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Abstract

Bazzi and Mitter [3] showed that binary dihedral group codes are asymptotically good. In this paper we prove that the dihedral group codes over any finite field with good mathematical properties are asymptotically good. If the characteristic of the field is even, we construct asymptotically good self-dual dihedral group codes. If the characteristic of the filed is odd, we construct both the asymptotically good self-orthogonal dihedral group codes, and the asymptotically good LCD dihedral group codes.
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1 Introduction

Let $F$ be a finite field with cardinality $|F| = q$ which is a power of a prime (the prime is just the characteristic $\text{char} F$), and $n$ be a positive integer. Any nonempty subset $C \subseteq F^n$ is called a code of length $n$ in coding theory. The Hamming weight $w(a)$ for $a = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in F^n$ is defined to be the number of the indexes $i$ that $a_i \neq 0$, and the Hamming distance $d(a, b) = w(a - b)$ for $a, b \in F$. And $d(C) = \min \{d(c, c') | c \neq c' \in C\}$ is said to be the minimum distance of $C$, while $\Delta(C) = \frac{d(C)}{n}$ is called the relative minimum distance of $C$.

The rate of the code $C$ is defined as $R(C) = \frac{\log_2 |C|}{n}$. If $C$ is a linear code, i.e., a linear subspace of $F^n$, then $R(C) = \frac{\dim F C}{n}$. A class of codes is said to be asymptotically good if there is a sequence $C_1, C_2, \cdots$ of codes in the class such
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that the length $n_i$ of $C_i$ goes to infinity and both the rate $R(C_i)$ and the relative minimum distance $\Delta(C_i)$ are positively bounded from below.

Gilbert \[9\] and Varshamov \[25\] showed that, for linear codes of relative minimum distance $\delta$ with $0 < \delta < 1 - q^{-1}$, their rates are, in an asymptotic sense, at most $g_q(\delta) = 1 - h_q(\delta)$, where

$$h_q(\delta) = \delta \log_q (q - 1) - \delta \log_q \delta - (1 - \delta) \log_q (1 - \delta), \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - q^{-1}, \tag{1.1}$$

is the $q$-entropy function, and $g_q(\delta)$ is called GV-bound. Note that $h_q(\delta)$ is increasing from 0 to 1 and concave in the interval $[0, 1 - q^{-1}]$. Pierce \[22\] proved that the relative minimum distances of the linear codes of rate $r$ are asymptotically distributed at $g_q^{-1}(r)$, where $g_q^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $g_q$.

In particular, linear codes are asymptotically good. If consider any codes of rate $r$, Barg and Forney \[2\] showed that the relative minimum distances are asymptotically distributed at $g_q^{-1}(2r)$.

The euclidean inner product of $F^n$ is defined as:

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i, \quad \forall \ a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n), b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in F^n; \tag{1.2}$$

and $C^\perp = \{ a \in F^n \mid \langle c, a \rangle = 0, \ \forall \ c \in C \}$ is the orthogonal code of $C$. If $C \subseteq C^\perp$ ($C = C^\perp$, resp.), then $C$ is said to be self-orthogonal (self-dual, resp.). If $C$ is self-orthogonal, then any code contained in $C$ is still self-orthogonal; but a code containing $C$ may be not; if any code containing $C$ properly is not self-orthogonal, then $C$ is said to be maximal self-orthogonal. On the other hand, $C$ is said to be a linear complementary dual code, or LCD code in short, if $C \cap C^\perp = 0$.

Let $G$ be a finite group, and $FG$ be the group algebra of $G$ over the field $F$. Any left ideal of $FG$ is called a group code of $G$ over $F$, or an $FG$-code for short. Further, any $FG$-submodule of $(FG)^2 = FG \oplus FG$ is called a quasi-$FG$ code of index 2. Quasi-$FG$ codes of index $m$ can be defined in a similar way. If $G$ is abelian (cyclic, resp.), quasi-$FG$ codes are also called quasi-abelian codes (quasi-cyclic codes, resp.)

Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order $n$. Then $FG$-codes are well-known as cyclic codes of length $n$ over $F$, which are studied and applied extensively since 1950s. Even so, it is still an open problem: whether or not the cyclic codes are asymptotically good? e.g., see \[17\]. However, instead of cyclic codes, the quasi-cyclic codes of index 2 were proved asymptotically good, see \[4\] \[5\] \[14\]. Moreover, self-dual quasi-cyclic codes are asymptotically good, see \[16\].

Now assume that a finite group $G$ of order $2n$ has a normal cyclic subgroup $H = \langle u \rangle$ of order $n$ generated by $u$, and an element $v$ of order 2 such that $vuv^{-1} = u^{-1}$; i.e., $G$ is a dihedral group of order $2n$. Then $FG$-codes are called dihedral group codes, or dihedral codes in short. Dihedral groups seem near to cyclic groups very much. Bazzi and Mitter \[3\] proved that, if $q = 2$, then the class of binary dihedral codes is asymptotically good. In their argument a result on the weights of binary balanced codes (see Definition \[24\] below) in \[19\] \[23\] \[24\].
plays a crucial role. Soon after, Martínez-Pérez and Willems [18] showed that the binary doubly-even (hence must be self-dual) quasi-cyclic codes of index 2 are asymptotically good.

In [7] we generalized the result on the weights of binary balanced codes in [19] [23] [24] to any finite fields (see Lemma 2.2 below for details) and showed, like the linear codes, that the relative minimum distances of the quasi-abelian codes of rate \( r \) are asymptotically distributed at \( g_q^{-1}(r) \). In that paper we also said “... from it (means the generalized result on the weights of balanced codes) quite a part of [3] can be extended to any q-ary case”.

In this paper we not only extend the asymptotic goodness of dihedral codes to any q-ary case, but also construct asymptotically good dihedral codes with good mathematical properties.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \( 0 < \delta < 1 - q^{-1} \) and \( 0 < h_q(\delta) < \frac{1}{4} \). If \( \text{char} F = 2 \), then there are self-dual dihedral group codes \( C_1, C_2, \cdots \) over \( F \) with length of \( C_i \) going to infinity such that \( \text{R}(C_i) = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \Delta(C_i) > \delta \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots \).

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that \( 0 < \delta < 1 - q^{-1} \) and \( 0 < h_q(\delta) < \frac{1}{4} \). If \( \text{char} F \) is odd, then

1. There are maximal self-orthogonal dihedral group codes \( C_1, C_2, \cdots \) over \( F \) with length of \( C_i \) going to infinity such that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \text{R}(C_i) = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \Delta(C_i) > \delta \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots \).
2. There are LCD dihedral group codes \( C_1, C_2, \cdots \) over \( F \) with length of \( C_i \) going to infinity such that \( \text{R}(C_i) = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \Delta(C_i) > \delta \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots \).

If we ignore the action of an element of order 2 on the normal cyclic subgroup of order \( n \), then dihedral group codes of length \( 2n \) are just quasi-cyclic codes of index 2 (the converse is not true!). So we have consequences:

**Corollary 1.3.** Maximal self-orthogonal quasi-cyclic codes of index 2 are asymptotically good. Moreover:

1. If \( \text{char} F = 2 \), then self-dual quasi-cyclic codes of index 2 are asymptotically good.
2. If \( \text{char} F \) is odd, then LCD quasi-cyclic codes of index 2 and rate \( \frac{1}{2} \) are asymptotically good.

In the next section we sketch preliminaries. In [3] we explore the properties of the group algebras over \( F \) of the dihedral groups of order \( 2n \). In [4] we construct our dihedral group codes who have rate \( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} \) or \( \frac{1}{2} \); the two kinds of dihedral group codes may have different behaviors. In [5] and [6] resp., we exhibit the random properties of the dihedral group codes constructed in [4] of rate \( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} \) and rate \( \frac{1}{2} \), resp. Finally, the two theorems listed above will be proved in [7].
2 Preliminaries

In this paper $F$ is always a finite field with $|F| = q$ which is a power of a prime, where $|S|$ denotes the cardinality of any set $S$. And $n > 1$ is an integer.

For an index set $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_d\}$, $F^I = \{(a_{i_1}, \cdots, a_{i_d}) | a_{i_j} \in F\}$ is a vector space over $F$ of dimension $d$. As usual, $F^n = F^I$ with $I = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. For $a \in F^n$, the fraction $\frac{w(a)}{n}$ is called the relative weight of $a$. Let $C \subseteq F^n$ be a code over $F$ of length $n$. Let $\delta$ be a real number such that $0 < \delta < 1 - q^{-1}$. We denote

$$C^{\leq \delta} = \{c \mid c \in C, \ \frac{w(c)}{n} \leq \delta\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let $C \subseteq F^n = F^I$ where $I = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. If there are subsets $I_1, \cdots, I_s$ (with repetition allowed) of the index set $I$ and integers $\ell$ and $t$ such that every cardinality $|I_j| = \ell$ and the following two hold:

1. for any index $i \in I$, the number of the subscripts $j$ satisfying that $i \in I_j$ is equal to $t$;
2. for any $j = 1, \cdots, s$, the projection $\rho_j : F^I \to F^{I_j}$ maps $C$ bijectively onto $F^{I_j}$;

then, following [3] and [22], we say that $C$ is a balanced code over $F$ of length $n$ and information length $\ell$, and $I_1, \cdots, I_s$ form a balanced system of information index sets of $C$.

Note that the phrase “balanced codes” might be used for different concepts in literature, e.g., in [13]. The following result was proved in [19], [22] and [24] for binary case, and in [7] for the present version.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $C$ be a balanced code over $F$ of length $n$ and information length $k$. Assume that $0 < \delta < 1 - q^{-1}$. Then $|C^{\leq \delta}| \leq q^{kh_\ell(\delta)}$.

If $C$ is a linear code, i.e., a linear subspace of $F^n$, then $w(C) = \min\{w(c) \mid 0 \neq c \in C\}$ is called the minimum weight of $C$ and $w(C) = d(C)$, so $\Delta(C) = \frac{w(C)}{n}$, also called the relative minimum weight of $C$. And the rate $R(C) = \frac{\dim_F C}{n}$.

Let $G$ be a finite group, $FG = \{\sum_{x \in G} a_x x \mid a_x \in F\}$, which is an $F$-vector space with a multiplication induced by the multiplication of the group $G$. So $FG$ is an $F$-algebra, called the group algebra of $G$ over $F$. Any left ideal $C$ of $FG$ is called a group code of $G$ over $F$ (a code word $\sum_{x \in G} c_x x \in C$ is a sequence $(c_x)_{x \in G}$ of $F$ indexed by $G$). We also say that $C$ is an $FG$-code for short. If $e \in FG$ is an idempotent, i.e., $e^2 = e$, then $FGe$ is a left ideal and $FG = FGe \oplus FGe'$, where $e' = 1 - e$ is also an idempotent and $ee' = e'e = 0$. Further, if the idempotent $e$ is central, then $FG = FGe \oplus FGe'$ with both $FGe$ and $FGe'$ being ideals. If $\gcd(|G|, q) = 1$, then any ideals and any left ideals can be constructed by idempotents in this way; and $e$ is called a primitive idempotent once $FGe$ is a minimal left ideal. Please cf. [12].
Remark 2.3. Any group code $C$ of the group algebra $FG$ is a balanced code, see [3, Lemma 2.2]. In fact, it can be proved in a similar way that any transitive permutation codes are balanced codes (a linear code is called a transitive permutation code if there is a group permutes the bits of the code transitively and the code is invariant under the group action, cf. [8]).

Mapping $x$ to $x^{-1}$ is an anti-automorphism of the group $G$, where $x^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $x$. We have an anti-automorphism of the algebra $FG$:

$$\tau : FG \to FG, \quad \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \mapsto \sum_{x \in G} a_x x^{-1}; \quad (2.1)$$

and we denote $\sum_{x \in G} a_x x^{-1} = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x$ for convenience. We call Eq.(2.1) the “bar” map of $FG$, which is a bijective linear transformation satisfying that $ab = ba$, for $a, b \in FG$. Then it is an automorphism of the algebra $FG$ provided $G$ is abelian. We list some properties for later quotations.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\sigma$ be the linear form of $FG$ mapping $\sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in FG$ to $a_{1_G} \in F$ (the coefficient of the identity element $1_G$ of $G$).

(1) $\langle a, b \rangle = \sigma(ab) = \sigma(ba), \forall a, b \in FG$.

(2) $\langle da, b \rangle = \langle a, db \rangle, \forall a, b, d \in FG$.

(3) If $C$ is an $FG$-code, then so is $C^\perp$.

(4) If $C$ is an $FG$-code, then $C$ is self-orthogonal if and only if $CC^\perp = 0$.

Proof. The (1) is verified directly. The (2) follows from (1). And (3) is checked by (2). For (4), the sufficiency follows from (1) directly. Conversely, if there are $c, c' \in C$ such that $c\bar{c} \neq 0$, write $c\bar{c} = \sum_{x \in G} d_x x$ with a coefficient $d_{x_0} \neq 0$; then $x_0^{-1}c \in C$ and $\langle x_0^{-1}c, c \rangle = \sigma(x_0^{-1}c\bar{c}) = d_{x_0} \neq 0$.  

We assume that $H$ is a cyclic group of order $n$. Then $FH$-codes are cyclic codes. Cyclic codes of length $n$ over $F$ can be described by monic divisors of the polynomial $X^n - 1$. In the following, we further assume that gcd$(n, q) = 1$. Then monic divisors of $X^n - 1$ are determined by their zeros. And, as noted above, $FH$-codes are determined by idempotents. If the ideal $FHe$ with $e^2 = e \neq 0$ is simple (i.e., any ideal contained in $FHe$ is either 0 or $FHe$ itself), then the idempotent $e$ is said to be primitive, and $FHe$ is a field (since any simple commutative ring is a field). Thus $FH$ has finitely many primitive idempotents $e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_s$ such that $1 = e_0 + e_1 + \cdots + e_s$ and $e_ie_j = 0$ for $0 \leq i \neq j \leq s$, where $e_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in H} x$ and dim$_F FHe_0 = 1$. And the automorphism “bar” in Eq.(2.1) permutes the primitive idempotents.

For any ring (with identity) $R$, by $R^\times$ we denote the multiplicative group consisting of the units (invertible elements) of $R$. By $\mathbb{Z}_n$ we denote the integer
residue ring modulo $n$, hence $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ is the multiplicative group consisting of the reduced residue classes. Then $q \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ (since $\gcd(n, q) = 1$). By $\text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_n^\times}(q)$ we denote the order of $q$ in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$. By multiplication, the group $q \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_n$, where $(q \mathbb{Z}_n^\times) = \{q^i \mid 0 \leq i < \text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_n^\times}(q)\}$ denotes the cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ generated by $q$. The orbits of the group action of $q \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ are called $q$-cyclotomic cosets modulo $n$, or $q$-orbits on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for short. Then the cyclic codes of length $n$ over $F$ are one to one corresponding to the $(q \mathbb{Z}_n^\times)$-invariant subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ (i.e., unions of some $q$-orbits) such that the dimensions of the cyclic codes are equal to the cardinalities of the $(q \mathbb{Z}_n^\times)$-invariant subsets. Please cf. [11, Chapter 4] for details. The following facts are well-known.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $H$ be a cyclic group of order $n$, where $n$ is odd and coprime to $q$. Let $e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_s$ be all primitive idempotents of $FH$, where $e_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum x$; and let $\lambda(n) = \min \{\dim_F(FHe_1), \cdots, \dim_F(FHe_s)\}$.

1. ([3, Lemma 2.5]) $\lambda(n) = \min \{\text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(q) \mid p$ is a prime divisor of $n\}$.
2. ([1, Theorem 6]) $\mathbf{e}_j \neq e_j$ for any $j > 0$ if and only if $\text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(q)$ is odd.
3. ([15, Theorem 1]) $\mathbf{e}_j = e_j$ for any $j > 0$ if and only if $-1 \in (q \mathbb{Z}_n^\times)$.

Note that, if $n$ is a prime, then $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ is cyclic and has $-1$ the unique element of order 2, and hence, $-1 \in (q \mathbb{Z}_n^\times)$ if and only if $\text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(q)$ is even.

We need some number-theoretic results. Let $t$ be any integer $> q$. As usual, $\pi(t)$ denotes the number of the primes $\leq t$.

**Lemma 2.6.** Set $G_t = \{\text{prime } p \mid q < p \leq t, \text{ ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(q) \geq (\log_q t)^2\}$. Then the natural density $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|G_t|}{\pi(t)} = 1$.

**Proof.** It was proved in [3, Lemma 2.6] for binary case. For the general case, the proof is similar. Denote $G_t = \{\text{prime } p \mid q < p \leq t, \text{ ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(q) < (\log_q t)^2\}$. Then $p \in G_t$ if and only if there are positive integer $r, s$ such that $r < (\log_q t)^2$ and $q^r - 1 = ps$.

The number of such $s$ is at most $\log_q(q^r - 1) < r$. So $|G_t| < (\log_q t)^4 = \left(\frac{\ln t}{\ln q}\right)^4$. Thus

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|G_t|}{\pi(t)} < \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{(\ln t/\ln q)^4}{t/\ln t} = 0. \quad \square$$

The following result was proved in [10] (for Dirichlet density) and in [21] (for natural density).

**Lemma 2.7** ([10, 21]). Let $O_t = \{\text{prime } p \mid q < p \leq t, \text{ ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(q)$ is odd}. Then the natural density $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|O_t|}{\pi(t)}$ is a positive fraction less than 1 (the exact value depends on the exponent of the prime power $q$, see [24, Theorem 1]).
By the above three lemmas we conclude:

**Corollary 2.8.** Let notation be as above.

1. There is a sequence \( n_1, n_2, \cdots \) of positive odd integers coprime to \( q \) such that \( \text{ord}_{Z/n_i} (q) \) are odd for all \( i = 1, 2, \cdots \) and \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\log n_i}{\lambda(n_i)} = 0 \).

2. There is a sequence \( n_1, n_2, \cdots \) of positive odd integers coprime to \( q \) such that \(-1 \in \langle q \rangle_{Z/n_i} \) for all \( i = 1, 2, \cdots \) and \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\log n_i}{\lambda(n_i)} = 0 \).

**Proof.** The natural density

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|O_t \cap G_t|}{\pi(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left( \frac{|O_t|}{\pi(t)} + \frac{|G_t|}{\pi(t)} - \frac{|O_t \cup G_t|}{\pi(t)} \right) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|O_t|}{\pi(t)} > 0.
\]

It is the same for \( O_t = \{ \text{prime } p \mid q < p \leq t, \text{ord}_{Z/n} (p) \text{ is even} \} \).

\[ \square \]

### 3 Dihedral group algebras

In the following we always assume that:

- \( F \) is a finite field of cardinality \( q \).
- \( n > 1 \) is an odd integer such that \( \gcd(n, q) = 1 \).
- \( G = \langle u, v \mid u^n = 1 = v^2, vuv^{-1} = u^{-1} \rangle \) is the dihedral group of order \( 2n \).
- \( H = \langle u \rangle \leq G \) is the normal cyclic subgroup generated by \( u \) of order \( n \);
- \( vH = \{ v, vu, \cdots , vu^{n-1} \} = Hv \) is the coset of \( H \) other than \( H \);
- \( H \) is normal in \( G \), and \( v \) induces the automorphism “bar” of \( FH \), i.e., in notation of Eq. \((2.1)\), \( vav^{-1} = \overline{a} \), for all \( a \in FH \).

**Lemma 3.1.** \( FH \) is a commutative ring, \( FG = FH \oplus vFH, vFH = FvH = FHv \), and the following hold.

1. Let \( e_0, e_1, \cdots , e_s \) be all primitive idempotents of \( FH \), where \( e_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in H} x \).

Then \( FH = FH e_0 \oplus FH e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus FH e_s \) is a direct sum of simple ideals \( FH e_i \)'s which are field extensions over \( F \), and the simple ideals \( FH e_i \)'s are one-to-one corresponding to the \( q \)-orbits of \( Z_n \). In particular, \( e_0 \) is corresponding to the \( q \)-orbit \( \{ 0 \} \) and \( FH e_0 = Fe_0 \) is the trivial ideal with \( \dim_F FH e_0 = 1 \).

2. \( H \) is normal in \( G \), and \( v \) induces the automorphism “bar” of \( FH \), i.e., in notation of Eq. \((2.1)\), \( vav^{-1} = \overline{a} \), for all \( a \in FH \).
(3) Set $\tilde{e}_0 = e_0 + ve_0$ which is a central element of $FG$, and

$$FG\tilde{e}_0 = \left\{ a \sum_{x \in G} x \mid a \in F \right\} = F\tilde{e}_0.$$

(4) The idempotent $e_0$ is central in $FG$, the ideal $FG\tilde{e}_0$ is of $F$-dimension 2, and there are two cases:

(4.1) If $\text{char} F$ is odd, then $e_{00} = \frac{1}{2}e_0$ and $e_{01} = \frac{1}{2}(e_0 - ve_0)$ are primitive central idempotents of $FG$, the ideal $FGe_{00} = FG\tilde{e}_0 \oplus FGe_{01}$, where $FG\tilde{e}_0$ is as above and

$$FGe_{01} = \left\{ a \sum_{x \in H} x - a \sum_{x \in H} vx \mid a \in F \right\} = Fe_{01}.$$

(4.2) If $\text{char} F = 2$, then $\tilde{e}_0^2 = 0$, $FGe_0$ is a local algebra with nilpotent radical $FG\tilde{e}_0$.

Proof. (1) is well-known, see [11, Chapter 4]. The others can be checked straightforwardly.

By $M_2(F)$ we denote the $2 \times 2$ matrix ring over $F$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $e$ be a primitive idempotents of $FH$ other than $e_0$. Then $FHe$ is a field extension over $F$, and one of the following holds:

(1) If $\tau \neq e$, then $e + \tau$ is a central idempotent of $FG$, and, in $FG$, the ideal $FG(e + \tau) = FHe \oplus FH\tau \oplus vFHe \oplus vFH\tau \cong M_2(\tilde{F})$, where $\tilde{F} = FHe$.

(2) If $\tau = e$, then the extension degree $|FHe : F| = 2k$ is even, $FHe$ has a subfield $\tilde{F}$ with $|\tilde{F} : F| = k$, and $e$ is a primitive central idempotent of $FG$, the ideal $FGe = FHe \oplus FHev \cong M_2(\tilde{F})$.

Proof. This is somewhat known, for example, (2) is proved in [3] for binary case. We show a proof by constructing specific isomorphisms for later quotation.

We have seen in Lemma 3.1(1) that $FHe$ is a field.

(1). It is obvious that $e + \tau$ is an idempotent, and $v(e + \tau) = \tau v + ev = (e + \tau)v$. i.e., $e + \tau$ is central in $FG$. So

$$FG(e + \tau) = (FH \oplus vFH)(e + \tau) = FHe \oplus FH\tau \oplus vFHe \oplus vFH\tau$$

is an ideal of $FG$. Note that $a = ae$ for $a \in \tilde{F} = FHe$. Define a map:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a_{11}e + va_{21}e + v a_{12}e + a_{22}e.$$

(3.1)
which is obviously bijective. Note that $e\bar{e} = 0$, $ev = v\bar{e}$ and $ve = v\bar{e}$. For any two elements of $FG(e + \bar{e})$:  
\[a_{11}e + y_{a_{1}2}e + \bar{a}_{12}e, \quad b_{11}e + y_{b_{1}2}e + \bar{b}_{12}e + b_{22}e,\]
where $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \bar{F}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$,
\[(a_{11}e + y_{a_{2}1}e + \bar{a}_{12}e + a_{22}e)(b_{11}e + y_{b_{2}1}e + \bar{b}_{12}e + b_{22}e) = (a_{11}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{22})e + v(a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21})e + v(a_{11}b_{21} + a_{12}b_{22})e + (a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21})e.\]

Thus the map in Eq. (3.1) is an isomorphism.

(2). In this case, $ve = \bar{v}e = ev$, hence $e$ is central in $FG$. Denote $K = FHe$ which is a field with identity $e$. The map $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ for $a \in K$ is an automorphism of the field $K$ of order 2. By Galois Theory,
\[\bar{F} := \{ a \mid a \in K, \bar{a} = a\}\]
is a subfield of $K$ such that $|K : \bar{F}| = 2$. Let $|\bar{F} : F| = k$. Then $|K : F| = 2k$.

Since $FH = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F u^i$, we have $K = FHe = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(ue)^i$, which implies that the field $K$ is generated by $ue$ over $F$. Hence $K$ is generated by $ue$ over $\bar{F}$, i.e., $K = \bar{F}e + F(ue)$. Thus the minimal polynomial $\varphi_{ue}(X)$ of $ue$ over $\bar{F}$ has degree 2 and is irreducible over $\bar{F}$. Let $\varphi_{ue}(X) = X^2 + gX + h \in \bar{F}[X]$. Then $(ue)^2 + g(ue) + h = 0$, hence $(\bar{ue})^2 + g(\bar{ue}) + h = (ue)^2 + g(ue) + h = 0$. So $ue$ and $\bar{ue}$ are two roots $(\bar{ue} \neq ue \text{ since } ue \notin \bar{F})$ of $\varphi_{ue}(X)$; then $(ue) + (\bar{ue}) = -g$ and $(ue)(\bar{ue}) = h$. In $K$ we have $\bar{ue} = v(ue)v^{-1} = vuv^{-1}e = u^{-1}e = (ue)^{-1}$. Thus $h = (ue)(\bar{ue}) = 1$, and $\varphi_{ue}(X) = X^2 + gX + 1$. We set
\[\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} -g & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -g & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}\]

Then the characteristic polynomial of $\eta$ is $\varphi_{\eta}(X) = X^2 + gX + 1 = \varphi_{ue}(X)$. Mapping $e \mapsto \varepsilon$ and $ue \mapsto \eta$, we get a field isomorphism
\[K = \bar{F}e + \bar{F}(ue) \cong \bar{F}[X]/(\varphi_{\eta}(X)) \cong \bar{F}\varepsilon + \bar{F}\eta \subseteq M_2(\bar{F}). \tag{3.3}\]

By matrix computation,
\[\nu^2 = \varepsilon, \quad \nu\eta \nu^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -g \end{pmatrix} = \eta^{-1}. \tag{3.4}\]

By comparing the $K$-dimension, we have
\[M_2(\bar{F}) = (\bar{F}\varepsilon + \bar{F}\eta) + (\bar{F}\varepsilon + \bar{F}\eta)\nu.\]

On the other hand,
\[FGe = FHe + FHev = K + K(ve) = \bar{F}e + \bar{F}ue + \bar{F}ve + \bar{F}uve.\]
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By Eq. (3.4), we can extend the isomorphism Eq. (3.3) to the following isomorphism (where $a, b, c, d \in \tilde{F}$):

$$FG \cong M_2(\tilde{F}), \quad ae + bue + cve + duve \mapsto a\varepsilon + b\eta + cv + d\eta v,$$

which completes the proof. □

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** The $FG$ is a direct sum of ideals as follows

$$FG = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_m.$$

where $A_0 = FG e_0$ is described in Lemma 3.1(4). For $t = 1, \cdots, m$, the ideal $A_t \cong M_2(F_t)$ with $F_t$ a field extension over $F$ and $\dim_F F_t = k_t$, hence

$$k_1 + \cdots + k_m = \frac{n-1}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

For the identity $1_{A_t}$ of $A_t$, which is a central idempotent of $FG$, one of the following two holds.

1. $1_{A_t} = e + \overline{e}$ for a primitive idempotent $e$ of $FH$ with $e \neq \overline{e}$, and $k_t = \dim_F (FH e)$;

2. $1_{A_t} = e$ is a primitive idempotent of $FH$ with $\overline{e} = e$, and $k_t = \frac{1}{2} \dim_F (FH e)$.

**Corollary 3.4.** For $t = 1, \cdots, m$, $2k_t \geq \lambda(n)$.

**Proof.** Recall from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\lambda(n) = \min \left\{ \dim_F FH e \mid e \text{ is a primitive idempotent of } FH \text{ other than } e_0 \right\}.$$

By Theorem 3.3 if $\overline{e} \neq e$ then $k_t = \dim_F FH e$; otherwise, $k_t = \frac{1}{2} \dim_F FH e$. □

We collect in the following lemma the properties of $2 \times 2$ matrix algebras which we need to study the dihedral group codes.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $M = M_2(F)$, $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; hence $F \varepsilon = Z(M) \cong F$ is the center of $M$.

1. There exists an $\eta \in M$ such that the characteristic polynomial of $\eta$ is irreducible, and $K = F \varepsilon + F \eta \subseteq M$ is a field with $\dim_F K = 2$.

2. If $c \in M$ has rank $\text{rank}(c) = 1$, then $Kc = Mc$ is a simple left ideal of $M$, and $cK = cM$ is a simple right ideal of $M$.

3. For any simple left ideals $L_1, L_2$ of $M$, either $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{0\}$ or $L_1 = L_2$. 
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(4) Let \( L = K\varepsilon_{11} = M\varepsilon_{11} \) be a simple left ideal of \( M \), where \( \varepsilon_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

Then, for \( 0 \neq c \in L \) and \( a, b \in K^\times \), \( ac = cb \) if and only if \( a = b \in (F\varepsilon)^\times \).

(5) Let \( 0 \neq c \in L \). Then, when \( (a, b) \) runs over \( K^\times \times K^\times \), the matrix \( abc \) runs over the matrices of rank 1 in \( M \), each of them appears \( q - 1 \) times. In particular, \( K^\times cK^\times \) is the set of all the matrices of rank 1 in \( M \), and
\[
|K^\times cK^\times| = \frac{(q_2 - 1)^2}{q - 1}.
\]

(6) Let \( (\alpha, \beta) \in K^\times \times K^\times \). Then \( \alpha L\beta = L\beta \) is a simple left ideal of \( M \); and, when \( \beta \) runs over \( K^\times \), the \( L\beta \) runs over the simple left ideals of \( M \), each of them appears \( q - 1 \) times.

Proof. (1). The finite field \( F \) has an extension of degree 2, in other words, there is an irreducible polynomial \( \varphi(X) \) of degree 2 over \( F \). Let \( \eta \in M \) be a matrix with characteristic polynomial \( \varphi(X) \). Then
\[
K = F\varepsilon + F\eta = (F\varepsilon) + (F\varepsilon)\eta \cong F[X]/\langle \varphi(X) \rangle
\]
which is a field extension over \( F \) of degree 2.

(2). Obviously, \( Kc \subseteq Mc \) and \( Mc \) is a simple left ideal of \( M \) with dimension \( \dim_F Mc = 2 \). Since \( K \) is a field and \( Kc \neq 0 \), \( Kc \) is a 1-dimensional \( K \)-vector space, hence \( Kc \) is a 2-dimensional \( F \)-vector space. So \( Kc = Mc \). Similarly, \( cK = cM \) is a simple right ideal of \( M \).

(3). Suppose that \( L_1 \cap L_2 \neq 0 \), then there is a non-zero \( c \in L_1 \cap L_2 \).

Applying (2) to \( c \), we get that \( L_1 = Kc = L_2 \).

(4). The sufficiency is obvious. We prove the necessity. First assume that \( c = \varepsilon_{11} \); i.e., assume that \( a\varepsilon_{11} = \varepsilon_{11}b \). Write \( \eta = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \), then \( g_{12} \neq 0 \neq g_{21} \), because: otherwise the characteristic polynomial of \( \eta \) is \( (X - g_{11})(X - g_{22}) \) which is reducible. Write \( a = a_1\varepsilon + a_2\eta \) and \( b = b_1\varepsilon + b_2\eta \). Then
\[
(a_1\varepsilon + a_2\eta)\varepsilon_{11} = \varepsilon_{11}(b_1\varepsilon + b_2\eta),
\]
i.e.,
\[
(a_1 - b_1)\varepsilon_{11} + a_2\eta\varepsilon_{11} - b_2\varepsilon_{11}\eta = 0;
\]
in matrix version,
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_1 - b_1 + a_2g_{11} - b_2g_{11} & -b_2g_{12} \\
    a_2g_{21} & a_1 - b_1
\end{pmatrix} = 0.
\]
So \( a_2g_{21} = -b_2g_{12} = a_1 - b_1 = 0 \). Since \( g_{12} \neq 0 \neq g_{21} \), we obtain that \( a_2 = b_2 = 0 \) and \( a_1 = b_1 \); i.e., \( a = b \in (F\varepsilon)^\times \).

Next, assume that \( 0 \neq c \in L \) and \( ac = cb \). Since \( L = K\varepsilon_{11} \), there is a \( d \in K^\times \) such that \( c = d\varepsilon_{11} \). So \( a\varepsilon_{11} = d\varepsilon_{11}b \). Note that \( d^{-1} \in K \) commutes with \( a \). Left multiplying by \( d^{-1} \), we get \( a\varepsilon_{11} = \varepsilon_{11}b \). Thus \( a = b \in (F\varepsilon)^\times \).
(5). Since rank(c) = 1, rank(acb) = rank(a′cb′) = 1 for any a, b, a′, b, ∈ K×.
Assume that acb = a′cb′, i.e., \(a^{-1}ac = cb'b^{-1}\); by the conclusion (4), \(a^{-1}a = b'b^{-1} ∈ (Fε)×\). Denote \(z = a^{-1}a = b'b^{-1} ∈ (Fε)×\), we get that a′ = az^{-1} and b′ = bz. So we reach the following conclusion (the sufficiency is obvious):

(5.1) For a, b ∈ K× and \(0 ≠ c ∈ L\), acb = a′cb′ if and only if there is a \(z ∈ (Fε)×\) such that a′ = az^{-1} and b′ = bz.

Note that \(|K× × K×| = (q^2 - 1)^2\) and \(|(Fε)×| = q - 1\). Thus, when (a, b) is running on \(K× × K×\), we obtain altogether \(\frac{(q^2 - 1)^2}{q - 1}\) matrices acb in M of rank 1, each of them appears \(q - 1\) times. So, \(|K×cK×| = \frac{(q^2 - 1)^2}{q - 1}q^3 + q^2 - q - 1\). On the other hand, in M, the number of the matrices of rank 2 is equal to \((q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q) = q^4 - q^3 - q^2 + q\). Hence the number of the matrices of rank 1 is equal to

\[q^4 - 1 - (q^4 - q^3 - q^2 + q) = q^3 + q^2 - q - 1 = |K×cK×|\].

In other words, \(K×cK×\) is the set of all the matrices of rank 1 in M.

(6). Because \(α, \beta\) are both invertible, \(αL = L\), and \(L → Lβ, c → cβ\), is an isomorphism of left M-modules. Hence \(αLβ = Lβ\) is a simple left ideal of M.

Next, \(La = Lβ\) if and only if \(Laβ^{-1} = L\). Denote \(b = αβ^{-1} ∈ K\). Note that, by the above (2), \(L = Kε_{11}\) and \(Lb = Kε_{11}b\). By the above (3), \(L = Lb\) if and only if there is an \(a ∈ K\) such that \(ae_{11} = ε_{11}b\). By the above (4), \(ae_{11} = ε_{11}b\) if and only if \(a = b ∈ (Fε)^×\). We get that

(6.1) For \(α, β ∈ K×, La = Lβ\) if and only if \(αβ^{-1} ∈ (Fε)^×\).

Thus, when \(β\) runs over \(K×\), we obtain altogether \(\frac{q^2 - 1}{q - 1}\) = \(q + 1\) distinct simple left ideals \(Lβ\) of M, each of them appears \(q - 1\) times. Any simple left ideal of M consists of the zero matrix and \(q^2 - 1\) matrices of rank 1. By the conclusion (3), there is no common matrices of rank 1 in two distinct simple left ideals of M. By (5), the number of the matrices of rank 1 is equal to \(\frac{(q^2 - 1)^2}{q - 1}\). So the number of the simple left ideals of M is equal to \(\frac{(q^2 - 1)^2}{(q^2 - 1)} = q + 1\). In other words, when \(β\) runs over \(K×\), we obtain all \(q + 1\) simple left ideals \(Lβ\) of M, each of them appears \(q - 1\) times.

4 Dihedral group codes

First we appoint the notation used in the rest of the paper.

**Remark 4.1.** By Theorem 3.3, \(FG = A_0 ⊕ A_1 ⊕ ⋯ ⊕ A_m\) is a direct sum of ideals, where \(A_0 = FGc_0\) is described in Lemma 3.1(4), in particular, \(A_0\) has a 1-dimensional ideal \(Fc_0\). Applying Lemma 3.5 to every ideal \(A_t ≅ M_2(F_t)\) for \(t = 1, ⋯, m\), in the following we always assume:

(1) \(Z_t = Z(A_t)\) which is corresponding to the center \(Z(M_2(F_t))\), so \(Z_t ≅ F_t\) is a field and \(dim_F Z_t = k_t\);
Thus, the sequence $(1 - n^{-1})^n$ with $n \to \infty$ is increasing and goes to $e^{-1}$ (where $e$ is the base of the natural logarithm). Since $n \geq 3$, $(1 - n^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{1}{2} \geq q^{-1}$. Thus, $|E^\times| > q^{n-2}$. \qed
Remark 4.3. Note that $E^\times$ is not a subgroup of the multiplicative group $(FG)^\times$. In the following we also consider the group

$$E^\bullet = \{ e_0 \} \times E^\times = \{ e_0 \} \times E^\times_1 \times \cdots \times E^\times_m,$$

which is isomorphic to $E^\times$, but is really a subgroup of the multiplicative group $(FG)^\times$. If explore something within $A$, e.g., consider the code $C$, then $e_0$ is useless (as $e_0A = 0$), $E^\bullet$ and $E^\times$ are the same.

By Theorem 3.3 for any $t = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, $\overline{A_t} = 1_{A_t}$,

$$\overline{A_t} = FG \cdot 1_{A_t} = FG \cdot 1_{A_t} = A_t, \quad t = 0, 1, \cdots, m.$$  

For any $0 \leq t \neq t' \leq m$, $A_tA_{t'} = A_tA_{t'} = 0$. So by Lemma 4.4,

$$\langle A_t, A_{t'} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \; 0 \leq t \neq t' \leq m. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.4. Keep notation in Remark 4.1. Let $1 \leq t \leq m$.

1. If $1_{A_t} = e + \overline{e}$ for a primitive idempotent $e$ of $FH$ with $e \neq \overline{e}$, then $\langle C_t, C_t \rangle = 0$, i.e., $C_t$ is self-orthogonal.

2. Assume that $1_{A_t} = e$ for a primitive idempotent $e$ of $FH$ with $e = \overline{e}$, then

   i. If $\text{char} F$ is odd, then $\langle C_t, C_t \rangle \neq 0$, hence $C_t$ is an LCD code.

   ii. If $\text{char} F = 2$, then $\langle C_t, C_t \rangle = 0$, i.e., $C_t$ is self-orthogonal.

Proof. (1). By Lemma 3.2, $A_t \cong M_2(F_t)$, and $e$ is corresponding to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, see the isomorphism in Eq. (3.1). So $C_t = A_t e$. Then $C_t \overline{C_t} = A_t e \overline{A_t} = 0$, since $e\overline{e} = 0$. Thus $\langle C_t, C_t \rangle = 0$, see Lemma 4.4(4).

(2). By Lemma 3.2, $A_t \cong M_2(F_t)$. By Eq. (3.2), $\varepsilon - \nu = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that

$$M_2(F_t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_2(F_t) \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

and by the isomorphism $e$ and $ve$ resp. are corresponding to $\varepsilon$ and $\nu$ resp. So $C_t = A_t(e - ve)$. By the definition of the “bar” map in Eq. (2.1), $\overline{\nu} = \nu$. Then

$$C_t \overline{C_t} = A_t(e - ve)(e - ve)A_t = A_t(2e - 2ve)A_t.$$  

If $\text{char} F = 2$, then $2e - 2ve = 0$ hence $C_t \overline{C_t} = 0$. Thus (ii) holds. If $\text{char} F$ is odd, then $2e \neq 0$. Note that $2e \in FH$ but $2ve \notin FH$. So $2e - 2ve \neq 0$. Hence $C_t \overline{C_t} \neq 0$ and $\langle C_t, C_t \rangle \neq 0$. If $C_t \cap C_t^\perp \neq 0$, then $C_t \cap C_t^\perp = C_t$ because $C_t$ is a minimal left ideal; this contradicts that $\langle C_t, C_t \rangle \neq 0$. Thus, $C_t$ is an LCD code. 

Theorem 4.5. If $\text{char} F = 2$, then for any $\alpha, \beta \in E^\bullet$, $\alpha \overline{C} \beta$ is a self-dual dihedral group code.
Proof. First we show that \( \hat{C} \) is self-dual. For any \( c_0 + c_1 + \cdots + c_m, c_1' + \cdots + c_m' \in \hat{C} \) where \( c_t, c_t' \in C_t, t = 0, 1, \cdots, m \). By Eq. (4.3),

\[
\langle (c_0 + c_1 + \cdots + c_m, c_0' + c_1' + \cdots + c_m') = \langle (c_0, c_0') + \langle (c_1, c_1') + \cdots + \langle (c_m, c_m')
\]

By Lemma 4.4 and \( \langle \hat{e}_0, \hat{c}_0 \rangle = 2n = 0, \langle c_0 + c_1 + \cdots + c_m, c_0' + c_1' + \cdots + c_m' \rangle = 0 \). So \( \hat{C} \) is self-orthogonal. But \( \dim_F \hat{C} = n \) which is a half of the length of the code. So \( \hat{C} \) is self-dual.

Next, assume that \( \alpha = e_0 + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m, \beta = e_0 + \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m, \) where \( \alpha_t, \beta_t \in E_t^\times \) for \( t = 1, \cdots, m \). Then

\[
\alpha \hat{C} \beta = C_0 \oplus \alpha_1 C_1 \beta_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_m C_m \beta_m.
\]

For each \( t > 0 \), since \( C_t \) is a left ideal of \( A_t \) and \( \alpha_t, \beta_t \) are invertible in \( A_t \),

\[
\alpha_t C_t \beta_t = C_t \beta_t. \quad \text{Because} \quad C_t \bar{C}_t = 0 \quad \text{(i.e.,} \quad \bar{C}_t C_t = 0), \quad \text{we have}
\]

\[
\alpha_t C_t \beta_t = C_t \beta_t = \beta_t C_t \beta_t = 0.
\]

Thus \( \alpha_t C_t \beta_t \) is self-orthogonal. By the same argument as above, we obtain that \( \alpha \hat{C} \beta \) is self-dual.

Note that \( \hat{C} \) is an \( FG \)-module. The word "module" in this paper means a left module, except for other declarations.

Lemma 4.6. If \( D \) is an \( FG \)-submodule of \( \hat{C} \), then

\[
D = (D \cap C_0) \oplus (D \cap C_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (D \cap C_m),
\]

and each \( D \cap C_j \) is either 0 or \( C_j \), for \( j = 0, 1, \cdots, m \).

Proof. The identity \( 1_{FG} = e_0 + 1_{A_1} + \cdots + 1_{A_m} \) is a sum of central idempotents and \( 1_{A_t} 1_{A_{t'}} = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq t \neq t' \leq m \) and \( e_0 1_{A_t} = 0 \) for \( t \neq 0 \). For any \( d \in D \) we have

\[
d = (e_0 + 1_{A_1} + \cdots + 1_{A_m}) d = e_0 d \oplus 1_{A_1} d \oplus \cdots \oplus 1_{A_m} d \in (D \cap C_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus (D \cap C_m).
\]

So the wanted equality holds. Since the \( FG \)-module \( C_j \) is simple, \( D \cap C_j \) is either 0 or \( C_j \). \( \square \)

Theorem 4.7. Assume that \( \text{char} F \) is odd, and \( \alpha, \beta \in E^\times \).

1. If \( \text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(q) \) is odd, then \( \alpha \hat{C} \beta \) is a maximal self-orthogonal code of rate
\[
\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}.
\]

2. If \( -1 \in \langle q \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_n} \), then \( \alpha \hat{C} \beta \) is an LCD code of rate \( \frac{1}{2} \).

Proof. Let \( e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_s \) be all primitive idempotents of \( FH \).

1. By Lemma 2.5(2), \( e_j \neq \tau_j \) for \( j = 1, \cdots, s \). By Lemma 4.4(1),
\[
\langle C_t, C_t \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t = 1, \cdots, m. \quad \text{By the same argument as in the proof of the above}
theorem, $\alpha C \beta$ is a self-orthogonal of rate $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}$. But this time $\langle \epsilon_0, \epsilon_0 \rangle = 2n \neq 0$, $C_0 = F \epsilon_0$ is not self-orthogonal, hence $C$ is maximal self-orthogonal.

(2). By Lemma 2.6(3), $e_j = \tau_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, s$. By Lemma 4.4(2), $\langle C_t, C_t \rangle \neq 0$ for $t = 1, \ldots, m$. and $C_0 = F \epsilon_0$ is also an LCD code. Write $\alpha = e_0 + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m$, $\beta = e_0 + \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m$, where $\alpha_t, \beta_t \in E_t^{\times}$ for $t = 1, \ldots, m$. Then

$$\alpha \hat{C} \beta = C_0 \oplus \alpha_1 C_1 \beta_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_m C_m \beta_m.$$ 

Note that $\alpha_t, \beta_t$ are invertible in $A_t$. In $A_t$, since $C_t \neq 0$, we have

$$\alpha_t C_t \beta_t = C_t \beta_t \cdot C_t \beta_t = \beta_t C_t \beta_t \neq 0.$$ 

Thus

$$\langle C_t, C_t \rangle \neq 0, \quad \mbox{and} \quad \langle \alpha_t C_t \beta_t, \alpha_t C_t \beta_t \rangle \neq 0, \quad t = 1, \ldots, m.$$ 

By Lemma 4.6, $D = (\alpha \hat{C} \beta) \cap (\alpha \hat{C} \beta)^{\perp}$. Then $D$ is a self-orthogonal submodule of $\alpha \hat{C} \beta$. By Eq. (4.4), $D \cap C_0$ is a self-orthogonal submodule of $\alpha \hat{C} \beta$. Thus, $D \cap C_0 = 0$. In the same argument, $D \cap C_t = 0$ for $t = 1, \ldots, m$. In conclusion, $D$ has to be zero and $\alpha \hat{C} \beta$ is an LCD code.

5 Random dihedral codes of rate $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}$

Keep the notation in §4 in particular, the assumptions listed in Remark 4.1. In this section, we further assume that the odd integer $n > 1$ with $\gcd(n, q) = 1$ and a real number $\delta$ satisfies that

$$0 < \delta < 1 - q^{-1}, \quad \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{2k_1} > 0.$$ 

(5.1)

Note that, if $h_q(\delta) < \frac{1}{4}$, by Lemma 2.5(1) and Lemma 2.6, there are infinitely many odd integers $n > 1$ coprime to $q$ such that $\frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{\lambda(n)}$ are positively bounded from below. And $2k_1 \geq \lambda(n)$, see Corollary 3.3.

**Definition 5.1.** Consider $E^* \times E^*$ as a probability space with equal probability for every sample. Then:

1. $C_{\alpha, \beta} = \alpha C \beta$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \in E^* \times E^*$ is a random FG-code over the probability space $E^* \times E^*$ with rate $R(C_{\alpha, \beta}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}$.

2. $\Delta(C_{\alpha, \beta}) = \frac{w(\alpha C \beta)}{2n}$ is a random variable.

3. For $c \in C$, define a 0-1 variable $X_c$ over the probability space $E^* \times E^*$, for $(a, b) \in E^* \times E^*$,

$$X_c = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < \frac{w(\alpha c b)}{2n} \leq \delta; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
(4) Define $X = \sum_{c \in C} X_c$, which stands for the number of the non-zero code words $acb$ (in the random code $C_{\alpha, \beta}$) whose relative weight is at most $\delta$.

By $\Pr \left( \Delta(C_{\alpha, \beta}) \leq \delta \right)$ we denote the probability that $\Delta(C_{\alpha, \beta}) \leq \delta$, and by $E(X)$ we denote the expectation of the variable $X$. Then

$$\Pr \left( \Delta(C_{\alpha, \beta}) \leq \delta \right) = \Pr(X \geq 1).$$

By a Markov’s inequality (c.f. [20, Theorem 3.1]), for the non-negative integer variable $X$ we have $\Pr(X \geq 1) \leq E(X)$. So

$$\Pr \left( \Delta(C_{\alpha, \beta}) \leq \delta \right) \leq E(X).$$

Since $X_c$ is a 0-1 variable,

$$E(X_c) = \Pr(X_c = 1) = \Pr \left( 0 < \frac{w(acb)}{2n} \leq \delta \right).$$

In particular, $E(X_0) = 0$. By the linearity of expectations,

$$E(X) = \sum_{c \in C} E(X_c) = \sum_{0 \neq c \in C} E(X_c).$$

Note that, by Lemma 4.6, any submodule $D$ of $C$ is a direct sum of some of $C_1, \ldots, C_m$. In particular, $\dim_F D$ is even since every $\dim_F C_t = 2k_t$ is even.

For $t = 1, \ldots, m$, we set $C_t^* = C_t \setminus \{0\}$, and by Lemma 3.5(2) we have

$$Ac_t = A_t c_t = C_t, \quad \forall c_t \in C_t^*.$$  

(5.5)

Lemma 5.2. Let $0 \neq c \in C$. Denote $\ell_c = \frac{\dim_F Ac}{2}$; i.e., $\dim_F Ac = 2\ell_c$. Then $k_1 \leq \ell_c \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and

$$E(X_c) < q^{-3\ell_c + 4\ell_c h_q(\delta) + 2}.$$  

Proof. There is a subset $\omega = \{t_1, \ldots, t_r\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$c = c_{t_1} + c_{t_2} + \cdots + c_{t_r}, \quad c_{t_j} \in C_{t_j}^*, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r.$$  

By Eq. (5.6),

$$Ac = C_{t_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{t_r}, \quad \text{hence} \quad k_1 \leq \ell_c = k_{t_1} + \cdots + k_{t_r} \leq \frac{n-1}{2}.$$  

(5.6)

Let $\tilde{\omega} = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \setminus \omega = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r\}$. Denote

$$A_{\omega} = A_{t_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{t_r} = Ac, \quad A_{\tilde{\omega}} = \bigoplus_{t \in \tilde{\omega}} A_t.$$  

Then $A = A_{\omega} \oplus A_{\tilde{\omega}}$. Similarly, denote

$$E_{\omega}^x = E_{t_1}^x \times \cdots \times E_{t_r}^x, \quad E_{\tilde{\omega}}^x = \times \ E_t^x, \quad t \in \tilde{\omega}.$$  

$$E_{\omega}^x = E_{t_1}^x \times \cdots \times E_{t_r}^x, \quad E_{\tilde{\omega}}^x = \times \ E_t^x, \quad t \in \tilde{\omega}.$$  
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hence $E^x = E^x_\omega \times E^x_\overline{\omega}$. Denote

$$Z^x_\omega = Z^x_{t_1} \times Z^x_{t_2} \times \cdots \times Z^x_{t_r}.$$  

We calculate the cardinalities of these sets as follows:

$$|Z^x_\omega| = \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{k_j} - 1) = \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - q^{-k_j}) = q^{\ell_c} \prod_{t \in \omega} (1 - q^{-k_t});$$

$$|E^x_\omega| = \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{2k_j} - 1) = q^{2\ell_c} \prod_{t \in \omega} (1 - q^{-2k_t});$$

$$|E^x_\overline{\omega}| = |E^x/|E^x_\omega| = q^{n-1-2\ell_c} \prod_{t \in \omega} (1 - q^{-2k_t}).$$

Note that any $a \in A$ is written as $a = a_\omega + a_\overline{\omega}$ with $a_\omega \in A_\omega$ and $a_\overline{\omega} \in A_\overline{\omega}$. And

$$E^*cE^* = E^xcE^x = E^x_1 c_1 E^x_1 \times \cdots \times E^x_r c_r E^x_r \subseteq A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_r = A_\omega.$$  

For $(a, b), (a', b') \in E^* \times E^*$, by Lemma 3.5 (5.1), $acb = a'c'b'$, i.e.,

$$a_\omega c_\omega b_\omega = (a_\omega + a_\overline{\omega})c(b_\omega + b_\overline{\omega}) = (a'_\omega + a'_\overline{\omega})c(b'_\omega + b'_\overline{\omega}) = a'_\omega c_\omega b'_\omega,$$

if and only if there are $z_\omega \in Z^x_\omega$ and $(a_\overline{\omega}, b_\overline{\omega}) \in E^x_\overline{\omega} \times E^x_\overline{\omega}$ such that

$$a' = a_\omega z_\omega^{-1} + a_\overline{\omega}, \quad b' = b_\omega z_\omega + b_\overline{\omega}.$$

We have that

$$|Z^x_\omega \times E^x_\omega \times E^x_\overline{\omega}| = q^{\ell_c} \prod_{t \in \omega} (1 - q^{-k_t}) \cdot q^{2n-2-4\ell_c} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - q^{-2k_j})^2$$

$$= q^{2n-2-3\ell_c} \prod_{t \in \omega} (1 - q^{-k_t}) \prod_{t \in \omega} (1 - q^{-2k_t})^2 < q^{2n-2-3\ell_c}.$$

So, for $d \in (E^xcE^x) \cap (A_\omega)^{\leq \delta}$, there are at most $q^{2n-2-3\ell_c}$ pairs $(a, b)$ in $E^* \times E^*$ such that $acb = d$.

Since $A_\omega = A_c$ is an ideal of dimension $4\ell_c$ in $FG$,

$$|(E^xcE^x) \cap (A_\omega)^{\leq \delta}| \leq \|(A_\omega)^{\leq \delta}\| \leq q^{4\ell_c h_q(\delta)},$$

where the second inequality follows from Lemma 2.2. Thus, there are at most $q^{2n-2-3\ell_c}q^{4\ell_c h_q(\delta)}$ pairs $(a, b) \in E^* \times E^*$ such that $0 < \frac{\chi(ab)}{2n} \leq \delta$. By Lemma 4.7, $|E^*| > q^{n-2}$. From Eq. (5.3) we obtain that

$$E(X_\omega) \leq \frac{q^{2n-2-3\ell_c}q^{4\ell_c h_q(\delta)}}{|E^* \times E^*|} < \frac{q^{2n-2-3\ell_c+4\ell_c h_q(\delta)}}{(q^{n-2})^2} = q^{-3\ell_c+4\ell_c h_q(\delta)+2}.$$  
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Lemma 5.3. \textit{Let }$\Omega_\ell$, $k_1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, \textit{be the set of all the }$A$-submodules of $C$ of dimension $2\ell$ (it is possible that $\Omega_\ell = \emptyset$). \textit{Let }$D \in \Omega_\ell$ and $D = C_{t_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{t_r}$, $1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_r \leq m$. Assume that $D^* = C_{t_1}^* \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{t_r}^*$, where $C_{t_j}^* = C_{t_j} \setminus \{0\}$ as before. Then

$$\sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) < q^{-4\ell \left(\frac{1}{4} - h_4(\delta)\right)} + 2.$$ 

\textit{Proof.} For any $c \in D^*$, $c = c_{t_1} + \cdots + c_{t_r}$ with $c_{t_j} \in C_{t_j}^*$. By Eq. (5.5), $Ac = Ac_{t_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Ac_{t_r} = D$. That is, $\ell_c = \ell$, $\forall \ c \in D^*$,

where $\ell_c$ is defined in Lemma 5.2. Recall that $|D^*| < |D| = q^{2\ell}$. By Lemma 5.2 we obtain that

$$\sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) < q^{2\ell} q^{-3\ell + 4\ell h_4(\delta) + 2} = q^{-\ell + 4\ell h_4(\delta) + 2} = q^{-4\ell \left(\frac{1}{4} - h_4(\delta)\right) + 2}. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 5.4. (1) $|\Omega_\ell| \leq n^{\ell/k_1}$.

(2). Let $\Omega = \bigcup_{\ell = k_1}^{(n-1)/2} \Omega_\ell$. Then $C \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{D \in \Omega} D^*$.

\textit{Proof.} (1). If $C_{t_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{t_r} \in \Omega_\ell$, then $k_{t_1} + \cdots + k_{t_r} = \ell$; in particular, $r \leq \ell/k_1$. Thus

$$|\Omega_\ell| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell/k_1} \binom{n}{j} < \sum_{j=1}^{\ell/k_1} \binom{n}{j} < n^{\ell/k_1}.$$

(2). For any $c \in C \setminus \{0\}$, $c \in (Ac)^*$ and $\dim_F Ac = 2\ell_c$, c.f. Eq. (5.6). So $C \setminus \{0\}$ is the disjoint union of $D^*$ with $D$ running over $\Omega$. \quad \Box

Theorem 5.5. $E(X) < q^{-4k_1 \left(\frac{1}{4} - h_4(\delta) - \frac{\log_2 n}{2\ell_1}\right) + 2}$.

\textit{Proof.} By Eq. (5.4) and Lemma 5.4(2), we have

$$E(X) = \sum_{D \in \Omega} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) = \sum_{\ell = k_1}^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{D \in \Omega_\ell} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c).$$

By Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4(1),

$$E(X) < \sum_{\ell = k_1}^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{D \in \Omega_\ell} q^{-4\ell \left(\frac{1}{4} - h_4(\delta)\right) + 2} \leq \sum_{\ell = k_1}^{(n-1)/2} n^{\frac{\ell}{k_1}} q^{-4\ell \left(\frac{1}{4} - h_4(\delta)\right) + 2} = \sum_{\ell = k_1}^{(n-1)/2} q^{-4\ell \left(\frac{1}{4} - h_4(\delta) - \frac{\log_2 n}{2\ell_1}\right) + 2}$$
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Because $\ell \geq k_1$, and $\frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{4k_1} > 0$ (see Eq. (5.1)), we further obtain

$$E(X) < \sum_{\ell=k_1}^{(n-1)/2} q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{4k_1} \right) + 2}.$$ 

The number of the running index $\ell$ is equal to $\frac{n-1}{2} - k_1 + 1 \leq n = q^{\log_q n}$. So

$$E(X) < q^{\log_q n} q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{4k_1} \right) + 2} = q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{4k_1} \right) + 2}.$$ 

**Theorem 5.6.** $\Pr(\Delta(C_{\alpha,\beta}) \leq \delta) < q^{-2\lambda(n) \left( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{\lambda(n)} \right) + 2}$.

**Proof.** Combining Theorem 5.5 with Eq. (5.2), we get

$$\Pr(\Delta(C_{\alpha,\beta}) \leq \delta) < q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{4k_1} \right) + 2}.$$ 

By Corollary 3.4, $2k_1 \geq \lambda(n)$. So

$$q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{4k_1} \right) + 2} \leq q^{-2\lambda(n) \left( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log_q n}{\lambda(n)} \right) + 2}.$$ 

6 Random dihedral codes of rate $\frac{1}{2}$

Keep the notation in §5. In particular, Eq. (5.1) holds and $E^* \times E^*$ is considered as a probability space with equal probability for each sample. We start from $\hat{C}$:

$$\hat{C}_{\alpha,\beta} = \alpha \hat{C} \beta, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in E^* \times E^*,$$

(6.1)
is a random code with $R(\hat{C}_{\alpha,\beta}) = \frac{1}{2}$. And for $(a, b) \in E^* \times E^*$,

$$X_c = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < \frac{w(a, b, c)}{2n} \leq \delta; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad c \in \hat{C}; \quad \text{and set} \quad \hat{X} = \sum_{c \in \hat{C}} X_c.$$

We still have

$$\Pr(\Delta(\hat{C}_{\alpha,\beta}) \leq \delta) = \Pr(\hat{X} \geq 1) \leq E(\hat{X})$$

(6.2)

Recall that $\hat{\Omega} = \bigcup_{\ell=k_1}^{(n-1)/2} \Omega_{\ell}$ is the set of all non-zero submodules of $\hat{C}$, see Lemma 5.4. It is easy to check the following.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let $\hat{\Omega}$ be the set of all non-zero submodules of $\hat{C}$. Let $\Omega_0 = \{C_0\}$. Denote $C_0 \oplus \Omega = \{C_0 \oplus D' \mid D' \in \Omega\}$. For $D = C_0 \oplus D'$ with $D' \in \Omega$, let $D^* = C_0^* \oplus D'^*$. Then

$$\hat{\Omega} = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega \cup (C_0 \oplus \Omega).$$

and

$$\hat{C} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{D' \in \hat{\Omega}} D^*.$$
We already have the estimation of $\sum_{D \in \Omega} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c)$, see Theorem 5.5.

For $0 \neq c \in C_0$ and $(a, b) \in E^\star \times E^\star$, it is trivial that $acb = c$ and $\frac{w(acb)}{2n} = 1$. So $E(X_c) = 0, \forall c \in C_0^*$; hence

$$\sum_{c \in C_0^*} E(X_c) = 0. \tag{6.3}$$

**Lemma 6.2.** \[ \sum_{D \in (C_0 \oplus \Omega)} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) < q^{2}q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{3}{2} h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q \cdot n}{2k_1} \right) + 2}. \]

**Proof.** For $k_1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, set $C_0 \oplus \Omega_\ell = \{ C_0 \oplus D \mid D \in \Omega_\ell \}$. Then

$$C_0 \oplus \Omega = \bigcup_{\ell=k_1}^{(n-1)/2} (C_0 \oplus \Omega_\ell).$$

Let $\hat{D} = C_0 \oplus D$ with $D \in \Omega_\ell$, and let $c \in \hat{D}^\star$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.2 we assume that $\hat{c} = \{ 0, t_1, \cdots, t_r \} \subseteq \{ 0, 1, \cdots, m \}$ such that

$$c = c_0 + c_{t_1} + \cdots + c_{t_r}, \quad c_0 \in C_0^*, \quad c_{t_r} \in C_{t_r}^*, \quad j = 1, \cdots, r;$$

and construct

$$A_\hat{c} = C_0 \oplus A_{t_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{t_r}, \quad E_\hat{c}^\times = \{ e_0 \} \times E_{t_1}^\times \times \cdots \times E_{t_r}^\times$$

It is the same as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 except that $\dim_F (A_\hat{c}) = 4\ell + 1$ hence $| (A_\hat{c}) | \leq 2^{4\ell+1} q^{4\ell h_q(\delta)} < q^{4\ell h_q(\delta) + 1}$, we obtain

$$E(X_c) < \frac{q^{2n-2-3\ell}q^{4\ell h_q(\delta) + 1}}{(q^{n-2})^2} = q^{-3\ell + 4\ell h_q(\delta) + 3}. \tag{6.4}$$

Because $|\hat{D}^\star| < |\hat{D}| = q^{2\ell+1}$,

$$\sum_{c \in \hat{D}^\star} E(X_c) < q^{2\ell+1} q^{-3\ell + 4\ell h_q(\delta) + 3} = q^{-\ell + 4\ell h_q(\delta) + 4}. \tag{6.5}$$

Then, similarly to Theorem 5.5 we obtain

$$\sum_{D \in (C_0 \oplus \Omega)} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) = \sum_{\ell=k_1}^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{D \in (C_0 \oplus \Omega_\ell)} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) \leq q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{3}{2} h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q \cdot n}{2k_1} \right) + 4}. \tag{6.6}$$

That is,

$$\sum_{D \in (C_0 \oplus \Omega)} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) < q^{2}q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{3}{2} h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q \cdot n}{2k_1} \right) + 2}. \tag{6.7}$$

**Theorem 6.3.** $E(\hat{X}) < (1 + q^{2})q^{-4k_1 \left( \frac{3}{2} h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q \cdot n}{2k_1} \right) + 2}$. 

21
Proof. By Eq. (6.3), Theorem 5.5 and Lemma 6.2,
\[
E(\hat{X}) = \sum_{0 \neq c \in \hat{C}} E(X_c)
\]
\[
= \sum_{D \in \Omega} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c) + \sum_{D \in (C_0 \oplus \Omega)} \sum_{c \in D^*} E(X_c)
\]
\[
< 0 + q^{-4k_1\left(\frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q n}{2\lambda n}\right) + 2} + q^2 q^{-4k_1\left(\frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q n}{2\lambda n}\right) + 2}
\]
\[
= (1 + q^2)q^{-4k_1\left(\frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q n}{2\lambda n}\right) + 2}.
\]

Similarly to Theorem 5.6, we obtain:

**Theorem 6.4.** \( \Pr(\Delta(\hat{C}_{\alpha,\beta}) \leq \delta) < (1 + q^2)q^{-2\lambda(n)\left(\frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q n}{\lambda(n)}\right) + 2} \).

### 7 Proofs of the main theorems

For a sequence \( n_1, n_2, \cdots \) of odd positive integers \( n_i \) coprime to \( q \) with \( n_i \to \infty \), we have a sequence \( G^{(1)}, G^{(2)}, \cdots \) of dihedral groups \( G^{(i)} \) of order \( 2n_i \), and have random \( FG^{(i)} \)-codes:

- \( C^{(i)}_{\alpha,\beta} \) of rate \( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n_i} \), defined in Definition 5.1
- \( \hat{C}^{(i)}_{\alpha,\beta} \) of rate \( \frac{1}{2} \), defined in Eq. (6.1)

We have two sequences of random dihedral codes:

\[
C^{(1)}_{\alpha,\beta}, C^{(2)}_{\alpha,\beta}, C^{(3)}_{\alpha,\beta}, \cdots
\]

\[
\hat{C}^{(1)}_{\alpha,\beta}, \hat{C}^{(2)}_{\alpha,\beta}, \hat{C}^{(3)}_{\alpha,\beta}, \cdots
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.1)

**Theorem 7.1.** Assume that \( 0 < \delta < 1 - \frac{1}{q} \) and \( 0 < h_q(\delta) < \frac{1}{4} \). Assume that \( \text{char} F = 2 \). Then there is a sequence \( n_1, n_2, \cdots \) of odd integers coprime to \( q \) with \( n_i \to \infty \) such that

1. Eq. (7.2) is sequence of self-dual dihedral codes;
2. \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \Pr(\Delta(\hat{C}^{(i)}_{\alpha,\beta}) > \delta) = 1. \)

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 there is a series \( n_1, n_2, \cdots \) of odd integers coprime to \( q \) such that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\log n_i}{\lambda(n_i)} = 0. \) Then (1) follows from Theorem 6.5. And, since \( \frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log n_i}{\lambda(n_i)} > 0 \) and \( \lambda(n_i) \to \infty \), by Theorem 6.4,

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \Pr(\Delta(\hat{C}^{(i)}_{\alpha,\beta}) \leq \delta) < \lim_{i \to \infty} (1 + q^2)q^{-2\lambda(n_i)\left(\frac{1}{4} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log n_i}{\lambda(n_i)}\right) + 2} = 0.
\]

That is, (2) holds.

**Theorem 1.1** is obviously a consequence of the above Theorem 7.1. On the other hand, Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the following theorem.
Theorem 7.2. Assume that $0 < \delta < 1 - q^{-1}$ and $0 < h_q(\delta) < \frac{1}{4}$. Assume that $\text{char}F$ is odd.

(1) There is a sequence $n_1, n_2, \ldots$ of odd integers $n_i$ coprime to $q$ with $n_i \to \infty$ such that Eq.(7.1) is a sequence of maximal self-orthogonal dihedral codes of rate $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n_i}$ and $\lim_{i \to \infty} \Pr(\Delta(C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(i)})) > \delta = 1$.

(2) There is a sequence $n_1, n_2, \ldots$ of odd integers $n_i$ coprime to $q$ with $n_i \to \infty$ such that Eq.(7.2) is a sequence of LCD dihedral codes of rate $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lim_{i \to \infty} \Pr(\Delta(C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(i)})) > \delta = 1$.

Proof. (1). By Corollary 2.8(1), there is a sequence $n_1, n_2, \ldots$ of odd integers $n_i$ coprime to $q$ such that $\text{ord}_2(n_i) = 0$. By Lemma 2.5(2) and Theorem 4.7(1), Eq.(7.1) is a sequence of maximal self-orthogonal dihedral codes of rate $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n_i}$. By Theorem 5.6

\[ \lim_{i \to \infty} \Pr(\Delta(C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(i)})) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} q^{-2\lambda(n_i)} \left( \frac{1}{2} - h_q(\delta) - \frac{\log q}{\lambda(n_i)} \right) + 2 = 0. \]

(2). The proof is similar to the above by citing Corollary 2.8(2), Lemma 2.5(3) and Theorem 4.7(2), and Theorem 6.4. □
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