Neutron resonance spectroscopy (NRS) offers a unique way to measure the bulk temperature inside an optically-opaque sample such as a metal, with temporal resolution suitable to probe inertially-confined states of elevated pressure produced by dynamic loading, but requires an intense neutron pulse and has previously been demonstrated only with a nuclear spallation source of \( o(10^{14}) \) neutrons and shocked states induced by a centimeter-scale projectile (launched by high explosives) persisting for the order of a microsecond \([1, 2]\). We have previously undertaken research to investigate laser-generated neutron pulses \([3]\), assessing whether it would be feasible to use such pulses for single- or few-pulse NRS measurements. We also considered whether such measurements could be optimized for use with laser-induced dynamic loading, which would make the synchronization of the load and probe much more convenient and potentially less expensive than with the projectiles and particle accelerator used previously. Our conclusion, which we did not publish but did discuss with interested parties, was that such measurements were not feasible with the \( o(10^{10}) \) neutrons demonstrated in our experiments, even if the measurement could be applied to a resonance of significantly higher energy than the \( \sim 20 \text{eV} \) resonances used previously \([1]\).

The article by Fernández et al argues that, because of advances made in laser-produced neutron pulses, it should now be possible to obtain a temperature measurement by neutron resonance spectroscopy from a single sub-picosecond laser pulse in the 300 J range producing \( o(10^{11}) \) neutrons. As a point design, the article takes the moderator and detector configuration used in the previous experiments at the LANSCE accelerator, and argues that, because a laser-driven neutron source would not produce the \( \gamma \)-ray flash that accompanies the accelerator-driven spallation event and which can blind the neutron time-of-flight (NTOF) detector, the detector can be moved much closer to the moderator: 3.1 m instead of 23 m. From standpoint of designing new experimental facilities, this would make it possible to make NRS measurements of dynamically-loaded states with a laser source of neutrons costing \( \sim 10 \text{M} \) rather than a particle accelerator source costing \( \sim 1 \text{B} \). Apart from this subtlety, we arrived at much the same result that scientifically useful dynamic temperature measurements could be undertaken research to investigate laser-generated neutrons, assessing whether it would be feasible to use such pulses for single- or few-pulse NRS measurements. We also considered whether such measurements could be applied to a resonance of significantly higher energy than the \( \sim 20 \text{eV} \) resonances used previously \([1]\).

The article gives an estimate of the number of neutrons \( N \) needed to be detected in the region of the resonance for a temperature measurement of a given accuracy, \( 2/\pi^{1/4} \sqrt{N} \). In our own analysis, we deduced that \( N \) should strictly be the number of neutrons that would have been detected had it not been for the effect of the resonance, i.e. the number scattered by the resonance multiplied by the efficiency of the detector, \( \epsilon_d \). Apart from this subtlety, we arrived at much the same result that scientifically useful dynamic temperature measurements could be undertaken research to investigate laser-generated neutrons, assessing whether it would be feasible to use such pulses for single- or few-pulse NRS measurements. We also considered whether such measurements could be applied to a resonance of significantly higher energy than the \( \sim 20 \text{eV} \) resonances used previously \([1]\).
loaded sample

\[ l_s = l_m + (l_d - l_m) \frac{L}{L + L_d}, \]

where \( L \) and \( L_d \) are the moderator to sample and sample to detector separations respectively. For the example configuration, with \( A_m = 56.25 \text{ cm}^2 \), \( A_d = 1393 \text{ cm}^2 \), \( L = 1 \text{ m} \), and \( L_d = 3.1 \text{ m} \), \( A_s \approx 212 \text{ cm}^2 \). In practice, as shown in the figure in the article, the sample would likely be oriented with its normal at an angle \( \theta \) say to the neutron beam, in which case the actual loaded area would be at least a factor 1/\( \cos \theta \) larger. Putting it mildly, this would be an impractical area over which to induce dynamic loading with a laser. Even the limiting case where the sample is butt up flat against the moderator would require at least 56.25 cm\(^2\) to be uniformly driven, which is far in excess of any laser experiment we are aware of.

The cost of a laser capable of such an experiment depends on other aspects, such as the peak pressure of interest, and whether it could be achieved by tamped ablation or whether ablation into vacuum would have to be employed, but it could certainly exceed the cost of the National Ignition Facility (NIF) by a factor of several, and could thus easily exceed $10B. Tamped ablation on timescales \( o(0.1) \mu \text{s} \) has not, to our knowledge, been demonstrated for pressures above 20 GPa \([1]\); free ablation at 100 GPa requires \( \sim 20 \text{ PW m}^{-2} \) (or J/ns mm\(^2\)), i.e. \( \sim 22.5 \text{ MJ} \) for 200 ns over 56.25 cm\(^2\), compared with \( \sim 2 \text{ MJ} \) available at NIF. Less costly loading methods might be employed, whether chemical explosives, projectiles, or electrical pulsed power, but any technique could potentially risk damage to the short-pulse infrastructure or detectors, unless moved further away. The example design thus does not represent an almost-viable concept, and any plausible variant would require even higher drive energy or more neutrons.

The size of the moderator appears to be a fundamental limitation in attempting to scale down NRS experiments. Demonstrated techniques for generating neutrons with lasers (or almost any other technique) involve nuclear reactions with typical energies in the megavolt range or higher. The most efficient moderator is the hydrogen nucleus; hydrogen-rich substances such as polyethylene are cheap choices and there is relatively little to be gained by looking for more exotic substances with a slightly higher density of hydrogen. The mean free path of \( O(100 \text{ keV-MeV}) \) neutrons in polyethylene is \( \sim 0.1 \text{ m} \). To reduce the neutron energy from \( \sim 1 \text{ MeV} \) to \( \sim 20 \text{ eV} \) requires \( \sim 15 \) scatters, or a random walk of \( \sim 4 \) mean free paths. Thus, moderating a high proportion of the neutrons requires a moderator \( o(0.1) \mu \text{m} \) in size, which will emit neutrons over an area \( o(0.01) \text{ m}^2 \) as at LANSCE.

The design strategy used at LANSCE was to reduce the effective size of the neutron source by collimation, sacrificing neutrons. Another strategy is to use a moderator thinner than the mean free path to the first scattering event, for instance selecting neutrons scattered nearly straight back toward the source, which would have the lowest energy. This strategy again throws away most of the neutrons, but gives both a relatively small size and a shorter spread in time over the width of any given resonance. It could possibly be practical with neutron yields \( o(10^{16}) \) or more as have been demonstrated at NIF \([8]\), but may still need to be combined with a drive pulse of longer duration than available there.

An avenue for further refinement is to consider higher energy resonances, requiring less down-scattering of the neutrons and thus a thinner moderator and shorter minimum duration of the load. However, these advantages must be offset against potentially less efficient neutron detectors at higher energies, and larger distances to the detector to achieve a given NTOF spectral precision.

In conclusion, the increase in neutron yields from short-pulse laser sources, demonstrated since our previous study, are not yet adequate for NRS temperature measurements of dynamically-loaded samples. Simply bringing the detector closer to the moderator would not help as dynamic loading then becomes impractical. Orders of magnitude advances in neutron production are needed before short-pulse laser facilities could usefully perform single-shot NRS thermometry. Nuclear spallation at the LANSCE accelerator, and possibly inertial confinement fusion at NIF, are as yet the only neutron sources bright enough to contemplate employing for single-shot thermometry. LANSCE remains the only facility in which such experiments are currently feasible, using \( \sim \) microsecond scale dynamic loading. It is surprising that greater advantage has not been taken of this unique capability.
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