arXiv:2001.08796v1 [math.CA] 23 Jan 2020

Approximation by sampling-type operators in L_p-spaces

Yu. Kolomoitsev[1,2] and M. Skopina[3]

[1]Universität zu Lübeck, Institut für Mathematik, Lübeck, Germany; kolomoitsev@math.uni-luebeck.de
[2]Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine, Slov'yans'k, Ukraine
[3]St. Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia; skopina@ms1167.spb.edu

Abstract

Approximation properties of the sampling-type quasi-projection operators $Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})$ for functions f from anisotropic Besov spaces are studied. Error estimates in L_p-norm are obtained for a large class of tempered distributions $\widetilde{\varphi}$ and a large class of functions φ under the assumptions that φ has enough decay, satisfies the Strang-Fix conditions and a compatibility condition with $\widetilde{\varphi}$. The estimates are given in terms of moduli of smoothness and best approximations.

Keywords. Sampling-type operators, Error estimate, Best approximation, Moduli of smoothness, Anisotropic Besov space.

AMS Subject Classification. 41A35, 41A25, 41A17, 41A15, 42B10, 94A20, 97N50

1 Introduction

The well-known sampling theorem (Kotel'nikov's or Shannon's formula) states that

$$f(x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f(-2^{-j}k)\,\frac{\sin\pi(2^jx+k)}{\pi(2^jx+k)} \tag{1}$$

for band-limited to $[-2^{j-1},2^{j-1}]$ signals (functions) f. Equality (1) holds only for functions $f\in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is supported on $[-2^{j-1},2^{j-1}]$. However the right hand side of (1) (the sampling expansion of f) has meaning for every continuous f with a good enough decay, which attracted mathematicians to study approximation properties of classical sampling and sampling-type expansions as $j\to\infty$. The most general form of such expansions looks as follows

$$Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})=|\det M|^j\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle f,\widetilde{\varphi}(M^j\cdot+k)\rangle\varphi(M^j\cdot+k),$$

where φ is a function and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a distribution or function, M is a matrix, and the inner product $\langle f,\widetilde{\varphi}(M^j\cdot+k)\rangle$ has meaning in some sense. The operator $Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})$ is usually called a quasi-projection or sampling operator. The class of quasi-projection operators is very large. In particular, it includes operators with a regular function $\widetilde{\varphi}$, such as scaling expansions associated with wavelet constructions (see [4, 5, 14, 16, 21, 29] and others) and Kantorovich-Kotelnikov operators and their generalizations (see, e.g., [10, 11, 19, 34, 26]). One has an essentially different class of operators $Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})$ if $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a distribution. This class includes the classical sampling operators, where $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the Dirac delta-function. There are a lot of papers devoted to the study of approximation properties

of such operators for different classes of functions φ (see, e.g., [2, 6, 7, 8, 18, 21, 27, 28, 33] and the references therein). Consideration of functions φ with a good decay, in particular, compactly supported ones, is very useful for apllications. The case, where φ is a certain linear combination of B-splines and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the Dirac delta-function, was studed, e.g., in [3, 9, 27, 28]. For a wide class of fast decaying functions φ and a wide class of tempered distributions $\widetilde{\varphi}$, quasi-projection operators were considered in [21], in which error estimations in L_p-norm, $2 \le p \le \infty$, were given in terms of the Fourier transform of the approximated function f.

The goal of the present paper is to improve the results of [21] in several directions. In particular, we obtain error estimates for the case $1 \le p < 2$.

2 Notation

We use the standard multi-index notations. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{R}^d$ be the d-dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathbb{Z}^d$ be the integer lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ be the d-dimensional torus. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^T$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)^T$ be column vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then $(x, y) := x_1y_1 + \dots + x_dy_d$, $|x| := \sqrt{(x, x)}$; $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$; $\mathbb{Z}^d_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ x_k \ge \ 0, k = 1, \dots, d\}$. If $r > 0$, then B_r denotes the ball of radius r with the center in $\mathbf{0}$.

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$[\alpha] = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j, \quad \alpha! = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j!, \quad a^b = \prod_{j=1}^{d} a_j^{b_j}, \ D^\alpha f = \frac{\partial^{[\alpha]} f}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{[\alpha]} f}{\partial^{\alpha_1} x_1 \dots \partial^{\alpha_d} x_d}.$$

If A is a $d \times d$ matrix, then $\|A\|$ denotes its operator norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$; A^* denotes the conjugate matrix to A; the identity matrix is denoted by I.

A $d \times d$ matrix M whose eigenvalues are bigger than 1 in modulus is called a dilation matrix. Throughout the paper we consider that such a matrix M is fixed and $m = |\det M|$ unless other is specified. Since the spectrum of the operator M^{-1} is located in B_r, where $r = r(M^{-1}) := \lim_{j\to+\infty} \|M^{-j}\|^{1/j}$ is the spectral radius of M^{-1}, and there exists at least one point of the spectrum on the boundary of the ball, we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|M^{-j}\| = 0.$$

A matrix M is called isotropic if it is similar to a diagonal matrix such that its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are placed on the main diagonal and $|\lambda_1| = \dots = |\lambda_d|$. Note that if the matrix M is isotropic then M^* and M^j are isotropic for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is well known that for an isotropic matrix M and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$C_1^M |\lambda|^j \le \|M^j\| \le C_2^M |\lambda|^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2}$$

where λ is one of the eigenvalues of M and the constants C_1^M, C_2^M do not depend on j.

By L_p we denote the space $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, with the usual norm $\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|^p\right)^{1/p}$ for $p < \infty$, and $\|f\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup} |f|$.

If f, g are functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $f\overline{g} \in L_1$, then

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\overline{g}.$$

If $f \in L_1$, then its Fourier transform is

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i (x,\xi)}\, dx.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the Schwartz class of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The dual space of $\mathcal{S}$ is $\mathcal{S}'$, i.e. $\mathcal{S}'$ is the space of tempered distributions. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$, then $\langle \varphi, f\rangle := \overline{\langle f, \varphi\rangle} := \varphi(f)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$, then $\widehat{\varphi}$ denotes its Fourier transform defined by $\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}\rangle = \langle f, \varphi\rangle$, $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

If φ is a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\varphi_{jk}(x) := m^{j/2}\varphi(M^j x + k), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

If $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then we define $\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}$ by

$$\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle := \langle f_{-j,-M^{-j}k}, \widetilde{\varphi}\rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{S}'_N$, $N \geq 0$, the set of tempered distributions $\widetilde{\varphi}$ whose Fourier transform $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \leq C_{\widetilde{\varphi}}|\xi|^N$ for almost all $\xi \notin \mathbb{T}^d$and $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \leq C_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ for almost all $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_p$ the set

$$\mathcal{L}_p := \left\{ \varphi \in L_p \,:\, \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} := \left\| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\varphi(\cdot + k)| \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)} < \infty \right\}.$$

It is known (see, e.g., [14]) that $\mathcal{L}_p$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}$, and the following properties hold: $\mathcal{L}_1 = L_1$, $\|\varphi\|_p \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}$, $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_q} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}$ for $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_p \subset L_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_p \subset \mathcal{L}_q$ for $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$. If $\varphi \in L_p$ and there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|\varphi(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-d-\varepsilon}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$.

If A is a $d \times d$ matrix and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{B}_{A,p} := \{g \in L_p \,:\, \operatorname{supp} \widehat{g} \subset A\mathbb{T}^d\}$$

is a class of functions band-limited to $A\mathbb{T}^d$, and

$$E_A(f)_p := \inf\{\|f - g\|_p \,:\, g \in \mathcal{B}_{A,p}\}$$

is the best approximation of $f \in L_p$ by band-limited functions g from the class $\mathcal{B}_{A,p}$. Additionally, we consider the following special best approximation

$$E^*_A(f)_p := \inf\{\|f - g\|_p \,:\, g \in \mathcal{B}_{A,p} \cap L_2\},$$

which is equivalent to $E_A(f)_p$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ (see Lemma 8 below).

We will use the following anisotropic Besov spaces with respect to the matrix M. We say that $f \in \mathbb{B}^s_{p,q}(M)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, and $s > 0$, if $f \in L_p$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{B}^s_{p,q}(M)} := \|f\|_p + \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} m^{\frac{s}{d}q\nu} E_{M^\nu}(f)_p^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

If A is a $d \times d$ nonsingular matrix, $f \in L_p$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\Omega_s(f, A^{-1})_p := \sup_{|At|<1, t\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\Delta^s_t f\|_p,$$

where

$$\Delta^s_t f(x) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{s} (-1)^\nu \binom{s}{\nu} f(x + t\nu).$$

This is the so-called (total) anisotropic modulus of smoothness associated with A. The classical modulus of smoothness is defined by

$$\omega_s(f, h)_p := \sup_{|t|<h} \|\Delta^s_t f\|_p, \quad h > 0.$$

As usual, if $\{a_k\}_k$ is a sequence, then

$$\|\{a_k\}_k\|_{\ell_p} := \begin{cases} \Big(\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |a_k|^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 1\le p<\infty, \\ \sup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |a_k|, & \text{if } p=\infty. \end{cases}$$

Finally, for an appropriate function $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ we denote the Fourier multiplier-type operator Λ_h by

$$\Lambda_h(f) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h\widehat{f}), \quad f \in L_2.$$

3 Preliminary information and auxiliary results.

Sampling-type expansions $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle\varphi_{jk}$ are elements of the shift-invariate spaces generated by φ. It is well known that a function f can be approximated by elements of such spaces only if φ satisfies a special property, the so-called Strang-Fix conditions.

Definition 1 *A function φ is said to satisfy* the Strang-Fix conditions *of order $s \in \mathbb{N}$ if there exists $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $k \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is boundedly differentiable up to order s on $\{|\xi + k| < \delta\}$ and $D^\beta\widehat{\varphi}(k) = 0$ for $[\beta] < s$.*

Approximation properties of the quasi-projection operators

$$Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle\varphi_{jk},$$

with $\widetilde{\varphi} \in S'_N$, were studied in [29], [21] and [18] for different classes of functions φ. Since $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a tempered distribution, the inner product $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle$ has meaning only for functions f in $\mathcal{S}$. To extend the class of functions f, the inner product $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle$ was replaced by $\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle$ in these papers. In particular, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$ the following result was obtained in [21] (see Theorems 4 and 5).

Theorem 2 *Let $2 \le p \le \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Let also M be an isotropic dilation matrix, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, and $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'_N$. Suppose*

- *there exists $B_\varphi > 0$ such that $\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q < B_\varphi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$;*
- *$\widehat{\varphi}$ is boundedly differentiable up to order s on $\{|\xi + l| < \delta\}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$; the function $\sum\limits_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\neq\mathbf{0}} |D^\beta\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + l)|^q$ is bounded on $\{|\xi| < \delta\}$ for $[\beta] = s$, and the Strang-Fix conditions of order s hold for φ;*
- *$\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is boundedly differentiable up to order s on $\{|\xi| < \delta\}$, and $D^\beta(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $[\beta] < s$.*

If $f \in L_p$, $\widehat{f} \in L_q$, and $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, then

$$\Big\| f - \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle\varphi_{jk} \Big\|_p \le \begin{cases} C|\lambda|^{-j(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} & \text{if } s > N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon \\ C(j+1)^{1/q}|\lambda|^{-js} & \text{if } s = N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon \\ C|\lambda|^{-js} & \text{if } s < N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon \end{cases},$$

where λ is an eigenvalue of M and C does not depends on j.

There are several drawbacks in Theorem 2. First, an error estimate is obtained only for the case $p \geq 2$. Second, there are additional restrictions on the function f. Even in the case of regular functions $\widetilde{\varphi}$ for which the inner product $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk} \rangle$ has meaning for every $f \in L_p$, the error estimate is obtained only under additional assumption $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$. Third, although Theorem 2 provides approximation order for $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$, more accurate error estimates were not obtained in contrast to common results in approximation theory, where estimates are usually given in the terms of moduli of smoothness.

The described shortcomings of Theorem 2 were avoided in [19], where the Kantorovich-type quasi-projection operators $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$ with regular functions $\widetilde{\varphi}$ and band-limited functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ were studied. Here $\mathcal{B}$ is the class of functions φ given by

$$\varphi(x) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta(\xi) e^{2\pi i (x,\xi)} \, d\xi,$$

where θ is supported in a parallelepiped $\Pi := [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_d, b_d]$ and such that $\theta\big|_{\Pi} \in C^d(\Pi)$. In particular, the following result was obtained in [19, Theorem 17].

Theorem 3 *Let $f \in L_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\varphi} \subset B_{1-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $\widehat{\varphi} \in C^{s+d+1}(B_\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$; $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{L}_q$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}} \in C^{s+d+1}(B_\delta)$ and $D^\beta(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\beta] < s$. Then*

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk} \rangle \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \leq C \omega_s \left(f, \|M^{-j}\| \right)_p,$$

where C does not depend on f and j.

A similar estimate for other classes of functions φ and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ follows from the results of Jia. Namely, the next theorem can be obtained by combining Theorem 3.2 in [16] with Lemma 3.2 in [15].

Theorem 4 *Let $f \in L_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ or $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $p = \infty$, $M = mI$, and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose φ and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ are compactly supported functions, the Strang-Fix conditions of order s hold for φ, and $D^\beta(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\beta] < s$. Then*

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk} \rangle \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \leq C \omega_s \left(f, m^{-j} \right)_p,$$

where C does not depend on f and j.

Our goal is to avoid the mentioned above drawbacks of Theorem 2 in the case of $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$ and $\widetilde{\varphi} \in S'_N$. For this, we need to specify the tempered distributions $\widetilde{\varphi}$. We will say that a tempered distribution $\widetilde{\varphi}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}'_{N,p}$ for some $N \geq 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ if $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'_N$ and there exists a constant $C_{\widetilde{\varphi},p}$ such that

$$\|\Lambda_{\overline{\widetilde{\varphi}}}(T_\mu)\|_p \leq C_{\widetilde{\varphi},p} m^{\frac{N\mu}{d}} \|T_\mu\|_p \tag{3}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $T_\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{M^\mu,p} \cap L_2$.

Obviously, (3) is satisfied if $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the Dirac delta-function. If M is an isotropic matrix, then any distribution $\widetilde{\varphi}$ corresponding to some differential operator (see [21], [18]), namely

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi) = \sum_{[\beta] \leq N} c_\beta (2\pi i \xi)^\beta, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

is also in $\mathcal{S}'_{N,p}$. This easily follows from the well-known Bernstein inequality (see, e.g., [25, p. 115])

$$\|g'\|_{L_p} \le \sigma \|g\|_{L_p}, \quad g \in L_p(\mathbb{R}), \quad \operatorname{supp} \widehat{g} \subset [-\sigma, \sigma].$$

To extend the operator $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$ with $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'_{N,p}$ to the space $\mathbb{B}^{d/p+N}_{p,1}(M)$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 5 ([32, Theorem 4.3.1]) *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $f \in L_p$, and $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset [-\sigma, \sigma]^d$, $\sigma > 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \max_{x \in Q_{k,\sigma}} |f(x)|^p \le C(p,d) \sigma^d \|f\|_p^p,$$

where $Q_{k,\sigma} = [-\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma}]^d + \frac{2k}{\sigma}$.

Lemma 6 ([14, Theorem 2.1]) *Let $1 \le p \le \infty$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, and $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_k \varphi_{0k} \right\|_p \le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \|\{a_k\}_k\|_{\ell_p}.$$

Lemma 7 ([24, Proposition 5]) *Let $1 \le p \le \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $f \in L_p$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_q$. Then*

$$\|\{\langle f, \varphi_{0k} \rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p} \le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_q} \|f\|_p.$$

Lemma 8 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and A be $d \times d$ matrix. Then*

$$E_A(f)_p \le E^*_A(f)_p \le C(p,d) E_A(f)_p. \tag{4}$$

Proof. We need to prove only the second inequality in (4). Let $Q \in \mathcal{B}_{A,p}$ be such that $\|f - Q\|_p \le 2E_A(f)_p$. If $1 \le p \le 2$, then using the embedding $\mathcal{B}_{A,p} \subset \mathcal{B}_{A,2}$ (see, e.g., [25, Theorem 3.3.5]), we get $E^*_A(f)_p \le \|f - Q\|_p \le 2E_A(f)_p$.

Now consider the case $p > 2$. Let $T \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $\|f - T\|_p \le E_A(f)_p$. Denote $S_A g := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{A\mathbb{T}^d} * g$. It is clear that $S_A T \in L_p \cap L_2$ and $S_A Q = Q$. Thus, taking into account that S_A is bounded in L_p for all $1 < p < \infty$ (see, e.g., [25, Section 1.5.7]), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} E^*_A(f)_p &\le \|f - S_A T\|_p \le \|f - Q\|_p + \|S_A(Q - T)\|_p \\ &\le \|f - Q\|_p + C_1 \|Q - T\|_p \le (1 + C_1)\|f - Q\|_p + C_1 \|f - T\|_p \le C_2 E_A(f)_p, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. $\diamond$

In particular Lemma 8 implies that for any $f \in L_p$, $1 \le p < \infty$, there exists a function $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,p} \cap L_2$ such that $\|f - T_\mu\|_p \le C(p,d) E_A(f)_p$.

Lemma 9 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $N \ge 0$, $\delta \in (0,1]$, $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'_{N,p}$, and $f \in \mathbb{B}^{d/p+N}_{p,1}(M)$. Suppose that functions $T_\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta M^\mu, p} \cap L_2$, $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are such that*

$$\|f - T_\mu\|_p \le C(p,d) E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p.$$

Then the sequence $\{\{\langle \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k} \rangle\}_k\}_{\mu=0}^\infty$ converges in ℓ_p as $\mu \to \infty$; a fortiori the sequence $\{\langle \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k} \rangle\}_{\mu=0}^\infty$ converges uniformly with respect to $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and the limit does not depend on the choice of functions T_μ;

$$\sum_{\mu=n}^\infty \|\{\langle \widehat{T}_{\mu+1} - \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k} \rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p} \le C \sum_{\mu=n}^\infty m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d} + \frac{1}{p})} E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

where the constant C depends only on $\widetilde{\varphi}$, d, p, and M.

Proof. Set

$$F(x) := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\widehat{T}_{\mu+1}(M^{*\mu+1}\xi) - \widehat{T}_{\mu}(M^{*\mu+1}\xi)\Big)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*\mu+1}\xi)} e^{2\pi i(\xi,x)}\, d\xi.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{\langle \widehat{T}_{\mu+1}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p}^p &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\widehat{T}_{\mu+1}(\xi) - \widehat{T}_{\mu}(\xi)\Big)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)} e^{-2\pi i(\xi,k)}\, d\xi \right|^p \\ &= m^{p(\mu+1)} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |F(M^{\mu+1}k)|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{supp} \widehat{T}_{\mu+1}(M^{*\mu+1}\cdot) \subset \mathbb{T}^d, \quad \operatorname{supp} \widehat{T}_{\mu}(M^{*\mu+1}\cdot) \subset \sqrt{d}\|M^{-1}\|\mathbb{T}^d,$$

one can easily see that

$$\operatorname{supp} \widehat{F} \subset [-\sigma,\sigma]^d, \quad \text{where } \ \sigma = \sigma(M,d).$$

Thus, by Lemma 5, taking into account that each set $Q_{r,\sigma}$ contains only finite number (depending only on M and d) of points $M^{\mu+1}k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |F(M^{\mu+1}k)|^p \le C_1\sigma^d \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(x)|^p\, dx.$$

After the change of variables, the above inequality yields

$$m^{p(\mu+1)} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |F(M^{\mu+1}k)|^p \le C_1\sigma^d m^{\mu+1} \|\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}^{-1}\widetilde{\varphi}}(\overline{T_{\mu+1}} - \overline{T_{\mu}})\|_p^p.$$

Using this estimate together with (5) and (3), we get

$$\|\{\langle \widehat{T}_{\mu+1}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p} \le C_2 m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d}+\frac{1}{p})} \|T_{\mu+1} - T_{\mu}\|_p.$$

Hence, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=n}^{\infty} \|\{\langle \widehat{T}_{\mu+1}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p} &\le C_4 \sum_{\mu=n}^{\infty} m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d}+\frac{1}{p})} \|T_{\mu+1} - T_{\mu}\|_p \\ &\le 2C_4 \sum_{\mu=n}^{\infty} m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d}+\frac{1}{p})} E_{\delta M^{\mu}}(f)_p, \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see that C_4 depends only on $\widetilde{\varphi}$, d, p, and M.

The latter series is convergent because $f \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$, which yields that $\{\{\langle \widehat{T}_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\}_{\mu=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in ℓ_p. In particular, this implies that the sequence $\{\langle \widehat{T}_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle\}_{\mu=0}^{\infty}$ is convergent for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. It remains to check that the limit does not depend on the choice of functions T_{μ}. Let $\{T'_{\mu}\}_{\mu}$ be another sequence of functions with the same properties. Repeating all above arguments with T'_{μ} instead of $T_{\mu+1}$, we obtain

$$\|\{\langle \widehat{T}'_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p} \le C_5 m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d}+\frac{1}{p})} \|T'_{\mu} - T_{\mu}\|_p \le 2C_5 m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d}+\frac{1}{p})} E_{\delta M^{\mu}}(f)_p,$$

where C_5 depends only on $\widetilde{\varphi}$, d, p, and M.

It follows that $\langle \widehat{T}'_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_{\mu}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k}\rangle \to 0$ as $\mu \to \infty$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, which completes the proof. $\diamond$

Consider the functional $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle$. If $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'_N$, then $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle$ has meaning whenever $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Due to Lemma 9 we can extend the linear functional $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle$ from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$ as follows.

Definition 10 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $N \ge 0$, $\widetilde{\varphi} \in S'_{N,p}$, and the functions T_μ be as in Lemma 9. For every $f \in \mathbb{B}^{d/p+N}_{p,1}(M)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we set*

$$\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k} \rangle := \lim_{\mu \to \infty} \langle \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{0k} \rangle$$

and

$$\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk} \rangle := m^{-j/2} \langle f(M^{-j}\cdot), \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k} \rangle, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Now, the quasi projection operators

$$Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk} \rangle \varphi_{jk}$$

are defined on the space $\mathbb{B}^{d/p+N}_{p,1}(M)$ for a wide class of appropriate functions φ.

Remark 11 *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, then the operator $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$ is well defined because the series $\sum\limits_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk} \rangle \varphi_{jk}$ converges unconditionally in L_p, which follows from Lemmas 6 and 9.*

Remark 12 *If the Fourier transform of a function f has enough decay for the inner product $\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}} \rangle$ to have meaning, then it is natural to define $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$ setting $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k} \rangle := \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}} \rangle$ (see Theorem 2). Such an operator $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$ will be the same as one in correspondence with Definition 10.*

Proof. We assume that $f \in L_p$, $\widehat{f} \in L_q$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $f \in \mathbb{B}^{d/p+N}_{p,1}(M)$, $\widetilde{\varphi} \in S'_N$, and M is an isotropic matrix.

First we consider the case $p < 2$. Let $T_\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta M^\mu, p}$ be such that $\|f - T_\mu\|_p \le 2E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p$ Since $\mathcal{B}_{\delta M^\mu, p} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\delta M^\mu, 2}$ (see, e.g., [25, Theorem 3.3.5]), we have $T_\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta M^\mu, p} \cap L_2$ and, due to the Hausdorff-Young inequality,

$$\|\widehat{f} - \widehat{T}_\mu\|_q \le \|f - T_\mu\|_p \le 2E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p, \tag{6}$$

Next we have

$$|\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}} \rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}} \rangle| \le C_1 \int\limits_{|\xi| \le \delta\sqrt{d}\|M^\mu\|} (1 + |\xi|)^N |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{T}_\mu(\xi)| d\xi$$
$$+ C_2 \int\limits_{|\xi| \ge \delta\sqrt{d}\|M^\mu\|} (1 + |\xi|)^N |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{T}_\mu(\xi)| d\xi =: C_1 I_1 + C_2 I_2.$$

If $|\xi| \ge \delta\sqrt{d}\|M^\mu\|$, then $\xi \notin \delta M^\mu \mathbb{T}^d$, and hence $\widehat{T}_\mu(\xi) = 0$, which yields

$$I_2 = \int\limits_{|\xi| \ge \delta\sqrt{d}\|M^\mu\|} (1 + |\xi|)^N |\widehat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \underset{\mu \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (6), we derive

$$I_1 \le 2 \left(\int\limits_{|\xi| \le \delta\sqrt{d}\|M^\mu\|} (1 + |\xi|)^{pN} \right)^{1/p} E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p \le C_3 \|M^\mu\|^{N + \frac{d}{p}} E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p.$$

Taking into account that $f \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$ and the inequality $\|M^\mu\| \le C(d,M)m^{\mu/d}$, which holds for any isotropic matrix, we obtain that $I_1 \to 0$ as $\mu \to \infty$. Thus

$$|\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}}\rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}}\rangle| \underset{\mu\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{7}$$

Let now $p \ge 2$. Denote $T_\mu = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{\delta M^\mu \mathbb{T}^d}) * g_\mu$, where $g_\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ is such that

$$\|\widehat{f} - \widehat{g_\mu}\|_q \le E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p. \tag{8}$$

Obviously, $T_\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta M^\mu,p} \cap L_2$ and $\widehat{T}_\mu = \chi_{\delta M^\mu \mathbb{T}^d}\widehat{g_\mu}$. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}}\rangle - \langle \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}}\rangle| \\
&\le \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|)^N |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \chi_{\delta M^\mu \mathbb{T}^d}(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)|d\xi + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|)^N |\chi_{\delta M^\mu \mathbb{T}^d}(\xi)(\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{g_\mu}(\xi)|d\xi \\
&= \int\limits_{\xi \notin \delta M^\mu \mathbb{T}^d} (1+|\xi|)^N |\widehat{f}(\xi)|d\xi + \int\limits_{\xi \in \delta M^\mu \mathbb{T}^d} (1+|\xi|)^N |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{g_\mu}(\xi)|d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the same arguments as above, using (8) instead of (6), we conclude again that relation (7) holds true. To complete the proof it remains to note that the limit of the sequence $\{\langle \widehat{T}_\mu, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}}\rangle\}_\mu$ does not depend on the choice of appropriate functions T_μ. $\diamond$

Lemma 13 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $\delta \in (0,1]$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, and the functions T_ν, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, be as in Lemma 9.*
(i) If $N \ge 0$, $\widetilde{\varphi} \in S'_{N,p}$, $f \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then

$$\|f - Q_0(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p \le \|T_\nu - Q_0(T_\nu,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p + C\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{\infty} m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d}+\frac{1}{p})} E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p, \tag{9}$$

where the constant C does not depend on f and ν.
(ii) If $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}_q$, $f \in L_p$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then

$$\|f - Q_0(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p \le \|T_\nu - Q_0(T_\nu,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p + CE_{\delta M^\nu}(f)_p, \tag{10}$$

where the constant C does not depend on f and ν.

Proof. We have

$$\|f - Q_0(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p \le \|f - T_\nu\|_p + \|T_\nu - Q_0(T_\nu,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p + \|Q_0(f - T_\nu,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p. \tag{11}$$

Under assumptions of item (i), by Definition 10, using Lemmas 6 and 9, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q_0(f - T_\nu,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p &\le C_1\|\{\langle f - T_\nu, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p} \le C_2\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{\infty}\|\{\langle T_{\mu+1} - T_\mu, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p} \\
&\le C_3\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{\infty} m^{\mu(\frac{N}{d}+\frac{1}{p})} E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Combining (11) and (12), we get (9).

Under assumptions of item (ii), it follows from Lemmas 6 and 7 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q_0(f-T_\nu,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p &\le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}\|\{\langle f-T_\nu,\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\}_k\|_{\ell_p}\\ &\le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}\|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{L}_q}\|f-T_\nu\|_p \le C_4 E_{\delta M^\nu}(f)_p.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Combining (11) and (13), we obtain (10). $\diamond$

We will use the following Jackson-type theorem (see, e.g., [25, Theorem 5.2.1 (7)] or [30, 5.3.2]).

Lemma 14 *Let $f\in L_p$, $1\le p\le\infty$, and $s\in\mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$E_A(f)_p \le C\Omega_s(f,A^{-1})_p,$$

where C is a constant independent of f and A.

Lemma 15 (See [35].) *Let $1\le p\le\infty$, $s\in\mathbb{N}$, and $T\in\mathcal{B}_{I,p}$. Then*

$$\sum_{[\beta]=s}\|D^\beta T\|_p \le C\omega_s(T,1)_p,$$

where the constant C depends only on p and s.

4 Main results

Theorem 16 *Let $1\le p<\infty$, $N\ge 0$, $s\in\mathbb{N}$, $\delta\in(0,1/2)$. Suppose that $\varphi\in\mathcal{L}_p$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}\in S'_{N,p}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

1) $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}\in C^{s+d+1}(2\delta\mathbb{T}^d)$;

2) $\widehat{\varphi}\in C^{s+d+1}\left(\cup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(2\delta\mathbb{T}^d+l)\right)$;

3) *the Strang-Fix conditions of order s hold for φ;*

4) $D^\beta(1-\widehat{\varphi}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})(\mathbf{0})=0$ *for all* $\beta\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $[\beta]<s$;

5) $\sum\limits_{l\ne\mathbf{0}}\sup\limits_{\xi\in 2\delta\mathbb{T}^d}|D^\beta\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+l)|\le B_\varphi$ *for all* $\beta\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $s\le[\beta]\le s+d+1$.

Then, for every $f\in\mathbb{B}^{d/p+N}_{p,1}(M)$ and $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\|f-Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p \le C\left(\Omega_s(f,M^{-j})_p + m^{-j(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{N}{d})}\sum_{\nu=j}^{\infty} m^{(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{N}{d})\nu}E_{\delta M^\nu}(f)_p\right), \tag{14}$$

and if, moreover, $\widetilde{\varphi}\in\mathcal{L}_q$, $1/p+1/q=1$, then for every $f\in L_p$

$$\|f-Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p \le C\Omega_s(f,M^{-j})_p, \tag{15}$$

where the constant C does not depend on f and j.

Proof. First of all note that it suffices to prove (14) and (15) for $j=0$. Indeed,

$$\left\|f-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle f,\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle\varphi_{jk}\right\|_p = \left\|m^{-j/p}f(M^{-j}\cdot)-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle m^{-j/p}f(M^{-j}\cdot),\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi_{0k}\right\|_p.$$

Obviously, $m^{-j/p} f(M^{-j}\cdot) \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M^0)$ whenever $f \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$. We have also that

$$E_{\delta M^\nu}(m^{-j/p} f(M^{-j}\cdot))_p \le E_{\delta M^{\nu+j}}(f)_p$$

and

$$\omega_s(f(M^{-j}\cdot), 1)_p = m^{j/p}\Omega_s(f, M^{-j})_p,$$

which yield (14) and (15) whenever these relations hold true for $j = 0$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta I,p} \cap L_2$ be such that $\|f - T\|_p \le 2E_{\delta I}(f)_p$. Due to Lemma 13, we need only to check that

$$\left\| T - \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi_{0k} \right\|_p \le C_1 \omega_s(f, 1)_p. \tag{16}$$

Since $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{T} \subset \delta\mathbb{T}^d$, without loss of generality, in what follows we assume that $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}} \in C^{s+d+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}} \subset 2\delta\mathbb{T}^d$.

Using Lemma 1 from [21], Carleson's theorem (with cubic convergence of the Fourier series), we derive

$$\mathcal{F}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi_{0k} \Big) = G\widehat{\varphi}, \tag{17}$$

where $G(\xi) := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{T}(\xi + k)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi + k)}$.

Consider auxiliary functions φ^* and $\varphi^{(\beta)}$, $[\beta] = s$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, such that $\widehat{\varphi^*}, \widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}} \in C^{s+d+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\widehat{\varphi^*}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi), & \xi \in \delta\mathbb{T}^d, \\ 0, & \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d \setminus 2\delta\mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

and for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$

$$\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi + l) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{s}{\beta!}\xi^\beta \displaystyle\int_0^1 (1-t)^{s-1} D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(t\xi + l)dt, & \xi \in \delta\mathbb{T}^d, \\ 0, & \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d \setminus 2\delta\mathbb{T}^d. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

In view of condition 5), we can also provide that

$$\sum_{l\neq\mathbf{0}} \sup_{\xi\in 2\delta\mathbb{T}^d} \left| D^{\beta'} \left(\frac{\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi + l)}{\xi^\beta} \right) \right| \le B'_\varphi, \quad \beta' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d,\ 0 \le [\beta'] \le d + 1. \tag{19}$$

By condition 3), taking into account the fact that $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{T} \subset \delta\mathbb{T}^d$ and that, by Taylor's formula with the integral reminder,

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + l) = \sum_{[\beta]=s} \frac{s}{\beta!}\xi^\beta \int_0^1 (1-t)^{s-1} D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(t\xi + l)dt,$$

we have

$$G(\xi)\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \widehat{T}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}\widehat{\varphi^*}(\xi) + G(\xi) \sum_{[\beta]=s} \widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi). \tag{20}$$

It is easy to see that $D^{\beta'}\widehat{\varphi^*}, D^{\beta'}\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}} \in L$ for all $\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $0 \le [\beta'] \le d + 1$. It follows that the functions $\varphi^* = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\varphi^*})$ and $\varphi^{(\beta)} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}})$ are in $\mathcal{L}_p$.

Using (17) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|T-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi_{0k}\Big\|_p &\le \Big\|T-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi^*_{0k}\Big\|_p+\sum_{[\beta]=s}\Big\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi^{(\beta)}_{0k}\Big\|_p\\ &=: I^*+\sum_{[\beta]=s} I^{(\beta)}.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

By condition 4), using the Jensen inequality and taking into account the fact that $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{T}\subset\delta\mathbb{T}^d$ and that by Taylor's formula with the integral reminder

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}=1+\sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\xi^\beta\int_0^1(1-t)^{s-1}D^\beta\widehat{\varphi}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}(t\xi)dt,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I^*&=\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big\{\Big(1-(\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}\widehat{\varphi^*})\Big)\,\widehat{T}\Big\}\Big\|_p\\ &\le\sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\int_0^1 dt\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}D^\beta\widehat{\varphi^*}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}(t\xi)\xi^\beta\widehat{T}(\xi)e^{2\pi i(x,\xi)}\,d\xi\Big|^p\,dx\Big)^{1/p}\\ &=\sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\int_0^1 dt\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}t^{-d}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(D^\beta\widehat{\varphi^*}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})\Big(\frac{y}{t}\Big)D^\beta T(x-y)\,dy\Big|^p\,dx\Big)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Since, obviously, the function $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(D^\beta\widehat{\varphi^*}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})$ is summable on $\mathbb{R}^d$, this yields

$$I^*\le\sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(D^\beta\widehat{\varphi^*}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})\|_1\|D^\beta T\|_p\le C_2\sum_{[\beta]=s}\|D^\beta T\|_p.\tag{22}$$

Next, we fix β and estimate $I^{(\beta)}$. Set

$$\widehat{\psi}(\xi)=\frac{\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi)}{\gamma(\xi)},$$

where γ is a 1-periodic functions (in each variable) such that $\gamma\in C^{d+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\gamma(\xi)=\xi^\beta$ on $2\delta\mathbb{T}^d$.

Using (18) and (19), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|\,d\xi=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d-k}\frac{|\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi)|}{|\gamma(\xi)|}d\xi=\int_{2\delta\mathbb{T}^d}\frac{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi+k)|}{|\xi^\beta|}d\xi\le B'_\varphi.$$

Thus $\widehat{\psi}\in L_1$, and similarly $D^{\beta'}\widehat{\psi}\in L_1$ for all β' such that $[\beta']\le d+1$. It follows that $\psi\in\mathcal{L}_p$.

Next, we set

$$\widetilde{\psi}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big(\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}\,\gamma\Big)$$

and note that $\widetilde{\psi}\in L_1$. Since $\psi\in\mathcal{L}_p$, using Lemmas 6 and 5, we obtain

$$\Big\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle\psi_{0k}\Big\|_p\le\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\langle T,\widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle|^p\right)^{1/p}\le C_\beta\big\|T*\overline{\widetilde{\psi}}\big\|_p=C_\beta\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{T}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}})\Big\|_p$$

and

$$\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{T}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}})\right\|_p = \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{T}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}\,\gamma\right)\right\|_p = \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{D^\beta T}\,\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}\right)\right\|_p$$
$$= \left\|D^\beta T * \mathcal{F}^{-1}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}\right\|_p \le \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}\right\|_1 \|D^\beta T\|_p.$$

Since the function $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}$ is obviously summable on $\mathbb{R}^d$, it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle \psi_{0k} \right\|_p \le C'_\beta \|D^\beta T\|_p. \tag{23}$$

Now let us show that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle \psi_{0k} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi^{(\beta)}_{0k}. \tag{24}$$

Since the functions $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T, \widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle\psi_{0k}$ and $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi^{(\beta)}_{0k}$ are locally summable, it suffices to check that their Fourier transforms coincide almost everywhere. Due to Lemma 1 from [21] and Carleson's theorem, we derive

$$\mathcal{F}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle \psi_{0k} \Big)(\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{T}(\xi+k)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(\xi+k)}\widehat{\psi}(\xi),$$

$$\mathcal{F}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi^{(\beta)}_{0k} \Big)(\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{T}(\xi+k)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+k)}\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi).$$

Since $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{T} \subset \delta\mathbb{T}^d \subset \mathbb{T}^d$, we have for every $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ that

$$\mathcal{F}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle \psi_{0k} \Big)(\xi+l) = \widehat{T}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(\xi)}\widehat{\psi}(\xi+l)$$
$$= \widehat{T}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}\widehat{\varphi^{(\beta)}}(\xi+l) = \mathcal{F}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi^{(\beta)}_{0k} \Big)(\xi+l),$$

which implies (24). Therefore, by (23) we have

$$I^{(\beta)} = \left\| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi^{(\beta)}_{0k} \right\|_p \le C_\beta \|D^\beta T\|_p.$$

Combining this with (22) and (21), we obtain

$$\left\| T - \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle T, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi_{0k} \right\|_p \le C \sum_{[\beta]=s} \|D^\beta T\|_p.$$

To prove (16) it remains to apply Lemmas 15 and14, which completes the proof. $\diamond$

Theorem 17 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $N \ge 0$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. Suppose that $\widetilde{\varphi} \in S'_{N,p}$ and $\varphi \in L_p$ satisfy the following conditions:*

1) $\operatorname{supp}\varphi$ *is compact;*

2) the Strang-Fix conditions of order s hold for φ;

3) $D^\beta(1-\widehat{\varphi}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})(\mathbf{0})=0$ *for all* $\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\beta]<s$;

4) $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}\in C^{s+d+1}(2\delta\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Then, for every $f\in\mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$ *and* $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, *we have*

$$\|f-Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p\le C\left(\Omega_s(f,M^{-j})_p+m^{-j(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{N}{d})}\sum_{\nu=j}^{\infty}m^{(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{N}{d})\nu}E_{\delta M^\nu}(f)_p\right),$$

and if, moreover, $\widetilde{\varphi}\in\mathcal{L}_q$, $1/p+1/q=1$, *then for every* $f\in L_p$ *we have*

$$\|f-Q_j(f,\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})\|_p\le C\Omega_s(f,M^{-j})_p,$$

where the constant C *does not depend on* f *and* j.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for $j=0$ (see explanations in the proof of Theorem 16), and due to Lemma 13, we need only to check that

$$\left\|T-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi_{0k}\right\|_p\le C_1\omega_s(f,1)_p, \tag{25}$$

where $T\in\mathcal{B}_{\delta I,p}\cap L_2$ is such that $\|f-T\|_p\le CE_{\delta I}(f)_p$.

Let us choose a compactly supported and localy summable function $\widetilde{\theta}$ such that

$$D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\theta}}(\mathbf{0})=D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0})\quad\text{for all}\quad\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\ [\beta]<s.$$

An appropriate function $\widetilde{\theta}$ can be easily constructed, e.g., as a linear combination of B-splines. Setting $\widetilde{\psi}=\widetilde{\varphi}-\widetilde{\theta}$, we get $D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(\mathbf{0})=0$ whenever $[\beta]<s$.

We have

$$\left\|T-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi_{0k}\right\|_p\le\left\|T-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\theta}_{0k}\rangle\varphi_{0k}\right\|_p+\left\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle T,\widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle\varphi_{0k}\right\|_p=I_1+I_2. \tag{26}$$

Since both the functions φ and $\widetilde{\theta}$ are compactly supported, due to Theorem 4 and Lemma 14, we derive

$$I_1\le C_2\omega_s(T,1)_p\le C_2\left(2^s\|f-T\|_p+\omega_s(f,1)_p\right)\le C_3\omega_s(f,1)_p. \tag{27}$$

Let us estimate I_2. Since φ has a compact support and $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{T}\subset\delta\mathbb{T}^d$, it follows from Lemmas 6 and 5 that

$$I_2^p\le C_4\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\langle T,\widetilde{\psi}_{0k}\rangle|^p\le C_5\|T*\widetilde{\psi}\|_p^p. \tag{28}$$

Now we check that

$$\|T*\widetilde{\psi}\|_p\le C_6\sum_{[\beta]=s}\|D^\beta T\|_p. \tag{29}$$

Taking again into account that $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{T}\subset\delta\mathbb{T}^d$, without loss of generality we can assume that $\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}\in C^{s+d+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Taylor's formula with the integral reminder, we have

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(\xi)=\sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\xi^\beta\int_0^1(1-t)^{s-1}D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(t\xi)dt.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T*\widetilde{\psi}\|_p &= \Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big(\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}}\widehat{T}\Big)\Big\|_p \\
&\le \sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}dx\Big|\int\limits_0^1 dt(1-t)^s\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\overline{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(t\xi)}\xi^\beta\widehat{T}(\xi)e^{2\pi i(\xi,x)}\,d\xi\Big|^p\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\int\limits_0^1 dt\Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}dx\Big|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\overline{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(t\xi)}\widehat{D^\beta T}(\xi)e^{2\pi i(\xi,x)}\,d\xi\Big|^p\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\int\limits_0^1 \frac{dt}{t^{d-d/p}}\Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}dx\Big|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\overline{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(\xi)}\widehat{D^\beta T}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t}\Big)e^{2\pi i(\xi,x)}\,d\xi\Big|^p\Big)^{1/p} \\
&= \sum_{[\beta]=s}\frac{s}{\beta!}\int\limits_0^1 t^{d/p}\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big\{\overline{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}}\,\widehat{Q_{\beta,t}}\Big\}\Big\|_p\,dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $Q_{\beta,t}(x)=D^\beta T(tx)$. Taking into account that $D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}\in C^{d+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whenever $[\beta]=s$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}\}\in L_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big\{\overline{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}}\,\widehat{Q_{\beta,t}}\Big\}\Big\|_p &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}\}*Q_{\beta,t}\|_p \\
&\le \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}\}\|_1 t^{-d/p}\|D^\beta T\|_p = C_8 t^{-d/p}\|D^\beta T\|_p.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Combining these estimates with (30), we obtain (29). Next, similarly as in (27), using (29), (28), and Lemmas 15 and 14, we get

$$I_2\le C_9\omega_s(f,1)_p. \tag{32}$$

Finally, combining (26), (27), and (32), we complete the proof. $\diamond$

Remark 18 *The conditions on smoothness of $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ in Theorem 17 can be relaxed and given in other terms using different sufficient conditions for Fourier multiplier in L_p spaces, see e.g., [22] and [17]. For this, one needs to estimate the corresponding multiplier norm of the function $D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}$ instead of to show that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{D^\beta\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}\}\in L_1$ in inequality* (31)*. A similar conclusion is valid also for the smoothness conditions on $\widehat{\varphi}$ and $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ in Theorem 16.*

Remark 19 *It is not difficult to verify that Theorems 16 and 17 are valid also in the spaces L_∞ if we additionally suppose that $f\in L_2\cap L_\infty$ and replace the best approximation $E_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p$ with $E^*_{\delta M^\mu}(f)_p$. See also [20, Theorem 17] for the corresponding analogue of Lemma 14.*

Let us compare Theorems 16 and 17 with Theorem 2 in the case of the isotropic matrix M, $\widetilde{\varphi}\in S'_{N,p}$, and $p\ge 2$. First let us show that the class of functions f considered in Theorem 2 is smaller than $\mathbb{B}^{d/p+N}_{p,1}(M)$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of M, $f\in L_p$, $\widehat{f}\in L_q$, and $\widehat{f}(\xi)=O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi|\to\infty$, $\varepsilon>0$. Setting $V_\mu f=\mathcal{F}^{-1}v*f$, where $v\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $v(\xi)\le 1$, $v(\xi)=1$ if $|M^{-\mu}\xi|\le 1/2$ and $v(\xi)=0$ if $|M^{-\mu}\xi|\ge 1$, using Pitt's inequality (see, e.g., [13, inequality (1.1)])

$$\|f\|_p\le C(p)\Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\xi|^{d(p-2)}|\widehat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}},\quad 2<p<\infty,$$

and taking into account (2), we obtain

$$E_{M^\mu}(f)_p \le \|f - V_\mu(f)\|_p \le C(p) \left(\int\limits_{|M^{-\mu}\xi|\ge 1/2} |\xi|^{d(p-2)} |(1-v(\xi))\widehat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\le 2C(p) \left(\int\limits_{|\xi|\ge 1/2\|M^{-\mu}\|} |\xi|^{d(p-2)} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}\left(|\lambda|^{-\mu(d/p+N+\varepsilon)} \right), \quad \mu \to \infty.$$

It follows that $f \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$.

Next let us compare the error estimates. Using (2), we have

$$\Omega_s(f, M^{-j}) \le C_1 \omega_s(f, |\lambda|^{-j}),$$

where C_1 does not depend on f and j, and

$$E_{|\lambda|^\mu I}(f) \le C_2 E_{\sigma M^\mu}(f)_p \le C_3 E_{M^{\mu-\mu_0}}(f)_p,$$

where C_2, C_3, σ, and μ_0 do not depend on f and j. Hence

$$E_{|\lambda|^\mu I}(f)_p = \mathcal{O}\left(|\lambda|^{-\mu(d/p+N+\varepsilon)} \right), \quad \mu \to \infty.$$

Using these relations and the following inverse approximation inequality (see [12]):

$$\omega_s(f, 2^{-j})_p \le C 2^{-sj} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} 2^{2sk} E_{2^k I}(f)_p^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{33}$$

we can easily see that inequality (14) provides the following approximation order

$$\|f - Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})\|_p \le \begin{cases} C|\lambda|^{-j(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} & \text{if } s > N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon \\ C(j+1)^{1/2}|\lambda|^{-js} & \text{if } s = N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon \\ C|\lambda|^{-js} & \text{if } s < N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon \end{cases},$$

which is better than one given in Theorem 2 in the case $s = N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon$, and the same in the other cases.

On the other hand, there exist functions in $\mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$ which do not satisfy assumptions of Theorem 2. Indeed, let $b \le N + d$, $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$a > \max\left\{ 1,\ (d + N + \varepsilon - b)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \right\},$$

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \kappa(|\xi|) \frac{e^{i|\xi|^a}}{|\xi|^b},$$

where $\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\kappa(u) = 0$ for $u = [0, 1]$, $\kappa(u) = 1$ for $u \ge 2$. Obviously, the decay of $\widehat{f}$ is not enough for Theorem 2. Let us verify that $f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{f})$ is in L_p and $E_{M^\nu}(f)_p = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-\gamma\nu})$, where $\gamma = \frac{d}{p} + N + \varepsilon$, i.e., $f \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$. Setting $g(\xi) := |\xi|^\gamma \widehat{f}(\xi)$ and using Proposition 5.1 from [23]) we conclude that the functions $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{f}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}g$ are smooth throughout $\mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{f})(x) = d_0 |x|^{-\frac{b-d+da/2}{a-1}} \exp\left(i d_1 |x|^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \right) + o\left(|x|^{-\frac{b-d+da/2}{a-1}} \right) \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(x) = d_0|x|^{-\frac{b-\gamma-d+da/2}{a-1}} \exp\left(id_1|x|^{\frac{a}{a-1}}\right) + o\left(|x|^{-\frac{b-\gamma-d+da/2}{a-1}}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty.$$

It follows that $f \in L_p$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}g \in L_p$, which yields that $f \in \dot{H}_p^\gamma$ (the homogeneous Sobolev spaces). Then, using the embedding $\dot{H}_p^\gamma \subset \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\gamma$ (the homogeneous Besov space, see, e.g., [1, Theorem 6.3.1]) and Jackson's theorem, we obtain $E_{M^\nu}(f)_p \le C\omega_{[\gamma]+1}(f, |\lambda|^j)_p \le C\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\gamma}|\lambda|^{-\gamma j}$.

Finally we consider an anisotropic case. Let $d = 2$, $M = \operatorname{diag}(m_1, m_2)$, and $\frac{\partial^{r_1}}{\partial x_1^{r_1}} f, \frac{\partial^{r_2}}{\partial x_2^{r_2}} f \in L_p$, where $r_1 > (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{N}{d})(1 + \frac{\ln m_2}{\ln m_1})$ and $r_2 > (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{N}{d})(1 + \frac{\ln m_1}{\ln m_2})$. Then, taking into account that for $1 < p < \infty$ and $s > \max(r_1, r_2)$, see, e.g., [31]

$$E_{M^\nu}(f)_p \le C\Omega_s(f, M^{-\nu})_p \le C\left(\omega_s^{(1)}(f, m_1^{-j})_p + \omega_s^{(2)}(f, m_2^{-j})_p\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(m_1^{-r_1 j} + m_2^{-r_2 j}\right),$$

where $\omega_s^{(\ell)}(f, h)_p$ is the partial modulus of smoothness with respect to ℓ-th variable, we have that $f \in \mathbb{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+N}(M)$, and by Theorem 16 with $s > \max(r_1, r_2)$, we get

$$\|f - Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})\|_p = \mathcal{O}\left(m_1^{-r_1 j} + m_2^{-r_2 j}\right).$$

That is, the order of approximation by $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$ essentially depends on anisotropic nature of the function f and the matrix M.

5 Conclusions

Error estimates in L_p-norm are obtained for a large class of sampling-type quasi-projection operators $Q_j(f, \varphi, \widetilde{\varphi})$ including the classical case, where $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the Dirac delta-function. Theorems 16 and 17 provide essential improvements of the recent results in [21]. First, the estimates are given for a wider class of approximated functions, namely for functions from anisotropic Besov spaces. Second, only the case $p \ge 2$ was considered in [21], while $1 \le p < \infty$ in Theorems 16 and 17. Third, the estimates are given in terms of the moduli of smoothness and best approximations, while the results in [21] provide only approximation order.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was partially supported by DFG project KO 5804/1-1 (Theorem 17 and the corresponding auxiliary results belong to this author). The second author was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant No. 18-11-00055 (Theorem 16 and the corresponding auxiliary results belong to this author).

References

[1] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, Interpolation spaces. An introduction, Springer, 1976.

[2] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, G. Vinti, Approximation error of the Whittaker cardinal series in terms of an averaged modulus of smoothness covering discontinuous signals, *Math. Anal. Appl.* **316** (2006), no. 1, 269–306.

[3] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, G. Vinti, Prediction by samples from the past with error estimates covering discontinuous signals, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **56** (2010), no. 1, 614–633.

[4] C. de Boor, R. DeVore, A. Ron, Approximation from shift-invariant subspaces of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **341** (1994), no. 2, 787–806.

[5] C. de Boor, R. DeVore, A. Ron, Approximation orders of FSI spaces in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *Constr. Approx.* **14** (1998), no. 3, 411–427.

[6] J. L. Brown, Jr., On the error in reconstructing a non-bandlimited function by means of the bandpass sampling theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **18** (1967), 75–84.

[7] M. D. Buhmann, F. Dai, Pointwise approximation with quasi-interpolation by radial basis functions, *J. Approx. Theory* **192** (2015), 156–192.

[8] P. L. Butzer, J. R. Higgins, R. L. Stens, Classical and approximate sampling theorems: studies in the $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ and the uniform norm, *J. Approx. Theory* **137** (2005), no. 2, 250–263.

[9] P. L. Butzer and R. L. Stens, Reconstruction of signals in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-space by generalized sampling series based on linear combinations of B-splines, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **19** (2008), no. 1, 35–58.

[10] D. Costarelli, G. Vinti, Approximation by nonlinear multivariate sampling Kantorovich type operators and applications to image processing, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **34** (2013) 819–844.

[11] D. Costarelli, G. Vinti, Rate of approximation for multivariate sampling Kantorovich operators on some functions spaces, *J. Int. Eq. Appl.* **26** (2014), no. 4, 455–481.

[12] F. Dai, Z. Ditzian, S. Tikhonov, Sharp Jackson inequalities, *J. Approx. Theory* **151** (2008), 86–112.

[13] L. De Carli, D. Gorbachev, S. Tikhonov, Pitt and Boas inequalities for Fourier and Hankel transforms. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **408** (2013), no. 2, 762–774.

[14] R.-Q. Jia, Refinable shift-invariant spaces: from splines to wavelets, in: C.K. Chui, L.L. Schumaker (Eds.), Approximation Theory VIII, vol. 2 (College Station, TX, 1995), Ser. Approx. Decompos., vol. 6, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1995, pp. 179–208.

[15] R.-Q. Jia, Convergence rates of cascade algorithms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 1739–1749.

[16] R.-Q. Jia, Approximation by quasi-projection operators in Besov spaces, *J. Approx. Theory* **162** (2010), no. 1, 186–200.

[17] Yu. S. Kolomoitsev, Multiplicative sufficient conditions for Fourier multipliers, *Izv. Math.* **78** (2014), no. 2, 354–374.

[18] Yu. Kolomoitsev, A. Krivoshein, M. Skopina, Differential and falsified sampling expansions, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **24** (2018), no. 5, 1276–1305.

[19] Yu. Kolomoitsev, M. Skopina, Approximation by multivariate Kantorovich-Kotelnikov operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **456** (2017), no. 1, 195–213.

[20] Yu. Kolomoitsev, M. Skopina, Quasi-projection operators in the weighted L_p spaces, arXiv:1805.10536v1.

[21] A. Krivoshein, M. Skopina, Multivariate sampling-type approximation, *Anal. Appl.* **15** (2017), no. 4, 521–542.

[22] E. Liflyand, S. Samko, R. Trigub, The Wiener algebra of absolutely convergent Fourier integrals: an overview, *Anal. Math. Phys.* **2** (2012), 1–68.

[23] A. Miyachi, On some singular Fourier multipliers, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **28** (1981), 267–315.

[24] H. Q. Nguyen, M. Unser, A sampling theory for non-decaying signals, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **43** (2017), no. 1, 76–93.

[25] S. M. Nikol'skii, The Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and the Imbedding Theorems, 2nd ed. Moscow: Nauka, 1977 (Russian). – English transl. of 1st. ed.: John Wiley & Sons, New-York, 1978.

[26] O. Orlova, G. Tamberg, On approximation properties of generalized Kantorovich-type sampling operators, *J. Approx. Theory* **201** (2016), 73–86.

[27] H.-J. Schmeisser, W. Sickel, Sampling theory and function spaces. In : Applied Mathematics Reviews, Vol. 1, 205-284, World Scientific, 2000.

[28] W. Sickel, Spline representations of functions in Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Forum Math.* **2** (1990), no. 5, 451–475.

[29] M. Skopina, Band-limited scaling and wavelet expansions, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **36** (2014), 143–157.

[30] A. F. Timan, Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable, Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, New York, Paris, 1963.

[31] M. F. Timan, The difference properties of functions of several variables, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **33** (1969) 667–676.

[32] R. M. Trigub, E. S. Belinsky, Fourier Analysis and Appoximation of Functions. Kluwer. 2004.

[33] M. Unser, Sampling - 50 years after Shannon, *Proceedings of the IEEE* **88** (2000), 569–587.

[34] G. Vinti, L. Zampogni, Approximation results for a general class of Kantorovich type operators, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **14** (2014), no. 4, 991–1011.

[35] G. Wilmes, On Riesz-type inequalities and K-functionals related to Riesz potentials in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **1** (1979), no. 1, 57–77.