

Search for the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$ in the reaction of $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$

Yang Zhang,¹ En Wang,^{1,*} De-Min Li,¹ and Yu-Xiao Li¹

¹*School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China*

Based on the prediction of a $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$ with isospin $I = 1$ in the coupled channels unitary chiral approach, and that the existence of the $Z_c(4000)$ is crucial to understand the near threshold enhancement in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$ process reported by the BESIII Collaboration, we suggest to search for this state in the reaction of $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$. By taking into account the final state interactions of $J/\psi\rho$ and $D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}$, and the contribution of the $K_1(1270)$ resonance, we find that the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution shows a peak around 4000 MeV, which could be associated to the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decades, a lot of charmonium-like states, named as X , Y , Z states, were discovered experimentally, and can not naturally fit in the expected spectrum of ordinary $c\bar{c}$ meson states [1–3]. Although there are several interpretations for their underlying structures, such as tetraquarks, molecular states, kinematic effects, and so on, it is still difficult to exactly distinguish the exotic states from the conventional $c\bar{c}$ states. One way to identify a resonance as an exotic charmonium state is the observation of its decay mode of a charmonium state plus a light meson with nonzero isospin [4].

The first charged charmonium-like state, $Z_c(4430)$ was reported in the $\pi^-\psi(2S)$ mass distribution of the $B \rightarrow K\pi^-\psi(2S)$ by the Belle Collaboration [5, 6], and was confirmed by the LHCb Collaboration seven years later [7]. Since the $Z_c(3900)$ was observed in the π^-J/ψ invariant mass distribution of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ by the BESIII and Belle Collaborations [8, 9], several Z_c states were reported in different processes [1]. The BESIII Collaboration has studied the process of $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$, and observed an enhancement structure near the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ threshold, which was interpreted as the $Z_c(4025)$ with $M = 4026.3 \pm 2.6 \pm 3.7$ MeV and $\Gamma = 24.8 \pm 5.6 \pm 7.7$ MeV [10], and there are many theoretical explanations for its nature, such as a tetraquark state [11–14], or a molecular state [15–20].

It should be pointed out that the interpretation of peaks around threshold is always problematic, and most often an enhancement close to the threshold is an indication of the bound state or resonance below threshold [16].

The interaction of $D^*\bar{D}^*$ and $J/\psi\rho$ with isospin $I = 1$ has been investigated within the local hidden gauge approach in Refs. [16, 21], and a state $Z_c(4000)$ around 4000 MeV with $J = 2$ and $I = 1$ is found. In Ref. [22], it is shown that the state predicted in Ref. [16] is compatible with the reanalysis of the BESIII data for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$ reaction [10]. Thus, searching for

the predicted state $Z_c(4000)$ in other processes is crucial to understand the enhancement structure near the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ threshold in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$. Taking into account that the $Z_c(4000)$, as the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ bound state with $I = 1$, couples to the $J/\psi\rho$ channels [16], and that the large B samples of the LHCb and Belle are the good platform for observing the XYZ states [3], we suggest to search for the $Z_c(4000)$ in the reaction of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$. It should be pointed out that the Belle Collaboration has reported the observation of the exclusive decay process $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1(1270)$, and measured the branching fraction of $\text{Br}[B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K_1^+(1270)] = (1.80 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-3}$ [23]. Since the dominant decay channel of the $K_1(1270)$ is ρK [24], it implies that the reaction of $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ is accessible experimentally.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we will present the mechanism of the reaction $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$, the results and discussions are shown in Sec. III. Finally, the summary is given in Sec. IV.

II. FORMALISM

Analogy to the Refs. [25, 26], the mechanism of the reaction $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ at the quark level can be depicted in Fig. 1. The b quark first weakly decays into a c quark and a W^- boson, and then the W^- boson couples to a \bar{c} quark and a s quark. Fig. 1(a) shows the internal emission, where the c and \bar{c} go into J/ψ , and the $s\bar{u}$ component is hadronized with $\bar{u}u$ pair, created from the vacuum with the quantum numbers of vacuum, to ρK^- . Since the state $Z_c(4000)$ couples strongly to the $D^*\bar{D}^*$, the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ system can be produced primarily, following by the transition to the final state $J/\psi\rho$. Figure 1(b) shows the internal emission mechanism of the reaction $B^- \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}^* K^-$, where the c and \bar{c} hadronize with the $\bar{q}q$ pair, created from the vacuum, to the final state $D^*\bar{D}^*$. Because the isospin of the created $\bar{q}q$ is 0, which leads to the isospin $I = 0$ for the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ system, we can not produce the molecular state with $I = 1$, and thus the diagram of Fig. 1(b) has no contribution to the reaction of $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$. In addition, we also have the mechanism of external emission as shown in Fig. 1(c), which is

*Electronic address: wangen@zzu.edu.cn

color-favored with respect to the internal emission. Here the $s\bar{c}$ component from the W^- decay, together with the $\bar{u}u$, is hadronized to produce the $\bar{D}^{*0}K^-$, and the remaining $c\bar{u}$ leads to the D^{*0} .




FIG. 1: The microscopic quark level production of the B^- decay. (a) The internal emission of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi s \bar{u}$ decay and hadronization of the $s \bar{u}$ through $\bar{u}u$ with vacuum quantum numbers. (b) The internal emission of the $B^- \rightarrow K^- c \bar{c}$ decay and hadronization of the $c \bar{c}$ through $\bar{q}q$ with vacuum quantum numbers. (c) The external emission of the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} c s$ decay and hadronization of the $c s$ through $\bar{q}q$ with vacuum quantum numbers.

Then the tree level diagrams of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rho K^-$ reaction, and the final state interactions of $J/\psi \rho$ and $D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$, are shown in Figs. 2(a) and (b), respectively. The tree level amplitude for the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rho K^-$ decay in S -wave can be expressed as,

$$\mathcal{M}^{(a)} = A \times \epsilon_{J/\psi} \cdot \epsilon_\rho, \quad (1)$$

where the $\epsilon_{J/\psi}$ and ϵ_ρ are the polarization vectors for the J/ψ and ρ , respectively, and A stands for the normalization factor of the vertex $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rho K^-$. Note that we work on the rest frame of the resonance produced, where the momenta of the J/ψ and ρ are small with respect to




FIG. 2: The mechanisms for the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rho K^-$ reaction. (a) the tree diagram, (b) the $J/\psi \rho$ final state interaction, (c) the term of the intermediate $K_1(1270)$.

their masses, thus we neglect the ϵ^0 component. This is actually very accurate for these momenta as can be seen in Appendix A of Ref. [27]. For the final state interactions of the $J/\psi \rho$ and $D^* \bar{D}^*$ final state interaction as shown in Fig. 2(b), we need the K^- in D -wave to match the angular momentum of the B^- , the amplitude is given by [25, 26],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{(b)} = & B \left(G_{J/\psi \rho} t_{J/\psi \rho, J/\psi \rho} + 3C \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_{D^* \bar{D}^*} t_{D^* \bar{D}^*, J/\psi \rho}^{I=1} \right) \\ & \times \left(\vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{k} \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \cdot \vec{k} - \frac{1}{3} |\vec{k}|^2 \vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \right), \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

where \vec{k} is the momentum of the K^- in the $J/\psi \rho$ rest frame. The factor $1/\sqrt{2}$ is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient for the $D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$ system with isospin $I = 1$. In order to explicitly consider the factor 3 relative to the enhancement of the external emission mechanism of Fig. 1(c), we write $3C$ for the weight of the mechanism relative to $D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$ primary production. We will vary the value of C around unity, but we can anticipate that it hardly changes the shape of the distribution obtained.

The $G_{J/\psi \rho}$ and $G_{D^* \bar{D}^*}$ are the loop functions, and we use the dimensional regularization as,

$$\begin{aligned} G_i = & \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \alpha_i + \ln \frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2 + s}{2s} \ln \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} \right. \\ & + \frac{p}{\sqrt{s}} \left[\ln(s - (m_2^2 - m_1^2) + 2p\sqrt{s}) \right. \\ & + \ln(s + (m_2^2 - m_1^2) + 2p\sqrt{s}) \\ & - \ln(-s + (m_2^2 - m_1^2) + 2p\sqrt{s}) \\ & \left. \left. - \ln(-s - (m_2^2 - m_1^2) + 2p\sqrt{s}) \right] \right\}, \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where the subtraction constants $\alpha_1 = -2.3$ and $\alpha_2 = -2.6$ ($i = 1, 2$ corresponding to the channels of $D^* \bar{D}^*$

and $J/\psi\rho$), $\mu = 1000$ MeV, same as Ref. [16]. p is the three-momentum of the mesons D^* or J/ψ in the rest frame of $D^*\bar{D}^*$ or $J/\psi\rho$, respectively,

$$p = \frac{\sqrt{(s - (m_1 + m_2)^2)(s - (m_1 - m_2)^2)}}{2\sqrt{s}}, \quad (4)$$

with $m_{1,2}$ being the masses of the mesons in the i th channel.

The transition amplitudes of $t_{J/\psi\rho, J/\psi\rho}$ and $t_{D^*\bar{D}^*, J/\psi\rho}^{I=1}$ are taken by solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation, as shown in Eq. (8) of Ref. [16].




FIG. 3: The Dalitz plot of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction. The bands colored by blue and red correspond to the energy regions $(M - \Gamma/2, M + \Gamma/2)$ of the $Z_c(4000)$ and $K_1(1270)$ resonances, respectively. Their masses and widths are taken from the PDG [24].

Indeed the $K^- \rho$ can undergo the final state interaction. Ref. [23] has observed the $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1(1270)$ with $\text{Br}[B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K_1^+(1270)] = (1.80 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-3}$, and no evidence of the $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1(1400)$ is seen. Since the dominant decay channel of the $K_1(1270)$ is ρK ($\text{Br}[K_1(1270) \rightarrow \rho K] = (42 \pm 6)\%$ [24]), we expect the resonance $K_1(1270)$ will play an important role in the ρK^- invariant mass distribution, as shown in Fig. 2(c). Although some theoretical studies show that the $K_1(1270)$ has a two pole structure [28, 29], the contribution of the $K_1(1270)$ will not affect the peak structure of the $Z_c(4000)$ in the $J/\psi\rho$ invariant mass distribution, according to the Dalitz diagram of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ of Fig. 3. For simplicity, we will include the amplitude for the $K_1(1270)$ contribution with a Breit-Wigner form,

$$\mathcal{M}^{(c)} = \frac{A' \times M_{K_1(1270)}^2 \times \epsilon_{J/\psi} \cdot \epsilon_\rho}{M_{\text{inv}}^2(K\rho) - M_{K_1(1270)}^2 + iM_{K_1(1270)}\Gamma_{K_1(1270)}}, \quad (5)$$

with $M_{K_1(1270)} = 1272$ MeV, and $\Gamma_{K_1(1270)} = 90$ MeV [24]. In addition, in order to make the A , B and A' with the same dimension, we have to include a factor

$|\vec{k}_{\text{ave}}|^2$, with $|\vec{k}_{\text{ave}}| = 1000$ MeV in Eqs. (1) and (5). Now, we can write the full amplitude for the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \mathcal{M}^{(a)} + \mathcal{M}^{(b)} + \mathcal{M}^{(c)} \\ &= A|\vec{k}_{\text{ave}}|^2 \times \epsilon_{J/\psi} \cdot \epsilon_\rho \times \\ &\quad \left[1 + \frac{\beta M_{K_1(1270)}^2}{M_{\text{inv}}^2(K\rho) - M_{K_1(1270)}^2 + iM_{K_1(1270)}\Gamma_{K_1(1270)}} \right] \\ &\quad + B \left(G_{J/\psi\rho} t_{J/\psi\rho, J/\psi\rho} + \frac{3C}{\sqrt{2}} G_{D^*\bar{D}^*} t_{D^*\bar{D}^*, J/\psi\rho}^{I=1} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{k} \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \cdot \vec{k} - \frac{1}{3} |\vec{k}|^2 \vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \right) \\ &= A|\vec{k}_{\text{ave}}|^2 \times \epsilon_{J/\psi} \cdot \epsilon_\rho \times \left[t^{(a)} + t^{(c)} \right] \\ &\quad + B \left(\vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{k} \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \cdot \vec{k} - \frac{1}{3} |\vec{k}|^2 \vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \right) \times t^{(b)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with $\beta = A'/A$ standing for the weight of the contribution of the resonance $K_1(1270)$.

With the above amplitudes, the mass distribution of the decay width is given as,

$$\frac{d\Gamma^2}{dM_{J/\psi\rho}^2 dM_{\rho K}^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32M_{B^-}^3} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2. \quad (7)$$

Since the $\vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_\rho$ and $\left(\vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{k} \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \cdot \vec{k} - \frac{1}{3} |\vec{k}|^2 \vec{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_\rho \right)$ structures filter spin 0 and 2 respectively, they do not interfere when one sums over polarizations of all final states. Thus, the mass distribution can be rewritten by summing \mathcal{M} over the final state polarization,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma^2}{dM_{J/\psi\rho}^2 dM_{\rho K}^2} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{A^2}{32M_{B^-}^3} \\ &\quad \times \left(3|\vec{k}_{\text{ave}}|^4 |t^{(a)} + t^{(c)}|^2 + \frac{2B^2}{3A^2} |\vec{k}|^4 |t^{(b)}|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

III. RESULTS

In this section, we will show our results with the above formalisms. First we present the modulus squared of the transition amplitudes $|T_{11}|^2$ for $D^*\bar{D}^* \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}^*$ and $|T_{12}|^2$ for $D^*\bar{D}^* \rightarrow J/\psi\rho$ in Fig. 4, where one can see a peak around 4000 MeV, corresponding to the resonance $Z_c(4000)$ predicted in Ref. [16].

Before showing the mass distributions of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction, we need to choose the values of the free parameters of our model. In addition to the arbitrary normalization A of Eq. (8), we have three parameters, β , the weight of the contribution from the $K_1(1270)$ resonance, B , the weight of the contribution from the $J/\psi\rho$ and $D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}$ final state interactions, and C , the weight of $D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}$ primary production, as shown in Eq. (6). We choose $\beta = 0.5$ in order to give a sizable contribution




FIG. 4: Modulus squared of the transition amplitudes for $D^*\bar{D}^* \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}^*$ (the curve labeled as $|T_{11}|^2$) and $D^*\bar{D}^* \rightarrow J/\psi\rho$ (the curve labeled as $|T_{12}|^2$).




FIG. 6: The ρK^- mass distribution of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction. The explanations of the curves are same as those of Fig. 5.




FIG. 5: The $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction. The curves labeled as ‘ $Z_c(4000)$ ’, ‘ $K_1(1270)$ ’, and ‘tree’, correspond to the contributions of the $J/\psi\rho$ and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ final state interactions (Fig. 2(b)), the $K_1(1270)$ resonance (Fig. 2(c)), and the tree diagram (Fig. 2(a)), respectively. The ‘Total’ curve shows the results of the full model.

from the $K_1(1270)$ resonance, and the unity value of $C = 1$. Although we don’t know the exact value of the B/A , we expect the B has the similar strength with A , since the primary production weight of the $J/\psi\rho$, shown in Fig. 2(b) is the same as that of the tree diagram of Fig. 2(a),

Up to the arbitrary normalization A , we calculate the $J/\psi\rho$ and ρK^- mass distributions with $B/A = 1$, as shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. For the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution, one can see a significant peak structure around 4000 MeV, which is associated to the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$. The contributions from the tree diagram of Fig. 2(a) and the resonance $K_1(1270)$




FIG. 7: The $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction for different values of β .

have little effect on the peak position. For the ρK^- mass distribution of Fig. 6, it shows a narrow peak close to the ρK^- threshold, which corresponds to the $K_1(1270)$ resonance, and our results are consistent with the $K\rho$ mass distribution of the $B \rightarrow J/\psi K\rho$ reported by the Belle Collaboration [23].

Next, we will show the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution by varying the values of the three parameters. In Fig. 7, we present the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution with the $\beta = 0.3, 0.5, 0.8$, the weight of the contribution from the $K_1(1270)$ resonance. From Fig. 7, we can conclude that contribution from the $K_1(1270)$ resonance does not modify the peak position of the $Z_c(4000)$ resonance markedly, and the peak structure is still clear even with a very large contribution from the $K_1(1270)$ resonance, which is because that the narrow peak structure of the $K_1(1270)$




FIG. 8: The $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction for different values of B .




FIG. 9: The $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ reaction for different values of C .

almost does not contribute to the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution of the 3900 ~ 4100 MeV region, as shown in Fig. 3.

The $J/\psi\rho$ mass distributions with the different values $B/A = 0.5, 1.0, 1.5$ are shown in Fig. 8. while the back-

ground contributions of the Figs. 2(a) and (c) become larger, the peak structure of the $Z_c(4000)$ will be weaker.

Finally, we show the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution with the $C = 0.8, 1.0, 1.2$ in Fig. 9. One can see that it hardly changes the shape of the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution for the different values of C , the same as the discussion above.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, we have studied the reaction of $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$, by considering the contribution from the $K_1(1270)$ resonance, and the $D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}$ and $J/\psi\rho$ final state interactions within the coupled channels unitary chiral approach, which dynamically generate the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$ with isospin $I = 1$. The existence of the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$ is crucial to understand the enhancement structure near the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$ reaction reported by the BESIII Collaboration.

Our results show that the $J/\psi\rho$ mass distribution has a peak structure around 4000 MeV, which can be associated to the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$. We have also varied the values of the parameters of our model, and the signal of the $Z_c(4000)$ is still clear. On the other hand, one can find that a narrow peak structure close to the ρK^- threshold in the ρK^- mass distribution, which corresponding to the $K_1(1270)$ resonance, and the contribution from the $K_1(1270)$ does not affect the peak position of the $Z_c(4000)$.

In summary, the reaction of the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$ is accessible experimentally, and we suggest our experimental colleagues to search for the $Z_c(4000)$ state in the reaction of $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\rho K^-$.

Acknowledgements

This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11505158, 11605158, the Key Research Projects of Henan Higher Education Institutions (No. 20A140027), and the Academic Improvement Project of Zhengzhou University.

-
- [1] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, C. P. Shen, C. E. Thomas, A. Vairo and C. Z. Yuan, The XYZ states: experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, arXiv:1907.07583 [hep-ex].
- [2] S. L. Olsen, X, Y, Z particles from Belle, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **193**, 38 (2012).
- [3] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, The hidden-charm pentaquark and tetraquark states, Phys. Rept. **639**, 1 (2016).
- [4] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meiner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao and B. S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, no. 1, 015004 (2018).
- [5] S. K. Choi *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Observation of a resonance-like structure in the $\pi^\pm\psi'$ mass distribution in exclusive $B \rightarrow K\pi^\pm\psi'$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 142001 (2008).
- [6] K. Chilikin *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Experimental constraints on the spin and parity of the $Z(4430)^+$, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 7, 074026 (2013).
- [7] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Observation of the resonant character of the $Z(4430)^-$ state, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no. 22, 222002 (2014).

- [8] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike Structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.26$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 252001 (2013).
- [9] Z. Q. Liu *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike State at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 252002 (2013) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 019901 (2013)].
- [10] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Observation of a charged charmoniumlike structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.26$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no. 13, 132001 (2014).
- [11] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the $Z_c(4020)$, $Z_c(4025)$, $Y(4360)$ and $Y(4660)$ as vector tetraquark states with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 5, 2874 (2014).
- [12] Z. G. Wang, Reanalysis of the $Z_c(4020)$, $Z_c(4025)$, $Z(4050)$ and $Z(4250)$ as tetraquark states with QCD sum rules, Commun. Theor. Phys. **63**, no. 4, 466 (2015).
- [13] C. F. Qiao and L. Tang, Interpretation of $Z_c(4025)$ as the hidden charm tetraquark states via QCD Sum Rules, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2810 (2014).
- [14] C. Deng, J. Ping and F. Wang, Interpreting $Z_c(3900)$ and $Z_c(4025)/Z_c(4020)$ as charged tetraquark states, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 054009 (2014).
- [15] Z. G. Wang, Reanalysis of the $Y(3940)$, $Y(4140)$, $Z_c(4020)$, $Z_c(4025)$ and $Z_b(10650)$ as molecular states with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 7, 2963 (2014).
- [16] F. Aceti, M. Bayar, J. M. Dias and E. Oset, Prediction of a $Z_c(4000) D^*\bar{D}^*$ state and relationship to the claimed $Z_c(4025)$, Eur. Phys. J. A **50**, 103 (2014)
- [17] J. He, X. Liu, Z. F. Sun and S. L. Zhu, $Z_c(4025)$ as the hadronic molecule with hidden charm, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, no. 11, 2635 (2013).
- [18] W. Chen, T. G. Steele, M. L. Du and S. L. Zhu, $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecule interpretation of $Z_c(4025)$, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 2, 2773 (2014).
- [19] C. Y. Cui, Y. L. Liu and M. Q. Huang, Could $Z_c(4025)$ be a $J^P = 1^+ D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state?, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, no. 12, 2661 (2013).
- [20] K. P. Khemchandani, A. Martinez Torres, M. Nielsen and F. S. Navarra, Relating $D^*\bar{D}^*$ currents with $J^P = 0^+, 1^+$ and 2^+ to Z_c states, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 1, 014029 (2014).
- [21] R. Molina and E. Oset, The $Y(3940)$, $Z(3930)$ and the $X(4160)$ as dynamically generated resonances from the vector-vector interaction, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 114013 (2009).
- [22] A. Martinez Torres, K. P. Khemchandani, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen and E. Oset, Reanalysis of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm\pi^\mp$ reaction and the claim for the $Z_c(4025)$ resonance, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 1, 014025 (2014).
- [23] K. Abe *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Observation of $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1(1270)$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 161601 (2001).
- [24] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Review of Particle Physics, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no. 3, 030001 (2018).
- [25] L. R. Dai, G. Y. Wang, X. Chen, E. Wang, E. Oset and D. M. Li, The $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\omega K^+$ reaction and $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular states, Eur. Phys. J. A **55**, no. 3, 36 (2019).
- [26] E. Wang, J. J. Xie, L. S. Geng and E. Oset, Analysis of the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ data at low $J/\psi\phi$ invariant masses and the $X(4140)$ and $X(4160)$ resonances, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no. 1, 014017 (2018).
- [27] S. Sakai, E. Oset and A. Ramos, Triangle singularities in $B^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- D_{s0}^+$ and $B^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- D_{s1}^+$, Eur. Phys. J. A **54**, no. 1, 10 (2018).
- [28] L. S. Geng, E. Oset, L. Roca and J. A. Oller, Clues for the existence of two $K(1)(1270)$ resonances, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 014017 (2007).
- [29] G. Y. Wang, L. Roca and E. Oset, Discerning the two $K_1(1270)$ poles in $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ VP$ decay, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no. 7, 074018 (2019).