

Manifolds with two projective bundle structures

Gianluca Occhetta, Eleonora A. Romano, and Luis E. Solá Conde

ABSTRACT. In this paper we classify varieties of Picard number two having two projective bundle structures of any relative dimension, under the assumption that these structures are mutually uniform. As an application we prove the Campana–Peternell conjecture for varieties of Picard number one admitting \mathbb{C}^* -actions of a certain kind.

1. Introduction

Within the class of smooth complex projective varieties, having a projective bundle structure is an uncommon property (see [1]). As a consequence, one does not expect to find many varieties of low Picard number having more than one of these structures. Besides the trivial constructions (products), the standard example is the projectivization of the tangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^n , whose second contraction is a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n\vee}$. Looking at this example from the point of view of Representation Theory, one may easily construct other examples of the kind among rational homogeneous varieties. Apart of them, only one example is known, and it supports a large group of automorphism (see [8]). More generally, one expects the interplay among the different structures of projective bundle to be the cause of the existence of automorphisms of the variety. This feature is well understood in the case in which these structures have relative dimension one and there are as many as the Picard number of the variety ([15, 16]); the case of projective bundle structures of higher relative dimension is, to our best knowledge, still unexplored.

Nevertheless, even the simplest case of varieties of Picard number two having two projective bundle structures appears naturally in different situations. In the setup of Projective Geometry, we find them in the problem of classifying smooth projective subvarieties $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ having smooth dual (see [2]). Within Birational Geometry, they appear as the exceptional divisors of simple K -equivalent maps (cf. [7]), a class containing Mukai flops. Classification results for manifolds with two projective bundle structures could be useful in the study of this type of maps.

In this paper we will consider the problem of classifying varieties of Picard number two having two projective bundle structures which are mutually uniform. This means that the pullback of one of the structures to a line in a fiber of the other

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M99; Secondary 14J45, 14M17.

First and third author supported by PRIN project “Geometria delle varietà algebriche”. Second author supported by MIUR project FFABR, and by the Polish National Science Center project 2013/08/A/ST1/00804.

is independent of the chosen line. The tight relation of uniformity with homogeneity is well documented in the literature (see [12] and the references therein), and in our setting allows us to prove the main result of this paper (Theorem 3.2), stating that a variety satisfying the above conditions is homogeneous. We observe here that the result is not true without the uniformity assumption: the smooth 5-dimensional quadric admits a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle whose second contraction is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle (cf. [8, 17]).

Finally, in Theorem 5.4, we present an application of our result in the framework of Campana–Petersen Conjecture, which states that a smooth Fano variety with nef tangent bundle is homogeneous. Recently, Li (cf. [10]) has shown that horospherical varieties with nef tangent bundle are homogeneous; after reducing to the main case of horospherical varieties of Picard number one, the author uses the classification due to Pasquier (see [18]), and shows that none of the non homogeneous examples has nef tangent bundle. Horospherical varieties of Picard number one admit a \mathbb{C}^* -action of bandwidth one (the bandwidth of an action is a measure of its complexity, see Definition 5.1). Using our main result we deduce Theorem 5.4, which states that smooth varieties of Picard number one with nef tangent bundle admitting a \mathbb{C}^* -action of bandwidth one are rational homogeneous.

Outline: We start the paper with some background material (Section 2) on rational homogeneous varieties and bundles. We recall the definition of tag of a flag bundle on the projective line, a concept that allows us to talk about uniformity of rational homogeneous bundles. We finish the section by recalling the concept of nesting of a rational homogeneous bundle, and a result about them, that we will use in our proof to detect symplectic structures on projective bundles. In Section 3 we state our Main Theorem and present the notation we will use along its proof. We prove the Theorem in Section 4; starting with some preliminary results, we show in Section 4.2 how to construct a variety dominating our initial variety Y , and having as many \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle structures as its Picard number. Then by [16, Theorem A.1] this is a flag manifold, and the homogeneity of Y follows. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to the application to the Campana–Petersen conjecture mentioned above.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper we will work with complex projective varieties. We denote by $\rho_X := \dim N^1(X) = \dim N_1(X)$ the *Picard number* of X . Given a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on a variety X , $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the Grothendieck’s projectivization of \mathcal{E} , that is $\text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} S^m \mathcal{E})$.

2.1. Notation on rational homogeneous varieties. We introduce some notation regarding semisimple algebraic groups and their projective quotients; we refer to [4, 5] for details on this topic. Along the paper G will denote a semisimple algebraic group, B a *Borel subgroup* $B \subset G$, and H a *Cartan subgroup* $H \subset B$ (i.e., a maximal torus contained in B). The torus H determines a *root system* Φ , whose *Weyl group* W is isomorphic to the quotient $N(H)/H$ of the normalizer $N(H)$ of H in G . Within Φ , B determines a base of positive simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ whose associated reflections we denote by s_i . Let \mathcal{D} be the *Dynkin diagram* whose set of nodes is Δ . When G is simple, the nodes of the Dynkin diagram will be numbered as in the standard reference [6, p. 58] and we will identify each node $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ with the corresponding index i .

For every nonempty subset $I \subset \Delta$, denoting by I^c its complement $\Delta \setminus I$, we may consider a *parabolic subgroup* $P(I^c)$ defined as $P(I^c) := BW(I^c)B$, where $W(I^c) \subset W$ is the subgroup of W generated by the reflections s_i , $i \in I^c$. Quotienting by the subgroups $P(I^c)$ we obtain the *rational homogeneous varieties* $G/P(I^c)$ (cf. [4, § 23.3]), so that an inclusion $I \subset J \subset \Delta$ provides a smooth contraction $G/P(J^c) \rightarrow G/P(I^c)$. Given $I \subset \Delta$ it is standard to represent $G/P(I^c)$ by the Dynkin diagram \mathcal{D} marked on the nodes I . For this reason, and in order to have a unified notation within the class of rational homogeneous varieties, we will set:

$$\mathcal{D}(I) := G/P(I^c).$$

The variety $G/B = \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$ is called the *complete flag manifold* associated to G .

2.2. Generalities on flag bundles.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a complex smooth projective variety, and G be a semisimple group with Dynkin diagram \mathcal{D} . A *rational homogeneous bundle* (or G/P -bundle) $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is a smooth morphism whose fibers are isomorphic to G/P , where P is a parabolic subgroup of G . If $P = B$ is a Borel subgroup of G , we say that p is a *flag bundle* of type \mathcal{D} (also called \mathcal{D} -bundle, or G/B -bundle).

Throughout the paper we will be mostly interested in the case in which X is rationally connected and, in particular, simply connected. It then follows that a G/P -bundle $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is completely determined by a cocycle $\theta \in H^1(X, G_{\text{ad}})$, where G_{ad} is the adjoint group of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . Since G/P can be seen as a quotient of G_{ad} , it is harmless to assume that $G = G_{\text{ad}}$ (see [12, Section 2] for details). Moreover, if $E \rightarrow X$ is the G -principal bundle defined by the cocycle θ , the G/P -bundle $p : Y \rightarrow X$ will be isomorphic to:

$$E \times^G G/P := (E \times G/P) / \sim, \quad (e, gP) \sim (eh, h^{-1}gP), \quad \forall h \in G.$$

In a similar way, for every $I \subset \Delta$ we construct a $\mathcal{D}(I)$ -bundle denoted by $\pi_I : \mathcal{Y}(I) := E \times^G \mathcal{D}(I) \rightarrow X$. Note that this notation is slightly different from the one used in [12], where the $\mathcal{D}(I)$ -bundle $\mathcal{Y}(I)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{Y}_{\Delta \setminus I}$.

In particular, setting $\pi := \pi_\Delta$, $\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{Y}(\Delta)$, we get a flag bundle $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$, whose projection factors by π_I , for every $I \subset \Delta$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{I^c}} \mathcal{Y}(I) \xrightarrow{\pi_I} & X \end{array}$$

Note that ρ_{I^c} is a flag bundle over $\mathcal{Y}(I)$, with fibers isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{I^c}(I^c)$, where \mathcal{D}_{I^c} is the Dynkin subdiagram of \mathcal{D} supported on the set of nodes I^c .

DEFINITION 2.2. Given a subgroup $G' \subset G$, and a flag bundle $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ as above, we say that π *reduces to G'* if the cocycle $\theta \in H^1(X, G)$ defining π belongs to the image of the natural map $H^1(X, G') \rightarrow H^1(X, G)$.

We will be mostly interested in the case in which G' is semisimple, so that θ defines a flag bundle $\pi' : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow X$, where \mathcal{Y}' is contained in \mathcal{Y} .

EXAMPLE 2.3. In the case in which π is a flag bundle of type A_r , with r odd, saying that π reduces to $\text{PSp}(r+1) \subset \text{PGL}(r+1)$ is equivalent to say that the \mathbb{P}^r -bundle $\pi_1 : \mathcal{Y}(1) \rightarrow X$ supports a relative contact structure, so that \mathcal{Y}' is the subvariety $\mathcal{Y}' \subset \mathcal{Y}$ parametrizing flags in the \mathbb{P}^r 's, isotropic with respect to the contact structure. In this case \mathcal{Y}' is a flag bundle of type $C_{(r+1)/2}$.


DEFINITION 2.4. A flag bundle $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ is called *diagonalizable* if its defining cocycle $\theta \in H^1(X, G)$ belongs to the image of the natural map $H^1(X, H) \rightarrow H^1(X, G)$, where $H \subset G$ denotes a Cartan subgroup.

The next statement provides a geometric criterion for the diagonalizability of flag bundles (cf. [12, Corollary 3.10]).

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ be a flag bundle, and assume that X is a Fano manifold of Picard number one. Then π is diagonalizable if and only if it admits a section.*

2.3. Flag bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 . The case of flag bundles on the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 is particularly simple. In fact, following [12, Section 4], any G/B -bundle $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is completely determined by the Dynkin diagram \mathcal{D} of G , together with a map $\delta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, sending i to $d_i = K_i \cdot \Gamma_0$, being K_i the relative canonical of the elementary contraction $\rho_i : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(i^c) := \mathcal{Y}(\{i\}^c)$ with $i \in \Delta$, and Γ_0 a minimal section of π , i.e., a section without deformations with a point fixed. Such δ is called *tag* of π . When an ordering on Δ is given, we will denote δ by the n -tuple (d_1, \dots, d_n) .

EXAMPLE 2.6. In the case of a G/B -bundle of type A_r over \mathbb{P}^1 , obtained as the complete flag bundle associated to a vector bundle $\bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ with $a_0 \leq \dots \leq a_r$, the tag of the G/B -bundle is $(a_1 - a_0, \dots, a_r - a_{r-1})$, when ordering the nodes of A_r from left to right:



Later on we will make use of the following two statements:

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a \mathcal{D} -bundle, with tag $\delta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let $s : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(I)$ be a minimal section. The tag of the \mathcal{D}_{I^c} -bundle $s^*\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{Y}(I)} \mathbb{P}^1$ is $\delta|_{I^c}$.*

PROOF. A minimal section of $s^*\mathcal{Y}$ over \mathbb{P}^1 maps via the natural inclusion $\iota : s^*\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ to a minimal section of \mathcal{Y} over \mathbb{P}^1 . The tag of $s^*\mathcal{Y}$ is given by the intersections of the relative canonical line bundles K_i , $i \in I^c$, of the elementary contractions of $s^*\mathcal{Y}$ over \mathbb{P}^1 . Since these relative canonicals are the pullbacks via ι of the corresponding relative canonicals of \mathcal{Y} over \mathbb{P}^1 , the result follows. \blacksquare

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi' : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be flag bundles, with Dynkin diagrams \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' , having nodes indexed by Δ and Δ' , and tags δ, δ' . Set $I_0 := \{i \in \Delta \mid \delta(i) = 0\}$, $I'_0 := \{i \in \Delta' \mid \delta'(i) = 0\}$, $N' := I_0'^c$, and let J be a subset of I_0 . Assume that there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}(J) & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathcal{Y}'(N') \\ \pi_J \searrow & & \swarrow \pi'_{N'} \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 & \end{array}$$

such that the image of a minimal section of π_J is the minimal section of $\pi'_{N'}$. Then s is relatively constant.

PROOF. It is enough to prove that the composition of s with the natural projection $\rho_{J^c} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(J)$ is relatively constant over \mathbb{P}^1 . Denoting by Γ_k the fibers of the elementary contractions ρ_k of \mathcal{Y} over \mathbb{P}^1 , we are left to show that $s \circ \rho_{J^c}$ contracts all the curves Γ_k , $k \in J$.

Given $k \in J$, denoting by Γ a minimal section of $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, the hypothesis $\delta(k) = 0$ tells us that $\rho_k^{-1}(\rho_k(\Gamma)) \simeq \Gamma \times \Gamma_k \subset \mathcal{Y}$, and the fibers of the projection $\Gamma \times \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ are minimal sections of \mathcal{Y} over \mathbb{P}^1 . Since we are assuming that s maps minimal sections of π_J to the minimal section of $\pi'_{N'}$, it follows that $\rho_k^{-1}(\rho_k(\Gamma))$ gets contracted by $s \circ \rho_{J^c}$ to the unique minimal section of $\pi'_{N'} : \mathcal{Y}'(N') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. In other words, $s \circ \rho_{J^c}$ contracts Γ_k to a point. \blacksquare

2.4. Uniform flag bundles. In this section we focus on another property related to flag bundles, which is the *uniformity*. We refer to [12, Section 5] for further details. A *family of rational curves* \mathcal{M} on X is an irreducible subvariety $\mathcal{M} \subset \text{RatCurves}^n(X)$ with universal family $p : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, and evaluation morphism $\text{ev} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$. Note that we do not require \mathcal{M} to be a *complete family*, i.e., an irreducible component of $\text{RatCurves}^n(X)$. A family of rational curves is called *dominating* if the evaluation ev is dominating, and *unsplit* if \mathcal{M} is proper.

If \mathcal{M} is a family of rational curves as above, and $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ is a G/B -bundle, then the fiber product $\text{ev}^*\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y} \times_X \mathcal{U}$ has a natural structure of G/B -bundle over \mathcal{U} (cf. [12, Section 2.1]), which by abuse we continue to denote by π :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ev}^*\mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{q} & X \end{array}$$

For every rational curve $C = p^{-1}(z) \subset \mathcal{U}$ the pullback of the G/B -bundle $\text{ev}^*\mathcal{Y}$ to C is determined by its tag $\delta_C(\mathcal{Y}) = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ on the Dynkin diagram of the group G . Hence it make sense to give the following definition:

DEFINITION 2.9. Let X be a complex smooth projective variety with a dominating family \mathcal{M} of rational curves. We say that a G/B -bundle $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ is *uniform with respect to \mathcal{M}* if the tag $\delta_C(\mathcal{Y})$ does not depend on the chosen curve $C \in \mathcal{M}$. In this case, the tag of π will be denoted by $\delta(\mathcal{Y})$ or simply by δ . Moreover, a rational homogeneous bundle $Y \rightarrow X$ is said to be uniform with respect to \mathcal{M} if the associated complete flag bundle is uniform.

The following statement characterizes trivial flag bundles by their tags:

THEOREM 2.10 ([12, Theorem 5.5]). *Let X be a manifold which is rationally chain connected with respect to $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_s$, unsplit families of rational curves, and $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ a G/B bundle over X . Assume that for every rational curve $C_i \in \mathcal{M}_i$ we have $\delta_{C_i}(\mathcal{Y}) = (0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\mathcal{Y} \cong X \times G/B$ is trivial.*

Let $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ be a G/B -bundle, uniform with respect to an unsplit dominating family \mathcal{M} of rational curves, with tag δ . We set

$$(1) \quad I_0 := \{i \in \Delta \mid \delta(i) = 0\} \subset \Delta, \quad N := I_0^c \subset \Delta$$

and denote by $F \simeq \mathcal{D}_{I_0}(I_0)$ the fiber of $\rho_{I_0} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(N)$. Then over every rational curve C of the family \mathcal{M} we have a well defined trivial proper subflag $F \times C \subset \pi^{-1}(C)$. This subflag determines a section of the restriction of the pullback $\text{ev}^*\mathcal{Y}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ to C , for every C , and one may then prove (cf. [12, Section 6.1]) that all these sections glue together into a section:

LEMMA 2.11. *Let X be smooth projective variety, dominated by an unsplit family \mathcal{M} of rational curves, with evaluation $\text{ev} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ be a flag bundle, uniform with respect to \mathcal{M} . Then there exists a morphism*

$$(2) \quad s_0 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(N),$$

such that $\pi_N \circ s_0 = \text{ev}$, sending a fiber ℓ of $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to the unique minimal section of the pullback of $\pi_N : \mathcal{Y}(N) \rightarrow X$ to ℓ .

The following statement is a diagonalizability criterion for uniform flag bundles, that can be read in [12, Corollary 6.5].

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let X be a Fano manifold of Picard number one, $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ be a G/B -bundle over X , uniform with respect to an unsplit and dominating family \mathcal{M} of rational curves in X , and let I_0, N be as in (1). Assume that the section $s_0 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(N)$ factors via $q : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$. Then π is diagonalizable.*

2.5. Nestings. Later on we will use some results on sections of the natural projections of rational homogeneous bundles, called *nestings*; see [11] for details.

DEFINITION 2.13. Given a Dynkin diagram \mathcal{D} and two disjoint nonempty sets of nodes I, J of \mathcal{D} , a *nesting of type (\mathcal{D}, I, J)* is a section of the contraction $\mathcal{D}(I \cup J) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(I)$. Given a flag bundle $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ of type \mathcal{D} , a *nesting of type (\mathcal{Y}, I, J)* is a section of the contraction $\mathcal{Y}(I \cup J) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(I)$.

The results obtained in [11] essentially say that this kind of maps are very rare. In this paper we will only make use of them in the case of bundles of type A, as summarized in the following statement:

PROPOSITION 2.14. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ be a flag bundle of type A_r on a smooth projective variety X , uniform with respect to an unsplit dominating family of rational curves \mathcal{M} , with tag $\delta = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$, and admitting a nesting of type (\mathcal{Y}, I, J) . Then r is odd, $I \cup J = \{1, r\}$, and $d_i = d_{r+1-i}$ for all i . Moreover \mathcal{Y} reduces to $\text{PSp}(r+1)$, and the associated flag bundle of type $C_{(r+1)/2}$ is uniform with respect to \mathcal{M} with tag $(d_1, \dots, d_{(r+1)/2})$.*

PROOF. Restricting the nesting to fibers over points of X , and using [11, Theorem 1.1], we get that r is odd and that, up to reverting the order of the nodes of A_r , $I = \{1\}$, $J = \{r\}$. By [11, Corollary 3.9, Proposition 4.3], the bundle reduces to $\text{PSp}(r+1)$ and, applying [11, Proposition 4.11], the tag δ is symmetric. The last observation follows by the definition of tag. \blacksquare

3. Setup

Let Y be a smooth projective variety of Picard number two which admits two projective bundle structures $p_{\pm} : Y \rightarrow X_{\pm}$ of relative dimensions $r_{\pm} := \dim Y - \dim X_{\pm}$. They define two complete flag bundles (of type A_{r_+} , A_{r_-} , respectively) over X_+ and X_- ; we denote them by $\mathcal{Y}^+, \mathcal{Y}^-$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{Y}^- & & \mathcal{Y}^+ & \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & Y & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & X_- & & X_+ & \end{array}$$

We denote by \mathcal{M}_+ the family of lines in the fibers of p_+ , with universal family $\text{ev}_+ : \mathcal{U}_+ \rightarrow Y$. We can think of \mathcal{M}_+ as a dominating unsplit family of rational curves in X_- , which is not in general a complete family:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{U}_+ & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_+} & Y & \xrightarrow{p_-} & X_- \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_+ & & \\ \mathcal{M}_+ & \longrightarrow & X_+ & & \end{array}$$

The map $\text{ev}_+ : \mathcal{U}_+ \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathbb{P}^{r+1} bundle, given by the projectivization of the relative cotangent bundle of $Y \rightarrow X_+$, and the composition $p_+ \circ \text{ev}_+ : \mathcal{U}_+ \rightarrow X_+$ is a partial flag bundle (of points and lines on the fibers of p_+), that can be obtained as a contraction of \mathcal{Y}^+ . The same holds for the family $\mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_-$ of lines in the fibers of p_- , with evaluation map $\text{ev}_- : \mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow Y$.

ASSUMPTION 3.1. In the sequel we will assume that $p_- : Y \rightarrow X_-$ (resp. p_+) is uniform with respect to the curves of \mathcal{M}_+ (resp. \mathcal{M}_-). We will say that p_+ and p_- are *mutually uniform*.

We will denote by δ_- the tag of the flag bundle $\mathcal{Y}^- \rightarrow X_-$ with respect to the family \mathcal{M}_+ , and set $I_{0-} := \{j \in \Delta_- \mid \delta_-(j) = 0\} \subset \Delta_-$, $N_- := I_{0-}^c$, where Δ_- denotes the set of nodes of the Dynkin diagram A_{r_-} . The unmarking of the nodes of I_{0-} defines a factorization of $\mathcal{Y}^- \rightarrow X_-$ via the rational homogeneous bundle $\mathcal{Y}^-(N_-) \rightarrow X_-$. In the same way we define δ_+ , Δ_+ , I_{0+} , and N_+ .

THEOREM 3.2 (Main Theorem). *Let Y be a smooth projective variety with $\rho_Y = 2$, supporting two mutually uniform projective bundle structures. Then Y is rational homogeneous.*

REMARK 3.3. With the notation introduced in Section 2.1, one may check that the list of varieties satisfying the assumptions of the Theorem is:

$$\begin{aligned} &A_n(1, n), \ n \geq 2; \quad A_n(r, r+1), \ n \geq 2, \ r < n; \quad B_3(1, 3); \quad B_n(n-1, n), \ n \geq 2; \\ &C_n(r, r+1), \ n \geq 2, \ r < n; \quad D_n(n-1, n), \ n \geq 4; \quad F_4(2, 3); \quad G_2(1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{M}_- is an unsplit dominating family of rational curves in X_+ with respect to which p_+ is uniform, by Lemma 2.11, there exists a morphism $s_{0-} : \mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$ over X_+ ; analogously, we obtain a morphism $s_{0+} : \mathcal{U}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^-(N_-)$. The above maps fit in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \mathcal{Y}^- & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}^-(N_-) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{Y}^+ \\ & \downarrow & & & \nearrow s_{0-} & \nwarrow s_{0+} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_- & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{U}_- & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_-} & Y & \xleftarrow{\text{ev}_+} & \mathcal{U}_+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_+ \\ & & \downarrow & \nwarrow p_- & & \nearrow p_+ & & \downarrow \\ & & X_- & & & & & X_+ \end{array}$$

In the case in which $\delta_+(1) \neq 0$, the natural projection $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \rightarrow X_+$ factors via Y . The next statement shows that if $\delta_+(1) = 0$ then the section s_{0-} can be lifted to a bundle $\mathcal{Y}^+(I)$ dominating $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$ and Y .

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & s_{0-} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \\ \mathcal{U}_- & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y}^+(I) & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{ev}_- & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & & & \nearrow p_+ & \\ & & & & X_+ \end{array}$$

PROOF. We may consider \mathcal{U}_- as a family of rational curves in Y , and the A_{r_+-1} -bundle $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow Y$, obtained as a factorization of the A_{r_+} -bundle $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow X_+$. By Lemma 2.7, numbering the nodes of A_{r_+-1} from 2 to r_+ , the set of indices for which the tag of $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow Y$ on the curves of \mathcal{U}_- is zero is equal to I . Then Lemma 2.11 tells us that there exists a map $f : \mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(I)$ commuting with the projections onto Y . On the other hand we have a projection $\mathcal{Y}^+(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$; since f sends a curve of \mathcal{M}_- to the minimal section of $\mathcal{Y}^+(I) \rightarrow X_+$ over it, and s_{0-} sends a curve of \mathcal{M}_- to the minimal section of $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \rightarrow X_+$ over it, it follows that the map f makes the diagram (3) commutative. \blacksquare

In this section we will prove Theorem 3.2. We will start by introducing some preliminary results.

4.1. Some preliminary results. We start with two lemmas regarding projective bundles.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of Picard number one. If $p: Y \rightarrow X$ is a diagonalizable \mathbb{P}^r -bundle, then Y has a second fiber type contraction $q: Y \rightarrow Z$ if and only if p is trivial.*

PROOF. Let L be the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$, and denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(k)$ the line bundle $L^{\otimes k}$. We can write $Y = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathcal{O}_X(a_i)$ and $0 = a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$. The vector bundle \mathcal{E} is nef but not ample, hence its tautological line bundle ξ is nef but not ample, and it is a supporting divisor for q . If $a_r > 0$ then we consider the effective divisor $\mathbb{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X(a_i)) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, which is linearly equivalent to $\xi \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-a_r)$. This divisor is negative on all the curves contracted by q , which are thus contained in it, so q cannot be of fiber type. \blacksquare

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(a_i)$ be a non trivial bundle of rank $s \geq 2$ on \mathbb{P}^r . Then every morphism over \mathbb{P}^r from $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ to $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^r})$ is constant over \mathbb{P}^r .*

PROOF. Assume that $\phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{P}^r})$ is such a morphism. Composing with the second projection $p_2 : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r^\vee}$ we would obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ has a fiber type contraction onto a subset of \mathbb{P}^{r^\vee} . By Lemma 4.1, the composition $p_2 \circ \phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r^\vee}$ factors through the natural projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$. \blacksquare

As a consequence of Lemma 4.1, we obtain the following statement, that allows us to reduce the proof of Theorem 3.2 to the case in which the two projective bundle structures are not diagonalizable:

PROPOSITION 4.3. *In the above setting, the bundles $\mathcal{Y}^\pm \rightarrow X_\pm$ are not diagonalizable, unless $Y = \mathbb{P}^{r+} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-}$.*

PROOF. If, for instance, $\mathcal{Y}^- \rightarrow X_-$ is diagonalizable, then the existence of the (fiber type) contraction $Y \rightarrow X_+$ implies, by Lemma 4.1, that $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r-} \times X_-$. Moreover, since X_- has Picard number one, the fact that $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r-} \times X_-$ is a \mathbb{P}^{r+} -bundle over X_+ tells us that $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r-} \times \mathbb{P}^{r+}$. ■

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a \mathbb{P}^r -bundle. Let $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a G/B -bundle, whose tag is trivial on the lines in the fibers of p . Then p lifts to \mathcal{Y} , i.e., there exists a smooth variety \overline{X} fitting in a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\overline{p}} & \overline{X} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array}$$

such that \overline{p} is a \mathbb{P}^r -bundle.

PROOF. Given a fiber \mathbb{P}^r of p , since the tag of the flag bundle $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ on the lines of \mathbb{P}^r is equal to zero, by Theorem 2.10 we obtain that $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^r) \simeq G/B \times \mathbb{P}^r$, so $p \circ \pi$ is a $(G/B \times \mathbb{P}^r)$ -bundle. Then the projection $p_2 : G/B \times \mathbb{P}^r \rightarrow G/B$ extends to a contraction $\overline{p} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{X}$, that coincides with p_2 fiberwise over X . ■

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety supporting two mutually uniform projective bundle structures. With the notation of Section 3, assume further that $r_- = 1$. Then, either*

- (1) $\delta_+ = (d, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some $d \geq 0$, or
- (2) $\delta_+ = (d, 0, \dots, 0, d)$ for some $d > 0$, $Y \rightarrow X_+$ is not diagonalizable, r_+ is odd, p_+ reduces to $\mathrm{PSp}(r_+ + 1)$ and the associated flag bundle of type $C_{(r_++1)/2}$ is uniform with respect to \mathcal{M}_- , with tag $(d, 0, \dots, 0)$.

PROOF. Assume first that $\rho_Y = 2$. Since $r_- = 1$ then $\mathcal{U}_- = Y$ and $\mathcal{M}_- = X_-$; in particular we have a map $s_{0-} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$ over X_+ .

The map s_{0-} sends a fiber ℓ of $Y \rightarrow X_-$ to a minimal section of $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \rightarrow X_+$ over $p_+(\ell)$; the fiber ℓ is a minimal section of $Y \rightarrow X_+$ over $p_+(\ell)$, so s_{0-} sends minimal sections (over curves of the family \mathcal{M}_-) to minimal sections.

If $\delta_+(1) = 0$ we are in the hypothesis of Lemma 2.8, and s_{0-} is relatively constant over the curves of \mathcal{M}_- . Since \mathcal{M}_- is a dominating family, $s_{0-} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$ is relatively constant over X_+ , thus s_{0-} factors via a section $s'_{0-} : X_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$. Applying Proposition 2.12, we obtain that $Y \rightarrow X_+$ is diagonalizable, so $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r+}$ by Proposition 4.3 and the tag δ_+ is trivial.

In this case in which $\delta_+(1) > 0$ we have a map $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \rightarrow Y$, for which s_{0-} is a section. If $N_+ = \{1\}$ we are in case (1); otherwise the map $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \rightarrow Y$ is not an isomorphism, and s_{0-} gives a nesting $(\mathcal{Y}^+, 1, N_+ \setminus \{1\})$. Using Proposition 2.14, we get the case (2).

Assume now that $\rho_Y > 2$. The families $\mathcal{M}_-, \mathcal{M}_+$ define a proper prerelation in the sense of [9, Definition IV.4.6]; to these prerelations one can associate a proper proalgebraic relation $\mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{U}_-, \mathcal{U}_+)$ (see [9, Theorem IV.4.8]); we denote by $\langle \mathcal{U}_-, \mathcal{U}_+ \rangle$ the set-theoretic relation associated with $\mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{U}_-, \mathcal{U}_+)$. By [9, Theorem IV.4.6] there exists an open subvariety $Y^\circ \subset Y$ and a proper morphism with connected fibers $\pi : Y^\circ \rightarrow Z^\circ$ whose fibers are equivalence classes of $\langle \mathcal{U}_-, \mathcal{U}_+ \rangle$.

In particular a general fiber F of π is smooth and $\rho_F = 2$, since \mathcal{M}_\pm are unsplit families (see, for instance [13, Proposition 3]). The restrictions of p_\pm to F are $\mathbb{P}^{r\pm}$ -bundles, and we apply the first part of the proof to get that either $\delta_+ = (d, 0, \dots, 0)$, $d \geq 0$, or $\delta_+ = (d, 0, \dots, 0, d)$, with $d > 0$. In the second case we have a contraction $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \rightarrow Y$, for which the map $s_{0-} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$ is a section, and we conclude, as in the case $\rho_Y = 2$, by Proposition 2.14. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let \mathcal{Y}^+ be a smooth projective variety which admits a projective bundle structure $\bar{p} : \mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow \bar{X}$ and a flag bundle structure $\pi_+ : \mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow X_+$ of type \mathcal{D}_+ on a smooth variety X_+ of Picard number one such that \bar{p} is uniform with respect to the families of fibers of the elementary contractions of \mathcal{Y}^+ factoring π_+ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{Y}^+ & \\ \bar{p} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_+ \\ \bar{X} & & X_+ \end{array}$$

Then \mathcal{Y}^+ is a rational homogeneous variety.

PROOF. We will prove this by showing that \mathcal{Y}^+ is the image of a contraction of a complete flag manifold. Let r be the dimension of the fibers of \bar{p} , and let $\bar{\pi} : \bar{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the complete flag bundle, of type A_r , associated to \bar{p} . We have that:

$$\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{Y}}} = \rho_{\mathcal{Y}^+} + r - 1.$$

By construction, the variety $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$ has r elementary contractions $\bar{\rho}_j : \bar{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{Y}}(j^c)$ over \bar{X} which are \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles. On the other hand, for each elementary contraction $\rho_j : \mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(j^c)$ over X_+ we may apply Proposition 4.5 to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{Y}^+ & \\ \bar{p} \swarrow & & \searrow \rho_j \\ \bar{X} & & \mathcal{Y}^+(j^c) \end{array}$$

in order to obtain the possible tags δ_j of $\bar{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ on the fibers Γ_j of ρ_j .

If the tag δ_j is equal to $(d_j, 0, \dots, 0)$, with $d_j \geq 0$, then, by Lemma 2.7, the tag of $\bar{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+$ on Γ_j is equal to 0, and Lemma 4.4 tells us that ρ_j can be lifted to $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$. If this holds for every j , then the liftings of the ρ_j 's are $\rho_{\mathcal{Y}^+} - 1$ \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles structures on $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$, whose associated rays R_j in the Mori cone of $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$ are independent. By construction, the intersection of the kernel $N_1(\bar{\mathcal{Y}}|\bar{X})$ of the induced map $N_1(\bar{\mathcal{Y}}) \rightarrow N_1(\bar{X})$ with the space generated by the rays R_j is equal to zero. It follows that the $(\rho_{\mathcal{Y}^+} + r - 1)$ \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle structures that we found are given by linearly independent classes in $N_1(\bar{\mathcal{Y}})$. We then conclude that $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a complete flag manifold by [16, Theorem A.1].

If for some i we have $\delta_i = (d_i > 0, 0, \dots, 0, d_i)$, then, by Proposition 4.5, \bar{p} reduces to a $\mathrm{PSp}(r+1)$ -bundle, and [11, Proposition 4.11] tells us that all the other δ_j must be symmetric, hence of the form $(d_j, 0, \dots, 0, d_j)$, with $d_j \geq 0$. In particular \mathcal{Y}^+ is a quotient of a flag bundle $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_C$ of type C_k , with $r = 2k - 1$. Moreover, Proposition 4.5 tells us also that the tag of $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_C \rightarrow \bar{X}$ on Γ_j equals $(d_j, 0, \dots, 0)$, for every j . Then, by Lemma 2.7, the tag of $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+$ (which is a C_{k-1} -bundle) on the fibers of p_- is $(0, \dots, 0)$, and we conclude as in the previous case, by Lemma 4.4 and [16, Theorem A.1]. \blacksquare

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2. We will show that Y is the target of a contraction of a complete flag manifold. The case $\delta_+ = 0$ easily follows from Theorem 2.10; let us then assume that δ_+ is not zero. We introduce the following notation: given a point $x \in X_+$, let $P_x \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r_+}$ denote its inverse image in Y . We denote by $\mathcal{U}_x := \mathcal{U}_- \times_Y P_x$, and by $\mathcal{Y}^x := \mathcal{Y}^+ \times_Y P_x$ the restrictions of \mathcal{U}_- and \mathcal{Y}^+ to P_x . Note that \mathcal{Y}^x is the complete flag of \mathbb{P}^{r_+} , $\mathcal{Y}^x \simeq \mathbb{A}_{r_+}(1, \dots, r_+)$.

Step 1: If $\mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow P_x$ is diagonalizable for some $x \in X_+$, then either $\delta_+ = (d > 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, or r_+ is odd, $\delta_+ = (d > 0, 0, \dots, 0, d)$, and Y is a quotient of a flag bundle \mathcal{Y}_C^+ of type $C_{(r_++1)/2}$, uniform with respect to \mathcal{M}_- , with tag $(d > 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Assume first that $\delta_+(1) = 0$ and set $I := N_+ \cup \{1\}$. By Lemma 3.4 there exists a morphism $f : \mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(I)$ fitting in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_- & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y}^+(I) \\ & \searrow \text{ev}_- & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

We now consider the restriction of f to \mathcal{U}_x , which gives a morphism $\mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^x(I)$, that we denote also by f . Since, by hypothesis, $\mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow P_x$ is diagonalizable, then, by Proposition 2.5, it has a section $s : P_x \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_x$; composing it with $f : \mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^x(I)$, we get a section of the contraction $\mathcal{Y}^x(I) \rightarrow P_x$. Since $\delta_+ \neq 0$, then $N_+ \neq \emptyset$, so $\mathcal{Y}_I^x \rightarrow P_x$ is not an isomorphism, and the section $f \circ s : P_x \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^x(I)$ is a nesting. Then, by Proposition 2.14, $I = \{1, r_+\}$, $\mathcal{Y}^x(I) = \mathbb{P}(T_{P_x})$, and Lemma 4.2 tells us that $f : \mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^x(I)$ factors via the projection $\mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow P_x$. In particular $f : \mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(I)$ contracts the fibers of $\text{ev}_- : \mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow Y$, and so it factors through it, and we obtain a section $\sigma : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(I)$ of the projection $\mathcal{Y}^+(I) \rightarrow Y$. We may then apply Proposition 2.14 to claim that the tag δ_+ is symmetric, contradicting that $I = \{1, r_+\}$. We have thus shown that $\delta_+(1) \neq 0$.

Assume that there exists another nonzero element in the tag, i.e. that $N_+ \neq \{1\}$, and consider the map s_{0-} provided by Lemma 2.11, fitting in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_- & \xrightarrow{s_{0-}} & \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \\ & \searrow \text{ev}_- & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

Restricting the diagram to P_x and arguing as in the case $\delta_+(1) = 0$, we get a section of $\mathcal{Y}^+(N_+) \times_Y P_x \rightarrow P_x$. Arguing as in the previous case, we get a section $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+(N_+)$, hence a nesting $(\mathcal{Y}^+, 1, r_+)$, and the statement follows again by Proposition 2.14.

Step 2: If $\mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow P_x$ is diagonalizable for some x , then Y is rational homogeneous.

By the previous step, replacing \mathcal{Y}^+ with \mathcal{Y}_C^+ if necessary, we may assume that $\delta_+ = (d, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some integer $d > 0$. In particular the tag of $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow Y$ over the curves of \mathcal{M}^- is, by Lemma 2.7, equal to zero. In particular the projection $p_- : Y \rightarrow X_-$ lifts to \mathcal{Y}^+ by Lemma 4.4:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{X} & \xleftarrow{\overline{p}_-} & \mathcal{Y}^+ & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ X_- & \xleftarrow{p_-} & Y & \xrightarrow{p_+} & X_+ \end{array}$$

We claim that \bar{p}_- is uniform with respect to the families of fibers of the elementary contractions ρ_j of \mathcal{Y}^+ over X_+ . By construction the fibers of $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow X_-$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r_-} \times F$, where F denotes a fiber of $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow Y$, hence \bar{p}_- is trivial on the fibers of ρ_j for $j = 2, \dots, r_+$. On the other hand the tag of \bar{p}_- on the fibers of ρ_1 is the tag of p_- on the images of those curve in X_- , and the claim follows from the fact that p_- is uniform with respect to \mathcal{M}_+ by assumption. Now the homogeneity of \mathcal{Y}^+ follows by Corollary 4.6.

Step 3: Case $r_- \neq r_+$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $r_- < r_+$. For every x , \mathcal{U}_x is a $\mathbb{P}^{r_- - 1}$ -bundle on $P_x \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r_+}$, uniform with respect to lines, hence classical results on uniform bundles (cf. [21, 20]) imply that \mathcal{U}_x is diagonalizable, and we conclude by Step 2.

Step 4: Case $r_- = r_+ =: r$.

By Step 2, we are left with the case in which $\mathcal{U}_x \rightarrow P_x$ is non diagonalizable for every $x \in X_+$. In particular, following [3], all these restrictions are isomorphic either to $\mathbb{P}(T_{P_x})$ or to $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{P_x})$. We observe that, looking at the composition:

$$\mathcal{Y}^- \xrightarrow{\quad \quad} \mathcal{U}_- \longrightarrow Y$$

the bundle $\mathcal{Y}^- \rightarrow Y$ is the complete flag bundle of type A_{r-1} associated to the \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundle $\mathcal{U}_- \rightarrow Y$. In particular, $\mathcal{Y}^- \times_Y P_x \rightarrow P_x$ is the complete flag bundle of type A_{r-1} determined by $\mathbb{P}(T_{P_x})$ or by $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{P_x})$ over P_x , that is the complete flag manifold of the projective space P_x .

Since this holds for every point $x \in X_+$, we obtain that the fibers of $\mathcal{Y}^- \rightarrow X^+$ are isomorphic to $A_r(1, \dots, r)$, and we may say that \mathcal{Y}^- is a flag bundle of type A_r over X_+ . In particular, it has r elementary contractions over X_+ that are \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles, and the corresponding rays of the Mori cone $\overline{\text{NE}}(\mathcal{Y}^-)$ generate $N_1(\mathcal{Y}^-|X_+)$. On the other hand, by definition, \mathcal{Y}^- has r elementary contractions over X_- that are \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles, whose rays generate $N_1(\mathcal{Y}^-|X_-)$. Since the contractions $\mathcal{Y}^- \rightarrow X_-$, and $\mathcal{Y}^- \rightarrow X_+$ are different, then at least one of the rays of the elementary contractions of \mathcal{Y} over X_+ is not contained in $N_1(\mathcal{Y}^-|X_-)$. We then deduce that \mathcal{Y}^- has at least $r+1$ independent elementary contractions that are \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles and, noting that $\rho_{\mathcal{Y}^-} = r+1$ and using [16, Theorem A.1], we conclude that \mathcal{Y}^- is a complete flag manifold. From this it follows that also Y , as target of one of its contractions, is rational homogeneous.

5. Applications

In this section we will use Theorem 3.2 to prove that some varieties admitting a \mathbb{C}^* -action are rational homogeneous. We first recall some preliminaries on \mathbb{C}^* -actions, see [14, §2.3], [19, §1.B, §1.C] for further details. Let (Z, L) be a polarized pair, i.e., Z is a smooth complex projective variety and L is an ample line bundle on Z . Assuming that such a polarized pair admits a non trivial \mathbb{C}^* -action, we may associate to every fixed point component an integer. To this end, we take a linearization $\mu: \mathbb{C}^* \times L \rightarrow L$ so that for every fixed point component X we get $\mu(X) \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^*) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. In this way, denoting by \mathcal{X} the set of the irreducible fixed point components, we obtain a map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, which by abuse we continue to denote by μ .

DEFINITION 5.1. Let (Z, L) be a polarized pair with a \mathbb{C}^* -action and a linearization μ on L . The *bandwidth* of the action is defined as $|\mu| := \mu_{\max} - \mu_{\min}$, where μ_{\max} and μ_{\min} denote the maximal and minimal value of the function μ .

We will call *sink* the unique fixed point component X_- such that $\mu(X_-) = \mu_{\min}$, and *source* the unique fixed point component X_+ such that $\mu(X_+) = \mu_{\max}$. Varieties with small bandwidth have been studied in [19] applying adjunction theory, and in [14] using tools from birational and projective geometry. In what follows, we focus on bandwidth one varieties (see [14, §4]) and we use their relation with special varieties, called drums, which we now define:

DEFINITION 5.2. Let Y be a normal projective variety with $\rho_Y = 2$ and two elementary contractions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ p_- \swarrow & & \searrow p_+ \\ X_- & & X_+ \end{array}$$

Let $L_{\pm} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ be the pullbacks via p_{\pm} of some ample line bundles in X_{\pm} . Then the vector bundle $\mathcal{E} := L_- \oplus L_+$ is semiample and there exists a contraction φ of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, with supporting line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. The image $\varphi(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ will be called the *drum* associated to the triple (Y, L_-, L_+) .

EXAMPLE 5.3. In the case in which Y is rational homogeneous (so that Y is one of the varieties listed in Remark 3.3), the drum constructed upon it is a smooth horospherical variety of Picard number 1, whose classification can be found in [18]. In fact every rational homogeneous variety $\mathcal{D}(i, j)$ listed in Remark 3.3 corresponds to a triple $(\mathcal{D}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ in the list of [18, Theorem 1.7]. Following Pasquier ([18, Section 1.3]), each of these triples determines a horospherical variety, constructed as the closure Z of the G -orbit of the point $[v_i + v_j] \in \mathbb{P}((V_i \oplus V_j)^{\vee})$; here, for $k = i, j$, V_k denotes the irreducible representation associated to the fundamental weight corresponding to the k -th node of \mathcal{D} , and v_k the corresponding highest weight vector. Writing $G[v_i + v_j]$ as G/K for a certain subgroup $K \subset G$, the variety G/K is a \mathbb{C}^* -bundle over $\mathcal{D}(i, j) = G/P(D \setminus \{i, j\})$, and the torus $P(D \setminus \{i, j\})/K \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ acts naturally on Z . Then, denoting by $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ the tautological line bundle of the embedding $Z \subset \mathbb{P}((V_i \oplus V_j)^{\vee})$, one may show that, after quotienting \mathbb{C}^* by a finite subgroup, the action of \mathbb{C}^* on $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(1))$ has bandwidth 1, with fixed components $Z \cap \mathbb{P}(V_k^{\vee}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(k)$, $k = i, j$.

We conclude the paper by proving that Campana–Petrernell Conjecture holds for bandwidth one varieties of Picard number one.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let (Z, L) be a polarized pair with a \mathbb{C}^* -action of bandwidth one. Assume that $\rho_Z = 1$ and that the tangent bundle T_Z is nef. Then Z is a rational homogeneous manifold.*

PROOF. Let us denote by X_- and X_+ the sink and the source of the action and by \mathcal{N}_- and \mathcal{N}_+ their normal bundles in Z . Let $\alpha : Z^b \rightarrow Z$ be the blowup of Z along $X_- \sqcup X_+$, with exceptional divisors $Y_- = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_-^{\vee})$ and $Y_+ = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_+^{\vee})$. By [14, Theorem 4.6] and its proof, it follows that Z is a drum, constructed upon the projections of $Y := Y_- \simeq Y_+$ onto X_{\pm} .

If $\dim X_- = 0$, necessarily X_+ is a divisor by the Bend-and-Break lemma. Then we obtain that $Y_+ \simeq X_+ \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\dim Z - 1}$, and so $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\dim Z}$, because it is a variety

of Picard number one containing a projective space as an ample divisor. On the other hand, if $\text{codim}(X_+, Z) = 1$, then Y_- is isomorphic to X_+ and, applying [14, Lemma 2.9], it has Picard number one; this is only possible if X_- is a point and, subsequently, $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\dim Z}$. Similar arguments hold interchanging sink and source so, summing up, we may assume that $1 \leq \dim X_{\pm} \leq \dim Z - 2$.

Arguing as in the proof of [14, Theorem 4.6], the projections $p_{\pm} : Y \rightarrow X_{\pm}$ are different projective bundle structures. By [14, Lemma 2.9] we have $\rho_{X_{\pm}} = 1$, hence $\rho_Y = 2$. Moreover, since Z is a drum constructed upon Y , Z^b is the projectivization over Y of a decomposable rank two bundle, with two sections $s_{\pm} : Y \rightarrow Z^b$ whose images are Y_{\pm} .

Setting $L_{\pm} := s_{\pm}^*(\alpha^*L|_{Y_{\pm}})$ we can write $Z^b = \mathbb{P}_Y(L_- \oplus L_+)$ with natural projection $\pi : Z^b \rightarrow Y$; by construction the line bundles L_{\pm} are nef and, denoting by ℓ_{\pm} a line in a fiber of p_{\pm} , we have $L_- \cdot \ell_- = L_+ \cdot \ell_+ = 0$. Recalling that

$$(4) \quad Y_+ = \alpha^*L - \pi^*L_- \quad Y_- = \alpha^*L - \pi^*L_+$$

we have $s_+^*(Y_+|_{Y_+}) = L_+ - L_-$ and $s_-^*(Y_-|_{Y_-}) = L_- - L_+$. Intersecting with ℓ_{\pm} we get $L_- \cdot \ell_+ = L_+ \cdot \ell_- = 1$. By Equation (4) we also see that the line bundles $M_{\pm} := \alpha^*L - Y_{\pm}$ are nef; notice that M_{\pm} are the tautological line bundles of the projectivization of the vector bundles $p_{\pm}^*\mathcal{N}_{\pm}^{\vee} \otimes L_{\pm}$, which are then nef. On the other hand, by the assumption on T_Z , we also have that the normal bundles \mathcal{N}_{\pm} are nef. The two conditions together imply that p_{\pm} are mutually uniform. In fact, if ℓ_- is a line in a fiber of p_- , then we can write

$$(p_+^*\mathcal{N}_+^{\vee} \otimes L_-)|_{\ell_-} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk } \mathcal{N}_+} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i) \quad a_i \geq 0,$$

and we obtain that

$$(p_+^*\mathcal{N}_+)|_{\ell_-} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk } \mathcal{N}_+} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1 - a_i),$$

which implies that the possible values of the a_i 's are zero and one. Then the number of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ summands equals $\deg(\mathcal{N}_+|_{\ell_-})$. The same argument can be repeated for \mathcal{N}_- .

Using Theorem 3.2 we deduce that Y is one of the rational homogeneous manifolds $\mathcal{D}(i, j)$ listed in Remark 3.3. In particular Z is a horospherical variety (see Example 5.3), and the result follows from [10, Theorem 1.2]. \blacksquare

References

- [1] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel. Characterization of generic projective space bundles and algebraicity of foliations. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 94:833–853, 2019.
- [2] Lawrence Ein. Varieties with small dual varieties, I. *Invent. Math.*, 86(1):63–74, 1986.
- [3] Georges Elencwajg, André Hirschowitz, and Michael Schneider. Les fibrés uniformes de rang au plus n sur $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ sont ceux qu'on croit. In *Vector bundles and differential equations (Proc. Conf., Nice, 1979)*, volume 7 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 37–63. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980.
- [4] William Fulton and Joe Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics: Readings in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [5] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, volume 9 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. Second printing, revised.
- [6] James E. Humphreys. *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, volume 29 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] Akihiro Kanemitsu. Mukai pairs and simple k -equivalence. Preprint arXiv:1812.05392, 2018.
- [8] Akihiro Kanemitsu. Extremal Rays and Nefness of Tangent Bundles. *Michigan Math. J.*, 68(2):301–322, 2019.

- [9] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [10] Qifeng Li. Smooth projective horospherical varieties with nef tangent bundles. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 66(6):1879–1893, 2017.
- [11] Roberto Muñoz, Gianluca Occhetta, and Luis E. Solá Conde. Nestings of rational homogeneous varieties. Preprint arXiv:1905.01057, to appear in Transformation Groups.
- [12] Roberto Muñoz, Gianluca Occhetta, and Luis E. Solá Conde. On uniform flag bundles on Fano manifolds. Preprint arXiv:1610.05930, to appear in Kyoto Journal of Mathematics.
- [13] Carla Novelli and Gianluca Occhetta. Rational curves and bounds on the Picard number of Fano manifolds. *Geom. Dedicata*, 147:207–217, 2010.
- [14] Gianluca Occhetta, Eleonora A. Romano, Luis E. Solá Conde, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Small bandwidth \mathbb{C}^* -actions and birational geometry. Preprint arXiv:1911.12129, 2019.
- [15] Gianluca Occhetta, Luis E. Solá Conde, Kiwamu Watanabe, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Fano manifolds whose elementary contractions are smooth \mathbb{P}^1 -fibrations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, XVII(2):573–607, 2017.
- [16] Gianluca Occhetta, Luis E. Solá Conde, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Flag bundles on Fano manifolds. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 106(4):651–669, 2016.
- [17] Giorgio Ottaviani. On Cayley bundles on the five-dimensional quadric. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7)*, 4(1):87–100, 1990.
- [18] Boris Pasquier. On some smooth projective two-orbit varieties with Picard number 1. *Math. Ann.*, 344(4):963–987, 2009.
- [19] Eleonora A. Romano and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Adjunction for varieties with \mathbb{C}^* -action. Preprint arXiv:1904.01896, 2019.
- [20] Ei-ichi Sato. Uniform vector bundles on a projective space. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 28(1):123–132, 1976.
- [21] Antonius Van de Ven. On uniform vector bundles. *Math. Ann.*, 195:245–248, 1972.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14 I-38123 POVO DI TRENTO (TN), ITALY

E-mail address: `gianluca.occhetta@unitn.it`, `eduardo.solaconde@unitn.it`

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UW, BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSZAWA, POLAND

E-mail address: `elrom@mimuw.edu.pl`