

On the local structure of the set of values of Euler's φ function

Jean-Marc Deshouillers

Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux
 Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP
 33400 Talence, France

E-mail: jean-marc.deshouillers@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Pramod Eyyunni

IIT Gandhinagar, Palaj
 Gandhinagar 382355, Gujarat, India

E-mail: pramodeyy@gmail.com

Sanoli Gun

Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI
 C.I.T Campus, Taramani
 Chennai 600113, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail: sanoli@imsc.res.in

Abstract

Assuming the validity of Dickson's conjecture, we show that the set \mathcal{V} of values of the Euler's totient function φ contains arbitrarily large arithmetic progressions with common difference 4. This leads to the question of proving unconditionally that this set \mathcal{V} has a positive upper Banach density.

1 Introduction

In [1], Mithun Kumar Das, Bhuwanesh Rao Patil and the second named author of this paper have studied the local behaviour of some sequences

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B83; Secondary 11B05, 11N32, 11N64.

Key words and phrases: Values of Euler's function, Dickson's Conjecture, Banach density.

of integers associated to Euler's totient function φ . One of the tools they use is the (upper) Banach density, also called upper uniform density. For a sequence of integers \mathcal{A} , its Banach density is defined by

$$(1) \quad \delta^*(\mathcal{A}) = \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \text{Card}(\mathcal{A} \cap (x, x + H]).$$

They claim that the sequence \mathcal{V} of values of the φ function over the positive integers has Banach density 0 and notice in [2] that their argument is not complete. The main aim of this paper is to show that, assuming a standard conjecture of Dickson, the Banach density of \mathcal{V} is $1/4$.

Let us first recall Dickson's conjecture [3] which is a predecessor of the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture on prime k -tuples and also Schinzel's Hypothesis H.

Conjecture 1.1 (Dickson's conjecture). *Let s be a positive integer and F_1, F_2, \dots, F_s be s linear polynomials with integral coefficients and positive leading coefficient such that their product has no fixed prime divisor¹. Then, there exist infinitely many positive integers t such that $F_1(t), F_2(t), \dots, F_s(t)$ are all primes.*

Our main result is the following;

Theorem 1.2. *Assuming the validity of Dickson's conjecture, the set of values of the Euler's totient function φ has upper Banach density $1/4$.*

The lower bound $\delta^*(\mathcal{V}) \geq 1/4$ is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3 which is stated below and proved in Section 2. The upper bound $\delta^*(\mathcal{V}) \leq 1/4$ is easily derived, in Section 3, from a result of Ford-Konyagin-Pomerance [4].

Theorem 1.3. *Assume the validity of Dickson's conjecture. For any positive integer H , there exist positive integers M, m_1, \dots, m_H such that*

$$(2) \quad \forall h \in [1, H]: \quad \varphi(m_h) = M + 4h.$$

Remark 1. It would be interesting to prove unconditionally that the Banach density of \mathcal{V} is positive.

¹We say that a prime number p is a *fixed prime divisor* of a polynomial G if we have: $\forall t \in \mathbb{Z} : p|G(t)$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The letters p and q , with or without index or subscript, always denote prime numbers.

Step 1. Germain primes in arithmetic progressions

Although our subsequent construction will use Germain primes ², it is possible to avoid them and also the Dickson conjecture at this stage, but their use will make our life easier.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume the validity of Dickson's conjecture. Let a be a positive integer and b be an integer such that both b and $2b+1$ are coprime to a . The arithmetic progression with difference a and first term b contains infinitely many Germain primes.*

Proof. We show that the polynomial G defined by

$$G(t) = (at + b)(2at + 2b + 1)$$

has no fixed prime divisor.

First, 2 is not a fixed divisor: otherwise, $a = (a \times 1 + b) - (a \times 0 + b)$ is even and then b is even, a contradiction.

Next, if $p > 2$ does not divide a , then the polynomial G modulo p is of degree two: it has at most two roots and cannot have p roots.

Finally, if $p > 2$ divides a , then the polynomial G modulo p is the constant $b(2b+1)$, which is coprime to p , otherwise we would have either $\gcd(a, b) > 1$ or $\gcd(a, 2b+1) > 1$, a contradiction to our hypothesis.

By Dickson's conjecture, there exist infinitely many t such that $at + b$ is prime, as well as $2at + 2b + 1 = 2(at + b) + 1$. \square

Step 2. Construction of a suitable family of primes.

The proof will make use of a family of Germain primes satisfying some congruences and size properties, the existence of which is asserted by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $H \geq 5$. Assuming Dickson's conjecture, one can find H Sophie Germain primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_H such that*

$$(3) \quad p_1 > 4H + 1 \quad \text{and for any } h \text{ in } [1, H - 1] : p_{h+1} > 3p_h(2p_h + 1)^3.$$

²A prime number p is said to be a (Sophie) Germain prime if $2p + 1$ is also a prime number.

Further, for all $h, k \in [1, H]$ with $h \neq k$ and for all $p \in [5, H]$, these Sophie Germain primes satisfy the following relations;

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad & \gcd(2h + p_h, p) = 1, \\
(5) \quad & \gcd(2h + p_h(2p_h + 1)^3, p) = 1, \\
(6) \quad & \gcd(p_h - 2(k - h), p_k(2p_k + 1)) = 1, \\
(7) \quad & \text{and } \gcd(p_h(2p_h + 1)^3 - 2(k - h), p_k(2p_k + 1)) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.2

We let

$$\Pi_H = \prod_{\substack{5 \leq p \leq H \\ p \text{ prime}}} p.$$

We prove by induction that for any h between 1 and H , we can find Germain primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_h such that

$$(8) \quad p_1 > 4H + 1 \quad \text{and for any } \ell \text{ in } [1, h - 1] : p_{\ell+1} > 3p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)^3.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad & \forall \ell \in [1, h], \forall p \in [5, H]: \quad \gcd(2\ell + p_\ell, p) = 1, \\
(10) \quad & \text{and } \gcd(2\ell + p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)^3, p) = 1, \\
(11) \quad & \forall k < \ell \leq h: \quad \gcd(p_\ell - 2(k - \ell), p_k(2p_k + 1)) = 1, \\
(12) \quad & \text{and } \gcd(p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)^3 - 2(k - \ell), p_k(2p_k + 1)) = 1, \\
(13) \quad & \forall k < \ell \leq h: \quad \gcd(p_k - 2(\ell - k), p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)) = 1, \\
(14) \quad & \text{and } \gcd(p_k(2p_k + 1)^3 - 2(\ell - k), p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Construction of p_1 .

For $h = 1$, conditions (11) to (14) are empty. Condition (8) will be satisfied as soon as we know that there are infinitely many Germain primes satisfying (9) and (10).

For $p \in [11, H]$, we can always find a residue class $r_1(p)$ modulo p such that none of $r_1(p)$, $2r_1(p)+1$, $2+r_1(p)$ and $2+r_1(p)(2r_1(p)+1)^3$ are equivalent to 0 modulo p . This is possible as we have to avoid at most 7 ($= 1+1+1+4$) residue classes modulo p .

This is also true for $p = 5$ and $p = 7$: indeed, for $p = 5$, the set of residue classes $A_5 := \{1(5), 1 \times (2 \times 1 + 1)^3(5)\}$ is disjoint from the set of residue classes $B_5 := \{3(5), 3 \times (2 \times 3 + 1)^3(5)\}$ and that for $p = 7$, the set of

residue classes $A_7 := \{1(7), 1 \times (2 \times 1 + 1)^3(7)\}$ is disjoint from the set of residue classes $B_7 := \{2(7), 2 \times (2 \times 2 + 1)^3(7)\}$. Hence at least one of the sets among $A_5 + 2$ and $B_5 + 2$ consists of non-zero residue classes. Same applies to at least one of the sets among $A_7 + 2$ and $B_7 + 2$.

Having found suitable residue classes $r_1(p)$ for any prime $p \in [5, H]$, the Chinese remainder theorem permits us to find a positive integer $s(1)$ such that, for each prime $p \in [5, H]$, none of the numbers $s(1)$, $2s(1) + 1$, $2 + s(1)$ and $2 + s(1)(2s(1) + 1)^3$ is congruent to 0 modulo p . Thus, by Lemma 2.1 the arithmetic progression with difference Π_H and first term $s(1)$ contains infinitely many Germain primes satisfying (9) and (10), and we can thus find such a prime satisfying also (8).

We now apply induction to complete the proof of Proposition 1.

Let us now assume that for some h between 1 and $H - 1$ we have constructed a family of h Germain primes satisfying relations (8) to (14). Now we would like to construct p_{h+1} . It is enough to show that there exist infinitely many Germain primes p_ℓ satisfying the relations (9) to (14) where ℓ and h are replaced by $h + 1$. Our new relations (13) and (14) are trivially satisfied as soon as p_{h+1} is large enough. For each $\ell < h + 1$, one can choose an integer $r_{h+1}(\ell)$ satisfying the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(r_{h+1}(\ell), p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)) &= 1, \\ \gcd(2r_{h+1}(\ell) + 1, p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)) &= 1, \\ \gcd(r_{h+1}(\ell) - 2(\ell - (h + 1)), p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)) &= 1, \\ \gcd(r_{h+1}(\ell)(2r_{h+1}(\ell) + 1)^3 - 2(\ell - (h + 1)), p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is possible as we need to avoid at most 14 residue classes modulo $p_\ell(2p_\ell + 1)$. Arguing as we did previously, we can find a positive integer $s(h + 1)$ such that all the numbers $s(h + 1)$, $2s(h + 1) + 1$, $2(h + 1) + s(h + 1)$ and $2(h + 1) + s(h + 1)(2s(h + 1) + 1)^3$ are coprime to Π_H .

By the Chinese remainder theorem and Dickson's conjecture, there exist infinitely many Germain primes which satisfy the relations (9) to (12), and we can choose one of them which is sufficiently large to satisfy also (8), (13) and (14); we call such a prime p_{h+1} .

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. □

Step 3. Construction of an auxiliary polynomial F .

We consider the set $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_H\}$ introduced in Proposition 2.2 and for, $h \in [1, H]$, we define the integer n_h by

$$(15) \quad n_h = \begin{cases} 2p_h, & \text{if } h \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ 2p_h(2p_h + 1)^3 & \text{if } h \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

We notice that, thanks to relation (3), the numbers $n_h/2$ as h varies from 1 to H are pairwise coprime. We let

$$U = 12\Pi_H \prod_{h=1}^H n_h^5$$

and we select a positive integer r such that

$$(16) \quad r \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \pmod{12\Pi_H} \\ 1 - 4h & \pmod{(n_h/2)^2} \text{ for all integer } h \in [1, H]. \end{cases}$$

For $h \in [1, H]$, we define the polynomial F_h by

$$(17) \quad F_h(t) = \frac{Ut + r - 1 + 4h}{n_h} + 1$$

and we let

$$F = \prod_{h=1}^H F_h.$$

It is readily seen that each F_h is a linear polynomial with integer coefficients and positive leading coefficient.

Step 4. The polynomial F has no fixed prime divisor.

If p does not divide U , the congruence $F(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has at most H solutions in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$; but p is larger than H and thus p is not a fixed divisor of F .

If p divides U , then either $p \in [2, H]$ or $p = p_h, 2p_h + 1$ for some $1 \leq h \leq H$. In this case, the relation

$$(18) \quad F(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \iff \prod_{h=1}^H \frac{r - 1 + 4h}{n_h} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Note that for any $h \in [1, H]$, we have $r - 1 + 4h \equiv 0 \pmod{n_h^2}$ and hence $p = 2$ can never satisfy (18) and also $F_h(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{n_h}$. Now suppose that $p = p_h$ or $2p_h + 1$ divides $(r - 1 + 4k)/n_k + 1$ for some $k \neq h$. Then we have

$$2(k - h) + \frac{n_k}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

a contradiction to the relations (6) and (7).

Finally, if any prime $p \in [3, H]$ satisfies (18), then we have

$$2h + \frac{n_h}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

a contradiction to relations (4), (5) and the fact that any Germain prime $p_G > 3$ is always congruent to 2 modulo 3 and that $p_G(2p_G+1)^3$ is congruent to 1 modulo 3.

Thus, the polynomial F has no fixed divisor.

Step 5. Finding integers satisfying (2).

Since the polynomials F_h have positive leading coefficients and F has no fixed prime divisor, Dickson's conjecture implies that one can find a positive integer t_0 such that for each $h \in [1, H]$ the value of the polynomials F_h at t_0 are prime numbers. Let us write $q_h = F_h(t_0)$ and $M = Ut_0 + r - 1$.

We thus have

$$(19) \quad q_h = \frac{M + 4h}{n_h} + 1.$$

We notice that n_h is a value of the φ function, since $\varphi(2p_h + 1) = 2p_h$ and $\varphi((2p_h + 1)^4) = 2p_h(2p_h + 1)^3$. Let us write ν_h to be $2p_h + 1$ or $(2p_h + 1)^4$ so that $n_h = \varphi(\nu_h)$. We have for all h

$$M + 4h = \varphi(q_h)\varphi(\nu_h) = \varphi(q_h\nu_h),$$

the last equality coming from the fact that, by construction, q_h is a prime larger than ν_h and thus coprime with it.

Theorem 1.3 is thus proved. \square

Remark 2. The reader will easily notice that with our construction, the sequence $(m_h)_h$ is decreasing and that a similar proof would permit to show that for any permutation τ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, H\}$, one can find a sequence of integers $(m_h)_h$ satisfying Theorem 1.3 such that the sequence $(m_{\tau(h)})_h$ is decreasing.

Remark 3. The use of Dickson's conjecture is not necessary in the construction of a suitable polynomial F . By using a sieve method, one can construct a polynomial

$$F(t) = \prod_{1 \leq h \leq H} \left(\frac{Ut + r - 1 + 4h}{u_h} + 1 \right),$$

such that each of its factors has integral coefficients, which has no fixed prime divisor and such that for each $h \in [1, H]$ the number $u_h + 1$ is prime.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

As mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 1.3 easily implies that the Banach density of the set \mathcal{V} is at least $1/4$.

Let us show why the opposite inequality is a direct consequence of the following result of K. Ford, S. Konyagin and C. Pomerance.

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 2 of [4]). *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist such m that at least $(1 - \varepsilon)m$ residue classes $a \pmod{4m}$, $0 < a < 4m$, $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ are totient free.*

Since $\varphi(n)$ is even except when n is equal to 1 or 2, Theorem 3.1 implies that for any positive real number ε less than 1, we can find an m such that at least $(3 - \varepsilon)m$ residue classes $a \pmod{4m}$, $0 < a < 4m$ are totient free. For any positive H and x we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Card}\{\mathcal{V} \cap (x, x + H]\} &\leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{H}{4m} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) (1 + \varepsilon)m \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{H}{4} + 2m. \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality and the definition of the Banach density (1) imply $\delta^*(\mathcal{V}) \leq 1/4$.

4 Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Kevin Ford who drew their attention to the paper [4].

This work benefitted from the support of the SPARC project 445. The first author is thankful to the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, and the Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Prayagraj, for providing excellent conditions for working. The first and the third author would also like to thank the Indo-French program (IFPM) in mathematics. The third author would also like to thank MTR/2018/000201 and DAE number theory plan project for partial financial support.

References

- [1] Mithun Kumar Das, Pramod Eyyunni and Bhuwanesh Rao Patil, *Sparse subsets of the natural numbers and Euler's totient function*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), **129** (2019), no. 5, Paper No. 84, 25pp.
- [2] Mithun Kumar Das, Pramod Eyyunni and Bhuwanesh Rao Patil, *Correction to : Sparse subsets of the natural numbers and Euler's totient function*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), **130** (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 30, 2pp.
- [3] L. E. Dickson, *A new extension of Dirichlet's theorem on prime numbers*, Messenger of Math. **33** (1904), 155–161.
- [4] K. Ford, S. Konyagin and C. Pomerance, *Residue classes free of values of Euler's function*, Number Theory in progress, vol. 2 (Zakopane - Kościelisko, 1997), 805-812, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.