

January 2020

IPMU20-0007  
WU-HEP-20-01

# Minimal Starobinsky supergravity coupled to dilaton-axion superfield

Yermek Aldabergenov <sup>a,b</sup>, Shuntaro Aoki <sup>c</sup>, and Sergei V. Ketov <sup>d,e,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University,  
Thanon Phayathai, Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

<sup>b</sup> Institute for Experimental and Theoretical Physics, Al-Farabi Kazakh  
National University, 71 Al-Farabi Avenue, Almaty 050040, Kazakhstan

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University,  
1-1 Minami-ohsawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

<sup>e</sup> Research School of High-Energy Physics, Tomsk Polytechnic University,  
2a Lenin Avenue, Tomsk 634028, Russian Federation

<sup>f</sup> Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI),  
The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced Study, Kashiwa 277-8583,  
Japan

yermek.a@chula.ac.th, shun-soccer@akane.waseda.jp, ketov@tmu.ac.jp

## Abstract

The minimal Starobinsky supergravity with the inflaton (scalaron) and the goldstino in a massive vector supermultiplet is coupled to the dilaton-axion chiral superfield with the no-scale Kähler potential and a superpotential. The Kachru-Kalosh-Linde-Trivedi (KKLT)-type mechanism in the presence of a constant term in the superpotential is applied to stabilize the dilaton/axion during inflation, and it is shown to lead to an instability. The instability is cured by adding the alternative Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term that does not lead to the gauged  $R$ -symmetry. Other stabilization mechanisms, based on the Wess-Zumino (WZ)-type superpotential, are also studied in the presence of the FI term. A possible connection to a D3-brane is briefly discussed too.

# 1 Introduction

Success of the inflationary theory raises a problem of its Ultra-Violet (UV) completion in quantum gravity. It is important because inflation is sensitive to quantum corrections, and it is non-trivial because inflationary models are usually described by non-renormalizable field theory with gravity. Among all inflationary models, Starobinsky's inflation [1] attracted a lot of attention because it provides (so far) the best fit to cosmological observations [2]. The Starobinsky inflationary model of modified  $(R + R^2)$  gravity and its scalar-tensor gravity counterpart are also non-renormalizable with the UV-cutoff given by Planck mass  $M_P$  [3, 4]. Assuming quantum gravity to be given by string theory, the need of the UV-completion of inflation in string theory implies the necessity to extend viable inflationary models to  $N = 1$  supergravity in four spacetime dimensions as the first step. A supergravity extension of the Starobinsky inflationary model is not unique, being dependent upon the supergravity framework chosen, see e.g., Ref. [5] for a short review.

The *minimal* description of Starobinsky inflation in supergravity as a single-field inflationary model (with the single physical scalar called scalaron) is possible when the scalaron (inflaton) is assigned to a massive abelian vector multiplet [6, 7, 8, 9] in terms of unconstrained superfields. A generic action of a vector multiplet  $V$  is governed by a single (real) potential  $J(V)$ , while its bosonic part in Einstein frame reads ( $M_P = 1$ )<sup>1</sup>

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\text{bos.}} = \frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{4}F_{mn}F^{mn} - \frac{1}{4}J_{CC}\partial_m C\partial^m C - \frac{1}{4}J_{CC}B_m B^m - \frac{g^2}{8}J_C^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $R$  is Ricci scalar,  $C$  is the leading field component of  $V$ ,  $B_m$  is an abelian vector field with the abelian field strength  $F_{mn} = \partial_m B_n - \partial_n B_m$  and the gauge coupling constant  $g$ , and the subscripts ( $C$ ) of  $J$  denote the derivatives of  $J$  with respect to  $C$ . The scalar potential in Eq. (1) is obtained after elimination of the auxiliary field  $D$  of the vector multiplet, so that is of the  $D$ -type. The Starobinsky inflationary potential is obtained by choosing the  $J$  potential as

$$J(C) = -3(C + \ln(-C)) \quad \text{with} \quad C = -\exp\left(\sqrt{2/3}\varphi\right) \quad (2)$$

in terms of the canonical scalaron  $\varphi$ . Then the first term in  $J(C)$  is responsible for the induced cosmological constant driving inflation, whereas the second term in  $J(C)$  represents an exponentially small correction during slow roll of the scalaron for positive values of  $\varphi$ , which is responsible for a suppression of the tensor-to-scalar ratio in the Starobinsky inflation, see e.g., Ref. [10] for details.

---

<sup>1</sup>We use the spacetime signature  $(-, +, +, +)$ .

Since inflation is driven by positive energy, in supergravity it leads to Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking (SSB). Therefore, a *goldstino* should be present during inflation. In the minimal supergravity description of inflation, the goldstino is given by the gaugino ("photino") that is the superpartner of the scalaron. It is worth to recall that the goldstino action is *universal*, being given by the Akulov-Volkov (AV) action [11] up to a field redefinition [12, 13].

Since an abelian vector multiplet is always present in the worldvolume of the spacetime-filling D3-brane (or anti-D3-brane) [14, 15, 16], the D3-brane effective action may provide the desired embedding of the Starobinsky inflationary model into string theory. This conjecture is strongly supported by the existence of the Bagger-Galperin (BG) action [17] of an  $N = 1$  abelian vector multiplet, which is the Dirac-Born-Infeld-type (DBI-type) extension of the standard  $N = 1$  Maxwell-type action, because the BG action has the second (non-linearly realized) supersymmetry needed for its D-brane interpretation. However, in order to prove the conjecture, one needs to (i) realize Starobinsky inflation in the DBI framework, (ii) provide SSB of the first (linearly realized) supersymmetry and (iii) restore the second (non-linearly realized) supersymmetry after coupling the BG (or DBI) action to supergravity.

The first problem was already solved in Refs. [18, 19]. The viable SSB after the Starobinsky inflation, which gives rise to an adjustable cosmological constant, is also possible by the use of the alternative Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) terms without the gauging of the  $R$ -symmetry [20, 21, 22, 23]. However, an origin of those FI terms in string theory and a restoration of another supersymmetry are still unclear, see e.g., Refs. [24, 25] for recent developments.

In this paper we do not address those unsolved problems but check whether the minimal formulation of the Starobinsky inflation in supergravity is compatible with its coupling to the chiral dilaton-axion superfield  $\Phi$ , described by the *no-scale* Kähler potential  $K$  and a superpotential  $W$ . The no-scale Kähler potential reads

$$K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) = -n \log (\Phi + \bar{\Phi}) , \quad (3)$$

where we have introduced the real parameter  $n > 0$ . In the context of string theory, the no-scale Kähler potential arises in toroidal (and orbifold) compactifications of type II strings and in the large volume limit of Calabi-Yau compactifications of heterotic strings (specifically, with  $n = 1$  for dilaton-axion and with  $n = 3$  for a volume modulus). The superpotential of dilaton and axion in string theory may only be generated non-perturbatively. It is common in the literature to assume its specific form either as a Wess-Zumino-type cubic polynomial or as an exponential of  $\Phi$ .

At first sight, adding such coupling is not a problem in supergravity. However, we find that it spoils the Starobinsky inflation because of an instability. This phenomenon was first observed in Ref. [26] in the context of the so-called Polonyi-Starobinsky supergravity where a Polonyi chiral superfield with the *canonical* kinetic term and a *linear* superpotential was introduced for describing SSB and dark matter [27, 28, 29]. In the case of the no-scale Kähler potential, we find a different situation because the dilaton-axion has to be trapped near a minimum of their scalar potential during the Starobinsky inflation driven by the scalaron, i.e., the masses of both dilaton and axion have to be larger than the Hubble scale during inflation (it is known as the moduli stabilization in the literature [30]). It is the purpose of this paper to achieve the moduli stabilization of dilaton and axion with the Kähler potential (3) by using a suitable superpotential and the alternative FI term in the minimal Starobinsky supergravity coupled to the dilaton-axion superfield.<sup>2</sup> Our setup and motivation are different from those in Ref. [25] where inflaton is identified with dilaton. They are also different from those in Ref. [31], where the superpotential is chosen in the Polonyi form.

Though we did our calculations with the DBI kinetic terms for the vector multiplet, in this paper we only use the Maxwell-type kinetic terms for simplicity, because the DBI structure does not significantly affect the Starobinsky inflation and the moduli stabilization in question, according to our findings.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we recall the basics of the superconformal tensor calculus in  $N = 1$  supergravity and introduce our notation and conventions. In Sec. 3 we define our inflationary model of the minimal Starobinsky supergravity, whose vector (inflaton) multiplet is coupled to the dilaton-axion chiral superfield with the no-scale Kähler potential. The vacuum structure of the model with the Kachru-Kalosh-Linde-Trivedi (KKLT)-type stabilization of dilaton and axion is investigated in Sec. 4, where an instability of inflation is found. A cure to the instability is proposed in Sec. 5 by using the alternative FI term. Sec. 6 is our conclusion. In Appendix A we study a different stabilization mechanism by using the Wess-Zumino (WZ)-type superpotential. Appendix B is devoted to yet another stabilization mechanism as a combination of the previous ones.

---

<sup>2</sup>We call  $\Phi$  as the dilaton-axion superfield for simplicity, though it may also represent a moduli superfield, with a generic parameter  $n > 0$ .

## 2 Superconformal tensor calculus

The conformal  $N = 1$  supergravity techniques are described in Refs. [32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. We follow the notation and conventions of Ref. [37]. In addition to the local symmetries of Poincaré supergravity, one also has the gauge invariance under dilatations, conformal boosts and  $S$ -supersymmetry, as well as under  $U(1)_A$  rotations. The gauge fields of dilatations and  $U(1)_A$  rotations are denoted by  $b_\mu$  and  $A_\mu$ , respectively. A multiplet of conformal supergravity has charges with respect to dilatations and  $U(1)_A$  rotations, called Weyl and chiral weights, respectively, which are denoted by pairs (Weyl weight, chiral weight) in what follows.

A chiral multiplet has the field components

$$S = \{S, P_L\chi, F\}, \quad (4)$$

where  $S$  and  $F$  are complex scalars, and  $P_L\chi$  is a left-handed Weyl fermion ( $P_L$  is the chiral projection operator). In this paper, we use the two types of chiral multiplets: the conformal compensator  $S_0$  and the matter multiplets  $S^i$ , where the index  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , counts the matter multiplets. The  $S_0$  has the weights  $(1, 1)$  and is used to fix some of the superconformal symmetries. The matter multiplets  $S^i$  have the weights  $(0, 0)$ . The anti-chiral multiplets are denoted by  $\bar{S}_0$  and  $\bar{S}^i$ .

As regards a general (real) multiplet, it has the field content

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}_a, \Lambda, \mathcal{D}\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\Lambda$  are fermions,  $\mathcal{B}_a$  is a (real) vector, and others are (real) scalars, respectively.

The (gauge) field strength multiplet  $W$  has the weights  $(3/2, 3/2)$  and the following field components:

$$\bar{\eta}W = \left\{ \bar{\eta}P_L\lambda, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}\hat{F}^{ab} + iD \right) P_L\eta, \bar{\eta}P_L\mathcal{D}\lambda \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\eta$  is the dummy spinor,  $\hat{F}_{ab} = \partial_a B_b - \partial_b B_a + \bar{\psi}_{[a}\gamma_{b]}\lambda \equiv F_{ab} + \bar{\psi}_{[a}\gamma_{b]}\lambda$  is the superconformally covariant field strength, the  $\psi_a$  is gravitino, the  $\lambda$  and  $D$  are Majorana fermion and the real auxiliary scalar, respectively. The related expressions of the multiplets  $W^2$  and  $W^2\bar{W}^2$ , which are embedded into the chiral multiplet (4) and the general multiplet (5), respectively, are

$$W^2 = \left\{ \dots, \dots, \dots + \frac{1}{2}(FF - F\tilde{F}) - D^2 \right\}, \quad (7)$$

$$W^2\bar{W}^2 = \left\{ \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots + \frac{1}{2}|(FF - F\tilde{F}) - 2D^2|^2 \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where we have omitted the fermionic terms (denoted by dots) for simplicity. In addition, we use the book-keeping notation  $FF = F_{ab}F^{ab}$  and  $\tilde{F}^{ab} \equiv -\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}$  throughout the paper.

We also need another chiral multiplet

$$\Sigma(\bar{W}^2/|S_0|^4) = \left\{ -\frac{(\frac{1}{2}FF + \frac{1}{2}F\tilde{F} - D^2)}{|S_0|^4} + \dots, \dots, \frac{F_0}{|S_0|^4 S_0}(FF + F\tilde{F} - 2D^2) + \dots \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the chiral projection operator [35, 36]. The argument of  $\Sigma$  requires specific Weyl and chiral weights: in order for  $\Sigma\mathcal{V}$  to make sense, the  $\mathcal{V}$  must satisfy  $w - n = 2$ , where  $(w, n)$  are the Weyl and chiral weights of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We adjust the correct weights of the argument, by inserting the factor  $|S_0|^4$ . Equation (9) is the conformal supergravity counterpart of the superfield  $\bar{D}^2\bar{W}^2$ .

The covariant derivative of  $W$  is given by [36]

$$\mathcal{D}W = \{-2D, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots\} \quad (10)$$

of the weights  $(2, 0)$ . Here, the dots in the higher components also include some bosonic terms, but we do not write down them here for simplicity (see Ref. [20] for their explicit expressions).

A massive vector multiplet  $V$  has the field components

$$V = \{C, Z, H, K, B_a, \lambda, D\}, \quad (11)$$

while all of them are either real (bosonic) or Majorana (fermionic). The weights of  $V$  are  $(0, 0)$ .

The bosonic parts of the F-term invariant action formulas are

$$[S]_F = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (F + \bar{F}), \quad (12)$$

while they can be applied only when  $S$  has the weights  $(3, 3)$ . The bosonic part of the D-term formula for a real multiplet  $\phi$  of the weights  $(2, 0)$  is

$$[\phi]_D = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (D_\phi - \frac{1}{3}C_\phi R(\omega)), \quad (13)$$

where  $R(\omega)$  is the superconformal Ricci scalar in terms of spacetime metric and  $b_\mu$  [37]. The  $C_\phi$  and  $D_\phi$  are the first and the last components of  $\phi$ , respectively.

We set the (reduced) Planck constant  $M_{\text{P}}$  and the abelian gauge coupling constant  $g$  to unity for simplicity in our calculations, unless it is stated otherwise. Both of them can be restored by dimensional considerations and rescaling of the vector multiplet fields, respectively.

### 3 The model

Let us consider the supergravity model of a massive vector multiplet  $V$  coupled to a dilaton-axion chiral multiplet  $\Phi$ , whose action is given by

$$S = -\frac{3}{2} \left[ |S_0|^2 e^{-\mathcal{J}/3} \right]_D + 2[S_0^3 \mathcal{W}]_F - [\Phi W^2]_F \quad , \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  is a real function of  $C$  and  $(\Phi + \bar{\Phi})$ . We take  $\mathcal{J}$  as a sum of the Starobinsky potential (2) and the no-scale Kähler potential (3),

$$\mathcal{J} = -3 \log(-C e^C) - n \log(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}) \quad , \quad (15)$$

where  $n$  is a positive integer. The first term in Eq. (15) is supposed to be responsible for the Starobinsky-type inflation, and the second one describes the interactions of dilaton and axion. The  $\mathcal{W}$  is a holomorphic superpotential depending on  $\Phi$  only.

Our action is invariant under a constant shift

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + ic \quad (16)$$

with a real constant  $c$ , except of the superpotential term.

After imposing the superconformal gauge fixing and integrating out the auxiliary fields, the bosonic part of the action (14) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{J}_{CC} (\partial_a C)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{J}_{CC} B_a^2 - \mathcal{J}_{\Phi\bar{\Phi}} \partial_a \Phi \partial^a \bar{\Phi} - V \\ & - \frac{1}{4} (\Phi + \bar{\Phi}) FF + \frac{1}{4} (\Phi - \bar{\Phi}) F\tilde{F} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The subscripts of  $\mathcal{J}$  denote the derivatives of  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the scalar fields  $C$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\bar{\Phi}$ , respectively. The  $V$  is the scalar potential, whose explicit form reads

$$V = V_F + V_D \quad , \quad (18)$$

$$V_F = e^{\mathcal{J}} \left[ (\mathcal{J}_{\Phi\bar{\Phi}})^{-1} |\mathcal{W}_\Phi + \mathcal{J}_\Phi \mathcal{W}|^2 + \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}_C^2}{\mathcal{J}_{CC}} - 3 \right) |\mathcal{W}|^2 \right] \quad , \quad (19)$$

$$V_D = \frac{\mathcal{J}_C^2}{8(\Phi + \bar{\Phi})} \quad , \quad (20)$$

in agreement with Refs. [8, 9, 31]. When  $\mathcal{W} = 0$ , the Lagrangian (17) reduces to the one of Ref. [38] considered in the context of global supersymmetry. In the absence of  $\Phi$ , the equations above reduce to Eqs. (1) and (3).

The Planck mass  $M_{\text{P}}$  can be recovered as follows:

$$\frac{C}{M_{\text{P}}} = -e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\varphi}{M_{\text{P}}}} \quad , \quad \frac{\Phi}{M_{\text{P}}} = e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\frac{\phi}{M_{\text{P}}}} + i\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\frac{a}{M_{\text{P}}} \quad . \quad (21)$$

The fields  $\varphi$ ,  $\phi$  and  $a$  can be identified as the inflaton/scalaron, dilaton, and axion, respectively. Rewriting the Lagrangian in terms of these fields, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_a\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_a\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\frac{\phi}{M_{\text{P}}}}(\partial_a a)^2 - V_F - V_D, \quad (22)$$

where (after a restoration of the gauge coupling constant  $g$  also) we have

$$V_F = e^{\mathcal{J}/M_{\text{P}}^2} \left[ \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\frac{\phi}{M_{\text{P}}}}}{n} |\mathcal{W}_{\Phi}|^2 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\frac{\phi}{M_{\text{P}}}} \left( \mathcal{W}_{\Phi} \frac{\bar{\mathcal{W}}}{M_{\text{P}}} + \bar{\mathcal{W}}_{\Phi} \frac{\mathcal{W}}{M_{\text{P}}} \right) + \left( n - 6e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\varphi}{M_{\text{P}}}} + 3e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\varphi}{M_{\text{P}}}} \right) \frac{|\mathcal{W}|^2}{M_{\text{P}}^2} \right], \quad (23)$$

$$V_D = \frac{9g^2 M_{\text{P}}^4}{16} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\frac{\phi}{M_{\text{P}}}} \left( 1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\varphi}{M_{\text{P}}}} \right)^2. \quad (24)$$

The Starobinsky inflation is supposed to be driven by the  $D$ -type term above. However, in the case under consideration the  $D$ -term has the dilaton-dependent factor. Therefore, a viable inflation is only possible after a stabilization of the dilaton, while keeping the  $F$ -term to be relatively small against the  $D$ -term, so that the  $F$ -term should not spoil the Starobinsky inflation either.

## 4 The vacuum structure

First, we apply the Kachru-Kallos-Linde-Trivedi (KKLT)-type mechanism [39] to safely stabilize the dilaton and the axion.

Let us study the stationary conditions of all fields. In terms of the inflaton  $C = -e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi}$ , the dilaton  $\text{Re } \Phi = \rho$ , and the axion  $\text{Im } \Phi = \theta$ ,<sup>3</sup> the scalar

---

<sup>3</sup>The canonical normalizations of the dilaton and the axion are obtained after the field redefinition  $\Phi = e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\phi} + i\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}a$ . We find it is convenient to use  $\rho$  and  $\theta$  in what follows.



potential is given by

$$V_D = \frac{9g^2}{16\rho} \left( 1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi} \right)^2, \quad (25)$$

$$V_F = e^J \frac{1}{(2\rho)^n} \left[ \frac{(2\rho)^2}{n} |W_\Phi|^2 - 2\rho (W\bar{W}_\Phi + \bar{W}W_\Phi) + (P+n)|W|^2 \right], \quad (26)$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$J(\varphi) = 3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi} - \sqrt{6}\varphi, \quad (27)$$

$$P(\varphi) = 3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi} \left( e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi} - 2 \right), \quad (28)$$

and have recovered the gauge coupling constant  $g$  that determines the inflationary scale.

The first derivative of the scalar potential with respect to  $\varphi$  reads

$$V_\varphi = \frac{9g^2}{8\rho} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi \left( 1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi} \right) + J_\varphi V_F + e^J \frac{1}{(2\rho)^n} P_\varphi |W|^2, \quad (29)$$

where the subscript denotes the derivative with respect to the given field. The  $\varphi = 0$  is a solution of  $V_\varphi = 0$ , since  $J_\varphi$  and  $P_\varphi$  vanish at  $\varphi = 0$ .

Let us assume that the superpotential takes the following form:

$$\mathcal{W} = W_0 + Ae^{-B\Phi}, \quad (30)$$

that is inspired by the KKLT-type superpotential [39] and has the constant parameters  $W_0$ ,  $A$  and  $B$ .<sup>4</sup> A non-vanishing constant  $W_0$  is essential in our investigation. We assume that  $W_0$  is negative and  $A, B$  are both positive. In this case, the  $F$ -term scalar potential is explicitly given by

$$V_F = e^J \frac{1}{(2\rho)^n} \left[ \frac{4A^2B^2\rho^2}{n} e^{-2B\rho} + 4AB\rho e^{-2B\rho} (A + W_0 e^{B\rho} \cos(B\theta)) + (P+n) (W_0^2 + 2AW_0 e^{-B\rho} \cos(B\theta) + A^2 e^{-2B\rho}) \right]. \quad (31)$$

We find that  $\theta = 2m\pi$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , minimizes the potential for  $n \geq 3$  since  $P+n \geq 0$  holds in that case. Taking into account the condition  $\varphi = 0$  for  $V_\varphi = 0$ , the condition  $V_\rho = 0$  is reduced to either of

$$A(2B\rho + n) + nW_0 e^{B\rho} = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$A(n(4B\rho - 3) + 4B\rho(B\rho - 1) + n^2) + (n-3)nW_0 e^{B\rho} = 0. \quad (33)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Another type of the superpotential is considered in Appendix A.

These conditions should be regarded as the equations that determine the vacuum expectation value of  $\rho$  ( $= \rho_0$ ).

In what follows, we consider the no-scale case with  $n = 3$  for definiteness. Then Eq. (32) becomes

$$W_0 = -Ae^{-B\rho_0} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3}B\rho_0\right), \quad (34)$$

which is exactly same to the KKLT vacuum.<sup>5</sup> The relevant masses at the stationary point are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_\varphi^2 &= \frac{3g^2}{8\rho_0} - \frac{A^2B^2e^{3-2B\rho_0}}{64\rho_0^2}, \\ m_\rho^2 &= \frac{A^2B^2e^{3-2B\rho_0}(B\rho_0 + 1)(2B\rho_0 + 5)}{32\rho_0^4}, \\ m_\theta^2 &= \frac{A^2B^2e^{3-2B\rho_0}(B\rho_0 + 2)(2B\rho_0 + 1)}{32\rho_0^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and they are all *positive*.<sup>6</sup> The minimum is of the Anti-de-Sitter (AdS)-type because the cosmological constant is given by

$$V_0 = -\frac{A^2B^2e^{3-2B\rho_0}}{6\rho_0} < 0, \quad (36)$$

and the supersymmetry is restored at the minimum.

Though the dilaton and the axion are stabilized by using the KKLT-type superpotential, as was demonstrated above, there is still a problem. In the Starobinsky-type inflationary scenario, it is necessary to require  $V_D \gg |V_F|$ . But the double exponential in the  $e^J$ -factor and the exponentials in the  $P$  function, defined by Eqs. (27) and (28) in terms of the *canonical* inflaton  $\varphi$ , destroy the flatness of the scalar potential and thus greatly reduce the e-foldings number for inflation. Therefore, we need the hierarchy of the two parameters, namely,  $g \gg A$ . However, as can be seen from Eq. (35), it gives rise to the extremely small dilaton and axion masses and, therefore, the KKLT stabilization mechanism alone does not work here.<sup>7</sup>

Yet another problem is uplifting the AdS vacuum (36) to a dS vacuum with an adjustable positive value of the cosmological constant.

---

<sup>5</sup>Equation (33) yields the solutions  $\rho = 0, -\frac{2}{B}$ .

<sup>6</sup>We assume that the inflationary scale  $\sim g$  is larger than that of  $V_F$ .

<sup>7</sup>The range of the scalaron field  $\varphi/M_P$  is trans-Planckian of the order  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  during the (large field) Starobinsky inflation [19].

## 5 The alternative (field-dependent) FI term

To resolve the problems, we introduce the following alternative FI term (*cf.* Refs. [20, 21, 22]):<sup>8</sup>

$$S_{FI} = -\frac{3}{2} \left[ |S_0|^2 e^{-\mathcal{J}/3} \xi \frac{W^2 \bar{W}^2}{(\mathcal{D}W)^2 (\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{W})^2} \mathcal{D}W \right]_D, \quad (37)$$

where  $\xi$  is a real function that, in general, depends on  $C$  and a combination  $(\Phi + \bar{\Phi})$ , in order to preserve the shift symmetry in Eq. (16). This FI term does not require the gauged R-symmetry, and therefore, is applicable together with our KKL<sub>T</sub>-type superpotential.<sup>9</sup> It appears that a constant  $\xi$  does not help because it merely shifts the vacuum and does not contribute to  $e^J$  and  $P$ . As was noticed in Ref. [26], the dangerous terms in the scalar potential can be removed when  $\xi$  and  $J$  satisfy a specific relation, by extending  $\xi$  to be field dependent. Here we apply the same idea to the case under consideration, where the dilaton-axion multiplet is coupled to the massive vector multiplet.

Let us choose  $J$  and  $\xi$  so they satisfy the relation

$$J_C + \frac{\xi(C)}{3g} = -3 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C} \right), \quad (38)$$

where we have set

$$\xi(C) = 3g\xi_0 e^{kJ} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C} \right), \quad \xi_0 < 0, \quad k > 0. \quad (39)$$

The case  $\xi(C) \propto e^{kJ}$  was studied in Ref. [26]. In Eq. (39) we added the factor  $(1 + \frac{1}{C})$  to ensure  $\xi(-1) = 0$ . This factor does not change the results of Ref. [26] since it is reduced to 1 for  $C \rightarrow -\infty$ .

For large negative  $C$ , Eq. (38) can be approximately solved as

$$J \sim -\frac{1}{k} \log \frac{1}{3} (e^{3k(C-C_0)} - \xi_0), \quad (40)$$

with the integration constant  $C_0$ . Thus the function  $J$  becomes a constant  $J_\infty \equiv -\frac{1}{k} \log \frac{-\xi_0}{3}$  for  $C \rightarrow -\infty$ . As a result, the exponential factor  $e^J$  in  $V_F$  becomes constant during inflation. Also, the term (28) or  $P(C) = J_C^2 / J_{CC} - 3$  becomes the following constant:

$$P_\infty = \frac{3 - 2\xi_0 e^{kJ_\infty}}{k\xi_0 e^{kJ_\infty}}. \quad (41)$$

---

<sup>8</sup>The standard FI term [40] in the context of supergravity does not work because it implies the gauged  $R$ -symmetry and, hence, the charged gravitino that severely restricts possible couplings.

<sup>9</sup>The gauged  $R$ -symmetry does not allow a constant term in the superpotential.

To summarize, we obtain the following effective scalar potential during inflation:

$$V = \frac{9g^2}{16\rho} \left(1 + \frac{1}{C}\right)^2 + e^{J_\infty} \frac{1}{(2\rho)^n} \left[ \frac{(2\rho)^2}{n} |W_\Phi|^2 - 2\rho (W\bar{W}_{\bar{\Phi}} + \bar{W}W_\Phi) + (P_\infty + n)|W|^2 \right], \quad (42)$$

whose  $F$ -term in the second line does not spoil the Starobinsky inflation described by the  $D$ -term in the first line because  $e^{J_\infty}$  and  $P_\infty$  are constants during the inflation.

At the inflation ends, the inflaton  $C$  and the dilaton-axion  $\Phi = \rho + i\theta$  take the vacuum expectation values which are determined by the vacuum conditions  $V_C = V_\rho = V_\theta = 0$ . We find that  $C = -1$  is still a solution to  $V_C = 0$  since  $J_C|_{C=-1} = P_C|_{C=-1} = 0$ . This fact is due to the parameterization of Eq. (39). As regards  $V_\rho = V_\theta = 0$ , the results of the previous Sec. 4 apply since  $V_D|_{C=-1} = 0$ .

Moreover, we do *not* have to demand  $V_D \gg |V_F|$  with the FI term because the structure of  $J$  and  $\xi$  solve the problem. Therefore, we can strongly stabilize  $\Phi$  by choosing the superpotential parameters appropriately, i.e., with a sufficiently large  $A$  in Eq. (30).

A comment is in order here. Consistency of the alternative FI term (37) requires the vacuum expectation value of the  $D$ -term to be nontrivial; in other words, supersymmetry must be spontaneously broken. Since the  $D$  in our case is given by

$$D = \frac{g}{2\rho} \left( J_C + \frac{\xi(C)}{3g} \right), \quad (43)$$

the vacuum discussed above is not allowed. To be consistent, the right-hand-side of Eq. (38) should be modified further as

$$J_C + \frac{\xi(C)}{3g} = -3 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C} \right) + \delta, \quad (44)$$

where a small but non-vanishing constant  $\delta$  has been introduced. As long as  $|C\delta| \ll 1$  during inflation, the proposed mechanism applies without changing the results above. Moreover, an adjustable value of  $\delta$  can be used for uplifting the AdS vacuum to a dS vacuum.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper we studied the phenomenological aspects of inflation in our supergravity model. Our main results are summarized in the Abstract.

As we already mentioned in the Introduction, the DBI deformation of the vector multiplet kinetic terms in our supergravity model, which is essentially described by a locally supersymmetric extension of the BI action

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{-g}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow M_{\text{BI}}^4 \left[ \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} - \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu} + M_{\text{BI}}^{-2}F_{\mu\nu})} \right] \quad (45)$$

with the dimensional deformation parameter  $M_{\text{BI}}^4$ , is available and does not significantly change our results. The DBI structure is, however, relevant for a possible embedding of our model into the effective action of a D3-brane.

The BI action is known to have the  $U(1)$  electric-magnetic self-duality, while its minimal coupling to the *massless* dilaton and axion results in the  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  self-duality [41] that also applies to the D3-brane effective action of the massless fields. The manifestly  $N = 1$  locally supersymmetric extension of the BI action, coupled to the massless dilaton-axion chiral superfield and preserving the  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  self-duality, can be found in Ref. [42].<sup>10</sup> The self-duality properties are only valid in the case of the massless fields and in the absence of a superpotential.<sup>11</sup>

*Another* (non-linearly realized) supersymmetry is also required for a D3-brane. Our supergravity model has manifest  $N = 1$  supersymmetry but does not have another supersymmetry by construction, though it may still be possible after a modification of our action or by using non-linear realizations where manifest supersymmetry is absent. Unlike the standard FI term, the alternative FI terms avoid the no-go theorems known in supergravity and string theory [44] so that the search for an origin of the alternative FI term (37) in string theory deserves further investigation.

Finally, we mention a possible connection to extended supersymmetry and supergravity. Some alternative FI terms were recently found in  $N = 2$  supergravity [45]. The  $N = 2$  supersymmetric extensions of the BI theory both in superspace and via non-linear realizations also exist [46, 47, 48, 49, 50]. The scalar ( $\phi^i$ ) kinetic terms of the  $N$ -extended vector multiplet enter the generalized BI action via the root

$$-M_{\text{BI}}^4 \sqrt{-\det[g_{\mu\nu} + M_{\text{BI}}^{-2}(F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\phi^i\partial_\nu\phi^i)]}, \quad (46)$$

which is different from the  $k$ -inflation [51] and Horndeski gravity theories [52].

<sup>10</sup>See also the related construction in Ref. [43] towards the electromagnetic confinement.

<sup>11</sup>Both dilaton and axion are massless in the perturbative string theory.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Hiroyuki Abe for his collaboration on related work in Refs. [23, 28] and Sergei Kuzenko for correspondence. S.A. is supported in part by a Waseda University Grant for Special Research Projects (Project number: 2019E-059). Y.A. is supported by the CUniverse research promotion project of Chulalongkorn University in Bangkok, Thailand, under the grant reference CUAASC, and by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan under the grant reference BR05236322. S.V.K. is supported by Tokyo Metropolitan University, the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan, and the Competitiveness Enhancement Program of Tomsk Polytechnic University in Russia.

## A The WZ-type superpotential

It is worthwhile to investigate another case of the Wess-Zumino (WZ)-type superpotential to stabilize dilaton and axion during the Starobinsky inflation in our supergravity model. We did our calculations with a generic (cubic) WZ superpotential, but those results are cumbersome and not very illuminating. We restrict ourselves in this Appendix to the most relevant mass term for simplicity, i.e.,

$$W = m\Phi^2, \quad (47)$$

where  $m$  is a real constant. The  $F$ -term potential becomes

$$V_F = e^J \frac{m^2}{(2\rho)^n} (\theta^2 + \rho^2) \left[ (\theta^2 + \rho^2) (n + P) + \frac{16\rho^2}{n} - 8\rho^2 \right]. \quad (48)$$

Under the condition  $\varphi = 0$ , we obtain

$$n = 3 : \quad V_\rho = -\frac{e^3 m^2 (\rho^2 - \theta^2)}{3\rho^2}, \quad V_\theta = -\frac{2e^3 \theta m^2}{3\rho}, \quad (49)$$

$$n = 4 : \quad V_\rho = \frac{e^3 \theta^2 m^2 (\rho^2 - \theta^2)}{4\rho^5}, \quad V_\theta = -\frac{e^3 \theta m^2 (\rho^2 - \theta^2)}{4\rho^4}. \quad (50)$$

It is easy to verify that the case of  $n = 3$  has no solution. In the  $n = 4$  case, the equations are satisfied when  $\rho = \theta \equiv \rho_0$ . The masses are given by

$$m_\varphi^2 = \frac{mm3g^2}{4\rho_0} + \frac{e^3 m^2}{2}, \quad (51)$$

$$m_-^2 = \frac{e^3 m^2}{\rho_0^2}, \quad m_+^2 = 0, \quad (52)$$

where  $m_{\pm}^2$  are the masses of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho \pm \theta)$ . Hence, the vacuum is not stabilized in this case.

In contrast to the KKLT case, supersymmetry is broken in the vacuum because

$$F_{\Phi} \sim \frac{m}{\rho_0} . \quad (53)$$

The vacuum is AdS, whose depth is given by

$$V_0 = -\frac{1}{4}e^3 m^2 . \quad (54)$$

The situation can be improved by adding *quartic* couplings inside the log of the Kähler potential in the  $\mathcal{J}$  function. Let us modify  $\mathcal{J}$  as

$$\mathcal{J} = J(C) - n \log [\Phi + \bar{\Phi} + \gamma_1(\Phi + \bar{\Phi} - 2\rho_0)^4 + \gamma_2(\Phi - \bar{\Phi} - 2i\rho_0)^4] , \quad (55)$$

where  $\gamma_{1,2}$  are the real parameters. After these modifications, the stationary point is the same as that in the model without the quartic modifications, i.e.,

$$\varphi = 0, \quad \rho = \theta = \rho_0, \quad (\text{with } n = 4) . \quad (56)$$

The quartic couplings affect the mass terms that can be roughly evaluated as

$$\Delta m^2 \sim (\mathcal{J}_{\Phi\bar{\Phi}})^{-1} |F_{\Phi}|^2 , \quad (57)$$

without corrections if the vacuum preserves supersymmetry, like in the KKLT case. In the WZ-type model, we find the contributions to the mass matrix as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\varphi\varphi}^2 & M_{\varphi\rho}^2 & M_{\varphi\theta}^2 \\ * & M_{\rho\rho}^2 & M_{\rho\theta}^2 \\ * & * & M_{\theta\theta}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3g^2}{4\rho_0} + \frac{e^3 m^2}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{e^3 m^2 (192\gamma_1 \rho_0^3 + 1)}{2\rho_0^2} & -\frac{e^3 m^2}{2\rho_0^2} \\ 0 & -\frac{e^3 m^2}{2\rho_0^2} & \frac{e^3 m^2 (192\gamma_2 \rho_0^3 + 1)}{2\rho_0^2} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (58)$$

Therefore, we can stabilize  $\rho$  and  $\theta$  in the presence of the quartic couplings when the latter take values larger than  $m$ . We can also decouple the masses of the dilaton and the axion from  $V_F \sim m^2$ , and impose the condition  $V_D \sim g^2 \gg V_F \sim m^2$  in this case. As regards inflation, the mechanism discussed in the main text (Sec. 5) can be applied here too, with the vacuum being expected to be slightly shifted by  $\delta$ .

## B Hybrid solution

Since the problem of suppressing the  $V_F$  term comes from the exponential in

$$J = -3 \log(-C e^C), \quad (59)$$

(in terms of the canonically normalized inflaton  $\varphi$ , we have  $C = -e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi}$ ), we can change the  $J$  function to the first term only as

$$J = -3 \log(-C), \quad (60)$$

and generate the second term in (59), leading to the constant vacuum energy driving inflation in the  $D$ -type Starobinsky potential and responsible for the instability due to the  $F$ -term, by the alternative FI term, schematically as  $\sim (1/C + \xi)^2$ . The parameter  $\xi$  can be fixed as  $\xi = g$  in order to keep the standard Starobinsky potential.

As regards the dilaton-axion coupled model, we have to demand the following conditions: (i) the D-term potential should not cross zero between the start of inflation and the vacuum (otherwise, the action will become singular due to the alternative FI term); and (ii) the masses of dilaton and axion must be higher than the inflationary (Hubble) scale during inflation.

Let us introduce the dilaton-axion pair with the following no-scale Kähler potential and the WZ superpotential:<sup>12</sup>

$$\mathcal{J} = -3 \log(-C) - \log(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}), \quad (61)$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \lambda + \mu\Phi + \omega\Phi^2, \quad (62)$$

where we parametrize  $\Phi$  as

$$\Phi = y/2 + i\theta, \quad y = e^{-\sqrt{2}\phi}. \quad (63)$$

The scalar potential of the model is given by

$$V_F = \frac{1}{(-C)^3 y} \left[ \left( -\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\mu y + \frac{3}{4}\omega y^2 + \omega\theta^2 \right)^2 + (\omega y - \mu)^2 \theta^2 \right], \quad (64)$$

$$V_D = \frac{9g^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{C} + 1 \right)^2. \quad (65)$$

---

<sup>12</sup>When at least one of the parameters of the superpotential vanishes, we find it impossible to obey the conditions (i) and (ii) simultaneously.



The critical points can be found analytically,

$$\theta_0 = 0 , \tag{66}$$

$$y_{0(1)} = -\frac{\mu}{9\omega} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{36}{\mu^2} \lambda \omega} \right) , \quad y_{0(2)} = -\frac{\mu}{9\omega} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{12}{\mu^2} \lambda \omega} \right) , \tag{67}$$

$$C_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4B}{A}} \right) , \tag{68}$$

where

$$A \equiv 3g^2 y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B \equiv \left( -\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \mu y_0 + \frac{3}{4} \omega y_0^2 \right)^2 . \tag{69}$$

As an example, the signs of the parameters can be fixed as  $\omega < 0$  and  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ . The points  $y_{0(2)}$  lead to a Minkowski vacuum with  $V_D = 0$ , so that in this case  $\langle D \rangle = 0$  breaks the requirement (i). To exclude  $y_{0(2)}$ , we can impose the condition  $12\lambda|\omega| > \mu^2$  when  $y_{0(2)}$  becomes imaginary. Then the remaining minimum at  $y_{0(1)}$  is unique with the "plus" branch according to Eq. (67),

$$y_0 = \frac{\mu}{9|\omega|} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{36}{\mu^2} \lambda |\omega|} \right) , \tag{70}$$

where we have renamed  $y_{0(1)}$  to  $y_0$ .

Unfortunately, the mass of  $\phi$  vanishes in the vacuum, similarly to the model studied in Appendix A, so that and we have to introduce the quartic couplings again.

## References

- [1] A. A. Starobinsky, "A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity", *Phys. Lett.* **91B** (1980) 99.
- [2] Y. Akrami et al. "Planck 2018 Results. X. Constraints on Inflation", [arXiv:1807.06211 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [3] C. P. Burgess, H. M. Lee and M. Trott, "Power-counting and the validity of the classical approximation during inflation", *JHEP* **0909** (2009) 103, [arXiv:0902.4465 [hep-ph]].
- [4] M. P. Hertzberg, "On inflation with non-minimal coupling", *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 023, [arXiv:1002.2995 [hep-ph]].

- [5] S. V. Ketov, "On the equivalence between Starobinsky and Higgs inflationary models in gravity and supergravity", [arXiv:1911.01008 [hep-th]]; to appear in *J. Phys. A* (2020).
- [6] F. Farakos, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, "On the Starobinsky model of inflation from supergravity", *Nucl. Phys.* **B876** (2013) 187 [arXiv:1307.1137 [hep-th]].
- [7] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Porrati, "Minimal supergravity models of inflation", *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 085038 [arXiv:1307.7696 [hep-th]].
- [8] Y. Aldabergenov and S. V. Ketov, "SUSY Breaking after Inflation in Supergravity with Inflaton in a Massive Vector Supermultiplet", *Phys. Lett. B* **761** (2016) 115 [arXiv:1607.05366 [hep-th]].
- [9] Y. Aldabergenov and S. V. Ketov, "Higgs Mechanism and Cosmological Constant in N=1 Supergravity with Inflaton in a Vector Multiplet", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 233 [arXiv:1701.08240 [hep-th]].
- [10] S. V. Ketov, "Modified gravity in higher dimensions, flux compactification and cosmological inflation", *Symmetry* **11** (2019) 1528.
- [11] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, "Is the Neutrino a Goldstone Particle?", *Phys. Lett.* **B46** (1973) 109.
- [12] T. Hatanaka and S. V. Ketov, "On the Universality of Goldstino Action", *Phys. Lett.* **B580** (2004) 265 [arXiv:hep-th/0310152].
- [13] S. M. Kuzenko, "The Fayet-Iliopoulos Term and Nonlinear Self-duality", *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 085036, [arXiv:0911.5190 [hep-th]].
- [14] R. G. Leigh, "Dirac-Born-Infeld Action from Dirichlet Sigma Model", *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 2767.
- [15] M. Roček and A. A. Tseytlin, "Partial Breaking of Global D = 4 Supersymmetry, Constrained Superfields, and Three-brane Actions", *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 106001 [arXiv:hep-th/9811232 [hep-th]].
- [16] S. V. Ketov, "Many Faces of Born-Infeld Theory", in the Proceedings of 7th International Wigner Symposium, College Park, Maryland, USA, August 24-29, 2001 [arXiv:hep-th/0108189 [hep-th]].

- [17] J. Bagger and A. Galperin, "A New Goldstone Multiplet for Partially Broken Supersymmetry", *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 1091 [arXiv:hep-th/9608177 [hep-th]].
- [18] H. Abe, Y. Sakamura, and Y. Yamada, "Massive vector multiplet inflation with Dirac-Born-Infeld type action" *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 125042 [arXiv:1505.02235 [hep-th]].
- [19] Y. Aldabergenov, R. Ishikawa, S. V. Ketov and S. I. Kruglov, "Beyond Starobinsky inflation", *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018) 083511 [arXiv:1807.08394 [hep-th]].
- [20] N. Cribiori, F. Farakos, M. Tournoy and A. van Proeyen, "Fayet-Iliopoulos terms in supergravity without gauged R-symmetry," *JHEP* **1804**, 032 (2018) [arXiv:1712.08601 [hep-th]].
- [21] S. M. Kuzenko, "Taking a Vector Supermultiplet Apart: Alternative Fayet-Iliopoulos-type Terms", *Phys. Lett.* **B781** (2018) 723 [arXiv:1801.04794 [hep-th]].
- [22] Y. Aldabergenov, Sergei V. Ketov and R. Knoops, "General Couplings of a Vector Multiplet in  $N = 1$  Supergravity with New FI Terms", *Phys. Lett.* **B785** (2018) 284 [arXiv:1806.04290 [hep-th]].
- [23] H. Abe, Y. Aldabergenov, S. Aoki and S. V. Ketov, "Massive vector multiplet with Dirac-Born-Infeld and new Fayet-Iliopoulos terms in supergravity," *JHEP* **1809**, 094 (2018) [arXiv:1808.00669 [hep-th]].
- [24] N. Cribiori, F. Farakos, and M. Tournoy, "Supersymmetric Born-Infeld actions and new Fayet-Iliopoulos terms", *JHEP* **03** (2019) 050, [arXiv:1811.08424 [hep-ph]].
- [25] I. Antoniadis, H. Jiang, and O. Lacombe, "Note on supersymmetric Dirac-Born-Infeld action with Fayet-Iliopoulos term", [arXiv:1912.12627 [hep-th]].
- [26] Y. Aldabergenov and S. V. Ketov, "Removing instability of inflation in Polonyi-Starobinsky supergravity by adding FI term," *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **91**, no. 05, 1850032 (2018) [arXiv:1711.06789 [hep-th]].
- [27] A. Addazi, S. V. Ketov and M. Yu. Khlopov, "Gravitino and Polonyi Production in Supergravity", *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** (2017) 233 [arXiv:1708.05393 [hep-th]].

- [28] H. Abe, Y. Aldabergenov, S. Aoki and S. V. Ketov, “Polonyi-Starobinsky supergravity with inflaton in a massive vector multiplet with DBI and FI terms,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 075012 (2019) [arXiv:1812.01297 [hep-th]].
- [29] S.V. Ketov and M. Yu. Khlopov, ”Cosmological Probes of Supersymmetric Field Theory Models at Superhigh Energy Scales”, *Symmetry* **11** (2019) 511.
- [30] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, ”Flux compactification”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79** (2007) 733 [arXiv:hep-th/0610102].
- [31] Y. Aldabergenov, A. Chatrabhuti and S. V. Ketov, ”Generalized dilaton-axion models of inflation, de Sitter vacua and spontaneous SUSY breaking in supergravity”, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C79** (2019) 713 [arXiv:1907.10373 [hep-th]].
- [32] M. Kaku, P. K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Properties of Conformal Supergravity”, *Phys. Rev.* **D17** (1978) 3179.
- [33] M. Kaku and P. K. Townsend, “Poincare Supergravity As Broken Superconformal Gravity”, *Phys. Lett.* **76B** (1978) 54.
- [34] P. K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Simplifications of Conformal Supergravity”, *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 3166.
- [35] T. Kugo and S. Uehara, “Conformal and Poincare Tensor Calculi in  $N = 1$  Supergravity”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B226** (1983) 49.
- [36] T. Kugo and S. Uehara, “ $N = 1$  Superconformal Tensor Calculus: Multiplets With External Lorentz Indices and Spinor Derivative Operators”, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **73** (1985) 235.
- [37] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, “Supergravity”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [38] S. V. Ketov, “More on the gauge fixed D3-brane action with dilaton axion coupling from  $N=1$  superspace,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **18**, 1887 (2003) [hep-th/0304002].
- [39] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, ”De Sitter vacua in string theory”, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 046005 [arXiv:hep-th/0301240].
- [40] P. Fayet and J. Iliopoulos, ”Spontaneously Broken Supergauge Symmetries and Goldstone Spinors”, *Phys. Lett.* **B51** (1974) 461.

- [41] M. K. Gaillard and B. Zumino, "Duality Rotations for Interacting Fields", Nucl. Phys. **B193** (1981) 221.
- [42] S. M. Kuzenko and S. A. McCarthy, "Nonlinear self-duality and supergravity", JHEP **02** (2003) 038 [arXiv:hep-th/0212039].
- [43] Y. Aldabergenov and S.V. Ketov, "Modified Born-Infeld-dilaton-axion coupling in supersymmetry", Symmetry **11** (2018) 14 [arXiv:1811.03806 [hep-th]].
- [44] Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, "Comments on the Fayet-Iliopoulos Term in Field Theory and Supergravity", JHEP **06** (2019) 007 [arXiv:0904.1159 [hep-th]].
- [45] I. Antoniadis, J.-P. Derendinger, F. Farakos and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, "New Fayet-Iliopoulos terms in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity", JHEP **07** (2019) 061 [arXiv:1905.09125 [hep-th]].
- [46] S. V. Ketov, "Manifestly N=2 Supersymmetric Born-Infeld Action", Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14** (1999) 501, [arXiv:hep-th/9809121].
- [47] S. V. Ketov, "Born-Infeld-Goldstone Superfield Actions for Gauge-fixed D-5- and D-3-branes in 6d", Nucl. Phys. **B553** (1999) 250, [arXiv:hep-th/9812051].
- [48] S. M. Kuzenko and S. Theisen, "Nonlinear self-duality and supersymmetry", Fortsch. Phys. **49** (2001) 273 [arXiv:hep-th/0007231].
- [49] S. Bellucci, E. Ivanov and S. Krivonos, "N=2 and N=4 Supersymmetric Born-Infeld Theories from Nonlinear Realizations", Phys. Lett. **B502** (2001) 279, [arXiv:hep-th/0012236].
- [50] S. Bellucci, S. Krivonos, A. Shcherbakov, and A. Sutulin, "On the Road to N=2 Supersymmetric Born-Infeld Action", Phys. Lett. **B721** (2013) 353 [arXiv:1212.1902 [hep-th]].
- [51] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. F. Mukhanov, "k - inflation", Phys. Lett. **B458** (1999) 209 [arXiv:hep-th/9904075].
- [52] C. Deffayet, G. Esposito-Farese and A. Vikman, "Covariant Galileon", Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 084003 [arXiv:0901.1314 [hep-th]].