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1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group. In this article we develop a group graded Morita theory over a $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra, which is motivated by the problem to give a group graded Morita equivalences version of relations between character triples (see [11]) as in [9]. We will follow, in the development of graded Morita theory over a $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra, the treatment of Morita theory given by C. Faith in 1973 in [5]. Significant in this article is the already developed graded Morita theory. Graded Morita theory started in 1980 when R. Gordon and E. L. Green have characterized graded equivalences in the case of $G = \mathbb{Z}$, in [6]. Furthermore, in 1988 it was observed to work for arbitrary groups $G$ by C. Menini and C. Năstăsescu, in [10]. We will make use of their results under the form given by A. del Río in 1991 in [4] and we will also use the graded Morita theory developed by P. Boisen in 1994 in [2].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section §2 we introduce the general notations. In Section §3 we recall from [9] the definition of a $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra, we fix one to which we will further refer to by $\mathcal{C}$, we recall the definition of a $G$-graded algebra over said $\mathcal{C}$, and we recall the definition of a graded bimodule over $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, we will give some new examples, useful for this article, for each notion. In Section §4 we construct the notion of a $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$ and we will give an appropriate example. In Section §5 we introduce the notions of graded functors over $\mathcal{C}$ and of graded Morita equivalences over $\mathcal{C}$ and finally we state and prove two Morita-type theorems using the said notions.
2 Notations and preliminaries

Throughout this article, we will consider a finite group \( G \). We shall denote its identity by 1.

All rings in this paper are associative with identity 1 \( \neq 0 \) and all modules are unital and finitely generated. We consider \( \mathcal{O} \) to be a commutative ring.

Let \( A \) be a ring. We denote by \( A\text{-Mod} \) the category of all left \( A \)-modules. We shall usually write actions on the left, so in particular, by module we will usually mean a left module, unless otherwise stated. The notation \( M_{A} \) (respectively, \( _{A}M_{A'} \)) will be used to emphasize that \( M \) is a left \( A \)-module (respectively, an \( (A,A') \)-bimodule).

Let \( A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_{g} \) be a \( G \)-graded \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra. We denote by \( A\text{-Gr} \) the category of all \( G \)-graded left \( A \)-modules. The forgetful functor from \( A\text{-Gr} \) to \( A\text{-Mod} \) will be denoted by \( U \). For \( M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_{g} \in A\text{-Gr} \) and \( g \in G \), the \( g \)-suspension of \( M \) is defined to be the \( G \)-graded \( A \)-module \( M_{g} = \bigoplus_{h \in G} M_{gh} \), where \( M_{gh} \) denotes the \( g \)-action on \( M_{h} \). For any \( g \in G \), \( T_{g}^{A} : A\text{-Gr} \rightarrow A\text{-Gr} \) will denote (as in \([4]\)) the \( g \)-suspension functor, i.e. \( T_{g}^{A}(M) = M_{g} \) for all \( g \in G \).

The stabilizer of \( M \) in \( G \) is, by definition \([7, \S 2.2.1] \), the subgroup \( G_{M} = \{ g \in G \mid M \cong M_{g} \text{ as } G \text{-graded left } A \text{-modules} \} \).

Let \( M,N \in A\text{-Gr} \). We denote by \( \text{Hom}_{A}(M,N) \), the additive group of all \( A \)-linear homomorphisms from \( M \) to \( N \). Because \( G \) is finite, E. C. Dade showed in \([3, \text{Corollary 3.10}] \) that \( \text{Hom}_{A}(M,N) \) is \( G \)-graded. More precisely, if \( g \in G \), the component of degree \( g \) (furthermore called the \( g \)-component) is defined as in \([7, 1.2] \):
\[
\text{Hom}_{A}(M,N)_{g} := \{ f \in \text{Hom}_{A}(M,N) \mid f(M_{x}) \subseteq N_{xg}, \text{ for all } x \in G \}.
\]

We denote by \( \text{id}_{X} \) the identity map defined on a set \( X \).

3 Graded bimodules over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra

We consider the notations given in Section \( \S 2 \). We recall the definition of a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra and an example of a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra as in \([9]\):

**Definition 1.** An algebra \( \mathcal{C} \) is a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra if

1. \( \mathcal{C} \) is \( G \)-graded, i.e. \( \mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_{g} \);
2. \( G \) acts on \( \mathcal{C} \) (always on the left in this article);
3. \( \forall h \in G, \forall c \in \mathcal{C}_{h} \) we have that \( cg \in \mathcal{C}_{gh} \) for all \( g \in G \).

We denote the identity component (the 1-component) of \( \mathcal{C} \) by \( \mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_{1} \), which is a \( G \)-acted algebra.

Let \( A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_{g} \) be a strongly \( G \)-graded \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra with identity component \( B := A_{1} \). For the sake of simplicity, we assume that \( A \) is a crossed product (the generalization is not difficult, see for instance \([7, \S 1.4.B.] \)). This means that we can choose invertible homogeneous elements \( u_{g} \) in the component \( A_{g} \).
Example 1. By Miyashita’s theorem [7, p.22], we know that the centralizer $C_A(B)$ is a G-graded G-acted $O$-algebra: for all $h \in G$ we have that
\[ C_A(B)_h = \{ a \in A_h \mid ab = ba, \forall b \in B \}, \]
and the action is given by $g c = u_g c u_g^{-1}, \forall g \in G, \forall c \in C_A(B)$. Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of the elements $u_g$ and that $C_A(B)_1 = Z(B)$ (the center of $B$).

We recall the definition of a G-graded $O$-algebra over a G-graded G-acted algebra $C$ as in [9]:

Definition 2. Let $C$ be a G-graded G-acted $O$-algebra. We say that $A$ is a G-graded $O$-algebra over $C$ if there is a G-graded G-acted algebra homomorphism
\[ \zeta : C \to C_A(B), \]
\[ \text{i.e. for any } h \in G \text{ and } c \in C, \text{ we have } \zeta(c) \in C_A(B)_h, \text{ and for every } g \in G, \text{ we have } \zeta(\zeta(c)) = \zeta^{g}(c). \]

An important example of a G-graded $O$-algebra over a G-graded G-acted algebra, is given by the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let $P$ be a G-graded A-module. Assume that $P$ is G-invariant. Let $A' = \text{End}_A(P)^{op}$ be the set of all A-linear endomorphisms of $P$. Then $A'$ is a G-graded O-algebra over $C_A(B)$.

Proof. By [3] Theorem 2.8], we have that there exists some $U \in B$ − mod such that $P$ and $A \otimes B U$ are isomorphic as G-graded left A-modules, henceforth for simplicity we will identify $P$ as $A \otimes B U$.

Because $G$ is finite, E. C. Dade proved in [3] Corollary 3.10 and §4 that $A' = \text{End}_A(P)^{op}$ is a G-graded O-algebra. Moreover, by [3] §4 we have that $P$ is actually a G-graded $(A, A')$-bimodule.

Now, the assumption that $P$ is G-invariant, according to [3] Corollary 5.14 and [7] §2.1, implies that $A' = \text{End}_A(P)^{op}$ is a crossed product and that $P$ is isomorphic to its $g$-suspension, $P(g)$, for all $g \in G$. Henceforth, we can choose invertible homogeneous elements $u_g'$ in the component $A_g'$, for all $g \in G$ such that
\[ u_g' : P \to P(g). \]

By taking the truncation functor $(-)_{1}$ (more details are given in [3]) we obtain the isomorphism:
\[ (u_g')_{1} : P_{1} \to (P(g))_{1}, \]
where $P_{1} = B \otimes B U \simeq U$ and $(P(g))_{1} = A_{g} \otimes B U = u_{g} B \otimes B U$. We fix arbitrary $a \in A$ and $u \in U$. We have:
\[ u(a \otimes_{B} u) = au'(I_{A} \otimes_{B} u), \]
but $I_{A} \otimes_{B} u \in P_{1}$, henceforth:
\[ u'(a \otimes_{B} u) = a(u')_{1}(I_{A} \otimes_{B} u), \]
but there exists an unique $b \in B$ such that $(u'_g)_{1}(I_{A} \otimes_{B} u) = u_{g} b \otimes_{B} u = u_{g} \otimes_{B} bu$. Therefore, by defining $\varphi_{g}(u) := bu$, we obtain a map $\varphi_{g} : U \to U$, which is clearly well-defined. Moreover, we have:
\[ u'(a \otimes_{B} u) = au_{g} \otimes_{B} \varphi_{g}(u), \] for all $a \in A$ and $u \in U$. 

<3>
Indeed, we fix \( g \) algebra homomorphism over \( A \) and \( A \) is \( G \)-graded crossed product, hence we will choose invertible homogeneous elements \( \) identity component \( B \) because \( G \)-graded \((g \otimes \mathbf{1} A) \) Now, we assume that \( \) Finally, by taking \( \) It is straightforward to prove that \( \phi_g : U \rightarrow U \) admits an inverse and that \[ u_g^{-1}(a \otimes u) = au_g^{-1} \otimes \varphi_g^{-1}(u), \] for all \( a \in A \) and \( u \in U \).

We consider the \( G \)-graded algebra homomorphism from \([8, \text{Lemma 3.2.}]: \)
\[
\theta : C_A(B) 
\rightarrow A' = \text{End}_A(P)^{\text{op}},
\]
where \( c \in C_A(B), a \in A, \) and \( u \in U \). First, we will prove that the image of \( \theta \) is a subset of \( C_{A'}(B') \). Indeed, consider \( b' \in B' \) and \( c \in C_A(B) \). We want:
\[
\theta(c) \circ b' = b' \circ \theta(c).
\]
Consider \( a \otimes u \in A \otimes U = P \). We have:
\[
(\theta(c) \circ b')(a \otimes u) = \theta(c)(b'(a \otimes u)) = a\theta(c)(b'(1A \otimes u)),
\]
because \( b' \) and \( \theta(c) \) are \( A \)-linear. We fix \( b'(1A \otimes u) = a_0 \otimes u_0 \in A \otimes B U \), but because \( b' \in B' = A'_1 = \text{End}_A(P)^{\text{op}} \) we know that \( b' \) preserves the grading, so \( 1_A \in A_1 \) implies that \( a_0 \in B \). Hence:
\[
(\theta(c) \circ b')(a \otimes u) = a\theta(c)(a_0 \otimes u_0) = aa_0 c \otimes u_0 = aca_0 \otimes u_0.
\]
Following, we have that:
\[
(b' \circ \theta(c))(a \otimes u) = (b'(\theta(c)(a \otimes u)) = b'(ac \otimes u)
\]
\[
= acb'(1A \otimes u) = aca_0 \otimes u_0.
\]
Henceforth, the image of \( \theta \) is a subset of \( C_{A'}(B') \). Second, we prove that \( \theta \) is \( G \)-acted, in the sense that:
\[
\theta(g^c) = g(\theta(c)), \text{ for all } g \in G.
\]
Indeed, we fix \( g \in G, \) and \( a \otimes u \in A \otimes B U = P \). We have:
\[
\theta(g^c)(a \otimes u) = \theta(u_g cu_g^{-1})(a \otimes u) = au_g cu_g^{-1} \otimes u
\]
and
\[
\theta(g^c)(a \otimes u) = (u_g^c \cdot \theta(c) \cdot u_g^{-1})(a \otimes u) = (u_g^c \circ \theta(c) \circ u_g^c)(a \otimes u)
\]
\[
= u_g^{-1}(\theta(c)(u_g(a \otimes u))) = u_g^{-1}(\theta(c)(au_g \otimes \varphi_g(u)))
\]
\[
= u_g^{-1}(au_g c \otimes \varphi_g(u)) = au_g cu_g^{-1} \otimes \varphi_g^{-1}(\varphi_g(u))
\]
\[
= au_g cu_g^{-1} \otimes u.
\]
Finally, by taking \( \zeta' : C_A(B) \rightarrow C_{A'}(B') \) to be the corestriction of \( \theta \) to \( C_{A'}(B') \), we obtain that \( A' \) is a \( G \)-graded \( O \)-algebra over \( C_A(B) \), via the \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted homomorphism \( \zeta' \).

Let \( A' = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A'_g \) be another strongly \( G \)-graded \( O \)-algebra with the identity component \( B' := A'_1 \). Again, we will consider that also \( A' \) is a crossed product, hence we will choose invertible homogeneous elements \( u_g' \) in the component \( A'_g \), for all \( g \in G \).

Now, we assume that \( A \) and \( A' \) are both strongly \( G \)-graded \( O \)-algebras over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra \( G' \), each endowed with a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra homomorphism \( \zeta : G' \rightarrow C_A(B) \) and \( \zeta' : G' \rightarrow C_{A'}(B') \) respectively.

We recall the definition of a \( G \)-graded bimodule over \( G' \) as in \([9]\):
Definition 3. We say that $\tilde{M}$ is a $G$-graded $(A, A')$-bimodule over $\mathcal{C}$ if:

1. $\tilde{M}$ is an $(A, A')$-bimodule;
2. $\tilde{M}$ has a decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \tilde{M}_g$ such that $A_g \tilde{M}_g A'_h \subseteq \tilde{M}_{gh}$, for all $g, x, h \in G$;
3. $\tilde{m}_g \cdot c = \eta_c \cdot \tilde{m}_g$, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $\tilde{m}_g \in \tilde{M}_g$, $g \in G$, where $c \cdot \tilde{m} = \zeta(c) \cdot \tilde{m}$ and $\tilde{m} \cdot c = \tilde{m} \cdot \zeta'(c)$, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}$.

Remark 1. Condition (3) of Definition 3 can be rewritten (see [9] for the proof) as follows:

(3') $m \cdot c = c \cdot m$, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $m \in \tilde{M}_1$.

An example of a $G$-graded bimodule over a $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra is given by the following proposition:

Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra and $A$ a strongly $G$-graded $O$-algebra over $\mathcal{C}$. Let $P$ be a $G$-invariant $G$-graded $A$-module. Let $A' = \text{End}_A(P)^{op}$. Then the following statements hold:

1. $A'$ is a $G$-graded $O$-algebra over $\mathcal{C}$;
2. $P$ is a $G$-graded $(A, A')$-bimodule over $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma [II] we know that $A'$ is $G$-graded $O$-algebra over $C_A(B)$ and let $\Theta : C_A(B) \rightarrow C_{A'}(B')$ be its $G$-graded $G$-acted structure homomorphism. Now, given that $A$ is a strongly $G$-graded $O$-algebra over $\mathcal{C}$, we have a $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra homomorphism $\zeta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C_A(B)$ and by taking $\zeta' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C_{A'}(B')$ to be the $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra homomorphism obtained by composing $\zeta$ with $\Theta$, we obtain that $A'$ is also a $G$-graded $O$-algebra over $\mathcal{C}$, with its structure given by $\zeta'$. Hence, the first statement of this proposition was proved.

(2) Without any loss in generality, we will identify $P$ with $A \otimes B U$, for some $U \in B - \text{mod}$. Following the proof of Lemma [II] we know that $P$ is a $G$-graded $(A, A')$-bimodule. We now check that $P$ is $G$-graded $(A, A')$-bimodule over $\mathcal{C}$. Indeed, we fix $g \in G$, $p_g = a_g \otimes u \in P_g$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. We have:

$$p_g \cdot c = (a_g \otimes u) \cdot c = (a_g \otimes u) \cdot \zeta'(c) = a_g \zeta'(c) \otimes u,$$

but $a_g \in A_g$ so there exists a $b \in B$ such that $a_g = u_g b$, therefore:

$$p_g \cdot c = u_g b \zeta'(c) \otimes u = u_g \zeta(c) b \otimes u = u_g \zeta(c) u_g^{-1} u_g b \otimes u = \eta_g(c) a_g \otimes u = \zeta(\eta_g(c)) a_g \otimes u = \zeta(\eta_g(c)) \cdot p_g = \eta_g(c) \cdot p_g.$$

Henceforth, the last statement of this proposition has been proved. ■
4 Graded Morita contexts over $\mathcal{C}$

We consider the notations given in Section 2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $G$-graded $G$-acted algebra. We introduce the notion of a $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$, following the treatment given in [5] §12.

**Definition 4.** Consider the following Morita context:

$$(A, A', \tilde{M}, \tilde{M}', f, g).$$

We call it a $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$ if:

1. $A$ and $A'$ are strongly $G$-graded $\mathcal{O}$-algebras over $\mathcal{C}$;
2. $\tilde{A}M_A$ and $\tilde{A}'M_{A'}$ are $G$-graded bimodules over $\mathcal{C}$;
3. $f: \tilde{M} \otimes_{\tilde{A}'} \tilde{M}' \rightarrow A$ and $g: \tilde{M}' \otimes_{\tilde{A}'} \tilde{M} \rightarrow A'$ are $G$-graded bimodule homomorphisms such that by setting $f(\tilde{m} \otimes \tilde{m}') = \tilde{m}' \tilde{m}$ and $g(\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{m}) = \tilde{m} \tilde{m}'$, we have the associative laws:

$$(\tilde{m} \tilde{m}') \tilde{n} = \tilde{m}(\tilde{m}' \tilde{n}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{m} \tilde{m}') \tilde{n}' = \tilde{m}'(\tilde{m} \tilde{n}),$$

for all $\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{m}', \tilde{n}' \in \tilde{M}'$.

If $f$ and $g$ are isomorphisms, then $(A, A', \tilde{M}, \tilde{M}', f, g)$ is called a surjective $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$.

As an example of a $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$, we have the following proposition which arises from [5] Proposition 12.6.

**Proposition 2.** Let $A$ be a strongly $G$-graded $\mathcal{O}$-algebra over $\mathcal{C}$, let $P$ be a $G$-invariant $G$-graded $A$-module, let $A' = \text{End}_A(P)^{\text{op}}$ and let $P^* := \text{Hom}_A(P, A)$ be the $A$-dual of $P$. Then

$$(A, A', P, P^*, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, [\cdot, \cdot])$$

is a $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a $G$-graded $(A, A)$-homomorphism, called the evaluation map, defined by:

$$
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: P \otimes_{A'} P^* \rightarrow A,
\quad x \otimes \varphi \mapsto \varphi(x), \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in P^*, \ x \in P,
$$

and where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a $G$-graded $(A', A')$-homomorphism defined by:

$$
[\cdot, \cdot]: P^* \otimes_A P \rightarrow A',
\quad \varphi \otimes x \mapsto [\varphi, x], \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in P^*, \ x \in P,
$$

where for every $\varphi \in P^*$ and $x \in P$, $[\varphi, x]$ is an element of $A'$ such that

$$
y[\varphi, x] = \varphi(y) \cdot x, \quad \text{for all } y \in P.
$$

**Proof.** For the sake of simplicity, we will assume that $A$ is a crossed product as in Section [3]. By Proposition 1, we have that $A'$ is also a $G$-graded $\mathcal{O}$-algebra over $\mathcal{C}$ and that $P$ is a $G$-graded $(A, A')$-bimodule over $\mathcal{C}$. Now, it is known that the $A$-dual of $P$, $P^* := \text{Hom}_A(P, A)$ is an $(A', A)$-bimodule, where for each $\varphi \in P^*$ and for each $p \in P$, we have:

$$(a' \varphi a)(p) = (\varphi(pa'))a,$$

for all $a' \in A'$ and $a \in A$. By [7] §1.6.4], we know that $P^*$ is actually a $G$-graded $(A', A)$-bimodule, where for all $g \in G$, the $g$-component is defined as follows:

$$P^*_g = \{ \varphi \in P^* \mid \varphi(P_x) \subseteq A_{xg}, \text{for all } x \in G \}.$$
We prove that $P^*$ is a $G$-graded $(A', A)$-bimodule over $\mathcal{C}$. Consider $g, h \in G$, $\varphi_g \in P^*_g$, $c \in C$ and $p_h \in P_h$. We have:

$$(\varphi_g c)(p_h) = (\varphi_g)(p_h)c.$$  

Because $(\varphi_g)(p_h) \in A_{hg}$ we can choose a homogeneous element $u_{hg} \in A_{hg}$ and $b \in B$ such that $(\varphi_g)(p_h) = u_{hg}b$. Henceforth,

$$(\varphi_g c)(p_h) = u_{hg}bc = u_{hg}cb = u_{hg}c u_{hg}^{-1}u_{hg}b = \eta_{g}c \cdot u_{hg}b$$

$$= \eta_{g}c (\varphi_g)(p_h) = (\varphi_g)(\eta_{g}c p_h)$$

$$= (\varphi_g)(p_h)\eta_{g}c = (\eta_{g}\varphi_g)(p_h),$$

thus $\varphi_g c = \eta_{g} \varphi_g$, therefore $P^*$ is a $G$-graded $(A', A)$-bimodule over $\mathcal{C}$. Next, following [5] §12, it is clear that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ are an $(A, A)$-homomorphism and an $(A', A')$-homomorphism, respectively. We now check if they are surjective. By Proposition 12.7 of [5], we have that $G$ is a progenerator. Therefore $[\varphi, \varphi]q = \varphi(\varphi)q$ and $\varphi, \varphi \in P^*$. We have:

$$(p, \varphi)q = \varphi(p)q \quad \text{and} \quad p[\varphi, q] = \varphi(p)q,$$

hence

$$(p, \varphi)q = p[\varphi, q];$$

and for all $y \in P$, we have:

$$([\varphi, p]\varphi)(y) = \varphi(y[\varphi, p]) = \varphi(\varphi(y)p) = \varphi(y)\varphi(p),$$

because $\varphi(y) \in A$ and $\varphi$ is $A$-linear, and also we have

$$(\varphi(p, \varphi))\varphi(y) = (\varphi\varphi(p))(y) = \varphi(y)\varphi(p),$$

because $\varphi(p) \in A$, hence

$$[\varphi, p]\varphi = \varphi(p, \varphi).$$

Therefore $(A, A', P, P^*, (\cdot, \cdot), [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$. 

If $(A, A', \tilde{M}, M', f, g)$ is a surjective $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$, then by Proposition 12.7 of [5], we have that $A'$ is isomorphic to $\text{End}_A(M)^{\text{op}}$ and we have a bimodule isomorphism between $M'$ and $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. Henceforth, in this situation, the example given by Proposition 2 is essentially unique up to an isomorphism.

Given Corollary 12.8 of [5], the example given by Proposition 2 is a surjective $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $A^P$ is a progenerator.
5 Graded Morita theorems over \( \mathcal{C} \)

Consider the notations given in \([2]\) Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra. We denote by \( A \) and \( A' \) two strongly \( G \)-graded \( O \)-algebras over \( \mathcal{C} \) (with identity components \( B := A_1 \) and \( B' := A'_1 \)), each endowed with a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra homomorphism \( \zeta : \mathcal{C} \to C_A(B) \) and \( \zeta' : \mathcal{C} \to C_{A'}(B') \) respectively. According to \([4]\) we have the following definitions:

**Definition 5.**

1. We say that the functor \( \bar{\mathcal{F}} : A\text{-Gr} \to A'\text{-Gr} \) is \( G \)-graded if for every \( g \in G \), \( \bar{\mathcal{F}} \) commutes with the \( g \)-suspension functor, i.e. \( \bar{\mathcal{F}} \circ T_g^A \) is naturally isomorphic to \( T_g^{A'} \circ \bar{\mathcal{F}} \);
2. We say that \( A \) and \( A' \) are \( G \)-graded Morita equivalent if there is a \( G \)-graded equivalence: \( \bar{\mathcal{F}} : A\text{-Gr} \to A'\text{-Gr} \).

Assume that \( A \) and \( A' \) are \( G \)-graded Morita equivalent. Therefore, we can consider the \( G \)-graded functors:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A\text{-Gr} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} & A'\text{-Gr} \\
\bar{\mathcal{G}} & \downarrow & \downarrow \bar{\mathcal{G}}' \\
A\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} & A'\text{-Mod}
\end{array}
\]

which give a \( G \)-graded Morita equivalence between \( A \) and \( A' \). By Gordon and Green’s result \([3] \text{ Corollary 10}\), this is equivalent to the existence of a Morita equivalence between \( A \) and \( A' \) given by the following functors:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F} & A'\text{-Mod} \\
\bar{\mathcal{G}} & \downarrow & \downarrow \bar{\mathcal{G}}' \\
A\text{-Gr} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} & A'\text{-Gr}
\end{array}
\]

such that the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A\text{-Gr} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} & A'\text{-Gr} \\
\bar{\mathcal{G}} & \downarrow & \downarrow \bar{\mathcal{G}}' \\
A\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} & A'\text{-Mod}
\end{array}
\]

in the sense that:

\[
U' \circ \bar{\mathcal{F}} = F \circ U, \quad U \circ \bar{\mathcal{G}} = G \circ U',
\]

where \( U' \) is the forgetful functor from \( A'\text{-Gr} \) to \( A'\text{-Mod} \).

**Lemma 2.** If \( \bar{P} \) is a \( G \)-graded \( A \)-module, then \( \bar{P} \) and \( \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P}) \) have the same stabilizer in \( G \).

**Proof.** Let \( g \in G_\bar{P} \). We have \( \bar{P} \simeq \bar{P}(g) \) as \( G \)-graded \( A \)-modules. Because \( \bar{\mathcal{F}} \) is a graded functor, we have that it commutes with the \( g \)-suspension functor. Thus \( \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P}(g)) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P})(g) \) in \( A'\text{-Gr} \). Henceforth, \( \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P}) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P})(g) \) in \( A'\text{-Gr} \), thus \( g \in G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P})} \). Hence \( G_{\bar{P}} \subseteq G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P})} \). The converse, \( G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P})} \subseteq G_{\bar{P}} \), is straightforward, thus \( G_{\bar{P}} = G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P})} \). \( \blacksquare \)

Consider \( \bar{P} \) and \( \bar{Q} \) two \( G \)-graded \( A \)-modules. We have the following morphism:

\[
\tag{*} \text{Hom}_A(\bar{P}, \bar{Q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \text{Hom}_{A'}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{P}), \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{Q})).
\]
By following the proofs of Lemma 1 and Proposition 11 we have a $G$-graded homomorphism from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\text{End}_A(\tilde{P})^{op}$ (the composition between the structure homomorphism $\zeta : \mathcal{C} \to C_A(B)$ and the morphism $\theta : C_A(B) \to \text{End}_A(\tilde{P})^{op}$ from [8] Lemma 3.2.) and that $\tilde{P}$ is a $G$-graded $(A, \text{End}_A(\tilde{P})^{op})$-bimodule. Then, by the restriction of scalars we obtain that $\tilde{P}$ is a right $\mathcal{C}$-module. Analogously, $\tilde{b}$ is a $G$-graded $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule. Then, by the restriction of scalars we obtain that $\tilde{P}$ is a right $\mathcal{C}$-module. Analogously, $\tilde{b}$ is a $G$-graded $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule. Then, by the restriction of scalars we obtain that $\tilde{P}$ is a right $\mathcal{C}$-module. Analogously, $\tilde{b}$ is a $G$-graded $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule. This allows us to state the following definition:

Definition 6.  
1. We say that the functor $\tilde{F}$ is over $\mathcal{C}$ if the morphism $\tilde{F}$ (see (1)) is a morphism of $G$-graded $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodules;  
2. We say that $A$ and $A'$ are $G$-graded Morita equivalent over $\mathcal{C}$ if there is a $G$-graded equivalence over $\mathcal{C}$: $\tilde{F} : A - \text{Gr} \to A' - \text{Gr}$.

Theorem 1 (Graded Morita I over $\mathcal{C}$). Let $(A, A', M, M', f, g)$ be a surjective $G$-graded Morita context over $\mathcal{C}$. Then the functors

$$M' \otimes_A - : A - \text{Gr} \to A' - \text{Gr}$$

$$M \otimes_{A'} - : A' - \text{Gr} \to A - \text{Gr}$$

are inverse $G$-graded equivalences over $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Given [2] Theorem 3.2 (Graded Morita I) 6.] we already know that the pair of functors $M' \otimes_A -$ and $M \otimes_{A'} -$ are $G$-graded equivalences. It remains to prove that they are also over $\mathcal{C}$. We will only prove that the functor $M' \otimes_A -$ is over $\mathcal{C}$ because the proof for the latter functor is similar. Consider $\tilde{P}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ two $G$-graded $A$-modules.

First, we will prove that the morphism

$$(**): M' \otimes_A - : \text{Hom}_A(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}) \to \text{Hom}_{A'}(\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \tilde{P}, \tilde{M}' \otimes_A \tilde{Q})$$

is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule homomorphism. Indeed, consider $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q})$, then $M' \otimes_A \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(M' \otimes_A \tilde{P}, M' \otimes_A \tilde{Q})$. Consider $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$. We only need to prove that

$$M' \otimes_A (c \varphi c') = c(M' \otimes_A \varphi)c'.$$

Let $\tilde{m}' \in \tilde{M}'$ and $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}$. We have:

$$(\tilde{M}' \otimes_A (c \varphi c'))(\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p}) = \tilde{m}' \otimes (c \varphi c')(\tilde{p}) = \tilde{m}' \otimes \varphi(\tilde{p}c)c'$$

and

$$(c(M' \otimes_A \varphi)c')(\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p}) = (\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \varphi)((\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p})c)c' = (\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \varphi)(\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p}c)c' = (\tilde{m}' \otimes \varphi(\tilde{p}c))c' = \tilde{m}' \otimes \varphi(\tilde{p}c)c'.$$

Henceforth $M' \otimes_A (c \varphi c') = c(M' \otimes_A \varphi)c'$, thus the morphism $M' \otimes_A -$ (see [10]) is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule homomorphism.

Second, we will prove that the morphism $M' \otimes_A -$ is a $G$-graded $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule homomorphism, i.e. it is grade preserving. Consider $g \in G$ and $\varphi_g \in \text{Hom}_A(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q})_g$. We want $M' \otimes_A \varphi_g \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(M' \otimes_A \tilde{P}, M' \otimes_A \tilde{Q})_g$, i.e. by [7] §1.2, if for some $h \in G$ and $\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p} \in (M' \otimes_A \tilde{P})_h$, then we must have $(M' \otimes_A \varphi_g)(\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p}) \in (M' \otimes_A \tilde{Q})_{hg}$. beforehand, because $\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p} \in \langle 9 \rangle$.  

By [4, Corollary 10 (Gordon-Green)], we know that

\[ (\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \tilde{P})_h, \text{ by } [7, \S 1.6.4], \text{ there exists some } x, y \in G \text{ with } h = xy \text{ such that } \tilde{m}' \in \tilde{M}'_x \text{ and } \tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}_y. \text{ We have:} \]

\[
(\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \varphi_g)(\tilde{m}' \otimes \tilde{p}) = \tilde{m}' \otimes \varphi_g(\tilde{p}) \in \tilde{M}'_x \otimes_A \varphi_g(\tilde{P}_y) \subseteq \tilde{M}'_x \otimes_A \tilde{Q}_{yg} \subseteq (\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \tilde{Q})_{xyg} = (\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \tilde{Q})_h. \]

Henceforth, the morphism \( \tilde{M}' \otimes_A - : \text{Hom}_A(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}) \to \text{Hom}_{A'}(\tilde{M}' \otimes_A \tilde{P}, \tilde{M}' \otimes_A \tilde{Q}) \) is a \( G \)-graded \( (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}') \)-bimodule homomorphism.

By Proposition 2 and the observations made in Section 4, the following corollary is straightforward.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( P \) be a \( G \)-invariant \( G \)-graded \( A \)-module and \( A' = \text{End}_A(P)^{\text{op}} \). If \( A' P \) is a progenerator, then \( P \otimes_{A'} - \) is a \( G \)-graded Morita equivalence over \( \mathcal{C}' \) between \( A' \)-Gr and \( A \)-Gr, with \( P^* \otimes_A - \) as its inverse.

**Theorem 2** (Graded Morita II over \( \mathcal{C} \)). Assume that \( A \) and \( A' \) are \( G \)-graded Morita equivalent over \( \mathcal{C} \) and let

\[ A \text{-Gr} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} A' \text{-Gr} \]

be inverse \( G \)-graded equivalences over \( \mathcal{C} \). Then this equivalence is given by the following \( G \)-graded bimodules over \( \mathcal{C} \): \( P = \mathcal{F}(A) \) and \( Q = \mathcal{G}(A') \). More exactly, \( P \) is a \( G \)-graded \( (A', A) \)-bimodule over \( \mathcal{C} \), \( Q \) is a \( G \)-graded \( (A, A') \)-bimodule over \( \mathcal{C} \) and the following natural equivalences of functors hold:

\[ \mathcal{F} \simeq P \otimes_A - \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G} \simeq Q \otimes_{A'} - . \]

**Proof.** By [3, Corollary 10 (Gordon-Green)], we know that \( P = \mathcal{F}(A) \) is a \( G \)-graded \( (A', A) \)-bimodule, \( Q = \mathcal{G}(A') \) is a \( G \)-graded \( (A, A') \)-bimodule and the following natural equivalences of functors hold: \( \mathcal{F} \simeq P \otimes_A - \) and \( \mathcal{G} \simeq Q \otimes_{A'} - \). Moreover, we have that \( A' P \) is a progenerator.

It remains to prove that \( P \) and \( Q \) are \( G \)-graded bimodules over \( \mathcal{C} \). We will only prove that \( P \) is \( G \)-graded bimodule over \( \mathcal{C} \), because for \( Q \) the reasoning is similar.

By the hypothesis, \( A \) and \( A' \) are \( G \)-graded Morita equivalent over \( \mathcal{C} \), hence \( \mathcal{F} \) and \( \mathcal{G} \) are over \( \mathcal{C} \). Therefore the function:

\[ \text{Hom}_A(A A, A A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \text{Hom}_{A'}(A P, A P) \]

is an isomorphism of \( G \)-graded \( (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}') \)-bimodules, where if \( f \in \text{Hom}_A(A A, A A) \), we have that \( (c_1 f c_2)(a) = f(ac_1)c_2 \), for all \( a \in A, c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C} \). This means that the function

\[ \alpha : A \to \text{End}_{A'}(P)^{\text{op}}, \quad \alpha(a) = \mathcal{F}(\rho(a)), \text{ for all } a \in A, \]

(where \( \rho(c) : a \mapsto ac \), for all \( a \in A \)) is an isomorphism of \( G \)-graded \( (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}') \)-bimodules. Moreover, by the bimodule structure definition of \( P \) (see [II]), we have that \( \alpha(a)(p) = pa \) for all \( a \in A \) and for all \( p \in P \).

It is clear that \( A A \) is \( G \)-invariant, hence by Lemma 2 \( P \) is also \( G \)-invariant. Henceforth, by Proposition 1 \( P \) is a \( G \)-graded \( (A', \text{End}_{A'}(P)^{\text{op}}) \)-bimodule.
Graded Morita theory over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra

Consider the structural homomorphisms \( \zeta : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A} \), \( \zeta' : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}' \) and \( \zeta'' : \mathcal{C} \to \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{P})^{\text{op}} \), thus for all \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) and for all \( c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C} \) we have:

\[
\alpha(\zeta(c_1)a\zeta(c_2)) = \zeta''(c_1)\alpha(a)\zeta''(c_2).
\]

By taking \( a = 1_{\mathcal{A}} \) and \( c_2 = 1_{\mathcal{C}} \) we obtain \( \alpha \circ \zeta = \zeta'' \).

Let \( g \in G \), \( p_g \in \mathcal{P}_g \) and \( c \in \mathcal{C} \). We want \( p_g \cdot c = g_c \cdot p_g \). We have:

\[
p_g \cdot c = p_g \cdot \alpha(\zeta(c)) = p_g \cdot \zeta''(c) = g_c \cdot p_g.
\]

Henceforth the statement is proved.

6 Conclusion

We have developed a \( G \)-graded Morita theory over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra for the case of finite groups.

In Section \( \S3 \) we recalled from \( \S3 \) the notions of a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra, of a \( G \)-graded algebra over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra and that of a \( G \)-graded bimodule over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra and we gave some useful examples for each notion.

In Section \( \S4 \) we introduced the notion of a \( G \)-graded Morita context over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra and gave a standard example.

The main results are in Section \( \S5 \), where a notion of graded functors over \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebras and of graded Morita equivalences over \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebras are introduced and two Morita-type theorems are proved using these notions: We proved that by taking a \( G \)-graded bimodule over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra we obtain a \( G \)-graded Morita equivalence over the said \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra and that by being given a \( G \)-graded Morita equivalence over a \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra, we obtain a \( G \)-graded bimodule over the said \( G \)-graded \( G \)-acted algebra, which induces the given \( G \)-graded Morita equivalence.
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