SPEED SELECTION FOR REACTION DIFFUSION EQUATIONS IN HETEROGENEOUS ENVIRONMENTS

MOHAMMAD EL SMAILY¹, CHUNHUA OU²

 ¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, BC, Canada
 ² Department of Mathematics and Statistics Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada

ABSTRACT. Reaction-advection-diffusion equations, in periodic settings and with general type nonlinearities, admit a threshold known as the minimal speed of propagation. The minimal speed does not have an accessible formula when the nonlinearity is not of KPP type, for instance. The question becomes whether the minimal speed can be obtained through a linearization procedure or not. In this paper, we derive selection criteria for the minimal speed: a key feature of the nonlinear selection is unveiled. Moreover, we use upper/lower solution techniques in order to derive practical criteria determining the minimal speed in the presence of advection and a general type nonlinearily.

1. INTRODUCTION AND SETTING

This paper is concerned with the speeds of propagating wavefronts for reactionadvection-diffusion equations in periodic media. The general form of such equations is

$$u_t = \Delta u + q(x) \cdot \nabla u + f(x, u), \tag{1}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $N \ge 1$ is the space dimension. In order to describe the problem's setting briefly (mainly the advection term q(x) and the reaction f(x, u)),

E-mail address: M. El Smaily: mohammad.elsmaily@unbc.ca, Chunhua Ou: ou@mun.ca. 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35K55, 35Q92, 37N25.

Key words and phrases. propagation speed, reaction-advection-diffusion, linear/nonlinear selection.

let L_1, \dots, L_N be N positive real numbers. We state the definitions of a periodicity cell and an L-periodic field as follows. The set

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } x_1 \in (0, L_1), \dots, x_N \in (0, L_N) \}$$

is called the periodicity cell of \mathbb{R}^N . A field $w : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is said to be *L*-periodic if $w(x_1 + k_1, \dots, x_N + k_N) = w(x_1, \dots, x_N)$, almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N and for all $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in L_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times L_N \mathbb{Z}$.

In this work, the advection $q(x) = (q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x))$ is a vector field satisfying

$$\begin{cases} q \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ for some } \alpha > 0, \\ q \text{ is } L - \text{periodic with respect to } x, \\ \nabla \cdot q \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The nonlinearity f = f(x, u), in (1), is a function defined in $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, 1]$, such that

$$f \ge 0, f \text{ is } L\text{-periodic with respect to } x, f \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,1]),$$
 (3)

and

$$\begin{cases} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad f(x,0) = f(x,1) = 0, \\ \exists \rho \in (0,1) \text{ such that } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall 1 - \rho \le s \le s' \le 1, \ f(x,s) \ge f(x,s'), \\ \forall s \in (0,1), \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } f(x,s) > 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

An example of such nonlinearity is

$$f(x,u) = b(x) \left[u(1-u)(1+a(x)u) \right] \quad u \in [0,1] , x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(E)

where $a, b : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ can be taken as a smooth, periodic functions, with $a(x) \ge 0$ and $b(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. A more particular family of such nonlinearities is the well KPP/FKPP type (after FisherKolmogorovPetrovskyPiskunov), which we describe in (17), below.

Under the above assumptions, we are interested in the minimal speed (or the spreading speed) of a specific kind of solutions, known as pulsating traveling fronts. In Definition 1.1, we recall the definitions of both the minimal speed and a pulsating traveling wave/front, as introduced in Berestycki, Hamel [1] and Xin [9].

Definition 1.1 ([1], [9]). Let $e = (e^1, \dots, e^N)$ be an arbitrarily unit direction in \mathbb{R}^N . A function u = u(t, x) is called a pulsating traveling front propagating in the

direction of e, with an effective speed $c \neq 0$, if u is a classical solution of

$$u_{t} = \Delta u + q(x) \cdot \nabla u + f(x, u), \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},$$

$$\forall k \in L_{1}\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times L_{N}\mathbb{Z}, \ \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \ u(t + \frac{k \cdot e}{c}, x) = u(t, x + k),$$

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{x \cdot e \to -\infty} u(t, x) = 0 \ and \ \lim_{x \cdot e \to +\infty} u(t, x) = 1,$$

$$0 \le u \le 1,$$

(5)

where the above limits hold locally in t and uniformly in the directions of \mathbb{R}^N that are orthogonal to e.

Note that Definition 1.1 can be rephrased upon using a traveling wave variable $s := x \cdot e + ct$ and plugging the ansatz

$$u(t,x) := \phi(x \cdot e + ct, x) = \phi(s,x)$$

in (5). From this, we learn that a pulsating traveling wave ϕ is *L*-periodic in x (namely, from the second line in (5)) and satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_x \phi + \phi_{ss} + 2e \cdot \nabla_x \phi_s + q \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (q \cdot e - c)\phi_s + f(x, \phi(s, x)) = 0, \tag{6}$$

for all $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Furthermore, defining L_c to be the operator

$$L_c\phi := \Delta_x\phi + \phi_{ss} + 2e \cdot \nabla_x\phi_s + q \cdot \nabla_x\phi + (q \cdot e - c)\phi_s \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{7}$$

we obtain that a pulsating traveling front $\phi(s, x)$ satisfies the wave profile equation

$$L_c\phi + f(x,\phi) = 0, (8)$$

subject to the limiting boundary conditions

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \phi(s, x) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{s \to +\infty} \phi(s, x) = 1 \text{ uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(9)

Existence of pulsating traveling fronts, for this class of equations, is well studied and the above discussion is only a brief introduction, which is by no means exhaustive. We recall the most relevant existence results in Theorem A, below. We refer the reader to [1], and the references therein, for complete details.

Theorem A (Berestycki, Hamel [1]). Let e be any unit vector in \mathbb{R}^N . Assume that q satisfies (2) and let f be a nonlinearity satisfying (3) and (4). Then, there exists $c^* > 0$ such that the problem (8)-(9) has no solution (c, ϕ) if $c < c^*$ while, for each $c \ge c^*$, it has a pulsating traveling front solution (c, ϕ) such that ϕ is increasing in s.

ASYMPTOTIC SPEED SELECTION

LINEARIZATION, IMPORTANT FACTS AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Theorem A applies in a general periodic framework and provides the existence of fronts and a threshold c^* . However, only variational type formulas for c^* are available, when the nonlinearity f in (5) satisfies the general conditions (3) and (4). For instance, a min-max formula for c^* (that holds under conditions (3) and (4) on f) is proved in Theorem 1.9 of [3].

Let us recall now recall an attempt to estimate the speed c^* , given in Theorem A above, when f is differentiable with respect to u at u = 0. For convenience, we write $\eta(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x, 0)$. The linearized version of (8), at $\phi = 0$ (equivalently u = 0), reads

$$L_c \phi + \eta(x)\phi = 0. \tag{10}$$

Hamel [4] introduced what we will call the *linear* speed, and denote by c_0 , through the variational formula

$$c_0(e) = c_0^{q,f}(e) = \min_{\lambda > 0} \frac{k(\lambda)}{\lambda},\tag{11}$$

where $k(\lambda) = k_{e,q,\eta,\lambda}$ is the principal eigenvalue of the elliptic operator $L_{e,q,\eta,\lambda}$ defined by

$$L_{e,q,\eta,\lambda}\Psi := \Delta\Psi + 2\lambda e \cdot \nabla\Psi + q \cdot \nabla\Psi + [\lambda^2 + \lambda q \cdot e + \eta]\Psi,$$
(12)

acting on the space

$$E = \left\{ \Psi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}), \Psi \text{ is } L \text{-periodic with respect to } x \right\}.$$

Note that the elliptic operator in (12) is not a self-adjoint due to the presence of the drift term q in our problem. The principal eigenfunction is positive and unique up to multiplication by a constant.

A detailed study of the properties of $k(\lambda)$ is done in [1] and [2]. In particular, [1] shows that $\lambda \mapsto k(\lambda)$ is a convex function. Note that a lower bound for k(0) can be given by

$$k(0) \ge \min_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta(x) = \min_{\mathcal{C}} \eta(x).$$
(13)

The proof of lower bound (13) is given in the footnote, for the reader's convenience.¹ This lower bound guarantees that $k(0) \ge 0$, as our nonlinearity f is nonnegative. If we further assume that

$$k(0) > 0,^2 \tag{14}$$

¹ For $\lambda = 0$, we have the principal eigenfunction (denote by φ and normalized by $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} = 1$) satisfies $\Delta \varphi + q \cdot \nabla \varphi + \eta(x)\varphi = k(0)\varphi$, $\varphi > 0$ and *L*-periodic. Multiplying by φ and integrating by parts over the periodicity cell \mathcal{C} , and because $\nabla \cdot q = 0$, we get $-\int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \eta(x)\varphi^2 = k(0)$. This gives us a lower bound for k(0).

² The lower bound (13) shows that the assumption (14) holds automatically whenever $\min_{\mathcal{C}} \eta > 0$

we then obtain a unique $\lambda = \overline{\mu}$ such that

$$c_0(e) = \frac{k(\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}}.$$
(15)

Moreover, for $c > c_0$, the equation

$$c\lambda = k(\lambda) \tag{16}$$

then admits two solutions $\lambda = \mu_1(c)$ and $\lambda = \mu_2(c)$, with $\mu_1(c) < \mu_2(c)$. When $c = c_0$, we have $\mu_1(c) = \mu_2(c) = \overline{\mu}$. By appealing to the convexity of the function $k(\lambda)$ again, we get that $\mu_1(c)$ is *decreasing* in c and $\mu_2(c)$ is *increasing* in c.

The relation between c_0 and c^* . We return now to the influence of the nonlinearity f on the speeds c_0 and c^* . To do this, we stop by the particular type of KPP nonlinearities. We say that f is of KPP type if f satisfies (3), (4) and the *additional* KPP condition

$$0 < f(x, u) \le \eta(x)u$$
, for all $u \in (0, 1)$. (17)

Note that (17) already assumes $\eta(x) > 0$. Thus, when f is of KPP type, we directly get k(0) > 0 (see the lower bound (13) of k(0)). A major difference between the KPP class and a nonlinearity satisfying only (3) and (4) is the sublinearity at u = 0 (i.e. (17)). For example, f(u) = u(1-u)(1+au) satisfies (3) and (4) but does not satisfy (17), when a > 2, for instance. Also, a KPP nonlinearity must be positive everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, 1)$. This need not be the case for the class (E), which we mention above (for e.g, take $a(x) \equiv 3$, $b(x) = \sin^2 |x|$ and set f(x, u) := b(x)u(1-u)(1+3u)).

In the particular case, where the nonlinearity f satisfies (3) and (4), together with the KPP condition (17), Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvilli [2] proved that the minimal speed c^* , in Theorem A, is exactly equal to c_0 in (11) (also see [4]). However, for a more general nonlinearity f, which satisfies conditions (3) and (4) only, it is still unknown how the minimal speed is determined (aside from variational formulas in [3], for example). From Theorem A and the fact that a KPP type nonlinearity satisfies (3) and (4), we can see that $c^* \ge c_0$ holds always. The primary purpose of this paper is to investigate the comparison of c_0 to c^* further. We prove that, when the minimal speed c^* is greater than the linear speed c_0 , the corresponding wave front (*pushed front*) decays with a faster rate; this solves the conjecture in [4, page 363]. To speak about other goals of this work, we recall the following definition, which has been used in the literature (see [8], for instance).

Definition 1.2 (Linear and nonlinear selection mechanisms). Under the assumptions of Theorem A, we call the case $c^* = c_0$ the linear selection mechanism and the case $c^* > c_0$ the nonlinear selection mechanism.

In this context, we will use the upper/lower solution method in order to provide an easy-to-use approach that determines whether the minimal speed is selected linearly or nonlinearly (see Definition 1.2 above). In the case of nonlinear selection, we will show a method that leads to a lower or an upper bound estimate of the minimal speed. We show our main results in sections 2 and 3. Section 3 serves as an application of the theorems in Section 2.

2. Pushed wavefront

For a given wavefront ϕ , satisfying (8) with $c > c_0$, a straightforward derivation of the characteristics of the linear part of the wave profile proposes that either

$$\phi(s,x) \sim C_1 \Psi_{\mu_1}(x) e^{\mu_1(c)s}, \ C_1 > 0,$$
(18)

or

$$\phi(s,x) \sim C_2 \Psi_{\mu_2}(x) e^{\mu_2(c)s}, \ C_2 > 0$$
 (19)

as $s \to -\infty$, where $\Psi_{\mu_i}(x)$, i = 1, 2, is the eigenfunction corresponding to the principal eigenvalue $k(\mu_i)$ defined in (12). For a rigorous proof of this property, we refer the reader to [4].

Alternatively, when linearizing the first equation of (5) at u = 0, we obtain the linear partial differential equation

$$u_t = \Delta u + q(x) \cdot \nabla u + \eta(x)u, \text{ where } \eta(x) = \partial_u f(x, 0).$$
(20)

The above equation defines a linear semiflow $M(u_0) = u(t, x, u_0)$, where u_0 is the initial data. Obviously, we have

$$M(\Psi_{\mu_i}(x)e^{\mu_i(c)x\cdot e}) = \Psi_{\mu_i}(x)e^{\mu_i(c)[x\cdot e+ct]}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$
(21)

2.1. Fast decay nature of the pushed wavefront.

Theorem 2.1 (Necessary and sufficient condition). Assume that (14) holds and let $\phi_{c^*}(s, x)$ be the wavefront of (8), with the speed c^* (the minimal speed). Consider the linear speed c_0 defined in (15). The following results hold:

(i) If there exists a speed $c = \bar{c} > c_0$, such that (8) has a non-decreasing traveling wave solution $\phi_{\bar{c}}(s, x)$, connecting 0 to 1 and satisfying the asymptotic behavior

$$\phi_{\bar{c}}(s,x) \sim C\Psi_{\mu_2(\bar{c})}(x)e^{\mu_2(\bar{c})s} \text{ as } s \to -\infty,$$
(22)

where μ_2 is defined in (16) and C is an arbitrary positive constant, then we have $c^* = \bar{c} > c_0$. In other words, the minimal speed c^* is nonlinearly selected.

(ii) If the spreading speed c^* is nonlinearly selected (i.e. $c^* > c_0$), then the wave front $\phi_{c^*}(s, x)$ has the fast decay behavior defined in (19):

$$\phi_{c^*}(s,x) \sim C_2 \Psi_{\mu_2}(x) e^{\mu_2(c^*)s} \text{ as } s \to -\infty, \text{ for some } C_2 > 0.$$
 (23)

Proof. (i). We first prove part one. Suppose that there is a traveling wave with speed $c = c' < \bar{c}$. Then, by Theorem 1.5(a) in [4], we have a contradiction with (22). This contradiction implies that the minimal wave speed is nonlinearly selected. A more direct proof, under certain assumption, is provided in Remark 2.1, below.

(ii) For the second part, instead of the definition of wavefront in (8)-(9), we can alternatively rephrase the definition of a pulsating traveling wave in terms of semiflow, as done in Liang and Zhao [7]. Assume that $Q(u_0) = u(t, x, u_0)$ is the solution semiflow induced by (1), with the initial function $u_0(x)$, to be continuous, nonnegative and bounded. A traveling wave solution $\phi(s, x)$, with $\phi(-\infty, x) = 0$, $\phi(\infty, x) = 1$, should then satisfy

$$Q[\phi(x \cdot e, x)] = \phi(x \cdot e + ct, x).$$
⁽²⁴⁾

Due to the Laplacian operator in the equation, one can easily get that the semiflow Q is compact and strongly positive.

We assume that the minimal speed c^* is nonlinearly selected; that is, $c^* > c_0$. We proceed to show that at the speed $c = c^*$, the traveling wave $W_{c^*}(s, x)$ satisfies

$$W_{c^*}(s,x) \sim C\Psi_{\mu_2(c^*)}(x)e^{\mu_2(c^*)s}$$
 as $s \to -\infty$, (25)

for some constant C. By the alternatives (18) and (19), assume to the contrary that

$$W_{c^*}(s,x) \sim C_3 \Psi_{\mu_1(c^*)}(x) e^{\mu_1(c^*)s} \text{ as } s \to -\infty,$$
 (26)

for some positive constant C_3 and eigenvector $\Psi_{\mu_1(c^*)}$. We will prove that the operator Q has a traveling wave $W_c(x \cdot e, x)$ satisfying

$$Q(W_c) = W_c(x \cdot e + ct, x) \quad \text{or} \quad T_{ct}Q(W_c) = W_c, \tag{27}$$

for some speed $c = c^* - \delta$, where $T_{ct}W(s) = W(x - ct)$ is the right-shifting operator and δ is a sufficiently small and positive number. Hence, c^* is not the minimal speed and this will lead to a contradiction.

Indeed, under assumption (26), we define

$$\bar{W} = W_{c^*}(s, x)\omega(s, x), \text{ where } \omega(s, x) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Psi_{\mu_1(c)}(x)}{\Psi_{\mu_1(c^*)}(x)}\delta e^{-(\mu_1(c) - \mu_1(c^*))s}}.$$
 (28)

Note that when δ small, \overline{W} is close to W_{c^*} , but with a different decaying rate at $-\infty$. We will show the existence of a solution to (27), provided that δ is sufficiently small. In (27), seek a W_c of the form

$$W_c = \bar{W} + V, \tag{29}$$

such that

$$T_{ct}Q(\bar{W}+V) = \bar{W}+V, \tag{30}$$

where V = V(s, x) is a function to be determined. A straightforward calculation leads us to

$$V = T_{c^*t} M(W_{c^*}) V + F_0 + M_{\delta} V + F_{high}(V), \qquad (31)$$

where

$$F_0 = T_{ct}Q(\bar{W}) - \bar{W},\tag{32}$$

$$M_{\delta}V = \left[T_{ct}M(\bar{W}) - T_{c^*t}M(W_{c^*})\right]V$$
(33)

and

$$F_{high}(V) = T_{ct}Q(\bar{W} + V) - T_{ct}Q(\bar{W}) - T_{ct}M(\bar{W})V.$$
(34)

Here, $M(\overline{W})$ is the Fréchet derivative of Q around the function \overline{W} . With a simple estimate, it follows that $M_{\delta}V = O(\delta)V$ and $F_0 = O(\delta)$, where

$$F_0 = o(e^{\mu_1(c^*)s}) \text{ as } s \to -\infty.$$

For a solution to (31), we recall that $M(W_{c^*})$ is defined by

$$M(W_{c^*})[V] = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{Q[W_{c^*} + \rho V] - Q[W_{c^*}]}{\rho},$$

for V in the space $C_0 := \{u \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, L], \mathbb{R}) : u(\pm \infty, x) = 0\}$. The operator $T_{c^*t}M(W_{c^*})$ is compact and strongly positive, its principal eigenvalue is $\lambda = 1$ and the corresponding principal eigenvector is $\bar{v} = W'_{c^*}$. It is not difficult to see that W'_{c^*} shares the same decaying behavior as W_{c^*} . That is,

$$W'_{c^*} \sim C(x) e^{\mu_1(c^*)s} \quad \text{as} \quad s \to -\infty,$$
(35)

for some periodic function C(x), where W'_{c^*} represents the first derivative of $W_{c^*}(s, x)$ with respect to s.

Next, in order to omit the eigenvector \bar{v} , we construct a weighted space \mathcal{V} as

$$\mathcal{V} = \{ v \in C_0 : v e^{-\mu_1(c)s} = o(1) \text{ as } s \to -\infty \},\$$

where $c = c^* - \delta$. Consequently, we see that the eigenvector $\bar{v} = W'_{c^*}$ is not in \mathcal{V} , and this rules out $\lambda = 1$ of being an eigenvalue for $T_{c^*t}M(W_{c^*})$ defined on \mathcal{V} . Since the operator $T_{c^*t}M(W_{c^*})$ is compact and strongly positive in \mathcal{V} , it follows that $T_{c^*t}M(W_{c^*}) - I$ has a bounded inverse in \mathcal{V} , where I is the identity operator. Using the inverse function theorem in the space \mathcal{V} , we obtain a small positive number δ_0 so that problem (31) has a solution V for any $\delta \in [0, \delta_0)$.

Now, we have a solution W_c , for $c = c^* - \delta$, as desired in (29). The positivity of W_c is guaranteed by the choice of a sufficiently small δ (smaller than δ_0) and this completes the proof.

Remark 2.1 (A more direct proof of Theorem 2.1, Part (i), provided exponential stability of the positive equilibrium). In the proof of the first part of Theorem 2.1, we have made use of Theorem 1.5 (a) in Hamel [4] of [4]. Actually, we can give a more direct proof of the latter, in the case where the positive equilibrium (u = 1, in our setting) is exponentially stable. The proof techniques are based on linearization at u = 1 and semiflows.

Proof of the statement in Remark 2.1. Suppose that (22) is true. We proceed to prove that (8) has no traveling waves for any c in (c_0, \bar{c}) . To the contrary, suppose that for some $c \in (c_0, \bar{c})$, there exists a traveling wave $W_c(x \cdot e, x)$ satisfying either (18) or (19). In view of the monotonicity of $\mu_1(c)$ and $\mu_2(c)$ in c, we get $W_c(s, x) > \phi_{\bar{c}}(s, x)$, for s near $-\infty$.

To understand the behavior of this solution near $+\infty$, let $k(-\gamma)$ be the principal eigenvalue of the linear operator $L_{e,q,\eta,-\gamma}$ defined in (12) (but with η replaced by $\zeta(x) := \partial_u f(x, 1)$). By linearizing equation (8) at 1, we obtain a characteristic equation $-\gamma c - \bar{k}(-\gamma) = 0$. We assume that

$$k(0) < 0.$$
 (36)

We emphasize that assumption (36) guarantees the convexity of $\gamma \mapsto \bar{k}(-\gamma)$ and is sufficient for the exponential stability of the positive equilibrium 1 (see Hamel [4], page 364). Based on the convexity of $\bar{k}(-\gamma)$, we can find a unique positive γ that solves the characteristic equation. Moreover, γ is a decreasing function in c, whenever $c \geq c_0$. This yields to

$$W_c \sim 1 - \Psi_\gamma(x) e^{-\gamma x},\tag{37}$$

for some positive γ and positive function $\Psi_{\gamma}(x)$. In view of the monotonicity of γ in c, we further obtain that $\phi_{\bar{c}}(s,x) \ll W_c(s,x)$ for s near ∞ . Therefore, it is always possible to make a shift of distance ξ_0 for the variable s in $W_c(s,x)$ such that

$$W_c(x \cdot e, x) = W_c(x \cdot e + \xi_0, x) > \phi_{\bar{c}}(x \cdot e, x).$$

The monotonicity of the map Q implies that

$$\bar{W}_c(x \cdot e + ct, x) = Q(\bar{W}_c(x \cdot e, x)) \ge Q(\phi_{\bar{c}}(x \cdot e, x)) = \phi_{\bar{c}}(x \cdot e + \bar{c}t, x)$$
(38)

On the other hand, on the line $x \cdot e + t\bar{c} = z_0$, for some fixed value z_0 , it follows that $\phi_{\bar{c}}(x \cdot e + \bar{c}t, x) = \phi_{\bar{c}}(z_0, x) > 0$ and

$$\bar{W}_c(x \cdot e + ct, x) = \bar{W}_c(z_0 - t(\bar{c} - c), x) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to +\infty.$$

The latter contradicts (38). As such, there exist no traveling waves for Q when $c \in (c_0, \bar{c})$. It follows now, from Theorem A, that we cannot have traveling waves with speed $c = c_0$. This provides an alternative proof to the first part of Theorem 2.1, under the assumption that exponential stability of the positive equilibrium holds. \Box

Remark 2.2 (More on the proof of Theorem 2.1). In the degenerate case, where $\bar{k}(0) = 0$, we speculate that the above idea and argument still work, as long as we can show that the traveling wave solution is non-increasing in c, for large $s \ (s \to +\infty)$. This could be done by constructing an upper solution $\hat{\phi} = 1$ and a lower solution $\phi = \phi_{\bar{c}}$ for (8), with $\bar{c} > c$ and $s \ge s_0$, where s_0 is a given constant. The uniqueness of the wavefront (up to translation) may be of use then. We will leave this idea to interested readers.

Remark 2.3. The second part of our Theorem 2.1 confirms the conjecture in [4, page, 363].

Remark 2.4 (More accessible criteria). Although we have unveiled the important feature of pushed wavefronts in Theorem 2.1, we cannot practically establish linear/nonlinear selection criteria by Theorem 2.1. This is because exact traveling wave formulas are unknown. To this end, Sections 2.2 and 2.3, below, will be dedicated to develop certain easy-to-apply formulas that determine the speed selection mechanism. The formulas are based on constructions of upper or lower solutions that approximate the exact traveling waves to some extent. The establishment of these criteria does not rely on Theorem 2.1 and can be of independent interest to readers.

2.2. Linear selection.

Theorem 2.2 (Linear Selection). Let c_0 be as defined in (11). Further, assume that there exists a continuous and positive function U(s, x) satisfying

$$L_{c_0}U + f(x, U) \le 0, (39)$$

together with

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} U(s, x) > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{s \to -\infty} U(s, x) = 0.$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Then, the linear selection is realized. That is, $c^*(e) = c_0(e)$.

Proof. Similar to what is done in [5] and [7], we can define the leftward spreading speed c^* as

$$c^* := \sup\{c : \lim_{i \to -\infty, i \in \mathbb{Z}} a(c; iL + \theta) = 1, \theta \in [0, L]\}$$
(41)

where

$$a(c;x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n(c;x).$$

In our setting, for a given real number c, the sequence of functions $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$a_0(c;x) = \phi(x), \quad a_{n+1}(c;x) = R_c[a_n(c;\cdot)](x),$$
(42)

and

$$R_c[a_n](x) = \max\{\phi(x), T_c[Q_1[a_n]](x)\},$$
(43)

where $\phi(x)$ is non-decreasing function that satisfies

$$\phi(x) = 0$$
 for $x \le 0$ and $\lim_{x \to +\infty} (\phi(x) - \omega) = 0$,

 $0 < \omega < 1$, and Q_1 is the solution semiflow Q_t at t = 1. Here, the limit in (41) is obtained by splitting the variable x interval-by-interval with each interval length as L. c^* is independent of the choice of ϕ , see [5, 7]. Therefore, we can let $\phi(\infty)$ be small so that the upper solution U (or a shift of U if needed) satisfies

$$a_0(c_0; x) \le U(x \cdot e, x) \tag{44}$$

for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. From (43), (42), (40) and (39), by induction, it follows that

$$u_{n+1}(c_0; x) \le U(x \cdot e, x), \quad n \ge 0.$$

Thus, $a(c_0; -\infty) = 0$. By (41), we have $c^* \leq c_0$. Therefore, we arrive at $c^* = c_0$ by Theorem A, and the linear selection is realized.

Corollary 2.1. Suppose that $f(x, u) \leq f'(x, 0)u$. Then, the linear selection is realized.

Proof. For $c = c_0$, one can easily verify that $U = e^{\bar{\mu}s}\Psi_{\bar{\mu}}(x)$ is an upper solution of the wave profile equation, where $\bar{\mu}$ is defined in (15).

Corollary 2.2. Let

$$\overline{\phi}(s,x) := \frac{\Psi(x)}{\Psi(x) + e^{-\mu_1 s}},\tag{45}$$

where Ψ is the principal eigenfunction of (12) corresponding to $\lambda = \mu_1 = \overline{\mu}$ and the principal eigenvalue $k(\mu_1) = \mu_1 c$, when $c = c_0$. Then, the minimal speed is linearly selected if

$$-2\mu_1^2 \overline{\phi} \Psi - 2\overline{\phi} \frac{|\nabla\Psi|^2}{\Psi} - 4\mu_1 \overline{\phi} \ e \cdot \nabla\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x, \overline{\phi})}{\overline{\phi} (1 - \overline{\phi})} - \eta(x)\Psi \le 0$$
(46)

Proof. We compute

$$\overline{\phi}_s(s,x) = \mu_1 \overline{\phi} \left(1 - \overline{\phi}\right), \quad \overline{\phi}_{ss}(s,x) = \mu_1^2 \overline{\phi} \left(1 - \overline{\phi}\right) \left(1 - 2\overline{\phi}\right) \tag{47}$$

and

$$1 - \overline{\phi}(s, x) = \frac{e^{-\mu_1 s}}{\Psi(x) + e^{-\mu_1 s}} \text{ for all } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Also,

$$\nabla_x \overline{\phi}(s, x) = \frac{e^{-\mu_1 s} \nabla \Psi(x)}{\left(\Psi(x) + e^{-\mu_1 s}\right)^2} = \overline{\phi} \left(1 - \overline{\phi}\right) \frac{\nabla \Psi(x)}{\Psi(x)},$$

which leads to

$$\nabla_x \overline{\phi}_z = \mu_1 \overline{\phi} \left(1 - \overline{\phi} \right) \left(1 - 2\overline{\phi} \right) \frac{\nabla \Psi}{\Psi}$$

Moreover,

$$\Delta_x \overline{\phi} = (\nabla \overline{\phi} - 2\overline{\phi} \nabla_x \overline{\phi}) \cdot \frac{\nabla \Psi}{\Psi} + \overline{\phi} \left(1 - \overline{\phi}\right) \frac{\Delta \Psi}{\Psi} \frac{|\nabla \Psi|^2}{|\Psi|^2}$$
$$= \overline{\phi} (1 - \overline{\phi}) (1 - 2\overline{\phi}) \frac{|\nabla \Psi|^2}{|\Psi|^2} + \overline{\phi} (1 - \overline{\phi}) \frac{\Delta \Psi}{\Psi} + \overline{\phi} (1 - \overline{\phi}) \frac{|\nabla \Psi|^2}{|\Psi|^2}.$$

Now, we substitute the above quantities in $L_c\overline{\phi} + f(x,\overline{\phi})$ to obtain

$$\begin{split} &L_{c}\overline{\phi} + f(x,\overline{\phi}) \\ &= \frac{\overline{\phi}(1-\overline{\phi})}{\Psi} \left\{ \mu_{1}^{2}(1-2\overline{\phi})\Psi - 2\overline{\phi}\frac{|\nabla\Psi|^{2}}{\Psi} + \Delta\Psi \\ &+ 2\mu_{1}(1-2\overline{\phi})e \cdot \nabla\Psi + q \cdot \nabla\Psi + \mu_{1}q \cdot e\Psi - c\mu_{1}\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x,\overline{\phi})}{\overline{\phi}(1-\overline{\phi})} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\overline{\phi}(1-\overline{\phi})}{\Psi} \left\{ k(\mu_{1})\Psi - c\mu_{1}\Psi - 2\mu_{1}^{2}\overline{\phi}\Psi - 2\overline{\phi}\frac{|\nabla\Psi|^{2}}{\Psi} \\ &- 4\mu_{1}\overline{\phi} \ e \cdot \nabla\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x,\overline{\phi})}{\overline{\phi}(1-\overline{\phi})} - \eta(x)\Psi \right\} \end{split}$$
(48)
$$&= \frac{\overline{\phi}(1-\overline{\phi})}{\Psi} \left\{ -2\mu_{1}^{2}\overline{\phi}\Psi - 2\overline{\phi}\frac{|\nabla\Psi|^{2}}{\Psi} - 4\mu_{1}\overline{\phi} \ e \cdot \nabla\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x,\overline{\phi})}{\overline{\phi}(1-\overline{\phi})} - \eta(x)\Psi \right\}.$$

In the last line of the above equation, we used $k(\mu_1) - c\mu_1 = 0$. Therefore, $\bar{\phi}$ is an upper solution, when $c = c_0$. Appealing to Theorem 2.2, the proof is complete.

2.3. Nonlinear selection.

Theorem 2.3 (Nonlinear selection). For $c_1 > c_0$, suppose that there exists a function V(s, x) satisfying

$$0 < V(s,x) < 1, \ \limsup_{s \to \infty} V(s,x) < 1, \ V(s,x) = \Psi_{\mu_2(c_1)}(x) e^{\mu_2(c_1)s} \quad as \quad s \to -\infty$$
(49)

and

$$L_{c_1}V + f(x, V) \ge 0, (50)$$

where $\mu_2(c_1)$ is defined in (16). Then, $c^* \geq c_1$ and no traveling waves exist for $c \in [c_0, c_1)$. In other words, the nonlinear selection is realized.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Remark 2.1. However, it is important to note that, with the second condition in (49), the condition $\bar{k}(0) < 0$ is no longer needed. With this note, we omit the details of the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.3. For $c = c_0 + \varepsilon$, where ε is a sufficiently small number, let

$$\underline{\phi}(s,x) := \frac{\Psi(x)}{\Psi(x) + e^{-\mu_2 s}}.$$
(51)

$$If - 2\mu_2^2 \underline{\phi}\Psi - 2\underline{\phi} \frac{|\nabla\Psi|^2}{\Psi} - 4\mu_2 \underline{\phi} \ e \cdot \nabla\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x,\underline{\phi})}{\underline{\phi} \left(1-\underline{\phi}\right)} - \eta(x)\Psi > 0, \qquad (52)$$

then nonlinear selection is realized.

Proof. Computations, similar to the ones performed on $\overline{\phi}$ (Proof of Corollary 2.2), yield that

$$\begin{split} L_{c}\underline{\phi} + f(x,\underline{\phi}) &= \frac{\underline{\phi}(1-\underline{\phi})}{\Psi} \left\{ \mu_{2}^{2}(1-2\underline{\phi})\Psi - 2\underline{\phi}\frac{|\nabla\Psi|^{2}}{\Psi} + \Delta\Psi + 2\mu_{2}(1-2\underline{\phi})e\cdot\nabla\Psi \\ &+ q\cdot\nabla\Psi + \mu_{2}q\cdot e\Psi - c\mu_{2}\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x,\underline{\phi})}{\underline{\phi}(1-\underline{\phi})} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\underline{\phi}(1-\underline{\phi})}{\Psi} \left\{ k(\mu_{2})\Psi - c\mu_{2}\Psi - 2\mu_{2}^{2}\underline{\phi}\Psi - 2\underline{\phi}\frac{|\nabla\Psi|^{2}}{\Psi} \\ &- 4\mu_{2}\underline{\phi}\ e\cdot\nabla\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x,\underline{\phi})}{\underline{\phi}(1-\underline{\phi})} - \eta(x)\Psi \right\} \\ &= \frac{\underline{\phi}(1-\underline{\phi})}{\Psi} \left\{ -2\mu_{2}^{2}\underline{\phi}\Psi - 2\underline{\phi}\frac{|\nabla\Psi|^{2}}{\Psi} - 4\mu_{2}\underline{\phi}\ e\cdot\nabla\Psi + \frac{\Psi f(x,\underline{\phi})}{\underline{\phi}(1-\underline{\phi})} - \eta(x)\Psi \right\}, \end{split}$$

since $k(\mu_2) - c\mu_2 = 0$. Hence, the result follows from Theorem 2.3 by taking $V = (1 - \eta)\phi$, with a sufficiently small η .

Theorem 2.3 gives a lower estimate for the minimal speed. We can also provide an upper estimate for the minimal speed, when the nonlinear selection is realized.

Theorem 2.4 (Upper bound for the minimal speed). For $c_2 > c_0$, suppose that there exists a function $V_2(s, x)$ satisfying

$$0 < V_2(s,x) < 1, \quad \limsup_{s \to \infty} V_2(s,x) \le 1, \quad V_2(s,x) = \Psi_{\mu_2(c_2)}(x) e^{\mu_2(c_2)s} \quad as \quad s \to -\infty,$$
(53)

and

$$L_{c_1}V + f(x, V) \le 0,$$
 (54)

where $\mu_2(c_2)$ is defined in (16). Then, $c^* \leq c_2$.

Proof. The proof follows from the comparison principal and it is similar to that of Theorem 2.2, as long as we choose the initial function $\phi(x)$, in (42), less than $V_2(x \cdot e, x) = V(x \cdot e + ct, x)|_{t=0}$.

3. Application

In this section, we consider a simple case, where N = 1 and the advection q is a constant. We will show how our results reflect on the equation (1), which now reads

$$u_t = u_{xx} + qu_x + f(x, u), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(55)

We consider a nonlinearity f in modified KPP-Fisher class, with the Allee effect. Namely,

$$f(x,u) = u(1-u)(1+a(x)u),$$
(56)

where a(x) is a positive periodic function. Since $\eta(x) = \partial_u f(x,0) = 1$, the principal eigenfunction of (12) is $\Psi = 1$ and the principal eigenvalue is $k(\lambda) = q\lambda + \lambda^2 + 1$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Thus, the linear speed is

$$c_0 := \min_{\lambda > 0} \frac{k(\lambda)}{\lambda} = \min_{\lambda > 0} (q + \lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}) = q + 2.$$
(57)

Moreover, for any c > q + 2, from (16), we have the equation

$$\lambda^{2} + (q - c)\lambda + 1 = 0.$$
(58)

The two roots are

$$\lambda = \mu_1(c) = \frac{c - q - \sqrt{(c - q)^2 - 4}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \mu_2(c) = \frac{c - q + \sqrt{(c - q)^2 - 4}}{2}.$$
 (59)

When $c = c_0$, we have $\mu_1(c_0) = \mu_2(c_0) = 1$.

Applying Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain that

$$c_{\min} = q+2$$
, if $a(x) \le 2$ for all x ,

and

$$c_{\min} > q+2$$
, if $a(x) > 2$ for all x .

Lastly, in the case where a(x) > 2 for all x, let

$$m = \min a(x)$$
 and $M = \max a(x)$. (60)

Then, it can be derived that the minimal speed satisfies

$$q + \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} < c_{\min} < q + \sqrt{\frac{M}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}}.$$
 (61)

This provides upper and lower estimates for the minimal speed.

ASYMPTOTIC SPEED SELECTION

4. Summary

In this paper, we studied the speed selection for reaction diffusion equations in heterogeneous environments. The key feature of the nonlinear selection of the minimal speed was unveiled. We proved that the well-known minimal speed c^* is *linearly* selected if we can find an upper solution with the linear speed. We also proved that c^* is *nonlinearly* selected if we can find a lower solution with a faster decay rate, at some speed that is greater than the linear speed c_0 . As applications to these results, upper/lower bounds of the minimal speed were provided in the case of *nonlinear* selection.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Mohammad El Smaily was partially supported by the Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council through the NSERC Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2017-04313). Chunhua Ou was partially supported by the NSERC Discovery Grant (RGPIN04509-2016).

References

- H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, Front propagation in periodic excitable media, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 55 (2002), pp 949–1032.
- [2] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, N.Nadirashvili, The Speed of Propagation for KPP Type Problems (Periodic Framework), J. Eur. Math. Soc., 7 (2005), pp 173–213.
- [3] M. El Smaily, Min-max formulae for the speeds of pulsating travelling fronts in periodic excitable media, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Series IV, 189 (2010), pp 47–66.
- [4] F. Hamel, Qualitative properties of monostable pulsating fronts: exponential decay and monotonicity, J. Math. Pures Appl., (9) 89 (2008), no. 4, pp 355–399.
- [5] J. Fang, X. Yu and X. Zhao, Traveling waves and spreading speeds for time-space periodic monotone systems, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), no. 10, pp 4222–4262.
- [6] J. Fang and X. Zhao, Bistable traveling waves for monotone semiflows with applications. J. Eur. Math. Soc., (JEMS) 17 (2015), no. 9, pp 2243–2288.
- [7] X. Liang and X. Zhao, Asymptotic speeds of spread and traveling waves for monotone semiflows with applications. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60 (2007), no. 1, pp 1–40.
- [8] M. Lucia, C. B. Muratov, and M. Novaga. Linear vs. nonlinear selection for the propagation speed of the solutions of scalar reaction-diffusion equations invading an unstable equilibrium. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 57(5):616–636, 2004.
- [9] J.X. Xin, Analysis and modeling of front propagation in heterogeneous media, SIAM Review, 42 (2000), pp 161-230.