

# Study of semileptonic decay of $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$ in QCD sum rule

Ying-Quan Peng<sup>\*</sup> and Mao-Zhi Yang<sup>†</sup>

*School of Physics, Nankai University,  
Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China*

## Abstract

In this work we study the semi-leptonic decay of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) with QCD sum rule method. We calculate the  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi$  translation form factors relevant to this semi-leptonic decay, then the branching ratios of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) decays are calculated with the form factors obtained here. Our result for the branching ratio of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$  agrees very well with the recent experimental data. For the unmeasured decay modes such as  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi e^+ e^-$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ , we give theoretical predictions.

PACS numbers: 13.20.He, 11.55.Hx, 12.15.Lk

Keywords: Semileptonic decay, form factor, QCD sum rule

---

<sup>\*</sup> 2120170119@mail.nankai.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> yangmz@nankai.edu.cn

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the Standard Model, flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC) induced processes are forbidden at tree level. They can only occur via loop diagrams. Meanwhile they are also sensitive to contributions of new physics. Particles of new physics may contribute via loop diagrams as “virtual particles”, thereby affecting the physical processes induced by FCNC. With continuous improvement of experimental accuracy, FCNC processes play an increasingly important role in the new physics research in heavy flavour physics. The most typical process is the one caused by  $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ , such as the rare semi-leptonic decays of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ).

In the past two decades, the decays of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) have been studied by using several different approaches such as lattice QCD (LQCD) [1], QCD light-cone sum rule (LCSR) [2, 3], constituent quark model (CQM) [4, 5], QCD sum rule [6], relativistic quark model (RQM) [7] and covariant quark model [8]. The method of QCD sum rule (SR) was originally developed by Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov in the late 1970s [9, 10], which was then widely applied to the calculation of hadronic physics [11]. Several years ago the transition form factors of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi$  in  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) decays were calculated with QCD sum rule in Ref. [6]. Compared with other results, some form factors obtained in Ref. [6] are different by negative signs, which are not simply due to different definition for the form factors.

Experimentally, LHCb Collaboration updated the measurement of the branching ratio of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$  recently [12],

$$\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-) = (7.97_{-0.43}^{+0.45} \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-7}. \quad (1)$$

Hence, considering the status of theoretical calculation and the recent improvement in experimental measurement, we believe that it is valuable to re-consider the decays of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) theoretically. In this work, we revisit the form factors in  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi$  transition in QCD sum rule, and use these form factors to calculate the branching ratios of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi e^+e^-$ ,  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \tau^+\tau^-$ . Finally, we compare our results of form factors and branching ratios with previous theoretical works as well as the latest experimental data.

The paper is organized as followings. In Sec. II, we present the effective Hamiltonian and effective amplitude of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+l^-$  decay. Section III  $\sim$  IV are devoted to the calculation

of the form factors in QCD sum rule method. Section V is for the numerical analysis and discussion. Finally, a brief summary is presented in Sec. VI.

## II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

At quark level, the effective Hamiltonian of the rare semileptonic decay  $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$  can be written as [13],

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu), \quad (2)$$

where  $V_{tb} V_{ts}^*$  is the product of relevant CKM matrix elements.  $C_i$  denotes Wilson coefficient, and the operators  $O_i$  are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= (\bar{s}_\alpha c_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V-A}, & Q_2 &= (\bar{s}c)_{V-A} (\bar{c}b)_{V-A}, \\ Q_3 &= (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}q)_{V-A}, & Q_4 &= (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V-A}, \\ Q_5 &= (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}q)_{V+A}, & Q_6 &= (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A}, \\ Q_7 &= \frac{\alpha_e}{2\pi} m_b \bar{s}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma^5) b_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}, & Q_8 &= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} m_b \bar{s}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma^5) T_{\alpha\beta}^a b_\beta G_{\mu\nu}^a, \\ Q_9 &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} (\bar{l}l)_V, & Q_{10} &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} (\bar{l}l)_A. \end{aligned}$$

Then the effective Hamiltonian above leads to the following decay amplitude of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  [13]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-) &= \frac{G_F \alpha}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left[ C_9^{\text{eff}} \langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle \bar{\ell} \gamma^\nu \ell \right. \\ &\quad + C_{10} \langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle \bar{\ell} \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 \ell \\ &\quad \left. - 2C_7^{\text{eff}} m_b \frac{i}{q^2} \langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} q^\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle \bar{\ell} \gamma^\nu \ell \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are momenta of  $\bar{B}_s^0$  and  $\phi$  mesons, respectively.  $q$  is the momentum transfer  $q = p_1 - p_2$ .  $C_9^{\text{eff}}$  and  $C_7^{\text{eff}}$  are two effective Wilson coefficients, with  $C_7^{\text{eff}} = C_7 - C_5/3 - C_6$ . As for the effective Wilson coefficient  $C_9^{\text{eff}}$ , we take the expression in Ref. [13], which is given

as followings

$$\begin{aligned}
C_9^{\text{eff}} = & C_9 + C_0 \left[ h(\hat{m}_c, \hat{s}) + \frac{3\pi\kappa}{\alpha^2} \sum_{V_i=\psi(1s;2s)} \frac{\Gamma(V_i \rightarrow l^+l^-)m_{V_i}}{m_{V_i}^2 - q^2 - im_{V_i}\Gamma_{V_i}} \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2}h(1, \hat{s})(4C_3 + 4C_4 + 3C_5 + C_6) \\
& - \frac{1}{2}h(0, \hat{s})(C_3 + 3C_4) + \frac{2}{9}(3C_3 + C_4 + 3C_5 + C_6),
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where we define

$$C_0 = 3C_1 + C_2 + 3C_3 + C_4 + 3C_5 + C_6,$$

$$h(0, \hat{s}) = \frac{8}{27} - \frac{8}{9} \ln \frac{m_b}{\mu} - \frac{4}{9} \ln \hat{s} + i\pi \frac{4}{9},$$

and

$$h(\hat{m}_c, \hat{s}) = -\frac{8}{9} \ln \frac{m_b}{\mu} - \frac{8}{9} \ln \hat{m}_c + \frac{8}{27} + \frac{4}{9}x - \frac{2}{9}(2+x)|1-x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{cases} (\ln |\frac{\sqrt{1-x}+1}{\sqrt{1-x}-1}| - i\pi), & x < 1 \\ 2 \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-1}}, & x > 1 \end{cases},$$

with  $x = 4\hat{m}_c^2/\hat{s}$ ,  $\hat{m}_c = m_c/m_{B_s}$ ,  $\hat{s} = q^2/m_{B_s}^2$ ,  $\kappa = 1/C_0$  and  $\mu = m_b$ .

### III. FORM FACTORS FROM QCD SUM RULE

We have calculated the hadronic matrix elements  $\langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle$  in the decay amplitude given in Eq. (3) in our previous work [14]. So in this work, we need only to deal with the other hadronic matrix element  $\langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} q^\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle$  in Eq. (3).

Similarly the hadronic matrix element  $\langle \phi | \bar{s} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} q^\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0 \rangle$  can be decomposed as [15]

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} q^\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle = & 2i\varepsilon_{\nu\rho\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{*\rho} p_1^\alpha p_2^\beta T_1(q^2) \\
& + [\varepsilon_\nu^* (m_{B_s}^2 - m_\phi^2) - (\varepsilon^* \cdot q)(p_1 + p_2)_\nu] T_2(q^2) \\
& + (\varepsilon^* \cdot q) [q_\nu - \frac{q^2}{m_{B_s}^2 - m_\phi^2} (p_1 + p_2)_\nu] T_3(q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are the transition form factors associated with the current of  $j_\nu^T(0) = \bar{s} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} q^\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) b$ .

As what we did in Ref. [14], at first we consider a three-point correlation function that is defined as

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} = i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip_2 \cdot x - ip_1 \cdot y} \langle 0 | T \{ j_\mu^\phi(x) j_\nu^T(0) j_5(y) \} | 0 \rangle, \tag{6}$$

where  $j_\mu^\phi(x) = \bar{s}(x)\gamma_\mu s(x)$ ,  $j_\nu^T(0) = \bar{s}\sigma_{\nu\lambda}q^\lambda(1 + \gamma_5)b$  and  $j_5(y) = \bar{b}(y)i\gamma_5 s(y)$ , which are the current of  $\phi$  channel, the current of weak transition and the current of  $\bar{B}_s^0$  channel, respectively.

Next we reexpress the correlation function by using the double dispersion relation

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \int ds_1 ds_2 \frac{\rho(s_1, s_2, q^2)}{(s_1 - p_1^2)(s_2 - p_2^2)}, \quad (7)$$

where the spectral density function  $\rho(s_1, s_2, q^2)$  can be expressed as the form containing a full set of intermediate hadronic states as shown below,

$$\rho(s_1, s_2, q^2) = \sum_X \sum_Y \langle 0 | j_\mu^\phi | X \rangle \langle X | j_\nu^T | Y \rangle \langle Y | j_5 | 0 \rangle \delta(s_1 - m_X^2) \delta(s_2 - m_Y^2) \theta(p_X^0) \theta(p_Y^0), \quad (8)$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  denote the full set of hadronic states of  $\phi$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0$  channels, respectively. According to Eqs. (7) and (8), we can integrate over  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , then separate the ground states, excited states and continuum states, the correlation function can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{m_\phi f_\phi \varepsilon_\mu^{(\lambda)} \langle \phi(\varepsilon_\mu^{(\lambda)}, p_2) | j_\nu^T | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle f_{B_s} m_{B_s}^2}{(m_{B_s}^2 - p_1^2)(m_\phi^2 - p_2^2)(m_b + m_s)} \\ & + \text{excited and continuum states.} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In the above equation, we have used the following definition of relevant matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu s | \phi \rangle &= m_\phi f_\phi \varepsilon_\mu^{(\lambda)}, \\ \langle 0 | \bar{s} i \gamma_5 b | \bar{B}_s^0 \rangle &= \frac{f_{B_s} m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $f_\phi$  and  $f_{B_s}$  are decay constants of the relevant mesons. In principle,  $\phi$  and  $\omega$  can mix via strong interaction, the mixing angle  $\delta$  between nonstrange and strange quark wave function has been analyzed to be  $\delta = -(3.34 \pm 0.17)^\circ$  [16–20], which shows that  $\phi$  meson is dominated by component  $s\bar{s}$ . Therefore, we can safely drop the mixing effect of  $\omega - \phi$  in  $D_s \rightarrow \phi$  transition process, and  $\phi$  meson is treated as  $s\bar{s}$  component, which is referred to as ideal mixing.

By taking the operator product expansion (OPE) for the time-ordered current operator in Eq. (6), we can get another expression for the correlation function in terms of Wilson coefficients and condensates of local operators

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu\nu} &= i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip_2 \cdot x - ip_1 \cdot y} \langle 0 | T \{ j_\mu^\phi(x) j_\nu^T(0) j_5(y) \} | 0 \rangle \\ &= C_{0\mu\nu} I + C_{3\mu\nu} \langle 0 | \bar{\Psi} \Psi | 0 \rangle + C_{4\mu\nu} \langle 0 | G_{\alpha\beta}^a G^{a\alpha\beta} | 0 \rangle + C_{5\mu\nu} \langle 0 | \bar{\Psi} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} T^a G^{a\alpha\beta} \Psi | 0 \rangle \\ &\quad + C_{6\mu\nu} \langle 0 | \bar{\Psi} \Gamma \Psi \bar{\Psi} \Gamma' \Psi | 0 \rangle + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $C_{i\mu\nu}$  denotes Wilson coefficients.  $I$ ,  $\bar{\Psi}\Psi$  and  $G_{\alpha\beta}^a$  are the unit operator, the local fermion field operator of light quarks and the gluon strength tensor, respectively.  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are the matrices that appear in the calculation of Wilson's coefficients. From the Lorentz structure of the correlation function, we can know that Eq. (11) can be rewritten as

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} = i\kappa_0\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_1^\alpha p_2^\beta + (\kappa_1 p_{1\mu} p_{1\nu} + \kappa_2 p_{2\mu} p_{2\nu} + \kappa_3 p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} + \kappa_4 p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu} + \kappa_5 g_{\mu\nu}). \quad (12)$$

The coefficients  $\kappa_i$ 's contain perturbative and condensate contributions

$$\kappa_i = \kappa_i^{\text{pert}} + \kappa_i^{(3)} + \kappa_i^{(4)} + \kappa_i^{(5)} + \kappa_i^{(6)} + \dots, \quad (13)$$

where  $\kappa_i^{\text{pert}}$  is the perturbative contribution, and  $\kappa_i^{(3)}$ ,  $\kappa_i^{(4)}$ ,  $\kappa_i^{(5)}$ ,  $\kappa_i^{(6)}$ ,  $\dots$  are contributions of condensates of operators with increasing dimension in OPE.

Since the perturbative contribution and gluon-condensate contribution contain the loop integral of momentum, we can obtain the dispersion integrals of  $\kappa_i^{\text{pert}}$  and  $\kappa_i^{(4)}$ , which can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_i^{\text{pert}} &= \int_{s_1^L}^{\infty} ds_1 \int_{s_2^L}^{\infty} ds_2 \frac{\rho_i^{\text{pert}}(s_1, s_2, q^2)}{(s_1 - p_1^2)(s_2 - p_2^2)}, \\ \kappa_i^{(4)} &= \int_{s_1^L}^{\infty} ds_1 \int_{s_2^L}^{\infty} ds_2 \frac{\rho_i^{(4)}(s_1, s_2, q^2)}{(s_1 - p_1^2)(s_2 - p_2^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $s_1^L$  and  $s_2^L$  are the lower limits of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , respectively, which can be found in Appendix A. In principle Eqs. (9) and (12) should be equivalent to each other, because they are two different expressions for the same correlation function  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ . By using the assumption of quark-hadron duality [9, 10], one can approximate the contribution of the higher excited and continuum states in  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  in Eq. (9) as the integration of  $\int ds_1 ds_2$  in Eq. (14) over some thresholds  $s_1^0$  and  $s_2^0$ . Then one can get rid of the contribution of the higher excited and continuum states in Eq. (9), and obtain an equation for the form factors by equating Eqs. (9) and (12), where Eq. (14) should be replaced as

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_i^{\text{pert}} &= \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \int_{s_2^L}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \frac{\rho_i^{\text{pert}}(s_1, s_2, q^2)}{(s_1 - p_1^2)(s_2 - p_2^2)}, \\ \kappa_i^{(4)} &= \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \int_{s_2^L}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \frac{\rho_i^{(4)}(s_1, s_2, q^2)}{(s_1 - p_1^2)(s_2 - p_2^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In order to improve the equation, Borel transformation needs to be introduced, that is, for

any function  $f(x^2)$ ,

$$\hat{B}|_{x^2, M^2} f(x^2) = \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty, x^2 \rightarrow -\infty \\ -x^2/k = M^2}} \frac{(-x^2)^k}{(k-1)!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial (x^2)^k} f(x^2).$$

Borel transformation can suppress both the contribution of higher excited states and contributions of operators of higher dimension in OPE. Then matching these two forms of the correlation function in Eqs. (9) and (12), and performing Borel transformation for both variables  $p_1^2$  and  $p_2^2$ , QCD sum rules for these three form factors related to matrix hadronic element  $\langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} q^\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle$  can be obtained

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(q^2) &= \frac{(m_b + m_s)}{2m_\phi f_\phi f_{B_s} m_{B_s}^2} e^{m_{B_s}^2/M_1^2} e^{m_\phi^2/M_2^2} M_1^2 M_2^2 \cdot \hat{B}\kappa_0, \\ T_2(q^2) &= -\frac{(m_b + m_s)}{m_\phi f_\phi f_{B_s} m_{B_s}^2 (m_{B_s}^2 - m_\phi^2)} e^{m_{B_s}^2/M_1^2} e^{m_\phi^2/M_2^2} M_1^2 M_2^2 \cdot \hat{B}\kappa_5, \\ T_3(q^2) &= -\frac{(m_b + m_s)}{m_\phi f_\phi f_{B_s} m_{B_s}^2} e^{m_{B_s}^2/M_1^2} e^{m_\phi^2/M_2^2} M_1^2 M_2^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \hat{B}(\kappa_1 - \kappa_3), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $\hat{B}\kappa_i$  denotes Borel transformation of  $\kappa_i$  for both variables  $p_1^2$  and  $p_2^2$ .  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are Borel parameters.

#### IV. THE CALCULATION OF THE WILSON COEFFICIENTS

In this section, we discuss the calculation of Wilson Coefficients in the OPE. The diagrams to be considered here are similar to that used in our previous work in Ref. [14]. The difference is that the weak transition current  $j_\nu(0) = \bar{s}\gamma_\nu(1 - \gamma_5)b$  is replaced by the tensor current  $j_\nu^T(0) = \bar{s}\sigma_{\nu\lambda}q^\lambda(1 + \gamma_5)b$  appearing in Eq. (3).

Here we only depict the diagrams for contributions of gluon-gluon operator in Fig.1, because our calculation shows that the contribution of gluon-gluon operator does not completely cancel out for the tensor current, which is different from the case of  $V - A$  current. But the contributions of these diagrams are very small compared with other operators. Different from the treatment in Ref. [6], we do not ignore these contributions in the following calculations.

The cancellation of the contribution of gluon-gluon operator for the case of  $V - A$  current seems not because of any symmetry principle. It is only because, in the fixed-point




FIG. 1: Diagrams for contributions of gluon-gluon operator.

gauge the color field can be expanded as  $A_\mu^a(z) = \int_0^1 d\beta \beta z^\rho G_{\rho\mu}^a(\beta z) = \frac{1}{2} z^\rho G_{\rho\mu}^a(0) + \dots$  at leading order, only at leading order the contribution of gluon-gluon operator vanish. If the higher order in the expansion  $A_\mu^a(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^\rho G_{\rho\mu}^a(0) + \frac{1}{3} z^\alpha z^\rho \hat{D}_\alpha G_{\rho\mu}^a(0) + \dots$  is considered, the contribution may not vanish for the case of V-A current, but it must be small because of the short-distance nature of Wilson coefficients.

The final results of Borel transformed coefficients  $\hat{B}\kappa_0$ ,  $\hat{B}(\kappa_1 - \kappa_3)$  and  $\hat{B}\kappa_5$  in Eq. (16) are given in Appendix A.

## V. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The input parameters required for numerical calculation are taken as followings [9–11]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle &= -(0.24 \pm 0.01 \text{ GeV})^3, & \langle \bar{s}s \rangle &= (0.8 \pm 0.2) \langle \bar{q}q \rangle, \\
 g \langle \bar{\Psi} \sigma T G \Psi \rangle &= m_0^2 \langle \bar{\Psi} \Psi \rangle, & \alpha_s \langle \bar{\Psi} \Psi \rangle^2 &= 6.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^6, \\
 \alpha_s \langle GG \rangle &= 0.038 \text{ GeV}^4, & m_0^2 &= 0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The standard values of the condensates above at the renormalization point  $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$

are from Refs. [9–11], and the relevant mass parameters and decay constants are [21, 22],

$$\begin{aligned}
m_s &= 95\text{MeV}, & m_b &= 4.18\text{GeV}, & m_e &= 0.511\text{MeV}, \\
m_\mu &= 0.106\text{GeV}, & m_\tau &= 1.777\text{GeV}, & m_\phi &= 1.02\text{GeV}, \\
m_{B_s} &= 5.367\text{GeV}, & m_{J/\psi} &= 3.097\text{GeV}, & m_{\psi'} &= 3.686\text{GeV}, \\
f_{B_s} &= 0.266 \pm 0.019\text{GeV}, & f_\phi &= 0.228\text{GeV}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Other parameters to be used include [21]:

$$G_F = 1.1663787 \times 10^{-5}\text{GeV}^{-2}, \quad \alpha = 7.297 \times 10^{-3}, \quad |V_{ts}^* V_{tb}| = 0.039741, \tag{19}$$

and the threshold parameters  $s_1^0$  and  $s_2^0$  for  $\bar{B}_s^0$  and  $\phi$  mesons are

$$s_1^0 = 34.9 \sim 35.9\text{GeV}^2, \quad s_2^0 = 1.9 \sim 2.1\text{GeV}^2. \tag{20}$$

For the Wilson coefficients appearing in Eq.(4) that are involved in our numerical calculation, the values are listed in Table I [23, 24].

TABLE I: Wilson coefficients (at renormalization scale  $\mu = m_b$ )

$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	$C_4$	$C_5$	$C_6$	$C_7^{eff}$	$C_9$	$C_{10}$
-0.176	1.078	0.014	-0.034	0.008	-0.039	-0.313	4.344	-4.669

Next we need to select the appropriate regions for Borel parameters  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . In our previous works [14, 25, 26], we have discussed the selection of Borel parameters in detail. So we do not repeat the details in this paper. The requirements to select Borel Parameters are directly given in Table II, and the selected two-dimensional region for  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are depicted in Fig.2.

After numerical analysis, the final results for the form factors at  $q^2 = 0$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(0) &= 0.33 \pm 0.07, \\
T_2(0) &= 0.33 \pm 0.07, \\
T_3(0) &= 0.22 \pm 0.05,
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where the errors are estimated by the uncertainty of the standard values of the condensates, the variation of the threshold parameters  $s_1^0$  and  $s_2^0$ , the variation of Borel parameters, and

TABLE II: Requirements to select Borel Parameters  $M_1^2$  and  $M_2^2$  for each form factors  $T_1(0)$ ,  $T_2(0)$  and  $T_3(0)$

Form Factors	contribution of condensate	continuum of $\bar{B}_s^0$ channel	continuum of $\phi$ channel
$T_1(0)$	$\leq 54.4\%$	$\leq 15.5\%$	$\leq 56\%$
$T_2(0)$	$\leq 54.4\%$	$\leq 12\%$	$\leq 56\%$
$T_3(0)$	$\leq 55.4\%$	$\leq 41.2\%$	$\leq 56.8\%$




FIG. 2: Selected stability regions of  $M_1^2$  and  $M_2^2$ .

the variation of the other input parameters. The error caused by the uncertainty of the condensates is about 25% of the central value of the form factors, the error caused by the variation of the threshold parameters  $s_{1,2}^0$  is about 5% of the central value, the error caused by the variation of Borel parameters is about 6% of the central value, and the error caused by the uncertainty of the other input parameters is less than a few percent. All the errors are added quadratically. In addition, the  $b$  quark mass given by Ref. [21] is  $m_b = 4.18_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$ .

The error caused by the uncertainty of  $b$  quark mass is about 0.8%, which is much smaller than the errors caused by the other sources.

The comparison of the form factors obtained in this work in Eq.(21) with other theoretical results calculated by LCSR in Ref. [2], CQM in Ref. [4], RQM in Ref. [7], and also in QCD sum rule in Ref. [6] are shown in Table III. Some of the form factors obtained in Ref. [6] are different from others by a negative sign. This will affect the physical results of the differential decay width of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$ . By comparison, we find that the results of  $T_1(0)$ ,  $T_2(0)$  and  $T_3(0)$  in our work, especially the value of  $T_3(0)$ , are more consistent with the results obtained by LCSR method in Ref. [2] within the range of uncertainty. Comparing the OPE coefficients in Ref. [6] with the relevant coefficients in this work, we find that the reason for the difference is that there is no contribution of  $m_b/M_1^2 M_2^2$  and  $m_s/M_1^2 M_2^2$  in Ref. [6]. The contribution of these two types of terms comes from the first term in the right side of Eq. (22) [11, 25], which gives the main contribution in our calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \bar{\Psi}_\alpha^a(x) \Psi_\beta^b(y) | 0 \rangle = & \delta_{ab} \left[ \langle \bar{\Psi} \Psi \rangle \left( \frac{1}{12} \delta_{\beta\alpha} + i \frac{m}{48} (\not{x} - \not{y})_{\beta\alpha} - \frac{m^2}{96} (x - y)^2 \delta_{\beta\alpha} \right. \right. \\ & - \frac{i}{3!} \frac{m^3}{96} (x - y)^2 (\not{x} - \not{y})_{\beta\alpha} \Big) + g \langle \bar{\Psi} \sigma T G \Psi \rangle \left( \frac{1}{192} (x - y)^2 \delta_{\beta\alpha} \right. \\ & + \frac{i}{3!} \frac{m}{192} (x - y)^2 (\not{x} - \not{y})_{\beta\alpha} \Big) - \frac{i}{3!} \frac{g^2}{3^4 \times 2^4} \langle \bar{\Psi} \Psi \rangle^2 (x - y)^2 (\not{x} - \not{y})_{\beta\alpha} \\ & \left. \left. + \dots \right] \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Moreover, the contribution of the operator of dimension-5 is greater than that of the operator of dimension-3 in Ref. [6], which is also different from our calculation.

TABLE III: Comparison of our results of form factors with other works

	$T_1(0)$	$T_2(0)$	$T_3(0)$
LCSR [2]	0.35	0.35	0.18
CQM [4]	0.38	0.38	0.26
RQM [7]	0.275	0.275	0.133
SR [6]	-0.35	0.37	-0.28
This work	$0.33 \pm 0.07$	$0.33 \pm 0.07$	$0.22 \pm 0.05$




FIG. 3:  $q^2$ -dependence of the form factors from QCD sum rule. The solid curve is for  $T_1(q^2)$ , the dashed curve for  $T_2(q^2)$ , and the dotted curve for  $T_3(q^2)$ .

The physical region for  $q^2$  in  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  decay is:  $(2m_l)^2 \leq q^2 \leq (m_{B_s} - m_\phi)^2$ . The  $q^2$ -dependence of the form factors within this range is shown in Fig. 3 using the central values of the input parameters. We can find that the  $q^2$ -dependence of  $T_1(q^2)$  calculated in QCD sum rule can be well fitted by the single-pole model

$$T_1(q^2) = \frac{T_1(0)}{1 - q^2/(m_{\text{pole}}^{T_1})^2}, \quad (23)$$

while the  $q^2$ -dependences of  $T_2(q^2)$  and  $T_3(q^2)$  are very weak, so we can take  $T_2(q^2) = T_2(0)$ ,  $T_3(q^2) = T_3(0)$  as approximations. The weak dependence of  $T_2(q^2)$  and  $T_3(q^2)$  on  $q^2$  stems from the mutual cancellation of the perturbative contribution and the condensate contribution. For  $T_2(q^2)$ , the perturbative contribution increases as  $q^2$  being large, while the contribution of condensates decreases, and as a sum the  $q^2$ -dependence cancel mostly. For  $T_3(q^2)$ , the perturbative contribution decreases while the condensates contribution increases as  $q^2$  being large. This is similar to the behavior of the form factors for  $D$  decays found in Ref. [27]. The weak dependence of  $T_{2,3}(q^2)$  on  $q^2$  calculated from QCD sum rule implies that the assumption of single-pole behavior for form factors is not always appropriate.

The pole mass in the expression of  $T_1(q^2)$  above obtained by fitting the results calculated by QCD sum rule is

$$m_{\text{pole}}^{T_1} = 5.38 \pm 0.23 \text{ GeV}. \quad (24)$$

We have calculated the form factors related to hadronic matrix element  $\langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle$  in Ref. [14], and the results are shown in Table IV.

TABLE IV: Form factors related to  $\langle \phi(\varepsilon, p_2) | \bar{s} \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B}_s^0(p_1) \rangle$ 

	$A_0(q^2)$	$A_1(q^2)$	$A_2(q^2)$	$V(q^2)$
$q^2 = 0$	$0.30 \pm 0.25$	$0.32 \pm 0.07$	$0.30 \pm 0.07$	$0.45 \pm 0.10$
$q^2 \neq 0$	$A_0(q^2) = \frac{A_0(0)}{1 - q^2/(m_{\text{pole}}^{A_0})^2}$	$A_1(0)$	$A_2(q^2) = \frac{A_2(0)}{1 - q^2/(m_{\text{pole}}^{A_2})^2}$	$V(q^2) = \frac{V(0)}{1 - q^2/(m_{\text{pole}}^V)^2}$
$m_{\text{pole}}$	$5.62 \pm 2.38 \text{ GeV}$	—	$9.20 \pm 0.40 \text{ GeV}$	$5.59 \pm 0.27 \text{ GeV}$

Next we shall use all of the  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi$  transition form factors  $V$ ,  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  calculated by QCD sum rules to investigate the differential decay widths and branching ratios of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  decays. The expression of differential decay width is given as [24],

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\Gamma(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-)}{d\hat{s}} &= \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2 m_{B_s}^5}{2^{10} \pi^5} |V_{ts}^* V_{tb}|^2 \hat{u}(\hat{s}) \\
 &\times \left\{ \frac{|A|^2}{3} \hat{s} \lambda (1 + 2 \frac{\hat{m}_l^2}{\hat{s}}) + |E|^2 \hat{s} \frac{\hat{u}(\hat{s})^2}{3} \right. \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4\hat{m}_\phi^2} \left[ |B|^2 \left( \lambda - \frac{\hat{u}(\hat{s})^2}{3} + 8\hat{m}_\phi^2 (\hat{s} + 2\hat{m}_l^2) \right) \right. \\
 &+ |F|^2 \left( \lambda - \frac{\hat{u}(\hat{s})^2}{3} + 8\hat{m}_\phi^2 (\hat{s} - 4\hat{m}_l^2) \right) \Big] \\
 &+ \frac{\lambda}{4\hat{m}_\phi^2} \left[ |C|^2 \left( \lambda - \frac{\hat{u}(\hat{s})^2}{3} \right) + |G|^2 \left( \lambda - \frac{\hat{u}(\hat{s})^2}{3} + 4\hat{m}_l^2 (2 + 2\hat{m}_\phi^2 - \hat{s}) \right) \right] \\
 &- \frac{1}{2\hat{m}_\phi^2} \left[ \text{Re}(BC^*) \left( \lambda - \frac{\hat{u}(\hat{s})^2}{3} \right) (1 - \hat{m}_\phi^2 - \hat{s}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \text{Re}(FG^*) \left( \left( \lambda - \frac{\hat{u}(\hat{s})^2}{3} \right) (1 - \hat{m}_\phi^2 - \hat{s}) + 4\hat{m}_l^2 \lambda \right) \right] \\
 &\left. - 2 \frac{\hat{m}_l^2}{\hat{m}_\phi^2} \lambda \left[ \text{Re}(FH^*) - \text{Re}(GH^*) (1 - \hat{m}_\phi^2) \right] + \frac{\hat{m}_l^2}{\hat{m}_\phi^2} \hat{s} \lambda |H|^2 \right\}, \quad (25)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $s = q^2$ ,  $\hat{s} = s/m_{B_s}^2$ ,  $\hat{m}_q = m_q/m_{B_s}$ ,  $\hat{u}(\hat{s}) = \sqrt{\lambda(1 - 4\hat{m}_l^2/\hat{s})}$ ,  $\lambda \equiv \lambda(1, \hat{m}_\phi^2, \hat{s}) = 1 + \hat{m}_\phi^4 + \hat{s}^2 - 2\hat{s} - 2\hat{m}_\phi^2(1 + \hat{s})$ , and the specific expressions of  $A(\hat{s}) \sim H(\hat{s})$  can be found in Ref. [24], which are not listed here for brevity.

Considering the possible long-distance (LD) effects and to avoid the contributions of resonances, some cuts around the resonances of  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are taken in the physical distribution of  $q^2$ . We use the same cuts as that used by LHCb Collaboration in Ref. [12]. There are




FIG. 4: The differential decay widths of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) on  $q^2$  with LD effects.

The grey bands denote the relevant uncertainties.

three regions for  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi e^+ e^-$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$  decays:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i} : & 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq q^2 \leq 8.0 \text{ GeV}^2 ; \\
 \text{ii} : & 11.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq q^2 \leq 12.5 \text{ GeV}^2 ; \\
 \text{iii} : & 15.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq q^2 \leq 19.0 \text{ GeV}^2 .
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and two regions for  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$  decay:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i} : & 11.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq q^2 \leq 12.5 \text{ GeV}^2 ; \\
 \text{ii} : & 15.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq q^2 \leq 19.0 \text{ GeV}^2 .
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The  $q^2$ -dependence of differential decay widths with long-distance (LD) effects are shown in Fig.4, where the grey bands denote the relevant uncertainties. Integrating the differential decay width in Eq. (25) with respect to  $q^2$  within the relevant region, we can obtain the value of integrated decay width  $\Gamma(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-)$ . According to the definition of decay branching

ratio

$$\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-) = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}(\bar{B}_s^0)}, \quad (28)$$

and the total decay width of  $\bar{B}_s^0$  meson:  $\Gamma_{\text{total}}(\bar{B}_s^0) = 4.362 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}$  [21], we can get the branching ratios of the three semileptonic decay channels of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ),

$$\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi e^+ e^-) = (7.12 \pm 1.40) \times 10^{-7}, \quad (29)$$

$$\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-) = (7.06 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-7}, \quad (30)$$

$$\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-) = (3.49 \pm 1.69) \times 10^{-8}. \quad (31)$$

The experimental result of the total branching ratio of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$  is [12]

$$\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-) = (7.97_{-0.43}^{+0.45} \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-7}. \quad (32)$$

We find agreement between our predictions and the experimental data within uncertainties.

Furthermore, in order to show the physical effects caused by the sign of the form factors, we change the sign of the form factors  $V$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  as that of Ref. [6] to calculate the branching ratio of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$  again, and obtain the central value of the branching ratio of as follows

$$\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-) = 6.14 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (33)$$

From Eq. (33) we can find that the branching ratio of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$  calculated in this way is nearly an order of magnitude larger than the experimental data in Eq. (32). So the physical effect of the sign of the form factors are crucial.

## VI. SUMMARY

We revisit the semi-leptonic decays of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^-$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) with QCD sum rule method. The  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi$  transition form factors  $V$ ,  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  [14] and  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  are calculated, then they are used to obtain the branching ratios of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi e^+ e^-$ ,  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$  respectively. For the measured decay channel  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ , our theoretical result is  $\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-) = (7.06 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-7}$ , which is well consistent with the latest experimental data  $\text{Br}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-) = (7.97_{-0.43}^{+0.45} \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-7}$  from LHCb Collaboration within uncertainties. For the unmeasured decay channels:  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi e^+ e^-$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ , we hope that our theoretical predictions are useful for experimental test in the future.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contracts No. 11875168 and No. 11375088.

## Appendix A

The explicit form of the relevant Borel transformed Coefficients  $\hat{B}\kappa_0$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}\hat{B}(\kappa_1 - \kappa_3)$  and  $\hat{B}\kappa_5$  in Eq. (16) are given in the following.

1) Results for Borel transformed  $\kappa_0$ :

$$\hat{B}\kappa_0 = \hat{B}\kappa_0^{\text{pert}} + \hat{B}\kappa_0^{(3)} + \hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)} + \hat{B}\kappa_0^{(5)} + \hat{B}\kappa_0^{(6)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}\kappa_0^{\text{pert}} = & \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{3e^{-s_1/M_1^2 - s_2/M_2^2}}{8\pi^2 \lambda^{3/2} M_1^2 M_2^2} [-2\lambda m_b m_s + 4s_2 m_b^2 m_s^2 \\ & - 2s_2 m_b^4 + s_2(-\lambda - 2m_s^4 + q^4 - 2q^2 s_2 + s_1^2 - 2s_1 s_2 + s_2^2)], \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

with  $\lambda = (s_1 + s_2 - q^2)^2 - 4s_1 s_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}\kappa_0^{(3)} = & \frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2 - m_s^2/M_2^2}}{6M_1^8 M_2^8} [M_2^2 m_b^3 m_s^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) (3M_1^2 M_2^2 - m_s^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)) \\ & + M_1^2 M_2^2 m_b^2 m_s (M_2^2 m_s^2 (3M_1^2 + 3M_2^2 + q^2) - 2m_s^4 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) - 3M_1^2 M_2^4) \\ & - M_2^4 m_b^4 m_s^3 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) + M_1^2 m_b (M_2^2 m_s^4 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) (4M_1^2 + M_2^2 + q^2) \\ & - m_s^6 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 - 3M_1^2 M_2^4 m_s^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2 + q^2) + 6M_1^4 M_2^6) + M_1^4 m_s \\ & \times (M_2^4 m_s^2 (3M_1^2 + 2q^2) + M_2^2 m_s^4 (3M_1^2 + 3M_2^2 + q^2) - m_s^6 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) \\ & + 3M_1^2 M_2^6)] \times \langle \bar{s}s \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

$$\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)} = - \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{e^{-s_1/M_1^2 - s_2/M_2^2}}{96\pi^2 \lambda^{3/2} M_1^2 M_2^2} (-4q^2 + 5s_1 + 4s_2) \times 4\pi\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle, \quad (A3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}\kappa_0^{(5)} = & - \frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2 - m_s^2/M_2^2}}{12M_1^8 M_2^8} [M_1^2 M_2^2 m_b^2 m_s (M_2^2 (3M_1^2 + q^2) - 2m_s^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)) \\ & + M_2^2 m_b^3 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) (3M_1^2 M_2^2 - m_s^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)) + M_1^2 m_b (M_2^2 m_s^2 (M_1^2 \\ & + M_2^2) (5M_1^2 - 2M_2^2 + q^2) - m_s^4 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 + M_1^2 M_2^4 (M_1^2 - 6M_2^2 - 3q^2)) \\ & - M_2^4 m_b^4 m_s (M_1^2 + M_2^2) + M_1^4 m_s (M_2^2 m_s^2 (4M_1^2 + M_2^2 + q^2) - m_s^4 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) \\ & - M_2^4 (-2M_1^2 + 3M_2^2 + q^2))] \times g \langle \bar{s}\sigma T G s \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (A4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(6)} = & -\frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2-m_s^2/M_2^2}}{81M_1^8M_2^8m_s^3(m_b^2-q^2)}[M_2^2m_b^5m_s^4(M_1^2+M_2^2)^2+M_2^4m_b^6m_s^3(M_1^2+M_2^2) \\
& +M_2^2m_b^4m_s^3(2M_1^2m_s^2(M_1^2+M_2^2)-M_2^2(-3M_1^4+M_1^2(15M_2^2+2q^2)+M_2^2q^2)) \\
& +M_1^2m_b^2m_s(18M_1^4M_2^6(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)+M_1^2m_s^6(M_1^2+M_2^2)-M_2^2m_s^4(M_1^4+3M_1^2 \\
& \times(3M_2^2+q^2)+2M_2^2q^2)+M_2^4m_s^2(50M_1^4-4M_1^2(6M_2^2+q^2)+q^2(15M_2^2+q^2))) \\
& +M_1^2m_b(54M_1^4M_2^6q^2(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)-18M_1^4M_2^4m_s^2(M_2^2(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)+3q^2)-q^2m_s^6 \\
& \times(M_1^2+M_2^2)^2+M_2^2q^2m_s^4(M_1^4+M_1^2(20M_2^2+q^2)+M_2^2(13M_2^2+q^2))) - m_b^3 \\
& \times(-54M_1^6M_2^4m_s^2+54M_1^6M_2^6(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)-M_1^2m_s^6(M_1^2+M_2^2)^2+M_2^2m_s^4(M_1^6 \\
& +2M_1^4(10M_2^2+q^2)+M_1^2(13M_2^4+3M_2^2q^2)+M_2^4q^2))+M_1^4q^2m_s(M_2^2m_s^4(M_1^2 \\
& +9M_2^2+q^2)-m_s^6(M_1^2+M_2^2)+18M_1^2M_2^6(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)+M_2^4m_s^2(-50M_1^2+24M_2^2 \\
& +q^2))] \times g^2\langle\bar{s}s\rangle^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

In the perturbative diagram, we consider the condition that all internal quarks are on their mass shell [28], which gives the lower limit of the integration  $s_1^L$  as

$$s_1^L = \frac{m_b^2}{m_b^2 - q^2} s_2 + m_b^2,$$

2) Results for Borel transformed  $(\kappa_1 - \kappa_3)$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\hat{B}(\kappa_1 - \kappa_3) = & \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{\text{pert}} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{(4)} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{(5)} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{(6)}, \\
\frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{\text{pert}} = & -\int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{3e^{-s_1/M_1^2-s_2/M_2^2}}{8\pi^2\lambda^{5/2}M_1^2M_2^2} [s_2m_b^4(2\lambda-3q^4+12q^2s_2+3s_1^2+6s_1s_2 \\
& -9s_2^2)-2s_2m_b^2(m_s^2(2\lambda-3q^4+12q^2s_2+3s_1^2+6s_1s_2-9s_2^2)-q^6 \\
& -2q^4(s_1-3s_2)+q^2(\lambda+s_1^2+8s_1s_2-9s_2^2)+2(s_1^3-3s_1s_2^2+2s_2^3 \\
& -\lambda s_2))+\lambda^2m_b m_s+s_2m_s^4(2\lambda-3q^4+12q^2s_2+3s_1^2+6s_1s_2-9s_2^2) \\
& +m_s^2(-\lambda^2-2q^6s_2-q^4(\lambda+4s_1s_2-12s_2^2)+2q^2s_2(3\lambda+s_1^2+8s_1s_2 \\
& -9s_2^2)+4s_1^3s_2+\lambda s_1^2+2s_1s_2(\lambda-6s_2^2)+8s_2^4-7\lambda s_2^2)+s_2(Q^3(s_2 \\
& -2s_1)-q^4(s_1^2-8s_1s_2+3s_2^2)+q^2(s_1-s_2)(\lambda+2s_1^2+5s_1s_2-3s_2^2) \\
& +(s_1^2-s_2^2)(-\lambda+s_1^2-2s_1s_2+s_2^2))],
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{(3)} = & -\frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2-m_s^2/M_2^2}}{12M_1^8M_2^8}[-M_2^2m_b^3m_s^2(M_1^2+M_2^2)(m_s^2(M_2^2-M_1^2)+3M_1^2 \\
& \times M_2^2)+M_1^2M_2^4m_b^2m_s(m_s^2(5M_1^2+M_2^2-q^2)+3M_1^2M_2^2)+M_2^4 \\
& \times m_b^4m_s^3(M_1^2+M_2^2)+M_1^2m_b(m_s^6(M_1^4-M_2^4)+3M_1^2M_2^4m_s^2(M_1^2 \\
& +M_2^2+q^2)+M_2^2m_s^4(-4M_1^4-M_1^2(3M_2^2+q^2)+M_2^2(M_2^2+q^2)) \\
& -6M_1^4M_2^6)+M_1^4m_s(-M_2^4m_s^2(9M_1^2+4q^2)+M_2^2m_s^4(7M_1^2+3M_2^2 \\
& +q^2)-m_s^6(M_1^2+M_2^2)+9M_1^2M_2^6)] \times \langle \bar{s}s \rangle ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_-^{(4)} = & \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{e^{-s_1/M_1^2-s_2/M_2^2}}{96\pi^2\lambda^{5/2}M_1^2M_2^2}[3q^6-3q^4(5s_1+3s_2)+q^2(-5\lambda \\
& +21s_1^2+22s_1s_2+9s_2^2)-9s_1^3-13s_1^2s_2+4\lambda s_1+25s_1s_2^2-3s_2^3 \\
& +9\lambda s_2] \times 4\pi\alpha_s\langle GG \rangle ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_+^{(5)} = & -\frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2-m_s^2/M_2^2}}{24M_1^8M_2^8}[M_2^2m_b^3(M_1^2+M_2^2)(m_s^2(M_2^2-M_1^2)+3M_1^2M_2^2) \\
& +M_1^2M_2^4m_b^2m_s(-7M_1^2-4M_2^2+q^2)-M_2^4m_b^4m_s(M_1^2+M_2^2)+M_1^2 \\
& \times m_b(m_s^4(M_2^4-M_1^4)+M_2^2m_s^2(5M_1^4+M_1^2(q^2-M_2^2)+2M_2^4-M_2^2 \\
& \times q^2)+M_1^2M_2^4(M_1^2-3(4M_2^2+q^2)))+M_1^4m_s(-M_2^2m_s^2(8M_1^2+M_2^2 \\
& +q^2)+m_s^4(M_1^2+M_2^2)+M_2^4(8M_1^2+3M_2^2+5q^2))] \times g\langle \bar{s}\sigma TGs \rangle ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\hat{B}\kappa_+^{(6)} = & -\frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2-m_s^2/M_2^2}}{162M_1^8M_2^8m_s^3(m_b^2-q^2)}[M_2^2m_b^5m_s^4(M_1^4-M_2^4)+M_2^4m_b^6m_s^3(M_1^2 \\
& +M_2^2)-M_2^4m_b^4m_s^3(-5M_1^4+M_1^2(17M_2^2+2q^2)+M_2^2q^2)+M_1^2 \\
& \times m_b^2m_s(54M_1^4M_2^6(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)+M_1^2M_2^2m_s^4(5M_1^2+9M_2^2+q^2) \\
& -M_1^2m_s^6(M_1^2+M_2^2)+M_2^4m_s^2(-44M_1^4-6M_1^2(4M_2^2+q^2)+q^2 \\
& \times (17M_2^2+q^2)))+M_1^2m_b(q^2m_s^6(M_2^4-M_1^4)+54M_1^4M_2^6q^2(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1) \\
& -18M_1^4M_2^4m_s^2(M_2^2(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)+3q^2)+M_2^2q^2m_s^4(M_1^4+M_1^2(18M_2^2 \\
& +q^2)-M_2^2(13M_2^2+q^2)))+m_b^3(54M_1^6M_2^4m_s^2-54M_1^6M_2^6(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1) \\
& +m_s^6(M_1^6-M_1^2M_2^4)+M_2^2m_s^4(-M_1^6-2M_1^4(9M_2^2+q^2)+M_1^2M_2^2 \\
& \times (13M_2^2+q^2)+M_2^4q^2))+M_1^4m_s(-M_2^2q^2m_s^4(5M_1^2+9M_2^2+q^2) \\
& +q^2m_s^6(M_1^2+M_2^2)-54M_1^2M_2^2q^2(e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}-1)+M_2^4m_s^2(M_1^2(44q^2-72 \\
& \times M_2^2)+q^2(24M_2^2+q^2)))] \times g^2\langle \bar{s}s \rangle^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{A10}$$

3) Results for Borel transformed  $\kappa_5$  :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}\kappa_5^{\text{pert}} = & - \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{3e^{-s_1/M_1^2-s_2/M_2^2}}{8\pi^2\lambda^{3/2}M_1^2M_2^2} [-s_2m_b^2(-\lambda+2m_s^2(q^2+s_1-s_2)) \\ & + q^4 + 2q^2(s_1-s_2) + s_1^2 - 2s_1s_2 + s_2^2) - \lambda m_b m_s (q^2 - s_1 + s_2) \\ & + s_2m_b^4(q^2+s_1-s_2) + m_s^2(q^4s_2 + 2q^2(\lambda+s_1s_2-s_2^2) + s_2(-\lambda+s_1^2 \\ & - 2s_1s_2+s_2^2)) + s_2m_s^4(q^2+s_1-s_2) + q^2s_1s_2(q^2+s_1-s_2)] ,\end{aligned}\quad (A11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}\kappa_5^{(3)} = & \frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2-m_s^2/M_2^2}}{12M_1^8M_2^8} [M_2^2m_b^5m_s^2(M_1^2+M_2^2)(3M_1^2M_2^2-m_s^2(M_1^2 \\ & +M_2^2)) - M_2^4m_b^6m_s^3(M_1^2+M_2^2) + M_1^2m_b^2m_s(M_2^2m_s^4(M_1^2-M_2^2) \\ & \times (5M_1^2+5M_2^2+q^2) - M_2^4m_s^2(6M_1^4+M_1^2q^2-2M_2^2q^2+q^4) + m_s^6 \\ & \times (-M_1^4+M_1^2M_2^2+2M_2^4) + 3M_1^2M_2^2(2M_1^2+q^2)) + M_1^2m_b(-m_s^6 \\ & \times (M_1^2+M_2^2)(M_1^2(5M_2^2-q^2) + 2M_2^2(M_2^2+q^2)) + m_s^8(M_1^2+M_2^2)^2 \\ & + 3M_1^2M_2^4m_s^2(q^4-2M_1^2(M_2^2-q^2)) + M_2^2m_s^4(M_1^4(6M_2^2-5q^2) \\ & + M_1^2(6M_2^4-q^4) + M_2^2q^2(2M_2^2+q^2)) - 6M_1^4M_2^6q^2) + m_b^4(-3M_1^4 \\ & \times M_2^6m_s + M_2^4m_s^3(5M_1^4+M_1^2(5M_2^2+2q^2) + M_2^2q^2) + m_s^5(-2M_1^4M_2^2 \\ & - M_1^2M_2^4+M_2^2)) + m_b^3(-m_s^6(M_1^2-M_2^2)(M_1^2+M_2^2)^2 - M_2^2m_s^4(M_1^2 \\ & +M_2^2)(-5M_1^4+M_1^2(M_2^2-2q^2) + M_2^2q^2) - 3M_1^2M_2^4m_s^2(2M_1^4+2M_1^2 \\ & \times (M_2^2+q^2) + M_2^2q^2) + 6M_1^6M_2^6) + M_1^4m_s(m_s^8(M_1^2+M_2^2) + M_2^2m_s^4 \\ & \times (6M_1^2(M_2^2+q^2) + 3M_2^4+9M_2^2q^2+q^4) - m_s^6(M_1^2(5M_2^2+q^2) + 5M_2^4 \\ & + 2M_2^2q^2) - M_2^4m_s^2(M_1^2(6M_2^2+5q^2) + 4q^2(M_2^2+q^2)) + 9M_1^2M_2^6q^2)] \\ & \times \langle \bar{s}s \rangle ,\end{aligned}\quad (A12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}\kappa_5^{(4)} = & - \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{e^{-s_1/M_1^2-s_2/M_2^2}}{192\pi^2\lambda^{3/2}M_1^2M_2^2} [-5\lambda + q^4 - 2q^2(3s_1+s_2) + 5s_1^2 \\ & - 6s_1s_2 + s_2^2] \times 4\pi\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle ,\end{aligned}\quad (A13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{B}\kappa_5^{(6)} = & \frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2 - m_s^2/M_2^2}}{162M_1^8 M_2^8 (m_b^2 - q^2) m_s^3} [ -M_2^4 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) m_s^3 m_b^8 - M_2^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 \\
& \times m_s^4 m_b^7 + M_2^2 m_s^3 ((-M_1^4 + (17M_2^2 + 3q^2)M_1^2 + 2M_2^2 q^2)M_2^2 + (-2M_1^4 \\
& - M_2^2 M_1^2 + M_2^4) m_s^2) m_b^6 + (54(-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) M_2^6 M_1^6 - 54M_2^4 m_s^2 M_1^6 + M_2^2 \\
& \times (2M_1^4 + (22M_2^2 + 3q^2)M_1^2 + 14M_2^4 + 3M_2^2 q^2) m_s^4 M_1^2 - (M_1^2 - M_2^2) \\
& \times (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 m_s^6) m_b^5 - m_s (18(-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) M_1^6 M_2^6 + (53M_1^6 + (9M_2^2 \\
& - 3q^2)M_1^4 + 3q^2(11M_2^2 + q^2)M_1^2 + M_2^2 q^4) m_s^2 M_2^4 + (-3M_1^6 - 3(4M_2^2 \\
& + q^2)M_1^4 + 17M_2^4 M_1^2 + M_2^4 q^2) m_s^4 M_2^2 + (M_1^6 - M_2^2 M_1^4 - 2M_2^4 M_1^2) m_s^6) \\
& \times m_b^4 + (M_1^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 m_s^8 - (2(M_2^2 - q^2)M_1^6 + 22M_2^4 M_1^4 + (14M_2^6 \\
& + 3q^2 M_2^4)M_1^2 + M_2^6 q^2) m_s^6 + M_2^2 ((54M_2^2 - 4q^2)M_1^6 - (60M_2^4 + 40q^2 M_2^2 \\
& + 3q^4)M_1^4 + M_2^4 q^4) m_s^4 + 18M_1^6 M_2^4 ((-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) M_2^2 + 6q^2) m_s^2 - 108 \\
& \times (-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) M_1^6 M_2^6 q^2) m_b^3 + M_1^2 m_s (M_1^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) m_s^8 - M_2^2 (3M_1^4 + \\
& (11M_2^2 + 3q^2)M_1^2 + 2M_2^2 q^2) m_s^6 + (9M_1^2 M_2^6 + q^2(17M_2^2 + q^2)M_2^4 + M_1^4 \\
& \times (49M_2^2 + q^2)M_2^2) m_s^4 + M_2^4 ((4q^2 - 98M_2^2)M_1^4 - q^2(16M_2^2 + 3q^2)M_1^2 \\
& + q^4(16M_2^2 + q^2)) m_s^2 + 72(-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) M_1^4 M_2^6 q^2) m_b^2 + M_1^2 (-(M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 \\
& q^2 m_s^8 + q^2 ((2M_2^2 - q^2)M_1^4 + M_2^2 (22M_2^2 + q^2)M_1^2 + 2M_2^4 (7M_2^2 + q^2)) m_s^6 \\
& + M_2^2 (2(9M_2^4 - 27q^2 M_2^2 + q^4)M_1^4 + q^2(60M_2^4 + 18q^2 M_2^2 + q^4)M_1^2 - M_2^2 q^4 \\
& \times (14M_2^2 + q^2)) m_s^4 - 18M_1^4 M_2^4 q^2 ((-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) M_2^2 + 3q^2) m_s^2 + 54(-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) \\
& \times M_1^4 M_2^6 q^4) m_b + M_1^4 q^2 m_s (-(M_1^2 + M_2^2) m_s^8 + (11M_2^4 + 2q^2 M_2^2 + M_1^2 \\
& \times (3M_2^2 + q^2)) m_s^6 - M_2^2 (9M_2^4 + 12q^2 M_2^2 + q^4 + M_1^2 (49M_2^2 + 4q^2)) m_s^4 \\
& + M_2^4 ((26M_2^2 + 49q^2)M_1^2 + q^2(25M_2^2 + q^2)) m_s^2 - 54(-1 + e^{\frac{m_s^2}{M_2^2}}) M_1^2 M_2^6 q^2) ] \\
& \times g^2 \langle \bar{s}s \rangle^2 .
\end{aligned}
\tag{A14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{B}\kappa_5^{(5)} = & \frac{e^{-m_b^2/M_1^2 - m_s^2/M_2^2}}{24M_1^8 M_2^8} [-M_2^2 m_b^5 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) (3M_1^2 M_2^2 - m_s^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)) \\
& + M_2^4 m_b^6 m_s (M_1^2 + M_2^2) + M_1^2 m_b^2 m_s (M_2^2 m_s^2 (-6M_1^4 + 2M_1^2 M_2^2 \\
& - M_1^2 q^2 + 2M_2^4 + M_2^2 q^2) + m_s^4 (M_1^4 - M_1^2 M_2^2 - 2M_2^4) + M_2^4 (M_1^4 \\
& - 5M_2^2 q^2 + q^4)) - M_1^2 m_b (m_s^6 (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 - m_s^4 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) (M_1^2 \\
& \times (6M_2^2 - q^2) - M_2^4 + 2M_2^2 q^2) + M_2^2 m_s^2 (6M_1^4 (M_2^2 - q^2) + M_1^2 (13 \\
& \times M_2^4 + 4M_2^2 q^2 - q^4) + M_2^2 q^2 (q^2 - M_2^2)) + M_1^2 M_2^4 (M_1^2 (7M_2^2 + 2q^2) \\
& + 3q^2 (3M_2^2 + q^2))) - M_2^2 m_b^4 m_s (m_s^2 (-2M_1^4 - M_1^2 M_2^2 + M_2^4) + M_2^2 \\
& \times (5M_1^4 + 2M_1^2 (M_2^2 + q^2) + M_2^2 q^2)) + m_b^3 (m_s^4 (M_1^2 - M_2^2) (M_1^2 + M_2^2)^2 \\
& + M_2^2 m_s^2 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) (-6M_1^4 + M_1^2 (4M_2^2 - 2q^2) + M_2^2 q^2) + M_1^2 M_2^4 \\
& \times (2M_1^4 + M_1^2 (9M_2^2 + 6q^2) + 3M_2^2 q^2)) - M_1^4 m_s (m_s^6 (M_1^2 + M_2^2) + M_2^2 \\
& \times m_s^2 (M_1^2 (5M_2^2 + 7q^2) + 4M_2^4 + 8M_2^2 q^2 + q^4) - m_s^4 (M_1^2 (6M_2^2 + q^2) \\
& + 3M_2^4 + 2M_2^2 q^2) + M_2^4 (M_1^2 (5M_2^2 - 3q^2) - q^2 (8M_2^2 + 5q^2)))] \times \langle \bar{s}s \rangle ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A15}$$

## Appendix B

As shown in Eqs. (12) and (13), the Wilson coefficients contributed by the diagrams of Fig.1(a)-(f) are  $\kappa_i^{(4)}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, 5$ . After Borel transformation, they will finally contribute to the form factors. To show how large numerically the contribution of each diagram in Fig.1 is, we take the Borel transformed Wilson coefficient  $\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}$  as an example. The contributions of Fig.1(a)-(f) are given as

$$[\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(a)} = - \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{e^{-s_1/M_1^2 - s_2/M_2^2}}{96\pi^2 \lambda^{3/2} M_1^2 M_2^2} (-q^2 + 3s_1 + s_2) \times 4\pi\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle, \quad (B1)$$

$$[\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(b)} = - \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{e^{-s_1/M_1^2 - s_2/M_2^2}}{96\pi^2 \lambda^{3/2} M_1^2 M_2^2} (-q^2 + s_1 + s_2) \times 4\pi\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle, \quad (B2)$$

$$[\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(c)} = - \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{e^{-s_1/M_1^2 - s_2/M_2^2}}{96\pi^2 \lambda^{3/2} M_1^2 M_2^2} (-q^2 + s_2) \times 4\pi\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle, \quad (B3)$$

$$[\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(e)} = - \int_{4m_s^2}^{s_2^0} ds_2 \int_{s_1^L}^{s_1^0} ds_1 \frac{e^{-s_1/M_1^2 - s_2/M_2^2}}{96\pi^2 \lambda^{3/2} M_1^2 M_2^2} (-q^2 + s_1 + s_2) \times 4\pi\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle, \quad (B4)$$

with  $[\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(d)} = 0$ ,  $[\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(f)} = 0$ . The numerical results for the contributions of Fig.1(a)-(f) are given below by taking a group of typical values of the input parameters as an example. When taking

$$\begin{aligned} s_1^0 &= 35.9\text{GeV}^2, & s_2^0 &= 2.1\text{GeV}^2, \\ M_1^2 &= 16.0\text{GeV}^2, & M_2^2 &= 1.8\text{GeV}^2, & q^2 &= 5\text{GeV}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (B5)$$

for example, the numerical results for  $\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(a)} &= -8.68 \times 10^{-7}, & [\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(b)} &= -2.52 \times 10^{-7}, \\ [\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(c)} &= 5.64 \times 10^{-8}, & [\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(4)}]_{(e)} &= -2.52 \times 10^{-7}, \end{aligned} \quad (B6)$$

which are very small compared to Wilson coefficients contributed by other diagrams. For example, the numerical result for  $\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(3)}$ , the contribution of quark-quark condensate, is

$$\hat{B}\kappa_0^{(3)} = -5.35 \times 10^{(-4)} \quad (B7)$$

by taking the same values for input parameters. The smallness of the gluon condensate contributions implies that they can be neglected in the numerical analysis for the transition

form factors. Actually they can be viewed as higher order corrections in the operator product expansion.

- 
- [1] J.M. Flynn, Christopher T. Sachrajda, “Heavy quark physics from lattice QCD”, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. 15 (1998) 402-452.
  - [2] Patricia Ball, Vladimir M. Braun, “Exclusive semileptonic and rare B meson decays in QCD”, Phys. Rev. D58 (1998) 094016.
  - [3] Patricia Ball, Roman Zwicky, “ $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \rho \omega, K^*, \phi$  decay form factors from light-cone sum rules reexamined”, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 014029.
  - [4] D. Melikhov and B. Stech, Weak form-factors for heavy meson decays: An Update, Phys. Rev. D62 (2000) 014006.
  - [5] C.Q. Geng, C.C. Liu, “Study of  $B_s(\eta, \eta', \phi)l\bar{l}$  decays, J. Phys. G29 (2003) 1103-1118.
  - [6] R. Khosravi, F. Falahati, “Semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $\phi$  meson in QCD”, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) no.5, 056002.
  - [7] R.N. Faustov and V.O. Galkin, “Rare  $B_s$  decays in the relativistic quark model”, Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) no.10, 2593.
  - [8] S. Dubnička, A.Z. Dubničková, A. Issadykov et al., “Decay  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-$  in covariant quark model”, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) no.9, 094022.
  - [9] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, “QCD and Resonance Physics. Theoretical Foundations”, Nucl. Phys. B147 (1979) 385.
  - [10] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, “QCD and Resonance Physics: Applications”, Nucl. Phys. B147 (1979) 448.
  - [11] P. Colangelo, A. Khodjamirian, “QCD Sum Rules, A Modern Perspective”, eprint hep-ph/0010175.
  - [12] LHCb Collaboration (Roel Aaij (CERN) et al.), “Angular analysis and differential branching fraction of the decay  $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ ”, JHEP 1509 (2015) 179.
  - [13] Amand Faessler, T. Gutsche, M.A. Ivanov, J.G. Korner, Valery E. Lyubovitskij, “The Exclusive rare decays  $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\bar{l}l$  and  $B_c \rightarrow D(D^*)\bar{l}l$  in a relativistic quark model”, Eur. Phys. J. direct 4 (2002) no.1, 18.
  - [14] Ying-Quan Peng, Mao-Zhi Yang, “Form Factors and Decay of  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$  From QCD Sum

- Rule”, eprint arXiv:1909.03370.
- [15] Cai-Dian Lu, Mao-Zhi Yang, “B to light meson transition form-factors calculated in perturbative QCD approach”, Eur. Phys. J. C28 (2003) 515-523.
  - [16] M. Benayoun, L. DelBuono, S. Eidelman, V.N. Ivanchenko, and H.B. O’Connell, “Radiative decays, nonet symmetry, and SU(3) breaking”, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 114027.
  - [17] A. Kucukarslan and U.G. Meissner, “ $\omega - \phi$  mixing in chiral perturbation theory”, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 21 (2006) 1423.
  - [18] M. Gronau and J.L. Rosner, “B decays dominated by  $\omega - \phi$  mixing”, Phys. Lett. B 666 (2008) 185.
  - [19] M. Benayoun, P. David, L. DelBuono, O. Leitner, and H.B. OConnell, “The dipion mass spectrum in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation and  $\tau$  decay: a dynamical ( $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$ ) mixing approach”, Eur. Phys. J. C 55 (2008) 199.
  - [20] M. Gronau and J.L. Rosner, “ $\omega - \phi$  mixing and weak annihilation in  $D_s$  decays”, Phys. Rev. D 79, 074006 (2009).
  - [21] M. Tanabashi et al.(Particle Data Group), “Review of Particle Physics”, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) no.3, 030001.
  - [22] Hao-Kai Sun, Mao-Zhi Yang, “Decay Constants and Distribution Amplitudes of B Meson in the Relativistic Potential Model”, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) no.11, 113001.
  - [23] G. Buchalla, A.J. Buras, M.E. Lautenbacher, “Weak decays beyond leading logarithms”, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68 (1996) 1125-1144.
  - [24] Ahmed Ali, Patricia Ball, L.T. Handoko, G. Hiller, “A Comparative study of the decays  $B \rightarrow K(K^*)l^+l^-$  in standard model and supersymmetric theories”, Phys. Rev. D61 (2000) 074024.
  - [25] D.S. Du, J.W. Li, M.Z. Yang, “Form factors and semileptonic decays of  $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \bar{\ell} \nu$  from QCD sum rule”, Eur.Phys.J.C 37 (2004) 173.
  - [26] M.Z. Yang, “Semileptonic decays of  $B$  and  $D \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)\bar{\ell}\nu$  from QCD sum rule”, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 034027.
  - [27] P. Ball, V.M. Braun, and H.G. Dosch, “Form factors and semileptonic  $D$  decays from QCD sum rules”, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 3567.
  - [28] L.D. Landau, “On analytic properties of vertex parts in quantum field theory”, Nucl. Phys. 13 (1959) 181.