## DEGREE BOUNDS FOR MODULAR COVARIANTS

JONATHAN ELMER AND MÜFIT SEZER

ABSTRACT. Let V, W be representations of a cyclic group G of prime order pover a field k of characteristic p. The module of covariants  $k[V, W]^G$  is the set of G-equivariant polynomial maps  $V \to W$ , and is a module over  $\Bbbk[V]^G$ . We give a formula for the Noether bound  $\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G, \Bbbk[V]^G)$ , i.e. the minimal degree d such that  $\Bbbk[V, W]^G$  is generated over  $\Bbbk[V]^G$  by elements of degree at most d.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group,  $\Bbbk$  a field and V, W a pair of finite-dimensional  $\Bbbk G$ modules. Let  $\Bbbk[V]$  denote the symmetric algebra on the dual  $V^*$  of V and let  $\Bbbk[V,W] = \Bbbk[V] \otimes_{\Bbbk} W$ . Elements of  $\Bbbk[V]$  represent polynomial functions  $V \to \Bbbk$  and elements of  $\Bbbk[V, W]$  represent polynomial functions  $V \to W$ ; for  $f \otimes w \in \Bbbk[V, W]$ the corresponding function takes v to f(v)w. G acts by algebra automorphisms on k[V] and hence diagonally on k[V, W]. The fixed points  $k[V, W]^G$  of this action are called covariants and represent G-equivariant polynomial functions  $V \to W$ . The the fixed points  $\Bbbk[V]^G$  are called invariants. For  $f \in \Bbbk[V]^G$  and  $\phi \in \Bbbk[V,W]^G$  we define the product

$$f\phi(v) = f(v)\phi(v).$$

Then  $\Bbbk[V]^G$  is a k-algebra and  $\Bbbk[V,W]^G$  is a finite  $\Bbbk[V]^G$ -module. Modules of covariants in the non-modular case  $(|G| \neq 0 \in \Bbbk)$  were studied by Chevalley [3], Shephard-Todd [10], Eagon-Hochster [7]. In the modular case far less is known, but recent work of Broer and Chuai [1] has shed some light on the subject. A systematic attempt to construct generating sets for modules of covariants when Gis a cyclic group of order p was begun by the first author in [5].

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{d \ge 0} A_d$  be any graded k-algebra and  $M = \sum_{d \ge 0} M_d$  any graded Amodule. Then the Noether bound  $\beta(A)$  is defined to be the minimum degree d > 0such that A is generated by the set  $\{a : a \in A_k, k \leq d\}$ . Similarly,  $\beta(M, A)$  is defined to be the minimum degree d > 0 such that M is generated over A by the set  $\{m : m \in M_k, k \leq d\}$ , and we sometimes write  $\beta(M) = \beta(M, A)$  when the context is clear.

Nother famously showed that  $\beta(\mathbb{C}[V]^G) \leq |G|$  for arbitrary finite G, but computing Noether bounds in the modular case is highly nontrivial. When G is cyclic of prime order, the second author along with Fleischmann, Shank and Woodcock [6] determined the Noether bound for any &G-module. The purpose of this short article is to find results similar to those in [6] for covariants. Our main result can be stated concisely as:

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a cyclic group of order p,  $\Bbbk$  a field of characteristic p, V a reduced &G-module and W a nontrivial indecomposable &G-module. Then

Date: December 23, 2021.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A50.

Key words and phrases. Invariant theory, modular representation, cyclic group, module of covariants, Noether bound.

The second author is supported by a grant from TÜBITAK:115F186.

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) = \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$$

unless V is indecomposable of dimension 2.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the rest of this article, G denotes a cyclic group of order p > 0, and we let  $\Bbbk$  be a field of characteristic p. We choose a generator  $\sigma$  for G. Over  $\Bbbk$ , there are p indecomposable representations  $V_1, \ldots, V_p$  and each indecomposable representation  $V_i$  is afforded by a Jordan block of size i. Note that  $V_p$  is isomorphic to the free module  $\Bbbk G$ , and this is the unique free indecomposable  $\Bbbk G$ -module.

Let  $\Delta = \sigma - 1 \in \Bbbk G$ . We define the transfer map  $\operatorname{Tr} : \Bbbk[V] \to \Bbbk[V]$  by  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \sigma^i$ . Notice that we also have  $\operatorname{Tr} = \Delta^{p-1}$ . Invariants that are in the image of  $\operatorname{Tr}$  are called transfers.

Remark 2. Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_i$  be an upper triangular basis for the *i*-dimensional indecomposable representation  $V_i$ . The  $\Delta(e_j) = e_{j-1}$  for  $2 \leq j \leq i$  and  $\Delta(e_1) = 0$ . Therefore  $\Delta^j(V_i) = 0$  for all  $j \geq i$ . Note that for an indecomposable module  $V_i$ we have  $\Delta(V_i) \cong V_{i-1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq p$  and  $\Delta(V_1) = 0$ . It follows that an invariant f is in the image of the linear map  $\Delta^j : \mathbb{k}[V] \to \mathbb{k}[V]$  if and only if it is a linear combination of fixed points in indecomposable modules of dimension at least j + 1. In particular, an invariant is in the image of the transfer map  $(= \Delta^{p-1})$  if and only if it is a linear combination of fixed points of free  $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules.

We assume that V and W are  $\Bbbk G$ -modules with W indecomposable and we choose a basis  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  for W so that we have

$$\sigma w_i = \sum_{1 \le j \le i} (-1)^{i-j} w_j,$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For  $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$  we define the *weight* of f to be the smallest positive integer d with  $\Delta^d(f) = 0$ . Note that  $\Delta^p = (\sigma - 1)^p = 0$ , so the weight of a polynomial is at most p.

A useful description of covariants is given in [5]. We include this description here for completeness.

**Proposition 3.** [5, Proposition 3] Let  $f \in \Bbbk[V]$  with weight  $d \leq n$ . Then

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le d} \Delta^{j-1}(f) w_j \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G.$$

Conversely, if

 $f_1w_1 + f_2w_2 + \dots + f_nw_n \in \mathbb{k}[V,W]^G,$ 

then there exists  $f \in \Bbbk[V]$  with weight  $\leq n$  such that  $f_j = \Delta^{j-1}(f)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

For a non-zero covariant  $h = f_1w_1 + f_2w_2 + \cdots + f_nw_n$ , we define the support of h to be the largest integer j such that  $f_j \neq 0$ . We denote the support of h by s(h). We shall say h is a transfer covariant if there exists a non-negative integer kand  $f \in \Bbbk[V]$  such that  $f_1 = \Delta^k(f)$ ,  $f_2 = \Delta^{k+1}(f)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $f_{s(h)} = \Delta^{p-1}(f)$  for some  $f \in \Bbbk[V]$ .

We call a homogeneous invariant in  $\Bbbk[V]^G$  indecomposable if it is not in the subalgebra of  $\Bbbk[V]^G$  generated by invariants of strictly smaller degree. Similarly, a homogeneous covariant in  $\Bbbk[V, W]^G$  is indecomposable if it does not lie in the submodule of  $\Bbbk[V, W]^G$  generated by covariants of strictly smaller degree.

#### 3. Upper bounds

We first prove a result on decomposability of a transfer covariant. In the proof below we set  $\gamma = \beta(\Bbbk[V], \Bbbk[V]^G)$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$  be homogeneous and  $h = \Delta^k(f)w_1 + \Delta^{k+1}(f)w_2 + \cdots + \Delta^{p-1}(f)w_{s(h)}$  be a transfer covariant of degree  $> \gamma$ . Then h is decomposable.

*Proof.* Let  $g_1, \ldots, g_t$  be a set of homogeneous polynomials of degree at most  $\gamma$  generating  $\Bbbk[V]$  as a module over  $\Bbbk[V]^G$ . So we can write  $f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i g_i$ , where each  $q_i \in \Bbbk[V]^G_+$  is a positive degree invariant. Since  $\Delta^j$  is  $\Bbbk[V]^G$ -linear, we have  $\Delta^j(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i \Delta^j(g_i)$  for  $k \leq j \leq p-1$ . It follows that

$$h = \sum_{1 \le i \le t} q_i(\Delta^k(g_i)w_1 + \dots + \Delta^{p-1}(g_i)w_{s(h)}).$$

Note that  $\Delta^k(g_i)w_1 + \cdots + \Delta^{p-1}(g_i)w_{s(h)}$  is a covariant for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$  by Proposition 3. We also have  $q_i \in \Bbbk[V]^G_+$  so it follows that h is decomposable.  $\Box$ 

Write  $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} V_{n_j}$  as a sum of indecomposable modules. Note that  $\Bbbk[V \oplus V_1, W]^G = (S(V^*) \otimes S(V_1^*)) \otimes W)^G = \Bbbk[V, W]^G \otimes \Bbbk[V_1]$ . Therefore we will assume that  $n_j > 1$  for all j; such representations are called reduced. Choose a basis  $\{x_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  for  $V^*$ , with respect to which we have

$$\sigma(x_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} x_{i,j} + x_{i+1,j} & i < n_j; \\ x_{i,j} & i = n_j. \end{cases}$$

This induces a multidegree on  $\mathbb{k}[V] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^m} \mathbb{k}[V]_{\mathbf{d}}$  which is compatible with the action of G. For  $1 \leq j \leq m$  we define  $N_j = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \sigma^k x_{1,j}$ , and note that the coefficient of  $x_{1,j}^p$  in  $N_j$  is 1. Given any  $f \in \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$ , we can therefore perform long division, writing

(1) 
$$f = q_j N_j + r$$

where  $q_j \in \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$  for all j and  $r \in \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$  has degree < p in the variable  $x_{1,j}$ . This induces a vector space decomposition

$$\Bbbk[V_{n_i}] = N_j \Bbbk[V_{n_i}] \oplus B_j$$

where  $B_j$  is the subspace of  $\Bbbk[V_{n_j}]$  spanned by monomials with  $x_{1,j}$ -degree < p, but the form of the action implies that  $B_j$  and its complement are  $\Bbbk G$ -modules, so we obtain a  $\Bbbk G$ -module decomposition. Since  $\Bbbk[V] = \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \Bbbk[V_{n_j}]$ , it follows that

$$\Bbbk[V] = N_j \Bbbk[V] \oplus (B_j \otimes \Bbbk[V']),$$

where  $V' = V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_{j-1}} \oplus V_{n_{j+1}} \cdots \oplus V_{n_m}$ . From this decomposition it follows that if M is a  $\Bbbk G$  direct summand of  $\Bbbk[V]_d$ , then  $N_j M$  is a  $\Bbbk G$  direct summand of  $\Bbbk[V]_{d+p}$  with the same isomorphism type. Further, any  $f \in \Bbbk[V]^G$  can be written as  $f = qN_j + r$  with  $q \in \Bbbk[V]^G$  and  $r \in (B_j \otimes \Bbbk[V'])^G$ . If in addition  $\deg(f) = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m)$  with  $d_j > p - n_j$ , then the degree  $d_j$  homogeneous component of  $B_j$  is free by [8, 2.10] and since tensoring a module with a free (projective) module gives a free (projective) module we may further assume, by Remark 2, that r is in the image of the transfer map.

If  $h = \sum_{i=1}^{s(h)} \Delta^{i-1}(f) w_i \in \mathbb{K}[V, W]^G$ , we define the multidegree of h to be that of f. Since G preserves the multidegree, this is the same as the multidegree of  $\Delta^{i-1}(f)$  for all  $i \leq s(h)$ . Then the analogue of this result for covariants is the following:

**Proposition 5.** Let h be a covariant of multidegree  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m$  with  $d_j > p - n_j$  for some j. Then there exists a covariant  $h_1$  and a transfer covariant  $h_2$  such that  $h = N_j h_1 + h_2$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the support s(h) of h. If s(h) = 1, then by Proposition 3, we have that  $h = fw_1$  with  $f \in \Bbbk[V]^G$ . Then we can write  $f = qN_j + \Delta^{p-1}(t)$  for some  $q \in \Bbbk[V]^G$  and  $t \in \Bbbk[V]$ . Then both  $qw_1$  and  $\Delta^{p-1}(t)w_1$  are covariants by Proposition 3 and therefore  $h = qN_jw_1 + \Delta^{p-1}(t)w_1$  gives us the desired decomposition.

Now assume that s(h) = k. Then by Proposition 3 there exists  $f \in \Bbbk[V]$  such that

$$h = fw_1 + \Delta(f)w_2 + \dots + \Delta^{k-1}(f)w_k,$$

with  $\Delta^k(f) = 0$ . Since  $\Delta^{k-1}(f) \in \Bbbk[V]^G$  and  $d_j > p - n_j$ , we can write  $\Delta^{k-1}(f) = qN_j + \Delta^{p-1}(t)$  for some  $q \in \Bbbk[V]^G$  and  $t \in \Bbbk[V]$ . It follows that  $qN_j$  is in the image of  $\Delta^{k-1}$ . But since multiplication by  $N_j$  preserves the isomorphism type of a module, it follows that q is in the image of  $\Delta^{k-1}$ . Write  $q = \Delta^{k-1}(f')$  with  $f' \in \Bbbk[V]$ . Set  $h_1 = f'w_1 + \Delta(f')w_2 + \dots + \Delta^{k-1}(f')w_k$  and  $h_2 = \Delta^{p-k}(t)w_1 + \dots + \Delta^{p-1}(t)w_k$ . Since  $\Delta^{k-1}(f') \in \Bbbk[V]^G$ ,  $h_1$  is a covariant by Proposition 3. Consider the covariant  $h' = h - N_j h_1 - h_2$ . Since  $\Delta^{k-1}(f) = \Delta^{p-1}(t) + \Delta^{k-1}(f')N_j$ , the support of h' is strictly smaller than the support of h. Moreover,  $h_2$  is a transfer covariant and so the assertion of the proposition follows by induction.  $\Box$ 

We obtain the following upper bound for the Noether number of covariants:

# **Proposition 6.** $\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) \leq \max(\beta(\Bbbk[V], \Bbbk[V]^G), mp - \dim(V)).$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \Bbbk[V, W]^G$  with degree  $d > \max(\beta(\Bbbk[V], \Bbbk[V]^G), mp - \dim(V))$ . Let  $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m)$  be the multidegree of h. Then we must have  $d_j > p - n_j$  for some j. Consequently we may apply Proposition 5, writing

$$h = N_i h_1 + h_2$$

where  $h_2$  is a transfer covariant. Since  $\deg(h_2) > \beta(\Bbbk[V], \Bbbk[V]^G)$ ,  $h_2$  is decomposable by Proposition 4, and so we have shown that h is decomposable.  $\Box$ 

### 4. Lower bounds

Indecomposable transfers are one method of obtaining lower bounds for  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$ . The analogous result for covariants is:

**Lemma 7.** Let  $n \ge 2$  and let  $\Delta^{p-1}(f) \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$  be an indecomposable homogeneous transfer. Then the transfer covariant

$$h = \Delta^{p-n}(f)w_1 + \dots + \Delta^{p-1}(f)w_n$$

is indecomposable.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that h is decomposable. Then there exist homogeneous  $q_i \in \Bbbk[V]^G_+$  and  $h_i \in \Bbbk[V,W]^G$  such that  $h = \sum_{1 \le i \le t} q_i h_i$ . Write  $h_i = h_{i,1}w_1 + \cdots + h_{i,n}w_n$  for  $1 \le i \le t$ . Then we have  $\Delta^{p-1}(f) = \sum_{1 \le i \le t} q_i h_{i,n}$ . By Proposition 3 we have  $\Delta(h_{i,n-1}) = h_{i,n}$  and so  $h_{i,n} \in \Bbbk[V]^G_+$  because  $n \ge 2$ . It follows that  $\sum_{1 \le i \le t} q_i h_{i,n}$  is a decomposition of  $\Delta^{p-1}(f)$  in terms of invariants of strictly smaller degree, contradicting the indecomposability of  $\Delta^{p-1}(f)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 8.** Suppose  $n \geq 2$  and  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) > \max(p, mp - \dim(V))$ . Then  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) \leq \beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G)$ .

Proof. By [8, Lemma 2.12],  $\Bbbk[V]^G$  is generated by the norms  $N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_m$ , invariants of degree at most  $mp - \dim(V)$ , and transfers. Since there exists an indecomposable invariant of degree  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$ , if the hypotheses of the corollary above hold, then  $\Bbbk[V]^G$  contains an indecomposable transfer with this degree. By Lemma 7,  $\Bbbk[V, W]^G$  contains a transfer covariant of degree  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$  which is indecomposable, from which the conclusion follows.

### 5. Main results

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. Note that  $\mathbb{k}[V, V_1]^G$  is generated over  $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$  by  $w_1$  alone, which has degree zero, and therefore  $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, V_1]^G) = 0$ . For this reason we assume  $n \geq 2$  throughout.

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $n_j > 3$  for some j. Then by [6, Proposition 1.1(a)], we have

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) = m(p-1) + (p-2).$$

Since V is reduced we have  $\dim(V) \ge 2m$  and hence

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) > m(p-2) \ge mp - \dim(V).$$

Also,  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) \geq 2p-3 > p$  since  $n_j \leq p$  for all j. Therefore Corollary 8 implies that  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) \leq \beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G)$ . On the other hand, [6, Lemma 3.3] shows that the top degree of  $\Bbbk[V]/\Bbbk[V]^G_+ \Bbbk[V]$  is bounded above by m(p-1) + (p-2). By the graded Nakayama Lemma it follows that  $\beta(\Bbbk[V], \Bbbk[V]^G) \leq m(p-1) + (p-2)$ . We have already shown that this number is at least  $mp - \dim(V) + 1$ , so by Proposition 6 we get that

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) \le m(p-1) + (p-2) = \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$$

as required.

Now suppose that  $n_i \leq 3$  for all i and  $n_j = 3$  for some j. Then by [6, Proposition 1.1(b)], we have

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) = m(p-1) + 1$$

Since V is reduced we have  $\dim(V) \ge 2m$  and hence

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) > m(p-2) \ge mp - \dim(V).$$

Also  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) \geq 2p-1 > p$  provided  $m \geq 2$ . In that case Corollary 8 applies. If m = 1 then Dickson [4] has shown that  $\Bbbk[V]^G = \Bbbk[x_1, x_2, x_3]^G$  is minimally generated by the invariants  $x_3, x_2^2 - 2x_1x_3 - x_2x_3, N, \Delta^{p-1}(x_1^{p-1}x_2)$ . It follows that  $\Delta^{p-1}(x_1^{p-1}x_2)$  is an indecomposable transfer, so by Lemma 7,  $\Bbbk[V, W]^G$  contains an indecomposable transfer covariant of degree  $p = \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$ . In either case we obtain

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) \ge \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G).$$

On the other hand, by [9, Corollary 2.8], m(p-1)+1 is an upper bound for the top degree of  $\Bbbk[V]/\Bbbk[V]^G_+$ . By the same argument as before we get  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G, \Bbbk[V]) \leq m(p-1)+1$ . We have already shown that this number is at least  $mp - \dim(V) + 1$ , so by Proposition 6 we get that

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) \le m(p-1) + 1 = \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$$

as required.

It remains to deal with the case  $n_i = 2$  for all i, i.e.  $V = mV_2$ . We assume  $m \ge 2$ . In this case Campbell and Hughes [2] showed that  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) = (p-1)m$ . As  $\dim(V) = 2m$  we have  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) > m(p-2) = mp - \dim(V)$ . If  $m \ge 3$  or m = 2 and p > 2 then we have  $\beta(\Bbbk[V]^G) > p$  and Corollary 8 applies. In case m = 2 = p,  $\Bbbk[V]^G = \Bbbk[x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}]^G$  is a hypersurface, minimally generated by  $\{x_{2,1}, N_1, x_{2,2}, N_2, \Delta^{p-1}(x_{1,1}x_{1,2})\}$ . In particular  $\Delta^{p-1}(x_{1,1}x_{1,2})$  is an indecomposable transfer, so by Lemma 7,  $\Bbbk[V, W]^G$  contains an indecomposable transfer covariant of degree 2. In both cases we get

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) \ge \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G).$$

On the other hand, by [9, Theorem 2.1], the top degree of  $\mathbb{k}[V]/\mathbb{k}[V]^G_+\mathbb{k}[V]$  is bounded above by m(p-1). We have already shown this number is at least  $mp - \dim(V) + 1$ . Therefore by Proposition 6 we get  $\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) \leq \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$  as required.

*Remark* 9. The only reduced representation not covered by Theorem 1 is  $V = V_2$ . An explicit minimal set of generators of  $\mathbb{k}[V_2, W]^G$  as a module over  $\mathbb{k}[V_2]^G$  is given in [5], the result is

$$\beta(\Bbbk[V_2, W]) = n - 1.$$

This is the only situation in which the Noether number is seen to depend on W.

Remark 10. Suppose V is any reduced  $\Bbbk G$ -module and  $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} W_i$  is a decomposable & G-module. Then

$$\mathbb{k}[V,W]^{G} = ((S(V^{*}) \otimes (\oplus_{i=1}^{r} W_{i}))^{G} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (S(V^{*}) \otimes W_{i})^{G}.$$

So  $\beta(\Bbbk[V,W]^G) = \max\{(\beta(\Bbbk[V,W_i]^G) : i = 1,...,r)\} = \beta(\Bbbk[V]^G)$  unless V is indecomposable of dimension 2, in which case we have

 $\beta(\Bbbk[V_2, W]^G) = \max\{(\beta(\Bbbk[V_2, W_i]^G) : i = 1, \dots, r)\} = \max\{\dim(W_i) - 1 : i = 1, \dots, r\}.$ Thus, the results of this paper can be used to compute  $\beta(\Bbbk[V, W]^G)$  for arbitrary  $\Bbbk G$ -modules V and W.

## References

- Abraham Broer and Jianjun Chuai. Modules of covariants in modular invariant theory. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 100(3):705-735, 2010.
- [2] H. E. A. Campbell and I. P. Hughes. Vector invariants of U<sub>2</sub>(F<sub>p</sub>): a proof of a conjecture of Richman. Adv. Math., 126(1):1–20, 1997.
- [3] Claude Chevalley. Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections. Amer. J. Math., 77:778– 782, 1955.
- [4] Leonard Eugene Dickson. On invariants and the theory of numbers. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [5] Jonathan Elmer. Modular covariants of cyclic groups of order p. Preprint, 2019. arXiv: 1806.11024.
- [6] P. Fleischmann, M. Sezer, R. J. Shank, and C. F. Woodcock. The Noether numbers for cyclic groups of prime order. Adv. Math., 207(1):149–155, 2006.
- [7] M. Hochster and John A. Eagon. Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci. Amer. J. Math., 93:1020–1058, 1971.
- [8] Ian Hughes and Gregor Kemper. Symmetric powers of modular representations, Hilbert series and degree bounds. Comm. Algebra, 28(4):2059–2088, 2000.
- [9] Müfit Sezer and R. James Shank. On the coinvariants of modular representations of cyclic groups of prime order. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 205(1):210-225, 2006.
- [10] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd. Finite unitary reflection groups. Canadian J. Math., 6:274– 304, 1954.

MIDDLESEX UNIVERSITY, THE BURROUGHS, HENDON, LONDON, NW4 4BT UK *E-mail address*: j.elmer@mdx.ac.uk

BILKENT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CANKAYA, ANKARA, 06800 TURKEY  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{sezer@fen.bilkent.edu.tr}$