

(p, q) -frames in shift-invariant subspaces of mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$

Yingchun Jiang and Jiao Li

School of Mathematics and Computational Science,

Guilin University of Electronic Technology, Guilin, 541004, P. R. China

Abstract: In this paper, we mainly discuss the (p, q) -frames in shift-invariant subspaces

$$V_{p,q}(\Phi) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} d_i(j_1, j_2) \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) : \left(d_i(j_1, j_2) \right)_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \in \ell^{p,q}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d) \right\}$$

of mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$. Some equivalent conditions for $\{\phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) : (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ to constitute a (p, q) -frame of $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ are given. Moreover, the result shows that $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ is closed under these equivalent conditions of (p, q) -frames for the family $\{\phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) : (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, although the general result is not correct.

Keywords: (p, q) -frame; mixed Lebesgue space; shift-invariant subspace

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 94A20, 46E22, 62D05.

1 Introduction

Frames were first introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer in the context of nonharmonic Fourier series [9], and were furtherly studied in many references, such as [1, 4, 8, 10, 14, 18, 20, 25]. In recent years, frames have been generally applied to wavelet theory, time frequency analysis and sampling theory [6, 7, 15, 28].

Some signals are time-varying in practice, which means that the signals live in time-space domains at the same time. Mixed Lebesgue space is a suitable tool for modeling and measuring time-space signals, due to the separate integrability for different variables. Mixed Lebesgue spaces were first described in detail by Benedek and Panzone [2], and were furtherly studied from the views of classical harmonic analysis and operator theory, see [3, 5, 11, 12, 13, 16, 23] and the references therein.

For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the mixed Lebesgue space $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ denotes the Banach space of all functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} = \left\| \|f(x_1, x_2)\|_{L_{x_2}^q(\mathbf{R}^d)} \right\|_{L_{x_1}^p(\mathbf{R})} < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

Similarly, $\ell^{p,q} = \ell^{p,q}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d)$ is the Banach space of all sequences $d = (d(j_1, j_2))_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d}$ such that

$$\|d\|_{\ell^{p,q}} = \left\| \left\| d(j_1, j_2) \right\|_{\ell_{j_2}^q(\mathbf{Z}^d)} \right\|_{\ell_{j_1}^p(\mathbf{Z})} < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Recently, sampling for time-varying signals in bandlimited subspaces, shift-invariant subspaces and reproducing kernel subspaces of mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ are studied in [21, 22, 24, 27, 29, 30]. Moreover, the $L^{p,q}$ -stability of the shifts of finitely many functions in mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$ was discussed in [26], which generalized the corresponding results of L^p -stability in [17, 19, 20].

In this paper, we mainly study the (p, q) -frames of the form $\{\phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) : (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ in shift-invariant subspaces $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ of $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$, which is a direct generalization of the notion of p -frames in [1].

Definition 1.1 *The family $\{\phi_i(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) : i = 1, \dots, r, (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d\}$ is called a (p, q) -frame of $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ if $\phi_i \in L^{p',q'}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ and there exist positive constants A and B such that for any $f \in L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$,*

$$A\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \left\{ \langle f, \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq B\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where p' and q' are the conjugate numbers of p and q , respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some lemmas which will be used for proving our main results in the next section. The main theorem and all the proofs are gathered in section 3.

2 Some lemmas

In this section, we will establish some lemmas which are useful for proving the main results. Firstly, we introduce the function spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{p,q} &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} = \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left\| \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |f(x_1 + j_1, x_2 + j_2)| \right\|_{L_{x_2}^q([0,1]^d)} \right\|_{L_{x_1}^p([0,1])} < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1}) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})} = \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} |f(x_1 + j_1, x_2 + j_2)| < \infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which are the generalization of $\mathcal{L}^p$ and $\mathcal{W}(L^1)$ in [1] defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^p &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} = \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |f(x + j)| \right\|_{L^p([0,1]^d)} < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{W}(L^1) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} |f(x + j)| < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we have $\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1}) \subset \mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty} \subset \mathcal{L}^{p,q} \subset L^{p,q}$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. For $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{L}^{p_2,q} \subset \mathcal{L}^{p_1,q}$. For $1 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{L}^{p,q_2} \subset \mathcal{L}^{p,q_1}$.

For any sequence $D = \left(d(j_1, j_2)\right)_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \in \ell^{p,q}$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$, define the semi-convolution

$$f *' D = \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} d(j_1, j_2) f(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2).$$

It is easy to verify that $f *'$ is a continuous map from $\ell^{p,q}$ to $L^{p,q}$.

Lemma 2.1 [26] Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. If $D \in \ell^{p,q}(\mathbf{Z}^{d+1})$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$, then

$$\|f *' D\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \|D\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}.$$

Lemma 2.2 [1] Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^p$ (or $\mathcal{W}(L^1)$), $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for any $D \in \ell^1$,

$$\|f *' D\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} \leq \|D\|_{\ell^1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f *' D\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)} \leq \|D\|_{\ell^1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)}.$$

Lemma 2.3 Let $D \in \ell^{1,1}$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}$ ($1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$). Then

$$\|f *' D\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} \leq \|D\|_{\ell^{1,1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}.$$

Proof Denote $d_{j_1}(\cdot) = d(j_1, \cdot)$ and $f_{x_1}(\cdot) = f(x_1, \cdot)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f *' D\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}^p &= \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} (f_{x_1+k_1-j_1} *' d_{j_1})(x_2+k_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right)^p dx_1 \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} (f_{x_1+k_1-j_1} *' d_{j_1})(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^q} \right)^p dx_1 \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|(f_{x_1+k_1-j_1} *' d_{j_1})(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^q} \right)^p dx_1 \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|d_{j_1}\|_{\ell^1} \|f_{x_1+k_1-j_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^q} \right)^p dx_1 \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|d(j_1, \cdot)\|_{\ell^1} \|f(x_1+k_1-j_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^q} \right)^p dx_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Denote $c(j_1) = \|d(j_1, \cdot)\|_{\ell^1}$ and $h(x_1) = \|f(x_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^q}$. Then by Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|d(j_1, \cdot)\|_{\ell^1} \|f(x_1+k_1-j_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^q} \right)^p dx_1 \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} c(j_1) h(x_1+k_1-j_1) \right)^p dx_1 \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} (h *' c)(x_1+k_1) \right)^p dx_1 \\ &= \|h *' c\|_{\mathcal{L}^p}^p \leq \|c\|_{\ell^1}^p \|h\|_{\mathcal{L}^p}^p = \|D\|_{\ell^{1,1}}^p \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}^p. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.1) leads to $\|f *' D\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} \leq \|D\|_{\ell^{1,1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $D \in \ell^{1,1}$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})$. Then

$$\|f *' D\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})} \leq \|D\|_{\ell^{1,1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})}.$$

Proof By the similar method as in Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f *' D\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})} &= \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} d_{j_1}(j_2) f_{x_1+k_1-j_1}(x_2+k_2-j_2) \right| \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} (f_{x_1+k_1-j_1} *' d_{j_1})(x_2+k_2) \right| \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} (f_{x_1+k_1-j_1} *' d_{j_1})(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|d_{j_1}\|_{\ell^1} \|f_{x_1+k_1-j_1}\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)} \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|d(j_1, \cdot)\|_{\ell^1} \|f(x_1+k_1-j_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)} \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} c(j_1) h(x_1+k_1-j_1) \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} (h *' c)(x_1+k_1) \\ &= \|h *' c\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)} \leq \|c\|_{\ell^1} \|h\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)} = \|D\|_{\ell^{1,1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(j_1) = \|d(j_1, \cdot)\|_{\ell^1}$ and $h(x_1) = \|f(x_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^1)}$.

Lemma 2.5 [1] Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose that $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) g(x-j) dx \right\}_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}.$$

Lemma 2.6 Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. If $f \in L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x_1, x_2) g(x_1-j_1, x_2-j_2) dx_1 dx_2 \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}}.$$

Proof Let $f_{x_1}(\cdot) = f(x_1, \cdot)$ and $g_{x_1}(\cdot) = g(x_1, \cdot)$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_{x_1}(x_2) g_{x_1-j_1}(x_2-j_2) dx_2 \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_{x_1}(x_2) g_{x_1-j_1}(x_2-j_2) dx_2 \right\}_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^q} \\ &\leq \|f_{x_1}\|_{L^q} \|g_{x_1-j_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Minkowshi's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x_1, x_2) g(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) dx_1 dx_2 \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \\
& \leq \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_{x_1}(x_2) g_{x_1-j_1}(x_2 - j_2) dx_2 \right|^q dx_1 \right)^{1/q} \right)^{1/q} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_{x_1}(x_2) g_{x_1-j_1}(x_2 - j_2) dx_2 \right|^q \right)^{1/q} dx_1 \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|f_{x_1}\|_{L^q} \|g_{x_1-j_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} dx_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the desired result follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x_1, x_2) g(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) dx_1 dx_2 \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \\
& = \left\| \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_{x_1}(x_2) g_{x_1-j_1}(x_2 - j_2) dx_1 dx_2 \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{\ell^p} \\
& \leq \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|f_{x_1}\|_{L^q} \|g_{x_1-j_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} dx_1 \right\}_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^p} \\
& \leq \left\| \|f_{x_1}\|_{L^q} \right\|_{\ell^p} \left\| \|g_{x_1-j_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \\
& = \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

For function $\phi(x_1, x_2) \in L^{2,2}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$, the Fourier transform is defined as

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \phi(x_1, x_2) e^{-i(x_1, x_2) \cdot (\xi, \tilde{\xi})} dx_1 dx_2.$$

For $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r)^T$ and $\Psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s)^T$, if $\hat{\phi}_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \overline{\hat{\psi}_{i'}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})}$ is integrable for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq i' \leq s$, define a $r \times s$ matrix

$$[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \left(\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \hat{\phi}_i(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi) \overline{\hat{\psi}_{i'}(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi)} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq i' \leq s}.$$

Lemma 2.7 Suppose that $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{L}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$, then $[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is continuous.

Proof Since $\phi_i, \psi_{i'} \in \mathcal{L}^{2,2} \subset \mathcal{L}^{1,1} \subset L^{1,1}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$, then $\hat{\phi}_i$ and $\hat{\psi}_{i'}$ are continuous.

Let $F(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \hat{\phi}_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \overline{\hat{\psi}_{i'}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})}$. Then it follows from Poisson's summation formula that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \hat{\phi}_i(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi) \overline{\hat{\psi}_{i'}(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi)} \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} F(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \check{F}(j_1, j_2) e^{-i(j_1, j_2) \cdot (\xi, \tilde{\xi})} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |\phi_i * \overline{\psi_{i'}}(j_1, j_2)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\phi_i(x_1, x_2)| |\psi_{i'}(j_1 - x_1, j_2 - x_2)| dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \int_0^1 \int_{[0,1]^d} |\phi_i(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2)| |\psi_{i'}(j_1 - k_1 - x_1, j_2 - k_2 - x_2)| dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |\phi_i(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2)| \right) \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |\psi_{i'}(j_1 - k_1 - x_1, j_2 - x_2)| \right) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_0^1 \|\phi_i(x_1 + k_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \|\psi_{i'}(j_1 - k_1 - x_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} dx_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\phi_i(x_1 + k_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \right) \left(\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi_{i'}(j_1 - x_1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \right) dx_1 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\phi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,2}} \|\psi_{i'}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \hat{\phi}_i(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi) \overline{\hat{\psi}_{i'}(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi)}$$

converges uniformly. Finally, $[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is continuous.

Lemma 2.8 *Let $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r)^T \in \mathcal{L}^{2,2}$. Then the following statements are equivalent to each other.*

- (i) $\text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi) \right)_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d}$ is a constant function on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$.
- (ii) $\text{rank}[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is a constant function on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$.
- (iii) There exists a positive constant C independent of ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ such that

$$C^{-1}[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \leq [\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \overline{[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})} \leq C[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}), \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]^d.$$

Proof Let $G = \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi) \right)_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d}$. Note that $G\overline{G^T} = [\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$. Then the equivalence of (i) and (ii) holds. Now, we begin to prove the equivalence of (ii) and (iii).

Note that $[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is a positive semi-definite Hermite matrix. Then all eigenvalues of $[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ satisfy $\lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, which are assumed to be ordered as $\lambda_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \geq \lambda_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$. Moreover, there exist $r \times r$ matrices $A(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ such that $\overline{A(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T} A(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = I_r$ and

$$\overline{A(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T} [\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) A(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \text{diag} \left(\lambda_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}), \dots, \lambda_r(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right). \quad (2.2)$$

Since $[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is continuous and 2π -periodic, then $\lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ are continuous and 2π -periodic for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Let $k_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \text{rank}[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$. If (ii) holds, that is, $k_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is a constant k_1 . Then $\lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) > 0$ for all $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$ and $1 \leq i \leq k_1$ and

$$\lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \text{ and } k_1 + 1 \leq i \leq r. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, it follows from the continuity and periodicity of $\lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$C^{-1} \leq \lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \leq C \quad \text{for } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq k_1. \quad (2.4)$$

This together with (2.2) and (2.3) obtains (iii).

If (iii) holds, then it follows from (2.2) that

$$C^{-1} \lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \leq \lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^2 \leq C \lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Thus either $\lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = 0$ or $C^{-1} \leq \lambda_i(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \leq C$. Hence, $\text{rank}[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is a constant by the continuity. This completes the proof of (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii).

Lemma 2.9 Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}^{2,2}$ satisfy $\text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} = k_0 \geq 1$ for all $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$. Then there exists a finite set $\Lambda = \{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})\} \subset [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]^d$, $r \times r$ 2π -periodic nonsingular matrices $P_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ and $(K_{\eta_{\lambda_1}}, K_{\eta_{\lambda_2}}) \subset \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d$ with cardinality $\#(K_{\eta_{\lambda_1}}, K_{\eta_{\lambda_2}}) = k_0$, 2π -periodic C^∞ functions $h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$, which satisfy the following properties:

(i) There exist $\Psi_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}$ and $\Psi_{2,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}$ which are functions from $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ to C^{k_0} and C^{r-k_0} respectively, defined by

$$P_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, for sufficiently small $\delta_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})} > 0$, one has

$$\text{rank} \left(\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\eta_{\lambda_1}}, K_{\eta_{\lambda_2}})} = k_0, \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}), 2\delta_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}) \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = 0, \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B\left((\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}), 2\delta_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}\right) + 2\pi(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d). \quad (2.7)$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}) \in \Lambda} h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = 1, \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\text{supp } h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \subset B\left((\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}), \delta_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}\right) + 2\pi(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof For any $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]^d$, there exists a $r \times r$ nonsingular matrix $P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}$, a $k_0 \times k_0$ nonsingular matrix $A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}$ and $(K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2}) \subset \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d$ with cardinality k_0 such that

$$P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\eta_1 + 2k_1\pi, \eta_2 + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2})} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Write

$$P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2})} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ R_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the continuity of $\hat{\Phi}$, $R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ and $R_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ are continuous, $R_1(\eta_1, \eta_2) = R_2(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0$. Thus

$$\sup_{(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_1, \eta_2), 5\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)})} \|R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi})\| + \|R_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})\|$$

is sufficiently small for any sufficiently small $\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} > 0$. Let $H(x, y)$ be a nonnegative C^∞ function on $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ such that

$$H(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |(x, y)| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } |(x, y)| \geq 5/4. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Then $A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + H\left((\xi - \eta_1)/4\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}, (\tilde{\xi} - \eta_2)/4\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}\right)R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is a $k_0 \times k_0$ nonsingular matrix for all $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$ when $\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}$ is chosen sufficiently small. For $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$, set

$$\alpha_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} H\left(\frac{\xi + 2j_1\pi - \eta_1}{4\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}}, \frac{\tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi - \eta_2}{4\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}}\right) R_1(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi)$$

and

$$\beta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} H\left(\frac{\xi + 2j_1\pi - \eta_1}{2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}}, \frac{\tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi - \eta_2}{2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}}\right) R_2(\xi + 2j_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2j_2\pi).$$

Then $\alpha_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is 2π -periodic and nonsingular when $\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}$ is chosen sufficiently small. Let

$$P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\beta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(\alpha_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}, \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Then $P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is a $r \times r$ 2π -periodic nonsingular matrix for any $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$. Note that $\alpha_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ and $\beta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = R_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ for $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_1, \eta_2), 2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)})$. Thus for any $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_1, \eta_2), 2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)})$, one has

$$P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2})} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

Define $\Psi_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2)} = (\psi_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2),1}, \dots, \psi_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2),k_0})^T$ and $\Psi_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)} = (\psi_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2),1}, \dots, \psi_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2),r-k_0})^T$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{pmatrix} = P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}), \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d. \quad (2.12)$$

Recall that the Fourier coefficients of all entries of $P_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ belong to $\ell^{1,1}$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, we obtain $\Psi_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \in \mathcal{L}^{1,1}$ and $\Psi_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \in \mathcal{L}^{2,2}$. By (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) = 0 \quad \text{for } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_1, \eta_2), 2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}) \text{ and } (k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2}). \quad (2.13)$$

For $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_1, \eta_2), 2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)})$, we have

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2})} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = k_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} + R_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) & \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi + 2k'_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k'_2\pi) \\ 0 & \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi + 2k'_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k'_2\pi) \end{pmatrix}_{(k'_1, k'_2) \in (\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d) \setminus (K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2})} \\ &= \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \end{pmatrix}_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \\ &= \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \end{pmatrix}_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} = k_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi + 2k'_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k'_2\pi) = 0$ for $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_1, \eta_2), 2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)})$ and $(k'_1, k'_2) \in (\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d) \setminus (K_{\eta_1}, K_{\eta_2})$. This together with (2.13) obtains

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = 0 \quad \text{for } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\eta_1, \eta_2), 2\delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}) + 2\pi(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d).$$

For the family $\left\{ B((\eta_1, \eta_2), \delta_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}/2) : (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]^d \right\}$, it follows from finite covering theorem that there exists a finite set $\Lambda \subset [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]^d$ such that

$$\bigcup_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}) \in \Lambda} B((\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}), \delta_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}/2) \supset [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]^d.$$

Then, there exist 2π -periodic C^∞ functions $h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ such that (2.8) and (2.9) hold.

Lemma 2.10 Let $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}$ if $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})$ if $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$. Assume that $\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \phi(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) = 0$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 < 1$ and any function h on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$ satisfying

$$|h(x_1, y_1) - h(x_2, y_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2| |y_1 - y_2| \left(1 + \min(|x_1|, |x_2|)\right)^{-1-\varepsilon_1} \left(1 + \min(|y_1|, |y_2|)\right)^{-d-\varepsilon_2}, \quad (2.14)$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n(d+1)} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} h(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2) \phi(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof For $m \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, let $|m| = \max\{|m_1|, |m_2|, \dots, |m_d|\}$. If $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\left\| \sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |\phi(x_1 + j_1, x_2 + j_2)| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{|j_1| < N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{|j_2| \geq N} |\phi(x_1 + j_1, x_2 + j_2)| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (2.17)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x_1, x_2) = & \phi(x_1, x_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1, x_2) \\ & + \left(\sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \sum_{|j_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \sum_{|j_2| < N} + \sum_{|j_1| < N} \sum_{|j_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + j_1, x_2 + j_2) \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1, x_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $O_{N_0} = \bigcup_{\{|j_1| < N, |j_2| < N\}} ((j_1, j_2) + [0, 1] \times [0, 1]^d)$. Then for $(x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]^d$,

$$\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \phi_1(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) =: I_1 + I_2. \quad (2.18)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \\ &= \sum_{|j_1| < N} \sum_{|j_2| < N} \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2), \\ I_2 &= \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \\ &\quad \times \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \\ &= \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

This together with (2.18) and (2.19) obtains

$$\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \phi_1(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) = \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_1 - \phi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} &= \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\ &\leq E_1 + E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we discuss E_1 and E_2 respectively. In fact, one has

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \left\| \sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad (2.21) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= \left\| \sum_{|j_1| < N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\ &\leq E_{21} + E_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{21} &= \left\| \sum_{|j_1| < N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{|j_2| < N} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left| \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2) \right|^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \phi(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{|k_1| < N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \left| \phi(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{22} &= \left\| \sum_{|j_1| < N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{|j_2| \geq N} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right|^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{|j_1| < N} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{|j_2| \geq N} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.21) and (2.22) obtains

$$\|\phi_1 - \phi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, using (2.14), (2.20) and the fact that

$$\text{supp } \phi_1 \subset \left\{ \left((j_1, j_2) + [0, 1] \times [0, 1]^d \right) : |j_1| \leq N, |j_2| \leq N \right\}, \tag{2.23}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{-n(d+1)p} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} h(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2) \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}^p \\
&= 2^{-n(d+1)p} \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left(h(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2) - h(2^{-n}k_1, 2^{-n}k_2) \right) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right|^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right)^p dx_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C^p 2^{-n(d+1)p} \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |2^{-n}j_1 - 2^{-n}k_1| |2^{-n}j_2 - 2^{-n}k_2| \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left(1 + \min(|2^{-n}j_1|, |2^{-n}k_1|)\right)^{-1-\varepsilon_1} \left(1 + \min(|2^{-n}j_2|, |2^{-n}k_2|)\right)^{-d-\varepsilon_2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left| \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} dx_1 \\
&\leq C_1^p(N) C^p 2^{-n(d+1)p} 2^{-2np} \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sum_{|j_1 - k_1| < N} \sum_{|j_2 - k_2| < N} \left(1 + 2^{-n}|k_1|\right)^{-1-\varepsilon_1} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left(1 + 2^{-n}|k_2|\right)^{-d-\varepsilon_2} \left| \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} dx_1 \\
&\leq C_1^p(N) C^p 2^{-n(d+1)p} 2^{-2np} \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(1 + 2^{-n}|k_1|\right)^{-1-\varepsilon_1} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left(1 + 2^{-n}|k_2|\right)^{-d-\varepsilon_2} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \sum_{|j_1 - k_1| < N} \sum_{|j_2 - k_2| < N} \left| \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} dx_1 \\
&\leq 2^{n(1+\varepsilon_1)p} 2^{n(d+\varepsilon_2)p} 2^{-n(d+1)p} 2^{-2np} C^p C^p(N) \|\phi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}^p \\
&\leq 2^{n(\varepsilon_1-1)p} 2^{n(\varepsilon_2-1)p} C^p C^p(N) \left(\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} + \varepsilon \right)^p,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(N)$ is a positive constant depending only on N , d , ε_1 and ε_2 , C is the constant in (2.14). Then, (2.15) holds.

If $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 + j_1, x_2 + j_2) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\sum_{|j_1| < N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{|j_2| \geq N} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 + j_1, x_2 + j_2) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (2.25)$$

Moreover, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_1 - \phi\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})} &= \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \\
&\leq F_1 + F_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we estimate F_1 and F_2 , respectively. In fact, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 &= \sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \\
&= \sum_{|j_1| \geq N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \tag{2.26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2 &= \sum_{|j_1| < N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_1 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \\
&\leq F_{21} + F_{22},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{21} &= \sum_{|j_1| < N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{|j_2| < N} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \\
&= \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2) \right| + \\
&\quad \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 + k_1, x_2 + k_2) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{22} &= \sum_{|j_1| < N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{|j_2| \geq N} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} + \sum_{|k_1| \geq N} \sum_{|k_2| < N} + \sum_{|k_1| < N} \sum_{|k_2| \geq N} \right) \phi(x_1 + k_1 - j_1, x_2 + k_2 - j_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]^d}(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) - \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \\
&= \sum_{|j_1| < N} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{|j_2| \geq N} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \left| \phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.26) and (2.27) obtains

$$\|\phi_1 - \phi\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Finally, using (2.14), (2.20) and (2.23) and a similar method as the first case, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{-n(d+1)} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} h(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2) \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \\
&\leq 2^{-n(d+1)} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left| h(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2) - h(2^{-n}k_1, 2^{-n}k_2) \right| \\
&\quad \left| \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right| \\
&\leq C_1(N) C 2^{-n(d+1)} 2^{-2n} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \sum_{|j_1 - k_1| < N} \sum_{|j_2 - k_2| < N} \left(1 + \min(|2^{-n}j_1|, |2^{-n}k_1|) \right)^{-1-\varepsilon_1} \\
&\quad \left(1 + \min(|2^{-n}j_2|, |2^{-n}k_2|) \right)^{-d-\varepsilon_2} \left| \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right| \\
&\leq C_1(N) C 2^{-n(d+1)} 2^{-2n} \sup_{x_1 \in [0,1]} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(1 + 2^{-n}|k_1| \right)^{-1-\varepsilon_1} \sup_{x_2 \in [0,1]^d} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left(1 + 2^{-n}|k_2| \right)^{-d-\varepsilon_2} \\
&\quad \sum_{|j_1 - k_1| < N} \sum_{|j_2 - k_2| < N} \left| \phi_1(x_1 - j_1 + k_1, x_2 - j_2 + k_2) \right| \\
&\leq 2^{n(1+\varepsilon_1)} 2^{n(d+\varepsilon_2)} 2^{-n(d+1)} 2^{-2n} C C(N) \|\phi_1\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})} \\
&\leq 2^{n(\varepsilon_1-1)} 2^{n(\varepsilon_2-1)} C C(N) \left(\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})} + \varepsilon \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This together with the fact that $\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty} \subset \mathcal{L}^{p, \infty}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty} \subset \mathcal{L}^{\infty, q}$ proves (2.15) for the cases $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$.

3 Main results

In this section, we will give the main result of this paper and its proofs.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r)^T \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}$ if $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})$ if $p = 1, \infty$ or $q = 1, \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent to each other.

(i) $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ is closed in $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$.

(ii) $\{\phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) : (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ is a (p, q) -frame for $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$, i.e., there exists a positive constant A (depending on p, q and Φ) such that

$$A^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x_1, x_2) \overline{\phi_i(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2)} dx_1 dx_2 \right)_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq A\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \quad (3.1)$$

holds for any $f \in V_{p,q}(\Phi)$.

(iii) There exists a positive constant C such that

$$C^{-1}[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \leq [\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \overline{[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T} \leq C[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}), \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]^d.$$

(iv) There exists a positive constant B (depending on p, q and Φ) such that

$$B^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \inf_{f = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i *' D_i} \sum_{i=1}^r \|D_i\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq B\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \quad \text{for } f \in V_{p,q}(\Phi). \quad (3.2)$$

(v) There exists $\Psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r)^T \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}$ if $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})$ if $p = 1, \infty$ or $q = 1, \infty$ such that for any $f \in V_{p,q}(\Phi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \langle f, \psi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \langle f, \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \psi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

3.1 Proof of (v) $\implies$ (iv)

Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \langle f, \psi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2)$. Then using Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{f = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i *' D_i} \sum_{i=1}^r \|D_i\|_{\ell^{p,q}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \left\{ \langle f, \psi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \|\psi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \right) \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If $f = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i *' D_i \in V_{p,q}(\Phi)$, then by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i *' D_i \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \phi_i *' D_i \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \|\phi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \|D_i\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \right).$$

This together with (3.4) proves the inequality (3.2) for $B = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \|\psi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}}, \sum_{i=1}^r \|\phi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \right\}$.

3.2 Proof of (iv) $\implies$ (i)

Lemma 3.2 [1] Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be two Banach spaces, T be a bounded linear operator from X to Y . If there exists a positive constant C such that

$$C^{-1}\|y\|_Y \leq \inf_{y=Tx} \|x\|_X \leq C\|y\|_Y \quad \text{for } y \in \text{Ran}(T),$$

then $\text{Ran}(T)$ is closed.

Proof of (iv) $\implies$ (i). Take $X = (\ell^{p,q})^r$ and $Y = L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d)$. Define $T : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(Tc)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} c_i(j_1, j_2) \phi_i(x - j_1, y - j_2)$$

for $c = (c_1, \dots, c_r)^T \in (\ell^{p,q})^r$. Then $\text{Ran}(T) = V_{p,q}(\Phi)$. Finally, it follows from Lemma 3.2 and (3.2) that (i) holds.

3.3 Proof of (i) $\implies$ (iii)

Let $k_0 = \min_{(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d} \text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d}$ and $\Omega_{k_0} = \left\{ (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) : \text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} > k_0 \right\}$. Then $\Omega_{k_0} \neq \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$. By Lemma 2.8, it suffices to prove that $\Omega_{k_0} = \emptyset$. On the contrary, suppose that $\Omega_{k_0} \neq \emptyset$. Then it suffices to construct a function G in the $L^{p,q}$ -closure of $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ such that G cannot be written as $\sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i *' D_i$ for some $D_i \in \ell^{p,q}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Note that Ω_{k_0} is an open set. Then the boundary $\partial\Omega_{k_0}$ of Ω_{k_0} is nonempty. For any $(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0) \in \partial\Omega_{k_0}$, $\text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi_0 + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi}_0 + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} = k_0$ and

$$\max_{(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), \delta)} \text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} > k_0 \quad \text{for } \delta > 0.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.9, there exists a $r \times r$ 2π -periodic nonsingular matrix $P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ and $(K_{\xi_0}, K_{\tilde{\xi}_0}) \subset \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d$ with cardinality $\#(K_{\xi_0}, K_{\tilde{\xi}_0}) = k_0$ such that $\Psi_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}$ defined by

$$\hat{\Psi}_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{pmatrix} = P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$$

satisfies

$$\text{rank} \left(\hat{\Psi}_{1, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\xi_0}, K_{\tilde{\xi}_0})} = k_0 \quad \text{for } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), 2\delta_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi_0 + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi}_0 + 2k_2\pi) = 0 \quad \text{for } (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) = 0 \quad \text{for } (k_1, k_2) \in (K_{\xi_0}, K_{\tilde{\xi}_0}) \text{ and } (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in B((\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), 2\delta_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}) \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi}) \neq 0 \text{ on } B\left((\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),\delta\right) + 2\pi(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d) \quad (3.8)$$

for all $0 < \delta < 2\delta_{(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}$. Since the Fourier coefficients of $P_{(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi})$ belong to $\ell^{1,1}$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}$ (or $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})$), we have $\Psi_{(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}$ (or $\Psi_{(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} \in \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})$). This together with (3.6) and the Poisson's summation formula leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} e^{-i(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0) \cdot (\cdot + j_1, \cdot + j_2)} \Psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\cdot + j_1, \cdot + j_2) \\ &= \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi_0 + 2\pi j_1, \tilde{\xi}_0 + 2\pi j_2) e^{-i2\pi(j_1,j_2) \cdot (\cdot, \cdot)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Let H be a nonnegative C^∞ function satisfying (2.10) and

$$H_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi}) = \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} H\left(2^n(\xi + 2k_1\pi - \xi_0), 2^n(\tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi - \tilde{\xi}_0)\right), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Define an operator $T_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}$ from $(\ell^{p,q})^{r-k_0}$ to $L^{p,q}$ by

$$T_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} : D = (D_1, \dots, D_{r-k_0})^T \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \left(\psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),i} *' h_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} \right) *' D_i,$$

where $h_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}$ denotes the sequence of the Fourier coefficients of the 2π -periodic function $H_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi})$ and $\Psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} = (\psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),1}, \dots, \psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),r-k_0})^T$. By (3.9), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.10, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} D\|_{L^{p,q}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \left\| \left(\psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),i} *' h_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} \right) *' D_i \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \|D_i\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \|\psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),i} *' h_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \|D_i\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} h_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(j_1, j_2) \psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),i}(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(j_1, j_2) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} H_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi}) e^{-i(j_1,j_2) \cdot (\xi,\tilde{\xi})} d\xi d\tilde{\xi} \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} H\left(2^n(\xi + 2k_1\pi - \xi_0), 2^n(\tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi - \tilde{\xi}_0)\right) e^{-i(j_1,j_2) \cdot (\xi,\tilde{\xi})} d\xi d\tilde{\xi} \\ &= e^{-i(j_1,j_2) \cdot (\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} H(2^n\xi, 2^n\tilde{\xi}) e^{-i(j_1,j_2) \cdot (\xi,\tilde{\xi})} d\xi d\tilde{\xi} \\ &= 2^{-n(d+1)} e^{-i(j_1,j_2) \cdot (\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} H(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) e^{-i(j_1,j_2) \cdot (2^{-n}\xi, 2^{-n}\tilde{\xi})} d\xi d\tilde{\xi} \\ &= e^{-i(j_1,j_2) \cdot (\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} 2^{-n(d+1)} \hat{H}(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.9) and (3.10) obtains

$$\|T_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}D\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \|D\|_{\ell^{p,q}} 2^{-n(d+1)} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \hat{H}(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2) e^{-i(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0) \cdot (\cdot + j_1, \cdot + j_2)} \Psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\cdot + j_1, \cdot + j_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\| = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Let $\tilde{H}(x_1, x_2) = H(2x_1, 2x_2) - H(8x_1, 8x_2)$ and define

$$\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \tilde{H}\left(2^n(\xi + 2k_1\pi - \xi_0), 2^n(\tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi - \tilde{\xi}_0)\right), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Then for $n \geq 4$, we have

$$\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) H_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}). \quad (3.12)$$

Define an operator $\tilde{T}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}$ from $(\ell^{p,q})^{r-k_0}$ to $L^{p,q}$ by

$$\tilde{T}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} : D = (D_1, \dots, D_{r-k_0})^T \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \left(\psi_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),i} *' \tilde{h}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} \right) *' D_i, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\tilde{h}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}$ denotes the sequence of the Fourier coefficients of the 2π -periodic function $\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$. Note that

$$\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = H_{n+1,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n+3,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}).$$

Then it follows from (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\| &= \|T_{n+1,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)} - T_{n+3,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\| \\ &\leq \|T_{n+1,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\| + \|T_{n+3,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\| \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By (3.8) and (3.11), there exists a subsequence n_ℓ , $\ell \geq 1$ such that $n_{\ell+1} \geq n_\ell + 8$,

$$\|\tilde{T}_{n_\ell,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\| \neq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^5 \|T_{n_\ell+k,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\| \leq 2^{-\ell}. \quad (3.15)$$

Let $D_{n_\ell} \in (\ell^{p,q})^{r-k_0}$ be chosen such that

$$\|D_{n_\ell}\|_{\ell^{p,q}} = 1 \text{ and } \|\tilde{T}_{n_\ell,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}D_{n_\ell}\|_{L^{p,q}} \geq \|\tilde{T}_{n_\ell,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}\|/2. \quad (3.16)$$

For sufficiently large ℓ_1 and $s \geq \ell_1$, define G_s and G by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_s(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) &= \sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^s \ell \left(H_{n_\ell,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n_\ell+4,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^s \ell \left(H_{n_\ell,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n_\ell+4,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) \left(0, D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \right) P_{(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{G}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^{\infty} \ell \left(H_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n_\ell+4, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}).$$

Now it remains to prove that G is in the $L^{p,q}$ -closure of $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ and that G cannot be written as $\sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i *' D_i$ for some $D_i \in \ell^{p,q}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. From the construction of G_s and G , $G_s \in V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ for all $s \geq \ell_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|G - G_s\|_{L^{p,q}} &\leq \sum_{\ell=s+1}^{\infty} \ell \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\left(H_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n_\ell+4, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=s+1}^{\infty} \ell \left(\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(H_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(H_{n_\ell+4, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} D_{n_\ell} &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \left(\psi_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), i} *' h_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \right) *' D_{n_\ell}^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} D_{n_\ell}^{(i)}(k_1, k_2) \left(\psi_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), i} *' h_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \right)(x_1 - k_1, x_2 - k_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} D_{n_\ell})^\wedge(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} D_{n_\ell}^{(i)}(k_1, k_2) e^{-i(k_1, k_2) \cdot (\xi, \tilde{\xi})} \left(\psi_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), i} *' h_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \right)^\wedge(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} D_{n_\ell}^{(i)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} h_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(k_1, k_2) \psi_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), i}(x_1 - k_1, x_2 - k_2) \right)^\wedge(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-k_0} D_{n_\ell}^{(i)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) H_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), i}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= H_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.11) and (3.17) obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|G - G_s\|_{L^{p,q}} &\leq \sum_{\ell=s+1}^{\infty} \ell \left(\|T_{n_\ell+4, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}\| + \|T_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}\| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=s+1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell}{2^\ell} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that G is in the $L^{p,q}$ -closure of $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$.

Finally, we prove that $G \notin V_{p,q}(\Phi)$. On the contrary, suppose that

$$\hat{G}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = B(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \quad (3.18)$$

for some vector valued 2π -periodic distribution $B(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ with Fourier coefficients belonging to $\ell^{p,q}$. Note that $\text{supp} \hat{G}_s(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \subset B((\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), 2^{-(\ell_1-1)}) + 2\pi(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d)$ for all $s \geq \ell_1$ and so is $\text{supp} \hat{G}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$. Hence we may assume that $B(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ in (3.18) is supported in $B((\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0), \delta_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}) + 2\pi(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d)$ when ℓ_1 is chosen large enough. Write

$$B(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \left(P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right)^{-1} = \left(B_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T, B_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \right).$$

Then we can rewrite (3.18) as

$$\begin{aligned} & B_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \left(-B_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T + \sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^{\infty} \ell \left(H_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n_\ell+4, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \right) \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

This together with (3.5) and (3.7) obtains that $B_1(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \equiv 0$. Substituting this into (3.19),

$$\begin{aligned} & B_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^{\infty} \ell \left(H_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n_\ell+4, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By direct computation and using the fact that $n_{\ell+1} \geq n_\ell + 8$, we obtain

$$\tilde{H}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(H_{n_{\ell'}, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - H_{n_{\ell'}+4, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) = \begin{cases} \tilde{H}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) & \text{if } \ell' = \ell, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell' \neq \ell. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying $\tilde{H}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ on both sides of (3.20) gives

$$\tilde{H}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) B_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \ell \tilde{H}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}). \quad (3.21)$$

Let b_2 be the Fourier coefficients of $B_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$, it follows from (3.16) and $\|\tilde{T}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}\| \neq 0$ that

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\ell \tilde{H}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D_{n_\ell}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \geq \ell \|\tilde{T}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}\|/2$$

and

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\tilde{H}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) B_2(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \|\tilde{T}_{n_\ell, (\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}\| b_2,$$

which contradicts with (3.21). This completes the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

3.4 Proof of (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v)

Let $h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$, $P_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ and $\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}$ be as in Lemma 2.9. Define

$$B_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = H_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \overline{P_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T} \begin{pmatrix} [\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} P_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}), \quad (3.22)$$

where $H_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ is a 2π -periodic C^∞ function such that $H_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = 1$ on the support of $h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}$ and $H_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}$ is supported in $B((\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}), 2\delta_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}) + 2\pi(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d)$. Then the Fourier coefficients of $B_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ belong to $\ell^{1,1}$. Define $\Psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r)^\top$ by

$$\hat{\Psi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \sum_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}) \in \Lambda} h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) B_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}). \quad (3.23)$$

Then $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}$ if $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{W}(L^{1,1})$ if $p = 1, \infty$ or $q = 1, \infty$. For any $f \in V_{p,q}(\Phi)$, define

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \langle f, \psi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2).$$

Then it suffices to prove that $g = f$. By the definition of the space $V_{p,q}(\Phi)$, there exists 2π -periodic distribution $D(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ with Fourier coefficients belonging to $\ell^{p,q}$ such that $\hat{f}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = D(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^\top \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$. Therefore, it follows from (3.22), (3.23) and Lemma 2.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) &= D(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^\top [\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \sum_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}) \in \Lambda} h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^\top [\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \overline{B_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})} \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \sum_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}) \in \Lambda} h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^\top P_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} [\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} [\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2}) \in \Lambda} h_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) D(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^\top P_{(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\eta_{\lambda_1}, \eta_{\lambda_2})}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= D(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^\top \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \hat{f}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that $f = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \langle f, \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \psi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2)$. This completes the proof of $(iii) \Rightarrow (v)$.

3.5 Proof of $(v) \Rightarrow (ii)$

Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \langle f, \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \psi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2)$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \left\{ \langle f, \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \|\psi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \left\{ \langle f, \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \|\psi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \right). \quad (3.24) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we can obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \left\{ \langle f, \phi_i(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \rangle \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \|\phi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \right) \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}.$$

Thus, the item (ii) of Theorem 3.1 holds for $A = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \|\psi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}}, \sum_{i=1}^r \|\phi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \right\}$.

3.6 Proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)

Let $k_0 = \min_{(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d} \text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d}$ and $\Omega_{k_0} = \left\{ (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) : \text{rank} \left(\hat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k_1\pi, \tilde{\xi} + 2k_2\pi) \right)_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} > k_0 \right\}$. By Lemma 2.8, it suffices to prove that $\Omega_{k_0} = \emptyset$. On the contrary, suppose that $\Omega_{k_0} \neq \emptyset$. Let $(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0) \in \partial\Omega_{k_0}$, $\Psi_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}$, $\Psi_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}$, $P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$, $H_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$, $\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ and $\delta_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}$ be as in the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). It follows from (3.5) and the continuity of $[\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ that we can choose a n_0 such that $2^{-n_0} < \delta_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) &= [\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0) \\ &\quad + H_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left([\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) - [\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0) \right) \end{aligned}$$

is nonsingular for all $n \geq n_0$. Given any $(r - k_0) \times 1$ 2π -periodic distribution matrix $F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ with Fourier coefficients belonging to $\ell^{p,q}$, define $g_n, n \geq n_0 + 1$, by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_n(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) &= \tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(-F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T [\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(\alpha_n(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right)^{-1}, F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \right) \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Then $g_n \in V_{p,q}(\Phi)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{g}_n, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) &= \tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(-F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T [\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(\alpha_n(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right)^{-1}, F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \right) \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} [\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ [\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where we have used (3.12) and the fact that $\alpha_n(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = [\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ on the support of $\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, (3.12), (3.26) and

$$P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\hat{g}_n, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} &= \left\| \left[\hat{g}_n, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \end{pmatrix} \right] (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \overline{P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^{-T}} \right\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} \\
&\leq \left\| \left[\hat{g}_n, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \end{pmatrix} \right] (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} \left\| \overline{P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^{-T}} \right\|_{\ell_*^{1,1}} \\
&\leq C \left\| \left[\hat{g}_n, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \\ \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \end{pmatrix} \right] (\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} \\
&= C \left\| [\hat{g}_n, \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} \\
&= C \left\| [\hat{g}_n, H_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} \\
&\leq C \|g_n\|_{L^{p,q}} \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(H_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}}, \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\left\| \overline{P_{(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^{-T}} \right\|_{\ell_*^{1,1}} \leq C$. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(H_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} h_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(j_1, j_2) \Psi_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\cdot - j_1, \cdot - j_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} \\
&\leq 2^{-n(d+1)} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \hat{H}(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2) e^{-i(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0) \cdot (\cdot + j_1, \cdot + j_2)} \Psi_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\cdot + j_1, \cdot + j_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(H_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)} \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}} = 0.$$

This together with (3.27) leads to the existence of $\epsilon_n, n \geq n_0$, such that

$$\|[\hat{g}_n, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} \leq \epsilon_n \|g_n\|_{L^{p,q}} \tag{3.28}$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$. On the other hand, by the assumption (ii) we obtain

$$\|[\hat{g}_n, \hat{\Phi}](\xi, \tilde{\xi})\|_{\ell_*^{p,q}} = \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} g_n(x_1, x_2) \overline{\Phi(x_1 - j_1, x_2 - j_2)} \right\}_{(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \geq A^{-1} \|g_n\|_{L^{p,q}}.$$

This together with (3.28) proves that there exists an integer $n_1 \geq n_0 + 1$ such that

$$g_n \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq n_1.$$

Thus for any $(r - k_0) \times 1$ 2π -periodic distribution matrix $F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})$ with Fourier coefficients belonging to $\ell^{p,q}$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T [\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}, \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \left(\alpha_n(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) \\
&= \tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) F(\xi, \tilde{\xi})^T \hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi, \tilde{\xi})
\end{aligned}$$

from (3.25) for any $n \geq n_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi})[\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)},\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi,\tilde{\xi})\left(\alpha_n(\xi,\tilde{\xi})\right)^{-1}\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi}) \\ &= \tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi})\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

This together with (3.5) and (3.7) leads to

$$\tilde{H}_{n,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi})[\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)},\hat{\Psi}_{1,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}](\xi,\tilde{\xi})\left(\alpha_n(\xi,\tilde{\xi})\right)^{-1}=0, \quad (\xi,\tilde{\xi}) \in B\left((\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),2^{-n_1}\right)+2\pi(\mathbf{Z}\times\mathbf{Z}^d).$$

Substituting this into (3.29), we obtain

$$\hat{\Psi}_{2,(\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0)}(\xi,\tilde{\xi}) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } B\left((\xi_0,\tilde{\xi}_0),2^{-n_1}\right)+2\pi(\mathbf{Z}\times\mathbf{Z}^d),$$

which contradicts with (3.8). This completes the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Acknowledgement The project is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11661024, 11671107) and the Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (Nos. 2019GXNSFFA245012, 2017GXNSFAA198194), Guangxi Key Laboratory of Cryptography and Information Security (No. GCIS201614), Guangxi Colleges and Universities Key Laboratory of Data Analysis and Computation.

References

- [1] A. Aldroubi, Q. Sun, W. S. Tang. p -frames and shift-invariant subspaces of L^p . *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **7**(1)(2001), 1-22.
- [2] A. Benedek, R. Panzone. The space L^p with mixed norm. *Duke Math. J.*, **28**(3)(1961), 301-324.
- [3] A. Benedek, A. P. Calderón, R. Panzone. Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **48**(1962), 356-365.
- [4] O. Christensen, C. Heil. Perturbations of Banach frames and atomic decompositions. *Math. Nach.*, **185**(1997), 33-47.
- [5] G. Cleanthous, A. G. Georgiadis, M. Nielsen. Molecular decomposition of anisotropic homogeneous mixed-norm spaces with applications to the boundedness of operators. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, **47**(2)(2019), 447-480.
- [6] C. K. Chui, X. Shi. Affine operators and frames of multivariate wavelets. *In Advance in Computational Mathematics, New Delhi, India, H. P. Dikshit and C. A. Micchelli, Eds., World Scientific Publishing, Singapore*, (1994), 139-155.

- [7] I. Daubechies. The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **22**(1990), 592-593.
- [8] C. de Boor, R. Devore, A. Ron. The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant spaces in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **119**(1994), 37-78.
- [9] R. J. Duffin, A. C. Schaeffer. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72**(1952), 341-366.
- [10] H. Feichtinger, G. Zimmermann. A Banach space of test functions for Gabor analysis. In *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms: Theory and Applications*, H. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, Eds., Birkhäuser, Boston, (1998), 123-170.
- [11] D. L. Fernandez. Vector-valued singular integral operators on L^p -spaces with mixed norms and applications. *Pacific J. Math.*, **129**(2)(1987), 257-275.
- [12] J. L. Francia, F. J. Ruiz, J. L. Torrea. Calderón-Zygmund theory for operator-valued kernels. *Adv. Math.*, **62**(1)(1986), 7-48.
- [13] A. G. Georgiadis, J. Johnsen, M. Nielsen. Wavelet transforms for homogeneous mixed-norm Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *Monatsh. Math.*, **183**(2017), 587-624.
- [14] K. Gröchenig. Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. *Monatsh. Math.*, **112**(1991), 1-42.
- [15] D. Han, D. Larson. Frames, bases and group representations. *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, **147**(697)(2000).
- [16] L. Huang, L. Liu, D. Yang, W. Yuan. Dual spaces of anisotropic mixed-norm Hardy spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **147**(2019), 1201-1215.
- [17] R. Q. Jia. Stability of the shifts of a finite number of functions. *J. Approx. Theory*, **95**(2)(1998), 194-202.
- [18] R. Q. Jia. Shift-invariant spaces and linear operator equations. *Israel Math. J.*, **103**(1998), 259-288.
- [19] R. Q. Jia, C. A. Micchelli. On linear independence for integer translates of a finite number of functions. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, **36**(1992), 69-85.
- [20] R. Q. Jia, C. A. Micchelli. Using the refinement equation for the construction of pre-wavelets II: Powers of two, *Curves and Surfaces*, (1991), 209-246.
- [21] Y. C. Jiang. Average sampling and reconstruction of reproducing kernel signals in mixed Lebesgue spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **480**(1)(2019), 123370.

- [22] Y. C. Jiang, W. C. Sun. Adaptive sampling of time-space signals in a reproducing kernel subspace of mixed Lebesgue space. arXiv: 1904.00727, (2019).
- [23] J. Johnsen, S. Munch Hansen, W. Sickel. Anisotropic Lizorkin-Triebel spaces with mixed norms-traces on smooth boundaries. *Math. Nachr.*, **288**(2015), 1327-1359.
- [24] A. Kumar, D. Patel, S. Sampath. Sampling and reconstruction in reproducing kernel subspaces of mixed Lebesgue spaces. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11868-019-00315-0>, (2019).
- [25] S. L. Lee, W. S. Tang. Characterizations of wavelet bases and frames in Hilbert spaces. *Proc. SPIE*, **3169**(1997), 282-290.
- [26] R. Li, B. Liu, R. Liu, Q. Y. Zhang. The $L^{p,q}$ -stability of the shifts of finitely many functions in mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$. *Acta Math. Sin., English Series*, **34**(6)(2018), 1001-1014.
- [27] R. Li, B. Liu, R. Liu, Q. Y. Zhang. Nonuniform sampling in principal shift-invariant subspaces of mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **453**(2)(2017), 928-941.
- [28] A. Ron, Z. Shen. Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. *Canadian J. Math.*, **47**(5)(1995), 1051-1094.
- [29] R. Torres, E. Ward. Leibniz's rule, sampling and wavelets on mixed Lebesgue spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **21**(5)(2015), 1053-1076
- [30] Q. Y. Zhang. Nonuniform average sampling in multiply generated shift-invariant subspaces of mixed Lebesgue spaces. *International Journal of Wavelets, Multiresolution and Information Processing*, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219691320500137>, (2019).