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#### Abstract

Left braces, introduced by Rump, have turned out to provide an important tool in the study of set theoretic solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. In particular, they have allowed to construct several new families of solutions. A left brace $(B,+, \cdot)$ is a structure determined by two group structures on a set $B$ : an abelian group $(B,+)$ and a group $(B, \cdot)$, satisfying certain compatibility conditions. The main result of this paper shows that every finite abelian group $A$ is a subgroup of the additive group of a finite simple left brace $B$ with metabelian multiplicative group with abelian Sylow subgroups. This result complements earlier unexpected results of the authors on an abundance of finite simple left braces.


## 1 Introduction

In order to investigate a question posed by Drinfeld [9, Rump, in 11, introduced a new algebraic structure, called a left brace, to study non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions of the YangBaxter equation. Using an equivalent formulation, given in [7], a left brace is a set $B$ equipped with two operations + and $\cdot \operatorname{such}$ that $(B,+)$ is an abelian group, $(B, \cdot)$ is a group and $a \cdot(b+c)+a=$ $a \cdot b+a \cdot c$, for all $a, b, c \in B$. In [2] it was shown that all non-degenerate involutive solutions on a finite set can be explicitly determined from finite left braces. Hence the study of left braces becomes essential to classify all solutions. Moreover, intriguingly, braces have shown up in several areas of mathematics (see for example the surveys [6, [12]). One of the fundamental problems is to classify the building blocks of all finite simple left braces, that is describe all finite simple left braces. It is well-known that the additive Sylow $p$-subgroup $B_{p}$ of a finite brace $B$ is a left subbrace of $B$ and, in [3, it has been shown that $B$ is an iterated matched product of all $B_{p}$. Rump, in [11, has shown that if $B=B_{p}$, then $B$ is a simple left brace precisely when $B$ has order $p$; more generally, the conclusion holds if $(B, \cdot)$ is a finite nilpotent group. In particular, such a brace is trivial, i.e. the two operations + and $\cdot$ coincide. Recall that Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev 10 have shown that if $B$ is finite, then $(B, \cdot)$ is a solvable group. Also if $B_{p} \neq B$, there are some natural constraints on the order of a finite simple left brace $B$. For example, Smoktunowicz, in [13], showed that if $|B|=p^{n} q^{m}$ (with $p$ and $q$ different prime numbers and $n, m$ positive integers), then $p \mid\left(q^{t}-1\right)$ and $q \mid\left(p^{s}-1\right)$ for some $0<t \leq m$ and $0<s \leq n$. However, these conditions are not sufficient for simplicity of $B$. For example, there is no simple left brace of order $p^{n} q$, where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes and $n$ is the multiplicative order of $p$ in the unit group of $\mathbb{Z} /(q)$ (see [3, Remark 5.3]). Bachiller, in [1. Theorem 6.3 and Section 7], produced the first example of a non-trivial finite simple left brace. This initiated a program of constructing and describing finite simple left braces 3, 4. One of the structural approaches is via the matched product of the Sylow subgroups; this allowed to construct new classes of examples and provided some necessary conditions for simplicity. In [8], it has been proven that there is an abundance of finite simple left braces, indeed, for any positive integer $n>1$

[^0]and distinct prime numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$, there exist positive integers $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n}$, such that, for each $n$-tuple of integers $m_{1} \geq l_{1}, m_{2} \geq l_{2}, \ldots, m_{n} \geq l_{n}$, there exists a simple left brace of order $p^{m_{1}} p^{m_{2}} \cdots p^{m_{n}}$ that has a metabelian multiplicative group with abelian Sylow subgroups. The construction of these simple braces is via asymmetric products, as introduced by Catino, Colazzo and Stefanelli in [5. This not only provided constructions of new classes of simple left braces, but also all previously known constructions have been interpreted as asymmetric products. Furthermore, in [4], a construction is given of finite simple left braces with a multiplicative group $(B, \cdot)$ that is solvable of arbitrary derived length. In this paper, we focus on the additive group $(B,+)$ and we discover new examples of finite simple left braces. Our main result reads as follows:

For every finite abelian group $A$ there exists a finite simple left brace $B$ such that $A$ is a subgroup of the additive group of $B$.

In fact, the simple left braces $B$ that we construct have metabelian multiplicative groups $(B, \cdot)$ and have abelian Sylow subgroups (so called A-groups [14]), and additive group isomorphic to

$$
\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z} /(m)}\left(\mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)\right)^{2 s_{i} l_{i-1}+1}
$$

where $m>1, p_{1}, \ldots p_{m}$ are any distinct prime numbers, $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$ are any positive integers, and $l_{i}=p_{i}^{n_{i}}-p_{i}^{n_{i}-1}$. In particular, we thus also construct examples that have elements of additive order $2^{n}$ (for any $n>1$ ). None of the known previous constructions included such elements.

## 2 Preliminaries

A left brace is a set $B$ with two binary operations, + and $\cdot$, such that $(B,+)$ is an abelian group, $(B, \cdot)$ is a group, and for every $a, b, c \in B$,

$$
a \cdot(b+c)+a=a \cdot b+a \cdot c
$$

In any left brace $B$ there is an action $\lambda:(B, \cdot) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(B,+)$, called the lambda map of $B$, defined by $\lambda(a)=\lambda_{a}$ and $\lambda_{a}(b)=a \cdot b-a$, for $a, b \in B$. A trivial brace is a left brace $B$ such that $a b=a+b$, for all $a, b \in B$, i.e. all $\lambda_{a}=\mathrm{id}$.

A left ideal of a left brace $B$ is a subgroup $L$ of the additive group of $B$ such that $\lambda_{a}(b) \in L$, for all $b \in L$ and all $a \in B$. An ideal of a left brace $B$ is a normal subgroup $I$ of the multiplicative group of $B$ such that $\lambda_{a}(b) \in I$, for all $b \in I$ and all $a \in B$. Note that $a b^{-1}=a-\lambda_{a b^{-1}}(b)$ and $a-b=a \lambda_{a^{-1} b}\left(b^{-1}\right)$, for all $a, b \in B$. Hence, every left ideal $L$ of $B$ also is a subgroup of the multiplicative group of $B$, and every ideal $I$ of a left brace $B$ also is a subgroup of the additive group of $B$, and then $B / I$ is a left brace, the quotient brace $B$ modulo $I$. A non-zero left brace $B$ is simple if $\{0\}$ and $B$ are the only ideals of $B$.

The following result is useful to prove simplicity in many cases.
Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 2.5 in [3]) If $I$ is an ideal of a left brace $B$, then $\left(\lambda_{b}-\mathrm{id}\right)(a) \in I$, for all $a \in B$ and $b \in I$.

In [5] Catino, Colazzo and Stefanelli introduced the asymmetric product of two left braces. Let $S$ and $T$ be two (additive) abelian groups. Recall that a (normalized) symmetric 2-cocycle on $T$ with values in $S$ is a map $b: T \times T \rightarrow S$ such that
(i) $b(0,0)=0$;
(ii) $b\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=b\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right)$;
(iii) $b\left(t_{1}+t_{2}, t_{3}\right)+b\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=b\left(t_{1}, t_{2}+t_{3}\right)+b\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$,
for all $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in T$.

Theorem 2.2 (Catino, Colazzo, Stefanelli [5]) Let $T$ and $S$ be two left braces. Let $b: T \times T \longrightarrow S$ be a symmetric 2 -cocycle on $(T,+)$ with values in $(S,+)$, and let $\alpha:(S, \cdot) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(T,+, \cdot)$ be a homomorphism of groups such that

$$
s \cdot b\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)+b\left(t_{1} \cdot \alpha_{s}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}\right), t_{1}\right)=b\left(t_{1} \cdot \alpha_{s}\left(t_{2}\right), t_{1} \cdot \alpha_{s}\left(t_{3}\right)\right)+s
$$

where $\alpha_{s}=\alpha(s)$, for all $s \in S$ and $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in T$. Then the addition and multiplication on $T \times S$ given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(t_{1}, s_{1}\right)+\left(t_{2}, s_{2}\right)=\left(t_{1}+t_{2}, s_{1}+s_{2}+b\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right) \\
\left(t_{1}, s_{1}\right) \cdot\left(t_{2}, s_{2}\right)=\left(t_{1} \cdot \alpha_{s_{1}}\left(t_{2}\right), s_{1} \cdot s_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

define a structure of left brace on $T \times S$, called the asymmetric product of $T$ by $S$ (via band $\alpha$ ). It is denoted as $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$.

Note that, in $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$,

$$
\left(t_{1}, s_{1}\right)-\left(t_{2}, s_{2}\right)=\left(t_{1}-t_{2}, s_{1}-s_{2}-b\left(t_{1}-t_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for all $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$ and $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$. Thus the lambda map of $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\left(t_{1}, s_{1}\right)}\left(t_{2}, s_{2}\right)=\left(\lambda_{t_{1}} \alpha_{s_{1}}\left(t_{2}\right), \lambda_{s_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right)-b\left(\lambda_{t_{1}} \alpha_{s_{1}}\left(t_{2}\right), t_{1}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that every symmetric bi-additive map $b: T \times T \longrightarrow S$ is a symmetric 2-cocycle on $(T,+)$ with values in $(S,+)$. In this case, the condition on $b$ and $\alpha$ is equivalent to the following two conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{s}\left(b\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)\right)=b\left(\alpha_{s}\left(t_{2}\right), \alpha_{s}\left(t_{3}\right)\right) \\
b\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)=b\left(\lambda_{t_{1}}\left(t_{2}\right), \lambda_{t_{1}}\left(t_{3}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the construction below $T$ and $S$ will be trivial braces. Hence, these two conditions are reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)=b\left(\alpha_{s}\left(t_{2}\right), \alpha_{s}\left(t_{3}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in this case

$$
\lambda_{\left(t_{1}, s_{1}\right)}\left(t_{2}, s_{2}\right)=\left(\alpha_{s_{1}}\left(t_{2}\right), s_{2}-b\left(\alpha_{s_{1}}\left(t_{2}\right), t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Let $A, B$ be abelian additive groups. Let $b: A \times A \longrightarrow B$ be a symmetric bi-additive map. We define an addition on $A \times B$ by

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}+b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in A$ and $y_{1}, y_{2} \in B$. We denote by $G_{b}(A, B)$ the abelian group $A \times B$ with the above addition. Note that if $b$ is the zero map 0 , then $G_{0}(A, B)$ is just the direct product of the groups $A$ and $B$.
Lemma 2.3 If $B$ is finite of odd order, then $G_{b}(A, B) \cong G_{0}(A, B)$ for every symmetric bi-additive map $b: A \times A \longrightarrow B$.

Proof. Let $|B|=2 n+1$. We define $\varphi_{b}: G_{b}(A, B) \longrightarrow G_{0}(A, B)$ by $\varphi_{b}(x, y)=(x, y+n b(x, x))$, for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. It is clear that $\varphi$ is bijective. For $x_{1}, x_{2} \in A$ and $y_{1}, y_{2} \in B$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{b}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right) & =\varphi_{b}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}+b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}+b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+n b\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}+b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+n b\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)+n b\left(x_{2}, x_{2}\right)+2 n b\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}+n b\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)+n b\left(x_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi_{b}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\varphi_{b}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the result follows.
The result is not true for $B$ of even order. For example, let $A=B=\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ and let $b: A \times A \longrightarrow B$ be the map defined by $b(x, y)=x y$. Note that in $G_{b}(A, B)$ we have that $(1,0)+(1,0)=(0,1)$. Then $G_{b}(A, B) \cong \mathbb{Z} /(4) \not \approx \mathbb{Z} /(2) \times \mathbb{Z} /(2)=G_{0}(A, B)$.

## 3 The construction

Let $m>1$ be an integer. For $i \in \mathbb{Z} /(m)$, let $p_{i}$ be a prime number and let $n_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ be positive integers. We assume that $p_{i} \neq p_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Consider the rings $R_{i}=\mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)$ and the polynomials

$$
q_{i}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{p_{i}-1} x^{k p_{i}^{n_{i}-1}} \in R_{i+1}[x]
$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z} /(m)$. Note that $\left(x^{p_{i}^{n_{i}-1}}-1\right) q_{i}(x)=x^{p_{i}^{n_{i}}}-1$. Put $l_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(q_{i}(x)\right)$. Let $C_{i} \in M_{l_{i-1}}\left(R_{i}\right)$ be the companion matrix of $q_{i-1}(x)$. Note that $C_{i}$ is invertible in $M_{l_{i-1}}\left(R_{i}\right)$ and has order $p_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}$. Let $T_{i}=R_{i}^{2 l_{i-1}}$. We define the symmetric bilinear form $b_{i}: T_{i} \times T_{i} \longrightarrow R_{i}$ by

$$
b_{i}(u, v)=u\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
0 & I_{l_{i-1}} \\
\hline I_{l_{i-1}} & 0
\end{array}\right) v^{t}
$$

for all $u, v \in T_{i}$. We also define $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(T_{i}\right)$ by

$$
f_{i}(u)=u\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
C_{i}^{t} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & C_{i}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for all $u \in T_{i}$. One can check that $f_{i} \in O\left(T_{i}, b_{i}\right)$, the orthogonal group of $b_{i}$. This is a consequence of the fact that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
C_{i}^{t} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & C_{i}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
0 & I_{l_{i-1}} \\
\hline I_{l_{i-1}} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
C_{i}^{t} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & C_{i}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)^{t}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
0 & I_{l_{i-1}} \\
\hline I_{l_{i-1}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

For every ring $R$, by the trivial brace $R$ will mean $(R,+,+)$.
Consider the trivial braces $T=T_{1}^{s_{1}} \times \cdots \times T_{m}^{s_{m}}$ and $S=R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{m}$. We define the symmetric bi-additive map $b: T \times T \longrightarrow S$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b\left(\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, u_{m, 1}, \ldots, u_{m, s_{m}}\right),\left(v_{1,1}, \ldots, v_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, v_{m, 1}, \ldots, v_{m, s_{m}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1}} b_{1}\left(u_{1, j}, v_{1, j}\right), \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{s_{m}} b_{m}\left(u_{m, j}, v_{m, j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u_{i, j}, v_{i, j} \in T_{i}$. We define $\alpha: S \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ by $\alpha\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)=\alpha_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)}\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, u_{m, 1}, \ldots, u_{m, s_{m}}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(u_{1,1}\right), \ldots, f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(u_{1, s_{1}}\right), f_{2}^{a_{1}}\left(u_{2,1}\right) \ldots, f_{2}^{a_{1}}\left(u_{2, s_{2}}\right), \ldots, f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(u_{m, 1}\right), \ldots, f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(u_{m, s_{m}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a_{i} \in R_{i}$, and all $u_{i, j} \in T_{i}$. Note that, since $f_{i}$ has order $p_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}$ and $a_{i-1} \in \mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}\right)$, the map $\alpha$ is well-defined. Clearly $\alpha$ is a group homomorphism from the group $(S,+)$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(T,+,+)$. Since $f_{i} \in O\left(T_{i}, b_{i}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b\left(\alpha_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)}\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, u_{m, 1}, \ldots, u_{m, s_{m}}\right), \alpha_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)}\left(v_{1,1}, \ldots, v_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, v_{m, 1}, \ldots, v_{m, s_{m}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=b\left(\left(f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(u_{1,1}\right), \ldots, f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(u_{1, s_{1}}\right), f_{2}^{a_{1}}\left(u_{2,1}\right) \ldots, f_{2}^{a_{1}}\left(u_{2, s_{2}}\right), \ldots, f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(u_{m, 1}\right), \ldots, f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(u_{m, s_{m}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(v_{1,1}\right), \ldots, f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(v_{1, s_{1}}\right), f_{2}^{a_{1}}\left(v_{2,1}\right) \ldots, f_{2}^{a_{1}}\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), \ldots, f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(v_{m, 1}\right), \ldots, f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(v_{m, s_{m}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1}} b_{1}\left(f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(u_{1, j}\right), f_{1}^{a_{m}}\left(v_{1, j}\right)\right), \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{s_{m}} b_{m}\left(f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(u_{m, j}\right), f_{m}^{a_{m-1}}\left(v_{m, j}\right)\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& = \\
& \quad\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1}} b_{1}\left(u_{1, j}, v_{1, j}\right), \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{s_{m}} b_{m}\left(u_{m, j}, v_{m, j}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\quad\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, u_{m, 1}, \ldots, u_{m, s_{m}}\right),\left(v_{1,1}, \ldots, v_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, v_{m, 1}, \ldots, v_{m, s_{m}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a_{i} \in R_{i}$ and all $u_{i, j}, v_{i, j} \in T_{i}$.
Hence, (2) is satisfied and we can construct the asymmetric product $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$ of $T$ by $S$ via $\alpha$ and b.

Lemma 3.1 The Sylow $p_{i}$-subgroup of the additive group of $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$ is

$$
A_{i}=\{0\} \times \cdots \times\{0\} \times T_{i}^{s_{i}} \times\{0\} \times \cdots \times\{0\} \times R_{i} \times\{0\} \times \cdots \times\{0\}
$$

Furthermore $A_{i} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)\right)^{2 s_{i} l_{i-1}+1}$.
Proof. Note that $\left|T \rtimes_{0} S\right|=\prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z} /(m)} p_{j}^{n_{j}\left(2 s_{j} l_{j-1}+1\right)}$ and $\left|A_{i}\right|=p_{i}^{n_{i}\left(2 s_{i} l_{i-1}+1\right)}$. Thus to prove that $A_{i}$ is the Sylow $p_{i}$-subgroup of the additive subgroup of $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$, it is enough to show that $A_{i}$ is an additive subgroup of $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$. But this is obvious from the definition of the addition in $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$. Therefore the first part of the result follows.

Let $u, v \in A_{i}$. Then

$$
u=\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, u_{i, 1}, \ldots, u_{i, s_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in A_{i}
$$

and

$$
v=\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, v_{i, 1}, \ldots, v_{i, s_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(0, \ldots, 0, c_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in A_{i}
$$

for some $u_{i, k}, v_{i, k} \in T_{i}$ and $a_{i}, c_{i} \in R_{i}$. Since $T_{i}=R_{i}^{2 l_{i-1}}$, there exist $a_{i, k, j}, c_{i, k, j} \in R_{i}$ such that

$$
u_{i, k}=\left(a_{i, k, 1}, \ldots, a_{i, k, 2 l_{i-1}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad v_{i, k}=\left(c_{i, k, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, k, 2 l_{i-1}}\right) .
$$

We define $\varphi: A_{i} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)\right)^{2 s_{i} l_{i-1}+1}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u)= & \left(a_{i, 1,1}, \ldots, a_{i, 1,2 l_{i-1}}, a_{i, 2,1}, \ldots, a_{i, 2,2 l_{i-1}}, \ldots, a_{i, s_{i}, 1}, \ldots, a_{i, s_{i}, 2 l_{i-1}}\right. \\
& \left.a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{i-1}} a_{i, j, k} a_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u+v= & \left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, u_{i, 1}+v_{i, 1}, \ldots, u_{i, s_{i}}+v_{i, s_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{i}+c_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} b_{i}\left(u_{i, j}, v_{i, j}\right), 0 \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, u_{i, 1}+v_{i, 1}, \ldots, u_{i, s_{i}}+v_{i, s_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{i}+c_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{i-1}}\left(a_{i, j, k} c_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}}+a_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}} c_{i, j, k}\right), 0 \ldots, 0\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(u+v)=\left(a_{i, 1,1}+c_{i, 1,1}, \ldots, a_{i, 1,2 l_{i-1}}+c_{i, 1,2 l_{i-1}}, a_{i, 2,1}+c_{i, 2,1}, \ldots, a_{i, 2,2 l_{i-1}}+c_{i, 2,2 l_{i-1}}\right. \\
& \ldots, a_{i, s_{i}, 1}+c_{i, s_{i}, 1}, \ldots, a_{i, s_{i}, 2 l_{i-1}}+c_{i, s_{i}, 2 l_{i-1}} \\
& a_{i}+c_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{i-1}}\left(a_{i, j, k} c_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}}+a_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}} c_{i, j, k}\right) \\
&\left.\quad-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{i-1}}\left(a_{i, j, k}+c_{i, j, k}\right)\left(a_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}}+c_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}}\right)\right) \\
&=\left(a_{i, 1,1}+c_{i, 1,1}, \ldots, a_{i, 1,2 l_{i-1}}+c_{i, 1,2 l_{i-1}}, a_{i, 2,1}+c_{i, 2,1}, \ldots, a_{i, 2,2 l_{i-1}}+c_{i, 2,2 l_{i-1}}\right. \\
& \ldots, a_{i, s_{i}, 1}+c_{i, s_{i}, 1}, \ldots, a_{i, s_{i}, 2 l_{i-1}}+c_{i, s_{i}, 2 l_{i-1}} \\
& a_{i}+c_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{i-1}}\left(a_{i, j, k} a_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}}+c_{i, j, k+l_{i-1}} c_{i, j, k}\right) \\
&= \varphi(u)+\varphi(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\varphi$ is a homomorphism of groups. Clearly $\varphi$ is bijective. Therefore the result follows.

Remark 3.2 Note that the multiplicative group of the left brace $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$ constructed above is the semidirect product $T \rtimes_{\alpha} S$ of $T$ and $S$ via $\alpha$. Hence, it is metabelian. Since $A_{i}$ is the additive Sylow $p_{i}$-subgroup of the left brace $T \rtimes_{0} S$, it is clear that $A_{i}$ is a left ideal. Thus the multiplicative group of $A_{i}$ is a multiplicative Sylow $p_{i}$-subgroup of $T \rtimes_{\alpha} S$. By the definition of $\alpha$, it is easy to see that the multiplicative group of $A_{i}$ is isomorphic to the direct product $T_{i}^{s_{i}} \times R_{i}=\left(\mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)\right)^{2 s_{i} l_{i-1}+1}$. Hence the Sylow subgroups of the multiplicative group of the left brace $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$ are abelian, i.e. $T \rtimes_{\circ} S$ is an A-group.

Proposition 3.3 With the above notation, the left brace $T \rtimes_{0} S$ is simple.
Proof. Let $I$ be a non-zero ideal of $T \rtimes_{0} S$. Let $\left(\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, u_{m, 1}, \ldots, u_{m, s_{m}}\right),\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)\right) \in$ $I$ be a non-zero element. By symmetry, we may assume that $\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, a_{1}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$. Since $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ are different prime numbers, we also may assume that $\left(u_{i, 1}, \ldots, u_{i, s_{1}}, a_{i}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0)$, for all $i \neq 1$. Thus $\left(\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, 0 \ldots 0\right),\left(a_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I$.

We shall prove that $\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I$.
Note that if $\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0)$, then $a_{1} \neq 0$ and, in this case, $\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in$ $I$, because every nontrivial additive subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)$ contains $p_{i}^{n_{i}-1}$.

Suppose that $\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$. In this case, since $b_{1}$ is non-singular, there exists $\left(v_{1,1}, \ldots, v_{1, s_{1}}\right) \in T_{1}^{s_{1}}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1}} b_{1}\left(u_{1, j}, v_{1, j}\right) \neq 0$. Now, since $I$ is closed by the lambda maps, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\left(\left(v_{1,1}, \ldots, v_{1, s_{1}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),(0, \ldots, 0)\right)}\left(\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(a_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(a_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1}} b_{1}\left(u_{1, j}, v_{1, j}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(a_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, s_{1}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(a_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1}} b_{1}\left(u_{1, j}, v_{1, j}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\quad\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1}} b_{1}\left(u_{1, j}, v_{1, j}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus also $\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I$ in this case.
Now, by Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda_{\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right)}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(\left(0 \ldots, 0, v_{2,1}, \ldots, v_{2, s_{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),(0, \ldots, 0)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(v_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\left(v_{2,1}, \ldots, v_{2, s_{2}}\right) \in T_{2}^{s_{2}}$. Note that

$$
q_{1}(x)=p_{1}+\left(x^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-1\right) \sum_{j=1}^{p_{1}-1} j x^{\left(p_{1}-j-1\right) p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}
$$

Hence

$$
0=q_{1}\left(C_{2}\right)=p_{1} I_{l_{2}}+\left(C_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-I_{l_{2}}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{p_{1}-1} j C_{2}^{\left(p_{1}-j-1\right) p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}
$$

Since $p_{1} \in R_{2}$ is invertible, this implies that $f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}$ is invertible. Hence, by the definition of $b_{2}$, there exist $v_{2, j}, v_{2, j}^{\prime} \in T_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right), v_{2, j}^{\prime}\right)=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, there exists $v_{2,1} \in T_{2}$ such that $\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right)=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Let $v_{2,1}^{\prime}=(1, \ldots, 1)$ and $v_{2, j}^{\prime}=(0, \ldots, 0)$, for all $1<j \leq s_{2}$. Then $b_{2}((1,0, \ldots, 0),(1, \ldots, 1))=1$ and thus we get (4). By (3)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right. \\
\left.\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(v_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, since $I$ is closed by the lambda maps, applying $\lambda_{\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, v_{2,1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{2, s_{2}}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),(0, \ldots, 0)\right)}$ to the element in (5), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, v_{2,1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{2, s_{2}}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),(0, \ldots, 0)\right)}\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right),\right. \\
&\left.\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(v_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
&=\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right),\right. \\
&\left.\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(v_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right), v_{2, j}^{\prime}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
&=\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right),\right. \\
&\left.\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(v_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right)\right)-1,0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows by (4). Hence, this together with (5) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(v_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right)\right), 0 \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(v_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(v_{2, j}\right)\right)-1,0 \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& =\quad((0, \ldots, 0),(0,1,0, \ldots, 0)) \in I \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we have that

$$
\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(0, \sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(w_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I
$$

for all $\left(w_{2,1}, \ldots, w_{2, s_{2}}\right) \in T_{2}^{s_{2}}$, and by (3), we also have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(w_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(0, \sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(w_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(w_{2,1}, \ldots, w_{2, s_{2}}\right) \in T_{2}^{s_{2}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0,-\sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(w_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{p_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\left((0, \ldots, 0),\left(0, \sum_{j=1}^{s_{2}} b_{2}\left(w_{2, j},\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, j}\right)\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\operatorname{id}\right)\left(w_{2,1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}\right)\left(w_{2, s_{2}}\right), 0, \ldots, 0\right),(0, \ldots, 0)\right) \in I,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(w_{2,1}, \ldots, w_{2, s_{2}}\right) \in T_{2}^{s_{2}}$. Since $f_{2}^{p_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}-\mathrm{id}$ is invertible, this yields that

$$
\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, t_{2,1}, \ldots, t_{2, s_{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),(0, \ldots, 0)\right) \in I
$$

for all $\left(t_{2,1}, \ldots, t_{2, s_{2}}\right) \in T_{2}^{s_{2}}$. Since, by (6), $((0, \ldots, 0),(0,1,0, \ldots, 0)) \in I$, we have that

$$
\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, t_{2,1}, \ldots, t_{2, s_{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(0, d_{2}, 0 \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I
$$

for all $\left(t_{2,1}, \ldots, t_{2, s_{2}}, d_{2}\right) \in T_{2}^{s_{2}} \times R_{2}$. Now, by a similar argument, one can prove that

$$
\left(\left(0, \ldots, 0, t_{i, 1}, \ldots, t_{i, s_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\left(0, \ldots, 0, d_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)\right) \in I
$$

for all $\left(t_{i, 1}, \ldots, t_{i, s_{i}}, d_{i}\right) \in T_{i}^{s_{i}} \times R_{i}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} /(m)$, and the result follows.
Hence, as an easy consequence of Proposition 3.3, Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2] we get the desired result.

Theorem 3.4 Let $A$ be a finite abelian group. Then $A$ is a subgroup of the additive group of a finite simple left brace $B$ with metabelian multiplicative group with abelian Sylow subgroups.
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