

POLYNOMIAL BOUND AND NONLINEAR SMOOTHING FOR THE BENJAMIN-ONO EQUATION ON THE CIRCLE

BRADLEY ISOM, DIONYSSIOS MANTZAVINOS*, SEUNGLY OH & ATANAS STEFANOV

ABSTRACT. For initial data in Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$, the solution to the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation on the circle is shown to grow at most polynomially in time at a rate $(1+t)^{3(s-\frac{1}{2})+\epsilon}$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Key to establishing this result is the discovery of a nonlinear smoothing effect for the Benjamin-Ono equation, according to which the solution to the equation satisfied by a certain gauge transform, which is widely used in the well-posedness theory of the Cauchy problem, becomes smoother once its free solution is removed.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

We consider the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono (BO) equation on the circle

$$u_t + \mathcal{H}u_{xx} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{T}). \quad (1.1b)$$

In the above initial value problem, $u(x, t)$ is a real-valued function and $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the standard L^2 -based Sobolev space on the circle. Furthermore, \mathcal{H} denotes the Hilbert transform defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}f}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}f(\xi) := \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x) dx$ is the usual Fourier transform over \mathbb{T} and where we use the convention $\operatorname{sgn}(0) = 0$.

The BO equation was derived in [Ben, O] as a model for the propagation of one-dimensional long internal gravity waves in deep stratified fluids. The equation is a completely integrable system; in particular, it admits N -soliton solutions [C, CLP], it can be expressed in the form of a Lax pair [N, BK], and it possesses an infinite number of commuting symmetries and conservation laws [BK, FF], including

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u dx, \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u^2 dx, \quad \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \left(u \mathcal{H}u_x - \frac{1}{3}u^3 \right) dx. \quad (1.3)$$

Without loss of generality, throughout this work we restrict our attention to solutions of the BO equation with zero mean, i.e. we assume that

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u(x, t) dx =: \widehat{u}(0, t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.4)$$

This is possible thanks to the observation that the function $v(x, t) := u(x, t) - c$ with $c = \widehat{u}_0(0)/2\pi$ satisfies $v_t + \mathcal{H}v_{xx} = \partial_x(v^2) + 2cv_x$, and hence the function $V(x, t) := v(x - 2ct, t)$ satisfies the BO equation and has mean-zero initial value $V(x, 0) = u_0(x) - c$. Therefore, noting that the mean

Date: January 19, 2020. **Corresponding author:* mantzavinos@ku.edu.

Key words and phrases. periodic Benjamin-Ono equation, nonlinear smoothing, polynomial-in-time bound.

B. Isom was partially supported as a graduate research assistant from NSF-DMS 1614734 and NSF-DMS 1908626.

A. Stefanov was partially supported by NSF-DMS 1908626.

$\widehat{u}(0, t)/2\pi$ of any smooth solution to the BO equation is conserved, we deduce that $V(x, t)$ has zero mean at all times.

The Cauchy problem for the BO equation has been studied extensively in the literature. In the case of the line, Fokas and Ablowitz [FA] analyzed this problem via the inverse scattering transform method under the assumption of sufficiently smooth and decaying initial data. Iorio [I] established local and global existence of solution for initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$ and $s \geq 2$, respectively, using energy methods (see also [ABFS], where the continuity of the data-to-solution map is specifically addressed). Furthermore, Ponce [P] proved global well-posedness for $s = \frac{3}{2}$. This result was improved by Koch and Tzvetkov [KT1] and Kenig and Koenig [KK] to $s > \frac{5}{4}$ and $s > \frac{9}{8}$, respectively. Importantly, for initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 0$, Koch and Tzvetkov [KT2] proved that the data-to-solution map of the BO initial value problem is not uniformly continuous (previously, Molinet, Saut and Tzvetkov [MST] had shown that the data-to-solution map fails to be C^2 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$). This fact is due to the presence of a derivative in the nonlinear part of the BO equation in combination with the weak smoothing effects of the linear part of the equation, and prevents one from solving the BO Cauchy problem via a direct application of the contraction mapping principle (see also the relevant discussion in [S]). In [T1], Tao bypassed this difficulty by introducing a gauge transform of Cole-Hopf type, thereby establishing global well-posedness in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. This breakthrough idea was further employed by Burq and Planchon [BP] and by Ionescu and Kenig [IK], who extended Tao's result to initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{1}{4}$ and $s \geq 0$, respectively.

In the periodic setting, using Tao's gauge transform, Molinet proved well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ for $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ [M1] and $s \geq 0$ [M2]. Furthermore, adapting the technique of [KT2] for the line, in [M1] Molinet showed that the data-to-solution map for problem (1.1) is not uniformly continuous in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ for any $s > 0$ (the corresponding result for $s < -\frac{1}{2}$ was proved by Biagioni and Linares [BL]). Nevertheless, Lipschitz continuity is retained in the case of mean-zero initial data (see also [S]). Simpler proofs of the results of [M1, M2] along with stronger uniqueness results were provided by Molinet and Pilod in [MP], where an alternative proof of the result of [IK] was also presented. Finally, in the recent preprint [GKT] Gérard, Kappeler and Topalov obtain global well-posedness results for initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ with $-\frac{1}{2} < s < 0$ (the discontinuity of the solution map for $s < -\frac{1}{2}$ had already been observed by Angulo Pava and Hakkaev [APH]).

In order to summarize the main results of [M1, M2, MP], we first introduce some useful notation.

- For $a, b > 0$, we write $a \lesssim b$ if there exists $C > 0$ such that $a \leq Cb$. If $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$ then we write $a \simeq b$.
- We define the Bessel potential J_x^s via Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{J_x^s f}(\xi) := \langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \langle \cdot \rangle := (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.5a)$$

Then, for any $s \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we define the Bessel potential space

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}) : \|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{T})} := \|J_x^s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.5b)$$

which becomes the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ in the special case $p = 2$.

- For any $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Bourgain space $X_{\tau=-\omega(\xi)}^{s,b}$ by

$$X_{\tau=-\omega(\xi)}^{s,b} := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_{X^{s,b}} := \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau + \omega(\xi) \rangle^b \widetilde{f}(\xi, \tau) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}_\xi \times \mathbb{R}_\tau)} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\tilde{f}(\xi, \tau)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f(x, t)$ with respect to both x and t . We denote by $X_{\tau=-\omega(\xi), T}^{s, b}$ the restriction of $X_{\tau=-\omega(\xi)}^{s, b}$ on $\mathbb{T} \times [0, T]$. Furthermore, for convenience of notation, hereafter we shall write $X_{\tau=-\xi^2}^{s, b} =: X^{s, b}$ and $X_{\tau=\xi^2}^{s, b} =: \bar{X}^{s, b}$ and, analogously, $X_T^{s, b}$ and $\bar{X}_T^{s, b}$ for the respective restrictions of these spaces on $\mathbb{T} \times [0, T]$.

- The operators Π^0 , Π^+ and Π^- denote the projections onto the zero, positive and negative Fourier modes, respectively:

$$\widehat{\Pi^0(f)} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \widehat{f}(0), \quad \widehat{\Pi^\pm(f)}(\xi) := \chi^\pm(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\chi^\pm(\xi)$ are the characteristic functions for $\xi \gtrless 0$. It is straightforward to see that $\overline{\Pi^\pm(u)} = \Pi^\mp(\bar{u})$.

- For $k \geq 1$, we define the Littlewood-Paley-type projection operator P_k by

$$\widehat{P_k(f)}(\xi) := \chi_{\{2^{k-1} \leq |\xi| < 2^k\}} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad (1.8)$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function of the set A . We will often denote $P_k(f)$ simply by f_k . By this definition, it follows that

$$2\pi \widehat{\Pi^0(f)}(\xi) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f_k}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.9)$$

- Following [MP], we introduce the gauge transform for the periodic BO equation as

$$w := \partial_x \Pi^+(e^{-iF/2}), \quad (1.10)$$

where $F = \partial_x^{-1} u$ is the primitive of the solution u of problem (1.1) such that

$$\widehat{F}(\xi, t) := \begin{cases} 0, & \xi = 0, \\ \frac{1}{i\xi} \widehat{u}(\xi, t), & \xi \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Noting that F has zero mean and is 2π -periodic, it is straightforward to see that it satisfies the equation

$$F_t + \mathcal{H}F_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}F_x^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\Pi^0(F_x^2)}(t). \quad (1.12)$$

In turn, noting also that for any mean-zero function f we have $\mathcal{H}f = -if + 2i\Pi^-(f)$, we infer that w satisfies the initial value problem

$$w_t - iw_{xx} = -\partial_x \Pi^+((\partial_x^{-1} w) \Pi^-(u_x)) + \frac{i}{4} \widehat{\Pi^0(u^2)} w, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.13a)$$

$$w(x, 0) = \partial_x \Pi^+(e^{-i\partial_x^{-1} u_0(x)/2}) =: w_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (1.13b)$$

With the above definitions at hand, the main well-posedness results of [M1, M2, MP] can be summarized as follows (see, in particular, Theorem 7.1 in [MP]).

Theorem 1.1 (Well-posedness on the circle [M1, M2, MP]). *Suppose $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$ with $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Then, the initial value problem (1.1) for the BO equation on the circle admits a solution*

$$u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap L^4([0, T]; W^{s, 4}(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_{\tau=-|\xi|\xi, T}^{s-1, 1}$$

where $T = T(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}) \simeq \min\{\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^{-4}, 1\} > 0$. Moreover, the initial value problem (1.13) for the function w , which is defined in terms of u via the gauge transform (1.10), admits a solution

$$w \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}$$

in the distributional as well as in the Duhamel sense. In particular, we have the estimates

$$\max \left\{ \|u\|_{C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{T}))}, \|u\|_{L^4([0,T];W^{s,4}(\mathbb{T}))}, \|w\|_{X_T^{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \right\} \lesssim \max \left\{ \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^{2s}, 1 \right\} \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})},$$

$$\|u\|_{X_{T=-|\xi|\xi,T}^{s-1,1}} \lesssim \left(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} + \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}^2 \right).$$

Remark 1.1 (Global well-posedness). Thanks to the conservation of the L^2 -norm, the solution of Theorem 1.1 is in fact a global solution [M1, M2] which is unique within the class of limits of smooth solutions of problem (1.1).

The scope of the present work extends beyond the fundamental question of well-posedness that was addressed in [M1, M2, MP]. In particular, we revisit the Cauchy problem (1.1) for the BO equation on the circle and obtain an explicit growth bound of polynomial type for the solution guaranteed by Theorem 1.1. Crucial for proving this bound is a nonlinear smoothing effect that we establish for the BO equation, according to which the nonlinear component of the solution of the equation emanating from the gauge transform is smoother than the component corresponding to the initial datum. More precisely, we shall show the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Nonlinear smoothing). Suppose $\frac{1}{6} < s \leq 1$, $0 < a < \min \{s - \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}\}$ and $K := \frac{1}{8\pi} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2$, and let u and w be the solutions of the Cauchy problems (1.1) and (1.13) established by Theorem 1.1. Then, $e^{-iKt}w(x,t) - e^{it\partial_x^2}w_0(x) \in C([0,T];H^{s+a}(\mathbb{T}))$ with the estimate

$$\left\| e^{-iKt}w - e^{it\partial_x^2}w_0 \right\|_{C([0,T];H^{s+a}(\mathbb{T}))} \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{\min\{s,\frac{1}{2}\}}(\mathbb{T})} \right) \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})},$$

where $e^{it\partial_x^2}$ is the semigroup associated with the linear Schrödinger equation.

The nonlinear smoothing effect of Theorem 1.2 provides the basis for proving the following polynomial growth bound for the solution of the BO initial value problem (1.1).

Theorem 1.3 (Polynomial bound). Suppose $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$. Then, for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the solution u of the BO Cauchy problem (1.1) established by Theorem 1.1 satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\epsilon, s, \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}) \langle t \rangle^{3(s-\frac{1}{2})+\epsilon}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.14)$$

The connection between nonlinear smoothing and polynomial bounds for Hamiltonian equations was first established by Bourgain [B2, B3], who employed Fourier truncation operators in conjunction with smoothing estimates to obtain the following local-in-time inequality for solutions of various dispersive PDEs:

$$\|u(t+\delta)\|_{H^s} \leq \|u(t)\|_{H^s} + C\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{1-\delta} \quad (1.15)$$

for some $\delta \in (0,1)$. Local time iterations using the above inequality resulted in the polynomial growth bound $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{1/\delta}$. Staffilani [St1, St2] used further multilinear smoothing estimates to obtain (1.15) which led to polynomial bounds of high-Sobolev norms $s > 1$ for Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) and nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations. Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [CKSTT] developed a new method using modified energy called the “upside-down I -method” to produce polynomial bounds in low-Sobolev norms $s \in (0,1)$ for the NLS equation. Sohinger [So1, So2] further developed the upside-down I -method to obtain polynomial bounds for high Sobolev norms for NLS. We also refer the reader to [CKO] and the references therein for further developments.

More recently, uniform-in-time bounds have been established for a number of completely integrable dispersive equations using inverse scattering techniques. In particular, Killip, Visan and

Zhang [KVZ] showed that the H^s -norm of solutions to the KdV and NLS equations is uniformly bounded in time for $-1 \leq s < 1$ and $-\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, respectively, both on the line and on the circle. For the BO equation, Talbut [Tal] proved an analogous bound in H^s for $-\frac{1}{2} < s < 0$. However, no bound is available for $s > 0$ since the technique used in [Tal], which is similar to that of [KVZ], becomes rather convoluted for higher values of s . On the other hand, Koch and Tataru [KTat] showed that there exists a conserved energy equivalent to the H^s -norm for $s > -\frac{1}{2}$ in the case of the NLS and mKdV equations and for $s \geq -1$ in the case of the KdV equation.

Nonlinear smoothing properties analogous to the one of Theorem 1.2 have been previously established for several important dispersive equations. Indicatively, we mention the work of Erdogan and Tzirakis [ET1] on the periodic Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation, as well as their works on the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the line [EGT1], the fractional Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{R} [EGT2], and the Zakharov system on the torus [ET2]. The main technique used in the proof of these results is known as the normal form method and was first introduced by Shatah [Sh] in the context of the Klein-Gordon equation with a quadratic nonlinearity. This method was further developed recently by Germain, Masmoudi and Shatah for two-dimensional quadratic Schrödinger equations [GMS1] and the gravity water waves equation [GMS2], as well as by Babin, Ilyin and Titi for the periodic KdV equation [BIT]. The technique used in the latter work is known as differentiation by parts. An alternative formulation of the normal form method which involves a multilinear pseudo-differential operator in place of differentiation by parts was provided in [OS, Oh]. In our work, thanks to the properties of the gauge transform, the normal form machinery is not required for proving the nonlinear smoothing result of Theorem 1.2.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we establish a bilinear estimate which is crucial for showing the nonlinear smoothing effect of Theorem 1.2. The proof of this theorem is then provided in Section 3. Finally, the polynomial growth bound of Theorem 1.3 is established in Section 4.

2. BILINEAR ESTIMATE

The following bilinear estimate plays a key role in the proof of the nonlinear smoothing effect of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.1 (Bilinear estimate). *Let $V \in X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ and $U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T})) \cap \bar{X}^{0,1}$ with U compactly supported in $[-T, T]$ for some $T > 0$. Then, for all $\delta > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < j \leq k$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_j \Pi^+(V_k \Pi^-(U_m))\|_{X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)k - \frac{m+j}{2}} \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \left(\|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} + 2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{\bar{X}^{0,1}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the implicit constant depends on T .

Indeed, via complex interpolation it can be shown that $(X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}-\delta}, X^{0,0})_\theta = X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ with $\theta := \frac{1/2-\delta}{1/2+\delta}$. Therefore, interpolating between estimate (2.1) and the estimate

$$\|P_j \Pi^+(V_k \Pi^-(U_m))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{\bar{X}^{0,1}},$$

which follows from the generalized Hölder inequality and the embedding [B1] $X_{\tau=\pm\xi^2}^{0, \frac{3}{8}+\delta} \hookrightarrow L^4(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})$, $\delta > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_j \Pi^+(V_k \Pi^-(U_m))\|_{X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} & \lesssim \left[2^{-\frac{m+j}{2} + k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \left(\|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} + 2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{\bar{X}^{0,1}} \right) \right]^\theta \\ & \quad \cdot \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{\bar{X}^{0,1}}^{1-\theta} \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

This estimate is a main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.2 which is provided in Section 3. In the remaining of the current section, we prove Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Observe that $\varphi \in X^{s,b}$ implies $\bar{\varphi} \in \bar{X}^{s,b}$. By the dual formulation of the Bourgain norm along with Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_j \Pi^+(V_k \Pi^-(U_m))\|_{X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}=1} \left| \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} P_j \Pi^+(V_k \Pi^-(U_m)) \cdot \bar{\varphi}(x, t) dt dx \right| \\ & \simeq \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}=1} \left| \sum_{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\tau_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \widetilde{V}_k(\xi_1, \tau_1) \Pi^-(\widetilde{U_m})(\xi_2, \tau_2) \Pi^-(\widetilde{\varphi_j})(-(\xi_1 + \xi_2), -(\tau_1 + \tau_2)) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Next, we let $L_1 = |\tau_1 + \xi_1^2|$, $L_2 = |\tau_2 - \xi_2^2|$, $L_3 = |-(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - (-(\xi_1 + \xi_2))^2|$ and observe that, since $-(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2 = -(\tau_1 + \xi_1^2) - (\tau_2 - \xi_2^2) - 2\xi_2(\xi_1 + \xi_2)$ and $2^{m-1} \leq |\xi_2| < 2^m$, $2^{j-1} \leq |\xi_1 + \xi_2| < 2^j$, we have

$$\max\{L_1, L_2, L_3\} \geq \frac{1}{6} 2^{m+j}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, writing $1 = \chi_{A_1} + \chi_{A_1^c} \chi_{A_3} + \chi_{A_1^c} \chi_{A_2} \chi_{A_3^c}$ with $A_i := \{L_i \geq \frac{1}{6} 2^{m+j}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\tau_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \widetilde{V}_k(\xi_1, \tau_1) \Pi^-(\widetilde{U_m})(\xi_2, \tau_2) \Pi^-(\widetilde{\varphi_j})(-(\xi_1 + \xi_2), -(\tau_1 + \tau_2)) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 \right| \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left| \sum_{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\tau_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \chi_{A_1} \widetilde{V}_k(\xi_1, \tau_1) \Pi^-(\widetilde{U_m})(\xi_2, \tau_2) \Pi^-(\widetilde{\varphi_j})(-(\xi_1 + \xi_2), -(\tau_1 + \tau_2)) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 \right| \\ I_2 &= \left| \sum_{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\tau_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \chi_{A_1^c} \widetilde{V}_k(\xi_1, \tau_1) \Pi^-(\widetilde{U_m})(\xi_2, \tau_2) \chi_{A_3} \Pi^-(\widetilde{\varphi_j})(-(\xi_1 + \xi_2), -(\tau_1 + \tau_2)) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 \right| \\ I_3 &= \left| \sum_{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\tau_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \chi_{A_1^c} \widetilde{V}_k(\xi_1, \tau_1) \chi_{A_2} \Pi^-(\widetilde{U_m})(\xi_2, \tau_2) \chi_{A_3^c} \Pi^-(\widetilde{\varphi_j})(-(\xi_1 + \xi_2), -(\tau_1 + \tau_2)) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We begin with the estimation of I_1 . Define f^{A_i} via its Fourier transform as $\widetilde{f^{A_i}} := \chi_{A_i} \widetilde{f}$. Then, Plancherel's theorem followed by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left| \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} V_k^{A_1}(x, t) \cdot \Pi^-(U_m)(x, t) \cdot \Pi^-(\widetilde{\varphi_j})(x, t) dt dx \right| \\ &\leq \|V_k^{A_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \|\Pi^-(U_m) \cdot \Pi^-(\widetilde{\varphi_j})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

For the first factor in (2.6), recalling the definition of A_1 we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_k^{A_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} &\simeq \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\tau + \xi^2|}{|\tau + \xi^2|} |\widetilde{V_k^{A_1}}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{(1 + |\tau + \xi^2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{1}{6} 2^{m+j}} |\widetilde{V_k^{A_1}}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq 2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}} \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

For the second factor in (2.6), recalling that U is supported inside $[-T, T]$ and applying the generalized Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi^-(U_m) \cdot \Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} &\leq \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \|\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{L^2([-T, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \|\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{L^6([-T, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the Sobolev embedding $W^{\sigma, p}(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\sigma > \frac{1}{p}$, for $p = 6$ yields

$$\|\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{L^6([-T, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|J_x^\sigma \Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{L^6([-T, T]; L^6(\mathbb{T}))}, \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{6},$$

while the embedding $X_{\tau=\pm\xi^2}^{\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}+\delta} \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})$, $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ [B1] further implies

$$\|\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{L^6([-T, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|J_x^\sigma \Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{\bar{X}^{\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim 2^{j(\sigma+\varepsilon)} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\bar{X}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}.$$

In turn, we find

$$\|\Pi^-(U_m) \cdot \Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} 2^{j(\sigma+\varepsilon)} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\bar{X}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Hence, setting $\sigma + \varepsilon = \frac{1}{6} + \delta$ with $\delta > \varepsilon$ and then combining (2.8) with (2.6) and (2.7), we deduce

$$I_1 \lesssim 2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}+j(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\bar{X}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}. \quad (2.9)$$

We continue with the estimation of I_2 . As with I_1 , we employ Plancherel's theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to infer

$$I_2 \leq \|(\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j))^{A_3}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \|V_k^{A_1^c} \Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.10)$$

Then, similarly to (2.7) we have

$$\|(\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j))^{A_3}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim 2^{-(m+j)(\frac{1}{2}+\delta)} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\bar{X}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}.$$

Moreover, treating the second factor in (2.10) similarly to the corresponding term in I_1 , we find

$$\|V_k^{A_1^c} \Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \|V_k^{A_1^c}\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}.$$

Hence, observing that $\|V_k^{A_1^c}\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim 2^{\delta(m+j)} \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ by the definition of A_1 , we conclude that

$$I_2 \lesssim 2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}+k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{L^\infty([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\bar{X}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, similarly to I_1 and I_2 , for I_3 we have

$$I_3 \leq \|(\Pi^-(U_m))^{A_2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \|V_k^{A_1^c} (\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j))^{A_3^c}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.12)$$

For the first factor in (2.12), we proceed as with (2.7) to obtain

$$\|(\Pi^-(U_m))^{A_2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim 2^{-(m+j)} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{\bar{X}^{0, 1}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, for the second factor in (2.12), we use the generalized Hölder inequality as well as the embedding $X_{\tau=\pm\xi^2}^{0, \frac{3}{8}+\delta} \hookrightarrow L^4(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})$ to find

$$\|V_k^{A_1^c} (\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j))^{A_3^c}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \|V_k^{A_1^c}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \|(\Pi^-(\bar{\varphi}_j))^{A_3^c}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\bar{X}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}. \quad (2.14)$$

Therefore, combining (2.14) and (2.13) into (2.12), we deduce

$$I_3 \lesssim 2^{-(m+j)} \|V_k\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{\bar{X}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|\Pi^-(U_m)\|_{\bar{X}^{0, 1}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Overall, the three estimates (2.9), (2.11) and (2.15) together with the decomposition (2.5) and the dual formulation (2.3) imply the desired estimate (2.1). \blacksquare

3. NONLINEAR SMOOTHING: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We begin by noting that the existence of the solution u of Theorem 1.1 for the BO Cauchy problem (1.1) on $\mathbb{T} \times [0, T]$ is proved by first taking initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$ with small L^2 -norm and constructing u as the strong limit of a sequence of smooth solutions $u_n \in C([0, 1]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap L^4([0, 1]; W^{s,4}(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_{\tau=-|\xi|,1}^{s-1,1}$. Also, in [MP] it is shown that the sequence of gauge transforms $w_n := \partial_x \Pi^+(e^{-iF_n/2})$ corresponding to $u_n = \partial_x F_n$ converges to some w in $C([0, 1]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_1^{s,1/2}$. Furthermore, due to the strong convergence of u_n in $C([0, 1]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))$ it follows from the mean value theorem that w_n converges to $\partial_x \Pi^+(e^{-iF/2})$ in $C([0, 1]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))$, and hence $w = \partial_x \Pi^+(e^{-iF/2})$. In turn, it follows that $v_n := e^{-iKt} w_n$ converges to

$$v(x, t) := e^{-iKt} w(x, t) \quad (3.1)$$

in $C([0, 1]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_1^{s,1/2}$. Then, using the smoothness of v_n together with standard estimates (e.g. estimate (3.10)) and Proposition 2.1, it follows that v satisfies the Duhamel equation

$$v(x, t) = \eta(t) e^{it\partial_x^2} v_0(x) - \eta(t) \int_{t'=0}^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2} \partial_x \Pi^+(\partial_x^{-1} v \cdot \partial_x \Pi^-(u))(x, t') dt', \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (3.2)$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is supported inside $[-2, 2]$ with $\eta \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In addition, observe that if u solves (1.1) then so does $\lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$. Exploiting this scaling with $\lambda = 1/T^2$ and the fact that all previous convergences hold in spaces where the spatial period is assumed to be $\lambda \geq 1$ [MP], the small L^2 -norm assumption on u_0 can be dropped and the lifespan of the solution can be extended to the lifespan $T \simeq \min \{ \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^{-4}, 1 \}$ of Theorem 1.1. Therefore, v satisfies the Duhamel equation (3.2) on $[0, T]$, i.e.

$$v(x, t) = \eta_T(t) e^{it\partial_x^2} v_0(x) - \eta_T(t) \int_{t'=0}^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2} \partial_x \Pi^+(\partial_x^{-1} v \cdot \Pi^-(u_x))(x, t') dt', \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3.3)$$

where $\eta_T(t) := \eta(t/T)$.

Combining the representation (3.3) with the embedding $X_T^{s,b} \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > \frac{1}{2}$, (see, for example, Corollary 2.10 in [T2]) we obtain

$$\left\| e^{-iKt} w - e^{it\partial_x^2} w_0 \right\|_{C([0,T]; H^{s+a}(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \left\| \eta_T \int_{t'=0}^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2} \partial_x \Pi^+(\partial_x^{-1} v \cdot \Pi^-(u_x)) dt' \right\|_{X_T^{s+a, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}. \quad (3.4)$$

In order to estimate the right-hand side of the above inequality, we first need to define appropriate extensions of the functions v and u with respect to t outside the interval $[0, T]$. For v , we choose an extension $v^* \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2}}$ such that

$$\|v^*\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \leq 2 \|v\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (3.5)$$

which exists for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by the definition of $X_T^{s,b}$ as a restriction of $X^{s,b}$. For u , we use a less trivial extension which is similar to the one in [MPV] and is defined as follows.

Lemma 3.1 (Extension of u outside $[0, T]$). *Given $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}$, let*

$$u^*(t) := S(t) \eta_T(t) S(-\mu_T(t)) u(\mu_T(t)), \quad (3.6)$$

where $S(\cdot)$ is the free group associated with the linear component of the BO equation, whose action is defined by $\widehat{S(t)f}(\xi) := e^{-i|\xi|\xi t} \widehat{f}(\xi)$, and

$$\mu_T(t) = \begin{cases} t, & t \in [0, T], \\ 2T - t, & t \in [T, 2T], \\ 0, & t \notin [0, 2T]. \end{cases}$$

If there exists a smooth approximating sequence u_n for u in $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}$, then

$$\|u^*\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|u\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\|u^*\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} + \|u\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T})), \quad (3.8)$$

where the implicit constants depend on T .

Proof of Lemma 3.1. For inequality (3.7), we simply note that

$$\|u^*\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|u(\mu_T)\|_{C([-2T, 2T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} = \|u\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))}.$$

For inequality (3.8), we let u_n be an approximating sequence for u in $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}$ and denote by u_n^* its extension defined analogously to (3.6). By the definition of the Bourgain norm and the properties of η_T and μ_T , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n^*\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} &\lesssim \|S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T)\|_{L^2([-2T, 2T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} + \|\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T))\|_{L^2([-2T, 2T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &\lesssim \|u_n(0)\|_{H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T})} + \|u_n\|_{L^2([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} + \|\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T))\|_{L^2([-2T, 0]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T))\|_{L^2([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} + \|\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T))\|_{L^2([T, 2T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant in the second inequality depends on T . Since u_n is smooth, we directly compute

$$\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T)) = \mu'_T(t)S(-\mu_T)(\partial_t + |\partial_x|\partial_x)u_n|_{\mu_T}.$$

Thus, $\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T)) = 0$ on $[-2T, 0]$ since $\mu'_T(t) = 0$ there. In addition, on $[0, T]$ we have $\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T)) = S(-t)(\partial_t + |\partial_x|\partial_x)u_n(t)$ while on $(T, 2T]$ we have $\partial_t(S(-\mu_T)u_n(\mu_T)) = -S(t-2T)(\partial_t + |\partial_x|\partial_x)u_n(2T-t)$. Therefore,

$$\|u_n^*\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} \lesssim \|u_n\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} + \|u_n\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} + \|(\partial_t + |\partial_x|\partial_x)u_n\|_{L^2([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))}.$$

To handle the third term, let $u_n^{**} \in X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}$ be any extension of $u_n \in X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial_t + |\partial_x|\partial_x)u_n\|_{L^2([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} &= \|(\partial_t + |\partial_x|\partial_x)u_n^{**}\|_{L^2([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((\tau + |\xi|\xi)\tilde{u})\|_{L^2([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{s-1} \langle \tau + |\xi|\xi \rangle \widetilde{u_n^{**}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})} = \|u_n^{**}\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking the infimum of this inequality over all extensions, we infer

$$\|u_n^*\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} \lesssim \|u_n\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} + \|u_n\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}}.$$

In order to deduce inequality (3.8) from the above inequality, it suffices to show that the left-hand side converges to $\|u^*\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}}$. We have

$$\|u_n^* - u_m^*\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} = \|(u_n - u_m)^*\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}} \lesssim \|u_n - u_m\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} + \|u_n - u_m\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}}$$

and, in addition, $\|u_n^* - u_m^*\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|u_n - u_m\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))}$. Therefore, u_n^* is Cauchy in $X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}$ and $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{T}))$ and has limits v_1 and v_2 , respectively. Moreover, since

$$\|u_n^* - v_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|u_n^* - v_1\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1,1}},$$

$$\|u_n^* - v_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))} \leq \|u_n^* - v_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{T}))},$$

we infer that u_n^* converges to both v_1 and v_2 in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}))$ and hence $v_1 = v_2$. Finally, since for any $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))$ we have $\|u^*\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|u\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))}$, it follows that

$\|u_n^* - u^*\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|u_n - u\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))}$ and, therefore, $u_n^* \rightarrow u^*$ in $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))$ and in $X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{s-1, 1}$, proving inequality (3.8). \blacksquare

Back to (3.4), using the extensions v^* and u^* defined by (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \eta_T \int_{t'=0}^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2} \partial_x \Pi^+ (\partial_x^{-1} v \cdot \Pi^-(u_x)) dt' \right\|_{X_T^{s+a, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ & \leq \left\| \eta_T \int_{t'=0}^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2} \partial_x \Pi^+ (\partial_x^{-1} v^* \cdot \Pi^-(u_x^*)) dt' \right\|_{X^{s+a, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim \left\| \partial_x \Pi^+ (\partial_x^{-1} v^* \cdot \Pi^-(u_x^*)) \right\|_{X^{s+a, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

with the second inequality due to the following well-known result (see, for example, Proposition 2.12 in [T2]):

$$\left\| \eta(t) \int_{t'=0}^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2} F(x, t') dt' \right\|_{X^{s, b}} \lesssim \|F\|_{X^{s, b-1}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad b > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.10)$$

We shall now estimate the right-hand side of (3.9). Applying projections, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_x \Pi^+ (\partial_x^{-1} v^* \cdot \Pi^-(u_x^*)) \right\|_{X^{s+a, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^k \left\| \partial_x P_j \Pi^+ ((\partial_x^{-1} v^*)_k \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m) \right\|_{X^{s+a, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^k 2^{j(s+a+1)} \left\| P_j \Pi^+ ((\partial_x^{-1} v^*)_k \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m) \right\|_{X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

with the restrictions on the summation ranges due to the support properties of Π^\pm . Furthermore, employing the bilinear estimate (2.2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_j \Pi^+ ((\partial_x^{-1} v^*)_k \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m) \right\|_{X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} & \lesssim \left[2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}+k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \left(\left\| \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + 2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}} \left\| \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m \right\|_{\bar{X}^{0,1}} \right) \right]^\theta \left\| \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m \right\|_{\bar{X}^{0,1}}^{1-\theta} \left\| (\partial_x^{-1} v^*)_k \right\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, noting that $\xi^2 = -|\xi|\xi$ for $\xi < 0$, we have

$$\left\| \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m \right\|_{\bar{X}^{0,1}} \lesssim 2^{m(1-(\sigma-1))} \left\| \Pi^-(u^*)_m \right\|_{\bar{X}^{\sigma-1,1}} \lesssim 2^{m(2-\sigma)} \left\| \Pi^-(u^*)_m \right\|_{X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{\sigma-1,1}}$$

where $\sigma := \min\{s, \frac{1}{2}\}$. We denote $Z_T := C([0, T]; H^\sigma(\mathbb{T})) \cap X_{\tau=-|\xi|, T}^{\sigma-1,1}$. Then, employing Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| P_j \Pi^+ ((\partial_x^{-1} v^*)_k \Pi^-(u_x^*)_m) \right\|_{X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ & \lesssim \left[2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}+k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \left(2^{m(1-\sigma)} + 2^{m(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{j}{2}} \right) \right]^\theta 2^{m(2-\sigma)(1-\theta)-k(1+s)} \|u\|_{Z_T} \|v\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

In turn, (3.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_x \Pi^+ (\partial_x^{-1} v^* \cdot \Pi^-(u_x^*)) \right\|_{X^{s+a, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{Z_T} \|v\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^k 2^{j(s+a+1)+m(2-\sigma)(1-\theta)-k(1+s)} \left[2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}+k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \left(2^{m(1-\sigma)} + 2^{m(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{j}{2}} \right) \right]^\theta \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and, therefore, it suffices to control the multiplier

$$M := 2^{j(s+a+1)+m(2-\sigma)(1-\theta)-k(1+s)} \left[2^{-\frac{m+j}{2}+k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} \left(2^{m(1-\sigma)} + 2^{m(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{j}{2}} \right) \right]^\theta$$

for k, j, m as in (3.12). Recalling that $\theta = \frac{1/2-\delta}{1/2+\delta}$ and $0 < \delta \ll 1$, we may write $\theta = 1 - \epsilon$ for $0 < \epsilon := \frac{2\delta}{1/2+\delta} \ll 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= 2^{j(s+a+1)} 2^{-k(1+s)} 2^{m(2-\sigma)\epsilon} \left(2^{m(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} 2^{k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} + 2^{m(1-\sigma)} 2^{k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} 2^{-j} \right)^{1-\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{2^{j(s+a)} 2^{k(-\frac{5}{6}-s+\delta)} 2^{m(2-\sigma)\epsilon} 2^{m(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} \left(2^{\frac{j}{2}} + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \right)}{\left(2^{m(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} 2^{k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} + 2^{m(1-\sigma)} 2^{k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} 2^{-j} \right)^{\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $\epsilon > 0$ and $2^{k(\frac{1}{6}+\delta)} > 1$, we have

$$M \leq 2^{j(s+a+\frac{\epsilon}{2})} 2^{k(-\frac{5}{6}-s+\delta)} 2^{m(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma+\frac{3\epsilon}{2})} \left(2^{\frac{j}{2}} + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \right).$$

Moreover, since $j, m \leq k$, if $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then $\sigma = s$, so we obtain

$$M \lesssim 2^{k(s+a+\frac{\epsilon}{2})} 2^{k(-\frac{5}{6}-s+\delta)} 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-s+\frac{3\epsilon}{2})} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} = 2^{k(a+2\epsilon+\frac{1}{6}+\delta-s)} \leq 2^{k(a+\frac{1}{6}+9\delta-s)}$$

while if $s > \frac{1}{2}$ then $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, so we have

$$M \leq 2^{j(s+a+\frac{\epsilon}{2})} 2^{k(-\frac{5}{6}-s+\delta)} 2^{m\frac{3\epsilon}{2}} \left(2^{\frac{j}{2}} + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \right) \lesssim 2^{k(a+2\epsilon-\frac{1}{3}+\delta)} \leq 2^{k(a-\frac{1}{3}+9\delta)}.$$

Therefore, returning to (3.12), for $0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we deduce

$$\left\| \partial_x \Pi^+ (\partial_x^{-1} v^* \cdot \Pi^-(u_x^*)) \right\|_{X^{s+a, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim \|u\|_{Z_T} \|v\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^k 2^{k(a+\frac{1}{6}+9\delta-s)},$$

where the sum converges for $a < s - \frac{1}{6} - 9\delta$, while for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$ we deduce

$$\left\| \partial_x \Pi^+ (\partial_x^{-1} v^* \cdot \Pi^-(u_x^*)) \right\|_{X^{s+a, -\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim \|u\|_{Z_T} \|v\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^k 2^{k(a-\frac{1}{3}+9\delta)},$$

where the sum converges for $a < \frac{1}{3} - 9\delta$. The last two inequalities combined with inequality (3.9) and definition (3.1) yield the following bound for the right-hand side of (3.4):

$$\left\| e^{-iKt} w - e^{it\partial_x^2} w_0 \right\|_{C([0, T]; H^{s+a}(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|u\|_{Z_T} \|w\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \quad (3.13)$$

with $0 < a < \min \{s - \frac{1}{6} - 9\delta, \frac{1}{3} - 9\delta\}$, where we have used the fact that $\|v\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|w\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}}}$ since $\langle \tau - K + \xi^2 \rangle \leq (1 + |\tau + \xi^2|)(1 + K)$. Combining (3.13) with the estimates for u and w provided by Theorem 1.1, we conclude that

$$\left\| e^{-iKt} w - e^{it\partial_x^2} w_0 \right\|_{C([0, T]; H^{s+a}(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \max \left\{ \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^{2s}, 1 \right\} \left(\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{T})} + \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{T})}^2 \right) \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \quad (3.14)$$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. POLYNOMIAL BOUND: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We will now employ the nonlinear smoothing effect of Theorem 1.2 in order to establish the polynomial bound of Theorem 1.3. We begin by noting that estimate (3.14) (which is the concrete expression of the nonlinear smoothing effect) for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < a < \frac{1}{3}$ implies

$$\left\| w(t) - e^{it(\partial_x^2 + K)} w_0 \right\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}), \quad \varepsilon := \frac{1}{3} - a > 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (4.1)$$

where $C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})})$ is a constant that depends only on $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}$.

We also note that

$$\|w(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(s, \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}) \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

Indeed, for $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$ inequality (4.2) follows from the algebra property after recalling that $w \simeq \Pi^+(ue^{-iF/2})$ and observing that $\|e^{-iF/2}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|e^{-iF/2}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \leq 1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$ from the physical definition of the H^1 -norm and the conservation of the L^2 -norm. Moreover, for $0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ inequality (4.2) follows directly from inequality (2.13) of [MP].

In addition, the $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm of u can be controlled via the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let u satisfy the BO initial value problem (1.1). Then,*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})})$ is a constant that depends only on $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Multiplying the BO equation (1.1a) by $|\partial_x|u$, which is defined via Fourier transform by $\widehat{|\partial_x|^j u}(\xi) = |\xi|^j \widehat{u}(\xi)$, and integrating over \mathbb{T} , we have

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u_t \cdot |\partial_x|u \, dx + \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \mathcal{H}u_{xx} \cdot |\partial_x|u \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \partial_x(u^2) \cdot |\partial_x|u \, dx. \quad (4.4)$$

For the first integral, recalling that u is real-valued, and hence that $\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi)} = \widehat{u}(-\xi)$ and in turn $|\partial_x|u = \overline{|\partial_x|u}$, and using Parseval's identity twice, we find that

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u_t \cdot |\partial_x|u \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\widehat{u_t |\partial_x|u} + \widehat{u_t |\partial_x|u} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes the homogeneous counterpart of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Also, recalling in addition that $\mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 = |\partial_x|\partial_x$ and using Parseval's identity, we find that the second integral vanishes:

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \mathcal{H}u_{xx} \cdot |\partial_x|u \, dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{|\partial_x|u} \cdot \overline{\widehat{|\partial_x|u}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^3 \widehat{u}(\xi) \widehat{u}(-\xi) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Finally, integrating by parts and substituting from the BO equation, we write the third integral as

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \partial_x(u^2) \cdot |\partial_x|u \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u^2 \cdot \mathcal{H}u_{xx} \, dx = \frac{1}{6} \partial_t \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u^3 \, dx. \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.4)-(4.7), we deduce that the quantity $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u^3 \, dx$ is conserved, i.e.

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u^3(t) \, dx = \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u_0^3 \, dx. \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover, by Sobolev's inequality (e.g. see Theorem 4.31 in [AF]), the fractional Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see Corollary 1.5 in [HMOV]) and the conservation of the L^2 -norm, we have

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u^3(t) \, dx \leq \|u(t)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})}^3 \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{6}}(\mathbb{T})}^3 = \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}. \quad (4.9)$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})} \right) \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}} u_0^3 \, dx \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})}^3 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}). \quad (4.10)$$

But note that for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ we have $|\xi| \simeq \langle \xi \rangle$. Using this fact together with our assumption of mean-zero data, we infer from (4.10) the inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}).$$

Completing the square on the left-hand side yields the desired inequality (4.3). \blacksquare

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1.3, we establish the following inequality.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim (1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}) \left(\|w(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} + (1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}) [1 + C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})})] \right). \quad (4.11)$$

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We suppress the t -dependence for brevity. Note that $u = u^+ + \overline{u^+}$ so $\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2\|u^+\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}$. Also, $u = 2ie^{iF/2}w + 2ie^{iF/2}\partial_x\Pi^-(e^{-iF/2})$ and hence

$$\|u^+\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|\Pi^+(e^{iF/2}w)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} + \|\Pi^+(e^{iF/2}\partial_x\Pi^-(e^{-iF/2}))\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}.$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 of [M1] we have

$$\|\Pi^+(e^{iF/2}w)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|w\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} (1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}).$$

and, for $s_1 + s_2 = s + 1$, $s_1 \geq s$ and $s_2 \geq 0$,

$$\|\Pi^+(e^{iF/2}\partial_x\Pi^-(e^{-iF/2}))\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|J_x^{s_1}e^{iF/2}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T})} \|J_x^{s_2}e^{-iF/2}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Since

$$\|J_x^{s_1}e^{iF/2}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|J_x^{s_1+\frac{1}{4}}e^{iF}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}, \quad \|J_x^{s_2}e^{-iF/2}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|J_x^{s_2+\frac{1}{4}}e^{-iF/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$$

by the Sobolev embedding, taking $s_2 = \frac{3}{4}$ we have

$$\|J_x^{s_2+\frac{1}{4}}e^{-iF/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|e^{-iF/2}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim (1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}).$$

Then, $s_1 = s + \frac{1}{4}$ and for $s = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$, $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_x^{s_1+\frac{1}{4}}e^{iF/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &= \|J_x^{1+\delta}e^{iF/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|J_x^\delta e^{iF/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|J_x^\delta(ue^{iF/2})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}) + \|J_x^\delta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}) \end{aligned}$$

with the second inequality due to Lemma 3.1 of [M1]. Noting further that Lemma 4.1 implies $\|J_x^\delta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})})$, we obtain the desired inequality (4.11). \blacksquare

We now combine inequalities (4.1)-(4.3) with inequality (4.11) to obtain the polynomial bound of Theorem 1.3. First, consider $\frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{5}{6}$. Given $u_0 \in H^s$, let $T = T(\|u_0\|_{L^2})$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Suppose $t \in [nT, (n+1)T)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then, write

$$w(t) = Q_{\leq n^3}w(t) + Q_{> n^3}w(t), \quad (4.12)$$

where $Q_{\leq n^3}$ and $Q_{> n^3}$ are the projections onto Fourier modes¹ whose absolute value is less than or equal to n^3 and greater than n^3 , respectively. For the first component, we have

$$\|Q_{\leq n^3}w(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim n^{3(s-\frac{1}{2})}\|w(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \langle n \rangle^{3(s-\frac{1}{2})}\|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{3(s-\frac{1}{2})}C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}). \quad (4.13)$$

¹Here, we use different notations for the projections, as $P_k = Q_{2^k}$.

where the final implicit constant depends on $T = T(\|u_0\|_{L^2})$. Hence, it remains to control the second component, which we rewrite as

$$Q_{>n^3} \left(w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2+K)} w(nT) \right) + Q_{>n^3} e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2+K)} w(nT).$$

Since $s < \frac{5}{6}$, employing estimate (4.1) after shifting the time interval from $[0, T]$ to $[nT, (n+1)T]$ together with estimate (4.3), we can control the first part above as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| Q_{>n^3} \left(w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2+K)} w(nT) \right) \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= \left\| J_x^{s-\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon} Q_{>n^3} J_x^{\frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon} \left(w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2+K)} w(nT) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim n^{3s-\frac{5}{2}+3\varepsilon} \left\| w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2+K)} w(nT) \right\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim n^{3s-\frac{5}{2}+3\varepsilon} C(\|u(Tn)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}) \lesssim n^{3s-\frac{5}{2}+3\varepsilon} C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}). \end{aligned}$$

For the second part, writing

$$Q_{>(n-1)^3} w(nT) = Q_{>(n-1)^3} \left(w(nT) - e^{iT(\partial_x^2+K)} w((n-1)T) \right) + Q_{>(n-1)^3} e^{iT(\partial_x^2+K)} w((n-1)T)$$

and the *strict* inequality

$$\left\| Q_{>n^3} e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2+K)} w(nT) \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq \left\| Q_{>(n-1)^3} w(nT) \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}$$

allow us to repeat our earlier computation for the first part to obtain

$$\left\| Q_{>n^3} e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2+K)} w(nT) \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq \tilde{C} (n-1)^{3s-\frac{5}{2}+3\varepsilon} C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}) + \left\| Q_{>(n-2)^3} w((n-1)T) \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}.$$

As before, it is important that the second term on the right-hand side does not pick up any constant. Thus, we can iterate this process n times to obtain

$$\left\| Q_{>n^3} w(t) \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{3s-\frac{5}{2}+3\varepsilon} C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}) + \|w_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim n^{3(s-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)} C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}) + \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}, \quad (4.14)$$

where the implicit constant in the second inequality depends on s and ε and where we have used the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For $\alpha > -1$ and $N \gg 1$,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} N^{\alpha+1} + O(N^{\max(0,\alpha)}).$$

In particular, $\sum_{k=1}^N k^\alpha \leq C_\alpha N^{\alpha+1}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha+1} N^{\alpha+1} = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{x=k-1}^k k^\alpha dx - \int_{x=0}^N x^\alpha dx = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{x=k-1}^k (k^\alpha - x^\alpha) dx.$$

Thus, noting that $|k^\alpha - x^\alpha| \lesssim k^{\alpha-1}$ for $x \in [k-1, k]$, for $-1 < \alpha < 0$ we get the bound

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N k^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha+1} N^{\alpha+1} \right| \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^N k^{\alpha-1} \lesssim 1$$

while for $\alpha \geq 0$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N k^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha+1} N^{\alpha+1} \right| = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{x=k-1}^k (k^\alpha - x^\alpha) dx \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{x=k-1}^k [k^\alpha - (k-1)^\alpha] dx = N^\alpha.$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. \blacksquare

Note that $3s - \frac{5}{2} > -1 \Leftrightarrow s > \frac{1}{2}$ and so Lemma 4.2 can be employed to yield the last inequality in (4.14). Overall, combining (4.13) and (4.14) with the decomposition (4.12), for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $t \in [nT, (n+1)T)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} &\leq \|Q_{\leq n^3} w(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} + \|Q_{> n^3} w(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{3(s-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)} C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}) + \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{3(s-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)} C(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}), \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constants depend on s , T and ε . Therefore, using inequality (4.11) we obtain the desired bound, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $\frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{5}{6}$.

For $\frac{5}{6} \leq s \leq 1$, we can follow a similar computation to establish the same polynomial-in-time bound. Indeed, as before, we write $w(t) = Q_{\leq n^3} w(t) + Q_{> n^3} w(t)$ and note that the first component can be estimated once again as in (4.13). Furthermore, we rewrite the second component as

$$Q_{> n^3} \left(w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2 + K)} w(nT) \right) + Q_{> n^3} e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2 + K)} w(nT)$$

and note that estimate (3.14) with $s = \frac{5}{6} - \varepsilon$ and $a = \frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon$ after shifting $[0, T]$ to $[nT, (n+1)T]$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| Q_{> n^3} \left(w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2 + K)} w(nT) \right) \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= \left\| J_x^{s-\frac{7}{6}+2\varepsilon} Q_{> n^3} J_x^{\frac{7}{6}-2\varepsilon} \left(w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2 + K)} w(nT) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim n^{3s-\frac{7}{2}+6\varepsilon} \left\| w(t) - e^{i(t-nT)(\partial_x^2 + K)} w(nT) \right\|_{H^{\frac{7}{6}-2\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim n^{3s-\frac{7}{2}+6\varepsilon} C(\|u(Tn)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}) \|u(nT)\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim n^{3s-\frac{7}{2}+6\varepsilon} C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}) \langle nT \rangle^{1-2\varepsilon} \lesssim n^{3s-\frac{5}{2}+4\varepsilon} C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})}). \end{aligned}$$

where we have also employed the previously established polynomial bound for $\|u(nT)\|_{H^{\frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})}$ to obtain the penultimate inequality. Hence, repeating the iterative procedure used in the case $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq \frac{5}{6}$, we obtain the desired bound. This concludes proof of Theorem 1.3.

REFERENCES

- [ABFS] L. Abdelouhab, J. Bona, M. Felland and J.-C. Saut, *Nonlocal models for nonlinear, dispersive waves*. Phys. D **40** (1989), 360-392.
- [AF] R. Adams and J. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press (2003).
- [APH] J. Angulo Pava and S. Hakkaev, *Illposedness for periodic nonlinear dispersive equations*. Elec. J. Differential Equations **2010** (2010), 1-19.
- [BIT] A. Babin, I. Ilyin and E. Titi, *On the regularization mechanism for the periodic Korteweg-de Vries equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **64** (2011), 591-648.
- [BL] H. Biagioni and F. Linares, *Ill-posedness for the derivative Schrödinger and generalized Benjamin-Ono equations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 3649-3659.
- [Ben] T. Benjamin, *Internal waves of permanent form in fluids of great depth*. J. Fluid Mech. **29** (1967), 559-562.
- [BK] T. Bock and M. Kruskal, *A two-parameter Miura transformation of the Benjamin-Ono equation*. Phys. Lett. A **74**(1979), 173-176.

- [B1] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, I: Schrödinger equations*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **3** (1993), 107-156.
- [B2] J. Bourgain, *On the growth in time of higher Sobolev norms of smooth solutions of Hamiltonian PDE*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **1996** (1996), 277-304.
- [B3] J. Bourgain, *On growth in time of Sobolev norms of smooth solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in R^d* . J. Anal. Math. **72** (1997), 299-310.
- [BP] N. Burq and F. Planchon, *On well-posedness for the Benjamin-Ono equation*. Math. Ann. **340** (2008), 497-542.
- [C] K. Case, *Benjamin-Ono-related equations and their solutions*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **76** (1979), 1-3.
- [CLP] H. Chen, Y. Lee and N. Pereira, *Algebraic internal wave solitons and the integrable Calogero-Moser-Sutherland N -body problem*. Phys. Fluids **22** (1979), 187-188.
- [CKSTT] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, *Polynomial upper bounds for the orbital instability of the 1D cubic NLS below the energy norm*. Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **9** (2003), 31-54.
- [CKO] J. Colliander, S. Kwon and T. Oh, *A remark on normal forms and the “upside-down” I-method for periodic NLS: growth of higher Sobolev norms*. J. Anal. Math. Volume **3** (2012), 55-82.
- [EGT1] M. Erdoğan, T. Gürel and N. Tzirakis, *The derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half line*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **35** (2018), 1947-1973.
- [EGT2] M. Erdoğan, T. Gürel and N. Tzirakis, *Smoothing for the fractional Schrödinger equation on the torus and the real line*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **68** (2019), 369-392.
- [ET1] M. Erdoğan and N. Tzirakis, *Global smoothing for the periodic KdV evolution*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2013** (2013), 4589-4614.
- [ET2] M. Erdoğan and N. Tzirakis, *Smoothing and global attractors for the Zakharov system on the torus*. Anal. PDE **6** (2013), 723-750.
- [FA] A. Fokas and M. Ablowitz, *The inverse scattering transform for the Benjamin-Ono equation, a pivot for multidimensional problems*. Stud. Appl. Math. **68** (1983), 1-10.
- [FF] A. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner, *The hierarchy of the Benjamin-Ono equation*. Phys. Lett. **86A** (1981), 341-345.
- [GKT] P. Gérard, T. Kappeler and P. Topalov, *On the flow map of the Benjamin-Ono equation on the torus*. arXiv:1909.07314v2 (2019).
- [GMS1] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi and J. Shatah, *Global solutions for 2D quadratic Schrödinger equations*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2009** (2009), 414-432.
- [GMS2] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi, and J. Shatah, *Global solutions for the Gravity Water Waves equation in dimension 3*. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **347** (2009), 897-902.
- [HMOW] H. Hajaiej, L. Molinet, T. Ozawa and B. Wang, *Sufficient and necessary conditions for the fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to Navier-Stokes and generalized Boson equations*. RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu B26: Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, eds. T. Ozawa and M. Sugimoto (2011), 159-175.
- [IK] A. Ionescu and C. Kenig, *Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in low-regularity spaces*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), 753-798.
- [I] J. Iorio, *On the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), 1031-1081.
- [KK] C. Kenig and K. Koening, *On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono and modified Benjamin-Ono equations*. Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 879-895.
- [KVZ] R. Killip, M. Visan and X. Zhang, *Low regularity conservation laws for integrable PDE*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **28** (2018), 1062-1090.
- [KTat] H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Conserved energies for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in one dimension*. Duke Math. J. **167** (2018), 3207-3313.
- [KT1] H. Koch and N. Tzvetkov, *On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$* . Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003** (2003), 1449-1464.
- [KT2] H. Koch and N. Tzvetkov, *Nonlinear wave interactions for the Benjamin-Ono equation*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2005** (2005), 1833-1847.
- [M1] L. Molinet, *Global well-posedness in the energy space for the Benjamin-Ono equation on the circle*. Math. Ann. **337** (2007), 353-383.

- [M2] L. Molinet, *Global well-posedness in L^2 for the periodic Benjamin-Ono equation*. Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), 635-683.
- [MP] L. Molinet and D. Pilod, *The Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation in L^2 revisited*. Anal. PDE **5** (2012), 365-395.
- [MPV] L. Molinet, D. Pilod and S. Vento, *On unconditional well-posedness for the periodic modified Korteweg-de Vries equation*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **71** (2019), 147-201.
- [MST] L. Molinet, J.-C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov, *Ill-posedness issues for the Benjamin-Ono and related equations*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), 982-988.
- [N] A. Nakamura, *N -periodic wave and N -soliton solutions of the modified Benjamin-Ono equation*. J. Phys. Soc. Japan **47** (1979), 2045-2046.
- [Oh] S. Oh, *Resonant phase-shift and global smoothing of the periodic Korteweg-de Vries equation in low regularity settings*. Adv. Differential Equations **18** (2013), 633-662.
- [OS] S. Oh and A. Stefanov, *On quadratic Schrödinger equations in R^{1+1} : a normal form approach*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **86** (2012), 499-519.
- [O] H. Ono, *Algebraic solitary waves in stratified fluids*. J. Phys. Soc. Japan **39** (1975), 1082-1091.
- [P] G. Ponce, *On the global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation*. Differential Integral Equations **4** (1991), 527-542.
- [S] J.-C. Saut, *Benjamin-Ono and intermediate long wave equations: modeling, IST and PDE*. arXiv:1811.08652v1 (2018).
- [Sh] J. Shatah, *Normal forms and quadratic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 685-696.
- [So1] V. Sohinger, *Bounds on the growth of high Sobolev norms of solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations on \mathbb{R}* . Indiana Univ. Math. J. **60** (2011), 1487-1516.
- [So2] V. Sohinger, *Bounds on the growth of high Sobolev norms of solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations on \mathbb{S}* . Differential Integral Equations **24** (2011), 653-718.
- [St1] G. Staffilani, *Quadratic forms for a 2-D semilinear Schrödinger equation*. Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 79-107.
- [St2] G. Staffilani, *On the growth of high Sobolev norms of solutions for KdV and Schrödinger equations*. Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 109-142.
- [Tal] B. Talbut, *Low regularity conservation laws for the Benjamin-Ono equation*. arXiv:1812.00505v2 (2019).
- [T1] T. Tao, *Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$* . J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **1** (2004), 27-49.
- [T2] T. Tao, *Nonlinear dispersive equations: local and global analysis*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics (2006).

Bradley Isom
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Kansas
 Lawrence, KS 66045
 bsisom@ku.edu

Dionyssios Mantzavinos
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Kansas
 Lawrence, KS 66045
 mantzavinos@ku.edu

Seungly Oh
 Department of Mathematics
 Western New England University
 Springfield, MA 01119
 seungly.oh@wne.edu

Atanas Stefanov
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Kansas
 Lawrence, KS 66045
 stefanov@ku.edu