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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to establish a very general CameronStorvick theorem involving the generalized analytic Feynman integral of functionals on the product function space $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. The function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ can be induced by the generalized Brownian motion process associated with continuous functions $a$ and $b$. To do this we first introduce the class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ of functionals on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ which is a generalization of the Kallianpur and Bromley Fresnel class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}$. We then proceed to establish a CameronStorvick type theorem on the product function space $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. Finally we use our Cameron-Storvick type theorem to obtain several meaningful results and examples.


## 1. Introduction

Let $C_{0}[0, T]$ denote one-parameter Wiener space, that is, the space of all realvalued continuous functions $x$ on $[0, T]$ with $x(0)=0$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the class of all Wiener measurable subsets of $C_{0}[0, T]$ and let $m_{w}$ denote Wiener measure. Then, as is well-known, $\left(C_{0}[0, T], \mathcal{M}, m_{w}\right)$ is a complete measure space.

In [1] Cameron established an integration by parts formula for the Wiener measure $m_{w}$. More precisely, in [1], Cameron introduced the first variation (a kind of Gâteaux derivative) of functionals on the classical Wiener space $C_{0}[0, T]$ and established a formula involving the Wiener integral of the first variation. This was the first infinite dimensional integration by parts formula. In [15] Donsker also established this formula using a different method, and applied it to study FréchetVolterra differential equations. In [22, 23] Kuo and Lee established an integration by parts formula for abstract Wiener space which they then used to evaluate various functional integrals.

In [2], Cameron and Storvick also established an integration by parts formula involving the analytic Feynman integral of functionals on $C_{0}[0, T]$. They also applied their celebrated parts formula to establish the existence of the analytic Feynman integral of unbounded functionals on $C_{0}[0, T]$. The integration by parts formula on $C_{0}[0, T]$ suggested in [2] was improved in [24] to study the parts formulas involving the analytic Feynman integral and the analytic Fourier-Feynman transform. Since then the parts formula for the analytic Feynman integral is called the CameronStorvick theorem by many mathematicians.

The Cameron-Storvick type theorem and related topics were also developed for functionals on the very general function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ in [6, 11]. The function

[^0]space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$, induced by generalized Brownian motion process (GBMP), was introduced by J. Yeh [27, 28].

A GBMP on a probability space $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ and a time interval $[0, T]$ is a Gaussian process $Y \equiv\left\{Y_{t}\right\}_{t \in[0, T]}$ such that $Y_{0}=c$ almost surely for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and for any set of time moments $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n} \leq T$ and any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the measure $P\left(I_{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, B}\right)$ of the cylinder set $I_{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, B}$ of the form

$$
I_{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, B}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega:\left(Y\left(t_{1}, \omega\right), \ldots, Y\left(t_{n}, \omega\right)\right) \in B\right\}
$$

is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left((2 \pi)^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(b\left(t_{j}\right)-b\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times \int_{B} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\left(\eta_{j}-a\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-\left(\eta_{j-1}-a\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right)\right)^{2}}{b\left(t_{j}\right)-b\left(t_{j-1}\right)}\right\} d \eta_{1} \cdots d \eta_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{0}=c, a(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function on $[0, T]$, and $b(t)$ is a increasing continuous real-valued function on $[0, T]$. Thus, the GBMP $Y$ is determined by the continuous functions $a(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$. For more details, see [27, 28]. Note that when $c=0, a(t) \equiv 0$ and $b(t)=t$ on $[0, T]$, the GBMP is the standard Brownian motion (Wiener process). In this paper we set $c=a(0)=b(0)=0$. Then the function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ induced by the GBMP $Y$ determined by the $a(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ can be considered as the space of continuous sample paths of $Y$.

In this paper, we establish a general Cameron-Storvick theorem involving the generalized analytic Feynman integral of functionals on the product function space $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. We also present a meaningful example to which our Cameron-Storvick type theorem can be applied. To do this, we introduce the class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ of functionals on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ which is a generalization of the Kallianpur and Bromley Fresnel class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}$, see [21].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we first give a brief background of some ideas and results which are needed to establish our new results in Sections 3, 4, and 5 below.

Let $a(t)$ be an absolutely continuous real-valued function on $[0, T]$ with $a(0)=0$ and $a^{\prime}(t) \in L^{2}[0, T]$, and let $b(t)$ be a strictly increasing, continuously differentiable real-valued function with $b(0)=0$ and $b^{\prime}(t)>0$ for each $t \in[0, T]$. The generalized Brownian motion process $Y$ determined by $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ is a Gaussian process with mean function $a(t)$ and covariance function $r(s, t)=\min \{b(s), b(t)\}$.

We consider the function space $\left(C_{a, b}[0, T], \mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}[0, T]\right), \mu\right)$ induced by the generalized Brownian motion process $Y$, where $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ denotes the set of continuous sample paths of the generalized Brownian motion process $Y$ and $\mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}[0, T]\right)$ is the $\sigma$-field of all $\mu$-Carathéodory measurable subsets of $C_{a, b}[0, T]$. For the precise procedure used to construct this function space, we refer to the references [4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 27, 28].

We note that the coordinate process defined by $e_{t}(x)=x(t)$ on $C_{a, b}[0, T] \times[0, T]$ is also the generalized Brownian motion process determined by $a(t)$ and $b(t)$, i.e., for each $t \in[0, T], e_{t}(x) \sim N(a(t), b(t))$, and the process $\left\{e_{t}: 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$ has nonstationary and independent increments.

Recall that the process $\left\{e_{t}: 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$ on $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ is a continuous process. Thus the function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ reduces to the classical Wiener space $C_{0}[0, T]$, considered in papers [1, 2, 18, 20, 24] if and only if $a(t) \equiv 0$ and $b(t)=t$ for all $t \in[0, T]$.

In [6, 9, 11, the generalized analytic Feynman integral and the generalized analytic Fourier-Feynman transform of functionals on $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ were investigated. The functionals considered in [6, 19, 11] are associated with the separable Hilbert space

$$
L_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]=\left\{v: \int_{0}^{T} v^{2}(s) d b(s)<+\infty \text { and } \int_{0}^{T} v^{2}(s) d|a|(s)<+\infty\right\}
$$

where $|a|(\cdot)$ denotes the total variation function of $a(\cdot)$. The inner product on $L_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ is given by the formula

$$
(u, v)_{a, b}=\int_{0}^{T} u(s) v(s) d m_{|a|, b}(s) \equiv \int_{0}^{T} u(s) v(s) d[b(s)+|a|(s)]
$$

where $m_{|a|, b}$ is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure induced by the increasing function $|a|(\cdot)+b(\cdot)$ on $[0, T]$. We note that $\|u\|_{a, b} \equiv \sqrt{(u, u)_{a, b}}=0$ if and only if $u(t)=0$ a.e. on $[0, T]$.

The following linear subspace of $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ plays an important role throughout this paper.

Let

$$
C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]=\left\{w \in C_{a, b}[0, T]: w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} z(s) d b(s) \text { for some } z \in L_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]\right\}
$$

For $w \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$, with $w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} z(s) d b(s)$ for $t \in[0, T]$, let $D: C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T] \rightarrow$ $L_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ be defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
D w(t)=z(t)=\frac{w^{\prime}(t)}{b^{\prime}(t)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $C_{a, b}^{\prime} \equiv C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ with inner product

$$
\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=\int_{0}^{T} D w_{1}(s) D w_{2}(s) d b(s)=\int_{0}^{T} z_{1}(s) z_{2}(s) d b(s)
$$

is a separable Hilbert space.
Note that the two separable Hilbert spaces $L_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ and $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ are (topologically) homeomorphic under the linear operator given by equation (2.1). The inverse operator of $D$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{-1} z\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} z(s) d b(s), \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a more detailed study of the inverse operator $D^{-1}$ of $D$, see [8].
Recall that above, as well as in papers [6, 9, 11], we require that $a:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous function with $a(0)=0$ and with $\int_{0}^{T}\left|a^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t<\infty$. Our conditions on $b:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ imply that $0<\delta<b^{\prime}(t)<M$ for some positive real numbers $\delta$ and $M$, and all $t \in[0, T]$. In this paper, in addition to the conditions put on $a(t)$ above, we now add the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|a^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d|a|(t)<+\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can see that the function $a:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition (2.3) if and only if $a(\cdot)$ is an element of $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. Under the condition (2.3), we also observe that for each $w \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ with $D w=z$,

$$
(w, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=\int_{0}^{T} D w(t) D a(t) d b(t)=\int_{0}^{T} z(t) a^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T} z(t) d a(t)
$$

For each $w \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$, the Paley-Wiener-Zygmund (PWZ) stochastic integral $(w, x)^{\sim}$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(w, x)^{\sim}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(w, g_{j}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} D g_{j}(t) d x(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in C_{a, b}[0, T]$ where $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal set of functions in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}, D g_{j}$ is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$. For a more detailed study of the space $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ and the PWZ stochastic integral given by (2.4), see [5, 10].

In 25, Pierce and Skoug used the the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{a, b}$ on $L_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ rather than the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}$ on $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ to study the PWZ stochastic integral and the related integration formula on the function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$.

The generalized analytic Feynman integral on the function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ was first defined and studied in [6, 11], and the study of this integral has continued in [4, 5, 9, 12. We assume familiarity with [5, 6, 9, 11, 12] and adopt the concepts and the definitions of the generalized analytic Feynman integral on $C_{a, b}[0, T]$.

Based on the references [19, 20, 21, we present several concepts which involve the scale-invariant measurability to define a generalized analytic Feynman integral of functionals on the product space $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$.

Let $\left(C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T], \mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]\right), \mu^{2}\right)$ be the product function space, where $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]=C_{a, b}[0, T] \times C_{a, b}[0, T], \mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]\right) \equiv \mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}[0, T]\right) \otimes \mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}[0, T]\right)$ denotes the $\sigma$-field generated by measurable rectangles $A \times B$ with $A, B \in \mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}[0, T]\right)$, and $\mu^{2} \equiv \mu \times \mu$. A subset $B$ of $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ is said to be scale-invariant measurable provided $\left\{\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right):\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in B\right\}$ is $\mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]\right)$-measurable for every $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$, and a scale-invariant measurable subset $N$ of $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ is said to be scale-invariant null provided $(\mu \times \mu)\left(\left\{\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right):\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in N\right\}\right)=0$ for every $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$. A property that holds except on a scale-invariant null set is said to hold s-a.e. on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. A functional $F$ on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ is said to be scaleinvariant measurable provided $F$ is defined on a scale-invariant measurable set and $F\left(\rho_{1} \cdot, \rho_{2} \cdot\right)$ is $\mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]\right)$-measurable for every $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$. If two functionals $F$ and $G$ defined on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ are equal s-a.e., then we write $F \approx G$.

We denote the product function space integral of a $\mathcal{W}\left(C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]\right)$-measurable functional $F$ by

$$
E[F] \equiv E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]=\int_{C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]} F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d(\mu \times \mu)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

whenever the integral exists.
Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}_{+}$and $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{+}$denote the complex numbers, the complex numbers with positive real part and the nonzero complex numbers with nonnegative real part, respectively. Furthermore, for each $\lambda \in \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{+}, \lambda^{1 / 2}$ denotes the principal square root of $\lambda$; i.e., $\lambda^{1 / 2}$ is always chosen to have positive real part,
so that $\lambda^{-1 / 2}=\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$. We also assume that every functional $F$ on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ we consider is s-a.e. defined and is scale-invariant measurable.

The following definition is due to Choi, Skoug and Chang [10, 13 ,
Definition. Let $\mathbb{C}_{+}^{2}=\left\{\vec{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)>0\right.$ for $\left.j=1,2\right\}$ and let $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{+}^{2}=$ $\left\{\vec{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \lambda_{j} \neq 0\right.$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \geq 0$ for $\left.j=1,2\right\}$. Let $F: C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a scale-invariant measurable functional such that the function space integral

$$
J\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\int_{C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]} F\left(\lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2} x_{1}, \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2} x_{2}\right) d(\mu \times \mu)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

exists and is finite for each $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$. If there exists a function $J^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ analytic on $\mathbb{C}_{+}^{2}$ such that $J^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=J\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ for all $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$, then $J^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ is defined to be the analytic function space integral of $F$ over $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ with parameter $\vec{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, and for $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}_{+}^{2}$ we write

$$
E^{\mathrm{an}_{\vec{\lambda}}}[F] \equiv E_{\vec{x}}^{\mathrm{an}_{\vec{\lambda}}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] \equiv E_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{\mathrm{an}_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]=J^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)
$$

Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be nonzero real numbers. Let $F$ be a functional such that $E^{\text {an }} \vec{\lambda}[F]$ exists for all $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}_{+}^{2}$. If the following limit exists, we call it the generalized analytic Feynman integral of $F$ with parameter $\vec{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ and we write

$$
E^{\operatorname{anf}_{\vec{q}}}[F] \equiv E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{\vec{q}}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] \equiv E_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]=\lim _{\substack{\vec{\lambda} \rightarrow-i \vec{q} \\ \vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}_{+}^{2}}} E^{\mathrm{an}} \vec{\lambda}[F]
$$

## 3. A Cameron-Storvick type theorem on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$

In [1], Cameron (also see [2, Theorem A]) expressed the Wiener integral of the first variation of a functional $F$ on the Wiener space $C_{0}[0, T]$ in terms of the Wiener integral of the product of $F$ by a linear functional, and in [2, Theorem 1], Cameron and Storvick obtained a similar result for the analytic Feynman integral on $C_{0}[0, T]$. In [3, Theorem 2.4], Chang, Song and Yoo also obtained a Cameron-Storvick theorem on abstract Wiener spaces. In [11], Chang and Skoug developed these results for functionals on the function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$.

In this section, we establish a Cameron-Storvick theorem for the generalized analytic Feynman integral of functionals on the product function space $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. To do this we first give the definition of the first variation of a functional $F$ on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$.

Definition. Let $F$ be a functional on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ and let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be functions in $C_{a, b}[0, T]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right) & =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\left(F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)+F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right)\right|_{h=0}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}
\end{align*}
$$

(if it exists) is called the first variation of $F$ in the direction of $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$.
Throughout this section, when working with $\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$, we will always require $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ to be functions in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$.

We first quote the translation theorem [11] for the function space integral using our notations.

Lemma 3.1 (Translation Theorem). Let $F \in L^{1}\left(C_{a, b}[0, T]\right)$ and let $w_{0} \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{C_{a, b}[0, T]} F\left(x+w_{0}\right) d \mu(x) \\
& =\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{2}-\left(w_{0}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} \int_{C_{a, b}[0, T]} F(x) \exp \left\{\left(w_{0}, x\right)^{\sim}\right\} d \mu(x) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.2 (An integration by parts formula). Let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be nonzero functions in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. Let $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ be $\mu \times \mu$-integrable over $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. Assume that $F$ has a first variation $\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ such that for some $\gamma>0$,

$$
\sup _{|h| \leq \gamma}\left|\delta F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right|
$$

is $\mu \times \mu$-integrable over $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ as a functional of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\vec{x}} & {\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right] } \\
= & E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left\{\left(g_{1}, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\left(g_{2}, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right]  \tag{3.3}\\
& -\left\{\left(g_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+\left(g_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}+\lambda g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right|_{\lambda=0}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}+\lambda g_{2}\right)\right|_{\lambda=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F\left(x_{1}+(h+\lambda) g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right|_{\lambda=0}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+(h+\lambda) g_{2}\right)\right|_{\lambda=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} F\left(x_{1}+\mu g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right|_{\mu=h}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+\mu g_{2}\right)\right|_{\mu=h} \\
& =2 \frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But since

$$
\sup _{|h| \leq \gamma}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right|
$$

is $\mu \times \mu$-integrable,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)
$$

is $\mu \times \mu$-integrable for sufficiently small values of $h$. Hence by the Fubini theorem and equation (3.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\vec{x}}\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =E_{\vec{x}}\left[\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\left(F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)+F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right)\right|_{h=0}\right] \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)+F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{h=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{h=0}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{h=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} E_{x_{2}}\left[E_{x_{1}}\left[F\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]\right]\right|_{h=0}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} E_{x_{1}}\left[E_{x_{2}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right]\right]\right|_{h=0} \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\left(\exp \left\{-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{2}-h\left(g_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times E_{x_{2}}\left[E_{x_{1}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \exp \left\{h\left(g_{1}, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right]\right]\right)\left.\right|_{h=0} \\
& \quad+\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\left(\exp \left\{-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{2}-h\left(g_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times E_{x_{1}}\left[E_{x_{2}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \exp \left\{h\left(g_{2}, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right]\right]\right)\left.\right|_{h=0} \\
& \quad=E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left\{\left(g_{1}, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\left(g_{2}, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right] \\
& \quad-\left\{\left(g_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+\left(g_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Lemma 3.3. Let $g_{1}, g_{2}$, and $F$ be as in Theorem 3.2. For each $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$, assume that $F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)$ is $\mu \times \mu$-integrable. Furthermore assume that $F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)$ has a first variation $\delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2} \mid \rho_{1} g_{1}, \rho_{2} g_{2}\right)$ for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ such that for some positive function $\gamma\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$,

$$
\sup _{|h| \leq \gamma\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left|\delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}+\rho_{1} h g_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}+\rho_{2} h g_{2} \mid \rho_{1} g_{1}, \rho_{2} g_{2}\right)\right|
$$

is $\mu \times \mu$-integrable over $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ as a functional of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\vec{x}} & {\left[\delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2} \mid \rho_{1} g_{1}, \rho_{2} g_{2}\right)\right] } \\
= & E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\left\{\left(g_{1}, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\left(g_{2}, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right]  \tag{3.4}\\
& -\left\{\left(g_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+\left(g_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Given a pair $\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ with $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$, let $R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)$. Then we have that

$$
R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}+\rho_{1} h g_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)=F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}+\rho_{2} h g_{2}\right)
$$

and that

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}+h g_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}+\rho_{1} h g_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}+\rho_{2} h g_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2} \mid \rho_{1} g_{1}, \rho_{2} g_{2}\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}+\rho_{1} h g_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}+\rho_{2} h g_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}+h w_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h} R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+h g_{2}\right)\right|_{h=0} \\
& =\delta R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by equation (3.3) with $F$ replaced with $R_{\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)}$, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
E_{\vec{x}} & {[ }
\end{array} \delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2} \mid \rho_{1} g_{1}, \rho_{2} g_{2}\right)\right] .
$$

which establishes equation (3.4).
Theorem 3.4. Let $g_{1}, g_{2}$, and $F$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Then if any two of the three generalized analytic Feynman integrals in the following equation exist, then the third one also exists, and equality holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}}\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =-i E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left\{q_{1}\left(g_{1}, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+q_{2}\left(g_{2}, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right]  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad-\left\{\left(-i q_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(g_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\left(-i q_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(g_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$ be given. Let $y_{1}=\rho_{1}^{-1} g_{1}$ and $y_{2}=\rho_{2}^{-1} g_{2}$. By equation (3.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\vec{x}} & {\left[\delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right] } \\
= & E_{\vec{x}}\left[\delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2} \mid \rho_{1} y_{1}, \rho_{2} y_{2}\right)\right] \\
= & E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\left\{\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\left(y_{2}, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right] \\
& -\left\{\left(y_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+\left(y_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{3.6}\\
= & E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\left\{\rho_{1}^{-2}\left(g_{1}, \rho_{1} x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\rho_{2}^{-2}\left(g_{2}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right] \\
& -\left\{\rho_{1}^{-1}\left(g_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+\rho_{2}^{-1}\left(g_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $\rho_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2}$ and $\rho_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2}$. Then equation (3.6) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\vec{x}}\left[\delta F\left(\lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2} x_{1}, \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2} x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2} x_{1}, \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2} x_{2}\right)\left\{\lambda_{1}\left(g_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2} x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\lambda_{2}\left(g_{2}, \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2} x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right]  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad-\left\{\lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(g_{1}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+\lambda_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(g_{2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}\left[F\left(\lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2} x_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2} x_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$ were arbitrary, we have that equation (3.7) holds for all $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$. We now use Definition 2 to obtain our desired conclusion.

Corollary 3.5. Let $H$ be a $\mu$-integrable functional on $C_{a, b}[0, T]$. Let $g$ be a function in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. Then if any two of the three generalized analytic Feynman integrals on $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ in the following equation exist, then the third one also exists, and equality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{x}^{\operatorname{anf}_{q}}[\delta H(x \mid g)] \\
& =-i q E_{x}^{\operatorname{anf}_{q}}\left[H(x)(g, x)^{\sim}\right]-(-i q)^{1 / 2}(g, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} E_{x}^{\operatorname{anf}_{q}}[H(x)]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{x}^{\operatorname{anf}_{q}}[H(x)]=\int_{C_{a, b}[0, T]}^{\operatorname{anf}_{q}} H(x) d \mu(x)$ means the generalized analytic Feynman integral of functionals $H$ on $C_{a, b}[0, T]$, see [4, 5, 6, (9, 11, 12 .
Proof. Simply choose $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=H\left(x_{1}\right)$.

## 4. Functionals in the generalized Fresnel type class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$

In this section we introduce the generalized Fresnel type class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ to which we apply our Cameron-Storvick type theorem.

Let $\mathcal{M}\left(C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]\right)$ be the space of complex-valued, countably additive (and hence finite) Borel measures on $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. The space $\mathcal{M}\left(C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]\right)$ is a Banach algebra under the total variation norm and with convolution as multiplication, see [14, 26.

Definition. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be bounded, nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. The generalized Fresnel type class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ of functionals on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ is defined as the space of all functionals $F$ on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2} i\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} w, x_{j}\right)^{\sim}\right\} d f(w) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for s-a.e. $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$, where $f$ is in $\mathcal{M}\left(C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]\right)$. More precisely, since we identify functionals which coincide s-a.e. on $C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T], \mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ can be regarded as the space of all s-equivalence classes of functionals of the form (4.1). For more details, see [10, 13].

Remark 4.1. (1) Note that in case $a(t) \equiv 0$ and $b(t)=t$ on $[0, T]$, the function space $C_{a, b}[0, T]$ reduces to the classical Wiener space $C_{0}[0, T]$. In this case, the generalized Fresnel type class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ reduces to the Kallianpur and Bromley Fresnel class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}$, see [21].
(2) In addition, if we choose $A_{1}=I$ (identity operator) and $A_{2}=0$ (zero operator), then the class $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ reduces to the Fresnel class $\mathcal{F}\left(C_{0}[0, T]\right)$. It is known, see [18, that $\mathcal{F}\left(C_{0}[0, T]\right)$ forms a Banach algebra over the complex field.
(3) The map $f \mapsto F$ defined by (4.1) sets up an algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}\left(C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ if $\operatorname{Ran}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)$ is dense in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ where Ran indicates the range of an operator. In this case, $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ becomes a Banach algebra under the norm $\|F\|=\|f\|$. For more details, see [21].

As discussed in [13, Remark 7], for a functional $F$ in $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ and a vector $\vec{q}=$ $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ with $q_{1} \neq 0$ and $q_{2} \neq 0$, the generalized analytic Feynman integral $E^{\operatorname{anf}_{\vec{q}}}[F]$ might not exist. By a simple modification of the example illustrated in [4, we
can construct an example for the functional whose generalized analytic Feynman integral $E^{\operatorname{anf}_{\vec{q}}}[F]$ does not exist. Thus we need to impose additional restrictions on the functionals $F$ in $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$.

Given a positive real number $q_{0}>0$, and bounded, nonnegative self-adjoint operators $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ on $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
k\left(q_{0} ; \vec{A} ; w\right) & \equiv k\left(q_{0} ; A_{1}, A_{2} ; w\right) \\
& =\exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(2 q_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left\|A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{o}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\|a\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{o}$ means the operator norm of $A_{j}^{1 / 2}$ for $j \in\{1,2\}$. For the existence of the generalized analytic Feynman integral of $F$, we define a subclass $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ by $F \in \mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} k\left(q_{0} ; \vec{A} ; w\right) d|f|(w)<+\infty
$$

where $f$ and $F$ are related by equation (4.1) and $k$ is given by equation (4.2).
The following theorem is due to Choi, Skoug and Chang [13].
Theorem 4.2. Let $q_{0}$ be a positive real number and let $F$ be an element of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$. Then for all real numbers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ with $\left|q_{j}\right|>q_{0}, j \in\{1,2\}$, the generalized analytic Feynman integral $E^{\operatorname{anf}_{\vec{q}}}[F]$ of $F$ exists and is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\operatorname{anf}_{\vec{q}}}[F]=\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \psi(-i \vec{q} ; \vec{A} ; w) d f(w) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(-i \vec{q} ; \vec{A} ; w)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(-i \vec{q} ; \vec{A} ; w)=\exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left[-\frac{i\left(A_{j} w, w\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}}{2 q_{j}}+i\left(-i q_{j}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right]\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j \in\{1,2\}$, let $g_{j} \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ and let $F$ be an element of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ whose associated measure $f$, see equation (4.1), satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} d|f|(w)<+\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using equation (3.1), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{2}\left[\frac { \partial } { \partial h } \left(\int _ { C _ { a , b } ^ { \prime } [ 0 , T ] } \operatorname { e x p } \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2} i\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} w, x_{j}\right)^{\sim}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\quad i h\left(A_{k}^{1 / 2} w, g_{k}\right)^{\sim}\right\} d f(w)\right)\left.\right|_{h=0}\right]  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{2} i\left(A_{k}^{1 / 2} w, g_{k}\right)_{\left.C_{a, b}^{\prime}\right]} \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2} i\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} w, x_{j}\right)^{\sim}\right\} d f(w)\right. \\
& =\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2} i\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} w, x_{j}\right)^{\sim}\right\} d \sigma^{\vec{A}, \vec{g}}(w)
\end{align*}
$$

where the complex measure $\sigma^{\vec{A}, \vec{g}}$ is defined by

$$
\sigma^{\vec{A}, \vec{g}}(B)=\int_{B}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{2} i\left(A_{k}^{1 / 2} w, g_{k}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right] d f(w), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}\left(C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]\right)
$$

The second equality of (4.6) follows from (4.5) and Theorem 2.27 in 17. Also, $\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ as a functional of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, since by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (4.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sigma^{\vec{A}, \vec{g}}\right\| & \leq \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left|i\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} w, g_{j}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right| d|f|(w) \\
& \leq \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\|A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{o}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} d|f|(w) \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\|A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{o}\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right) \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} d|f|(w)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\|A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{o}$ is the operator norm of $A_{j}^{1 / 2}$.
For the existence of the generalized analytic Feynman integral of the first variation $\delta F$ of a functional $F$ in $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$, we also define a subclass of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ as follows: given a positive real number $q_{0}$, we define a subclass $\mathcal{G}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ by $F \in \mathcal{G}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} k\left(q_{0} ; \vec{A} ; w\right) d|f|(w)<+\infty
$$

where $f$ is the associated measure of $F$ by equation (4.1) and $k\left(q_{0} ; \vec{A} ; w\right)$ is given by equation (4.2).

Our next theorem follows quite readily from the techniques developed in the proof of Theorem 9 of 13.

Theorem 4.3. Let $q_{0}$ be a positive real number and let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be functions in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. Let $F$ be an element of $\mathcal{G}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$. Then for all real numbers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ with $\left|q_{j}\right|>q_{0}, j \in\{1,2\}$, the generalized analytic Feynman integral of $\delta F\left(\cdot, \cdot \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ exists and is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{\vec{q}}}\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right]=\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} i\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} w, g_{j}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right] d f_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{A}}(w), \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{A}}$ is a complex measure on $\mathcal{B}\left(C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]\right)$, the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{A}}(B)=\int_{B} \psi(-i \vec{q} ; \vec{A} ; w) d f(w), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}\left(C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $\psi(-i \vec{q} ; \vec{A} ; w)$ is given by (4.4).

## 5. Applications of the Cameron-Storvick type theorem

Let $A$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator on $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{+}-A_{-} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{+}$and $A_{-}$are each bounded, nonnegative and self-adjoint. Take $A_{1}=$ $A_{+}$and $A_{2}=A_{-}$in the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{a, b}$ above. In this section we consider functionals in the generalized Fresnel type class $\mathcal{F}_{A}^{a, b} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{A_{+}, A_{-}}^{a, b}$ where $A, A_{+}$and $A_{-}$are related by equation (5.1) above.

Let $C_{a, b}^{*}[0, T]$ be the set of functions $k$ in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ such that $D k$ is continuous except for a finite number of finite jump discontinuities and is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$. For any $w \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ and $k \in C_{a, b}^{*}[0, T]$, let the operation $\odot$ between $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ and $C_{a, b}^{*}[0, T]$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \odot k=D^{-1}(D w D k) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $D(w \odot k)=D w D k$, where $D w D k$ denotes the pointwise multiplication of the functions $D w$ and $D k$. In equation (5.2), the operator $D^{-1}$ is given by (2.2) above. Then $\left(C_{a, b}^{*}[0, T], \odot\right)$ is a commutative algebra with the identity $b$. Also we can observe that for any $w, w_{1}, w_{2} \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ and $k \in C_{a, b}^{*}[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \odot k=k \odot w \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{1}, w_{2} \odot k\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=\left(w_{1} \odot k, w_{2}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a more detailed study of the class $C_{a, b}^{*}[0, T]$, see [5].
Next let $\vartheta$ be a function in $C_{a, b}^{*}[0, T]$ with $D \vartheta=\theta$. Define an operator $A$ : $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T] \rightarrow C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
A w(t) & =(\vartheta \odot w)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} D \vartheta(s) D w(s) d b(s) \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \theta(s) \frac{w^{\prime}(s)}{b^{\prime}(s)} d b(s)=\int_{0}^{t} \theta(s) d w(s) \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily shown that $A$ is a self-adjoint operator. We also see that $A=A_{+}-A_{-}$ where

$$
A_{+} w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \theta^{+}(s) D w(s) d b(s)=\int_{0}^{t} \theta^{+}(s) d w(s)
$$

and

$$
A_{-} w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \theta^{-}(s) D w(s) d b(s)=\int_{0}^{t} \theta^{-}(s) d w(s)
$$

and where $\theta^{+}$and $\theta^{-}$are the positive part and the negative part of $\theta$, respectively. Also, $A_{+}^{1 / 2}$ and $A_{-}^{1 / 2}$ are given by

$$
A_{+}^{1 / 2} w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\theta^{+}}(s) d w(s) \text { and } A_{-}^{1 / 2} w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\theta^{-}}(s) d w(s)
$$

respectively. For a more detailed study of this decomposition, see [19, pp.187-189]. For notational convenience, let $\vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2}=D^{-1} \sqrt{\theta^{+}}$and let $\vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2}=D^{-1} \sqrt{\theta^{-}}$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{+}^{1 / 2} w(t)=\left(\vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot w\right)(t) \text { and } A_{-}^{1 / 2} w(t)=\left(\vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot w\right)(t) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.

For fixed $g \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$, let $g_{1}=A_{+}^{1 / 2} g$ and $g_{2}=A_{-}^{1 / 2}(-g)$. Then we see that for any functions $w$ in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} w, g_{1}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} w, g_{2}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} w, A_{+}^{1 / 2} g\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}-\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} w, A_{-}^{1 / 2} g\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{\prime}  \tag{5.7}\\
& =\left(A_{+} w, g\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}-\left(A_{-} w, g\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \\
& =(A w, g)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

Using equations (5.6), (5.3) and (5.4), we also see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=\left(\vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=\left(w \odot \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2}, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=\left(w, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=\left(w, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $F$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}_{A}^{q_{0}} \cap \mathcal{G}_{A}^{q_{0}}$ for some $q_{0} \in(0,1)$ where $\mathcal{F}_{A}^{q_{0}} \equiv$ $\mathcal{F}_{A_{+}, A_{-}}^{q_{0}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{A}^{q_{0}} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{A_{+}, A_{-}}^{q_{0}}$ (the classes $\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}^{q_{0}}$ are defined in Section (4) respectively).

Applying (4.7) with $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=(1,-1)$ and $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} g,-A_{-}^{1 / 2} g\right)$, respectively, (4.8), and (4.4) with $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\left(A_{+}, A_{-}\right)$, and using (5.7), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid A_{+}^{1 / 2} g,-A_{-}^{1 / 2} g\right)\right] \\
& =E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\left[i\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} w, g_{1}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+i\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} w, g_{2}\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right] \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2}\left(\left(A_{+}-A_{-}\right) w, w\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\}  \tag{5.10}\\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{i\left[(-i)^{-1 / 2}\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+(i)^{-1 / 2}\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right]\right\} d f(w) \\
& =\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} i(A w, g)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2}(A w, w)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{i\left[(-i)^{-1 / 2}\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+(i)^{-1 / 2}\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} w, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right]\right\} d f(w) .
\end{align*}
$$

We also see that for all $\rho_{1}>0, \rho_{2}>0$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}+\rho_{1} h g_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}+\rho_{2} h g_{2} \mid \rho_{1} g_{1}, \rho_{2} g_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\left[\left|\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} w, A_{+}^{1 / 2}\left(\rho_{1} g\right)\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right|+\left|\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} w, A_{-}^{1 / 2}\left(-\rho_{1} g\right)\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right|\right] d|f|(w) \\
& \leq \rho_{1} \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\left|\left(A_{+} w, g\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right| d|f|(w)+\rho_{2} \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\left|\left(A_{-} w, g\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right| d|f|(w) \\
& \leq\left(\rho_{1}\left\|A_{+}\right\|_{o}+\rho_{2}\left\|A_{-}\right\|_{o}\right)\|g\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} d|f|(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But the last expression above is bounded and is independent of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$. Hence $\delta F\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}+\rho_{1} h g_{1}, \rho_{2} x_{2}+\rho_{2} h g_{2} \mid \rho_{1} g_{1}, \rho_{2} g_{2}\right)$ is $\mu \times \mu$-integrable in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in$
$C_{a, b}^{2}[0, T]$ for every $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$. Also by Theorems 4.3 and 4.2, the generalized analytic Feynman integrals $E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid A_{+}^{1 / 2} g,-A_{-}^{1 / 2} g\right)\right]$ and $E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]$ exist. Thus using (5.10) together with (5.8) and (5.9), (3.5), (5.8) and (5.9) with $w$ replaced with $g$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} i(A w, g)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2}(A w, w)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{i\left[(-i)^{-1 / 2}\left(w, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+(i)^{-1 / 2}\left(w, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right]\right\} d f(w) \\
& \left.=E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[\delta F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mid A_{+}^{1 / 2} g,-A_{-}^{1 / 2} g\right)\right] \\
& =- \\
& -i E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left\{\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} g, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} g, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right] \\
& \\
& -\left\{(-i)^{1 / 2}\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} g, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}-(i)^{1 / 2}\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} g, a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =- \\
& -i E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left\{\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} g, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} g, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right] \\
& \\
& \quad-\left\{(-i)^{1 / 2}\left(g, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}-(i)^{1 / 2}\left(g, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This result together with (4.3) yields the formula
(5.11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left\{\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} g, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}+\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} g, x_{2}\right)^{\sim}\right\}\right] \\
& =i\left\{(-i)^{1 / 2}\left(g, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}-(i)^{1 / 2}\left(g, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2}(A w, w)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{i\left[(-i)^{-1 / 2}\left(w, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+(i)^{-1 / 2}\left(w, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right]\right\} d f(w) \\
& \quad-\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}(A w, g)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2}(A w, w)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{i\left[(-i)^{-1 / 2}\left(w, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}+(i)^{-1 / 2}\left(w, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right]\right\} d f(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we obtain more explicit formulas as follows.
Step 1. Under the special setting of $\vartheta$ in equation (5.5) above, we first observe the following table:

| $\vartheta$ | $\theta$ | $\theta^{+}$ | $\theta^{-}$ | $\sqrt{\theta^{+}}$ | $\sqrt{\theta^{-}}$ | $\vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2}$ | $\vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2}$ | $A$ | $A_{+}$ | $A_{-}$ | $A_{+}^{1 / 2}$ | $A_{-}^{1 / 2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $b$ | 0 | $I$ | $I$ | 0 | $I$ | 0 |
| $-b$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $b$ | $-I$ | 0 | $I$ | 0 | $I$ |

Table 1. The results from the setting of $\vartheta$ in equation (5.11)

Step 2. Using equation (5.11) above together with Table 1 above we obtain the following two explicit formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[\left(g, x_{1}\right)^{\sim} \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \exp \left\{i\left(w, x_{1}\right)\right\} d f(w)\right] \\
& =i(-i)^{1 / 2}(g, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{2}+i(-i)^{-1 / 2}(w, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} d f(w) \\
& \quad-\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}(w, g)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{2}+i(-i)^{-1 / 2}(w, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} d f(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\vec{x}}^{\operatorname{anf}_{(1,-1)}}\left[\left(g, x_{2}\right)^{\sim} \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-i\left(w, x_{2}\right)\right\} d f(w)\right] \\
&=-i(i)^{1 / 2}(g, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]} \exp \left\{\frac{i}{2}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{2}+i(i)^{-1 / 2}(w, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} d f(w) \\
&+\int_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]}(w, g)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} \exp \left\{\frac{i}{2}\|w\|_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}^{2}-i(i)^{-1 / 2}(w, a)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}\right\} d f(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Other setting. Letting $\vartheta=\sin \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right)$ in equation (5.11) above, it also follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(t) & =D \vartheta(t)=\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) \\
\sqrt{\theta^{+}}(t) & =\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos ^{+}\left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right)=\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) \chi_{\left[0, b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)\right]}(t), \\
\sqrt{\theta^{-}}(t) & =\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos ^{-}\left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) \chi_{\left[b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right), T\right]}(t), \\
A w(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) d w(t), \\
A_{+}^{1 / 2} w(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos ^{+}\left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) d w(t) \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) \chi_{\left[0, b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)\right]}(t) d w(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{-}^{1 / 2} w(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos ^{-}\left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) d w(t) \\
& =-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\pi}{b(T)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) \chi_{\left[b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right), T\right]}(t) d w(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these we can replace equation (5.11) with the following 8 formulas

$$
\left(A_{+}^{1 / 2} g, x_{1}\right)^{\sim}=\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \int_{0}^{b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) D g(t) d x_{1}(t)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{-}^{1 / 2} g, x_{2}\right)^{\sim} & =-\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \int_{b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)}^{T} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) D g(t) d x_{2}(t), \\
(A w, w)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} & =\left(\frac{\pi}{b(T)}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{T} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right)(D w)^{2}(t) d b(t), \\
(A w, g)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} & =\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \int_{0}^{T} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) D w(t) D g(t) d b(t), \\
\left(g, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} & =\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \int_{0}^{b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) D g(t) D a(t) d b(t), \\
\left(g, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} & =-\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \int_{b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)}^{T} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) D g(t) D a(t) d b(t), \\
\left(w, \vartheta_{+}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}} & =\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \int_{0}^{b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) D w(t) D a(t) d b(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(w, \vartheta_{-}^{1 / 2} \odot a\right)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}=-\frac{\pi}{b(T)} \int_{b^{-1}\left(\frac{b(T)}{2}\right)}^{T} \cos \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right) D w(t) D a(t) d b(t) .
$$

In this example, we chose $\vartheta=\sin \left(\frac{\pi b(t)}{b(T)}\right)$ and, as presented in equation (5.5), this function $\vartheta$ gave the operator $A$.

Consider the sequence $\left\{e_{m}\right\}$ of functions in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$, where for each $m \in \mathbb{N}, e_{m}$ is given by

$$
e_{m}(t)=\frac{\sqrt{2 b(T)}}{\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi} \sin \left(\frac{\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi}{b(T)}\right), \quad t \in[0, T] .
$$

One can show that the sequence $\left\{e_{m}\right\}$ is a complete orthonormal set in $C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ and the functions $\left\{e_{m}\right\}$ are the eigenvectors of the operator $B: C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T] \rightarrow C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ given by

$$
B w(t)=\int_{0}^{T} \min \{b(s), b(t)\} w(s) d b(s), \quad s \in[0, T]
$$

It is known that the operator $B$ is a self-adjoint positive definite trace class operator and is decomposed by $B=S^{*} S$ where $S: C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T] \rightarrow C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$ is the operator given by

$$
S w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} w(s) d b(s), \quad s \in[0, T]
$$

Using this, one can easily check that for each $w \in C_{a, b}^{\prime}[0, T]$,

$$
\int_{0}^{T} w^{2}(s) d b(s)=(w, B w)_{C_{a, b}^{\prime}}
$$

For more details, see [7]. Thus, under these constructions, our results in this paper can be applicable to evaluate Feynman integral using Fourier series approximation (see [16]) for functionals of generalized Brownian motion paths.
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