

Nonequilibrium superfield and lattice Weyl transform transport approach to quantum Hall effect

Felix A. Buot

Laboratory of Computational Functional Materials,
Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (LCFMNN),
Department of Physics, University of San Carlos
Talamban, Cebu City 6000, Philippines
Center for Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics (CTCMP),
Cebu Normal University, Cebu City 6000, Philippines
C&LB Research Institute, Carmen, Cebu 6005, Philippines

March 13, 2024

Abstract

We derive the topological Chern number of the integer quantum Hall effect in electrical conductivity, using Buot's superfield and lattice Weyl transform nonequilibrium quantum transport formalism. The method is naturally straightforward, appropriate for treating nonequilibrium systems acted on by external electromagnetic fields. We have identified the topological invariant in the effective $(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t)$ -phase space via the nonequilibrium quantum transport equation, generally not to first-order in electric field but to first-order in the gradient expansion. We have also derive the Kubo current-current correlation for the Hall current as a by-product of our new transport approach. The Berry curvature related to orbital magnetic moment is also calculated.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Wigner distribution function and density matrix	4
2.1	Phase factors in space and time translation operators	6
3	SFLWT-NEGF transport equation	8
3.1	Balistic transport and diffusion	9
3.2	Quantization of Hall conductance	10
4	Reverting to matrix elements	11
4.1	'Pull back' of the lattice Weyl transformation	11
4.2	Berry curvature and orbital magnetic moment of 2-D systems . .	18
5	Concluding Remarks	19
A	Renormalized Bloch-electron Hamiltonian	22
A.1	Lattice Weyl transformation	23
A.1.1	Transition function: discrete Fourier transform	26
B	Spatio-temporal translation operators (STTO): action phase operators	27
B.1	Derivation of the STTO based on action principle	28
B.2	Peierls phase factors	29
B.2.1	Nonlocality in coordinates	29
B.2.2	Simultaneous eigenvalues for \hat{H} and $\tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t)$	31
B.2.3	Nonlocality in time	31
C	Hall current density and current through a discrete lattice	33
D	Phase change of wavefunction under parallel transport	34
E	The Kubo current-current correlation formula	35

1 Introduction

Here, we consider the Hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential, a nonequilibrium system driven by an electromotive force. The quantization of Hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential was first explained by Thouless, Kohmoto, Nightingale, and den Nijs (TKNN) [1] using the Kubo current-current correlation. Similar approach was employed by Streda [2]. Earlier, Laughlin [3], and later Halperin [4], study the effects produced by changes in the vector potential on the states at the edges of a finite system, where quantization of the conductance is made explicit, but it was not obvious that the result is insensitive to boundary conditions. In contrast, the use of Kubo formula by TKNN is for bulk two-dimensional conductors.

These theoretical studies were motivated by the Nobel Prize winning experimental discovery of von Klitzing, Dorda, and Pepper [5] on the quantization of the Hall conductance of a two-dimensional electron gas in a strong magnetic field. The strong magnetic field basically provides the gapped energy structure for the experiments. In the TKNN approach, periodic potential in crystalline solid is being treated. A strong magnetic field is not needed to provide the gapped energy structure in their theory, only peculiar, gapped energy-band structures. In principle, in the presence of electric field the discrete Landau levels is replaced by the *unstable* discrete Stark ladder-energy levels [6, 7].

In this paper, we simply make use of the gapped energy-band structure of solids under external electric field. We employ the lattice Weyl transformation technique to go from the bare Hamiltonian to effective or renormalized crystal Hamiltonian, which also provides a rigorous justification of the usual *ansatz* of substituting the coordinate operator \hat{r} by $i\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}}$ in \vec{p} -space (crystal momentum), as well as the crystal group velocity $\vec{v}_g = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{p}}$. We then employ the real-time superfield and lattice Weyl transform nonequilibrium Green's function (SFLWT-NEGF) [8] quantum transport formalism of Buot [9, 10] in the first-order gradient expansion to derive the topological Chern number of the integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE) for two-dimensional systems, as an integral multiple of quantum conductance, also known as contact conductance in mesoscopic physics [8].

We find that the quantization of Hall effect occurs strictly not to first order in the electric field *per se* but rather to first-order gradient expansion in the quantum transport equation. The Berry connection and Berry curvature is the fundamental physics [11] behind the demonstration of the exact quantization of Hall conductance in units of $\frac{e^2}{h}$, which also happens to coincide with the source and drain *contact* conductance per spin in a closed circuit of mesoscopic quantum transport [8].

The new method employed in this paper employs neither the conventional use of Kubo formula originally employed by TKNN [1] nor the use of retarded Green's function in linear response theory of equilibrium systems. We have identified the topological invariant in $(p, q; E, t)$ -space quantum transport to be given by

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \int \int \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y dt \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \left(-iG^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right).$$

Here, the uniform electric field, \vec{F} , is in the x -direction and

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}} = \vec{p} + e\vec{F}t.$$

Moreover, the formula is also applicable to gapped Landau-level structure of a free electron gas in intense magnetic field [5] since the variable $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$ can incorporate the external vector potential if present. Note that the change of variables from $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$ to \vec{p} in the integration over the whole Brillouin zone has a Jacobian unity.

The above formula in $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$ -space is given only for one occupied energy band. Summation over all separated (gapped) energy bands can be accommodated in the above formula by adding the pertinent summation symbol over the occupied bands, with $H^{(a)}$ belonging to respective bands.

To the author's knowledge, this is the first time the real-time-dependent quantum superfield nonequilibrium transport is employed to derive topological invariant in phase space of Chern condensed matter system. Clearly, a nonequilibrium quantum transport approach is called for since any system under a external electric field is a nonequilibrium system where current flows. In our unique approach, we have derived the Kubo current-current formula strictly from real-time nonequilibrium quantum superfield theory of transport physics adapted to time-dependent electric fields. In contrast, the Kubo current-current approach approximates a nonequilibrium situation as a disturbed equilibrium system under an electric field. In this paper, the orbital magnetic moment, and its related Berry curvature are also calculated.

2 The Wigner distribution function and density matrix

In the nonequilibrium many-body Green's function technique, the principal quantities of interest are the "reduced" or single-particle correlation functions defined as

$$-iG^<(1, 2) = Tr \left[\rho_H \left(\psi_H^\dagger(2) \right) \psi_H(1) \right] = \left\langle \left(\psi_H^\dagger(2) \right) \psi_H(1) \right\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\psi_H(1)$ and $\psi_H^\dagger(2)$ are the particle annihilation and creation operators in the Heisenberg representation, respectively. The indices 1 and 2 subsume all space-time indices and other quantum-label indices. As we shall see in what follows, the equation for $G^<(1, 2)$ plays a principal role in particle quantum transport since it stands for particle distribution in phase space.

If we write the second quantization operator, \hat{f} , for the one-particle $(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t)$ -phase space distribution function¹ as

$$\hat{f}_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t) = \sum_{\vec{v}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(2\vec{p}\cdot\vec{v} + E\tau)} \psi_{\lambda\sigma}^\dagger \left(\vec{q} + \vec{v}, t + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \psi_{\lambda'\sigma'} \left(\vec{q} - \vec{v}, t - \frac{\tau}{2} \right), \quad (2)$$

where λ label the band index and σ the spin index [here we drop the Heisenberg representation subscripts H for economy of indices], then upon taking the

¹The Buot's formulation of discrete lattice Weyl transform is generally based on a finite field represented by a finite prime modulus number, in all periodic directions of lattice points on a 'torus' obeying modular arithmetic, closed under addition, multiplication and division, where division by all nonzero numbers is possible if and only if the modulus is prime. In the terminology of abstract algebra, the ability to perform division means that modular arithmetic modulo a prime number forms a finite field. This specific aspect of Buot's theory has been given a firm theoretical foundation, discussed in detail in the Concluding section.

average

$$\left\langle \hat{f}_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t) \right\rangle = \sum_{\vec{v}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(2\vec{p}\cdot\vec{v} + E\tau)} \left\langle \psi_{\lambda\sigma}^\dagger \left(\vec{q} + \vec{v}, t + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \psi_{\lambda'\sigma'} \left(\vec{q} - \vec{v}, t - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Upon employing a four-dimensional notation: $p = (\vec{p}, E)$ and $q = (\vec{q}, t)$, we obtain particle distribution function $\rho_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(p, q)$,

$$\rho_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(p, q) = \left\langle \hat{f}_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(p, q) \right\rangle. \quad (4)$$

Equation (3) is indeed the lattice Weyl transform of the density matrix operator $\hat{\rho}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(p, q) &= \left\langle \hat{f}_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(p, q) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_v \exp\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}p \cdot v\right) \langle q - v; \lambda', \sigma' | \hat{\rho} | q + v; \lambda, \sigma \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the RHS is the lattice Weyl transform (LWT) of the density matrix operator, which is identical to the LWT of $-iG^<(1, 2)$. For convenience in our 4-dimensional notation, we have also change variable of continuous time t from $\frac{t}{2}$ to t resulting in an overall factor 2 in the exponential, so that $v = (\vec{v}, \tau)$ in the discrete summation over \vec{v} and continous integration over τ . For convenience, we just used the summation symbol in Eq. (5) to mean summation and integration over the respective discrete and continuous variables.

Thus, the expectation value of one-particle operator \hat{A} can be calculated *in phase-space* like the classical averages using a distribution function,

$$Tr(\hat{\rho} \hat{A}) := \langle \hat{A} \rangle = \sum_{p, q; \lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'} A_{\lambda\lambda'\sigma\sigma'}(p, q) \rho_{\lambda'\lambda\sigma'\sigma}(p, q), \quad (6)$$

clearly exhibiting the trace of binary operator product as a trace of the product of their respective LWT's. This general observation is *crucial* in most of the calculations that follows.

The Wigner distribution function $f_W(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, t)$ maybe given by

$$f_W(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dE (-iG^<(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t)). \quad (7)$$

We further note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(t) &= e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t} \hat{\rho}(0) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}, \\ &= \hat{U}(t) \hat{\rho}(0) \hat{U}^\dagger(t), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

provides the major time dependence in the transport equation that follows.

In Appendix A, we give the renormalized dynamical variables appropriate for solid-state problems.

2.1 Phase factors in space and time translation operators

In Appendix B, we give a detailed derivation the *phase factors* entering in the time and space translation operators via the action principle, namely,

$$\hat{T}(\vec{q}) \hat{T}(t) = \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\hat{P} \cdot \vec{q} - \hat{\xi} t \right), \quad (9)$$

where \hat{P} is the momentum operator, $-i\hbar \nabla_{\vec{q}} \psi = \hat{P} \psi$, and $\hat{\xi}$ is the energy variable operator, explicitly given by $\hat{\xi} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, since in the Schrödinger equation, $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = \hat{H} \psi$. Equation (9) is showing $\hat{T}(t)$ and $\hat{T}(\vec{q})$, respectively, as a product on the left side since by virtue of the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula, $\hat{T}(\vec{q})$ and $\hat{T}(t)$ commute and therefore equal to the right side of the equation. We obtain the following relations,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{T}(-\vec{q})}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\mathcal{H}, \hat{T}(-\vec{q})] = \frac{i}{\hbar} e \vec{F} \cdot (-\vec{q}) \hat{T}(-\vec{q}), \quad (10)$$

where \vec{F} is the electric field and e is the electric charge. Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\partial \hat{T}(t)}{\partial \vec{q}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \hat{T}(t)] = \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} e \vec{F} t \right) \hat{T}(t). \quad (11)$$

Equations (10) and (11) suggest that in the presence of electric field, gauge invariant quantities that are *displaced* in space and time acquires a generalized Peierls phase factors [10]. As an example, for nonequilibrium translational symmetric and steady-state condition,

$$\langle \vec{q}_1, t_1 | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \vec{q}_2, t_2 \rangle \implies e^{-i \frac{e}{\hbar} \vec{F} t \cdot (\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2)} e^{-i \frac{e}{\hbar} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{q} (t_1 - t_2)} H^{(1)}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2, t_1 - t_2), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{q} &= \frac{1}{2} (\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2), \\ t &= \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (12) is a generalization of the well-known Peierls phase factor in solid-state physics. It is critical in getting the correct lattice Weyl transform of similar quantities, which are nonlocal functions of space and time, to phase space. Using the four dimensional notation: $p = (\vec{p}, E)$ and $q = (\vec{q}, t)$, the Weyl transform $A(p, q)$ of any operator $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ is defined by

$$A_{\lambda\lambda'}(p, q) = \sum_v e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar}) p \cdot v} \langle q - v, \lambda | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | q + v, \lambda' \rangle, \quad (13)$$

$$= \sum_u e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar}) q \cdot u} \langle p + u, \lambda | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | p - u, \lambda' \rangle, \quad (14)$$

where λ and λ' stands for other discrete quantum numbers, e.g., energy band and spin indices. Viewed as a transformation of a matrix, we see that the Weyl

transform of the matrix $\langle q', \lambda' | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | q'', \lambda'' \rangle$ is given by Eq. (13) and the lattice Weyl transform of $\langle p', \lambda' | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | p'', \lambda'' \rangle$ is given by Eq. (14). Denoting the operation of taking the lattice Weyl transform by the symbol \mathcal{W} then it is easy to see that the lattice Weyl transform of total partial derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q''} \right) \langle q', \lambda' | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | q'', \lambda'' \rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \sum_v e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})p \cdot v} \langle q - v, \lambda' | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | q + v, \lambda'' \rangle, \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q} A_{\lambda' \lambda''}(p, q). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p''} \right) \langle p', \lambda' | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | p'', \lambda'' \rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \sum_u e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})q \cdot u} \langle p + u, \lambda' | \hat{\mathbf{A}} | p - u, \lambda'' \rangle, \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} A_{\lambda' \lambda''}(p, q). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Note that the derivatives on the LHS of Eqs. (15) and (16) obviously operate only on the wavefunctions or state vectors not on the operator.

Writing Eq. (13) explicitly, we have

$$A_{\lambda \lambda'}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t) = \sum_{\vec{v}; \tau} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\vec{p} \cdot \vec{v}} e^{(\frac{i}{\hbar})E\tau} \left\langle \vec{q} - \vec{v}; t - \frac{\tau}{2}, \lambda \left| \hat{\mathbf{A}} \right| \vec{q} + \vec{v}; t + \frac{\tau}{2}, \lambda' \right\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Using the form of matrix elements in Eq. (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \vec{q} - \vec{v}; t - \frac{\tau}{2}, \lambda \left| \hat{\mathbf{A}} \right| \vec{q} + \vec{v}; t + \frac{\tau}{2}, \lambda' \right\rangle \\ &= e^{-i\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{F}t \cdot (\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2)} e^{-i\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}(t_1 - t_2)} A(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2, t_1 - t_2) \\ &= e^{i\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{F}t \cdot (2\vec{v})} e^{i\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}\tau} A_{\lambda \lambda'}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2, t_1 - t_2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda \lambda'}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t) &= \sum_{\vec{v}; \tau} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\vec{p} \cdot \vec{v}} e^{(\frac{i}{\hbar})E\tau} e^{i\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{F}t \cdot (2\vec{v})} e^{i\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}\tau} A_{\lambda \lambda'}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2, t_1 - t_2), \\ &= \sum_{\vec{v}; \tau} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})(\vec{p} + e\vec{F}t) \cdot \vec{v}} e^{(\frac{i}{\hbar})(E + e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q})\tau} A_{\lambda \lambda'}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2, t_1 - t_2), \\ &= A_{\lambda \lambda'}\left(\left(\vec{p} + e\vec{F}t\right); \left(E + e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}\right)\right), \\ &= A_{\lambda \lambda'}\left(\vec{\mathcal{K}}; \mathcal{E}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence the expected dynamical variables in the phase space including the time variable occurs in particular combinations of $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$ and \mathcal{E} . Therefore, besides the crystal momentum varying in time as

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}} = \vec{p} + e\vec{F}t, \quad (20)$$

the energy variable vary with \vec{q} as

$$\mathcal{E} = E + e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}. \quad (21)$$

In effect we have unified the use of *scalar potential* and *vector potential* for a system under uniform electric fields. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial t} = e\vec{F}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} = e\vec{F} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}}, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{q}} = e\vec{F}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{q}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} = e\vec{F} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}}, \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} = \vec{v}_g \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}}, \quad (24)$$

where v_g is the group velocity. The LWT of the effective or renormalized lattice Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{eff} \rightleftharpoons H(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t)$ can therefore be analyzed on $(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})$ -space as

$$H(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t) \implies H(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}). \quad (25)$$

The last line is by virtue of Eqs. (20)- (21). Of course in the absence of the electric field, the dependence in phase space becomes the familiar $H(\vec{p}, \omega)$ for translationally symmetric and steady-state system. But with $\vec{F} \neq 0$ all gauge invariant quantities are functions of $(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})$ such as the *electric Bloch function* [10] or Houston wavefunction [[6]] and *electric Wannier function*, i.e., the electric-field dependent generalization of Wannier function. In particular, the Weyl transform of a commutator,

$$\mathcal{W}[H, G^<] = \sin \Lambda, \quad (26)$$

where Λ is the Poisson bracket operator. We can therefore write the Poisson bracket operator Λ , as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial t} \right], \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial t} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \right], \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} e\vec{F} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

on $(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})$ -phase space.

3 SFLWT-NEGF transport equation

We now make use of the SFLWT-NEGF quantum transport formalism [8]. The nonequilibrium quantum superfield transport equation for interacting Bloch electrons under a uniform electric field has been derived in Sec. VI of Buot and

Jensen [10]. The result to first-order gradient expansion is given in *Sec.VI*, Eq.(109) of their paper. The derivation given there is more detailed and comprehensive and will not be repeated here. The discussions above more or less already captured the basic idea.

To recapitulate, in the absence of Cooper pairings and superconducting transport mechanism, the SFLWT-NEGF phase-space transport equation reduces to,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G^<(\vec{p}, \vec{q}; E, t) &= \frac{2}{\hbar} \sin \hat{\Lambda} \{ H(p, q) G^<(p, q) + \Sigma^<(p, q) \text{Re } G^r(p, q) \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\hbar} \cos \hat{\Lambda} \{ \Sigma^<(p, q) A(p, q) - \Gamma(p, q) G^<(p, q) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where in the right side of Eq. (28) the 4-dimensional notation of phase space is employed. If we expand Eq. (28) to first-order in the gradient, i.e., $\sin \Lambda \simeq \Lambda$, Buot and Jensen's first-order gradient expansion of phase-space transport equation [10] can be written in a compact form as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \\ &= -e\vec{F} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} E_\alpha(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) + \frac{\partial \text{Re } \Sigma^r(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \\ &\quad + e\vec{F} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} E_\alpha(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) + \frac{\partial \text{Re } \Sigma^r(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \\ &\quad - e\vec{F} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\partial \Sigma^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial \text{Re } G^r(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \right\} \\ &\quad + e\vec{F} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\partial \Sigma^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \frac{\partial \text{Re } G^r(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\hbar} \left\{ \Sigma^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) A(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - \Gamma(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $G^r(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})$ is the LWT of the retarded Green's function, $A(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})$ is the spectral function, describing 'scattering-in', and $\Gamma(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})$ is the corresponding 'scattering-out' rate.

3.1 Ballistic transport and diffusion

We will simplify Eq. (29) by neglecting the self-energies, i.e., we limit to non-interacting particles. Then we have the following reduced quantum transport

equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G^<(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) &= -e\vec{F} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} E_\alpha(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\kappa}} G^<(p, q) \\ &\quad + e\vec{F} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\kappa}} E_\alpha(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} G^<(p, q), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which can be written in terms of the Poisson bracket of Eq. (27) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G^<(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) = \frac{2}{\hbar} \frac{\hbar}{2} e\vec{F} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) G^{<(b)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}). \quad (31)$$

Therefore, integrating with respect to time,

$$G^<(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) = e\vec{F} \cdot \int dt \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) G^{<(b)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}). \quad (32)$$

With the electric field in the x -direction, then we have

$$G^<(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) = e|\vec{F}| \int dt \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_x} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) G^{<(b)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}). \quad (33)$$

The Hall current in the y -direction is thus given by the following equation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a^2}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \int \int d\vec{\kappa}_x d\vec{\kappa}_y \left(\frac{e}{a^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_y} \right) (-iG^<(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E})) \\ &= e^2 |\vec{F}| \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \int \int \int d\vec{\kappa}_x d\vec{\kappa}_y dt \\ & \quad \times \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_y} \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_x} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) (-iG^{<(b)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E})), \\ &= e^2 |\vec{F}| \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \int \int \int d\vec{\kappa}_x d\vec{\kappa}_y dt \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_y} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_y} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\kappa}_x} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E}) (-iG^{<(b)}(\vec{\kappa}, \mathcal{E})). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

If we are only interested in linear response we may consider all the quantities in the integrand to be of zero-order in the electric field, although this is not necessary if we allow very weak electric field leading to time dependence being dominated by the time dependence of the density matrix, as we shall see in what follows.

3.2 Quantization of Hall conductance

From Eq. (34), we claim that the quantized Hall conductivity for an occupied energy band is given by

$$\sigma_{yx} = \left(\frac{e^2}{h} \right) \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \int \int \int d\vec{\kappa}_x d\vec{\kappa}_y dt$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \left(-iG^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right), \quad (35)$$

and is quantized in units of $\frac{e^2}{h}$, i.e., $\sigma_{yx} = \frac{e^2}{h} \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z} is in the domain of integers or the first Chern numbers. In doing the integration with respect to time, t , we need to examine the implicit time-dependence of the matrix element of $G^{<}$ in the 'pull back' representation defined below, which is a process of reverting to matrix element representation.

4 Reverting to matrix elements

Now to show that the Eq. (35) gives $\sigma_{yx} = \frac{e^2}{h} n$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we need to transform the integral of Eq. (35) to the integral of the curvature of Berry connection in a closed loop. This necessitates a 'pull back' (i.e., undoing) the lattice transformation of Eq. (35), i.e., we revert to corresponding matrix elements.

4.1 'Pull back' of the lattice Weyl transformation

The pull-back process means we have to undo the lattice transformation of SFLWT-NEGF transport equation, to return to its *equivalent* matrix element expressions. Consider the integrand in Eq. (35) given by the partial derivatives of lattice Weyl transformed quantities.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \right] H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \left(-iG^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \frac{\partial G^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} - \frac{\partial H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \frac{\partial G^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The trick is to 'pull back' (undo) the lattice Weyl transformation to touch base with Berry connection and Berry curvature. Take first the term of Eq. (36), where,

$$\frac{\partial H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial k_x} = \hbar \frac{\partial H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x}. \quad (37)$$

From Eq. (16) this can be written as a lattice Weyl transform \mathcal{W} in the form,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \\ &= \mathcal{W} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x^\beta} \right) \langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \hat{H} | \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \right\}, \\ &= \mathcal{W} \left\{ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right| \hat{H} | \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle + \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \hat{H} | \beta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{W} \left\{ E_\beta (\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle + E_\alpha (\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\}, \\
&= \mathcal{W} \left\{ \left(E_\beta (\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_\alpha (\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\}, \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

where we defined

$$\left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \right\right\}.$$

We also have

$$\frac{\partial G^{<(b)} (\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} = \mathcal{W} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y^\beta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y^\alpha} \right) \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| (i\hat{\rho}) \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\},$$

where $\hat{\rho}$ is the density matrix operator. From Eq. (8), we take the time dependence of

$$\left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| (i\hat{\rho}) \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle$$

to be given by

$$i \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \hat{\rho} (0) \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t}.$$

We have²

$$\frac{\partial G^{<(b)} (\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} = \mathcal{W} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\langle \beta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| (i\hat{\rho}_0) \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| i\hat{\rho}_0 \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \right\} e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t}.$$

The density matrix operator $\hat{\rho}_0$ is of the form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rho}_o &= \sum_m \rho_m |m\rangle \langle m| \\
\hat{\rho}_o |m\rangle &= \rho_m |m\rangle = f(E_m) |m\rangle \\
\langle m| \hat{\rho}_o |n\rangle &= \rho_{mm} = f(E_n) \delta_{mn} \text{ or } f(E_m) \delta_{mn}
\end{aligned}$$

where the weight function is the Fermi-Dirac function,

$$\rho_0^m = f(E_m)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hat{\rho}_o \left| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle &= i \sum_\gamma \left| \gamma, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \rho_0^\gamma \left\langle \gamma, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\
&= i \left| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle f(E_\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

²Here we use the definition of Green's function without the factor \hbar , following traditional treatments, i.e. $\rho(1, 2) = -iG^{<}(1, 2)$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} i \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | (\hat{\rho}_0) &= i \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \sum_{\gamma} |\gamma, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}\rangle \rho_0 \langle \gamma, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \\ &= i f(E_{\beta}) \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} |. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial G^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} = \mathcal{W} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i \langle \beta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \rho_0^{\alpha} \\ i \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \rho_0^{\beta} \end{array} \right\} e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t}.$$

Shifting the first derivative to the right, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} &= \mathcal{W} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -i \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle f(E_{\alpha}) \\ i \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle f(E_{\beta}) \end{array} \right\} e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \\ &= \mathcal{W} \left[\left\{ i (f(E_{\beta}) - f(E_{\alpha})) \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \right\} e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For energy scale it is convenient to chose $f(E_{\alpha})$ in the above equation, with the viewpoint that α -state is far remove from the β -state in gapped states, so that we can set $f(E_{\beta}) \simeq 0$. The case $\alpha = \beta$ is indeterminate so that by setting $f(E_{\beta}) \simeq 0$ renders the summation to be well-defined, hence the need for gapped states. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial H(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \frac{\partial G^{<}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{W} \left[\left(- (E_{\beta}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_{\alpha}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})) \right) \left\{ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \right] \\ \times \mathcal{W} \left[\left\{ -i f(E_{\alpha}) \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \right\} e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \right] \end{array} \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{W} \left[\left(E_{\beta}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_{\alpha}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \left\{ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \right] \\ \times \mathcal{W} \left[\left\{ i \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \right\} f(E_{\alpha}) e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \right] \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since it appears as a product of two Weyl transforms, it must be a trace formula in the *untransformed or pulled back* version, i.e., for the remaining indices α and β we must be a summation,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial H(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \frac{\partial G^{<}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} \\ &= \mathcal{W} \left[\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(E_{\beta}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_{\alpha}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \\ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \end{array} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial H(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} \frac{\partial G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \\ = & \mathcal{W} \left[\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\{ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\{ \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \right\} \right] \times if(E_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\partial H(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \frac{\partial G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} - \frac{\partial H(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} \frac{\partial G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \right] \\ = & \mathcal{W} \left[\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\{ \left(E_\beta(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_\alpha(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \left[\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \left\{ \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \\ & - \left\{ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{K}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \left\{ \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{K}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \right] \right\} \right] \times ie^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} (f(E_\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Now the LHS of Eq. (34), namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a}{(2\pi\hbar)} \right)^2 \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \frac{e}{a^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \\ = & \left(\frac{a}{(2\pi\hbar)} \right)^2 \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \frac{e}{a^2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Using the result of Eq. (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial H(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \\ = & \mathcal{W} \left\{ \left[(E_\alpha(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_\beta(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})) \right] \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ = & \mathcal{W} \left\{ \left[(E_\beta(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_\alpha(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})) \right] \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ = & \mathcal{W} \left\{ \omega_{\beta\alpha} \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} = \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| v_{g,y} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{\beta\alpha} \langle \alpha, \nabla_{\vec{\mathcal{K}}} \vec{p} \middle| \beta, \vec{p} \rangle = \langle \alpha, \vec{p} \middle| \vec{v}_g \middle| \beta, \vec{p} \rangle$$

Likewise

$$G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) = i\mathcal{W} \left(\left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \hat{\rho} \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right)$$

Again, since Eq. (39) is a product of lattice Weyl transform, it must be a trace in the *untransformed* version, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{a}{(2\pi\hbar)} \right)^2 \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \frac{e}{a^2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} G^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \\
&= \mathcal{W} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & i \int \left(\frac{a}{(2\pi\hbar)} \right)^2 d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \\ & \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \frac{e}{a^2} v_y | \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} | \hat{\rho} | \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \rangle \end{aligned} \right\}, \\
&= \mathcal{W} \left\{ i \text{Tr} \left(\frac{e}{a^2} \hat{v}_{g,y} \right) \hat{\rho} \right\} = i\mathcal{W} \{ \text{Tr}(\hat{j}_y \hat{\rho}) \} = i\mathcal{W} \{ \text{Tr}(\hat{j}_y \hat{\rho}) \}, \\
&= i\mathcal{W} \{ \langle \hat{j}_y(t) \rangle \}.
\end{aligned}$$

For calculating the conductivity we are interested in the term multiplying the first-order in electric field. We can now convert the quantum transport equation in the transformed space, Eq. (34),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{a}{(2\pi\hbar)} \right)^2 \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \frac{e}{a^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \left[-iG^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right] \\
&= e^2 |\vec{F}| \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \int \int \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y dt \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \right] \\
& \quad \times H^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \left(-iG^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

to the equivalent matrix element expressions by undoing or 'pulling back' the lattice Weyl transformation \mathcal{W} , which amounts to canceling \mathcal{W} in both side of the equation given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{W} \{ \langle \hat{j}_y(t) \rangle \} \\
&= \mathcal{W} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{e^2}{\hbar} |\vec{F}| \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \int \int \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y dt \\ & \left(E_\beta(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) - E_\alpha(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \\ & \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ & - \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \right] \\ & \times e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta}t} f(E_\alpha) \end{aligned} \right\}. \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

The time integral of the RHS amounts to taking zero-order time dependence [zero electric field] of the rest of the integrand, then we have for the remaining time-dependence, explicitly integrated as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^0 dt \exp i\omega_{\alpha\beta}t &= \frac{\exp \exp i\omega_{\alpha\beta}t}{i\omega_{\alpha\beta}} \Big|_{\tau=-\infty}^{\tau=0} \\
&= \frac{\exp(i(\omega_{\alpha\beta} - i\eta)\tau)}{i\omega_{\alpha\beta}} \Big|_{\tau=-\infty}^{\tau=0} = \frac{1}{i\omega_{\alpha\beta}}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus eliminating the time integral we finally obtain.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \hat{j}_y(t) \rangle \\
&= -i \frac{e^2}{h} |\vec{F}| \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \int \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(E_\alpha) \left(-\frac{\hbar\omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\omega_{\alpha\beta}} \right) \\ \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ - \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{array} \right\} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \hat{j}_y(t) \rangle \\
&= i \frac{e^2}{h} |\vec{F}| \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \int \int dk_x dk_y \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} f(E_\alpha) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ - \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{array} \right\} \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the Fourier transform of both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \hat{j}_y(\omega) \rangle \\
&= i \frac{e^2}{h} |\vec{F}| \frac{\delta(\omega)}{(2\pi)} \int \int dk_x dk_y \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ - \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{array} \right\} f(E_\alpha) \quad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\omega \Rightarrow 0$ and summing over the states β , we readily obtain the conductivity, σ_{yx} .

$$\sigma_{yx} = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{\alpha} f(E_\alpha) \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \int \int dk_x dk_y \left[\begin{array}{c} \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ - \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{array} \right], \quad (43)$$

where

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}} = \vec{p} + e\vec{F}t.$$

Note the transformation from $\vec{\mathcal{K}} \Rightarrow \vec{p}$ in the integration in both Eqs. (42) and (43) has a Jacobian unity. Equation (43) is the same expression that can be obtained to derive the integer quantum Hall effect from Kubo formula [1].

We now prove that for each statevector, $|\alpha, \vec{k}\rangle$, the expression,

$$\frac{i}{(2\pi)} \int \int dk_x dk_y f(E_\alpha(\vec{k})) \left[\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \alpha, \vec{k} \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \alpha, \vec{k} \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right], \quad (44)$$

is the winding number around the occupied contour in the Brillouin zone. First we can rewrite the terms within the square bracket as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right] \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \alpha, \vec{k} \right| \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \left| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle = \nabla_{\vec{k}} \times \left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The last term indicates the operation of the curl of the Berry connection which is related to the quantization of Hall conductivity. This quantization is due to the uniqueness of the parallel-transported wavefunction, which may also have bearing on the self-consistent Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization [11]. To understand this, first we discuss how the phase of the wavefunction relates to the Berry connection and Berry curvature. This is given in the Appendix B.

At low temperature, we can just write Eq. (43) as,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{yx} &= \frac{ie^2}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \sum_{\alpha} \int \int_{occupiedBZ} dk_x dk_y \left[\nabla_{\vec{k}} \times \left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{plane}, \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \sum_{\alpha} \oint dk_c \left[\left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_c} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{contour}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} i\Delta\phi_{total} &= - \oint dk_c \left[\left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_c} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{contour}, \\ \Delta\phi_{total} &= i \oint dk_c \left[\left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_c} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{contour}, \\ \frac{\Delta\phi_{total}}{2\pi} &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint dk_c \left[\left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_c} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{contour} = n_{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $n_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the winding number or the Chern number. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{yx} &= \frac{ie^2}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \sum_{\alpha} \int \int_{occupiedBZ} dk_x dk_y \left[\nabla_{\vec{k}} \times \left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{plane}, \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \sum_{\alpha} \oint dk_c \left[\left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_c} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{contour \text{ or Wilson loop over } BZ}, \\ &= \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\Delta\phi_{total}}{2\pi} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{e^2}{h} n_{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

over all occupied bands α , where $n_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the topological first Chern (or *winding*) number for each band. Thus the the Hall conductivity is quantized in units of $\frac{e^2}{h}$ as derive from Eq. (35) of the new method used here.

To touch base with a time-dependent perturbation of the Kubo current-current correlation(KCCC) used by TKNN, we recall that in this particular approach, a time varying electric field is indirectly used. We give a derivation of the KCCC formula from our new quantum transport formalism in Appendix C.

4.2 Berry curvature and orbital magnetic moment of 2-D systems

Note that the orbital magnetic moment is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{M} \rangle &= \text{Tr} \rho \frac{e}{2m} \vec{L} = \text{Tr} \rho \frac{e}{2m} \vec{Q} \times \vec{P}, \\
&= -i \frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{P} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= -i \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{v}_g | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Eq. (79), we obtain

$$\langle \vec{M} \rangle = -i \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \omega_{\beta\alpha} \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle,$$

or

$$\langle \vec{M} \rangle = -i \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} \frac{f(E_\alpha(\vec{p}))}{\omega_{\alpha\beta}} \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \vec{v} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{v} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle.$$

So Berry's curvature implies the presence of orbital magnetic moment.³

In fact we can pull out the Berry curvature by rewriting in the Heisenberg picture,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{M} \rangle &= \text{Tr} \rho \frac{e}{2m} \vec{L} = \text{Tr} \rho \frac{e}{2m} \vec{Q} \times \vec{P}, \\
&= \frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \vec{Q} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{P} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} \vec{Q}_S e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{v} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \vec{Q}_S | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{v} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (51), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M \rangle &= -i \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{v} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= -i \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta} t} \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle \omega_{\beta\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

³Using Eq. (79), we obtain as written in some literature

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle M \rangle \\
&= -\frac{e}{2} (i)^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \frac{(E_\alpha(\vec{p}) - E_\beta(\vec{p}))}{i\hbar} \frac{\langle \alpha, \vec{p} | m \vec{v} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle}{(E_\alpha(\vec{p}) - E_\beta(\vec{p}))} \times \frac{\langle \beta, \vec{p} | m \vec{v} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle}{(E_\alpha(\vec{p}) - E_\beta(\vec{p}))} \\
&= -i \frac{e\hbar}{2m^2} \sum_{\alpha, p; \beta, p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \frac{\langle \alpha, \vec{p} | m \vec{v} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | m \vec{v} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle}{(E_\alpha(\vec{p}) - E_\beta(\vec{p}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the RHS with respect to time, the result is in units of orbital magnetic moment multiplied by time denoted by $\langle \vec{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{\mathcal{M}} \rangle &= -i\frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha,p;\beta,p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i\omega_{\alpha\beta}t} dt \omega_{\beta\alpha} \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= -i\frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha,p;\beta,p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \frac{\omega_{\beta\alpha}}{i\omega_{\alpha\beta}} dt \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= \frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha,p;\beta,p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= -\frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha,p;\beta,p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \langle \alpha, \nabla_{\vec{k}} \vec{p} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \times \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
&= -\frac{e}{2} \sum_{\alpha,p} f(E_\alpha(\vec{p})) \langle \alpha, \nabla_{\vec{k}} \vec{p} | \times | \alpha, \nabla_{\vec{k}} \vec{p} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The last line is just

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \vec{\mathcal{M}} \rangle \\
&= -\frac{e}{2} \frac{a^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\alpha} \int \int dk_x dk_y f(E_\alpha(\vec{k})) \left[\begin{array}{c} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \\ - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \end{array} \right], \\
&= -\frac{e}{2} \frac{a^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\alpha} \int \int dk_x dk_y f(E_\alpha(\vec{k})) \left[\begin{array}{c} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \\ - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \end{array} \right], \\
&= -\frac{e}{2} \frac{a^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\alpha} f(E_\alpha(\vec{k})) \int \int dk_x dk_y \left(\nabla_{\vec{k}} \times \left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \right| \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right),
\end{aligned}$$

explicitly revealing the Berry curvature derived from the expression for the orbital magnetic moment.

5 Concluding Remarks

In summary, we have identified topological invariant in the effective (\vec{p}, \vec{q}, E, t) –phase space quantum transport, explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \sum_{occupied\ bands} \int \int \int dk_x dk_y dt \left[\frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial k_y} - \frac{\partial^{(a)}}{\partial k_y} \frac{\partial^{(b)}}{\partial k_x} \right] \\
&\times H_{occupied\ band}^{(a)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}) G^{<(b)}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}), \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

an integral which give results in \mathbb{Z} manifold, the so-called first Chern numbers. This is explicitly demonstrated here by reverting to its equivalent matrix elements expression. Moreover, the conventional linearity in the electrical field

strength *per se* is not a necessary and sufficient condition to prove the IQHE, but rather it is the first-order gradient expansion in the real-time SFLWT-NEGF quantum transport equation.

In general, nonlinearity in the electric field is still present in the variable $(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})$ in the integrand of Eq. (35) based on the assumption of weak electric field and hence weak time dependence. This aspect of our theory cannot arise from linear response theory of equilibrium system. This is one of the virtues of nonequilibrium quantum transport approach. There seems to be experimental evidence on this nonlinearity [12] of quantum Hall effect.

The fundamental physics underlying IQHE is the Berry connection and Berry curvature, which is related to the geometrical origin of the old Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization [11]. There have been other attempts to formulate topological invariants and IQHE [13, 15] in phase space, but the results were not explicitly reduced to an expression in terms of the physics of Berry connection, curvature and chern number, i.e., within the fundamental quantization procedure first enunciated by the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization [11], in a manner similar to was done in reducing Eq. (49) to Eq. (48), or to their explicit geometrical equivalence, such as, e.g., eigensheaves of the Hecke operators in geometric Langlands program given by Ikeda [14]. Moreover, the incorporation of varying potential and varying magnetic fields [13] needs self-consistency with Maxwell's equations, which can only be attained through large-scale and iterative numerical simulations incorporating nonlinear transport feedback [10], when IQHE is viewed as a *bona fide* transport problem.

Therefore, we cannot compare our results with the published expressions of these authors [13, 15] for a couple of specific reasons, (a) there is no transparency given towards Berry connection and Berry curvature as indicated above, (b) Weyl transformation is as old as quantum mechanics, and other phase space formulation are based on the old Moyal expansion. The Buot formalism of this paper is physically founded on eigenfunction of position and momentum operators. The discrete phase space is rigorously based on the modular arithmetic of finite field [23], a generalization of the Born-von Karman boundary condition of solid-state physics. It generalizes Wigner's continuum phase space formulation of quantum mechanics by adapting to the problem at hand, specifically in terms of the eigenfunctions for the lattice position and crystal momentum, namely Wannier function (conventional, electric and magnetic) and corresponding Bloch functions (conventional, electric and magnetic) leading to a renormalized discrete phase space on finite fields [23, 8].

It is worth mentioning that there are other more recent formulations of Weyl-Wigner formulation of lattice models in quantum mechanics [26, 24, 25]. It should be mentioned at the outset that these formulations are not explicitly based on modular arithmetic of finite field modulo prime number of discrete lattice points (i.e., Born-von Karman boundary condition of solid-state physics with closure properties), which could potentially create some ambiguities between discrete lattice and the adopted continuous momentum variables, a bijective deficiency [27]. The Buot's formulation of discrete lattice points in a

crystalline solid obeying the Born-von Karman boundary condition is fundamentally based on a finite field represented by a finite prime modulus number of lattice points, in all directions on a 'torus'. All manipulations obey modular arithmetic, closed under addition, multiplication, and division, where division by all nonzero numbers is possible if and only if the modulus is a prime number. In the terminology of abstract algebra, the ability to perform division means that modular arithmetic modulo a prime number forms a field or, more specifically, a finite field. This aspect is inherent in all the arithmetic manipulations of the Buot formalism, and have been put to a firm theoretical foundation by Gibbons et al [23]. This closure property for a finite number of discrete points, only afforded by the modular arithmetic of finite fields [27] that settled the theoretical aspects of discrete quantum mechanics, is missing in all the recent papers on Weyl-Wigner formulation of lattice models [26, 24, 25]. A more complete discussions on various aspects of Buot's discrete lattice formulations ⁴ is covered in more details in the author's book [8] and references therein.

The key to the straightforward simplicity and power unique to Buot formalism lies in the use of appropriate basis states for lattice position and crystal momentum that make up the phase space, akin to a *renormalization of* phase space. It can even deal with a lattice system of only two discrete points yielding Pauli matrices and the well-known Hadamard transformation for qubits. In contrast, the method of Fialkovsky et al [26] failed to treat the simplest two-site systems [27].

In the presence of uniform magnetic field, basically the canonical momentum in Eq. (42) will strictly incorporate the magnetic field, through the vector potential [10] in \vec{K} . Thus, the formalism immediately transfers to that of free electron gas under intense magnetic fields, upon which the beautiful pioneering experiments of von Klitzing, Dorda, and Pepper [5] was performed. This interchangeability is one of the advantages of our approach. It also appears that electron-electron interaction [10] which does not break the symmetry of Eqs. (73)-(11) can be treated in similar manner. The real-time SFLWT-NEGF multi-spinor quantum transport equations are also able to predict various entanglements leading to different topological phases of low-dimensional and nanostructured gapped condensed matter systems [16].

The method employed⁵ is based on Buot's SFLWT-NEGF formalism [8]. The use of the proper basis states is the key to the simplicity of the physi-

⁴Interested readers are referred to the following chapters of the author's book:
[Chapter 37: Operator Hilbert-Space Methodology in Quantum Physics
Chapter 38: The Wigner Function Construction
Chapter 39: Discrete Phase Space on Finite Fields Chapter 40: Discrete Quantum Mechanics on Finite Fields; and
Chapter 41: Discrete Wigner Function Construction]

⁵For the unfamiliar readers of the new formalism, we give the following guide.

For the theoretical formalism, the readers are directed to Refs. [9], [17]-[22], [7] and for aspects of the formalism as explicit discrete quantum theory on finite fields, Refs.[9], [23] and particularly chapter 39 of Buot's book [8] should be consulted.

For some of the various applications, the readers are referred to Refs. [10, 28, 11, 16, 29, 30, 31].

cally based Buot discrete phase space formalism [9] compared to others [27]. Our new approach to IQHE is a *nonequilibrium quantum transport based*, in contrast with linear-response theory of equilibrium system employed so far. It seems more appropriate for treating nonequilibrium transport of the IQHE. It incorporates residual nonlinearity in \vec{K} and bypasses the use of linear response theory and Kubo formula for the current-current correlation which is based on time-dependent perturbation of equilibrium system, thus the need to take the ω goes to 0 limit.

We believe that this is the first time that real-time SFLWT-NEGF quantum transport formalism is demonstrated to yield the transport-based topological invariants in phase space that is transparent to the real physics of quantization [11]. In another publication [16], the real-time SFLWT-NEGF multi-spinor quantum transport equations lead to prediction of various entanglements of different topological phases of low-dimensional and nanostructured gapped condensed matter systems.

Acknowledgement 1 *The author is grateful for the support of the Balik Scientist Program of the Philippine Council for Industry, Energy and Emerging Technology Research and Development (PCIEERD), Department of Science and Technology (DOST) and for the hospitality of the USC Department of Physics as a Visiting Professor.*

A Renormalized Bloch-electron Hamiltonian

In this appendix we employ bold characters for vector quantities. In the following, let us consider the crystal-lattice effective Hamiltonian for energy band n in the absence of uniform electric field using LWT given by [8, 9]

$$\mathcal{H}_{renormalized} = E_n(\hat{\mathbf{P}}) - e\vec{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad (50)$$

where we have used the zero-field or conventional Wannier function and Bloch functions of energy band theory, to obtain the LWT. In Eq. (??), $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$ is the crystal momentum operator and $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the lattice position operator, with Bloch eigenfunction and Wannier eigenfunction, respectively. $E_n(\mathbf{p})$ is the energy band function for the band index n . Observe that the effective (renormalized) Hamiltonian is simply obtained by the replacement of *bare* momentum operator by the *crystal momentum* operator and *bare* coordinate operator replaced by the *lattice* position operator, a sort of *renormalization* of phase space obtained through the lattice Weyl transformation technique [9].

In the presence of uniform electric fields, if we substitute the solution of Eq. (69) derived in Appendix B, we see that eigenvalue of $H_{renormalized}$ is a function of

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{F}t$$

and

$$\mathcal{E} = E_o + e\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{q}.$$

We denote the electric Bloch state vector which is an eigenvector of the crystal *canonical* momentum operator $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$ by $|\mathbf{K}\rangle$ and the electric Wannier state vector which is an eigenfunction of the lattice position operator $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ by $|\mathbf{q}\rangle$. Of course, the electric Bloch function is given by $\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{K} \rangle$ and the electric Wannier function is given by $\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{q} \rangle$. Note that the electric Wannier function carries a 'Peierls phase factor' given by Eq. (66) below. We have, by suppressing the band indices,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}|\mathbf{q}\rangle &= \mathbf{q}|\mathbf{q}\rangle, \\ \hat{\mathbf{K}}|\mathbf{q}\rangle &= i\hbar\nabla_{\mathbf{q}}|\mathbf{q}\rangle, \\ \hat{\mathbf{K}}|\mathbf{K}\rangle &= \mathbf{K}|\mathbf{K}\rangle, \\ \hat{\mathbf{Q}}|\mathbf{K}\rangle &= -i\hbar\nabla_{\mathbf{K}}|\mathbf{K}\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

Note that $|\mathbf{K}\rangle$ can be considered also as eigenfunction of the crystal momentum operator, $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$, by virtue of the combination, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{F}t$. Here, in the presence of uniform electric field, the minimal coupling is dictated by the electric Bloch function, eigenfunction of both the Hamiltonian and electric translation operator, whose wave vector incorporates the so-called minimal coupling, see Eq. (69) and the discussion that follows. The energy variable of the theory, \mathcal{E} in Eq. (74), which occur in time-dependent problems, is dictated by the nature of *electric translation operator* in space and time, defined through the spatio-temporal *action principle*, Eq. (62). Thus, really the overall eigenfunction [10], $W_n(\mathbf{K}, \mathcal{E})$, is an explicit function of crystal canonical momentum \mathbf{K} and \mathcal{E} discussed in more detail in Appendix B. In general, the LWT of quantities nonlocal in space and time, i.e., $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; t, t')$, is expected to depend on all the variables $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E, t)$. We shall see in Appendix B that in our present problem, \mathbf{p} and t are combined in \mathbf{K} , whereas E and \mathbf{q} are combined in \mathcal{E} . The dependence on $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E, t)$ thus reduces to that of $(\mathbf{K}, \mathcal{E})$ as will be shown below. Only in the case for inhomogeneous and non-steady state or transient problems do the dependence of $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E, t)$ becomes irreducible to $(\mathbf{K}, \mathcal{E})$. On the other hand, for pure translational symmetric and steady-state systems, (\mathbf{p}, ω) goes to $(\mathbf{K}, \mathcal{E})$ (in a uniform electric field), so that only $(\mathbf{K}, \mathcal{E})$ dependence occurs in the pertinent LWT.

A.1 Lattice Weyl transformation

It is helpful to first discuss the zero-electric field Bloch function and Wannier function, which are related via discrete Fourier transformation

$$\begin{aligned}b_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) &= (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} e^{(\frac{i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{q}} w_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}), \\ w_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) &= (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-(\frac{i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{q}} b_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}).\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

The lattice Weyl transform of any operator \hat{A} is thus given by

$$A_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \lambda' \rangle,$$

which is also given by

$$A_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{u}} \langle \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}, \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{u}, \lambda' \rangle.$$

Proof. We can use Eq. (52) and write

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{u}} \langle \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}, \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{u}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= (N\hbar^3)^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{u}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\mathbf{q}''} e^{-\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{u})\cdot\mathbf{q}''} \langle \mathbf{q}'', \lambda | \hat{A} \sum_{\mathbf{q}'} e^{\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{u})\cdot\mathbf{q}'} | \mathbf{q}', \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= (N\hbar^3)^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{u}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}'} e^{\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{q}'-\mathbf{q}'')} e^{-\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{u}\cdot(\mathbf{q}'+\mathbf{q}'')} \langle \mathbf{q}'', \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{q}', \lambda' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}'' &= \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \\ \mathbf{q}' &= \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{q}'' &= 2\mathbf{v}, \\ \mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{q}'' &= 2\mathbf{q}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) &= (N\hbar^3)^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} e^{\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{u}} e^{-\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{u}\cdot 2\mathbf{q}} e^{\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot 2\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= (N\hbar^3)^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^0 \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot 2\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= (N\hbar^3)^{-1} (N\hbar^3) \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot 2\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot 2\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \lambda | \hat{A} | \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \lambda' \rangle. \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

■

Example 2 (a) zero-field case: (i) For the lattice position operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \lambda | \hat{\mathbf{Q}} | \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= \mathbf{q} \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{\left(\frac{2i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{v}} \delta(\mathbf{v}) \equiv \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For the crystal momentum operator,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{p}^2(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \langle \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}, \lambda | \hat{\mathbf{P}}^2 | \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{u}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= \mathbf{p}^2 \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \delta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{p}^2,\end{aligned}$$

resulting in the replacement of lattice position operator and crystal momentum operator by their eigenvalues, respectively. (iii) For identity operator,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \langle \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}, \lambda | \hat{\mathbf{I}} | \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}(v) = 1 \cdot \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \langle \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}, \lambda | \hat{\mathbf{I}} | \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{u}, \lambda' \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{u}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}(u) = 1 \cdot \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) Non-zero field case: Using the results given by Eq. (56) below, and incorporating the Peierls phase factor, the discrete Fourier transformation becomes

$$b_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} e^{-\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \left\{ \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(e\vec{F}t \cdot \vec{q} \right) \right] w_{\lambda}(x, \mathbf{q}) \right\}, \quad (53)$$

becomes

$$b_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{F}t) = (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} e^{-\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)(\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{F}t) \cdot \mathbf{q}} w_{\lambda}(x, \mathbf{q}).$$

The inverse transformation is thus

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{w}_{\lambda}(x, \mathbf{q}) &= (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} e^{(\frac{i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}} b_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{F}t), \\ &= \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} (e\mathbf{F}t \cdot \mathbf{q}) \right] \left\{ (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{(\frac{i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{q}} b_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}') \right\},\end{aligned}$$

becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} (e\mathbf{F}t \cdot \mathbf{q}) \right] w_{\lambda}(x, \mathbf{q}) \\ &= (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} e^{(\frac{i}{\hbar})\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}} b_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{F}t),\end{aligned}$$

where $e^{-\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}}$ is the transition function between eigenfunctions of lattice position operator and crystal momentum operators derived below.

A.1.1 Transition function: discrete Fourier transform

Here we derived the *general* transition function between eigenvectors of lattice position operator and crystal momentum operators, $|\mathbf{q}\rangle$ and $|\mathbf{p}\rangle$, respectively. We have the identity,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{p}\rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} |\mathbf{q}\rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle |\mathbf{q}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{q}\rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{p}} |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q} \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q} \rangle |\mathbf{p}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Eqs. (54) and (55) define discrete Fourier transformation. To derive the functional form of $\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q} \rangle$ or its complex conjugate $\langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle$, we make use of the following relations on wavevectors (*not wavefunctions*):

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}} |\mathbf{p}\rangle \Rightarrow -i\hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} |\mathbf{p}\rangle,$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}} |\mathbf{q}\rangle = i\hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} |\mathbf{q}\rangle.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{q} | \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger | \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \mathbf{q} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle, \\ \langle \mathbf{q} | -i\hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} | \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \mathbf{q} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle, \\ -i\hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \mathbf{q} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle, \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{q} \right) \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle, \\ \ln \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right), \\ \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \text{const} \cdot \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right), \\ \left[\text{const} \cdot \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right]^* &= \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q} \rangle = \text{const} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where

$$\text{const} = (N\hbar^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The use of partial differentiation in Eq. (56) is justified since in the limit of infinite volume \mathbf{p} becomes continuous. The use of partial differentiation in the case of lattice point \mathbf{q} which is involved in deriving $\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q} \rangle$ can be based on the assumption that there exist continuous functions of \mathbf{q} having infinite radius of convergence, which are equal to the correct values at the lattice sites.

B Spatio-temporal translation operators (STTO): action phase operators

Note that displacement operators are characteristically exponential operators amenable to Fourier series expansion. Remarkably, phase factors are generally acquired due to displacement or motion in parameter space in the presence of electric or magnetic fields. This is ubiquitous in solid-state physics (e.g., Peierls phase factor in magnetic fields) before the Berry connection become mainstream and fashionable. To begin, we write our bare Hamiltonian of electrons as

$$H = H_o - e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{r},$$

where H_o is the periodic Hamiltonian in the absence of the electric field, \vec{F} . In what follows, we will prove the following results: (a) displacement operators in space and time carry their respective "Peierls" phase factors, (b) the time derivative of momentum operator is equal to $e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}$, and (c) gradient of the energy operator equals $e\vec{F}t$. Specifically we want to show that the displacement operator,

$$\hat{T}(-q) = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} ((\vec{q} \cdot -i\hbar\nabla_{\vec{r}})) \right],$$

acquires a phase factor in the presence of electric field, and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(-\vec{q}) &= \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \left((e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}) t + (\vec{q} \cdot -i\hbar\nabla_{\vec{r}}) \right) \right], \\ &= \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \left((e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}) t + (\vec{q} \cdot \hat{P}) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where we indicate by \tilde{T} the translation operator \hat{T} with a phase factor.

Similarly, the time displacement operator,

$$\hat{T}(t) = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\left(t \cdot i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right) \right],$$

also acquires a phase factor and is given by

$$\tilde{T}(t) = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \left((e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}) t + \left(t \cdot i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right) \right], \quad (58)$$

where $\tilde{T}(t)$ differs from $\hat{T}(t)$ by a phase factor, and $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the energy operator of the system. In other words, displacement in space by lattice vector $-q$ acquires phase factors equal to $\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} e\vec{F}t \cdot \vec{q} \right)$. Similarly, displacement in time by t acquires phase factor equal to $\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} (e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}) t \right)$. In the absence of the electric field these phase factors give unity, e.g., $\tilde{T}(t) \xrightarrow{\vec{F} \rightarrow 0} \hat{T}(t)$, reduces to ordinary translation operator.

The physics behind these phase factors is dictated by a selfconsistent translation of local functions in space and time under a uniform electric field, \vec{F} . These phases are explained in more detail in the next section. For efficient bookkeeping and for taking lattice Weyl transform, it is usually more convenient to attach these phase factors to displaced local functions themselves. This yields a generalization of Peierls phase factor, well-known for solid-state problems in magnetic fields. The derivation goes as follows.

B.1 Derivation of the STTO based on action principle

In Hamiltonian-Lagrangian mechanics, $\mathcal{L} = K.E. - V$, where $K.E.$ is the kinetic energy and

$$H = \sum_{q_i} \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \mathcal{L}. \quad (59)$$

The action is given by

$$\int dt \mathcal{L} = \int dt \left(\sum_{q_i} p_i \dot{q}_i - H \right), \quad (60)$$

which gives the Euler-Lagrange classical equation of motion given by the stationary action principle,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = 0, \quad (61)$$

which is the classical Newton force equation.

For our single particle case, a translation in space and time must have inherent particle dynamics. The classical action of the displacement in space and time then becomes operator as,

$$p \cdot q - Ht \implies \hat{P} \cdot q - \hat{\xi}t$$

Hence, we define translation operator in space and time, which commute i.e., $[T(q), T(t)] = 0$, as

$$\hat{T}(q) \hat{T}(t) = \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{P} \cdot q) \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} (-\hat{\xi}t) = \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{P} \cdot q - \hat{\xi}t) \quad (62)$$

where \hat{P} is the momentum operator,

$$-i\hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \psi = \hat{P} \psi,$$

and ξ is the energy operator, explicitly given by

$$\hat{\xi} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

since in the Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = \hat{H} \psi.$$

In Eq. (62), the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula has been applied, since $\hat{T}(\vec{q})$ and $\hat{T}(t)$ commute and therefore equal to the right side of the equation. The phase of the spatio-temporal translation operator mirrors that of the Lagrangian, \mathcal{L} , in Hamilton classical mechanics, Eq. (60), where the stationary action gives the classical equation of motion, Eq. (61). In quantum mechanics, this stationary action translates into stationary quantum phase. With respect to our translation operator, we have indeed a classical (i.e., using eigenvalues) equation of motion which gives the classical distance (position) q given by the group velocity multiplied by the time traveled, $\vec{q} = \vec{v}_g t$ where

$$\vec{v}_g = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \vec{p}}$$

is the group velocity. Moreover, if we denote

$$L = \int_0^t (p \cdot \dot{q} - \mathcal{E}) dt,$$

then we have a stationary action principle, $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = e\mathbf{F}$ which describes the the impulse imparted on the particle. Thus, our proper choice of translation operators in space and time, Eq. (62) has inherent particle dynamics built into it. Moreover, the phase of the Schrödinger wavefunction is also proportional to L , for describing a traveling wavepacket, again justifying our spatio-temporal translation operator, Eq. (62).

B.2 Peierls phase factors

B.2.1 Nonlocality in coordinates

The nature of the derivatives of exponential displacement operator is determined, e.g., by the following operation,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{T}(q) W_\lambda(r-0) &= i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \tilde{T}(q) W_\lambda(r-0), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{T}(q) &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \tilde{T}(q), \\ \frac{d\tilde{T}(q)}{\tilde{T}(q)} &= d\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where specifically $\tilde{T}(-q) W_\lambda(r-0)$ is a translation of the center coordinate of a localized Wannier function centered in the origin to another lattice point q , yielding $W_\lambda(r-q)$. Therefore $\tilde{T}(-q)$ resembles the physical process of transferring a localized function centered at the origin to a localized function centered at another lattice point q . Here we define ϕ as undetermined for the moment as

$$\phi = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(f(q, t) + (\vec{q} \cdot \hat{P}) \right),$$

where $f(q, t)$ is to be determined. Note that the presence of $f(q, t)$ is needed for selfconsistency in the presence of electric field. Now consider the second term in the exponent, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2 &= -\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q} \cdot \hat{P} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q} \cdot \left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{r}}\right), \\ &= (-q) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{r}}\end{aligned}$$

leading to Fourier series expansion of the translation, $\hat{T}(-q)$. Then, we obtain

$$-i\hbar\frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial t} = [H, \phi_2] = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[H, \left((-\vec{q}) \cdot \hat{P} \right) \right] = e\vec{F} \cdot (-\vec{q}), \quad (63)$$

since $-\frac{i}{\hbar}f(q, t)$ commutes with the Hamiltonian. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{d\tilde{T}(-q)}{\tilde{T}(-q)} = d\phi = \frac{i}{\hbar}e\vec{F} \cdot (-\vec{q}) dt. \quad (64)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}(-q) &= \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\left(e\vec{F} \cdot (-\vec{q}) \Delta t \right) + \hat{P} \cdot (-\vec{q}) \right], \\ &= \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\hat{P} + e\vec{F}t \right] \cdot (-\vec{q})\end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

This means that a displacement $(-\vec{q})$ of localized function acquires a phase factor given by

$$Peierls \text{ phase factor} = \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(e\vec{F}t \cdot (-\vec{q}) \right) \right]. \quad (66)$$

We may thus write

$$-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t) = \left[H, \tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t) \right] = e\vec{F} \cdot (-\vec{q}) \tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t). \quad (67)$$

We can also deduce from Eq. (63) the relation for the momentum operator,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, [(-\vec{q}) \cdot -i\hbar\nabla_{\vec{r}}]] &= e\vec{F} \cdot (-\vec{q}) = \frac{\partial\hat{P}}{\partial t} \cdot (-q), \implies \frac{\partial\hat{P}}{\partial t} = e\vec{F}, \\ \implies \hat{P} &= \hat{P}_o + e\vec{F}t.\end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

B.2.2 Simultaneous eigenvalues for \hat{H} and $\tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t)$

One very important conclusion is implied in Eq. (67), which we rewrite here for convenience

$$\left[\hat{H}, \tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t) \right] = -e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q} \tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t). \quad (69)$$

What the above relation means is that if $\tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t)$ is diagonal then $\left[\hat{H}, \tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t) \right]$ is also diagonal. But if $\tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t)$ is diagonal, then \hat{H} is also diagonal with the same eigenvalues. The eigenfunction of $\tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t)$ is labeled by the quantum label $\vec{\mathcal{K}} = \vec{p}_o + e\vec{F}t$. This implies that \hat{H} is also diagonal in $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$. The electric Bloch function labeled by $B(k_o + \frac{e}{\hbar}Ft, \dots)$ is the eigenfunction of $\tilde{T}(-\vec{q}, t)$ as well as that of the renormalized

$$H_{renormalized}(\hat{K}, \hat{Q}) \Longleftrightarrow E_n(\vec{\mathcal{K}}) - e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q},$$

where the double pointed arrow denotes lattice Weyl correspondence, Eq. (??), using the localized electric Wannier function.

B.2.3 Nonlocality in time

Because nonlocal arguments in time acquires phase factor also, the energy variable of the theory now varies with $e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}$, as discussed next. From Eq. (62) for a displacement in time

$$\hat{T}(t) = \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} (-\hat{\xi}t)$$

or

$$\tilde{T}(t) \equiv \mathcal{F} \exp \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \left(i\hbar t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right) = \mathcal{F} \exp \left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)$$

where,

$$\mathcal{F} = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} (f_t(q, t)) \right], \quad (70)$$

is a phase factor to be determined

$$\phi_t(q) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(f_t(q, t) + t i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -i\hbar \frac{\partial \tilde{T}(t)}{\partial \vec{q}} &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi_t(q)}{\partial \vec{q}} \tilde{T}(t), \\ -i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi_t(q)}{\partial \vec{q}} &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\vec{\mathcal{K}}(t'), (t) i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right] = \left[\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A}_o, t \nabla_{t'} \right], \\ &= \left[\vec{p} + e\vec{F}t', t \nabla_{t'} \right] = -e\vec{F}t. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi_t(q)}{\partial \vec{q}} &= -e\vec{F}t, \\ \frac{\partial \phi_t(q)}{\partial \vec{q}} &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} e\vec{F}t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtained,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{T}(t)}{\partial \vec{q}} = \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} e\vec{F}t \right) \tilde{T}(t),$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d \ln \tilde{T}(t) &= \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} e\vec{F}t \right) \cdot d\vec{q}, \\ \ln \tilde{T}(t) &= \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} e\vec{F}t \right) \cdot \Delta\vec{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence a displacement in time carries a phase factor given by $\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} (e\vec{F}t) \cdot \Delta\vec{q} \right)$ and

$$\tilde{T}(t) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[(e\vec{F}t) \cdot \Delta\vec{q} + t \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (72)$$

Therefore,

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{q}} \tilde{T}(\vec{q}, t) = \left[\vec{\mathcal{K}}(t), \tilde{T}(\vec{q}, t) \right] = (-e\vec{F}t) \tilde{T}(\vec{q}, t) \quad (73)$$

Now from second line of Eq. (71), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\vec{\mathcal{K}}(t'), (t) i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right] &= \left[\vec{p} + e\vec{F}t', (t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right] = -e\vec{F}t, \\ \left[\vec{\mathcal{K}}(t'), \hat{\xi} \right] &= -i\hbar e\vec{F} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\xi}}{\partial \vec{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the expression for in Eq. (70) for f_t in the phase factor as

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\xi}}{\partial \vec{q}} = e\vec{F} \implies f_t = e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}.$$

All these results, Eq. (65) and Eq. (72), lead to the time-dependent wave vector,

$$\hbar \vec{k} = \hbar \vec{k}_o + \hbar e\vec{F}t$$

and to the position-dependent energy,

$$\mathcal{E} = E_o + e\vec{F} \cdot \vec{q}, \quad (74)$$

respectively. Again, for taking lattice Weyl transform, it is more convenient to attach these phase factor to the displaced local functions themselves. This allows us to generalize the Peierls phase factor to space and time displacements, originally well-known for solid-state problems in magnetic fields.

C Hall current density and current through a discrete lattice

The definition of the current density given by the first row of Eq. (34), reads

$$\frac{a^2}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \iint dK_x dK_y \left(\frac{e}{a^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial K_y} \right) \left(-iG^<(\vec{K}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \quad (75)$$

One observes that the above expression embodies the intuitive concept of a current density $j(E)$ as a product of group velocity, represented by $v_g = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial K_y}$ multiplied by areal density of particles, represented by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(-iG^<(\vec{K}, \mathcal{E}) \right),$$

multiplied by the electric charge, e , and summed over all the two-dimensional variables, K_x and K_y with proper counting of states. It can easily be shown that Eq. (75) has a corresponding discrete lattice expression. Indeed, we can readily use the expression for the *directional* electric current through the lattice derived in detail in Sec. 21.3 of Ref. [8], namely, Eq. (21.16) in Sec. 21.3 of the book, which give the current through the lattice as

$$\begin{aligned} j(E, t) &= \frac{-e}{\hbar} \sum_{\vec{q}, \vec{q}''} (\vec{q} - \vec{q}'') \langle \vec{q} | H_o | \vec{q}'' \rangle G^<(\vec{q}'', \vec{q}, E, t), \\ &= \frac{e}{\hbar} \sum_{q, q''} (\vec{q}'' - \vec{q}) \langle \vec{q} | H_o | \vec{q}'' \rangle G^<(\vec{q}'', \vec{q}, E, t). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The above equation involves summation over all the lattice points, q and q'' , i.e., the expression is really a trace. Now a trace involving products of matrix elements is also a trace involving products of their respective lattice Weyl transforms [9]. In what follows we will treat steady-state condition only. The lattice Weyl transform of

$$(\vec{q}'' - \vec{q}) \langle \vec{q} | H_o | \vec{q}'' \rangle$$

can be calculated as follows. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}[(\vec{q}'' - \vec{q}) \langle \vec{q} | H_o | \vec{q}'' \rangle] &= \sum_{\vec{v}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\vec{p} \cdot \vec{v}} ((\vec{q} + \vec{v}) - (\vec{q} - \vec{v})) \langle \vec{q} - \vec{v} | H_o | \vec{q} + \vec{v} \rangle, \\ &= \sum_{\vec{v}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\vec{p} \cdot \vec{v}} (2\vec{v}) \langle \vec{q} - \vec{v} | H_o | \vec{q} + \vec{v} \rangle, \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}} \sum_{\vec{v}} e^{(\frac{2i}{\hbar})\vec{p} \cdot \vec{v}} \langle \vec{q} - \vec{v} | H_o | \vec{q} + \vec{v} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

However, for crystalline solid the matrix element of the energy band depends in the difference of positions \vec{q} which is equivalent to saying that the matrix element $\langle \vec{q} - \vec{v} | H_o | \vec{q} + \vec{v} \rangle \implies H_o(2\vec{v})$. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{W}(\vec{q}'' - \vec{q}) \langle \vec{q} | H_o | \vec{q}'' \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}} E(\vec{p}).$$

In the presence of uniform electric or magnetic fields, we then have,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}} E(\vec{p}) \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{K}}} \mathcal{E}(\vec{\mathcal{K}}).$$

Similarly, the lattice Weyl transform of $G^<(\vec{q}', \vec{q}, E, t)$ is

$$W[-iG^<(\vec{q}', \vec{q}, E, t)] = -iG^<(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, E, t),$$

which goes into

$$-iG^<(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, E, t) \implies -iG^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E}),$$

in the presence of electric or magnetic field. Using the proper counting of states in phase space for two-dimensional systems in the taking of the trace, we finally arrive at

$$j_y = \frac{a^2}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \iint dK_x dK_y \left(\frac{e}{a^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial K_y} \right) (-iG^<(\vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E})),$$

which is what we want to derive from the current density through the lattice, Eq. (76). Thus, this equation is valid for both lattice and continuum by simply performing lattice Weyl transformation of the appropriate quantities from discrete to continuum and vice versa.

D Phase change of wavefunction under parallel transport

To relate to the phase of the wavefunction, we recall that for parallel transport

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi_\alpha(\vec{k})}{\partial t} &= \left(-\Gamma_{\vec{k}, \beta}^j \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} \right) \psi_\beta(\vec{k}), \\ &= \left(-\langle \alpha, \vec{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} | \beta, \vec{k} \rangle \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} \right) \psi_\beta(\vec{k}). \end{aligned}$$

In the adiabatic case, this becomes (assuming energy band α is far remove from the other bands),

$$\frac{\partial \psi_\alpha(\vec{k})}{\partial t} = \left(-\langle \alpha, \vec{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{k} \rangle \cdot \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} \right) \psi_\alpha(\vec{k}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln \psi_\alpha(\vec{k})}{dt} &= \left(-\langle \alpha, \vec{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{k} \rangle \cdot \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} \right), \\ d \ln \psi_\alpha(\vec{k}) &= -\langle \alpha, \vec{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{k} \rangle \cdot d\vec{k}, \\ d\phi &= i \langle \alpha, \vec{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{k} \rangle \cdot d\vec{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $i d\phi$ is the change of phase of the wavefunction along a curve in \vec{k} -space (Brillouin zone). Around a closed curve the total change of phase must be a multiple of 2π , i.e., $\Delta\phi = 2\pi n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) for the wavefunction to return to its original state. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \int \int dk_x dk_y f(E_\alpha(\vec{k})) \left[\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \\ & - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \right], \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \int \int dk_x dk_y f(E_\alpha(\vec{k})) \left[\nabla_{\vec{k}} \times \left\langle \alpha, \vec{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \alpha, \vec{k} \right\rangle \right]_{plane}. \end{aligned}$$

This result can also be traced to the self-consistent Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition [11].

E The Kubo current-current correlation formula

To touch base with a time-dependent perturbation of the Kubo current-current correlation we recall that in this particular approach, a time varying electric field is indirectly used. To get to QHE the limiting case of $\omega \implies 0$ is taken after Fourier transformation of a convolution integral. In adapting to our approach, this means that the time integral in the expression of the RHS of Eq. (40) when transformed to current-current correlation is a convolution integral before taking the Fourier transform. We start with the RHS of Eq. (40),

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{RHS} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \int \int \int d\vec{K}_x d\vec{K}_y dt \\ & \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\times \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left(E_\beta(\vec{K}, \mathcal{E}) - E_\alpha(\vec{K}, \mathcal{E}) \right) \\ & \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_x} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_y} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right. \\ & - \left. \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_y} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_x} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \right. \\ & \times f(E_\alpha) e^{i(\omega_{\alpha\beta})t} \end{aligned} \right] \quad (77) \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $E_\beta(\vec{K}, \mathcal{E}) - E_\alpha(\vec{K}, \mathcal{E}) = \hbar\omega_{\beta\alpha}$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{RHS} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \int \int \int d\vec{K}_x d\vec{K}_y dt \\ & \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\times \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_x} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_y} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right. \\ & - \left. \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_y} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \left| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\hbar \partial k_x} \vec{K}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \right\} \right. \\ & \times f(E_\alpha) e^{i(\omega_{\alpha\beta})t} \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{RHS}{=} \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{\hbar}{(2\pi\hbar)} \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int \int \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y dt \\
& \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\times \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ & - \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \\
& \times f(E_\alpha) e^{i(\omega_{\alpha\beta})t}
\end{aligned}$$

We make use of the general relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \vec{v} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle & \equiv \omega_{\beta\alpha} \langle \alpha, \nabla_{\vec{k}} \vec{p} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle \\
\langle \alpha, \nabla_{\vec{k}} \vec{p} | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle & = \frac{\langle \alpha, \vec{p} | \vec{v}_g | \beta, \vec{p} \rangle}{\omega_{\beta\alpha}}
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{v} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle & \equiv \omega_{\beta\alpha} \langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle, \\
\langle \beta, \vec{p} | \nabla_{\vec{k}} | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle & = \frac{\langle \beta, \vec{p} | \vec{v}_g | \alpha, \vec{p} \rangle}{\omega_{\beta\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Substituting in Eq. (77), we then have the convolution integral with respect to time,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{RHS}{=} \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} \frac{1}{\hbar} \int \int \int d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y dt' \\
& \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\omega_{\beta\alpha}} \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| v_{g,x} \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| v_{g,y} (t - t') \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ & - \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| v_{g,y} (t - t') \middle| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \middle| v_{g,x} \middle| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \frac{1}{\omega_{\beta\alpha}} \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \\
& \times e^{i(\omega_{\alpha\beta})t'} f(E_\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the following Fourier transformation,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} F(t) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} dt \int_{-\infty}^0 f(t - t') g(t') dt',$$

Making the substitution,

$$\begin{aligned}
t - t' & = \alpha \implies dt = d\alpha, \\
t & = (t' + \alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} F(t) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t'} g(t') dt' \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i\omega \alpha} f(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

We can transform the range of integration as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i\omega\alpha} f(\alpha) d\alpha &= \int_{\infty}^0 e^{-i\omega\alpha} f(-\alpha) (-d\alpha) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\omega\alpha} f(-\alpha) d\alpha, \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\omega\alpha} f^{\dagger}(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\omega\alpha} f(\alpha) d\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

since $f(\alpha) = j(\alpha)$ is the observable current density and hence self-adjoint. We can apply this result in what follows. Defining the current density as

$$j_x = \frac{ev_{g,x}}{a^2},$$

we obtain, after Fourier transforming the convolution integral as

$$\begin{aligned}& RHS \\ &= \frac{a^2}{\hbar\omega} \int \int \left(\frac{a}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \int_0^{\infty} dt \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| \frac{ev_{g,x}}{a^2} \right| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| \frac{ev_{g,y}(t)}{a^2} \right| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| \frac{ev_{g,y}(t)}{a^2} \right| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| \frac{ev_{g,x}}{a^2} \right| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\quad \times e^{-i(\omega-i\eta)t} f(E_{\alpha}) \end{aligned} \right], \\ &= \frac{a^2}{\hbar\omega} \int_0^{\infty} dt \int \int \left(\frac{a}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_x d\vec{\mathcal{K}}_y \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| j_x(0) \right| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| j_y(t) \right| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| j_y(t) \right| \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \left| j_x(0) \right| \alpha, \vec{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\quad \times e^{-i(\omega-i\eta)t} f(E_{\alpha}) \end{aligned} \right], \\ &= \frac{a^2}{\hbar\omega} \int_0^{\infty} dt Tr \rho_0 \{ [j_x(0), j_y(t)] \} e^{-i(\omega-i\eta)t}, \tag{80}\end{aligned}$$

where η is just a regularization exponent at ∞ . Therefore the Kubo formula for the conductivity is given by

$$\sigma_{yx}(t) = \frac{a^2}{\hbar\omega} \int_0^{\infty} dt Tr \rho_0 \{ [j_x(0), j_y(t)] \} e^{-i(\omega-i\eta)t}. \tag{81}$$

This is the Kubo current-current correlation formula for the Hall conductivity.

References

- [1] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, *Quantized Hall Conductance in a Two-Dimensional Periodic Potential*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, (6), 405 (1982).
- [2] P. Streda, *Quantised Hall effect in a two-dimensional periodic potential*, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **15** L1299 (1982).

- [3] R. B. Laughlin, *Quantized Hall conductivity in two dimension*, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5632 (1981)
- [4] B. I. Halperin, *Quantized Hall conductance, current-carrying edge states, and the existence of extended states in a two-dimensional disordered potential*, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 2185 (1982).
- [5] K. von Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, *A New Method for High-Accuracy Determination of the Fine-Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **45**, 494 (1980).
- [6] F.A. Buot, "Zener Effect", in Encyclopedia of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Ed. John Webster, Vol. 23, pp. 669-688 (John Wiley, NY 1999). Wiley Online Library 2000 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [7] G. H. Wannier, "*Dynamics of Band Electrons in Electric and Magnetic Fields*", Rev. Mod. Phys. **34**, 645 (1962).
- [8] Felix A. Buot, "Nonequilibrium Quantum Transport Physics in Nanosystems" (World Scientific, 2009) and references therein.
- [9] F. A. Buot, *Method for Calculating TrH^n in Solid-State Theory*, Phys. Rev. **B10**, 3700 (1974).
- [10] F. A. Buot and K. L. Jensen, "*Lattice Weyl-Wigner Formulation of Exact Many-Body Quantum Transport Theory and Applications to Novel Quantum-Based Devices*", Phys. Rev. B **42**, 9429-9456 (1990).
- [11] F.A. Buot, A.R. Elnar, G. Maglasang, and R.E.S. Otadoy, *On quantum Hall effect, Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition, Dirac magnetic monopole, and Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization*, J. Phys. Commun. **5** 025007 (2021).
- [12] N. B. Schade, D. I. Schuster, and S. R. Nagel, *A nonlinear, geometric Hall effect without magnetic field*, PNAS December 3, 2019 116 (49) 24475-24479; first published November 18, 2019.
- [13] M.A. Zubkov and X. Wu, *Topological invariant in terms of the Green functions for quantum Hall effect in the presence of varying magnetic field*, Annals of Phys., 168170 (2020). arXiv:1901.06661 (2019).
- [14] K. Ikeda, *Quantum Hall effect and Langlands program*, arXiv:1708.00419v2.
- [15] A. Shitade, *Anomalous thermal Hall effect in disordered Weyl ferromagnet*, arXiv:1610.00390 (2017).
- [16] F. A. Buot, K. B. Rivero, R. E. S. Otadoy, *Generalized nonequilibrium quantum transport of spin and pseudospins: Entanglements and topological phases*, Physica B: Condensed Matter **559** 42-61 (2019)

- [17] F. A. Buot, *Discrete Phase Space and Quantum Superfield Theory in Nanosystem Quantum Transport*, J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. **4**, 1037-1082 (2007).
- [18] F. A. Buot, *Discrete Phase-Space Model for Quantum Mechanics*, in M. Kafatos, Ed., *Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory, and Conceptions of the Universe* (Kluwer, NY, 1989, Fundamental Physics Series), pp. 159-162.
- [19] F. A. Buot, *Direct Construction of Path Integrals in the Lattice-Space Multiband Dynamics of Electrons in a Solid*, Phys. Rev. **A33**, 2544-2562(1986).
- [20] F. A. Buot, *General Theory of Quantum Distribution Function Transport Equations: Superfluid Systems and Ultrafast Dynamics of Optically Excited Semiconductors*, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento **20**, No.9, 1-75 (1997).
- [21] Felix A. Buot, "Foundation of computational nanoelectronics", in *Handbook of Theoretical and Computational Nanotechnology*, American Scientific Publishers (2006), Vol. 1. pp. 221-310.
- [22] Felix A. Buot, *Operator Space and Discrete Phase Space Methods in Quantum Transport and Quantum Computing*, J. Comp. Theor. Nanoscience **6**, 1864-1926 (2009).
- [23] K.S. Gibbons, M.J. Hoffman, W.K. Wootters, *Discrete phase space based on finite fields*, Phys. Rev. **A70**, 062101 (2004).
- [24] P. Kasperkovitz and M. . Peev, *Wigner-Weyl Formalisms for Toroidal Geometries*, Annals of Physics **230**, 21-51 (1994).
- [25] M. Ligabo, *Torus as phase space: Weyl quantization, dequantization, and Wigner formalism*, J. Math. Phys. **57**, 082110 (2016).
- [26] I.V.Fialkovsky and M.A.Zubkova, *Precise Wigner-Weyl calculus for lattice models*, Nuclear Phys. B **954**, 114999 (2020).
- [27] Felix A. Buot, *Comments on the Weyl-Wigner calculus for lattice models*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.10351>
- [28] Felix A. Buot, Roland E. S. Otadoy, and Karla B. Rivero, *Magnetic susceptibility of Dirac fermions, BiSb alloys, interacting Bloch fermions, dilute nonmagnetic alloys, and Kondo alloys*, Physica B **503**, 69-97 (2017).
- [29] F.A. Buot, *Formalism of distribution-function method in impurity screening*, Phys. Rev B **13**, 977-989 (1976).
- [30] K.L. Jensen and F.A. Buot, *Numerical simulation of intrinsic bistability and high-frequency current oscillations in resonant tunneling structures*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1078 (1991).

- [31] F. Rossi, A.Di Carlo, and P. Lugli, *Microscopic theory of quantum transport phenomena in mesoscopic systems: A Monte Carlo approach*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3348 (1998).