APPLICATIONS OF ANALYTIC NEWVECTORS FOR $\text{GL}(r)$
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Abstract. We provide a few natural applications of the analytic newvectors, initiated in [22], to some analytic questions in automorphic forms for $\text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ with $r \geq 2$, in the archimedean analytic conductor aspect. We prove an orthogonality result of the Hecke eigenvalues, a density estimate of the non-tempered forms, an equidistribution result of the Satake parameters with respect to the Sato-Tate measure, and a second moment estimate of the central $L$-values as strong as Lindelöf on average. The new ideas of the proofs include use of the analytic newvectors to construct an approximate projector on the automorphic spectrum with bounded conductors and a soft local (both at finite and infinite places) analysis in the geometric side of the Kuznetsov trace formula.

1. Introduction

There are many instances in analytic theory of automorphic forms where one averages interesting arithmetic objects like Hecke eigenvalues, Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms, $L$-values etc. over a nice family of automorphic forms to get information about statistical behaviour of those objects. Often averaging such arithmetic quantities over a family is easier to handle than understanding them individually, due to availability of strong analytic techniques e.g. (relative) trace formulae. The families usually are defined in terms of some intrinsic attribute of the automorphic forms, such as, their levels (non-archimedean), weights, spectral parameters, or Laplace eigenvalues (archimedean), analytic conductors etc.. A plethora of works have been done in these aspects on various higher rank and higher dimensional arithmetic locally symmetric spaces - among which we refer to [1,2,3,4,5,8].

A family of automorphic forms with bounded analytic conductors is an interesting and useful family to examine the statistical properties of the central $L$-values. For instance, obtaining an upper bound with strength same as Lindelöf on average for higher moments of the central $L$-values over such a family seems to be a potential approach to understand the infamous conductor-drop problem in the context of the sub-convexity question. In this article we give some examples of averaging problems over such families in the archimedean analytic conductor aspect.

The classical or non-archimedean newvector theory was pioneered by Casselman [9] and Jacquet–Piatetski-Shapiro–Shalika [23]. They showed that for every generic irreducible representation $\pi$ of $\text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ there exists a nonzero vector in $\pi$ which is invariant under the open compact subgroup $K_0(p^{C(\pi)})$, where $C(\pi)$ is the conductor (exponent) of $\pi$ and $K_0(p^N)$ is the subgroup of $\text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting matrices whose last row is congruent to $(0,\ldots,0,*)$ mod $p^N$. Several works in the literature have used such invariance of newvectors when
working on a family of automorphic forms with bounded analytic conductor in the non-archimedean aspect, to understand the spectral side of a relative (e.g. Kuznetsov) trace formulae (e.g. \[5\], \[31\]).

As far as we know, in higher rank the averaging problems have not been much dealt with over families with bounded analytic conductor in the archimedean aspect, due to unavailability of “newvectors with required invariance” at the archimedean place. In a previous work, we jointly with Paul. D. Nelson, gave an analytic analogue of the non-archimedean newvector theory at an archimedean place \[22\] Theorem 1, Theorem 2. Loosely speaking, we showed that for every nice enough representation \(\pi\) (e.g. a cuspidal automorphic representation) of \(\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})\) there are nonzero vectors in \(\pi\), which we call analytic newvectors, which are invariant by some open “approximate congruence subgroup” analogous to \(K_0(p^N)\) in the non-archimedean setting (see (3.1) for definition).

An application of the analytic newvectors in the question of weighted counting of automorphic forms with bounded analytic conductors is shown in \[22\] Theorem 3. In this article we will give few other applications of the analytic newvectors for automorphic forms for \(\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})\) for \(r \geq 2\) in the archimedean analytic conductor aspect.

1.1. Main Theorems. The following theorems are mostly influenced by the recent works \[1, 2, 4, 5, 17, 18, 30\]. The proofs of these theorems are direct applications of the analytic newvectors, e.g. \[22,\] Theorem 2] (see Lemma 3.1) and the Kuznetsov trace formula (unlike \[30\] where the authors rely on the Arthur–Selberg trace formula), and are similar to the proofs of the analogous results in the literature in different aspects.

Let \(G := \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{R})\), \(\Gamma := \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Z})\), and \(X := \Gamma \backslash G\). By \(X\) (resp. \(X_{\text{gen}}\)) we denote the isomorphism class of (resp. generic) irreducible unitary standard automorphic representations of \(G\) in \(L^2(X)\) which are unramified at all finite places. Let \(d\mu_{\text{aut}}\) be the automorphic Plancherel measure on \(X\) compatible with the \(G\)-invariant measure of \(X\) (see [16, Chapter 11.6] for details). Let \(C(\pi)\) be the analytic conductor of \(\pi \in X_{\text{gen}}\) (see \(2.3\)).

1.2. Orthogonality of Hecke eigenvalues. The Hecke eigenvalues of automorphic forms on \(\text{GL}(r)\) for \(r \geq 2\) behave similarly to the characters on \(\text{GL}(1)\). In particular, one expects that the Hecke eigenvalues to satisfy an orthogonality relation when averaged over a sufficiently large family, similar to the characters. In \[35,\] Conjecture 1.1] an orthogonality conjecture of the Hecke eigenvalues is formulated, which, loosely states that

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\varphi \ \text{cuspidal}, \ \nu_{\varphi} \leq T} \lambda_{\varphi}(m) \lambda_{\varphi}(n) L(1, \text{Ad}, \phi)^{-1}}{\sum_{\varphi \ \text{cuspidal}, \ \nu_{\varphi} \leq T} L(1, \text{Ad}, \phi)^{-1}} = \delta_{m=n}.
\]

Here \(\varphi\) are spherical (i.e. also unramified at infinity) cusp forms on \(X\), \(\lambda_{\varphi}\) are the Hecke eigenvalues attached to \(\varphi\), \(m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}\), and \(\nu_{\varphi}\) are the Laplace eigenvalues of \(\varphi\). For detailed notations see \(2.1\).

In Theorem 1 we prove a variant of the conjecture in \(1.1\) in the analytic conductor aspect (i.e. the Laplace eigenvalue \(\nu_{\varphi}\) replaced by the analytic conductor of \(\varphi\)) for general \(r\).
Theorem 1. Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1} \) and \( X > 1 \) be large number tending off to infinity, such that
\[
\min(m_1 \ldots m_{r-1}, n_1 \ldots n_{r-1}) \ll X
\]
with sufficiently small implied constant. There exists \( J_X : \hat{X}_{\text{gen}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) with
\[
\begin{align*}
\bullet & \ J_X(\pi) \gg 1 \text{ whenever } C(\pi) < X, \\
\bullet & \int_{\hat{X}_{\text{gen}}} \frac{J_X(\pi)}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi) 
\approx X^{r-1},
\end{align*}
\]
such that,
\[
\int_{\hat{X}_{\text{gen}}} \frac{\lambda_\pi(m)\lambda_\pi(n)}{\ell(\pi)} J_X(\pi) d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi) = \delta_{m=n} \int_{\hat{X}_{\text{gen}}} \frac{J_X(\pi)}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi),
\]
where \( \ell(\pi) \) is a positive number, defined in (2.4), depending only on the non-archimedean data attached to \( \pi \).

Remark 1. In Theorem 1, unlike (1.1), we have averaged over not just the cuspidal spectrum but also included the continuous spectrum. However, one can modify the the proof of Theorem 1 to have an orthogonality result over a subset of the cuspidal spectrum only, by killing off the contributions from the continuous spectrum using a projector attached to a matrix coefficient of a supercuspidal representation \( \sigma \) of \( \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Q}_p) \). We give such an example in Theorem 6 which loosely describes a statement of the following spirit.

“Theorem”. If \( m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1} \) coprime with \( p \) fixed, then
\[
\lim_{X \to \infty} X^{1-r} \sum_{C(\pi_\infty) < X, \pi_p = \sigma} \frac{\lambda_\pi(m)\lambda_\pi(n)}{L(\sigma; 1, \text{Ad}, \pi)} = c_\sigma \delta_{m=n},
\]
where \( L(\sigma; 1, \text{Ad}, \pi) \) is the partial \( L \)-function excluding the \( p \)-adic Euler factor, \( c_\sigma \) is a constant depending on \( \sigma \), and \( \pi_p \) and \( \pi_\infty \) are the \( p \)-adic and infinity components of an automorphic representation \( \pi \), respectively.

Remark 2. We only show that the cut-off function \( J_X(\pi) \) is non-negative over the relevant spectrum and large if the analytic conductor is bounded by \( X \). Although we do not show that \( J_X(\pi) \) is negligible if the analytic conductor is large, we expect that is true nevertheless (see [22, Remark 5]).

1.3. Vertical Sato-Tate. For a finite prime \( p \) and \( \pi \in \hat{X}_{\text{gen}} \) we denote the complex \( r \)-tuple
\[
\mu^p(\pi) := (\mu_1^p(\pi), \ldots, \mu_r^p(\pi)), \quad \sum_i \mu_i^p(\pi) = 0, \quad \mod 2\pi i/\log p,
\]
to be the Satake parameters attached to \( \pi \) at \( p \). Satake parameters are invariant under the action of the Weyl group \( W \). Let \( T \) and \( T_0 \) be the standard maximal tori in \( \text{SL}_r(\mathbb{C}) \).
and SU(r), respectively. We identify \( \mu^p(\pi) \) as an element of \( T/W \) by \( \mu^p(\pi) \mapsto p^{\mu^p(\pi)} := \text{diag}(p^{\mu^1(\pi)}, \ldots, p^{\mu^r(\pi)}) \). Let \( \mu_{st} \) be the push-forward of the Haar measure on SU(r) on \( T_0/W \), which is also called to be the Sato-Tate measure attached to the group GL(r).

Ramanujan conjecture at a finite prime \( p \) for GL(r) predicts that all the Satake parameters will be purely imaginary, in other words, \( p^{\mu^p(\pi)} \) will be \( T_0/W \), for all \( \pi \in \hat{X}_{\text{gen}} \). Although, the Ramanujan conjecture itself is still open one can understand the verify its truth on an average as in Theorem 2. For details we refer to \[35, 4\]. Theorem 2 can also be regarded as an equidistribution result of the Satake parameters with respect to the Sato-Tate measure.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( f \) be a continuous function on \( T/W \). Let \( p \) be a finite prime and \( p^{\mu_p(\pi)} \in T/W \) be the Satake parameters attached to \( \pi \) at \( p \). Then

\[
\frac{\int_{\hat{X}_{\text{gen}}} f(p^{\mu_p(\pi)}) \frac{J_{\pi}(\ell(\pi))}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi)}{\int_{\hat{X}_{\text{gen}}} \frac{J_{\pi}(\ell(\pi))}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi)} \to \int_{T_0/W} f(z) d\mu_{st}(z),
\]

as \( X \to \infty \).

A variant of Theorem 2 in the Laplace eigenvalue aspect assuming the conjecture in \([1, 1]\) is proved in \[35\] for general \( r \). Unconditionally, the same variant is proved in \[26, \text{Theorem 10.2}\] for \( r = 2 \) and in \[4, \text{Theorem 3}\] for \( r = 3 \). In \[30, \text{Theorem 1.4}\] the authors proved Sato-Tate equidistribution over a cuspidal spectrum without the harmonic weights \( \ell(\pi) \). In particular, they use the Arthur–Selberg trace formula while we use the Kuznetsov trace formula. We also mention that Theorem 2 can be formulated over a cuspidal spectrum following a similar technique as in Theorem 6, see Remark 1.

### 1.4. Density estimates.

We denote \( \theta^\mu(\pi) := \max_j |\Re(\mu^j_\pi(\pi))| \). As discussed in the previous subsection, we call \( \pi \) to be tempered if it satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture at \( p \) if \( \theta^\mu(\pi) = 0 \), otherwise, we call \( \pi \) to be \( \theta \)-non-tempered at \( p \), for \( \theta^\mu(\pi) = \theta \). It is known from \[25\] that \( \mu^p(\pi) \in T_1/W \) for cuspidal \( \pi \) in \( \hat{X}_{\text{gen}} \), where \( T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T \) is defined by the subset in \( T \) containing \( \mu^p(\pi) \) with \( \theta^\mu(\pi) \leq 1/2 \) (in \[29\] this bound is improved to \( 1/2 - 1/(1 + r^2) \)).

In \[33, \text{p.465}\], Sarnak conjectured that for a nice enough finite family \( F \) of unitary irreducible automorphic representations for GL_r(Z) the number of representations which are at least \( \theta \)-non-tempered at a fixed place, is essentially of size \( |F|^{1- \frac{2\theta}{r+1}} \). We refer \[3\] for motivation and details. In Theorem 3 we prove Sarnak’s conjecture in \[33\] in the analytic conductor aspect for a finite place \( p \) for PGL_r(Z).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( p \) be a fixed prime. Then

\[
\frac{1}{X^{r-1}} \# \{ \pi \text{ cuspidal, } C(\pi) < X \mid \theta^\mu(\pi) > \eta \} \ll_{\epsilon} X^{-2\eta+\epsilon},
\]

as \( X \) tends off to infinity.

Many variants of the density estimate as in Theorem 3 of similar strength are available in the literature. We refer \[1, \text{Theorem 2}\] for \( r = 3 \) in the spectral parameter aspect, \[4, \text{Theorem 1, Theorem 2}\] for \( r = 3 \) in the Laplace eigenvalue aspect, \[5, \text{Theorem 4, Theorem}\]
for \( r = 3 \) in the level aspect, and more recently, \[2\text{, Theorem 1}\] in the level aspect for general \( r \). In \[30\text{, Corollary 1.8}\] (also see \[15\] which discusses this for general reductive group) a density bound is obtained using the Arthur–Selberg trace formula for general \( r \), however the bound is weaker than Sarnak’s density hypothesis in \[33\].

**Remark 3.** We mention that \[2\text{, Theorem 1}\] is much stronger than previous mentioned results and Theorem \[3\] in particular, Sarnak’s density hypothesis \[33\]. The analogous estimate in our setting would be \( \ll_\varepsilon X^{-4\eta+\varepsilon} \). To obtain a stronger bound one needs to have an estimate of certain double unipotent orbital integral which arises in the geometric side of the Kuznetsov formula (as in the second term of the RHS of the equation in Proposition \[2.1\]) similar to what is achieved in the non-archimedean case in \[2\text{, Theorem 3}\] (see discussion after Theorem 2 in \[2\]). It seems such estimates might be achievable by a delicate stationary phase analysis of the unipotent orbital integrals, which is not in our grasp currently for general \( r \) and we hope to come back to this in future.

### 1.5. A large sieve inequality.

In the next application we prove a large sieve inequality in the analytic conductor aspect for unramified Hecke eigenvalues of \( \text{PGL}(r) \). This result is in the spirit of celebrated large sieve inequalities in \[12\] in the spectral parameter aspect for \( r = 2 \).

**Theorem 4.** Let all the notations be as in Theorem \[7\]. Let \( \alpha(n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{r-1}} \) be any sequence of complex numbers and \( N := N(n) := n_1 \cdots n_{r-1} \). Then

\[
\sum_{C(\pi) \leq X} L(1, \pi, \text{Ad})^{-1} \left| \sum_{N \leq X} \alpha(n) \lambda_\pi(n) \right|^2 \ll X^{r-1} \sum_{N \leq X} |\alpha(n)|^2.
\]

Here the implicit constant in the condition \( N \ll X \) is assumed to be sufficiently small.

Theorem \[4\] is of similar strength as in \[2\text{, Theorem 4}\] in the level aspect. We also mention previous works on large Sieve inequalities in \[1\text{, Theorem 3}\] in the spectral parameter aspect, \[5\text{, Theorem 2, Theorem 3}\] in level aspect for \( r = 3 \), and \[13\text{, 34}\] in the level aspect for general \( r \).

### 1.6. Second moment of the central L-values.

Finally, we give a corollary of Theorem \[4\] to the best possible, i.e. Lindelöf on average, second moment estimate of the central \( L \)-values.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( L(s, \pi) \) be the automorphic \( L \)-function attached to \( \pi \). Then

\[
\sum_{C(\pi) \leq X} \frac{|L(1/2, \pi)|^2}{L(1, \pi, \text{Ad})} \ll_\varepsilon X^{r-1+\varepsilon},
\]

as \( X \) tends off to infinity.
A level aspect variant of Theorem 5 is recently proved in \cite[Corollary 5]{2}. One can get rid of the harmonic weight \( L(1, \text{Ad}, \pi) \) in the above second moment estimate (similarly also in Theorem 4) by using an upper bound of \( L(1, \text{Ad}, \pi) \) as in (4.4) from \cite{27}.
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2. Preliminary set-up

2.1. Basic notations. Let \( N < G \) be the maximal unipotent subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices and \( W \) be the Weyl group of \( G \). For any subgroup \( H < G \) by \([H]\) we denote \( \Gamma \cap H \setminus H \). We define an additive character of \( N \) by

\[
\psi_m(n(x)) = e \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} m_i x_{i,i+1} \right), \quad e(z) := e^{2\pi i z}, \quad n(x) = (x_{i,j})_{i,j},
\]

for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1} \). We fix some Haar measures \( dg \) and \( dn \) on \( G \) and \( N \), respectively. Correspondingly, we fix right \( G \)-invariant measures on \( X \) and \( N \setminus G \), which will again, by abuse of notations, be denoted by \( dg \). By \( \delta(g) \) we will denote that the modular character of \( G \) evaluated at \( g \).

2.2. Automorphic Forms. For details and general discussions we refer to \cite{16}. For any \( \pi \in \hat{X}_{\text{gen}} \) and \( \phi \in \pi \) we denote its Whittaker functional by

\[
W_{\phi}(g) := \int_{[N]} \varphi(ng) \overline{\psi(n)} dn.
\]

As \( \pi \) is generic there is a \( G \)-equivariant isomorphism between \( \pi \) and its Whittaker model \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) := \{ W_{\phi} \mid \phi \in \pi \} \), where \( G \) acts on \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \) by right translation.

We fix the usual \( G \)-invariant inner product on \( \pi \) induced from \( L^2(X) \). An unitary structure on \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \) can be given by (see \cite[Chapter 3]{21})

\[
(W_1, W_2)_{\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)} := \int_{N_{r-1} \setminus \text{GL}_{r-1}} W_1 \left( \begin{pmatrix} h & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{W_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} h & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)} \, dh,
\]

for \( W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \), where \( N_{r-1} \) is the maximal unipotent of the upper triangular matrices in \( \text{GL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{R}) \). We note that, by Schur’s Lemma, there exists a positive constant \( \ell(\pi) \) such that

\[
\| \phi \|^2_{\pi} = \ell(\pi) \| W_{\phi} \|^2_{\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)}.
\]

it is known that when \( \pi \) is cuspidal then \( \ell(\pi) \asymp L(1, \pi, \text{Ad}) \) where the underlying constant in \( \asymp \) is absolute (coming from the residue of a maximal Eisenstein series at 1, see \cite[p. 617]{3}).
We denote the $m$’th Hecke eigenvalue, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}$, attached to $\pi$ by $\lambda_{\pi}(m)$. So for $\varphi \in \pi$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$ we can write
\begin{equation}
(2.5) \quad \int_{[N]} \varphi(ng)\overline{\psi_m(n)}dn = \frac{\lambda_{\pi}(m)}{\delta^{1/2}(m)}W_{\varphi}(\tilde{m}g),
\end{equation}
where
$$
\tilde{m} = \text{diag}(m_1 \ldots m_{r-1}, m_1 \ldots m_{r-2}, \ldots, m_1, 1).
$$
Here $\lambda_{\pi}(m)$ is normalized so that $\lambda_{\pi}(1, \ldots, 1) = 1$ and the Ramanujan conjecture would imply that $\lambda_{\pi}(m) \asymp 1$.

2.3. **L-functions and conductor.** One can attach a global $L$-function to a $\pi \in \hat{X}_{\text{gen}}$ denoted by $L(s, \pi)$, which can be given by a Dirichlet series insome right half plane by
$$
L(s, \pi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\pi}(n, 1, \ldots, 1)}{n^s},
$$
and mermophically continued to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Every such $L$-function satisfies a functional equation as follows
\begin{equation}
(2.6) \quad L(1/2 + s, \pi) = \gamma_\infty(1/2 + s, \pi)L(1/2 - s, \tilde{\pi}),
\end{equation}
where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the contragradient of $\pi$ and $\gamma_\infty$ is the local gamma factor attached to the archimedean data attached to $\pi$. One can define the $\gamma$-factor by
$$
\gamma_\infty(1/2 + s, \pi) := \epsilon_\infty(1/2 + s, \pi)\prod_{i=1}^{r} \Gamma_R(1/2 - s + \mu_i^\infty(\tilde{\pi})) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \Gamma_R(1/2 + s + \mu_i^\infty(\tilde{\pi})),
$$
where $\epsilon_\infty$ is the $\epsilon$-factor, $\{\mu_i^\infty(\tilde{\pi})\}$ are the archimedean Langlands parameters attached to $\pi$, and $\Gamma_R(s) := \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$. Correspondingly, one can define the analytic conductor $C(\pi)$ of $\pi$ by
$$
C(\pi) := \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + |\mu_i^\infty(\tilde{\pi})|).
$$
It is known, e.g. by Stirling estimates, that
\begin{equation}
(2.7) \quad \gamma_\infty(1/2 + s, \pi) \asymp C(\pi \otimes |\det|^3(s))^{R(s)} \ll_{R(s)} C(\pi)^{R(s)}(1 + |s|)^{rR(s)},
\end{equation}
as long as $s$ is away from a pole or zero of the $\gamma_\infty$.

2.4. **Kloosterman sum.** We refer to [16] Chapter 11 and [14] for detailed discussion of Kloosterman sum on $\text{GL}(r)$. For a tuple of nonzero integers $c := (c_1, \ldots, c_{r-1})$ we denote the matrix $\text{diag}(1/c_{r-1}, c_{r-1}/c_{r-2}, \ldots, 2/c_1, c_1)$ by $c^*$. For $w \in W$ an Weyl element let $\Gamma_w := \Gamma \cap G_w$ where $G_w$ is the Bruhat cell of $G$ attached to $w$.

If, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$ and $w \in W$, the compatibility condition
$$
\psi_m(c^*wxw^{-1}c^{*-1}) = \psi_n(x), \quad x \in w^{-1}Nw \cap N,
$$
holds then the Kloosterman sum attached to \( m, n \) and moduli \( c \) is defined by
\[
S_w(m, n; c) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_w / \mathbb{w}^{-1} \Gamma_N \cap \Gamma_N} \psi_m(b_1) \psi_n(b_2),
\]
where \( \gamma = b_1 c^* w b_2 \) denotes its Bruhat decomposition (see [16, Chapter 10]). The following result is due to Friedberg, a proof can be found in [14, p.175].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( w \in W \) be any Weyl element. The compatibility condition in the definition of the Kloosterman sum is satisfied only if \( w \) is of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_d_1 \\
\vdots \\
I_d_k
\end{pmatrix}, \quad d_1 + \cdots + d_k = r,
\]
where \( I_d \) is the identity matrix of rank \( d \), i.e. \( S_w(m, n; c) \) is nonzero only for this type of Weyl element.

2.5. **Bessel distribution.** In this subsection we let \( \pi \) to be an abstract generic irreducible representation of \( G \). Let \( B(\pi) \) be an orthonormal basis of \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \). We define the Bessel distribution \( J_\pi \) attached to \( \pi \) by
\[
(2.8) \quad J_\pi(\pi) := \sum_{W \in B(\pi)} \pi(F) W(1) \overline{W(1)},
\]
for some \( F \in C_c^\infty(G) \). We refer to [10, 6, 28] and references there for general discussions about Bessel functions attached to generic representations.

**Lemma 2.2.** The RHS of (2.8) is well-defined and does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis \( B(\pi) \). Thus if \( F \) is a self-convolution of some \( f \in C_c^\infty(G) \), i.e.
\[
F(g) = \int_G f(gh) \overline{f(h)} dh,
\]
then
\[
J_\pi(\pi) = \sum_{W \in B(\pi)} |\pi(f) W(1)|^2,
\]
for \( B(\pi) \) some orthonormal basis of \( \pi \).

**Proof.** The first assertion follows from [6, Lemma 23.1, Lemma 23.3]. For the second assertion we see that the RHS of (2.8) is
\[
\sum_{W \in B(\pi)} \int_G \int_G f(gh) W(g) \overline{f(h)} W(1) dg dh = \sum_{W \in B(\pi)} \int_G f(g) W(g) dg \int_G \overline{f(h)} W(h) dh,
\]
after changing the basis to \( \{ \pi(h) W \}_{W \in B(\pi)} \), and we conclude. \( \square \)
2.6. **Pre-Kuznetsov formula.** In this subsection we will record a soft version of the Kuznetsov formula for GL($n$), as in [10].

Recall that $d\mu_{\text{aut}}$ is the automorphic Plancherel measure on $\hat{X}$ compatible with the $G$-invariant measure of $X$. We fix a test function $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. We define $F$ to be the self-convolution of $f$ as in Lemma 2.2. We consider the sum

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F(x_1^{-1} \gamma x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in G.
$$

The above sum as a function $x_2$ is left $\Gamma$-invariant, hence lives in $L^2(X)$. So we can spectrally decompose the sum as follows.

(2.9) \[ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F(x_1^{-1} \gamma x_2) = \int_{\hat{X}} \sum_{\varphi \in B(\pi)} \overline{\pi(\bar{F})\varphi(x_1)} \varphi(x_2) d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi), \]

where $B(\pi)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\pi$.

We fix $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$ and integrate both sides of (2.9) against $\psi_m(x_1)\psi_n(x_2)$ over $x_1, x_2 \in [N]$. As the non-generic part of the spectrum automatically vanishes, we obtain using (2.5) and (2.4) that

(2.10) \[ \int_{\hat{X}} \ell(\pi)^{-1} \frac{\lambda_\pi(m)\lambda_\pi(n)}{\delta^{1/2}(\bar{m}\bar{n})} \sum_{W \in B(\pi)} \overline{\pi(\bar{F})W(\bar{m})W(\bar{n})} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{[N]^2} F(x_1^{-1} \gamma x_2) \psi_m(x_1)\overline{\psi_n(x_2)} dx_1 dx_2. \]

Here we have identified $\pi$ with its Whittaker model $W(\pi)$ for generic $\pi$.

We replace $F$ in (2.10) by $F_{m,n}$ where

$$
F_{m,n}(g) := F(\bar{m}g\bar{n}^{-1}).
$$

Using Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$
\sum_{W \in B(\pi)} \overline{\pi(\bar{F})W(\bar{m})W(\bar{n})} = J_F(\pi).
$$

We replace $F$ by $F_{m,n}$ in the RHS of (2.10) as well and simplify. Doing a folding-unfolding over the $\gamma$-sum and $x_1$-integral we obtain the RHS is

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N \setminus \Gamma} \int_N \int_{[N]} F(\bar{m}x_1 \gamma x_2 \bar{n}^{-1}) \psi_m(x_1)\overline{\psi_n(x_2)} dx_1 dx_2.
$$

Note that the identity term in the above sum simplifies to

$$
\int_N F(\bar{m}x_1\bar{n}^{-1}) \psi_m(x_1) dx_1 \int_{[N]} \psi_n(x_2) \overline{\psi_m(x_2)} dx_2 = \frac{\delta_{m,n}}{\delta(\bar{m})} \int_N F(x) \psi(x).
$$
On the other hand, we do a Bruhat decomposition of $\Gamma - \Gamma_N$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_w$ which is the Bruhat cell attached to $w \in W$ we write $x_2$-integral over $[N]$ as a product of $x_{2,1}$-integral over $[N_w]$ and $x_{2,2}$-integral over $[\tilde{N}_w]$, where

$$\tilde{N}_w := w^{-1}Nw \cap N, \quad N_w := w^{-1}N^tw \cap N.$$

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N \setminus (\Gamma - \Gamma_N)} \int_N \int_{[N]} F(\tilde{m}x_1 \gamma x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1}) \psi_m(x_1) \psi_n(x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

$$= \sum_{1 \neq w \in W} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}_{\neq 0}} \sum_{\gamma = b_1 c^* w b_2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N \backslash \Gamma_{N_w}} \int_N \int_{[N_w]} \int_{[N_w]} F(\tilde{m}x_1 b_1 c^* w b_2 \theta x_{2,2} x_{2,1} \tilde{n}^{-1})$$

$$\times \psi_m(x_1) \psi_n(x_{2,1}) \psi_n(x_{2,2}) dx_{2,1} dx_{2,2} dx_{2,1} dx_{2,2}.$$

Again unfolding over the $\theta$-sum and $x_{2,2}$ integral, changing of variables, and recalling the definition of the Kloosterman sum we obtain the summand above corresponding to $w \in W$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}_{\neq 0}$ is

$$S_w(m, n; c) \int_N \int_{N_w} \int_{[N_w]} F(\tilde{m}x_1 c^* w x_{2,1} x_{2,2} \tilde{n}^{-1}) \psi_m(x_1) \psi_n(x_{2,2}) \psi_n(x_{2,1}) dx_{2,1} dx_{2,2} dx_{2,1}.$$

Now writing $x_{2,1} = x_{2,1} (c^* w)^{-1} (c^* w) \in N$ and using the compatibility condition of the Kloosterman sum we obtain the above equals to

$$S_w(m, n; c) \int_N \int_{N_w} F(\tilde{m}x_1 c^* w x_{2,1} \tilde{n}^{-1}) \psi_m(x_1) \psi_n(x_{2,1}) dx_{2,1}.$$

Finally, changing variables $x_1 \mapsto \tilde{m}x_1 \tilde{n}^{-1}$ and $x_2 \mapsto \tilde{n}x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1}$ we obtain the RHS of (2.10) is

$$\sum_{1 \neq w \in W} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}_{\neq 0}} S_w(m, n; c) \frac{\delta_w(m) \delta_w(n)}{\delta_w(\tilde{n})} \int_N \int_{N_w} F(x_1 \tilde{m}c w \tilde{n}^{-1} x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2) dx_2 dx_1.$$

Here $\delta_w(\tilde{n})$ is the Jacobian arising from the change of variable $x_2 \mapsto \tilde{n}x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1}$. We define, for $F \in C^\infty_c(G)$, the function

$$W_F(g) := \int_N F(xg) \overline{\psi(x)} dx,$$

lies in $C^\infty_c(N \setminus G, \psi)$. It can be checked that the RHS of (2.11) is absolutely convergent. Thus we obtain a version of the Kuznetsov trace formula.
Proposition 2.1. Let $F \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$. Then
\[
\int_\mathcal{X}_{\text{gen}} \lambda_\pi(m)\lambda_\pi(n) \frac{J_F(\pi)}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi) = \delta_{m=n} W_F(1)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \neq w \in W} \frac{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m})}{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{n})} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{x_0}^*} S_w(m, n; c) \int_{N_w} W_F(\tilde{m}c^x \tilde{n}^{-1}x) \overline{\psi(x)} dx,
\]
where $W_F$ and $J_F$ are as in (2.11) and (2.8), respectively.

3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

3.1. Auxiliary lemmata and Proof of Theorems 1 and 4. We start by recalling an approximate subgroup $K_0(X, \tau)$ of $G$, as in [22], where $X > 1$ tending off to infinity and $\tau > 0$ is sufficiently small but fixed. From here on we will, as usual in analytic number theory, not distinguish between $\tau$ and a fixed constant multiple for it.

(3.1)
\[K_0(X, \tau) := \text{Im}_G \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{R}) \middle| \begin{array}{c} a \in \text{GL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{R}), d \in \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{R}), \\ |a - 1_{r-1}| < \tau, |b| < \tau, \\ |c| < \frac{\tau}{X}, |d - 1| < \tau \end{array} \right\}.\]

Here, various $|.|$ denote arbitrary fixed norms on the corresponding spaces of matrices. Let $f_X$ be a smoothened $L^1$-normalized non-negative characteristic function of $f_X$ and $F_X$ be the self-convolution of $f_X$ as in Lemma 2.2. We abbreviate $J_{F_X}$ and $W_{F_X}$ as $J_X$ and $W_X$, respectively (see (2.8) and (2.11)).

Lemma 3.1. The function $J_X$ as in (2.8) is non-negative. For $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{gen}}$ if the analytic conductor $C(\pi)$ is smaller than $X$ then $J_X(\pi) \gg 1$.

Proof. Non-negativity of $J_X(\pi)$ follows from Lemma 2.2. We recall [22] Theorem 2.\footnote{Or implication of [22] Theorem 2, as generic irreducible unitary standard automorphic representations of $\text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{R})$ are $\theta$-tempered for $\theta < 1/2 - 1/(r+1)^2$ [20].} For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\tau > 0$ such that for each generic irreducible unitary standard automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G$ there exists an analytic newvector $W \in \pi$ with
\begin{itemize}
  \item $|W(g) - W(1)| < \epsilon$ for all $g \in K_0(C(\pi), \tau)$,
  \item and $W(1) \gg 1$.
\end{itemize}
Thus if $C(\pi) < X$, i.e. $K_0(C(\pi), \tau) \supseteq K_0(X, \tau)$,
\[
|\pi(f_X) W(1) - W(1)| = \int_{K_0(X, \tau)} f_X(g)(W(g) - W(1)) dg \leq \epsilon,
\]
hence $\pi(f_X) W(1) \gg 1$.

We choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}(\pi)$ containing the above analytic newvector $W$ of $\pi$. Thus by dropping all but the summand corresponding to $W$ in the expression of $J_X(\pi)$ as in Lemma 2.2 we conclude that $J_X(\pi) \gg 1$.\qed
Lemma 3.2. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\tilde{m}x_1 \gamma x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1} \in K_0(X, \tau)$ for small enough $\tau$. If $\min(|m_1 \ldots m_{r-1}|, |n_1 \ldots n_{r-1}|) \ll X$ with sufficiently small implied constant then the last row of $\gamma$ is $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$.

Proof. We write $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $r-1, 1$ block decomposition, i.e. $(c, d)$ is the bottom row of $\gamma$. Let $N := |n_1 \ldots n_{r-1}| \leq |m_1 \ldots m_{r-1}|$. From the definition of $K_0(X, \tau)$ in (3.1) we obtain that the last row of $\tilde{m}x_1 \gamma x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1}$

$$|(Xc, d)x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1} - (0, 1)| < \tau.$$  
Thus $|c| \ll \tau N/X \ll \tau$ with a sufficiently small implied constant. As coordinates of $c$ are integers for sufficiently small $\tau$ we get $c = 0$, consequently, $d = 1$.

If $|m_1 \ldots m_{r-1}| < N$ then we invert the inclusion to get

$$\tilde{n}x_2^{-1} \gamma^{-1} x_1^{-1} \tilde{m} \in K_0(X, \tau),$$
and proceed as in the previous case we obtain that the last row of $\gamma^{-1}$ is $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Hence, the same conclusion holds for $\gamma$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 3.3. Let $m, n, x_1, x_2$ be as in Lemma 3.2. Then

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma - \Gamma N} \int_{[N]^2} F_X(\tilde{m}x_1 \gamma x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1}){\overline{\psi}}_m(x_1){\overline{\psi}}_n(x_2)dx_1dx_2 = 0,$$

where $F_X$ is as defined after (3.1).

Proof. From (the proof of) Proposition 2.1 we obtain that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma - \Gamma N} \int_{[N]^2} F_X(\tilde{m}x_1 \gamma x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1}){\overline{\psi}}_m(x_1){\overline{\psi}}_n(x_2)dx_1dx_2$$

$$= \sum_{1 \neq w \in W} \frac{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{n})}{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m})\delta_w(\tilde{n})} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{r-1}} S_w(m, n; c) \int_{N_w} W_X(\tilde{m}c^* w \tilde{n}^{-1} x){\overline{\psi}}(x)dx.$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.1 we conclude that it is enough to consider $\gamma \in \Gamma - \Gamma N$ in the Bruhat cell attached to the Weyl elements $w$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & I_{d_k} \end{pmatrix}$ with $d_k < r$, which implies that the last row of $\gamma$ is not of the form $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Therefore, from Lemma 3.2 we obtain the support condition that $\tilde{m}x_1 \gamma x_2 \tilde{n}^{-1} \notin K_0(X, \tau)$ for small enough $\tau$. We conclude by noting that the support of $F_X$ is $K_0(X, \tau)$ which follows from the definition of $F_X$. \hfill \Box

Proof of Theorem 4. Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.3 imply that

$$\int_{X_{gen}} \frac{\lambda(\pi)(m)\lambda(n)}{\ell(\pi)} J_X(\pi)d\mu_{aut}(\pi) = \delta_{m=n} W_X(1).$$
Choosing $m = n = (1, \ldots, 1)$ in the Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$
\int_{\hat{X}_{gen}} J_X(\pi) d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi) = W_X(1).
$$

Finally noting that

$$
W_X(1) \asymp \text{Vol}(K_0(X, \tau)) \asymp X^{r-1},
$$

we conclude the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Using Lemma 3.1 (2.4), and artificially adding the continuous spectrum we write

$$
\sum_{C(\pi) < X} L(1, \pi, \text{Ad})^{-1} \left| \sum_{N \ll X} \alpha(n) \lambda_\pi(n) \right|^2 \ll \int_{\hat{X}_{gen}} \left| \sum_{N \ll X} \alpha(n) \lambda_\pi(n) \right|^2 \frac{J_X(\pi)}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi).
$$

We open the square above, let $M := m_1, \ldots, m_{r-1}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$, and apply Theorem 1 to obtain the RHS is

$$
\sum_{N, M \ll X} \overline{\alpha(m)} \alpha(n) \delta_{m=n} W_X(1).
$$

We conclude the proof recalling that $W_X(1) \asymp X^{r-1}$ from the proof of Theorem 1.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 5.** In this subsection we change the notation a bit. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will denote the Hecke eigenvalue $\lambda_\pi(n, 1, \ldots, 1)$ by $\lambda_\pi(n)$. Following lemma is a standard exercise using the approximate functional equation of the $L$-value. We include the argument for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $C(\pi) < X$. Then,

$$
\left| L(1/2, \pi) \right|^2 \ll_{\epsilon} X^\epsilon \int_{|t| \ll X^\epsilon} \left| \sum_{n \ll X^{1/2+\epsilon}} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{n^{1/2+\epsilon+it}} \right|^2 dt + O(X^{-A}),
$$

for all large $A > 0$.

**Proof.** We start by proving approximate functional equation as in [19]. We define

$$
H_X(s, \pi) := \frac{1}{2} X^{s/2} + \frac{1}{2} X^{-s/2} \gamma_\infty(1/2 - s, \tilde{\pi}) \gamma_\infty(1/2, \pi).
$$

Note that, $H_X(s, \pi)$ is holomorphic as $\Re(s) > 0$ and $H_X(0, \pi_\infty) = 1$. From (2.6) we can write

$$
L(1/2 + s, \pi) H_X(s, \pi) = \gamma(1/2, \pi) L(1/2 - s, \tilde{\pi}) H_X(-s, \tilde{\pi}).
$$

The estimate in (2.7) implies that

$$
H_X(s, \pi) \ll_{\Re(s)} X^{\Re(s)/2} \left( 1 + (C(\pi)/X)^{\Re(s)} (1 + |s|) O(1) \right),
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.
We choose \( h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \), with \( \tilde{h}(0) = 1 \) and \( \tilde{h}(s) = \tilde{h}(-s) \). Then by Cauchy’s theorem, and applying \( s \mapsto -s \) and global functional equation in the second equality we get
\[
L(1/2, \pi) = \int_{(1)} L(1/2 + s, \pi) H_X(s, \pi) \hat{h}(s) \frac{ds}{s} - \int_{(-1)} L(1/2 + s, \pi) H_X(s, \pi) \hat{h}(s) \frac{ds}{s}
= \int_{(1)} L(1/2 + s, \pi) H_X(s, \pi) \hat{h}(s) \frac{ds}{s} + \gamma(1/2, \pi) \int_{(1)} L(1/2 + s, \pi) H_X(s, \pi) \hat{h}(s) \frac{ds}{s}
= \sum_n \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V_1(n) + \gamma(1/2, \pi) \sum_n \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V_2(n),
\]
where
\[
V_1(n) = V_1(n; X, \pi) := \int_{(1)} n^{-s} H_X(s, \pi) \hat{h}(s) \frac{ds}{s},
\]
and \( V_2 \) has a similar definition.

Let \( C(\pi) < X \) from now on. Thus \( H_X(s, \pi) \ll X^{R(s)/2} (1 + |s|)^{O(1)} \) We first claim that the above sums can be truncated at \( n \leq X^{1/2+\epsilon} \) with an error \( O(X^{-\infty}) \). To see that we shift the contour in the defining integral of \( V_1 \) to \( K \) for some large \( K > 0 \). Thus we obtain
\[
V_1(n) \ll_K n^{-K} X^{K/2}.
\]
Consequently, using \( \lambda_\pi(n) \ll n^c \) for some fixed \( c \) (follow from e.g. [27]),
\[
\sum_{n > X^{1/2+\epsilon}} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V_1(n) \ll_K X^{K/2} \sum_{n > X^{1/2+\epsilon}} n^{-1/2-K+c} \ll_B X^{-B},
\]
for all large \( B \).

We also note that, for \( \epsilon > 0 \) small,
\[
V_1(n) = \int_{(1)} n^{-s} H_X(s, \pi) \hat{h}(s) \frac{ds}{s}
= \int_{|t| < X^\epsilon} n^{-\epsilon-it} H_X(\epsilon + it, \pi) \hat{h}(\epsilon + it) \frac{dt}{\epsilon + it} + O \left( n^{-\epsilon} X^\epsilon/2 \int_{|t| \geq X^\epsilon} |t|^{-K} \right)
= \int_{|t| < X^\epsilon} n^{-\epsilon-it} H_X(\epsilon + it, \pi) \tilde{h}(\epsilon + it) \frac{dt}{\epsilon + it} + O(n^{-\epsilon} X^{-B}).
\]
But,
\[
\sum_{n \ll X^{1/2+\epsilon}} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{n^{1/2+\epsilon}} \ll X^{(1/2+\epsilon)(1/2+c-\epsilon)}.
\]
Thus we obtain that
\[
\sum_n \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V_1(n) = \int_{|t| \ll X^\epsilon} \sum_{n \ll X^{1/2+\epsilon}} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{n^{1/2+\epsilon+it}} H_X(\epsilon + it, \pi) \tilde{h}(\epsilon + it) \frac{dt}{\epsilon + it} + O(X^{-B}),
\]
for all large \( B \).
We can do similar analysis for $V_2$ summand. Thus we get that
\[ |L(1/2, \pi)|^2 \ll \int_{|t| \ll X^\epsilon} \sum_{n \ll X^{1/2+\epsilon}} \frac{\lambda_n(n)}{n^{1/2+\epsilon+it}} H_X(\epsilon + it, \pi) \frac{\tilde{h}(\epsilon + it)}{\epsilon + it} \ dt + O(X^{-B}). \]

Finally, note that,
\[ \int_{|t| \ll X^\epsilon} H_X(\epsilon + it, \pi) \frac{\tilde{h}(\epsilon + it)}{\epsilon + it} \ dt \ll X^\epsilon. \]
Thus doing a Cauchy-Schwarz in the first term of the estimate of $|L(1/2, \pi)|^2$ above, we conclude the proof. \[ \square \]

Proof of Theorem 5. Lemma 3.4 and [22, Theorem 3] imply that
\[ \sum_{C(\pi) < X \atop \pi \text{ cuspidal}} |L(1/2, \pi)|^2 \ll X^\epsilon \int_{|t| \ll X^\epsilon} \sum_{C(\pi) < X \atop \pi \text{ cuspidal}} L(1, \text{Ad}, \pi)^{-1} \left| \sum_{n \ll X^{1/2+\epsilon} \atop n^{1/2+\epsilon+it}} \lambda_n(n) \right|^2 \ dt + O(X^{-A}). \]

Using Lemma 4 with $\alpha(n, 1, \ldots, 1) = n^{-1/2-\epsilon-it}$, for $n \ll X^{1/2+\epsilon}$ and $\alpha = 0$, otherwise, we obtain that the first sum in the RHS is bounded by $X^{r-1+\epsilon}$ and thus we conclude. \[ \square \]

4. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

4.1. Hecke theory of $\text{PGL}(r)$. Hecke theory of $\text{PGL}(r)$ can be understood in terms of the standard character theory of $\text{SU}(r)$. It is known that the irreducible representations of $\text{SU}(r)$ are in bijection with the dominant elements of $Z^r / Z(1, \ldots, 1)$ which are in bijection with the dominant elements of $Z^r_{\geq 0}$, where dominant elements of $Z^r$ are elements with non-increasing coordinates. For $\alpha \in Z^r_{\geq 1}$ a dominant vector, let $\chi_\alpha$ be the character of the (highest weight) representation of $\text{SU}(r)$ attached to $\alpha$, given by a Schur polynomial. The following Lemma, which is a theorem by Casselman–Shalika [11], gives a formula of the Hecke eigenvalues in terms of the Satake parameters.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\pi \in \hat{X}_{\text{gen}}$ with Satake parameters $\mu^p(\pi)$ at a finite prime $p$. Then
\[ \chi_\alpha(\text{diag}(p^{\mu^p(\pi)})) = \lambda_\pi(p^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}, \ldots, p^{\alpha_{r-1}-\alpha_r-2}), \]
where $\lambda_\pi$ is defined in (2.5).

Lemma 4.1 helps to linearize the monomials of Hecke eigenvalues. By decomposing the tensor product of the highest weight representations in a direct sum of irreducible representations attached to various $\alpha$ we can compute that for any multi-indices $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ and nonnegative integers $s_1, \ldots, s_k$
\[ \prod_i \lambda_\pi(p^{\alpha_i})^{s_i} = \sum_{\beta} c_\beta \lambda_\pi(p^\beta), \]
where the sum in the RHS is finite.
Proof of Theorem 2. We proceed exactly as in [35]. Using Lemma 4.1 we can embed the Satake parameters into the space of Hecke eigenvalues by mapping under elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$\rho : p^{\mu}(\pi) \mapsto (e_1(p^{\mu}(\pi)), \ldots, e_{r-1}(p^{\mu}(\pi))),$$

where $e_j$ is the $j$'th elementary symmetric polynomial. It can be checked that

$$e_j(p^{\mu}(\pi)) = \lambda_\pi(p, \ldots, p, 1, \ldots, 1).$$

Thus we may identify the space of continuous functions on $T_1/W$ as the same on the image of $\rho$ as a compact subset of $C^{r-1}$. Hence, to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to prove that for any continuous $\tilde{f}$ (e.g. composing $f$ with $\rho^{-1}$) on the image of $\rho$ one has

$$(4.2) \lim_{X \to \infty} \int_{\hat{X}_{gen}} \hat{f}(e_1(p^{\mu}(\pi)), \ldots, e_{r-1}(p^{\mu}(\pi))) \frac{J_X(\pi)}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{aut}(\pi) \to \int_{T_0/W} \tilde{f} \circ \rho(z) d\mu_{st}(z),$$

We can approximate $\tilde{f}$, by Stone–Weierstrauss, using polynomials in $z := (z_1, \ldots, z_{r-1})$ and $\bar{z}$. Thus by linearity it is enough to prove (4.2) for $\tilde{f} = z^{\alpha_1} \bar{z}^{\alpha_2}$ for some multi-indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$.

Now note that, for any multi-indices $\alpha, \beta$, from Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \int_{\hat{X}_{gen}} \lambda_\pi(p^n) \frac{J_X(\pi)}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{aut}(\pi) = \delta_{\alpha=\beta} = \int_{T_0/W} x^\alpha \overline{x}^\beta(z) d\mu_{st}(z),$$

where the last equality follows by Schur’s orthogonality of characters. Finally, using (4.1) we conclude (4.2). □

Proof of Theorem 3. We follow the proof of [2, Theorem 1]. From [2, Lemma 4] we get that for $n > r$ one has

$$(4.3) \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} |\lambda_\pi(p^{n-j}, 1, \ldots, 1)|^2 \geq (2p^{\theta(\pi)})^{2(1-r)} p^{2n\theta(\pi)}.$$  

We choose $n$ large enough such that $p^n \asymp X$ with small enough implied absolute constant (admissible by Theorem 1). Then for $\theta(\pi) > \eta$ we obtain from (4.3) that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} |\lambda_\pi(p^{n-j}, 1, \ldots, 1)|^2 \gg X^{2\eta}.$$  

On the other hand,

$$\# \{ \pi \text{ cuspidal}, C(\pi) < X \mid \theta(\pi) > \eta \} \ll X^{-2\eta} \sum_{C(\pi) < X} \sum_{\pi \text{ cuspidal}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\pi \text{ cuspidal}} |\lambda_\pi(p^{n-j}, 1, \ldots, 1)|^2.$$
Using the fact that (see [27])
\[ L(1, \text{Ad}, \pi) \ll C(\pi)\varepsilon, \]
adding the similar contributions from continuous spectrum, and using Lemma 3.1 we obtain that
\[ \#\{\pi \text{ cuspidal}, C(\pi) < X \mid \theta^p(\pi) > \eta\} \ll \varepsilon X^{-2\eta+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{gen}}} |\lambda_{\pi}(p^{r-j}, 1, \ldots, 1)|^2 \frac{J_X(\pi)}{\ell(\pi)} d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi). \]
From Theorem 1 we conclude that the RHS is \( \ll \varepsilon X^{r-1-2\eta+\varepsilon} \).

\[ \square \]

5. The Orthogonality Conjecture over a Cuspidal Spectrum

We give a variant of Theorem 1 (that is, the conjecture in (1.1)) over a cuspidal spectrum as discussed in Remark 1. We also mention that a similar techniques may be applied to prove variants of Theorem 2 over a cuspidal spectrum.

In this section we will work in the \( S \)-arithmetic setting. We fix \( p \) to be a fixed finite prime. We redefine our notations. Let \( G := \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Q}_p), \Gamma := \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) \) diagonally embedded in \( G \), and \( \mathfrak{X} := \Gamma \backslash G \). The strong approximation theorem on \( G \) implies that \( \mathfrak{X} \cong \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{A}) / \prod_{v \neq p} \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Z}_v) \),

where \( \mathbb{A} \) is the ring of adeles over \( \mathbb{Q} \). For any irreducible automorphic representation \( \pi \) of \( G \) let \( \pi_p \) and \( \pi_\infty \) be \( p \)-adic and infinite components of \( \pi \), respectively.

Let \( N \) be the unipotent subgroup in \( G \) and \( \psi_m := \psi_{m,\infty} \otimes \psi_{m,p} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]_{\neq 0}^{r-1} \) is an additive character on \( N \), where \( \psi_{m,\infty} \) is defined as in (2.1) and \( \psi_{m,p} \) is induced similarly from an unramified character \( \psi_0 \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). We abbreviate \( \psi_v = \psi_{m,v} \) for \( m = (1, \ldots, 1), v = p, \infty \).

We can realize a Whittaker model \( W(\pi_\infty, \psi_\infty) \) of \( \pi_\infty \). We define \( J_F(\pi_\infty) \) (similarly, \( J_{FX}(\pi_\infty) = J_X(\pi_\infty) \) for \( F = F_X \) as defined after (3.1)) similarly as in (2.8). \( J_X \), as usual, enjoys similar property as in Lemma 3.1. We also define \( W_F \) (similarly, \( W_{FX} = W_X \) for \( F = F_X \) as in (2.11)) with respect to the additive character \( \psi_\infty \) of \( N(\mathbb{R}) \). We provide a similar orthogonality statement as in Theorem 1 varying only over the cusp forms, as follows.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( X \) be large. Let \( \sigma \) be any fixed supercuspidal representation of \( \text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Q}_p) \). Then for \( m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1} \) coprime to \( p \) (i.e. all the coordinates are coprime to \( p \)) with
\[ \min(m_1 \ldots m_{r-1}, n_1 \ldots n_{r-1}) \ll X \]
with sufficiently small implied constant, we have
\[ \sum_{\pi \text{ cuspidal} \atop \pi_p = \sigma} \lambda_{\pi}(m) \lambda_{\pi}(n) \frac{J_X(\pi_\infty)}{L(p)(1, \pi, \text{Ad})} = \delta_{m=n} \gamma_p(1, \sigma \otimes \tilde{\sigma}) W_X(1), \]
where $\gamma_p$ is the $p$-adic $\gamma$-factor, $W_X(1) \simeq X^{r-1}$ and $L^{(p)}$ denotes the partial $L$-function excluding the Euler factor at $p$.

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 6.** As before, for a subgroup $H < G$ we define $\Gamma_H := \Gamma \cap H$. For an generic irreducible unitary automorphic representation $\pi \in \hat{X}_{\text{gen}}$ and a factorizable $\varphi \in \pi$ we may attach a Whittaker function $W_{\varphi} := W_{\varphi,p}W_{\varphi,\infty}$ to $\varphi$.

\begin{equation}
(5.1) \quad \int_{[N]} \varphi(n(g_\infty, g_p))\overline{\psi_m(n)}dn = \frac{\lambda_\pi(m_o)}{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m})\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m}_p)}W_{\varphi,p}(\tilde{m}g_p)W_{\varphi,\infty}(\tilde{m}g_\infty),
\end{equation}

where $m_p$ and $m_o$ are the $p$-adic part and the odd part of $\tilde{m}$, respectively. A similar formula as in (2.4) holds in this case as well, where in this case \[28\], §5.1.

\begin{equation}
(5.2) \quad \|W_{\varphi}\| = \|W_{\varphi,p}\|W_{\pi,\psi_p}\|W_{\varphi,\infty}\|W_{\pi,\psi,\infty},
\end{equation}

and $\ell(\pi) \simeq L^{(p)}(1, \pi, \text{Ad})$ with an absolute implied constant, if $\pi$ is cuspidal.

Let $\Phi_\sigma$ be a matrix coefficient of a supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ of $\text{PGL}_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with conductor exponent of $\sigma$ being 1. Also recall $F_X$, a smoothened $L'$-normalized characteristic function of $K_0(X, \tau)$ as in (3.1) and the discussion afterwards. Then a very similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 would yield

\begin{equation}
(5.3) \quad \int_{\hat{X}_{\text{gen}}} \frac{\lambda_\pi(m_o)\lambda_\sigma(n_o)}{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m})\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m}_p)} \frac{J_X(\pi)\sigma(n_p)}{\ell(\pi)} \frac{d\mu_{\text{aut}}(\pi)}{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m})\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m}_p)}
= \delta_{m=n}W_X(1)W_\sigma(1) + \sum_{1 \neq w \in W} \frac{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m})}{\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m})\delta^{1/2}(\tilde{m}_p)} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]^1} S_{\omega}(m, n; c)
\times \int_{N_\omega(\mathbb{R})} W_X(\tilde{m}c^*\tilde{m}^{-1}x)\overline{\psi_\omega(x)}dx \int_{N_\omega(\mathbb{Q}_p)} W_\sigma(\tilde{m}c^*\tilde{m}^{-1}x)\overline{\psi_p(x)}dx,
\end{equation}

where, similar to (2.8)

\begin{equation}
(5.4) \quad J_\sigma(\pi_p) = \sum_{W \in B(\pi_p)} \pi_p(\overline{\Phi_\sigma})W(1)\overline{W(1)},
\end{equation}

for some orthonormal basis of $B(\pi_p)$ of $\pi_p$. Similarly, as in (2.11) we define\[2\]

\begin{equation}
(5.5) \quad W_\sigma(g) = \int_{N} \Phi_\sigma(xg)\overline{\psi_p(x)}dx.
\end{equation}

\[2\]That is, if $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_{r-1})$ and $m_i = p^{k_i}m'_i$ then $m_o := (m'_1, \ldots, m'_{r-1})$ and $m_p := (p^{k_1}, \ldots, p^{k_{r-1}})$.

\[3\]We hope that readers don’t confuse between $W_\sigma$ and Whittaker functions $W$. 
5.2. **Local p-adic computation.** Let the matrix coefficient $\Phi_\sigma$ of the supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ be defined by
\[
\Phi_\sigma(g) = \langle \pi(g)W_0, W_0 \rangle_{W(\sigma, \psi_p)},
\]
where $W_0$ is an $L^2$-normalized vector in the Whittaker model $W(\sigma, \psi_p)$ of $\sigma$ with respect to $\psi_p$, with $W_0(1) \neq 0$\footnote{Such a vector exists, e.g. a newvector of $\sigma$.}

**Proposition 5.1.** Recall the definitions in \[5.4\] and \[5.5\]. Then
\[
J_\sigma(\pi_p) = \delta_{\pi_p \cong \sigma} \gamma(1, \sigma \otimes \bar{\sigma}) W_\sigma(1),
\]
where $\gamma$ denotes the local gamma factor and $\bar{\sigma}$ is the contragradient of $\sigma$.

We first prove following lemma about a Fourier transform of the matrix coefficient to prepare the proof of Proposition 5.1. The following lemma appeared in GL$_2(Q_p)$ in \[32\] Lemma 3.5, also in \[31\] Lemma 3.4.2.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $\Phi_\sigma$ be the matrix coefficient defined above. Then
\[
\int_{N(Q_p)} \Phi(ng\psi_p(n))dn = W_0(g)W_0(1).
\]

**Proof.** As $\sigma$ is supercuspidal $\Phi$ is compactly supported in PGL$_r(Q_p)$. Thus the integral is absolutely convergent. Clearly it is enough to prove that
\[
\int_{N(Q_p)} \int_{N_{r-1}(Q_p) \setminus \text{GL}_{r-1}(Q_p)} W_1 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} h & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] W_2 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} h & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \overline{\psi_p(n)} \, dh dn = W_1(1)W_2(1),
\]
for $W_1, W_2 \in W(\sigma, \psi_p)$.

We write $n = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r-1} & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $u \in N_{r-1}(Q_p)$ and $x \in Q_p^{r-1}$. Correspondingly, we write $dn = du dx$, and $\psi_p(n) = \psi_p(u)e_{r-1}x$, where $e_{r-1}$ is the row vector $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ and $\psi_p(u)$ (denoted with an abuse of notation) is the restriction of $\psi_p$ on $N_{r-1}(Q_p)$. We use unipotent equivariance of $W_1$ to re-write the last integral as
\[
\int_{N_{r-1}(Q_p) \setminus \text{GL}_{r-1}(Q_p)} W_2 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} h & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \int_{N_{r-1}(Q_p)} W_1 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} hu & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \overline{\psi_p(u)} du \times \int_{Q_p^{r-1}} \psi_0(e_{r-1}hx\overline{\psi_0(e_{r-1}x)} dx dh.
\]

By Fourier inversion the inner-most integral evaluates to $\delta_{e_{r-1}h = e_{r-1}}$ (as a distribution) and thus we can re-write the above as
\[
\int_{N_{r-2}(Q_p) \setminus \text{GL}_{r-2}(Q_p)} W_2 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} h' & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \int_{N_{r-1}(Q_p)} W_1 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} h' & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \overline{\psi_p(u)} du dh'.
\]

Proceeding similarly with the $u$-integral and inducting on $r$ we conclude the proof. \qed
Lemma 5.2. Let $W_0 \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi_p)$ with $\|W_0\|_{\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi_p)} = 1$. Then

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |W_0(g)|^2 dg = \gamma(1, \sigma \otimes \tilde{\sigma}),$$

where $\gamma$ is the local gamma factor.

Proof. Let $P$ be the maximal parabolic, attached to the partition $r = (r - 1) + 1$ in $PGL(r)$. Let $\Psi$ be a characteristic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. We define a function in $PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by

$$f_{s, \psi}(g) := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times} \Psi(te, g)|\text{det}(tg)|^s d^\times t.$$ 

We normalize $\Psi$ so that $f_{s, \psi}(1) = 1$. Clearly, $f_{s, \psi}$ is spherical (i.e. $PGL_r(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ invariant) and

$$f_{s, \psi}(\begin{pmatrix} h & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = |\text{det}(h)|^s, \quad h \in \text{GL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

We use the $PGL(r) \times PGL(r)$ local functional equation as in [24, 2.5 Theorem] to obtain

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |W_0(g)|^2 f_{s, \psi}(g) dg = \gamma(1 - s, \sigma \otimes \tilde{\sigma}) \int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |\hat{W}_0(g)|^2 f_{1-s, \psi}(g) dg,$$

where $\hat{W}_0$ is the contragradient of $W_0$ and $\hat{\Psi}$ is the Fourier transform of $\Psi$, which also equals to $\Psi$.

Using Iwasawa decomposition we write $N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p) \ni g = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} k$ where $a \in A_{r-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ the subgroup of the diagonal matrices in $\text{GL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $k \in PGL_r(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Correspondingly, we write $dg = \frac{d^\times a}{\delta(a)|\text{det}(a)|} dk$. Thus we can write the RHS of (5.6) as

$$\int_{A_{r-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times PGL_r(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |\hat{W}_0\left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} k\right)|^2 |\text{det}(a)|^{1-s} \frac{da}{\delta(a)|\text{det}(a)|} dk.$$ 

We change variable $k \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} k' \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} k$ with $k' \in \text{GL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and average over $\text{GL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (we normalize the measures so that $\text{vol}(\text{GL}_s(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = 1$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$) to obtain the above equals to

$$\int_{PGL_r(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \int_{N_{r-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus \text{GL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |\hat{W}_0\left(\begin{pmatrix} h \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} k\right)|^2 |\text{det}(h)|^{-s} dh dk.$$ 

Thus, for $s = 0$, using the invariance of the unitary product the above equals to $\|\hat{W}_0\|_{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\psi})}^2$. On the other hand, doing a similar computation as above we can obtain that, for $s = 0$, the LHS of (5.6) is

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |W_0(g)|^2 dg.$$ 

Finally, from $\|\hat{W}_0\|_{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\psi})}^2 = \|W_0\|_{\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi_p)} = 1$ we conclude the proof. \qed
Proof of Proposition 5.1. We first note that Lemma 5.1 implies that
\[ W_\sigma(1) = |W_0(1)|^2. \]
For \( W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_p, \varphi_p) \) we consider the \( GL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p) \)-invariant pairing between \( \pi_p \) and \( \sigma \) by
\[ (5.7) \quad \int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} W(g) \overline{W_0(g)} dg, \]
where \( dg \) is the projection of the Haar measure on \( GL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p) \). Note that, the integral in (5.7) is absolutely convergent as \( W_0 \) is compactly supported in \( PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mod N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) [11, Corollary 6.5].

Schur’s lemma implies that (5.7) is non-zero only if \( \pi_p \cong \sigma \) and if \( \pi_p \cong \sigma \) then (5.7) is proportional to the invariant unitary product in \( \sigma \) given by
\[ \int_{N_r^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus GL_r^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} W \left[ \begin{pmatrix} h & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \overline{W_0} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} h & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] dh. \]
Recalling (5.4) and folding the \( PGL_r \) integral over \( N \) we can write
\[ J_\sigma(\pi_p) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\pi_p)} W(1) W_0(1) \int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} W(g) \overline{W_0(g)} \int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \Phi_\sigma(ng) \psi(n) dndg. \]
Using Lemma 5.1 we obtain
\[ J_\sigma(\pi_p) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\pi_p)} W(1) W_0(1) \int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} W(g) \overline{W_0(g)} dg. \]
Hence \( J_\sigma(\pi_p) = 0 \) if \( \pi_p \) is not isomorphic to \( \sigma \), and if \( \pi_p = \sigma \), choosing an orthonormal basis \( \mathcal{B}(\sigma) \ni W_0 \) we obtain
\[ J_\sigma(\sigma) = |W_0(1)|^2 \int_{N(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus PGL_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |W_0(g)|^2 dg. \]
We conclude the proof using Proposition 5.2. □

Proof of Theorem 6. We apply (5.3) for \( m, n \) coprime with \( p \). A similar argument as in Theorem 1 would imply that all terms in the geometric side of (5.3) corresponding to non-trivial Weyl terms will vanish. We conclude the proof after applying Lemma 5.1. □
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