S \\
2+a_{4} \text { if }|S|=3,7 \in S \\
1+a_{3} \text { if }|S|=3,7 \notin S
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the following partitions of $\{1, \ldots, 7\}=\{a, b, c, d, e, f, 7\}$ :
(i) $\{a\} \cup\{b\} \cup\{c\} \cup\{d, e, f, 7\}$
(ii) $\{a\} \cup\{b\} \cup\{c, d\} \cup\{e, f, 7\}$
(iii) $\{7\} \cup\{a, b\} \cup\{c, d\} \cup\{e, f\}$.

According to [8], Theorem 2.1, the corresponding necessary conditions for $\alpha^{*}\left(K_{6}+D\right)$ to be anti-ample are:
(i) $3 a_{2}-a_{3}-1<0$
(ii) $2 a_{3}-a_{4}<0$
(iii) $3 a_{4}-3 a_{2}-a_{6}+2<0$.

Hence we deduce:
(ii) $a_{3}<\frac{a_{4}}{2}$
(i) $a_{2}<\frac{1}{3}+\frac{a_{3}}{3}<\frac{1}{3}+\frac{a_{4}}{6}$
(iii) $a_{2}>\frac{2-a_{6}}{3}+a_{4}$
which is impossible if $a_{4} \geq 0$ and $a_{6} \leq 1$.

Corollary 1. If $n \leq 5$ then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space.
Proof. If $n \leq 3$ we exploit the isomorphism $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}[n]$, where $\mathbb{P}^{1}[n]$ denotes the Fulton-MacPherson compactification (see [13], Remark 1.4) and the fact that $\mathbb{P}^{1}[n]$ is Fano for $n \leq 3$ (see [13], p. 108). If $n=4,5$ then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is $\log$ Fano by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. Hence $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space for $n \leq 5$ by [1], Corollary 1.3.2.

Remark 1. By Lemma 3, there is no hope to deduce from [1], Corollary 1.3.2, that $\bar{M}_{0,6}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, 1\right)$ is a Mori dream space.
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