On two phases inside the Bose condensation dome of Yb$_2$Si$_2$O$_7$
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Recent experimental data on Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC) of magnons in the spin-gap compound Yb$_2$Si$_2$O$_7$ revealed an asymmetric BEC dome [1]. We examine modifications to the Heisenberg model on a breathing honeycomb lattice, showing that this physics can be explained by competing forms of weak anisotropy. We employ a gamut of analytical and numerical techniques to show that the anisotropy yields a field driven phase transition from a state with broken Ising symmetry to a phase which breaks no symmetries and crosses over to the polarized limit.

In recent decades, models of localized spins have been shown to contain a wealth of familiar and exotic phases of matter. Interesting orders can often be achieved by considering models with competing interactions, which naively require the satisfaction of incompatible constraints to achieve a ground state. Nature’s creative mechanisms for resolving these tensions within quantum mechanics is responsible for much of the diversity of phenomena observed within many-body theory [2–8].

A clear example of such physics is found in dimer magnetism, where antiferromagnetic behavior is brought into tension with polarizing magnetic fields [1, 9–13]. In these systems, spins tend to pair into singlets in the low-field ground state. A simple example of this phenomenon is realized in the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on the breathing honeycomb lattice. As illustrated in Fig. 1, each spin has a preferred neighbor due to the lattice distortion, which picks out pairs of spins to dimerize in the ground state.

Applying a magnetic field generically leads to a BEC transition where a triplet band becomes degenerate with the singlet ground state, creating an XY antiferromagnet. In typical experiments [9], it has been found that strengthening this field eventually polarizes the system, and no other phase transitions are observed. Recently, experiments on the compound Yb$_2$Si$_2$O$_7$ have challenged this paradigm by suggesting the presence of an intermediate magnetic phase with an unknown underlying order [1]. This Letter will propose a modification to the Heisenberg model whose ground state order is consistent with experiments.

A simple Heisenberg model in a magnetic field does not host additional phases beyond the previously known singlet, XY antiferromagnet and polarized phases. In order to model the additional phase observed experimentally, we generalize the Heisenberg model by introducing two forms of anisotropy:

$$H = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \alpha} J^{\alpha}_{ij} S_i^{\alpha} S_j^{\alpha} - \hbar \sum_{i, \alpha} g_{z\alpha} S_i^z$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
We then use a linked cluster expansion to compute the triplon spectrum and locate the BEC critical point of the pure Heisenberg model. Our result is consistent with experimental findings and confirms that the Heisenberg model captures important aspects of the physics of Yb$_2$Si$_2$O$_7$. We then employ spin-wave theory to show that the perturbations we have introduced produce dispersion relations consistent with neutron scattering experiments. To explore the effect of competing anisotropies on the Heisenberg model in more detail, we formulate a simple self-consistent mean field theory. This physical picture is then quantitatively verified with a DMRG analysis. Finally, our concluding remarks suggest possible experimental tests of our proposals.

**Experimental Considerations.**—Plausible modifications to the Heisenberg model are strongly constrained by the available experimental data. To establish constraints on the parameters introduced in (1), we review the salient details of the experimental work [1].

1. **Critical fields and zero-field specific heat are modeled well by the pure Heisenberg model.** In Ref. 1, it was demonstrated that the Heisenberg model fits zero-field specific heat data. Below, we will show that the experimentally determined lower critical field agrees with a perturbative calculation based on the Heisenberg model. Furthermore, one can obtain the upper critical field for the Heisenberg model by examining the instability of the fully polarized phase. These results do not depend sensitively on weak perturbations. It should be noted that comparable fits to the experimental data can be achieved with small adjustments to model parameters.

2. **The XY antiferromagnet hosts an approximate Goldstone mode.** Within the energy resolution of the experiment, there is a gapless mode in the band structure of the planar antiferromagnet.

3. **Within the BEC dome, singularities in the specific heat present at weak fields vanish with increasing field.** In weak fields, an Ising-like singularity is observed as a function of temperature. Increasing the field to approximately 1.2 Tesla removes the singularity and leads to smooth behavior as a function of temperature. More precisely, the maximum is broadened and there is no clear singular behavior. We also note that ultrasound velocity and neutron scattering measurements offer further evidence for the existence of a phase transition at 1.2 Tesla.

The first point confirms that the Heisenberg model is a good starting point for an analysis of Yb$_2$Si$_2$O$_7$. The second says that any XY symmetry breaking in the Hamiltonian is necessarily weak, and bounded from above by experiment. The third suggests that the ground state breaks different symmetries as a function of magnetic field. Moreover, the high field phase is smoothly connected to the polarized limit with respect to symmetries.

The perturbations to the Heisenberg model which we have introduced are designed to respect these constraints. The key changes are inequality of the XY Heisenberg couplings, $J_{yx}^2 = (1 + \lambda) J_{xy}^2$, and a $g$-tensor component $g_{zz} < g_{zz}^A = -g_{zz}^B$, where $A, B$ are sublattices. By choosing $\lambda < 0$, the first two experimental points are addressed: many features of the Heisenberg model are preserved and the Goldstone mode is only weakly gapped. For the third point, the staggered field induced by $g_{zz}$ increases the system’s tendency towards antiferromagnetic X-order. In weak fields, the YY coupling breaks the necessary $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, while larger fields break no symmetries because the $g$-tensor selects a unique antiferromagnetic X-order.

The parameters we will choose throughout the paper are $\lambda = 0.03$ and $g_{zz} = g_{zz}^A/100$. We take the $x$-component of the Heisenberg coupling to be the value obtained experimentally for the isotropic Heisenberg model, $J_{1x}^x = 0.2173$ meV, $J_{2x}^x = 0.0891$ meV. Conversion to physical magnetic fields (Tesla) are done with $g$-factors measured in [1]. We have found that our results do not qualitatively depend on this choice except in our DMRG analysis, where this issue is considered further.

**Linked-Cluster Expansion.**—Here we simplify to the isotropic Heisenberg model and assume the $z$-axis is a principal axis of $g$ ($g_{z\alpha} \propto \delta_{z\alpha}$). We will perturbatively compute the critical field of the BEC transition and show that the result is consistent with experiments. In the limit $J_2 = h = 0$, the ground state of (1) is a collection of independent spin singlets. For finite $J_2$ with $J_2/J_1 \ll 1$, this ground state persists with a gap to mobile triplet excitations. These “single-particle” states are not eigenstates of (1), and to compute their spectrum we use the
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**FIG. 2.** The spectrum of triplet excitations on high-symmetry lines. This band is three-fold degenerate at $h = 0$. The band minima at $\Gamma, M$ are corrected in powers of $J_2/J_1$ while the maximum at $X$ is precisely $J_1 + J_2$. 


(1)
numerical linked cluster formalism. This allows us to compute the triplet spectrum perturbatively in $J_2/J_1$ in the thermodynamic limit [14–16].

The spectrum resulting from this analysis has a minimum at $k = 0$, and we find that (defining $J_2/J_1 = \alpha$)

$$\omega(k = 0) = J_1 \left( 1 - \alpha - \alpha^2 + \frac{5}{16} \alpha^3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

For $\alpha \neq 0$, the $S^z = 1$ triplet band decreases linearly in energy leading to a gap closing. The resulting BEC transition has been studied extensively [9, 17–22]. Choosing the couplings and gyromagnetic factors reported in Ref. 1, we find a critical field of 0.434 Tesla, in rough agreement with the experimental data. Interestingly, we also observe that there is a band maximum at $X$ with the exact value $J_1 + J_2$. We will not pursue the consequences of this observation here, but it may be reflected in the intensity of neutron scattering data.

The singlet ansatz for the ground state is not strictly correct in the presence of anisotropy when $\alpha \neq 0$. However both mean-field and DMRG analyses indicate that the system becomes effectively non-magnetic below a comparable critical field in the presence of weak anisotropy.

Spin-Wave Theory.—By introducing anisotropies in the Heisenberg couplings, we have broken the XY symmetry of the pure Heisenberg model. We therefore anticipate that the spectrum is gapped, and the Goldstone mode observed experimentally is in fact massive. Here we will use linear spin-wave theory to compute the spectrum and show that the anisotropy-induced gap is consistent with the energy resolution of the available experimental data.

Our ansatz for the classical spin orientations on sublattices $A, B$ are

$$\mathbf{S}_A = S (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_B = S (-\sin \theta \cos \phi, -\sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Minimizing the Hamiltonian as a function of $\theta, \phi$ yields two solutions. The first applies in weak fields:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{h_z}{S (J_z + J_y)}$$

$$\cos \phi = \frac{h_x (\bar{J}_x + \bar{J}_y)}{(\bar{J}_y - \bar{J}_x) \sqrt{S^2 (\bar{J}_x + \bar{J}_y)^2 - h_z^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here we have defined $\bar{J}_\alpha = J_\alpha^A + 2J_\alpha^B$, $h_z = g_{zz} h, h_x = g_{zx} h$. A critical field is given by the condition $\cos \phi = 1$, where the system transitions to the following solution:

$$\sin \theta = \frac{h_z \tan \theta - h_x}{S (J_z + J_y)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Using the Holstein-Primakoff mapping to bosons, we obtain a quadratic Hamiltonian which can be diagonalized using standard techniques [23–25]. From the resulting spin wave dispersion, we extract the gap and bandwidth; their ratio is shown in Fig. 3. The system is gapped everywhere except at the phase transition separating the two spin-wave solutions. Importantly, the gap:bandwidth ratio is consistent with experimental results, where an energy resolution of $\sim 0.037$ meV is available for a band with width $\sim 0.1$ meV. We note that the curvature of the excited band also changes as a function of field, in agreement with inelastic neutron scattering presented in [1].

Cluster Mean Field Theory.—The bipartite structure of the honeycomb lattice allows us to write a mean-field theory in terms of two average magnetizations. Let $\mathbf{M}_A, \mathbf{M}_B$ denote the average magnetic moments on sublattices $A, B$. Our mean field theory has two dynamical spins $\mathbf{S}_A, \mathbf{S}_B$ so that the theory is one of a dimer embedded in an effective magnetic field. The Hamiltonian is

$$H = J_1 \mathbf{S}_A \cdot \mathbf{S}_B + 2J_2 (\mathbf{S}_A \cdot \mathbf{M}_B + \mathbf{S}_B \cdot \mathbf{M}_A)$$

$$-h \sum_\alpha (g_{z\alpha}^A S_{z\alpha}^A + g_{z\alpha}^B S_{z\alpha}^B)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Again we take $g_{xy} = 0, g_{zx} \ll g_{zz}$. We analyze (6) with self-consistent methods, feeding in an ansatz for $\mathbf{M}_A, \mathbf{M}_B$ and calculating new values $\mathbf{M}_i \equiv \langle \psi | S_i | \psi \rangle$, where $| \psi \rangle$ is the instantaneous ground state. These values are updated self-consistently until convergence is achieved.

For sufficiently small $g_{zx}$, we find that the solution in Fig. 4 is the most energetically favored. For small
fields, the solution is only weakly magnetic due to the staggered field induced by \( g_{xz} \). Within the BEC dome (0.4T \( \lesssim H \lesssim 1.5\)T), two phases appear: one in which \( M_y \neq 0 \), and one where \( M_y = 0 \) far from the limit of magnetic saturation. The former coincides with the usual XY antiferromagnet observed following Bose condensation out of the singlet phase; the latter exhibits the high-field crossover behavior required by the absence of singularities in thermodynamic response functions.

We note the existence of another mean-field solution in which \( M_y = 0 \) everywhere. This case does not support the experimental data as it has no symmetry breaking. The energetic favorability of one solution over another depends on the precise anisotropy parameters chosen, and it is not obvious how quantum fluctuations will impact that selection. Further, it is not obvious that the inter-dimer coupling \( J_2 \) is small enough to justify a mean-field description. To address these concerns, we employ DMRG to investigate the stability of our results. There we find that both mean field solutions survive quantization and to investigate the stability of our results. There we find that the mean symmetry breaking region this produces a lower-energy state than unbiased DMRG.

From the resulting ground-state wavefunction, local measurements of quantities such as \( M_\alpha = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N_s}(S_i^\alpha)^2/N_s} \) can be performed. The results are shown in Fig. 5 and closely match the results from mean-field theory. A dome for \( M_y \) appears in the regime \( H_z \in [0.35, 1.25] \)T which indicates the necessary symmetry breaking. The sizable \( M_x \) values for \( H_z \in [1.25, 1.6] \)T differentiate that region from the saturated limit. In this case, we therefore see that the mean field solutions are sufficient to capture the essential physics of the system, albeit not with identical parameters in the Hamiltonian.

The results in Fig. 5 are found with \( g_{xz} = g_{zz}/500 \). This value is arbitrarily chosen and can affect which mean field solution is obtained; to account for this, Fig. 6 shows the dependence of the symmetry-breaking order parameter \( M_y \) on \( H_z \) with fixed \( H_x \). The solutions were found by first tuning \( H_z \) to 0.9T with pinning fields. After this, the pinning field is removed and \( H_x \) is increased. The ground state changes from a Y-ordered antiferromagnet solution to one which favors \( M_y = 0 \) as \( H_x \) increases. The instability of the symmetry breaking solution to anisotropy in the \( g \)-tensor reveals that these parameters must be tuned precisely to demonstrate the required physics. Indeed,
the perturbations to the Heisenberg model are extraordinarily small by necessity!

Conclusions.—With a variety of theoretical techniques, we have constructed an explanation for the experimentally proposed phase diagram of Yb$_2$Si$_2$O$_7$. These techniques complement each other; each of them points to and validates the physical picture presented in this Letter. We emphasize again that small perturbations to the Heisenberg model can explain the observed thermodynamic responses of the material. This explanation requires a degree of fine-tuning: the behavior of the ground state is highly sensitive to the Hamiltonian parameters. However, this explanation remains compelling due to the observed agreement between key experimental results and the isotropic Heisenberg model.

Experimental verification of these details remains critical, and our theory suggests natural tests of itself. The structure of local magnetic moments in the material can be probed with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) techniques. In particular, we anticipate planar antiferromagnetic order inside the BEC dome which collapses onto one axis in the plane with increasing field. Further, more precise measurements of the spectrum of Yb$_2$Si$_2$O$_7$ may reveal a gap, the magnitude of which will yield insights into the necessary perturbations of the Heisenberg model.

Acknowledgements.—We thank Leon Balents and Frédéric Mila for suggestions in the development of the mean-field theory. We are also grateful to Miles Stoudenmire for helpful comments on DMRG techniques.

We thank the Institute for Complex Adaptive Matter (ICAM)-supported school on Emergent Phenomena in Correlated Quantum Matter in Cargése, where this collaboration was initiated.

The work of M. Flynn and R.R.P. Singh is supported in part by NSF DMR grant number 1855111. The work of S. Jindal is supported in part by NSF PHY grant number 1852581. T.E.B. thanks the support of the Postdoctoral Fellowship from Institut quantique and support from Institut Transdisciplinaire d’Information Quantique (IN-TRIQ). This research was undertaken thanks in part to funding from the Canada First Research Excellence Fund (CFREF). This research was enabled in part by support provided by Calcul Québec (www.calculquebec.ca) and Compute Canada (www.computecanada.ca). Computations were made on the supercomputer Mammouth (mp2), located at Université de Sherbrooke.

* miflynn@ucdavis.edu

[18] H. Tanaka, F. Yamada, T. Ono, T. Sakakibara, Y. Uwa-


