Rationality of inner twisted flags of type A_n

Saša Novaković

January 2020

Abstract. We prove that $\operatorname{rcodim}(X) \geq 2$ if X is a rational inner twisted flag of type A_n .

1. INTRODUCTION

A potential measure for rationality was introduced by Bernardara and Bolognesi [6] with the notion of categorical representability. We use the definition given in [1]. A k-linear triangulated category \mathcal{T} is said to be *representable in dimension* m if there is a semiorthogonal decomposition (see Section 3 for the definition) $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, ..., \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$ and for each i = 1, ..., n there exists a smooth projective connected variety Y_i with dim $(Y_i) \leq m$, such that \mathcal{A}_i is equivalent to an admissible subcategory of $D^b(Y_i)$. We set

 $\operatorname{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) := \min\{m \mid \mathcal{T} \text{ is representable in dimension } m\},\$

whenever such a finite m exists. Let X be a smooth projective k-variety. One says X is representable in dimension m if $D^b(X)$ is representable in dimension m. We will use the following notations:

 $r\dim(X) := r\dim(D^b(X)), \ rcodim(X) := \dim(X) - r\dim(X).$

Note that when the base field k of a variety X is not algebraically closed, the existence of k-rational points on X and whether X is k-rational is a major open question in arithmetic geometry. We recall the following question which was formulated in [7]:

Question. Let X be a smooth projective variety over k of dimension at least 2. Suppose X is k-rational. Do we have $\operatorname{rcodim}(X) \geq 2$?

There are several results suggesting that this question has a positive answer (see [1], [2], [7], [15], [16], [17] and references therein). In this context, we prove:

Theorem 1.1. Let A be a central simple k-algebra of degree n over a field of characteristic zero. We fix a sequence of integers $0 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_r < n$ and let $X = BS(n_1, ..., n_r, A)$ be a twisted flag of dimension at least two. If X is rational over k, then $rcodim(X) \ge 2$.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Nikita Semenov for answering questions concerning twisted flag varieties. This research was conducted in the framework of the research training group GRK 2240: Algebro-geometric Methods in Algebra, Arithmetic and Topology, which is funded by the DFG.

2. Inner twisted flags of type A_n


Recall that a finite-dimensional k-algebra A is called *central simple* if it is an associative k-algebra that has no two-sided ideals other than 0 and A and if its center equals k. If the algebra A is a division algebra it is called *central division algebra*. Note that A is a central simple k-algebra if and only if there is a finite field extension $k \subset L$, such that $A \otimes_k L \simeq M_n(L)$. This is also equivalent to $A \otimes_k \bar{k} \simeq M_n(\bar{k})$. An extension $k \subset L$ such that $A \otimes_k L \simeq M_n(L)$ is called splitting field for A. The degree of a central simple algebra A is defined to be $\deg(A) := \sqrt{\dim_k A}$. According to the Wedderburn Theorem, for any central simple k-algebra A there is an unique integer n > 0 and a division k-algebra D such

that $A \simeq M_n(D)$. The division algebra D is also central and unique up to isomorphism. The degree of the unique central division algebra D is called the *index* of A and is denoted by ind(A). Two central simple algebras A and B are said to be *Brauer-equivalent* if there are positive integers r, s such that $M_r(A) \simeq M_s(B)$.

A Brauer–Severi variety of dimension n can also be defined as a scheme X of finite type over k such that $X \otimes_k L \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ for a finite field extension $k \subset L$. A field extension $k \subset L$ for which $X \otimes_k L \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ is called *splitting field* of X. Clearly, k^s and \bar{k} are splitting fields for any Brauer–Severi variety. In fact, every Brauer–Severi variety always splits over a finite Galois extension. It follows from descent theory that X is projective, integral and smooth over k. For details we refer to [3] and [10].

To a central simple k-algebra A one can also associate twisted forms of Grassmannians. Let A be of degree n and $1 \le d \le n$. Consider the subset of $\operatorname{Grass}_k(d \cdot n, A)$ consisting of those subspaces of A that are left ideals I of dimension $d \cdot n$. This subset can be given the structure of a projective variety which turns out to be a generalized Brauer–Severi variety. It is denoted by $\operatorname{BS}(d, A)$. After base change to some splitting field L of A the variety $\operatorname{BS}(d, A)$ becomes isomorphic to $\operatorname{Grass}_L(d, n)$. If d = 1 the generalized Brauer–Severi variety is the Brauer–Severi variety associated to A. For details see [9].

We also recall the basics of generalized Brauer–Severi schemes (see [14]). Let X be a noetherian k-scheme and \mathcal{A} a sheaf of Azumaya algebras of rank n^2 over X (see [11], [12] for details on Azumaya algebras). For an integer $1 \leq n_1 < n$ the generalized Brauer– Severi scheme p : BS $(n_1, \mathcal{A}) \to X$ is defined as the scheme representing the functor $F : \operatorname{Sch}/X \to \operatorname{Sets}$, where $(\psi : Y \to X)$ is mapped to the set of left ideals \mathcal{J} of $\psi^*\mathcal{A}$ such that $\psi^*\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ is locally free of rank $n(n - n_1)$. By definition, there is an étale covering $U \to X$ and a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank n with the following trivializing diagram:



In the same way one defines the twisted relative flag $BS(n_1, ..., n_r, \mathcal{A})$ as the scheme representing the functor $F : Sch/X \to Sets$, where $(\psi : Y \to X)$ is mapped to the set of left ideals $\mathcal{J}_1 \subset ... \subset \mathcal{J}_r$ of $\psi^* \mathcal{A}$ such that $\psi^* \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}_i$ is locally free of rank $n(n - n_i)$. As for the generalized Brauer–Severi schemes, there is an étale covering $U \to X$ and a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank n with diagram

Note that the usual Brauer–Severi schemes are obtained from the generalized one by setting $n_1 = 1$. In this case one has a well known one-to-one correspondence between sheaves of Azumaya algebras of rank n^2 on X and Brauer–Severi schemes of relative dimension n-1 via $\check{H}^1(X_{et}, \operatorname{PGL}_n)$ (see [11]). Note that if the base scheme X is a point a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on X is a central simple k-algebra and the generalized Brauer–Severi schemes are the generalized Brauer–Severi varieties from above. Consider a twisted flag $X = SB(n_1, ..., n_r, A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$. Such an X is an *inner form* of a partial flag variety. That is, there is a cartesian square of the form

where L/k is a Galois extension and the 1-cocycle $\operatorname{Gal}(L/k) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Grass}_L(n_1, ..., n_r, V))$ factors through $\operatorname{PGL}(V)$.

3. Semiorthogonal decompositions

Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category and \mathcal{C} a triangulated subcategory. The subcategory \mathcal{C} is called *thick* if it is closed under isomorphisms and direct summands. For a subset A of objects of \mathcal{D} we denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the smallest full thick subcategory of \mathcal{D} containing the elements of A. For a smooth projective variety X over k, we denote by $D^b(X)$ the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X. Moreover, if B is an associated k-algebra, we write $D^b(B)$ for the bounded derived category of finitely generated left B-modules.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a division algebra over k, not necessarily central. An object $\mathcal{E}^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(X)$ is called A-exceptional if $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}) = A$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}[r]) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$. By generalized exceptional object, we mean A-exceptional for some division algebra A over k. If A = k, the object \mathcal{E}^{\bullet} is called exceptional.

Definition 3.2. A totally ordered set $\{\mathcal{E}_1^{\bullet}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^{\bullet}\}$ of generalized exceptional objects on X is called an *generalized exceptional collection* if $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_i^{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}_j^{\bullet}[r]) = 0$ for all integers r whenever i > j. A generalized exceptional collection is *full* if $\langle \{\mathcal{E}_1^{\bullet}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^{\bullet}\} \rangle = D^b(X)$ and strong if $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_i^{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}_j^{\bullet}[r]) = 0$ whenever $r \neq 0$. If the set $\{\mathcal{E}_1^{\bullet}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^{\bullet}\}$ consists of exceptional objects it is called *exceptional collection*.

Recall that a full thick triangulated subcategory \mathcal{D} of $D^b(X)$ is called *admissible* if the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow D^b(X)$ has a left and right adjoint functor.

Definition 3.3. Let X be a smooth projective variety over k. A sequence $\mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n$ of full admissible triangulated subcategories of $D^b(X)$ is called *semiorthogonal* if $\mathcal{D}_j \subset \mathcal{D}_i^{\perp} = \{\mathcal{F}^{\bullet} \in D^b(X) \mid \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{G}^{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}) = 0, \forall \mathcal{G}^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}_i\}$ for i > j. Such a sequence defines a *semiorthogonal decomposition* of $D^b(X)$ if the smallest thick full subcategory containing all \mathcal{D}_i equals $D^b(X)$.

For a semiorthogonal decomposition we write $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n \rangle$.

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathcal{E}_1^{\bullet}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^{\bullet}$ be a full generalized exceptional collection on X. It is easy to verify that by setting $\mathcal{D}_i = \langle \mathcal{E}_i^{\bullet} \rangle$ one gets a semiorthogonal decomposition $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n \rangle$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 4.1. Let X be the inner twisted flag from Theorem 1.1. Then $D^b(X)$ admits a semiorthogonal decomposition with components being equivalent to $D^b(A^{\otimes j})$ for suitable positive integers $j \geq 0$.

Proof. On a relative flag $Grass(n_1, ..., n_r, \mathcal{E})$ one has tautological subbundles sitting in the following sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_r \longrightarrow q^* \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_r \longrightarrow 0.$

In our case \mathcal{E} is a k-vector space of dimension n denoted by V. Recall that $X = BS(n_1, ..., n_r, A)$ is the inner twisted flag obtained from $Grass(n_1, ..., n_r, V)$ by descent. Denote by $\alpha(1), ..., \alpha(r)$ partitions of the forms

$$(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l_1}), (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l_2}), ..., (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l_r}),$$

with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq l_2 - l_1, ..., 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq l_m - l_{m-1}, 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq n - l_m$. Let $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^r |\alpha(i)|$, where $|\alpha(j)| = \sum_{s=1}^{l_j} \alpha_s$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then the sheaf $(S^{\alpha(1)}\mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\alpha(r)}\mathcal{R}_r)^{\oplus n \cdot |\alpha|}$ descents to a sheaf \mathcal{J}_{α} on X (see [14], Section 4). As in the case of generalized Brauer– Severi varieties, one can show that $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha})$ is Brauer-equivalent to $A^{\otimes |\alpha|}$. Note that $D^b(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha}))$ is equivalent to $D^b(A^{\otimes |\alpha|})$ by Morita equivalence. Now it is proved in [4] that the subcategories $\langle \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \rangle \simeq D^b(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha}))$ form a semiorthogonal decomposition. \Box **Proposition 4.2.** Let X be the inner twisted flag from above. Then $rdim(X) \leq ind(A)-1$.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.1, $D^b(X)$ admits a semiorthogonal decomposition with components being equivalent to $D^b(A^{\otimes j})$ for suitable positive integers $j \geq 0$. Now let $A = M_s(D)$ according to the Wedderburn theorem. Since any of the derived categories $D^b(A^{\otimes j})$ can be embedded into $D^b(Y)$, where Y is the Brauer–Severi variety corresponding to D (see [5]), the assertion follows from the fact that $\deg(D) = \operatorname{ind}(A)$ and $\dim(Y) = \operatorname{ind}(A) - 1$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\alpha \ge 1$ be an integer. Then $\alpha x^2 - x - 1 \ge 0$ for all $x \ge 2$.

Proof. The function $\alpha x^2 - x - 1$ is strictly monotonically increasing for $x > 1/2\alpha$ and has its positive root at $(1/2\alpha) \cdot (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha})$ which is < 2 for all $\alpha \ge 1$.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.1)

Recall the well known fact that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ iff $\operatorname{ind}(A) \mid d$, where $d = \operatorname{gcd}(n, n_1, ..., n_r)$ denotes the greatest common divisor. So if X is k-rational, it has a k-rational point and therefore $\operatorname{ind}(A) \mid d$. Now let $n = b \cdot \operatorname{ind}(A)$ and $n_i = b_i \cdot \operatorname{ind}(A)$ for i = 1, ..., r. Then $b, b_1, ..., b_r$ are positive integers satisfying $0 < b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_r < b$. This implies that $a \geq 1$ for

$$a = b_1(b - b_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{r} (b_i - b_{i-1})(b - b_i).$$

Assume ind(A) > 1 and let x = ind(A). With $\alpha = a$, Lemma 4.3 implies

$$a \cdot \operatorname{ind}(A)^2 - \operatorname{ind}(A) - 1 \ge 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$a \cdot \operatorname{ind}(A)^2 = n_1(n - n_1) + \sum_{i=2}^r (n_i - n_{i-1})(n - n_i).$$

This implies

$$n_1(n-n_1) + \sum_{i=2}^r (n_i - n_{i-1})(n-n_i) \ge (\operatorname{ind}(A) - 1) + 2$$

Now, since

$$\dim(X) = n_1(n - n_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{r} (n_i - n_{i-1})(n - n_i)$$

and since $\operatorname{rdim}(X) \leq \operatorname{ind}(A) - 1$ according to Proposition 4.2, we conclude

$$\operatorname{rcodim}(X) = \dim(X) - \operatorname{rdim}(X) \ge 2.$$

It remains to consider the case $\operatorname{ind}(A) = 1$. In this case the inner twisted flag X is split, i.e. is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Flag}_k(n_1, \dots, n_r, V)$, where V is a n-dimensional k-vector space. From Kapranov [13], we know that X admits a full exceptional collection. But then $\operatorname{rdim}(X) = 0$ according to [1], Proposition 6.1.6 and hence $\operatorname{rcodim}(X) \ge 2$.

Remark 4.4. If the inner twisted flag X from Theorem 1.1 is of dimension one, it is actually a Brauer–Severi curve. In this case [15] shows that rationality of X is equivalent to $\operatorname{rdim}(X) = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{rcodim}(X) = 1$. This is one reason why the question in the introduction assumes $\dim(X) \ge 2$.

References

- A. Auel and M. Bernardara: Cycles, derived categories, and rationality, in Surveys on Recent Developments in Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 95 (2017), 199-266.
- [2] A. Auel and M. Bernardara: Semiorthogonal decompositions and birational geometry of del Pezzo surfaces over arbitrary fields. Proc. London Math. Soc. 117 (2018) 1-64.
- [3] M. Artin: Brauer-Severi varieties. Brauer groups in ring theory and algebraic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 917, Notes by A. Verschoren, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag (1982), 194-210
- [4] S. Baek: Semiorthogonal decompositions for twisted Grassmannians. arXiv:1205.1175v1 [math.AG] (2012).
- [5] M. Bernardara: A semiorthogonal decomposition for Brauer–Severi schemes. Math. Nachr. 282 (2009), 1406-1413.
- [6] M. Bernardara and M. Bolognesi: Categorical representability and intermediate Jacobians of Fano threefolds. EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc. (2013), 1-10.
- [7] M. Bernardara: Semiorthogonal decompositions and noncommutative motives in algebraic geometry. Habilitation thesis, availabe at https://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/ mbernard/ (2016).
- [8] M. Bernardara: Categorical dimension of birational automorphisms and filtrations of Cremona maps, arXiv:1701.06803v3 (2017).
- [9] A. Blanchet: Function fields of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties. Comm. Algebra. Vol. 19 (1991), 97-118.
- [10] P. Gille and T. Szamuely: Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology. Cambridge Studies in advanced Mathematics. 101. Cambridge University Press. (2006)
- [11] A. Grothendieck: Le group de Brauer I: Algebras d Azumaya et interpretations diverses, Seminaire Bourbaki. No. 290 (1964).
- [12] A. Grothendieck: Le group de Brauer II: Theorie cohomologique, Seminaire Bourbaki. No. 297 (1965).
- [13] M.M. Kapranov: On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces. Invent. Math. Vol. 92 (1988), 479-508.
- [14] M. Levine, V. Srinivas and J. Weyman: K-Theory of Twisted Grassmannians. K-Theory Vol. 3 (1989), 99-121.
- [15] S. Novaković: Non-existence of exceptional collections on twisted flags and categorical representability via noncommutative motives. arXiv:1607.01043v1 [math.AG] (2016).
- [16] S. Novaković: On non-existence of full exceptional collections on some relative flags. arXiv:1607.04834v1 [math.AG] (2016).
- [17] S. Novaković: Rational points on symmetric powers and categorical representability. arXiv:1704.02474v1 [math.AG] (2017).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH-HEINE-UNIVERSITÄT 40225 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY

E-mail adress: novakovic@math.uni-duesseldorf.de