

The Skitovich–Darmois and Heyde theorems for complex and quaternion random variables

G. M. Feldman

We prove the following analogue of the classical Skitovich–Darmois theorem for complex random variables. Let $\alpha = a + ib$ be a nonzero complex number. Then the following statements hold. 1. Let either $b \neq 0$, or $b = 0$ and $a > 0$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables. Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. Then ξ_j are degenerate random variables. 2. Let $b = 0$ and $a < 0$. Then there exist complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that they are not complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense, whereas the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. We also study an analogue of the Heyde theorem for complex random variables.

Key words: Skitovich–Darmois theorem, Heyde theorem, complex Gaussian random variable

Mathematical Subject Classification: 60B15, 62E10

1 Introduction

Let η_1 and η_2 be real random variables. Consider the complex random variable $\xi = \eta_1 + i\eta_2$. The random vector (η_1, η_2) is assigned to it, and the distribution μ of the complex random variable ξ is the distribution of the random vector (η_1, η_2) . Let $\alpha = a + ib$ be a complex number. Then the random vector $(a\eta_1 - b\eta_2, b\eta_1 + a\eta_2)$ is assigned to the complex random variable $\alpha\xi$. Associate with the complex number α the matrix

$$\alpha \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

We denote by α both the complex number $a + ib$ and the corresponding matrix of the form (1). Let $\xi = \eta_1 + i\eta_2$ be a complex random variable with distribution μ . Following [15], we say that ξ is a complex Gaussian random variable in the wide sense if the distribution of the random vector (η_1, η_2) is a Gaussian distribution in \mathbb{R}^2 . In this case the characteristic function of the distribution μ is of the form

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \exp\{i\langle x, y \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product, and A is a symmetric positive semidefinite (2×2) -matrix. If in (2) A is a scalar matrix, then we say that the complex random variable ξ is a complex Gaussian random variable in the narrow sense.

We note, on the one hand, that the following result holds. Let ξ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $n \geq 2$ be independent complex random variables, α_j, β_j be nonzero complex numbers. Then the independence of the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n\xi_n$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \dots + \beta_n\xi_n$ implies that ξ_j are complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense. This result is an analogue of the well-known Skitovich–Darmois theorem ([8, §3.1]) for complex Gaussian random variables. It follows directly from a weak variant of the Ghurye–Olkin theorem (see Lemma 2.2 below), where the Gaussian distribution in the space \mathbb{R}^m is characterized by the independence of two linear forms of n independent random vectors. Coefficients of the linear forms are non-singular matrices. This fact has been noticed, e.g. in [1]. On the other hand, the following theorem, where the complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense are characterized by the independence of two linear forms, has been proved in [14].

Theorem A. *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ be nonzero complex numbers such that either $\bar{\alpha}_1 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \bar{\beta}_2$ or $\sqrt{-\frac{\alpha_1 \beta_1}{\alpha_2 \beta_2}} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \right)$ is not a real number. If the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \beta_2 \xi_2$ are independent, then ξ_1 and ξ_2 are complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense.*

The proof of Theorem A, given in [14], is based on the Polya characterization theorem for complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense (see [11]). We prove in this note the following statement.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha = a + ib$ be a nonzero complex number. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *Assume that either $b \neq 0$ or $b = 0$ and $a > 0$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables. Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ are independent. Then ξ_j are degenerate random variables.*

2. *Assume that $b = 0$ and $a < 0$. Then there exist complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that they are not complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense, whereas the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ are independent.*

Theorem 1.1 means that excluding the degenerate case we can not characterize complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense by the independence of two linear forms of two independent complex random variables.

Note that on the one hand, a large number of studies has been devoted to characterization problems of mathematical statistics on different classes of locally compact Abelian groups (see e.g. [2–6, 9, 10]). On the other hand, characterization problems of mathematical statistic for complex and quaternion random variables have hardly been studied.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1 we need two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\xi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, be independent complex random variables with distributions μ_j . Let α_j, β_j be nonzero complex numbers. The linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \xi_n$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \beta_n \xi_n$ are independent if and only if the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy the equation*

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \hat{\mu}_j(\bar{\alpha}_j u + \bar{\beta}_j v) = \prod_{j=1}^n \hat{\mu}_j(\bar{\alpha}_j u) \prod_{j=1}^n \hat{\mu}_j(\bar{\beta}_j v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (3)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_j$ and $\bar{\beta}_j$ are matrices of the form (1), corresponding to the complex numbers $\bar{\alpha}_j$ and $\bar{\beta}_j$.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is standard. Lemma 2.1 is a particular case of a general statement that concerns to arbitrary locally compact Abelian groups (see [4, Lemma 10.1]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\xi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, be independent random vectors in the space \mathbb{R}^m . Let β_j be non-singular $(m \times m)$ -matrices satisfying the conditions*

$$\beta_i - \beta_j \text{ is a non-singular matrix for all } i \neq j. \quad (4)$$

Then the independence of the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \beta_n \xi_n$ implies that all random vectors ξ_j are Gaussian.

Lemma 2.2 is a weak variant of the Ghurye–Olkin theorem. In fact the Ghurye–Olkin theorem states that Lemma 2.2 is valid without restriction (4). We note that the main part of the proof of the Ghurye–Olkin theorem is the passage from the case when (4) is valid to the general case. As to Lemma 2.2, its proof is exactly as the proof of the Skitovich–Darmois theorem by the finite difference method (see e.g. [8, §3.2]).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. 1. Denote by μ_j the distribution of the complex random variable ξ_j . By Lemma 2.1, the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (3) which takes the form

$$\hat{\mu}_1(u+v)\hat{\mu}_2(u+\bar{\alpha}v) = \hat{\mu}_1(u)\hat{\mu}_2(u)\hat{\mu}_1(v)\hat{\mu}_2(\bar{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (5)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is a matrix of the form (1), corresponding to the complex number $\bar{\alpha}$. It is obvious that if $\alpha = 1$, then μ_j are degenerate distributions. So, assume that $\alpha \neq 1$, i.e. $1 - \alpha \neq 0$. Then it follows from (1) that condition (4) holds. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, ξ_j are complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense. Hence, the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ are of the form

$$\hat{\mu}_1(y) = \exp\{i\langle x_1, y \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle\}, \quad \hat{\mu}_2(y) = \exp\{i\langle x_2, y \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (6)$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij})$ are symmetric positive semidefinite (2×2) -matrices. Substituting (6) into (5) we get that the equality

$$A + B\bar{\alpha} = 0 \quad (7)$$

is valid. Since the matrices A and B are symmetric, it follows from (7) that

$$b_{11}b + b_{12}a = b_{12}a - b_{22}b.$$

This implies that

$$(b_{11} + b_{22})b = 0. \quad (8)$$

Since B is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix, we have

$$b_{11} \geq 0, \quad b_{22} \geq 0, \quad b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}^2 \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Assume that $b \neq 0$. Then it follows from (8) and (9) that $b_{11} = b_{22} = b_{12} = 0$, i.e. $B = 0$. Then (7) implies that $A = 0$. Thus we proved that μ_j are degenerate distributions.

Assume that $b = 0$ and $a > 0$. It follows from (7) that the equality $A + aB = 0$ is valid. Since A and B are symmetric positive semidefinite matrices, and $a > 0$, this implies that $A = B = 0$. Thus, we proved that in this case μ_j are also degenerate distributions.

2. Assume that $b = 0$ and $a < 0$. Let B be an arbitrary nonscalar symmetric positive semidefinite (2×2) -matrix. Put $A = -aB$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables with distributions μ_1 and μ_2 , having the characteristic functions of the form

$$\hat{\mu}_1(y) = \exp\{-\langle Ay, y \rangle\}, \quad \hat{\mu}_2(y) = \exp\{-\langle By, y \rangle\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (10)$$

Then ξ_1 and ξ_2 are complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense such that they are not complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense. Since (7) is fulfilled, it is easy to see that the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (5). By Lemma 2.1, the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. \square

Remark 2.1. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables with distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ be nonzero complex numbers. Consider the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \beta_2 \xi_2$ and assume that L_1 and L_2 are independent. We want to describe the possible distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Introduce into consideration new independent complex random variables $\xi'_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_1$ and $\xi'_2 = \alpha_2 \xi_2$ and note that the linear forms L_1 and L_2 are independent if and only if the linear forms L_1 and cL_2 are independent for any nonzero complex c . From this it follows that the description of possible distributions μ_1 and μ_2 is reduced to the case when the linear forms L_1 and L_2 are of the form $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$, where $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2^{-1} \beta_1^{-1} \beta_2$, i.e. to Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2.2. As has been noted above Theorem 1.1 implies that excluding the degenerate case we can not characterize complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense by the independence of two linear forms L_1 and L_2 of two independent complex random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 . The situation will not change if we consider n independent complex random variables ξ_j . The following proposition shows that do not exist coefficients α_j, β_j such that the independence of the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \xi_n$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \beta_n \xi_n$ implies that ξ_j are complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense, but need not be degenerate.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\xi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, be independent nondegenerate complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense. Let β_j be nonzero complex numbers. Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \beta_n \xi_n$ are independent. Then there exist independent complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense η_j such that they are not complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense, whereas the linear forms $L'_1 = \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n$ and $L'_2 = \beta_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \beta_n \eta_n$ are also independent.*

Proof. Denote by μ_j the distribution of the complex Gaussian random variables ξ_j . By the condition, the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ are of the form

$$\hat{\mu}_j(y) = \exp\{i\langle x_j, y \rangle - \sigma_j \langle y, y \rangle\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (11)$$

where $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^2, \sigma_j > 0$. By Lemma 2.1, the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (3). Substituting (11) into (3), we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j \bar{\beta}_j = 0, \quad (12)$$

where $\bar{\beta}_j$ are matrices of the form (1) corresponding to the complex numbers $\bar{\beta}_j$. Let A be an arbitrary nonscalar symmetric positive semidefinite (2×2) -matrix. Put $A_j = \sigma_j A$. Let η_j be independent complex random variables with distributions ν_j such that their characteristic functions are of the form

$$\hat{\nu}_j(y) = \exp\{-\langle A_j y, y \rangle\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (13)$$

Then η_j are complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense such that they are not complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense. Substitute (13) into (3). It is clear that the characteristic functions $\hat{\nu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (3) if and only if the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \bar{\beta}_j = 0 \quad (14)$$

holds. It is obvious that (14) follows from (12). By Lemma 2.1, the linear forms $L'_1 = \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n$ and $L'_2 = \beta_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \beta_n \eta_n$ are independent. \square

3 The Heyde theorem for the complex random variables

The statement closely connected with the Skitovich–Darmois theorem was proved by Heyde ([7], see also [8, §13.4.1]). According to Heyde’s theorem the Gaussian distribution on the real line is characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of one linear form of n independent random variables given another. For $n = 2$, this theorem can be formulated as follows.

Theorem B. *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables. Let a be a nonzero real number, $a \neq -1$. If the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + a\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric, then the random variables ξ_j are Gaussian.*

Theorem 1.1 allows us to prove an analogue of Theorem B for complex random variables.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha = a + ib$ be a nonzero complex number, $\alpha \neq -1$. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *Assume that either $b \neq 0$ or $b = 0$ and $a > 0$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables. Assume that the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric. Then ξ_j are degenerate random variables.*
2. *Assume that $b = 0$ and $a < 0$. Then there exist complex Gaussian random variables in the wide sense ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that they are not complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense, whereas the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric.*

To prove Theorem 3.1 we need two lemmas. The following lemma was proved in [9] for random variables with values in a locally compact Abelian group. We formulate it for complex random variables.

Lemma 3.1. *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables. Let α be a nonzero complex number. If the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric, then the linear forms $M_1 = (I + \alpha)\xi_1 + 2\alpha\xi_2$ and $M_2 = 2\xi_1 + (I + \alpha)\xi_2$ are independent.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables with distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Let α be a nonzero complex number. The conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric if and only if the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy the equation*

$$\hat{\mu}_1(u + v)\hat{\mu}_2(u + \bar{\alpha}v) = \hat{\mu}_1(u - v)\hat{\mu}_2(u - \bar{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is a matrix of the form (1) corresponding to the complex number $\bar{\alpha}$.

Lemma 3.2 is a particular case of a general statement that concerns to locally compact Abelian groups (see [4, Lemma 16.1]).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. 1. By Lemma 3.1, the linear forms $M_1 = (1 + \alpha)\xi_1 + 2\alpha\xi_2$ and $M_2 = 2\xi_1 + (1 + \alpha)\xi_2$ are independent. Putting $\xi'_1 = (1 + \alpha)\xi_1$, $\xi'_2 = 2\alpha\xi_2$, we obtain that the linear forms $N_1 = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2$ and $N_2 = \frac{2}{1+\alpha}\xi'_1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}\xi'_2$ are independent. Hence, the linear forms $P_1 = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2$ and $P_2 = \xi'_1 + \beta\xi'_2$, where $\beta = \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{4\alpha}$, are also independent. We have

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(a + 2 + \frac{a}{|\alpha|^2} \right) + ib \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha|^2} \right) \right) = p + iq. \quad (16)$$

Taking into account (16) it is easy to verify that the following statements are valid.

- A. If $b \neq 0$ and $|\alpha| \neq 1$, then $q \neq 0$.
- B. If $|\alpha| = 1$, then $q = 0$ and $p > 0$.
- C. If $b = 0$, then $q = 0$ and p and a have the same signs.

Assume that either $b \neq 0$, or $b = 0$ and $a > 0$. Taking into account statements A–C, apply Theorem 1.1 to the independent random variables ξ'_1 and ξ'_2 and to the linear forms P_1 and P_2 . We obtain that ξ'_j are degenerate random variables. Then, obviously, ξ_j are also degenerate random variables.

2. Assume that $b = 0$ and $a < 0$. It is easy to verify that condition (7) is necessary and sufficient in order that characteristic functions of the form (10) satisfy equation (15). Reasoning as in the proof of case 2 in Theorem 1.1, and applying Lemma 3.2, instead of Lemma 2.1, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Note that Theorem 3.1 implies that excluding the degenerate case we can not characterize complex Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of one linear form of two independent random variables given another.

Remark 3.1. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent complex random variables with distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ be nonzero complex numbers. Consider the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \beta_2 \xi_2$ and assume that the conditional distribution of the linear form L_2 given L_1 is symmetric. It is easy to see that the description of possible distributions μ_1 and μ_2 is reduced to the case when the linear forms L_1 and L_2 are of the form $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$, where $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2^{-1} \beta_1^{-1} \beta_2$, i.e. to Theorem 3.1.

4 Characterization theorems for quaternion random variables

Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4$ be real random variables. Consider the quaternion random variable $\xi = \eta_1 + i\eta_2 + j\eta_3 + k\eta_4$. The random vector $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4)$ is assigned to it, and the distribution μ of the quaternion random variable ξ is the distribution of the random vector $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4)$. Let $\alpha = a + ib + jc + kd$ be a quaternion. Then the random vector $(a\eta_1 - b\eta_2 - c\eta_3 - d\eta_4, b\eta_1 + a\eta_2 - d\eta_3 + c\eta_4, c\eta_1 + d\eta_2 + a\eta_3 - b\eta_4, d\eta_1 - c\eta_2 + b\eta_3 + a\eta_4)$ is assigned to the quaternion random variable $\alpha\xi$. Associate with the quaternion α the matrix

$$\alpha \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

We denote by α both the quaternion $a + ib + jc + kd$ and the corresponding matrix of the form (17). The quaternion Gaussian random variables in the wide sense and in the narrow sense are defined in the same way as for complex Gaussian random variables (see [12, 13]). A theorem similar to Theorem A was proved in [14] for quaternion random variables. We shall prove the following statement.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha = a + ib + jc + kd$ be a nonzero quaternion. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *Assume that either the imaginary part of α is not equal to zero or the imaginary part of α is equal to zero and $a > 0$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent quaternion random variables. Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. Then ξ_j are degenerate random variables.*
2. *Assume that the imaginary part of α is equal to zero and $a > 0$. Then there exist quaternion Gaussian random variables in the wide sense ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that they are not quaternion Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense, whereas the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent.*

Proof. We follow the scheme of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote by μ_j the distribution of the quaternion random variable ξ_j . A lemma similar to Lemma 2.1 is valid for quaternion random variables. It implies that the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$\hat{\mu}_1(u + v)\hat{\mu}_2(u + \bar{\alpha}v) = \hat{\mu}_1(u)\hat{\mu}_2(u)\hat{\mu}_1(v)\hat{\mu}_2(\bar{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}^4, \quad (18)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is a matrix of the form (17), corresponding to the quaternion $\bar{\alpha}$. It is obvious that if $\alpha = 1$, then μ_j are degenerate distributions. So, assume that $\alpha \neq 1$, i.e. $1 - \alpha \neq 0$. Then it follows from (17) that condition (4) holds. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, ξ_j are quaternion Gaussian random variables in the wide sense. Hence, the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ are of the form

$$\hat{\mu}_1(y) = \exp\{i\langle x_1, y \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle\}, \quad \hat{\mu}_2(y) = \exp\{i\langle x_2, y \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^4, \quad (19)$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij})$ are symmetric positive semidefinite (4×4) -matrices. Substituting (19) into (18), we get that equality (7) holds. Since the matrices A and B are symmetric, (7) implies that the numbers b_{ij} , a, b, c and d satisfy a system of equations, and we find from it

$$\begin{cases} b(b_{11} + b_{22} + b_{33} + b_{44}) = 0 \\ c(b_{11} + b_{22} + b_{33} + b_{44}) = 0 \\ d(b_{11} + b_{22} + b_{33} + b_{44}) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

It follows from (20) that if the imaginary part of the quaternion α is not equal to zero, then $b_{11} = b_{22} = b_{33} = b_{44} = 0$. Since B is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix, this implies that $B = 0$, and in view of (7), $A = 0$. It means that ξ_j are degenerate random variables. In the case if the imaginary part of the quaternion α is equal to zero, we reason exactly as in the consideration of the corresponding case in Theorem 1.1. \square

We note that Remarks 2.1 and 2.2, and Proposition 2.1 are also valid for quaternion random variables. The proof of Proposition 2.1 in the quaternion case is the same as in the complex one.

Theorem 4.1 allows us also to prove an analogue of Theorem B for quaternion random variables.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\alpha = a + ib + jc + kd$ be a nonzero quaternion, $\alpha \neq -1$. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *Assume that either the imaginary part of α is not equal to zero or the imaginary part of α is equal to zero and $a > 0$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent quaternion random variables. Assume that the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric. Then ξ_j are degenerate random variables.*

2. *Assume that the imaginary part of α is equal to zero and $a < 0$. Then there exist quaternion Gaussian random variables in the wide sense ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that they are not quaternion Gaussian random variables in the narrow sense, whereas the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric.*

Proof. We reason as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and retain the same notation. In so doing, the quaternion $\beta = \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{4\alpha}$ is of the form

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(a + 2 + \frac{a}{|\alpha|^2} \right) + (ib + jc + kd) \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha|^2} \right) \right),$$

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 are also valid for quaternion random variables, and we use Theorem 4.1 instead of Theorem 1.1. \square

References

- [1] J. Eriksson and V. Koivunen, Complex random vectors and ICA models: identifiability, uniqueness, and separability, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **52** (2006), 1017–1029.
- [2] G. M. Feldman, Characterization of the Gaussian distribution on groups by the independence of linear statistics, *Siberian Math. J.* **31** (1990), 336–345.
- [3] G.M. Feldman, The Skitovich-Darmois theorem for discrete periodic Abelian groups, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **42** (1997), 611–617.
- [4] G.M. Feldman, Functional equations and characterization problems on locally compact Abelian groups, *EMS Tracts in Mathematics* **5**, European Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2008.
- [5] G.M. Feldman, The Heyde theorem for locally compact Abelian groups, *J. of Funct. Analysis* **258** (2010), 3977–3987.
- [6] G.M. Feldman and P. Graczyk, The Skitovich-Darmois theorem for locally compact Abelian groups, *J. of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **88** (2010), 339–352.
- [7] C.C. Heyde, Characterization of the normal law by the symmetry of a certain conditional distribution, *Sankhya* **32**, Ser. A (1970), 115–118.
- [8] A.M. Kagan, Yu.V. Linnik, and C.R. Rao, Characterization problems in mathematical statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York, London – Sydney, 1973.
- [9] M.V. Myronyuk, The Heyde theorem on α -adic solenoids, *Colloquium Mathematicum* **132** (2013), 195–210.
- [10] M.V. Myronyuk and G.M. Feldman, On a characterization theorem on finite abelian groups, *Siberian Math. J.*, **46** (2005), 315–324.
- [11] N.N. Vakhania, Polya’s characterization theorem for complex random variables, *J. Complexity* **13** (1997), 480–488.
- [12] N.N. Vakhania, Random vectors with values in quaternion Hilbert spaces, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **43** (1999), 99–115.
- [13] N.N. Vakhania and G.Z. Chelidze, Quaternion Gaussian random variables, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **54** (2010), 363–369.
- [14] N. Vakhania and G. Chelidze, Skitovich-Darmois theorem for complex and quaternion cases, *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **160** (2012), 165–169.
- [15] N.N. Vakhania and N.P. Kandelaki, Random vectors with values in complex Hilbert spaces, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **41** (1996), 116–131.

Gennadiy Feldman

B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering
of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

Nauky Ave. 47,

Kharkiv, 103,

61103, Ukraine

e-mail: feldman@ilt.kharkov.ua