Weight distributions and weight hierarchies of two classes of binary linear codes
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Abstract First, we present a formula for computing the weight hierarchies of linear codes constructed by the generalized method of defining sets. Second, we construct two classes of binary linear codes with a few weights and determine their weight distributions and weight hierarchies completely. Some codes of them can be used in secret sharing schemes.
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1 Introduction

For a prime number \( p \), let \( \mathbb{F}_p^s \) be the finite field with \( q = p^s \) elements and \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \) be the multiplicative group.

A \( k \)-dimensional subspace \( C \) of \( \mathbb{F}_p^n \) is called an \( [n, k, d] \) \( p \)-ary linear code with minimum (Hamming) distance \( d \) \cite{13}. Denote by \( A_i \) the numbers of
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codewords with Hamming weight \( i \) in a linear code \( C \) of length \( n \). The sequence \((1, A_1, \cdots, A_n)\) is called the weight distribution of \( C \). The polynomial \( 1 + A_1x + A_2x^2 + \cdots + A_nx^n \) is called the weight enumerator of \( C \). If the sequence \((A_1, \cdots, A_n)\) has \( t \) nonzero \( A_i \), the code \( C \) is called a \( t \)-weight code.

In coding theory, the weight distribution of codes is a classical research topic. It attracts much attention and much work focuses on the computing of weight distributions of linear codes. Linear codes with a few weights can be applied to communication, consumer electronics and data storage system. Linear codes with a few weights have important applications in authentication codes [10], association schemes [5], secret sharing [30] and strongly regular graphs [6].

The concept of generalized Hamming weight (GHW) can be thought of an extension of Hamming weight of code \( C \) (see [12,28]). For an \([n, k, d]\) \( p \)-ary code \( C \), denote by \([C, r]_p\) the set of all its \( F_p \)-vector subspaces with \( r \) dimension. For a codeword \( c = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n) \in C \), define \( \text{Supp}(c) = \{i|c_i \neq 0\} \). For \( V \in [C, r]_p \), define \( \text{Supp}(V) = \bigcup_{c \in V} \text{Supp}(c) \). For \( 1 \leq r \leq k \), the \( r \)-th generalized Hamming weight \( d_r(C) \) of linear code \( C \) is defined by

\[
d_r(C) = \min\{|\text{Supp}(V)| : V \in [C, r]_p\}.
\]

Obviously, \( d_1(C) = d \). The sequence \((d_1(C), d_2(C), \cdots, d_k(C))\) is called the weight hierarchy of \( C \).

Motivated by applications to cryptography, weight hierarchy of codes was introduced [28,12]. Indeed it has been shown that the weight hierarchy of a linear code completely characterizes the performance of the code on the type II wire-tap channel. The interest towards the knowledge of the weight hierarchy of a linear code has been continually increasing since its introduction. A detailed overview on the results of GHW up to 1995 was given in [27]. Much is known about it for several classes of codes: algebraic geometric codes, BCH codes, Reed-Muller codes, cyclic codes [14,13,19,29,31]. Recently, there are research results about weight hierarchy of a some classes of linear code [3,15,23,24].
3 constructs binary linear codes with a few weights and settles their weight distributions and weight hierarchies. Sect. 4 summarizes the paper.

2 A generic construction of linear codes

2.1 Constructing linear code by defining set

Ding et al. proposed a generic construction of linear codes as below (7,9). Denote by Tr the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^s}$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $D = \{d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{F}_{p^s}^*$. A $p$-ary linear code of length $n$ is defined as follows:

$$C_D = \{(\text{Tr}(x d_1), \text{Tr}(x d_2), \ldots, \text{Tr}(x d_n)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}\}$$

and $D$ is called the defining set of $C_D$. Choosing proper defining sets, some optimal linear codes with a few weights can be constructed [8,11,18,19,20,26,32,33].

Li et al. had generalised the method to construct linear codes with a few weights [22]. The generalised method is as follows. For a positive integer $e$, let $D = \{d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n\}$ be contained in $\mathbb{F}_{p^s}^* \{(0,0,\cdots,0)\}$. For $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_e), v = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_e)$, denote by $u \cdot v$ the inner product of $u$ and $v$, namely,

$$u \cdot v = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + \cdots + u_e v_e.$$

Define a $p$-ary linear code $C_D$ with length $n$ as follows:

$$C_D = \{(\text{Tr}(x \cdot d_1), \text{Tr}(x \cdot d_2), \ldots, \text{Tr}(x \cdot d_n)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}^*\}.$$  

Here, $D$ is also called the defining set. Using the method, some classes of linear codes with few weights were obtained by choosing proper defining sets [2,16].

2.2 A formula for Computing $d_r(C_D)$

For the linear code $C_D$, a general formula is likely be employed to calculate the generalized Hamming weight $d_r(C_D)$. It is presented in the following proposition.
Proposition 1. For each \( r \) and \( 1 \leq r \leq es \), if the dimension of \( C_D \) is \( es \), then \( d_r(C_D) = n - \max\{|D \cap H| : H \in \mathbb{F}_p^c, es - r\} \).

Proof. Let \( f \) be a mapping from \( \mathbb{F}_p^c \) to \( \mathbb{F}_p^n \) defined by

\[
f(x) = (\Tr(x \bullet d_1), \Tr(x \bullet d_2), \ldots, \Tr(x \bullet d_n)).
\]

Obviously, \( f \) is injective since the dimension of \( C_D \) is \( es \) and the image \( f(\mathbb{F}_p^c) \) is \( C_D \). For an \( r \)-dimension subspace \( U_r \in [C_D, r]_p \), denote by \( H_r \) the pre-image \( f^{-1}(U_r) \) in \( \mathbb{F}_p^c \). Also \( H_r \) is an \( r \)-dimension subspace of \( \mathbb{F}_p^c \). By definition, \( d_r(C_D) = n - \max\{N(U_r) : U_r \in [C_D, r]_p\} \), where

\[
N(U_r) = \sharp\{i : 1 \leq i \leq n, c_i = 0 \text{ for each } c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) \in U_r\}
\]

\[
= \sharp\{i : 1 \leq i \leq n, \Tr(\beta \bullet d_i) = 0 \text{ for each } \beta \in H_r\}.
\]

Let \( \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r\} \) be an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-basis of \( H_r \). Then

\[
N(U_r) = \frac{1}{p^r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{F}_p} \zeta_p^{\Tr(\beta_1 \bullet d_i)} \cdots \sum_{x_r \in \mathbb{F}_p} \zeta_p^{\Tr(\beta_r \bullet d_i)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{p^r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_r \in \mathbb{F}_p} \zeta_p^{\Tr((\beta_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \beta_r) \cdot d_i)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{p^r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \zeta_p^{\Tr(\beta \bullet d_i)}.
\]

For a subspace \( H \in \mathbb{F}_p^c \), let

\[
H^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_p^c : \Tr(u \bullet v) = 0 \text{ for any } u \in H\}.
\]

It is called the dual space of \( H \). Notice that \( \Tr(x \bullet x) \) is a quadratic form over \( \mathbb{F}_p^c \) with values in \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Choose an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-basis \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{es} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_p^c \), then symmetric matrix of \( \Tr(x \bullet x) \) is \( (\Tr(\alpha_i \bullet \alpha_j)) \) of order \( es \). It is an invertible block diagonal matrix. It is easy to know that \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^\perp) = es \) and \( (H^\perp)^\perp = H \).

For \( y \in \mathbb{F}_p^c \),

\[
\sum_{\beta \in H_r} \zeta_p^{\Tr(\beta \bullet y)} = \begin{cases} 
|H_r|, & \text{if } y \in H_r^\perp, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

So we have

\[
N(U_r) = \frac{1}{p^r} \sum_{y \in D \cap H_r^\perp} |H_r| = |D \cap H_r^\perp|.
\]
So the desired result follows from the fact that there is a bijection between $[\mathbb{F}_{p^r}, r]_p$ and $[\mathbb{F}_{p^s}, cs - r]_p$. We complete the proof.

**Remark** The result of Proposition 1 is a generalization of that in Theorem 1 in [23].

### 3 Two classes of binary linear codes

In this section, we construct two classes of binary linear codes and determine their parameters. We firstly present a few more auxiliary results which will be needed in proving the main results.

Let $\rho$ be a prime such that 2 is a primitive root modulo $\rho^m$. Here and after, let $p = 2, q = 2^{\phi(\rho^m)}$, where $\phi$ is the Euler phi-function. Let $\gamma$ be a fixed primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\chi_1$ be the **canonical additive character** over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Set $\alpha = \gamma^{\frac{q-1}{\rho^m}}$. See [23] for more information about additive characters over finite fields.

#### 3.1 An exponential sum

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we define

$$S(a, b) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1\left(ax^{\frac{q-1}{\rho^m}} + bx\right)$$

and

$$S(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^m-1} \chi_1(aa^i).$$

Since 2 is a primitive root modulo $\rho^m$, we have that $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$. Express $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ in the basis $\{\alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{\phi(\rho^m)}\}$, say

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{\phi(\rho^m)} a_j \alpha^i,$$

where $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_2$. For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \rho^m - 1$, we denote by $a^{(i)}$ the following sub-vector of length $\rho - 1$ of the coordinate vector $a = (a_1, \ldots, a^{\phi(\rho^m)})$ of $a$:

$$a^{(i)} = (a_{\rho^m-1-i}, a_{2\rho^m-1-i}, \ldots, a_{(r-1)\rho^m-1-i}).$$
For $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we define two subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ as follows.

$$E_u = \{ a \in \mathbb{F}_q^* : 2| \text{wt}((ua - \frac{q-1}{\rho m})(0)) \},$$

$$O_u = \{ a \in \mathbb{F}_q^* : 2 \not| \text{wt}((ua - \frac{q-1}{\rho m})(0)) \}.$$ 

In subsection 3.3, we will give the cardinal numbers of $E_u$ and $O_u$.

Let $\text{wt}(x)$ be the Hamming weight of binary vector $x$. Some results on $S(a, b)$ and $S(a)$ are given in the following lemmas. They play important roles in settling the parameters of our codes.

**Lemma 1.** Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a \neq 0$ and let $c = ab - \frac{q-1}{\rho m}$ if $b \neq 0$. Then,

$$S(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{q-1}{\rho} S(a), & \text{if } b = 0, \\ (-1)^{\text{wt}(c(i))} \sqrt{q} - \frac{\sqrt{q} + 1}{\rho m} S(a), & \text{if } b \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.** Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$S(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^{m-1}-1} (-1)^{\text{wt}(a(i))} (\rho - 2\text{wt}(a(i))).$$

**Lemma 3.** The value set of $S(a)$, as $a$ runs over $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, is

$$\{\rho^m - 4j | j = 1, \ldots, \rho^{m-1}(\rho - 1) \over 2 \}.$$ 

### 3.2 Defining sets

In the paper, we choose the defining set $D \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ as follows. For $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $v \in \mathbb{F}_q$, set

$$D = D(u,v) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : \text{Tr}(ux + vy) = 0\}.$$ 

Let $n = |D(u,v)|$. It is the length of the linear code $C_{D(u,v)}$.

**Lemma 4.** Let $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $u \neq 0$. Then,

$$n = |D(u,v)| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(q + 2 + \frac{qS(u)}{\rho m}), & \text{if } v = 0, \\ \frac{2q^2 - 1}{2}, & \text{if } v \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
Proof. Here and after, \( \zeta_2 = -1 \). By definition, we have

\[
|D_{(u,v)}| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}((ux^{q-1}+vy))} - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} (1 + \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux^{q-1}+vy)}) - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux^{q-1}+vy)} - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(vy)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux^{q-1})} - 1.
\]

If \( v = 0 \), then

\[
|D_{(u,0)}| = \frac{1}{2} q^2 + \frac{1}{2} q \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux^{q-1})} - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q^2 + \frac{1}{2} q (1 + S_{(u,0)}) - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q^2 + \frac{1}{2} q (1 + (q - 1) S_{(u)}) - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (q - 1)(q + 2 + q S_{(u)}).
\]

If \( v \neq 0 \), then \( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(vy)} = 0 \). So \( |D_{(u,v)}| = \frac{1}{2} q^2 - 1 \). We complete the proof.

3.3 Weight distribution of \( C_{D(u,v)} \)

We shall give the weight distributions of the linear code \( C_{D(u,v)} \).

For \((a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2, (a, b) \neq (0, 0)\), let

\[
N(a, b) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : \text{Tr}(ux^{q-1} + vy) = 0, \text{Tr}(ax + by) = 0\}.
\]

Obviously, \( \text{wt}(c_{(a,b)}) = |D_{(u,v)}| - |N(a, b)| + 1 \).

\[
|N(a,b)| = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{z_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(z_1(ux^{q-1}+vy))} \sum_{z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(z_2(ax+by))}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} (1 + \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux^{q-1}+vy)})(1 + \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ax+by)})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux^{q-1}+vy)} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux^{q-1}+ax+by+vy)}.
\]
Case 1. \( v = 0 \).

In this case, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( v = 0 \). The code \( C_{D(u,0)} \) is an \([n, 2\phi(p^m), d]\) linear code over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) with the weight distribution in Table 1, where \( d = \frac{4}{3}q(q - \sqrt{q} + \frac{(q + \sqrt{q})S(u)}{p^m}) \) and \( n = \frac{1}{2}(q - 1)(q + 2 + \frac{S(u)}{p^m}) \).

Table 1 The weight distribution of the codes of Theorem 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight ( w )</th>
<th>Multiplicity ( A )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1}{3}q(q + 1 + \frac{(q - 1)S(u)}{p^m}) )</td>
<td>( q(q - 1) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1}{3}q(q - \sqrt{q} + \frac{(q + \sqrt{q})S(u)}{p^m}) )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{3}q(q - 1)(1 + \frac{S(u)}{p^m}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1}{3}q(q + \sqrt{q} + \frac{(q + \sqrt{q})S(u)}{p^m}) )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{3}q(q - 1)(1 - \frac{S(u)}{p^m}) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proof.** Let the notations and symbols be as above.

\[
|N(a,b)| = \frac{1}{4}q^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2(ux \frac{q - 1}{p^m} + ax + by) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2(ux \frac{q + 1}{p^m} + ax + by) = \frac{1}{4}q^2 + \frac{1}{4}q \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2(ux \frac{q - 1}{p^m} + a) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2(uy \frac{q - 1}{p^m} + a) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2(uy \frac{q + 1}{p^m} + a) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2(ux \frac{q + 1}{p^m} + a) = \frac{1}{4}q^2 + \frac{1}{4}q(1 + S(u,0)) + \frac{1}{4}(1 + S(u,a)) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2(uy). \]

Then we have

\[
|N(a,b)| = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4}q(q + 1 + S(u,0)), & \text{if } b \neq 0, \\
\frac{1}{4}q(q + 2 + S(u,0) + S(u,a)), & \text{if } a \neq 0, \ b = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \( wt(c_{(a,b)}) = |D_{(u,0)}| - |N(a,b)| + 1 \). By Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, the three values of \( wt(c_{(a,b)}) \) are \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \), where

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{1}{4}q(q + 1 + \frac{(q - 1)S(u)}{p^m}), \\
\omega_2 = \frac{1}{4}q(q - \sqrt{q} + \frac{(q + \sqrt{q})S(u)}{p^m}), \\
\omega_3 = \frac{1}{4}q(q + \sqrt{q} + \frac{(q + \sqrt{q})S(u)}{p^m}).
\]

Recall that \( A_{\omega_i} \) is the multiplicity of \( \omega_i \). By the computation of \( |N(a,b)| \) and the first two Pless Power Moment ([13], P. 260), we obtain the system of linear equations as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{\omega_1} &= q(q - 1) \\
A_{\omega_2} + A_{\omega_3} &= q - 1 \\
\omega_1 A_{\omega_1} + \omega_2 A_{\omega_2} + \omega_3 A_{\omega_3} &= \frac{1}{2}q^2 n.
\end{align*}
\]
Solving the system, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{\omega_1} &= q(q-1) \\
A_{\omega_2} &= \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(1 + \frac{S(u)}{\rho^m}) \\
A_{\omega_3} &= \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(1 - \frac{S(u)}{\rho^m}).
\end{align*}
\]

Then we get the weight distribution of Table 1. By Lemma 3, of all the non-zero weights, \(\omega_3\) is the smallest. So we get the value of minimum Hamming weight \(d = \omega_3\).

We complete the proof.

**Corollary 1.** For each \(u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\), we have \(|E_u| = \frac{1}{2}(q-1)\) and \(|O_u| = \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(1 - \frac{S(u)}{\rho^m})\).

**Proof.** Recall the definitions of \(E_u\) and \(O_u\) in Subsection 3.1. Obviously, \(|E_u| + |O_u| = q - 1\). By Lemma 1,

\[
S(u, a) = (-1)^{\text{wt}(\langle ua - \frac{q-1}{\rho^m} \rangle(0))} \sqrt{\sqrt{q} - \sqrt{\frac{q}{\rho^m}} + 1} S(u).
\]

For a code word \(c(a, b) \in C_{D(u, v)}\), by the computing of \(|N(a, b)|\), we know that \(\text{wt}(c(a, b)) = \frac{1}{2}q(q-\sqrt{q} + \frac{q+\sqrt{q}S(u)}{\rho^m})\) if and only if \(2\text{wt}(\langle ua - \frac{q-1}{\rho^m} \rangle(0))\). So the desired result follows from Theorem 1. The proof is completed.

**Remark** By Lemma 3, we know that neither \(E_u\) nor \(O_u\) is empty for every \(u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\).

**Example 1** Let \((\rho, m, u, v) = (3, 2, 1, 0)\). Then, the corresponding code \(C_{D(u, v)}\) has parameters \([3199, 12, 1536]\), weight enumerator \(1 + 49x^{1536} + 4032x^{1600} + 14x^{1792}\).

**Case 2** \(v \neq 0\).

**Theorem 2.** Let \(v \neq 0\). The code \(C_{D(u, v)}\) is a \([\frac{1}{2}q^2 - 1, 2\phi(\rho^m)]\) linear code over \(\mathbb{F}_2\) with the weight distribution in Table 2.
So by Lemma 1, we have

\[
|N(a, b)| = \frac{1}{4} q^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x, y \in F_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux + vy + b)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{y \in F_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(v+b)y} \sum_{x \in F_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux + ax)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 + S(u, a)) \sum_{y \in F_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}((v+b)y)}.
\]

Then we have

\[
|N(a, b)| = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} q^2, & \text{if } a \neq 0, b = 0, \\
\frac{1}{4} q(q + 1 + S(u, a)), & \text{if } b = v, \\
\frac{1}{4} q^2, & \text{if } b \neq v, b \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

So by Lemma 1, we have

\[
|N(a, b)| = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} q(q + 1 + \frac{(q-1)S(u)}{\rho}), & \text{if } b = v, a = 0, \\
\frac{1}{4} q(q + 1 + \sqrt{q} - \frac{(\sqrt{q}+1)S(u)}{\rho}), & \text{if } b = v, a \in E_u, \\
\frac{1}{4} q(q + 1 - \sqrt{q} - \frac{(\sqrt{q}+1)S(u)}{\rho}), & \text{if } b = v, a \in O_u.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \(wt(c_{(a, b)}) = |D_{(u, v)}| - |N(a, b)| + 1\). By Lemma 4, for a nonzero codeword \(c_{(a, b)}\), its weight \(wt(c_{(a, b)})\) has four values \(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4\), where

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{1}{4} q(q - 1 - \frac{(q-1)S(u)}{\rho}),
\]

\[
\omega_2 = \frac{1}{4} q(q - 1 + \sqrt{q} - \frac{(1+\sqrt{q})S(u)}{\rho}),
\]

\[
\omega_3 = \frac{1}{4} q(q - 1 - \sqrt{q} + \frac{(1+\sqrt{q})S(u)}{\rho}),
\]

\[
\omega_4 = \frac{1}{4} q^2.
\]

By the computation of \(|N(a, b)|\) and Corollary 1, it is easy to get the multiplicity \(A_{\omega_i}\) of \(\omega_i\). They are listed as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{\omega_1} &= 1 \\
A_{\omega_2} &= \frac{1}{2}(q - 1)(1 + \frac{S(u)}{\rho}) \\
A_{\omega_3} &= \frac{1}{2}(q - 1)(1 - \frac{S(u)}{\rho}) \\
A_{\omega_4} &= q^2 - q - 1.
\end{align*}
\]
Then we get the weight distribution of Table 2. The dimension of this code $C_{D(u,v)}$ follows from that $wt(c_{i(a,b)}) > 0$ for each $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$. The proof is finished.

**Example 2** Let $(\rho, m, u, v) = (3, 2, 1, 1)$. Then, the corresponding code $C_{D(u,v)}$ has parameters $[2047, 12, 448]$, weight enumerator $1 + x^{448} + 49x^{960} + 14x^{1216} + 4031x^{1024}$.

**Example 3** Let $(\rho, m, u, v) = (5, 1, 1, 1)$. Then, the corresponding code $C_{D(u,v)}$ has parameters $[127, 8, 32]$, weight enumerator $1 + x^{96} + 251x^{64} + 3x^{32}$.

**Remark** In Example 3, when $(\rho, m, u, v) = (5, 1, 1, 1)$, the last two weights of $C_{D(u,v)}$ equal. So it is a 3-weight code.

The above examples have been verified by Magma.

### 3.4 Weight hierarchy

Let $H_r$ be an $r$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r$ be an $\mathbb{F}_2$-basis of $H_r$. We set

$$N(H_r) = \{ Z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : \text{Tr}(ux^{q-1} + vy) = 0, \text{Tr}(Z \cdot \beta_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq r \}.$$ 

By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we know that the dimension of the code $C_{D(u,v)}$ is $2\phi(\rho^m)$. By the proof of proposition 1, we have

$$d_r(C_{D(u,v)}) = n - \max \{|N(H_r)| : H_r \in [\mathbb{F}_q^2, r], r \} + 1.$$ 

$$2^{r+1}|N(H_r)| = \sum_{Z = (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{F}_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\tau(ux^{q-1} + vy))} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{F}_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(x_i(Z \cdot \beta_i))}$$

$$= \sum_{Z = (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{F}_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\tau(ux^{q-1} + vy))} \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z)}$$

$$= \sum_{Z = (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z)} + \sum_{Z = (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z + ux^{q-1} + vy)}$$

$$= \sum_{Z = (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z)} + \sum_{Z = (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z + ux^{q-1} + vy)}.$$
Set

\[ A = \sum_{Z=(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z)}, \quad B = \sum_{Z=(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z + uz \frac{q^2}{\rho^m} + vy)}. \]

\[ A = \sum_{Z=(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} 1 + \sum_{Z=(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z)} \]

\[ = q^2 + \sum_{\beta \in H^*_2} \sum_{Z=(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z)} \]

\[ = q^2. \]

Here \( H^*_2 = H_2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} \).

**Case 1** \( v = 0 \).

In the case, we shall determine the weight hierarchy of \( C_{D(u,0)} \). We give the result as follows.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( v = 0 \). Then

\[ d_v(C_D) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2^{-r})(q - \sqrt{q} + \sqrt{q}) & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{\delta(\rho^m)}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(q - 1)(q + 2 + 2S(u) - \frac{q^2}{2r}) + 1, & \text{if } \frac{\delta(\rho^m)}{2} < r \leq 2\delta(\rho^m). \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** Let the notations and symbols be as above.

\[ B = \sum_{Z=(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\beta \in H_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta \cdot Z + uz \frac{q^2}{\rho^m})} \]

\[ = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{(\beta_1,0)\in H_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta_1 \cdot x + uz \frac{q^2}{\rho^m})} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in H_2} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta_1 \cdot x + \beta_2 \cdot y + uz \frac{q^2}{\rho^m})} \]

\[ = q \sum_{(\beta_1,0)\in H_2} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta_1 \cdot x \frac{q^2}{\rho^m})} + \sum_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in H_2} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta_1 \cdot x + \beta_2 \cdot y \frac{q^2}{\rho^m})} \]

\[ = q \sum_{(\beta_1,0)\in H_2} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(\beta_1 \cdot x \frac{q^2}{\rho^m})} = q \sum_{(\beta_1,0)\in H_2} (1 + S(u, \beta_1)). \]

Here

\[ S(u, \beta_1) = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_2^{\text{Tr}(ux \frac{q^2}{\rho^m} + \beta_1 \cdot x)}. \]

By lemma 1, we have

\[ \frac{1}{q}B = \sum_{(\beta_1,0)\in H_2} (1 - \frac{\sqrt{q} + 1}{\rho^m}S(u)) + \sqrt{q} \sum_{(\beta_1,0)\in H_2} (-1)^{\text{wt}(c^{(0)})} \]

\[ + \frac{\sqrt{q} + q}{\rho^m}S(u) - \sqrt{q}. \]
where \( c = u\beta_1^{\frac{q-1}{\rho m}} \).

Suppose \( S(u) < 0 \). By Corollary 1, there is an element \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) such that wt((u\beta - \frac{q-1}{\rho m})^{(0)}) is even. When \( r \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi(\rho m) \), we can choose an \( r \)-dimensional subspace \( L_r \) contained in \( \beta \mathbb{F}_q^* \) and wt((u\beta - \frac{q-1}{\rho m})^{(0)}) is even with each \( \beta \in L_r \).

So we may choose \( H_r = L_r \times O \), thus \( B \) reaches its maximum

\[
2^r(1 - \frac{(\sqrt{q} + 1)S(u)}{\rho m}) + 2^r \sqrt{q} + \frac{(\sqrt{q} + q)S(u)}{\rho m} - \sqrt{q}.
\]

Suppose \( S(u) > 0 \). Because \( \sqrt{q} > |1 - \frac{(\sqrt{q} + 1)S(u)}{\rho m}| \), when we still choose \( H_r = L_r \times O \), \( B \) reaches its maximum

\[
2^r(1 - \frac{(\sqrt{q} + 1)S(u)}{\rho m}) + 2^r \sqrt{q} + \frac{(\sqrt{q} + q)S(u)}{\rho m} - \sqrt{q}.
\]

In a word, when \( r \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi(\rho m) \), the maximum of \( B \) is

\[
2^r(1 - \frac{(\sqrt{q} + 1)S(u)}{\rho m}) + 2^r \sqrt{q} + \frac{(\sqrt{q} + q)S(u)}{\rho m} - \sqrt{q}.
\]

So we solve the generalized Hamming weights \( d_r(C_D) \) for \( r \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi(\rho m) \).

For \( \frac{1}{2}\phi(\rho m) < r < 2\phi(\rho m) \), we have \( 1 \leq 2\phi(\rho m) - r < \frac{3}{2}\phi(\rho m) \). We can choose an element \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) satisfying Tr(u\beta^{\frac{q-1}{\rho m}}) = 0. Then we have that the dimension of the subspace \( \beta \mathbb{F}_q^* \times \mathbb{F}_q^2 \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2 \) is \( \frac{3}{2}\phi(\rho m) \). Let \( H_{2\phi(\rho m) - r} \) be a \((2\phi(\rho m) - r)\)-dimensional subspace contained in \( \beta \mathbb{F}_q^* \times \mathbb{F}_q^2 \). So

\[
|H_{2\phi(\rho m) - r} \cap D| = 2^{2\phi(\rho m) - r} - 1.
\]

Then,

\[
\max\{|D \cap H| : H \in [\mathbb{F}_{\rho m}, 2\phi(\rho m) - r], |H| = 2^{2\phi(\rho m) - r} - 1\}.
\]

By Proposition 1, for \( \frac{1}{2}\phi(\rho m) < r < 2\phi(\rho m) \), we have that

\[
d_r(C_D) = n - 2^{2\phi(\rho m) - r} + 1.
\]

The proof is completed.

**Case 2** \( v \neq 0 \).
We shall determine the weight hierarchy of $C_{D(v,v)}$. The result is given as follows.

**Theorem 4.** Let $v \neq 0$. If $1 \leq r \leq \frac{d \phi(\rho^m)}{2}$, then

$$d_r(C_D) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^2}{2}(1 - 2^{-r}) - \frac{q(q + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2})}{4}(1 - \frac{S(u)}{\rho^m}), & \text{if } S(u) < 0, \\ \frac{q}{2}(q - q^{-\sqrt{q}}) - \frac{q(1 + \sqrt{q})}{4}(1 - \frac{S(u)}{\rho^m}), & \text{if } S(u) > 0. \end{cases}$$

If $\frac{d \phi(\rho^m)}{2} < r \leq 2d \phi(\rho^m)$, then $d_r(C_D) = \frac{1}{2}q^2 - 2d \phi(\rho^m) - r$.

**Proof.** Let the notations and symbols be as above.

$$B = \sum_{(x,y) \in F_q^2} \sum_{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in H_r} \zeta_2 \text{Tr}(ux \rho^m + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y + vy)$$

$$= \sum_{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in H_r} \sum_{x \in F_q} \zeta_2 \text{Tr}(ux \rho^m + \beta_1 x) \sum_{y \in F_q} \zeta_2 \text{Tr}(2y + vy)$$

$$= q \sum_{(\beta_1, v) \in H_r} \sum_{x \in F_q} \zeta_2 \text{Tr}(ux \rho^m + \beta_1 x)$$

$$= q \sum_{(\beta_1, v) \in H_r} 1 + q \sum_{(\beta_1, v) \in H_r} S(u, \beta_1)$$

Let $Prj_2$ be the second projection from $F_q^2$ to $F_q$ defined by $(x, y) \rightarrow y$. If $v \not\in Prj_2(H_r)$, then $B = 0$.

If $S(u) < 0$, by Lemma 1, we know the value of $S(u, 0)$ is far less than that of $S(u, \beta_1)$, for each $\beta_1 \in E_u$. We can choose an element $\beta \in F_q^*$ so that wt($u\beta - \frac{\beta_0}{\rho^m}$) is even. When $1 \leq r < \frac{1}{2}d \phi(\rho^m) + 1$, set $L = \beta F_q \times v F_2$. We can choose an $r$-dimensional space $H_r$ contained in $L$ such that $(0, v) \not\in H_r$. At this point, $\frac{1}{2}B$ reaches its maximum $2^{r-1}(1 - \frac{\sqrt{q} + 1}{\rho^m}S(u) + \sqrt{q})$.

Let $v \in Prj_2(H_r)$. When $S(u) > 0$, the value of $S(u, 0)$ is far greater than that of $S(u, \beta_1)$, for each $\beta_1 \in E_u$. We can choose an $r$-dimensional space $H_r$ contained in $L$ such that $(0, v) \in H_r$. By Lemma 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{q} B = \sum_{(\beta_1, v) \in H_r} (1 - \frac{\sqrt{q} + 1}{\rho^m}S(u)) + \sqrt{q} \sum_{(\beta_1, v) \in H_r} (-1)^{\text{wt}(c^0)}$$

$$+ (\sqrt{q} + q)S(u) - \sqrt{q},$$

where $c = u\beta_1^\frac{1}{\rho^m}$. 
We can choose an element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ so that $wt((u\beta - \frac{q-1}{\rho m})^0))$ is even. When $1 \leq r < \frac{1}{2} \phi(\rho m) + 1$, set $L = \beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\sqrt{q}} \times v\mathbb{F}_p$. When $H_r$ is contained in $L$, $\frac{1}{q}B$ can reach a maximum value

$$B_{max} = 2^{r-1}(1 - \frac{(\sqrt{q} + 1)S(u)}{\rho m} + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{q}) + \frac{(\sqrt{q} + q)S(u)}{\rho m} - \sqrt{q}.$$ 

It can be seen from the above discussion that the generalized Hamming weights are solved for $r \leq \frac{1}{2} \phi(\rho m)$.

For $\frac{1}{2} \phi(\rho m) + 1 \leq r \leq 2\phi(\rho m)$, we have $1 \leq 2\phi(\rho m) - r \leq \frac{1}{2} \phi(\rho m) - 1$. We can choose an element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ satisfying $\text{Tr}(u\beta^{\frac{q-1}{\rho m}}) = 0$. Let $T_v = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q : \text{Tr}(vx) = 0\}$. It is a $(\phi(\rho m) - 1)$-dimensional subspace. Then we have that the dimension of the subspace $\beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\sqrt{q}} \times T_v \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ is $\frac{1}{2} \phi(\rho m) - 1$. Let $H_{2\phi(\rho m) - r}$ be a $(2\phi(\rho m) - r)$-dimensional subspace contained in $\beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\sqrt{q}} \times T_v$. So

$$|H_{2\phi(\rho m) - r} \cap D| = 2^{2\phi(\rho m) - r} - 1,$$

Then,

$$\max\{|D \cap H| : H \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\rho m}, 2\phi(\rho m) - r\} = 2^{2\phi(\rho m) - r} - 1.$$ 

By Proposition 1, for $\frac{1}{2} \phi(\rho m) + 1 < r \leq 2\phi(\rho m)$, we have that

$$d_r(C_D) = n - 2^{2\phi(\rho m) - r} + 1.$$ 

And the proof is finished.

**4 Concluding Remarks**

The method of constructing linear codes by defining sets can be generalised. In this paper, we give a formula for calculating the weight hierarchies of linear codes constructed by the generalized method. Then we construct two classes of 3-weight or 4-weight binary linear codes based on the generalised method. By the exponential sum theory, we give the weight distributions of these codes. Using our formula, we determine the weight hierarchies of these codes completely.
Let $w_{\text{min}}$ and $w_{\text{max}}$ be the minimum and maximum nonzero weight of the linear code $C_{D_{(u,0)}}$, respectively. It is easy to check that
\[
\frac{w_{\text{min}}}{w_{\text{max}}} > \frac{1}{2}.
\]
By the results in [30], the binary linear codes $C_{D_{(u,0)}}$ in Theorem 1 are suitable for constructing secret sharing schemes with interesting properties.
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