

Duplication with transposition distance to the root for q -ary strings

Nikita Polyanskii*, and Ilya Vorobyev^{†‡}

*Institute for Communications Engineering,
Technical University of Munich
Munich, Germany 80333

[†]Center for Computational and Data-Intensive Science and Engineering,
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology
Moscow, Russia 121205

[‡]Advanced Combinatorics and Complex Networks Lab,
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology
Dolgoprudny, Russia 141701

Emails: nikita.polyanskii@gmail.com, vorobyev.i.v@yandex.ru

Abstract—We study the duplication with transposition distance between strings of length n over a q -ary alphabet and their roots. In other words, we investigate the number of duplication operations of the form $x = (abcd) \rightarrow y = (abcdbd)$, where x and y are strings and a, b, c and d are their substrings, needed to get a q -ary string of length n starting from the set of strings without duplications. For exact duplication, we prove that the maximal distance between a string of length at most n and its root has the asymptotic order $n/\log n$. For approximate duplication, where a β -fraction of symbols may be duplicated incorrectly, we show that the maximal distance has a sharp transition from the order $n/\log n$ to $\log n$ at $\beta = (q-1)/q$. The motivation for this problem comes from genomics, where such duplications represent a special kind of mutation and the distance between a given biological sequence and its root is the smallest number of transposition mutations required to generate the sequence.

Index Terms—Duplication with transposition, DNA codes, combinatorics on words, de Bruijn sequences

I. INTRODUCTION

A genome sequence is the complete list of the nucleotides (A, C, G, and T for DNA genomes) that construct all the chromosomes of an individual or a species. Genomes are subjects to mutations. In this paper, we focus on a special type of mutation called duplication with transposition or duplication mode IV according to classification [1] which create repeats by duplicating a substring and inserting the copy somewhere to the right from the original (e.g., $ACGT \rightarrow ACGTCCG$). Such duplications are found in all eukaryotic genomes and constitute 25 – 40% of most mammalian genomes. Transposition-duplication differs from tandem repeat DNA that comes right after one another, they are dispersed throughout the genome and need not to be adjacent. The string that repeats can vary depending on the type of organism, and many other factors.

Any kind of repetitions in strings are fundamental objects in word combinatorics [2], and a better understanding of these operations might give many applications in different fields, e.g., string matching algorithms, molecular biology, bioinformatics, and data compression [3].

A. Related Work

A square in a string is a non-empty substring of the form bb . It is well-known that every binary string of length at least 4 has a square (a tandem repeat), and a famous result by Thue [4] states that for alphabets of size at least 3, there is an infinite sequence without tandem repeats (of any length). This is evidently not true for repeats with transposition.

Let us give a few additional notions to present an analogue of Thue's result for duplication with transposition. A repetition in a string x is a pair of strings (\hat{b}, b) such that $\hat{b}b$ is a substring of x , \hat{b} is non-empty, and b is a prefix of $\hat{b}b$. The exponent of a repetition (\hat{b}, b) is $\frac{|\hat{b}|+|b|}{|\hat{b}|}$, where $|y|$ denotes the length of a string y . Tandem repeats are thus repetitions with exponent 2. Dejean conjectured [5] that for a q -ary alphabet, there is an infinitely large q -ary sequence without repetitions with exponent larger than r_q , where $r_q := q/(q-1)$ for $q = 2$ and $q \geq 5$, and $r_3 := 7/4$, $r_4 := 7/5$. In other words, such a string y could not be represented in the form $y = (abcdbd)$ if we require the property

$$\frac{2|\hat{b}|+|c|}{|\hat{b}|+|c|} > r_q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |c| < \frac{2-r_q}{r_q-1}|\hat{b}|,$$

which means that y could not be obtained from $x = (abcd)$ by a duplication with a relatively short transposition. Dejean's conjecture has successively been proved in [5]–[8].

Tandem repeats have been studied extensively in recent years. One of the most relevant papers to this work is the study of tandem duplication distance to the root for the binary strings [9]. It has been shown that to generate a binary string of length n starting from a square-free string from the set $\{0, 1, 01, 10, 101, 010\}$, one needs a linear with n number of tandem duplication operations. For the case of approximate duplication, where a β -fraction of symbols may be duplicated incorrectly, the authors showed that the required number of duplications has a sharp transition from linear in n to logarithmic at $\beta = 1/2$. As the nature of duplications with

transposition is a slightly different from one of tandem repeats, we could not apply ideas from [9] in a straightforward manner. However, after some modification of methods proposed in [9] we will show that some results can be transferred to our case.

In [10], [11], the authors have proposed several constructions that correct tandem duplication errors of fixed length and derived a sphere-packing like bound. Error-correcting codes for combating tandem duplication errors of short fixed length have been introduced in [12]. The latter has been further investigated in [13]–[15].

B. Notation

Let us abbreviate a q -ary alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ by \mathcal{A}_q . We denote $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to be a string of length $|x| = n$ over \mathcal{A}_q . We say that y is a substring of x and x has a distinguishable substring y at position i (for short, we write x has $y^{(i)}$) if $y = (x_i, \dots, x_j)$. A duplication of length ℓ at position p , $0 \leq p \leq n - \ell$, with transposition t , $0 \leq t \leq n - \ell - p$ in a string $x = (abcd)$, with $|a| = p$, $|b| = \ell$, $|c| = t$, is defined by $f_{p,\ell,t}(x) = (abc b d)$. In what follows, we will consider all possible duplications of length at least 1 with admissible positions and transpositions. It is also helpful to define the inverse operation called deduplication with transposition, namely: the result of this operation applied to $y = (abc b d)$ is $x = (abcd)$, where a , b , c and d are substrings of y , and b is non-empty.

Let \mathcal{A}_q^s be the set of all strings over \mathcal{A}_q of length s and $\mathcal{A}_q^{\leq n}$ be the union of \mathcal{A}_q^s for s that ranges from 1 to n . Define the directed graph $G^{(n)} = (V, E)$ with the vertex set $V := \mathcal{A}_q^{\leq n}$ such that there is an edge (u, v) in E , $u, v \in V$, whenever v is a result of duplication with transposition starting from u . The edge (u, v) is considered to be directed from u to v . We say vertex u is a parent of v if there is an edge $(u, v) \in E$. Vertex v is called a child of u if u is a parent of v . We note that the set of vertices with indegree 0 constitutes the set of roots abbreviated by

$$\mathcal{R} := \bigcup_{s=1}^q \{x \in \mathcal{A}_q^s : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for any } i \neq j\}.$$

Moreover, any vertex v in graph $G^{(n)}$ can be reached by some path from the unique vertex from \mathcal{R} which is called the root of v and denoted by $root(v)$. Indeed, $root(v)$ coincides with the sequence of symbols from \mathcal{A}_q appearing in v for the first time when looking from left to right.

For any v , we define $f(v)$ to be the distance between v and its root in graph $G^{(n)}$, i.e. the length of the shortest path in $G^{(n)}$ connecting $root(v)$ and v . The quantity of interest is the maximum of distances over all strings of length at most n , that is

$$f(n) := \max_{v \in \mathcal{A}_q^{\leq n}} f(v).$$

To illustrate the values of $f(n)$ for the binary case in the range $1 \leq n \leq 32$, we depict Table I.

The relative Hamming distance between two strings of equal length is the ratio between the number of positions at which the

TABLE I: The maximum duplication distance to the root for the binary case and $1 \leq n \leq 32$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$f(n)$	0	1	2	2	3	4	5	5
n	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$f(n)$	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	9
n	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
$f(n)$	9	9	9	10	10	10	10	11
n	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
$f(n)$	11	11	11	12	12	12	12	13

corresponding symbols are different and length of strings. Now let us define the β -approximate duplication distance. To this end, suppose the directed graph $G_\beta^{(n)} = (V, E_\beta)$ has the vertex set $V := \mathcal{A}_q^{\leq n}$ and there is an edge (u, v) in E_β , $u, v \in V$, whenever $v = (abc \hat{b} d)$, $u = (abcd)$ and the relative Hamming distance between b and \hat{b} is at most β . The length of the shortest path between a string v and any of its roots in $G_\beta^{(n)}$ is denoted by $f_\beta(v)$, and the maximum of $f_\beta(v)$ over all vertices from $\mathcal{A}_q^{\leq n}$ is denoted by $f_\beta(n)$. Clearly, we have $f(n) = f_0(n)$ and $f(n) \geq f_\beta(n)$ for $\beta > 0$.

C. Main Results

Since for any string v , the length of a child of v is at most twice as $|v|$, we have $f_\beta(n) \geq \log_2(n/q)$. We strengthen this bound for $\beta = 0$ and present the main result of this paper in

Theorem 1. *The function $f(n) = \Theta\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, we have*

$$\frac{n(1+o(1))}{2 \log_q n} \leq f(n) \leq \frac{n(1+o(1))}{\log_q n} \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As for the case of approximate duplication, we show that there is a sharp transition of $f_\beta(n)$ at $\beta = (q-1)/q$.

Theorem 2. *For any fixed $\beta \in (0, 1) \setminus \{(q-1)/q\}$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, the function $f_\beta(n)$ satisfies*

$$f_\beta(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right) & \text{if } \beta < (q-1)/q, \\ \Theta(\log n) & \text{if } \beta > (q-1)/q. \end{cases}$$

D. Outline

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section II. First, we give a greedy algorithm for finding a long duplicated substring in a string, what enables us to estimate the upper bound on $f(n)$. After that, we present a string that achieves $f(n)$ asymptotically up to a constant factor $1/2$. We give the proof of upper and lower bounds for Theorem 2 in Section III-A and Section III-B, respectively. Applying some results for error-correcting codes in the Hamming metric, the upper bound on $f_\beta(n)$ is strengthened with respect to $f(n)$. To obtain the lower bound on $f_\beta(n)$, we use some counting arguments. Finally, Section IV concludes the paper.

II. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section we present the proof of lower and upper bounds on $f(n)$ in Section II-A and Section II-B, respectively.

A. Upper Bound on Duplication with Transposition Distance

First of all, let us show an achievable length of duplicated substring in a string.

Lemma 3. Consider a q -ary string x of length n and a positive integer k satisfying

$$n - k + 1 > 2q^k + \frac{k^2 q^{k/2}}{2}.$$

Then x can be represented as $x = (abcbd)$, where $|b| \geq k$.

Proof of Lemma 3. Recall that x is said to have a distinguishable substring $y^{(i)}$ if y is a substring of x such that $y = (x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+|y|-1})$. Also we say that two distinguishable substrings $y^{(i)}$ and $z^{(j)}$ are intersecting if either $i < j$ and $i + |y| - 1 \geq j$ or $i \geq j$ and $i \leq j + |z| - 1$. Note that if there are $> k$ distinct distinguishable substrings $\{b^{(i)}, i \in I\}$, $I \subset [n]$, $|I| > k$, representing the same string b of length k in x , then we can find two of them which are non-intersecting, i.e. x can be viewed as $x = (abcbd)$. Indeed, we can take the most left one distinguishable substring $b^{(i_1)}$ (for $i_1 = \min_{i \in I} i$) which can be intersected with at most $(k-1)$ other ones from $\{b^{(i)}, i \in I \setminus \{i_1\}\}$.

Now we want to strengthen this property for certain strings. Define the period of a string $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ to be the smallest positive integer p such that $v_i = v_{i+p}$ for all i , provided that $1 \leq i \leq k - p$. The rational k/p is called the exponent of v . One can easily see the number of strings in \mathcal{A}_q^k with exponent at least 2 is at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} q^i \leq \frac{k}{2} q^{k/2}.$$

Observe that if x contains three distinguishable substrings $b^{(j_1)}, b^{(j_2)}, b^{(j_3)}$ with $j_1 < j_2 < j_3$ and $j_3 - j_1 < |b|$, then b has exponent larger than 2. Indeed, inequality $j_3 - j_1 < |b|$ implies that either $j_2 - j_1 < |b|/2$ or $j_3 - j_2 < |b|/2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $j_2 - j_1 < |b|/2$ and

$$b_{1+t} = x_{j_1+t} = x_{j_2+t} = b_{j_2-j_1+1+t}$$

for all t provided that $0 \leq t < |b| - (j_2 - j_1)$. Therefore, the exponent of b is at least $|b|/(j_2 - j_1) > 2$. From this it follows that if x contains at least 3 distinguishable substrings representing the same b with exponent at most 2, then we have two of them which are non-intersecting.

The total number distinguishable substrings of length k in x is $n - k + 1$. Therefore, the condition

$$n - k + 1 > 2q^k + \frac{k^2 q^{k/2}}{2}$$

implies that there exist two distinguishable non-intersecting substrings representing the same string of length k with exponent either at most 2, or larger than 2. This completes the proof. \square

For $k \geq 14$, the inequality $n \geq 3q^k$ leads to the condition required in Lemma 3. Thus, Lemma 3 guarantees that for $n \geq$

$3q^{14}$ and any v in graph $G^{(n)}$ (in what follows, we assume that the length of v is n), there is a parent u of v so that

$$|u| \leq |v| - \log_q(|v|/3) < |v| - \log_q |v| + 2.$$

Therefore, the smallest distance between the string v and some string u of length $|u| \leq |v|/q$ in graph $G^{(n)}$ is upper bounded by

$$(|v| - |v|/q) \frac{1}{\log_q(|v|/q) - 2} = \frac{(q-1)|v|}{q(\log_q |v| - 3)}.$$

We fix an arbitrary integer $k' > 14$ and let $n \geq 3q^{k'}$. Applying iteratively the arguments above until the moment when the length of the parent is at most $3q^{k'}$, we obtain

$$f(n) \leq f(3q^{k'}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log_q n \rfloor - k' + 1} \frac{n(q-1)}{q^i(\log_q n - i - 2)}.$$

We compare two subsequent term a_i and a_{i+1} in the above sum and bound their ratio as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} &= \frac{q(\log_q n - i - 3)}{\log_q n - i - 2} \\ &= q \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log_q n - i - 2} \right) \geq q \frac{k' - 4}{k' - 3}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $i \leq \lfloor \log_q n \rfloor - k' + 1$. Therefore,

$$a_{i+1} \leq \frac{(k' - 3)a_i}{(k' - 4)q}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &\leq 3q^{k'} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log_q n \rfloor} \frac{n(q-1)(k'-4)^{i-1}}{q^i(\log_q n - 3)(k'-3)^{i-1}} \\ &< 3q^{k'} + \frac{n(q-1)}{q(\log_q n - 3)(1 - \frac{k'-3}{(k'-4)q})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last inequality holds for any $k' \geq 14$, we derive

Corollary 1. For $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$f(n) \leq \frac{n}{\log_q n} (1 + o(1)).$$

B. Lower Bound on Duplication with Transposition Distance

Before we present a family of near-optimal strings, let us give an auxiliary statement which gives a connection between the distance from y to the root and the number of distinct substring in y . A similar statement was proved in [9, Lemma 2].

Lemma 4. Consider a q -ary string y and a positive integer $k \geq q + 1$, and let $N(y, k)$ denote the number of distinct substrings of length k occurring in y . Then we have

$$f(y) \geq \frac{N(y, k)}{2(k-1)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4. We know that the result of deduplication applied to $y = (abcbd)$ is string $x = (abcd)$. Therefore, we

have that $N(x, k) \geq N(y, k) - 2(k - 1)$ since the only case in which a substring of length k occurs in y , but not in x , is when the substring includes symbols from either both c and b strings in cb part, or both b and d strings in bd part. The latter may happen at most $2(k - 1)$ times. Therefore, the shortest path between y and $\text{root}(y)$ should have length at least

$$(N(y, k) - N(\text{root}(y), k)) / (2(k - 1)).$$

Since $\text{root}(y)$ has length at most q , we have $N(\text{root}(y), k) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Let us give a well-known definition of de Bruijn sequences [2]. A de Bruijn sequence of order k on \mathcal{A}_q is a cyclic sequence in which every possible string of length k on \mathcal{A}_q occurs exactly once as a substring (k of them appear cyclically). It follows that the length of such a sequence is q^k . It is known that de Bruijn sequences exist for any order k and alphabet size q . We illustrate an example of de Bruijn sequence of order 2 over \mathcal{A}_3 by sequence 001022112. The number of distinct substrings of length k for a de Bruijn sequence of order k is exactly $n - k + 1$, where $n = q^k$. Therefore, this fact accompanied by Lemma 4 leads to

Corollary 2. *For any de Bruijn sequence x of order k , $k \geq q + 1$, we have*

$$f(x) \geq \frac{q^k - k + 1}{2(k - 1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$f(n) \geq \frac{n}{2 \log_q n} (1 + o(1)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

III. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We present the proof of lower and upper bounds on $f_\beta(n)$ in Section III-A and Section III-B, respectively. The main idea here is to generalize the methods used in Section II and to apply some classic coding theory results.

A. Upper Bound on Approximate Duplication Distance

First, we strengthen Lemma 3 when $\beta > 0$ and k is large. A similar method was used for tandem repeats in binary case in [9, Theorem 10].

Lemma 5. *Fix real $\beta > 0$, and integers n and q . Let $M(q, k, \beta)$ be the maximal cardinality of a q -ary code of length k with relative Hamming distance β . Consider a q -ary string x of length n and a positive integer k satisfying $n > kM(q, k, \beta)$. Then x can be represented as $x = (abc\hat{b}d)$, where $|b| = |\hat{b}| \geq k$ and $d_H(b, \hat{b}) \leq \beta|b|$.*

Proof of Lemma 5. First we split x into $n' := \lfloor n/k \rfloor$ equal parts of length k , that is, $x = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n'}, v')$ and $|v_i| = k$, $|v'| < k$. Let $C_x(q, k, \beta)$ be the largest collection of distinct substrings among $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n'}\}$ with the minimum relative Hamming distance β . Its cardinality is at most $M(q, k, \beta)$. As $n' > M(q, k, \beta)$ there exist two strings b and \hat{b} from $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n'}\}$ so that the relative Hamming distance between them is smaller than β . In other words, v can be represented as $v = (abc\hat{b}d)$, where $|b| = |\hat{b}| = k$. \square

Define the maximal achievable rate and the asymptotic rate as follows

$$R(q, k, \beta) := \frac{\log_q M(q, k, \beta)}{k},$$

$$R(q, \beta) := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(q, k, \beta).$$

There are some upper bounds on $R(q, \beta)$ (e.g., see [16, Section 4.5]). For example, for $\beta \in [0, \frac{q-1}{q})$, the Elias-Bassalygo bound states

$$R(q, \beta) \leq 1 - H_q \left(\frac{q-1}{q} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{q\beta}{q-1}} \right) \right),$$

where

$$H_q(x) := -x \log_q(x) - (1-x) \log_q(1-x) + x \log_q(q-1).$$

Let \bar{r} be some upper bound on $R(q, \beta)$. We shall prove that for $\beta \in [0, \frac{q-1}{q})$

$$f_\beta(n) \leq \frac{n\bar{r}}{\log_q n} (1 + o(1)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

First, since $R(q, \beta) \leq \bar{r}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists sufficiently large $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon)$ so that for $k \geq k_0$, $R(q, k, \beta) < \bar{r} + \varepsilon$. Lemma 5 says that for any $n \geq kq^{(\bar{r}+\varepsilon)k}$ and any v in graph $G_\beta^{(n)}$ (in what follows, we assume that the length of v is n), there is a parent u of v such that

$$|u| \leq |v| - \frac{1}{\bar{r} + \varepsilon} \log_q |v| + \frac{1}{\bar{r} + \varepsilon} \log_q \left(\frac{\log_q |v|}{\bar{r} + \varepsilon} \right).$$

Therefore, for $k \geq k_0$ and $n > kq^{(\bar{r}+\varepsilon)k+1}$, the smallest distance between the string v and some string u of length $|u| \leq |v|/q$ is upper bounded by

$$(|v| - |v|/q) \frac{\bar{r} + \varepsilon}{\log_q |v| - 1 - \log_q \left(\frac{\log_q |v|}{\bar{r} + \varepsilon} \right)}.$$

Thus, for any $k' > k_0$, we have

$$f_\beta(n) \leq q^{(\bar{r}+\varepsilon)k'+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log_q n \rfloor - k' + 1} \frac{n(q-1)(\bar{r} + \varepsilon)}{q^i \left(\log_q n - i - \log_q \left(\frac{\log_q |v|}{\bar{r} + \varepsilon} \right) \right)}.$$

Finally, as the last inequality happens for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n > n(\varepsilon)$, we conclude with

Corollary 3. *For any $\beta \in [0, \frac{q-1}{q})$, we have*

$$f_\beta(n) \leq \frac{n\bar{r}}{\log_q n} (1 + o(1)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where \bar{r} is an upper bound on $R(q, \beta)$.

Now we recall [16, Section 4] the Plotkin-type bound for $\beta > \frac{q-1}{q}$

$$M(q, k, \beta) < \frac{\beta q}{\beta q - (q-1)}.$$

Define c to be $\lceil \beta q / (\beta q - (q-1)) \rceil$. We divide a q -ary string v from $G_\beta^{(n)}$ (in what follows, we assume that the length of

v is n) into c parts of the same length $k := \lfloor n/c \rfloor$ and the remaining part, i.e., v can be represented in the way $v = (v_1, \dots, v_c, v')$ and $|v_i| = k$, $|v'| < k$. From the Plotkin-type bound, there are two strings among $\{v_1, \dots, v_c\}$ so that the relative Hamming distance between them is at most β . In other words, there is a parent u of v with

$$|u| \leq |v| - \frac{1}{c+1}|v|.$$

Let q' be equal to $(c+1)/c$. Therefore, we have

$$f_\beta(n) \leq (c+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log_{q'} n \rfloor} 1 \leq c+1 + \log_{q'} n.$$

We conclude with

Corollary 4. For any $\frac{q-1}{q} < \beta \leq 1$, we have

$$f_\beta(n) \leq \log_{q'} n(1 + o(1)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $q' := (c+1)/c$ and $c := \lceil \beta q / (\beta q - (q-1)) \rceil$.

B. Lower Bound on Approximate Duplication Distance

The first attempt to generalize the construction from Section II-B for the case of approximate duplication leads to the concept of error-correcting sequences [17]. However, it appears quite difficult to prove an analogue of Lemma 4. In what follows, we will not provide a specific family of strings which achieve $\Theta(n/\log n)$ distance to the root. In contrast, we prove that such a distance is $\Omega(n/\log n)$ on average. A similar technique was used in [9].

Recall that a β -approximate duplication of length ℓ at position p , $0 \leq p \leq n - \ell$, with transposition t , $0 \leq t \leq n - \ell - p$ in a string $x = (abcd)$, with $|a| = p$, $|b| = \ell$, $|c| = t$, is defined by $f_{p,\ell,t,j}(x) = (abc\hat{b}d)$, where \hat{b} is the j th word in the Hamming ball with center in b and radius $\beta|b|$ (we assume the lexicographical order on q -ary words belonging to the Hamming ball). Now we observe that any path from \mathcal{R} to a string from \mathcal{A}_q^n in graph $G_\beta^{(n)}$ can be described by a sequence of quadruples (p, ℓ, t, j) . Moreover, there are some constraints on quadruple. For example, if

$$x = (abcd) \rightarrow y := f_{p,\ell,t,j}(x) = (abc\hat{b}d),$$

then

$$0 \leq p \leq n - \ell, \quad 0 \leq t \leq n - \ell - p,$$

$$\ell = |y| - |x|, \quad 0 \leq j < \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \beta|b| \rfloor} \binom{|b|}{s}.$$

Suppose that $f_\beta(n) \leq f_\beta$ for $\beta \in [0, (q-1)/q]$, where f_β is some positive integer. Since any string from \mathcal{A}_q^n can be described (not uniquely) by a sequence of quadruples, satisfying the above constraints and having some length f' not greater than f_β , and a root from \mathcal{R} , we shall count and upper bound the total number of such assignments by

$$q!q \sum_{i=1}^{f_\beta} n^{2i} \binom{n}{i} q^{H_q(\beta)n}.$$

Here, we use the facts that the number of choices for p and t is at most n , the number of roots $|\mathcal{R}| \leq q!q$, the sum of ℓ 's in the sequence of quadruples is at most n and for $\beta \in [0, (q-1)/q]$, the size of the Hamming ball with radius βn can be bounded by $q^{H_q(\beta)n}$ (e.g., see [16, Section 4]). On the other hand, the above estimate should be greater than q^n . This implies the inequality

$$q!q f_\beta n^{3f_\beta} q^{H_q(\beta)n} \geq q^n.$$

Therefore, we come to

Corollary 5. For $0 < \beta < (q-1)/q$, the function

$$f_\beta(n) \geq \frac{n(1 - H_q(\beta))}{3 \log_q n} (1 + o(1)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $H_q(x)$ is the q -ary entropy function.

For $\beta > 1 - 1/q$, let us recall the arguments. Since for any v , the length of a parent of v is at least half of $|v|$, we have $f_\beta(n) \geq \log_2(n/q)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we study the duplication with transposition operation which may occur in a genome sequence. The basic question of our research is related to the maximal distance in graph $G^{(n)}$ between the set of roots \mathcal{R} and q -ary strings of length at most n .

We showed in Theorem 1 that the maximal distance, denoted by $f(n)$, satisfies the inequality $0.5n/\log_q n \lesssim f(n) \lesssim n/\log_q n$. Also, we proved in Theorem 2 that for the case of β -approximate duplication, the maximal distance $f_\beta(n)$ has a sharp transition from $n/\log n$ order to $\log n$ at $\beta = (q-1)/q$. The behaviour of $f_{(q-1)/q}(n)$ for large values of n remains an open question.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Yiwei Zhang for the introduction to the problem of the duplication distance to the root for the binary strings and Gregory Kucherov for the fruitful discussion on Dejean's conjecture.

I. Vorobyev was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research through grant no. 20-01-00559. N. Polyanskii was supported in part by the European Research Council under the EU's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant No. 801434).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Freeling, "Bias in plant gene content following different sorts of duplication: tandem, whole-genome, segmental, or by transposition," *Annual review of plant biology*, vol. 60, pp. 433–453, 2009.
- [2] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on words*. Cambridge university press, 1997, vol. 17.
- [3] K. Sayood, *Introduction to data compression*. Morgan Kaufmann, 2017.
- [4] A. Thue, "Über unendliche zeichenreihen," *Norske Vid Selsk. Skr. I Mat-Nat Kl.(Christiana)*, vol. 7, pp. 1–22, 1906.
- [5] F. Dejean, "Sur un théoreme de thue," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 90–99, 1972.
- [6] M. Rao, "Last cases of dejean's conjecture," *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 412, no. 27, pp. 3010–3018, 2011.
- [7] J. Currie and N. Rampersad, "A proof of dejean's conjecture," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 80, no. 274, pp. 1063–1070, 2011.

- [8] A. Carpi, "On dejean's conjecture over large alphabets," *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 385, no. 1-3, pp. 137–151, 2007.
- [9] N. Alon, J. Bruck, F. F. Hassanzadeh, and S. Jain, "Duplication distance to the root for binary sequences," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 7793–7803, 2017.
- [10] A. Lenz, A. Wachter-Zeh, and E. Yaakobi, "Duplication-correcting codes," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 87, no. 2-3, pp. 277–298, 2019.
- [11] M. Kovačević and V. Y. Tan, "Asymptotically optimal codes correcting fixed-length duplication errors in dna storage systems," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 2194–2197, 2018.
- [12] S. Jain, F. F. Hassanzadeh, M. Schwartz, and J. Bruck, "Duplication-correcting codes for data storage in the dna of living organisms," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 4996–5010, 2017.
- [13] Y. M. Chee, J. Chrisnata, H. M. Kiah, and T. T. Nguyen, "Efficient encoding/decoding of irreducible words for codes correcting tandem duplications," in *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 2406–2410.
- [14] Y. Yehezkeally and M. Schwartz, "Reconstruction codes for dna sequences with uniform tandem-duplication errors," in *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 2535–2539.
- [15] M. Kovačević, "Zero-error capacity of duplication channels," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.06275*, 2019.
- [16] R. Roth, *Introduction to coding theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [17] M. Hagita, M. Matsumoto, F. Natsu, and Y. Ohtsuka, "Error correcting sequence and projective de bruijn graph," *Graphs and Combinatorics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 185–194, 2008.