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Abstract

A polytope is the generalization of a polyhedron to any number of dimensions. The regular polyhedra are the Platonic solids: the tetrahedron, octahedron, cube, icosahedron, and dodecahedron. The hypercubes, hyperoctahedra, simplices, and regular polygons form four infinite families of regular polytopes. Ludwig Schlafli proved that with the addition of five exceptional solids (the icosahedron and dodecahedron in 3 dimensions, and the 24-cell, 120-cell, and 600-cell in 4 dimensions) this list is complete. This paper provides an alternate proof to Schlafli’s result, using Wythoff’s construction and the theory of decorated Coxeter diagrams.

1 Introduction

A regular polyhedron is a polyhedron whose faces and vertex figures are all regular polygons. Theaetetus proved around 400 BC that the only regular polyhedra are the tetrahedron, cube, octahedron, dodecahedron, and icosahedron. An axiomatic proof of this fact occurred one hundred years later, in the twelfth book to Euclid’s Elements. Descartes’s proved in 1623 a theorem on the total spherical excess of a convex polyhedron, from which the classification of regular polyhedra follows. Perhaps the simplest proof is due to Euler, who derived the classification from the observation that for a convex polyhedron with \( V \) vertices, \( E \) edges, and \( F \) faces, the equation \( V - E + F = 2 \).

In 1852, Schlafli introduced in \([1]\) the concept of a polytope, a generalization of a polyhedron to higher dimensions. Schlafli defined regular polytopes, and proved that they occur in four infinite families (the regular polygons, hypercubes, hyperoctahedra, and simplices), along with five exceptional structures (the dodecahedron, icosahedron, 24-cell, 120-cell, and 600-cell). The purpose of this paper is to re-derive Schlafli’s result using Coxeter’s theory of reflection groups. As a special case of this result, we find another classification of the regular polyhedra.

Geometers of the early 20th century interested themselves with uniform polytopes: generalizations of regular polytopes requiring a weaker symmetry condition. Wythoff described a way to understand these polytopes using kaleidoscopes in \([2]\), where he investigated a similarity between two 4-dimensional uniform polytopes of \( H_4 \) symmetry. This result was generalized by Coxeter in
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Figure 1: The left is a typical example of a flag vertex $\subset$ edge $\subset$ face. Unrolling it, one gets the image on the right, motivating the name.

3 to a method of generating uniform polytopes in any dimension, called Wythoff’s construction. Together with the results of Coxeter’s papers [4], [5], which classified all the finite reflection groups, it is easy to enumerate all polytopes arising from this construction, called the Wythoffian polytopes.

To classify the regular polytopes using Wythoff’s construction, we need to know that regular polytopes are Wythoffian, and we need a way to decide which polytopes arising from Wythoff’s construction are regular.

That regular polytopes are Wythoffian was proved by Schulte and McMullen in [6]. This paper proves this fact again, with a more intuitive geometric construction, by demonstrating that the kaleidoscope with mirrors between boundaries of top dimensional faces of a regular polytope generates its dual polytope.

The paper [7] of Champagne, Kjiri, Patera, and Sharp provides a rich set of combinatorial data describing the faces of a polytope generated by Wythoff’s construction. Using these data, the possible regular polytopes can be restricted to those corresponding to a particular list of decorated Coxeter diagrams. The diagrams on this list are known to all correspond to regular polytopes, which completes the classification.

Section 2 defines polytopes and regular polytopes. Section 3 describes Wythoff’s construction and Coxeter’s classification of finite groups generated by reflections. Section 4 proves that all regular polytopes arise from Wythoff’s construction. Section 5 exploits the combinatorics of decorated Coxeter diagrams to classify the regular polytopes.

2 Regular Polytopes

A polytope is a bounded set of solutions to an inequality of the form $Ax \leq b$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, or equivalently the convex hull of a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A $k$-face of a polytope is a bounding element contained in an affine subspace of dimension $k$. A 0-face is called a vertex, a 1-face is called an edge, and a 2-face is simply called a face, when there is no chance of confusion. A $(n - 1)$-face is called a facet, and a $(n - 2)$-face is called a ridge.

A flag in a polytope is a chain (vertex $\subseteq$ edge $\subseteq \cdots \subseteq$ facet); see Fig. 1 for an illustration of the terminology. Two flags are said to be adjacent if they differ in only one element.

A regular polytope is defined recursively: a regular polygon is a polygon with equal edges and edge lengths, while a regular polytope is a polytope whose group of symmetries acts transitively on
its flags, and whose facets are all themselves regular polytopes. In three dimensions, the regular polytopes are the familiar platonic solids of Fig. 2.

Another definition of regularity uses vertex figures. When the midpoints of the edges about a vertex in a polytope all lie on a hyperplane, the intersection of the hyperplane and the polytope is a polytope of one lower dimension, called the vertex figure of the polytope about that vertex. For example, the vertex figure of a cube about any vertex is an equilateral triangle. Roughly speaking, the vertex figure at \( v \) is a “localization at \( v \)”: edges containing \( v \) become vertices of the vertex figure, faces containing \( v \) become edges of the vertex figure, and so forth, in a way maintaining the incidence structure. Another definition of regularity is then that a polytope is regular when all its vertex figures exist, they are all regular, and the facets are all regular. These definitions are equivalent, and there are many more equivalent definitions; see [9].

All polytopes satisfy the following two properties (see, for instance, [6, Chap. 1]):

**Property 1** (Diamond property). Given a \((k - 1)\)-face \(F_{k-1}\) and a \((k + 1)\)-face \(F_{k+1}\) of a polytope, there exist exactly two \( k \)-faces \(F_k, F'_k\) so that \(F_{k-1} \subseteq F_k, F'_k \subseteq F_{k+1}\).

**Property 2** (Flag-connectedness). Given two flags \( F, F' \) of a polytope, there exists a sequence of flags \( F = F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_{n-1}, F_n = F' \) having \( F_i \) adjacent to \( F_{i+1} \) for all \( i \).

The diamond property remains valid even for the extremal values \( k \in \{0, n - 1\} \), so long as we interpret a \(-1\)-face as the empty set, and a \( n \)-face as the entire polytope. It says, for example, that each edge contains two vertices, and that each vertex is incident to exactly two edges of every face it is contained in. A consequence of the diamond property is that each flag is adjacent to exactly \( n \) other flags: if \( n - 1 \) elements of in the flag are fixed, the only choice for the unfixed element is the other element in the relevant diamond. See Fig. 3 for an example of both these properties.

To a polytope \( P \) we may associate its **dual polytope**, whose vertices are the centers of the facets of \( P \). A \( k \)-face of \( P \) becomes a \((n - k)\)-face of its dual, and this map reverses inclusions. A consequence of this fact is that the dual polytope is regular. Moreover, up to scaling, the dual of the dual of \( P \) is again \( P \). Among the Platonic solids, the cube is dual to the octahedron, the icosahedron is dual to the dodecahedron, and the tetrahedron is self-dual.

Figure 2: The five Platonic solids, from Kepler’s *Harmonices Mundi* [8]
Figure 3: The left image demonstrates the diamond property. With $k = 0$ (red), each edge has two vertices. With $k = 1$ (green), each vertex is incident to two edges in a face. With $k = 2$ (blue), each edge borders two faces. The right shows two flags in a cube: to get from one to the other, first change the blue vertex to the red vertex, then the blue face to the red face, then the blue edge to the red edge.
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Figure 4: A list of the irreducible Coxeter diagrams. The subscript indicates the number of vertices.

### 3 Wythoff’s Construction

A **uniform polytope** is defined in a similar way to a regular polytope. In two dimensions, the uniform polygons are defined to be exactly the regular polygons. In higher dimensions, a polytope is said to be uniform if all its facets are uniform, and its group of symmetries acts transitively on its vertices. Given a finite subgroup of $O(n)$ generated by reflections and a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the convex polytope whose vertices are the orbit of the point under the group. Coxeter showed in [3] that a judicious choice of point results in a uniform polytope. The uniform polytopes arising from this construction are called **Wythoffian**. Almost all known uniform polytopes arise from the kaleidoscopic construction of Wythoff and Coxeter. Conway and Guy show in [10] that of the 63 four-dimensional uniform polytopes not occurring in infinite families, only three are not Wythoffian.

An **finite group generated by reflections through hyperplanes through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$** is called a (spherical) **Coxeter group**. Coxeter showed in [4] that these groups have presentations of the form

$$\langle r_1, \ldots, r_n | (r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1, i, j = 1, \ldots, n \rangle$$

for some symmetric positive integer matrix $(m_{ij})$ with $m_{ii} = 1$ for all $i$. Such a group can be modelled in $O(n)$ by associating to each $r_i$ a reflection through a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin. To ensure the condition $(r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$, the hyperplanes associated to $r_i$ and $r_j$ should meet at dihedral angle $\pi / m_{ij}$. This information is encoded into a **Coxeter diagram** consisting of a vertex...
for every mirror, and a line between mirrors $i, j$ with label $m_{ij}$. Conventionally, if $m_{ij} \leq 2$ no line is drawn, and if $m_{ij} = 3$ the label is omitted. Coxeter’s classification showed that the Coxeter diagram of any spherical Coxeter group is a disjoint union of the diagrams in Fig. 4.

To pick a point for Wythoff’s construction, select some subset $S$ of these mirrors to be a stabilizer. Then take any point of norm 1 that lies on every mirror of $S$, and is equidistant from every mirror not in $S$. The resulting polytope is uniform, and moreover, all points resulting in uniform polytopes arise from this procedure. This polytope is represented by drawing the Coxeter diagram of the group and putting a cross through each box corresponding to a mirror in $S$; this is called the Wythoff-decorated Coxeter diagram, or a Wythoff-decorated diagram for short. Two examples of Wythoff-decorated diagrams and the polytopes they represent are given in Fig. 5. If $S$ contains a full connected component of the Coxeter diagram, any mirror in that component fixes the whole polytope, and the polytope is contained within a hyperplane.

The rest of the paper is dedicated to showing the completeness of Table 1, which shows how regular polytopes correspond to certain Wythoff-decorated diagrams.

Figure 5: Two examples of Coxeter diagrams and the polytopes they represent—the left is a square, and the right is an equilateral triangle. The thick lines are the reflecting mirrors, and the white points are images of the black point in the group generated by reflection through the mirrors.

4 Regular polytopes are Wythoffian

**Theorem 3.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular polytope. Then $P$ is Wythoffian.

**Proof.** To the polytope $P$ we may associate a center, obtained by averaging its vertices. A symmetry of the polytope is an affine isometry that permutes the vertices, and hence fixes the center. Assume without loss of generality that $P$ is centered at the origin. Then symmetries of $P$ are orthogonal transformations—that is, rotations and reflections.

Assume without loss of generality that $P$ is not contained in a hyperplane. If it is, it can be projected through hyperplanes until this condition holds in lower dimension, and then the following proof applies. If some facet contains the origin, then $P$ is contained in a half-space of the form \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \geq 0 \} \). Since $P$ is not contained in the hyperplane $a^T x = 0$, for each vertex $v$ we have $a^T v \geq 0$, and for at least one vertex, $a^T v > 0$. Then if $c$ is the center of $P$, we find that $a^T c > 0$. On the other hand, $c$ is the origin, so $a^T c = 0$. A contradiction is reached, and so no facet contains the origin. Since each lower dimensional face is contained in a facet, no face of any dimension contains the origin.

Let $R$ be a ridge of $P$. Then $R$ is contained in an affine subspace of dimension $n - 2$, and does not contain the origin, so it has linear span of dimension $n - 1$. Denote by $H$ the unique
Table 1: A list of Wythoff-decorated diagrams whose polytopes are regular.
Theorem 4 ([7]). The orbits of \( k \)-faces of a Wythoffian polytope are in correspondence with the CKPS-decorated diagrams having \( k \) circles in them, obtained from a Wythoff-decorated diagram by applying the CKPS transformation rule repeatedly. The correspondence sends a CKPS-decorated diagram \( X \) based on \( Y \) to a Wythoff-decorated diagram by taking the sub-diagram of \( Y \) whose vertices in \( X \) are decorated with circles.

For an example of the theorem, see Fig. 6. This example motivates the use of CKPS-decorated diagrams to classify regular polytopes: the fact that two distinct diagrams with 2 circles exist proves that the polytope cannot be regular. One can also think of the transformation rule “backwards”; by deleting \( k \) vertices from a Wythoff-decorated diagram in such a way that there is no connected component with no uncrossed square, we obtain a \((n - k)\)-face of the polytope it represents, and moreover, all \((n - k)\)-faces are obtained in this way.

As an application of these rules, we can restrict the number of uncrossed squares in connected Wythoff-decorated diagrams representing regular polytopes. Precisely, if such a diagram has size at least 3, it has exactly one uncrossed square. As before, and for the rest of this section, polytopes are assumed to not be contained in a hyperplane, so that each connected component has at least one uncrossed square.

Lemma 5. If a connected Wythoff-decorated diagram with at least three nodes represents a regular polytope, then it has exactly one uncrossed square.

Proof. Suppose that \( i, j \) are two uncrossed squares in the diagram, and pick a third square \( k \) adjacent to one of them. Assume without loss of generality that \( k \) is adjacent to \( i \). Then since the Coxeter diagrams are all acyclic, \( k \) is not adjacent to \( j \).

If \( k \) is uncrossed, then circling \( i \) and \( k \) gives a 2\( m_{ik} \)-gon face, while circling \( k \) and \( j \) gives a 2\( m_{jk} \)-gon face. Since \( j, k \) are not adjacent, \( m_{jk} = 2 \). On the other hand, \( m_{ik} \geq 3 \). So 2\( m_{ik} \neq 2m_{jk} \) and the diagram does not represent a regular polytope.
If \( k \) is crossed, then circling \( i \) and \( j \) gives a \( 2m_{ij} \)-gon face, while circling \( i \) and \( k \) gives a \( m_{ik} \)-gon face. The only way the polytope can be regular is to have \( 2m_{ij} = m_{ik} \). Since the labels on the Coxeter diagrams of size at least 3 are between 2 and 5, to have \( 2m_{ij} = m_{ik} \) only happens when \( m_{ik} = 4 \), and \( m_{ij} = 2 \). Additionally, any connected diagram with a label of 4 has exactly one 4, with the rest of the labels being 2 or 3. Since the diagram is connected, there exists a node \( \ell \) adjacent to \( j \) and we have \( m_{j\ell} = 3 \). Circling \( j \) then \( \ell \) gives a triangular face if \( \ell \) is uncrossed, or a hexagonal face if \( \ell \) is crossed. In either case, we conclude that the polytope has a non-square face, and is hence not regular.

Given a disconnected Coxeter diagram with components \( X \) and \( Y \) of size \( n \) and \( m \) respectively, choose \( n \) hyperplanes with normals \( v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \) so that the group generated by reflection through these hyperplanes is isomorphic to the Coxeter group of \( X \). Similarly, choose \( w_1, \ldots, w_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \) so the group generated by reflection through the \( w_i \) is isomorphic to the Coxeter group of \( Y \). Let \( v'_i = (v_i, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \), and \( w'_i = (0, 0, \ldots, w_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \). Then the angle between \( v'_i \) and \( v'_j \) is equal to the angle between \( v_i \) and \( v_j \), and \( v'_i \) is orthogonal to each \( w'_j \). Similarly, the angle between \( w'_i \) and \( w'_j \) is equal to the angle between \( w_i \) and \( w_j \), and so the group generated by reflections through all the \( v'_i \) \( w'_i \) is the Coxeter group of the diagram \( X \cup Y \). Considering how \( v'_i \) and \( w'_i \) act on elements of \( \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \) shows that the polytope represented by a disjoint union of connected Wythoff-decorated diagrams is the Cartesian product of the polytopes represented by the connected components. For example, adding a single uncrossed box to a diagram for a polytope \( P \) gives us a “\( P \)-prism”, which looks like \( [-\delta, \delta] \times P \) for the appropriate \( \delta \). We have

**Lemma 6.** The only regular polytope represented by a disconnected Wythoff-decorated diagram is a hypercube.

**Proof.** Suppose our polytope is \( P \). Take \( X \) to be a connected component of the diagram, say of size \( k \), and denote by \( P_X \) the polytope represented by \( X \).

If \( k = n - 1 \), then by circling a vertex of \( X \) and the vertex not in \( X \) we see that \( P_X \) has a square face. By regularity, every face of \( P_X \), hence \( P_Y \), is square. Let \( v \) be an uncrossed vertex in \( X \). Circling \( v \) and then any adjacent vertex must produce a square face; so every neighbour of \( v \) is crossed, and the edge between them has label 4. The only Coxeter diagrams having label 4 are \( F_4 \) and \( B_n \) for various \( n \). But \( X \) cannot be a Wythoff-decoration of \( F_4 \), because the vertices incident to an edge of label 4 also are incident to edges with label 3. So

\[
X = \begin{array}{c}
\text{###} \\
\text{##} \\
\text{###} \end{array}
\]

and \( P_X \) is a hypercube.

If \( k + 1 < n \), by deleting \( n - k - 1 \) vertices not in \( X \) we obtain a diagram \( Y \) that is the disjoint union of \( X \), with an additional isolated uncrossed vertex \( u \). Denote by \( P_Y \) the polytope represented by \( Y \). Since \( P_Y \) is a \( (k+1) \)-face of the regular polytope \( P \), it is regular, and since \( Y \) is disconnected and has size \( k + 1 < n \), we see inductively that \( P_Y \) is a hypercube. Delete \( u \) from \( Y \) to see that \( P_X \) is a facet of \( P_Y \). Since the facets of hypercubes are lower dimensional hypercubes, \( P_X \) is also a hypercube.

In this fashion, we find that every connected component of the diagram represents a hypercube of dimension equal to its size. Then \( P \) is the product of the respective hypercubes, which is again a hypercube. 

\[
8
\]
Theorem 7. The regular polytopes are comprised of the infinite families of simplices, hypercubes, hyperoctahedra, and regular polygons, as well as five exceptional structures: the icosahedron, dodecahedron, 120-cell, 600-cell, and 24-cell.

Proof. By Theorem 3 it suffices to classify the Wythoff constructions that are regular. Moreover, by Lemma 6 we can without loss of generality take our Coxeter diagram to be connected. Thus it must be one of the diagrams from Fig. 4.

All non-empty decorations of $I_2(k)$ give a regular polytope for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $\square ^k$ gives the regular $k$-gon, and $\square ^k \square$ gives the regular $2k$-gon. These are all the 2-dimensional Wythoff-decorated diagrams. Henceforth, we turn our attention to connected Wythoff-decorated diagrams of size at least 3. By Lemma 5 it suffices to consider the diagrams having a single uncrossed square.

Figure 7: Two distinct faces of a putative regular polytope of $E_k$ symmetry for some $k$

Suppose that the diagram has a branch point, as in the case of $D_n (n \geq 4), E_6, E_7, E_8$. Take the uncrossed square in the diagram, and repeatedly circle vertices towards the direction of the branch point. When the branch point is reached, there are two cases. If one branch has length longer than two, then circling the first vertex of each branch and circling the first two vertices of the longer branch gives two different decorations; the first one is a hyperoctahedron, and the second is a simplex. In particular, no decoration of $E_6, E_7, E_8$ can be regular—as each branch point has two branches of length at least two. For an illustration of this process, see Fig. 7.

In the case of $D_n, n \geq 5$, since both small branches of $D_n$ have length 1, the uncrossed square must always lie on the long branch. In fact, the uncrossed vertex must be on the end of the long branch; otherwise, once the branch point is reached, circling one of the two branches and then a vertex behind our initial one gives a different decoration than circling two branches; see, for example, Fig. 8. Finally, one can check that this decoration of $D_n$ gives the $n$-hyperoctahedron. In the case where $n = 4$, one additional decoration giving a regular polytope is possible, where the center vertex is uncrossed. This diagram represents the 24-cell.

When the diagram does not have a branch point, it must be a path. In the case of $A_n$, when $n > 3$ the uncrossed vertex must be on one of the ends. Otherwise we can form two distinct cells, corresponding to $\square \square \square \square$ (a tetrahedron) and $\square \square \square$ (an octahedron), by circling on either side of the uncrossed square or by circling two on one side of the uncrossed
Figure 8: In an decoration of $D_6$ having only the $a$ vertex uncrossed, one sequence of transformations leads us to this step. In the next two steps, choosing to circle $b_2$ and $b_1$ gives a different diagram than choosing to circle $b_1$ and $b_3$.

square. The decoration of $A_n$ with uncrossed vertex at either end corresponds to the $n$-simplex. The only remaining case is when $n = 3$ with the decoration \[ \square - \square - \square \]; we already saw this polytope was an octahedron.

If the diagram is not a Wythoff-decoration $A_n$ and is a path, it must be a decoration of one of $B_n, H_3, H_4,$ or $F_4$. Numbering the vertices in this path $1, 2, \ldots, n$, we have some $j$ so that $m_{j-1,j} > 3$. Let $i$ be the square that is uncrossed, and suppose that $i$ is not at one of the ends of the path. Up to possibly relabeling the vertices of the path, we may assume that $1 < i < j$. Since each connected Coxeter diagram has at most one label not a 2 or 3, we obtain two diagrams: one by circling vertices $i, \ldots, j$, and another by circling $i-1, \ldots, j-1$. If the polytope is to be regular, these $(j-i+1)$-faces must be the same and both themselves regular. The case of $A_n$ handled above shows that the only way this condition can occur is if $j-i+1 = 3$, and the cells \[ \square - \square - \square \] and \[ \square - \square - \square \] are the same. Since \[ \square - \square - \square \] is an octahedron, we must have $m_{j-1,j} = 4$.

The only possible diagram satisfying this information is \[ \square - \square - \square - \square \], which represents the 24-cell.

Otherwise, the only decorations of $H_3, H_4, F_4, B_n$ representing regular polytopes must have the uncrossed square at one of the ends of the path. All such decorations do give regular polytopes: \[ \square - \square - \square \] is the icosahedron, \[ \square - \square - \square \] is the dodecahedron, \[ \square - \square - \square - \square \] is the 600-cell, \[ \square - \square - \square - \square \] is the 120-cell, and \[ \square - \square - \square - \square \] is the 24-cell. For a decoration of $B_n$, when the uncrossed square is on the edge with a 4, the result is the $n$-hypercube. If it is instead on the edge marked with a 3, it is the $n$-hyperoctahedron.
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