

Bifurcation structure of a swept source laser

A. V. Kovalev,^{1,*} P. S. Dmitriev,¹ A. G. Vladimirov,² A. Pimenov,² G. Huyet,³ and E. A. Viktorov¹

¹*ITMO University, Saint Petersburg, Russia*

²*Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Mohrenstrasse 39, D-10117 Berlin, Germany*

³*Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, INPHYNI, France*

(Dated: February 6, 2022)

We numerically analyze a delay differential equation model of a short-cavity semiconductor laser with an intracavity frequency swept filter and reveal a complex bifurcation structure responsible for the asymmetry of the output characteristics of this laser. We show that depending on the direction of the frequency sweep of a narrowband filter, there exist two bursting cycles determined by different parts of a continuous-wave solutions branch.

A. V. Kovalev, P. S. Dmitriev, A. G. Vladimirov, A. Pimenov, G. Huyet, and E. A. Viktorov, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 012212 (2020). © 2020 American Physical Society

I. INTRODUCTION

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) has enabled the fast and reliable visualization of various tissues for medical assessment [1]. Swept-Source OCT is a technology that relies on coherent lasers that can scan hundreds of nanometers in a few microseconds to enable real time videos and, as a result, has found a wide range of medical applications in areas such as ophthalmology or cardiology [2]. To obtain such performance, researchers have developed novel frequency swept light sources, such as Fourier Domain Mode-Locked Lasers (FDML) [3], short external cavity lasers [4–7], Vertical Cavity Surface Emitting Lasers (VCSELs) with micro-electromechanical system (MEMS) driven filters [8–11], multi-section semiconductor lasers [12], and photonic integrated circuit devices [13]. The underlying operation principle of these devices relies on laser cavities incorporating a broad band gain medium and a fast tuning mechanism. Semiconductor quantum well active medium can be engineered to deliver broadband gain amplification, however, the development of fast tuning mechanism is a challenge as it may degrade the laser emission. FDML lasers have a kilometer long ring cavity containing an intracavity filter that is driven in resonance with the round trip time. At the other extreme, VCSELs have a cavity length of a single optical wavelength and their tunability is achieved by a slight modification of the cavity length.

Nonlinear dynamical regimes in FDML devices can be theoretically modeled by partial differential equations governing the spatio-temporal evolution of the complex envelope of the electric field [14, 15]. Another powerful method to describe these lasers is based on the use of delay differential equations (DDEs) [16, 17]. In particular, the experimentally observed asymmetry in the output dynamics between the filter sweeping from shorter to longer wavelengths and the filter sweeping from longer to shorter wavelengths has been successfully explained using the DDE FDML model [16]. It was shown that instabil-

ities observed in FDML lasers can be related to short- and long-wavelength modulation instabilities commonly found in nonlinear spatially-distributed systems. The same model was able to describe the appearance of the so-called “sliding frequency mode-locking” in short cavity frequency swept lasers [18]. Shorter cavity length devices are appealing as comparably inexpensive and compact swept OCT sources and have recently attracted significant attention [7, 11–13]. These lasers, however, demonstrate wide range of dynamical regimes during the filter sweeping [18] detrimental to the performance of OCT sources, which were observed only in numerical simulations. Therefore, further analysis and understanding of the dynamical properties of such devices is important for the improvement of their characteristics necessary for the future applications.

Unlike Ref. [16], where the asymmetry of the FDML laser was studied in the long cavity limit, in this Letter we consider the case when the cavity length is relatively small and the free spectral range is larger than the bandwidth of the tunable filter. We show that in this case the experimentally observed asymmetry of the laser output with respect to sweep direction is related to the presence of a fold and Andronov-Hopf bifurcations of a very asymmetric branch of continuous wave (CW) regimes. Furthermore, we present a detailed bifurcation analysis of the model equations, discuss coexisting dynamical regimes such as longitudinal mode hopping, quasiperiodic pulsations and chaos, and compare the results with those obtained earlier [16] for a long cavity laser.

II. THE MODEL

We consider a DDE model [16] for the normalized complex amplitude of the electrical field \tilde{E} and the time-dependent dimensionless cumulative saturable gain G :

$$\gamma^{-1} \frac{d\tilde{E}}{dt} + (1 + i\Delta) \tilde{E} = \sqrt{\kappa} e^{\frac{1-i\alpha}{2} G} \tilde{E}(t-1), \quad (1)$$

$$\eta^{-1} \frac{dG}{dt} = J - G - (e^G - 1) \left| \tilde{E}(t-1) \right|^2, \quad (2)$$

* avkovalev@niuitmo.ru

where $t \equiv t'/T$, t' is time, and T is equal to the cold cavity round trip time. The attenuation factor κ describes the total non-resonant linear intensity losses per cavity round trip, α is the linewidth enhancement factor in the gain, and γ is the bandwidth of the intracavity spectral filtering multiplied by the round trip time T . $\gamma < 1$ ($\gg 1$) corresponds to the short (long) cavity. J is the pump parameter, and $\eta = \mathcal{O}(1)$ is the ratio of the cold cavity round trip time and the carrier density relaxation time. The time-dependent parameter $\Delta = \Delta(t)$ defines the detuning between the central frequency of the narrowband tunable filter and the reference frequency, which coincides with the frequency of one of the laser modes. After the coordinate change $\tilde{E} = E e^{-i \int_0^t \Delta(x) dx}$, Eqs. (1-2) are transformed into

$$\gamma^{-1} \frac{dE}{dt} + E = \sqrt{\kappa} e^{\frac{1-i\alpha}{2} G - i\phi(t)} E(t-1), \quad (3)$$

$$\eta^{-1} \frac{dG}{dt} = J - G - (e^G - 1) |E(t-1)|^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\phi(t) = -i \int_{t-1}^t \Delta(x) dx$. Note that Eqs. (3-4) are invariant with respect to the shifts $\phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\pi n$, where $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ is an integer number. Therefore, all bifurcation diagrams studied here are 2π -periodic on ϕ .

We first consider Eqs. (3-4) for the static $\phi(t) = \phi_0$ and define the CW cavity mode solution as $E = \sqrt{I_s} e^{i\omega t}$ with time independent intensity I_s , and the constant gain $G = g$. Different CW solutions correspond to different longitudinal modes of the laser. The relation between the field intensity I_s and the value of the saturable gain g is given by

$$I_s = \frac{J - g}{e^g - 1}. \quad (5)$$

By solving this equation with respect to the gain, $g = g(I_s)$, we obtain two values of the modal frequency corresponding to a given value of the intensity I_s :

$$\omega = \pm \gamma \left[\kappa e^{g(I_s)} - 1 \right]. \quad (6)$$

Finally, substituting Eq. (6) into the transcendental equation

$$\phi_0 = -\omega - \frac{\alpha g(I_s)}{2} - \arctan\left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma}\right) + 2\pi n, \quad (7)$$

with $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, we get an implicit equation relating the intensity I_s and the parameter ϕ_0 . The branch of CW solutions defined by Eqs. (5-7) with $n = 0$ is shown in Fig. 1 for the case of long cavity (a) and short cavity (b) laser. All other CW branches can be obtained by a shift $\phi_0 \rightarrow \phi_0 + 2\pi n$ with integer n . It is seen that in a long cavity laser studied in [16], the CW branch is almost symmetric with respect to the reflection $\phi_0 \rightarrow -\phi_0$.

In a short cavity laser, the CW branch can be very asymmetric with a foldover, which is generally characteristic for nonlinear resonators [19, 20]. The fold bifurcation points in the Fig. 1(b), corresponding to the extrema of the function $\phi_0(\omega)$ defined by (7), can be found




FIG. 1. Branch of CW solutions in a long cavity ((a), $\gamma = 100$) and short cavity ((b), $\gamma = 0.25$) laser. Other parameter values are $J = 10$, $\kappa = 0.35$, and $\alpha = 5$.

by solving $d\phi_0/d\omega = 0$ and read:

$$\omega_{LP} = (-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\gamma(\gamma + 1)})/2. \quad (8)$$

Inequality $\alpha^2 > 4\gamma(1 + \gamma)$ defines the condition for appearance of the foldover. One of the two fold points defined by (8) corresponds to the small intensity and another to the large intensity, as can be seen in the Fig. 1(b). The latter fold bifurcation is responsible for the stability loss of a CW regime in a laser with adiabatically slowly increasing ϕ_0 .

III. SWEEPING DYNAMICS

Let us now explore the effect of a slowly varying $\phi(t) = \pm \varepsilon t$, $\varepsilon \ll 1$ that corresponds to the frequency sweep in opposite directions with a sweeping rate which is much slower than one wavelength per round trip. Time trace in Fig. 2 results from direct numerical integration of Eqs. (3-4), and demonstrates well known asymmetry of the dynamical response to the frequency sweep. The bifurcation diagram of the steady and periodic solutions in




FIG. 2. Numerical simulation of the model equations (3-4) displaying mode-hopping events in the positive sweep direction. The frequency sweeping in the negative direction exhibits chaotic dynamics. The zero point on the x-axis is the turning point of the sweep and the sweeping function $\phi(t)$ is shown (in red) above the intensity. The parameters are: $\eta = 1$, $\gamma = 0.25$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$. The other parameter values are the same as in Fig. 1.




FIG. 3. The power-dropout/power-recovery large amplitude cycle (dark grey) in the plane (I, ϕ_0) is shown together with the bifurcation diagram of the cavity modes $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ in the interval $0 < \phi_0 < 4\pi$. Green (red) lines correspond to the stable (unstable) steady state solutions. Blue (magenta) lines correspond to the stable (unstable) periodic solutions. Circles and triangles mark an Andronov-Hopf bifurcation point and a fold bifurcation, respectively. The figure shows that the power-dropout/power-recovery cycle follows a stable branch of periodic solutions until it reaches a supercritical Andronov-Hopf bifurcation point H , then follows the stable steady state branch until it reaches a fold bifurcation point LP . The black arrow indicates the direction of sweep. The values of the fixed parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 3 has been computed using a numerical continuation technique [21] and displays the cavity mode branches for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ in the range $0 < \phi_0 < 4\pi$. Because of the periodicity in ϕ_0 , the cavity mode branch for $n = 2$ is the same as the one for $n = 1$ but shifted by 2π along the ϕ_0 -axis. The low amplitude tail of the branch for $n = 2$

overlaps with the large amplitude part of the branch for $n = 1$. This overlap is important for understanding of two types of the bursting dynamics which appear with frequency sweeping in opposite directions. Each branch contains two important bifurcations marked in Fig. 3 as H and LP , and a stable steady state laser operation is only possible in the interval between these points. LP corresponds to a fold bifurcation from a cavity mode that is responsible for the mode hopping sequence as we progressively increase ϕ_0 . The mode hopping sequence forms large amplitude bursts which are similar to neuromorphic design of square-wave bursting oscillations [22].

Formation of the large amplitude burst is detailed in Fig. 3 where the bifurcation diagram of the steady state and periodic solutions is shown together with the long time solution of Eqs. (3-4) (in dark grey) for the positive frequency sweep direction relative to the filter profile $\phi(t) = \varepsilon t$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$. The single mode steady state changes stability at the point H with the increase of ϕ_0 , and the branch of stable periodic solutions emerges from the supercritical Andronov-Hopf bifurcation point at the relaxation oscillation frequency. LP marks a limit point of steady states at which the power dropout happens. The laser follows the steady state branch $n = 1$ as ϕ_0 increases until it passes LP , and then drops down to sustained oscillations of the lower branch of periodic solutions at $n = 2$, and returns to the steady state branch passing the Andronov-Hopf bifurcation H . As is visible in Fig. 3, the Andronov-Hopf bifurcation transition to steady state can be delayed in the absence of noise [23].

Let us now follow a low amplitude bursting cycle which appears at the branch $n = 2$ after a supercritical Andronov-Hopf bifurcation H for the negative sweep direction $\phi(t) = -\varepsilon t$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$. It is shown in dark grey in Fig. 4. After the transition to the stable periodic oscillations the laser follows the branch $n = 2$ of limit-cycle oscillations as ϕ_0 decreases until it reaches LP_{LC} . The laser then jumps up to the upper branch $n = 1$, starting a new bursting cycle. The jump up may happen slightly before LP_{LC} . The folding point of the Andronov-Hopf bifurcation branch, which we denote by LP_{LC} in Fig. 4(b), is important for the formation of the low amplitude bursting. This point corresponds to a saddle-node bifurcation of limit cycles below which neither stable nor unstable periodic oscillations are possible. Different dynamics between H and LP_{LC} can be seen in Fig. 5 which shows the extrema of the oscillations as we progressively decrease ϕ_0 from H . After a secondary Hopf bifurcation H_{LC} , quasiperiodicity and a weak chaos, the laser jumps up to the higher branch. The response of the laser to the slowly sweeping narrow band filtering thus takes the form of low amplitude bursts of spiking.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have considered a delay differential equation model for a laser with an intracavity swept fil-




FIG. 4. Dynamical evolution of the intensity with sweeping frequency in the positive direction (dark gray) in the plane (I, ϕ_0) is shown together with the bifurcation diagram in linear (a) and logarithmic scales (b). The figure shows that the branch of periodic solutions (dark grey) is emerging from supercritical Andronov-Hopf bifurcation point H , follows a stable branch of periodic solutions, undergoes a secondary Hopf bifurcation H_{LC} , and develops into chaos with various stability changes until it reaches a limit-point of limit-cycles LP_{LC} from where it jumps back to the vicinity of the Andronov-Hopf bifurcation. The black arrow indicates the direction of sweep. LP^* is the CW solution fold bifurcation point at low intensity value. The coloring, the marks and the fixed parameters are the same as in Fig. 3.

ter, and theoretically analyzed the bifurcation structure of a short cavity swept source. Unlike the long cavity devices, the continuous wave solution of the model

equations is strongly asymmetric with a foldover similar to nonlinear resonance curve with hysteresis [19, 20]. The foldover allows coexistence of single mode hopping what changes the character of the mode hopping compared to long cavity devices. Additionally, the foldover defines two bursting phenomena which form sufficiently different laser outputs depending on the sweep direction.




FIG. 5. Time-average extrema of $I(t)$ obtained numerically for the negative direction of the frequency sweep for low amplitude bursting. The black arrow indicates the direction of sweep. The fixed parameters and the marks are the same as in Fig. 4.

Such a behavior is similar to that observed in other swept sources; for this reason the increasing wavelength sweep will lead to more coherent output but with mode hops, while the decreasing wavelength sweep will lead to a continuous sweep with a lower coherence length as for other swept sources [16, 18].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.V.K., P.S.D., and E.A.V. acknowledge the Government of the Russian Federation (Grant 08-08). A.V.K., P.S.D., G.H., and E.A.V. acknowledge the support of H2020-MSCA-RISE-2018 HALT. A.V.K. and E.A.V. work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (Passport No. 2019-1442). A.P. and A.G.V. acknowledge the support by the subproject B5 of the DFG Collaborative Research Center SFB 787. A.P. is funded by the DFG under Germanys Excellence StrategyThe Berlin Mathematics Research Center MATH+ (EXC-2046/1, project ID: 390685689).

[1] W. Drexler and J. G. Fujimoto, eds., *Optical Coherence Tomography* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008).
 [2] S. R. Chinn, E. A. Swanson, and J. G. Fujimoto, *Optics Letters* **22**, 340 (1997).

[3] D. C. Adler, W. Wieser, F. Trepanier, J. M. Schmitt, and R. A. Huber, *Optics Express* **19**, 20930 (2011).
 [4] W. Atia, M. Kuznetsov, and D. Flanders, "Linearized swept laser source for optical coherence analysis system,"

- (2009), uS Patent App. 12/027,710.
- [5] B. Johnson and D. Flanders, “Laser swept source with controlled mode locking for oct medical imaging,” (2013), eP Patent App. EP20,110,808,812.
 - [6] B. Johnson, W. Atia, M. Kuznetsov, B. D. Goldberg, P. Whitney, and D. C. Flanders, *Biomedical Optics Express* **8**, 1045 (2017).
 - [7] B. Johnson, W. Atia, M. Kuznetsov, B. D. Goldberg, P. Whitney, and D. C. Flanders, *Optics Express* **26**, 34909 (2018).
 - [8] V. Jayaraman, G. Cole, M. Robertson, A. Uddin, and A. Cable, *Electronics Letters* **48**, 867 (2012).
 - [9] T. P. Butler, S. Slepneva, P. M. McNamara, K. Neuhaus, D. Goulding, M. Leahy, and G. Huyet, *IEEE Photonics Journal* **9**, 1 (2017).
 - [10] S. Moon and E. S. Choi, *Biomedical Optics Express* **8**, 1110 (2017).
 - [11] T. P. Butler, D. Goulding, S. Slepneva, B. O’Shaughnessy, S. P. Hegarty, G. Huyet, and B. Kelleher, *Optics Express* **27**, 7307 (2019).
 - [12] M. Bonesi, M. P. Minneman, J. Ensher, B. Zabihian, H. Sattmann, P. Boschert, E. Hoover, R. A. Leitgeb, M. Crawford, and W. Drexler, *Optics Express* **22**, 2632 (2014).
 - [13] R. Pajković, Y. Tian, S. Latkowski, K. A. Williams, and E. A. M. Bente, in *Novel In-Plane Semiconductor Lasers XVIII*, edited by A. A. Belyanin and P. M. Smowton (SPIE, 2019).
 - [14] C. Jirauschek, B. Biedermann, and R. Huber, *Optics Express* **17**, 24013 (2009).
 - [15] E. A. Avrutin and L. Zhang, *The European Physical Journal B* **92** (2019), 10.1140/epjb/e2019-90639-3.
 - [16] S. Slepneva, B. Kelleher, B. O’Shaughnessy, S. Hegarty, A. Vladimirov, and G. Huyet, *Opt. Express* **21**, 19240 (2013).
 - [17] A. G. Vladimirov and D. Turaev, *Physical Review A* **72**, 033808 (2005).
 - [18] S. Slepneva, B. O’Shaughnessy, B. Kelleher, S. Hegarty, A. Vladimirov, H.-C. Lyu, K. Karnowski, M. Wojtkowski, and G. Huyet, *Opt. Express* **22**, 18177 (2014).
 - [19] K. Otsuka and S. Kobayashi, *Electronics Letters* **19**, 262 (1983).
 - [20] S. Coen and M. Erkintalo, *Optics Letters* **38**, 1790 (2013).
 - [21] K. Engelborghs, T. Luzyanina, and D. Roose, *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software* **28**, 1 (2002).
 - [22] E. M. Izhikevich, *Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience* (MIT Press Ltd, 2010).
 - [23] S. M. Baer, T. Erneux, and J. Rinzel, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* **49**, 55 (1989).