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#### Abstract

We generalize the family of $\alpha$-divergences using a pair of strictly comparable weighted means. In particular, we obtain the 1-divergence in the limit case $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ (a generalization of the Kullback-Leibler divergence) and the 0-divergence in the limit case $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ (a generalization of the reverse Kullback-Leibler divergence). We state the condition for a pair of quasi-arithmetic means to be strictly comparable, and report the formula for the quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergences and its subfamily of bipower homogeneous $\alpha$-divergences which belong to the Csisár's $f$-divergences. Finally, we show that these generalized quasi-arithmetic 1-divergences and 0-divergences can be decomposed as the sum of generalized cross-entropies minus entropies, and rewritten as conformal Bregman divergences using monotone embeddings.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Statistical divergences

Consider a measurable space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ (where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the $\sigma$-algebra and $\mathcal{X}$ the sample space) equipped with a positive measure $\mu$ (e.g., usually the Lebesgue measure or the counting measure). The notion of statistical dissimilarity [4] $D[P: Q]=D_{\mu}\left[p_{\mu}: q_{\mu}\right]$ between two arbitrary probability measures with Radon-Nikodym (RN) densities $p_{\mu}=\frac{\mathrm{d} P}{\mathrm{~d} \mu}$ and $q_{\mu}=\frac{\mathrm{d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} \mu}$ with respect to $\mu$ is at the core of many algorithms in signal processing, information theory, information fusion, and machine learning among others. When those statistical dissimilarities are smooth, they are called divergences [2] in the literature. The most renown statistical divergence rooted in information theory [9] is the Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{KL}_{\mu}\left[p_{\mu}: q_{\mu}\right]:=\int_{\mathcal{X}} p_{\mu}(x) \log \frac{p_{\mu}(x)}{q_{\mu}(x)} \mathrm{d} \mu(x) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the KLD is independent of the reference measure $\mu$, i.e., $\mathrm{KL}_{\mu}\left[p_{\mu}: q_{\mu}\right]=\mathrm{KL}_{\nu}\left[p_{\nu}: q_{\nu}\right]$ for $p_{\mu}=\frac{\mathrm{d} P}{\mathrm{~d} \mu}$ and $q_{\mu}=\frac{\mathrm{d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} \mu}$, and $p_{\nu}=\frac{\mathrm{d} P}{\mathrm{~d} \nu}$ and $q_{\nu}=\frac{\mathrm{d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} \nu}$ the RN derivatives with respect to another

[^0]positive measure $\nu$, we write concisely in the remainder:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{KL}[p: q]=\int p \log \frac{p}{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

instead of $\mathrm{KL}_{\mu}\left[p_{\mu}: q_{\mu}\right]$.
The KLD belongs to a parametric family of $\alpha$-divergences [7] $I_{\alpha}[p: q]$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
I_{\alpha}[p: q]:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(1-\int p^{\alpha} q^{1-\alpha} \mathrm{d} \mu\right), & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}  \tag{3}\\
I_{1}[p: q]=\operatorname{KL}[p: q], & \alpha=1 \\
I_{0}[p: q]=\operatorname{KL}[q: p], & \alpha=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The $\alpha$-divergences extended to positive densities (not necessarily normalized) play a central role in information geometry [2]:

$$
I_{\alpha}[p: q]:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(\alpha p+(1-\alpha) q-p^{\alpha} q^{1-\alpha}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}  \tag{4}\\
I_{1}[p: q]=\mathrm{KL}_{e}[p: q], & \alpha=1 \\
I_{0}[p: q]=\mathrm{KL}_{e}[q: p], & \alpha=0
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{KL}_{e}$ denotes the extended Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{KL}_{e}[p: q]:=\int\left(p \log \frac{p}{q}+q-p\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\alpha$-divergences are asymmetric for $\alpha \neq 0$ (i.e., $I_{\alpha}[p: q] \neq I_{\alpha}[q: p]$ for $\alpha \neq 0$ ) but exhibit the following reference duality [39]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}[q: p]=I_{1-\alpha}[p: q]=\left(I_{\alpha}\right)^{*}[p: q], \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denoted by $D^{*}[p: q]:=D[q: p]$, the reverse divergence for an arbitrary divergence $D$ (e.g., $I_{\alpha}^{*}[p: q]=I_{\alpha}[q: p]=I_{1-\alpha}[p: q]$ ).

The $\alpha$-divergences belong to the family of Csizár's $f$-divergences [10] which are defined for a convex function $f$ satisfying by $f(1)=0$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}[p: q]:=\int p f\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}[p: q]=I_{f_{\alpha}}[p: q], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
f_{\alpha}(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(\alpha+(1-\alpha) u-u^{1-\alpha}\right), & \alpha \in \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}  \tag{9}\\ u-1-\log u, & \alpha=1 \\ 1-u+u \log u, & \alpha=-1\end{cases}
$$

In information geometry, $\alpha$-divergences (and more generally $f$-divergences) are invariant divergences [2], and it is customary to rewrite the $\alpha$-divergences using $\alpha_{A}=1-2 \alpha$ (i.e., $\alpha=\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2}$ ). Thus the extended $\alpha_{A}$-divergence is defined by

$$
\hat{I}_{\alpha_{A}}[p: q]= \begin{cases}\frac{4}{1-\alpha_{A}^{2}} \int\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2} p+\frac{1+\alpha_{A}}{2} q-p^{\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2}} q^{\frac{1+\alpha_{A}}{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{-1,1\}  \tag{10}\\ \hat{I}_{1}[p: q]=\mathrm{KL}_{e}[p: q], & \alpha=1 \\ \hat{I}_{-1}[p: q]=\mathrm{KL}_{e}[q: p], & \alpha=-1\end{cases}
$$

and the reference duality is expressed by $\hat{I}_{\alpha_{A}}[q: p]=\hat{I}_{-\alpha_{A}}[p: q]$.
A statistical divergence $D[\cdot: \cdot]$ when evaluated on densities belonging to a given parametric family $\mathcal{P}=\left\{p_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta\right\}$ of densities are equivalent to a corresponding contrast function [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\theta_{1}: \theta_{2}\right):=D\left[p_{\theta_{1}}: p_{\theta_{2}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although quite confusing, those contrast functions have also been called recently divergences in the literature [2]. Thus to disambiguate whether the divergence is a statistical divergence or a parameter divergence (i.e., contrast function), we choose to use the brackets for encapsulating arguments in statistical divergences and the parenthesis to encapsulate parameter arguments in divergences which are contrast functions.

A smooth divergence $D\left(\theta_{1}: \theta_{2}\right)$ induces a dualistic structure in information geometry [2]. For example, the KLD on the family $\Delta$ of probability mass functions on a finite alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ with equivalent contrast function a Bregman divergence induces a dually flat space [2]. More generally, the $\alpha_{A}$-divergences on the probability simplex $\Delta$ induce the $\alpha_{A}$-geometry.

The $\alpha$-divergences are widely used in information sciences, see [3, 8, 38, 22, 17, 1] just to cite a few applications. The singly-parametric $\alpha$-divergences have also been generalized to bi-parametric families of divergences like the $(\alpha, \beta)$-divergences [2] or the $\alpha \beta$-divergences [37].

In this work, based on the observation that the term $\alpha p+(1-\alpha) q-p^{\alpha} q^{1-\alpha}$ (in the extended $I_{\alpha}[p: q]$ divergence for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ of Eq. 4) is a difference between a weighted arithmetic mean $A_{1-\alpha}(p, q):=\alpha p+(1-\alpha) q$ and a weighted geometric mean $G_{1-\alpha}(p, q):=p^{\alpha} q^{1-\alpha}$, we investigate a generalization of $\alpha$-divergences with respect to a pair of abstract strictly comparable weighted means [25].

### 1.2 Divergences and decomposable divergences

A statistical divergence $D$ shall satisfy the following two axioms:
D1. non-negativity. $D[p: q] \geq 0$ for all densities $p$ and $q$,
D2. identity of indiscernibles. $D[p: q]=0$ if and only if $p=q \mu$-almost everywhere.
These axioms are a subset of the metric axioms since we do not consider the symmetry axiom nor the triangular inequality axiom of metric distances. See [14] for some common examples of probability metrics (e.g., total variation or Wasserstein metrics).

A divergence $D[p: q]$ is said decomposable [2] when it can be written as an integral of a scalar divergence $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D[p: q]=\int d(p(x): q(x)) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $D[p: q]=\int d(p: q) \mathrm{d} \mu$ for short.
The $\alpha$-divergences are decomposable divergences since we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}[p: q]=\int i_{\alpha}(p(x): q(x)) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the following scalar $\alpha$-divergence:

$$
i_{\alpha}(a: b):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b-a^{\alpha} b^{1-\alpha}\right), & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}  \tag{14}\\ i_{1}(a: b)=a \log \frac{a}{b}+b-a & \alpha=1 \\ i_{0}(a: b)=i_{1}(b: a), & \alpha=0\end{cases}
$$

### 1.3 Contributions and paper outline

The outline of the paper and the contributions are summarized as follows:
We define the generic $\alpha$-divergences in $\S_{2}$ for two families of strictly comparable means (Definition (2). Then section 2.2 reports a closed-form formula (Theorem (3) for the quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergences induced by two strictly comparable quasi-arithmetic means with monotonically increasing generators $f$ and $g$ such that $f \circ g^{-1}$ is strictly convex and differentiable. In §2.3, we study the divergences $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ obtained in the limit cases when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, respectively. We obtain generalized Kullback-Leibler divergences when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ and generalized reverse Kullback-Leibler divergences when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, which can be factorized as generalized cross-entropies minus entropies. In 42.4, we show how to express these generalized $I_{1}$-divergences and $I_{0}$-divergences as conformal Bregman representational divergences and briefly explain their induced conformally flat statistical manifolds. Section 3 explicits the subfamily of bipower homogeneous $\alpha$-divergences which belong to the family of Csiszár $f$-divergences [10]. Finally, Section 4 summarizes the work and present several opportunities for future research directions.

## 2 The $\alpha$-divergences based on a pair of means

### 2.1 The abstract $(M, N) \alpha$-divergences

The point of departure for generalizing the $\alpha$-divergences is to rewrite Eq. 4 for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}[p: q]=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(A_{1-\alpha}(p: q)-G_{1-\alpha}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\lambda}$ and $G_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in(0,1)$ stands for the weighted arithmetic and geometric means, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\lambda}(x, y) & =(1-\lambda) x+\lambda y, \\
G_{\lambda}(x, y) & =x^{1-\lambda} y^{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose the convention $A_{0}(x, y)=x$ and $A_{1}(x, y)=1$ so that $\left\{A_{\lambda}(x, y)\right\}_{\lambda \in[0,1]}$ smoothly interpolates between $x(\lambda=0)$ and $y(\lambda=1)$.

In general, a mean $M(x, y)$ aggregates two values $x$ and $y$ of an interval $I$ to produce an intermediate quantity which satisfies the innerness property [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{x, y\} \leq M(x, y) \leq \max \{x, y\}, \quad \forall x, y \in I \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A mean is said strict if the inequalities of Eq. 16 are strict whenever $x \neq y$. A mean $M$ is said reflexive iff $M(x, x)=x$ for all $x \in I$. In the remainder, we consider $I=(0, \infty)$. By using the unique dyadic representation of any real $\lambda \in(0,1)$ (i.e., $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{i}}{2^{i}}$ with $d_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, a binary digit), one can build a weighted mean $M_{\lambda}$ from any given mean $M$, see [25] for such a construction.

By analogy to the $\alpha$-divergences, let us define the (decomposable) $(M, N) \alpha$-divergences for a pair of weighted means $M_{1-\alpha}$ and $N_{1-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]:=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(M_{1-\alpha}(p: q)-N_{1-\alpha}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ordinary $\alpha$-divergences for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ are recovered as the $(A, G) \alpha$-divergences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{A, G}[p: q]=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(A_{1-\alpha}(p: q)-G_{1-\alpha}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu=I_{1-\alpha}[p: q]=I_{\alpha}[q: p]=I_{\alpha}^{*}[p: q] . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to define generalized $\alpha$-divergences satisfying the axioms D1 and D2 of proper divergences, we need to characterize the class of acceptable means. We give a definition strengthening the notion of comparable means in [25]:

Definition 1 (Strictly comparable weighted means). A pair ( $M, N$ ) of means are said strictly comparable whenever $M_{\lambda}(x, y) \leq N_{\lambda}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in(0, \infty)$ with equality if and only if $x=y$, and for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$.

For example, the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means states that $A(x, y) \geq G(x, y)$ : Means $A$ and $G$ are comparable, denoted by $A \geq G$. Furthermore, the arithmetic and geometric weighted means are distinct whenever $x \neq y$ : Indeed, consider the equation $(1-\alpha) x+\alpha y=x^{1-\alpha} y^{\alpha}$ for $x, y>0$ and $x \neq y$. By taking the logarithm on both sides, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log ((1-\alpha) x+\alpha y)=(1-\alpha) \log x+\alpha \log y . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the logarithm is a strictly convex function, the only solution is $x=y$. Thus $(A, G)$ is a pair of strictly comparable weighted means.

For a weighted mean $M$, define $\bar{M}_{\alpha}(x, y):=M_{1-\alpha}(x, y)$. We are ready to state the definition of generalized $\alpha$-divergences:
Definition $2\left((M, N) \alpha\right.$-divergences). The $(M, N) \alpha$-divergences $I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]$ between two positive densities $p$ and $q$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ is defined for a pair of strictly comparable weighted means $M_{\alpha}$ and $N_{\alpha}$ with $M_{\alpha} \geq N_{\alpha}$ by:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q] & :=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(M_{1-\alpha}(p: q)-N_{1-\alpha}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu  \tag{20}\\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha}(p: q)-\bar{N}_{\alpha}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\alpha=\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2}$, we can rewrite this divergence as

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{I}_{\alpha_{A}}^{M, N}[p: q] & :=\frac{4}{1-\alpha_{A}^{2}} \int\left(M_{\frac{1+\alpha_{A}}{2}}(p: q)-N_{\frac{1+\alpha_{A}}{2}}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu,  \tag{22}\\
& =\frac{4}{1-\alpha_{A}^{2}} \int\left(\bar{M}_{\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2}}(p: q)-\bar{N}_{\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2}}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

A weighted mean $M_{\alpha}$ is said symmetric iff $M_{\alpha}(x, y)=M_{1-\alpha}(y, x)$. When both the weighted means $M$ and $N$ are symmetric, we have the following reference duality 39]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]=I_{1-\alpha}^{M, N}[q: p] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider symmetric means in the remainder.
In the limit cases of $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ or $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we define the 0 -divergence $I_{0}^{M, N}[p: q]$ and the 1-divergence $I_{1}^{M, N}[p: q]$, respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{0}^{M, N}[p: q] & =\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]  \tag{25}\\
I_{1}^{M, N}[p: q] & =\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]=I_{0}^{M, N}[q: p] \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that those limits exist.

### 2.2 The quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergences

A quasi-arithmetic mean (QAM) is defined for a continuous and strictly monotonic function $f$ : $I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow J \subset \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{f}(x, y):=f^{-1}\left(\frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Function $f$ is called the generator of the quasi-arithmetic mean. These strict and reflexive quasiarithmetic means are also called Kolmogorov means [19], Nagumo means [23] or de Finetti means [11], or quasi-linear means [15] in the literature. These means are called quasi-arithmetic means because they can be interpreted as arithmetic means on the arguments $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(M^{f}(x, y)\right)=\frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}=A(f(x), f(y)) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

QAMs are strict, reflexive and symmetric means.
Without loss of generality, we assume strictly increasing functions $f$ instead of monotonic functions since $M^{-f}=M^{f}$. Indeed, $M^{-f}(x, y)=(-f)^{-1}\left(-f\left(M_{f}(x, y)\right)\right)$ and $\left((-f)^{-1} \circ(-f)\right)(u)=u$, the identity function. Notice that the composition $f_{1} \circ f_{2}$ of two strictly monotonic increasing functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is a strictly monotonic increasing function. Furthermore, we consider $I=J=(0, \infty)$ in the remainder since we apply these means on positive densities. Two quasi-arithmetic means $M^{f}$ and $M^{g}$ coincide if and only if $f(u)=a g(u)+b$ for some $a>0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ see [15]. The quasi-arithmetic means were considered in the axiomatization of the entropies by Rényi to define the $\alpha$-entropies (see Eq. 2.11 of [36]).

By choosing $f_{A}(u)=u, f_{G}(u)=\log u$ or $f_{H}(u)=\frac{1}{u}$, we obtain the Pythagorean's arithmetic $A$, geometric $G$, and harmonic $H$ means, respectively:

- the arithmetic mean (A): $A(x, y)=\frac{x+y}{2}=M^{f_{A}}(x, y)$,
- the geometric mean $(\mathrm{G}): G(x, y)=\sqrt{x y}=M^{f_{G}}(x, y)$, and
- the harmonic mean $(\mathrm{H}): H(x, y)=\frac{2}{\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}}=\frac{2 x y}{x+y}=M^{f_{H}}(x, y)$.

More generally, choosing $f_{P_{r}}(u)=u^{r}$, we obtain the parametric family of power means (also called Hölder means [16]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}(x, y)=\left(\frac{x^{r}+y^{r}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}=M^{f_{P_{r}}}(x, y), \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get a smooth family of power means, we define the geometric mean in the limit case of $r \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(x, y)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} P_{r}(x, y)=G(x, y)=\sqrt{x y} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the positively homogeneous quasi-arithmetic means, i.e. $M^{f}(\lambda a, \lambda b)=\lambda M^{f}(a, b)$ for $\lambda>0$, coincide exactly with the family of power means. The weighted QAMs are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.M_{\alpha}^{f}(p, q)=f^{-1}((1-\alpha) f(p)+\alpha f(q))\right)=f^{-1}(f(p)+\alpha(f(q)-f(p)))=M_{1-\alpha}^{f}(q, p) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The logarithmic mean $L(x, y)$ for $x>0$ and $y>0$ :

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{y-x}{\log y-\log x}
$$

is an example of a homogeneous mean (i.e., $L(\lambda x, \lambda y)=\lambda L(x, y)$ for any $\lambda>0)$ that is not a QAM. Besides the family of QAMs, there exist many other families of means [5: For example, let us mention the Lagrangean means [18] which intersect with the QAMs only for the arithmetic mean, or a generalization of the QAMs called the the Bajraktarević means [35].

Let us strengthen a recent theorem of [21] (Theorem 1, 2010):
Theorem 1 (Strictly comparable weighted QAMs). The pair ( $M^{f}, M^{g}$ ) of quasi-arithmetic means obtained for two strictly increasing generators is strictly comparable provided that $f \circ g^{-1}$ is strictly convex.

Proof. Since $f \circ g^{-1}$ is strictly convex, it is convex, and therefore it follows from Theorem 1 of [21] that $M_{\alpha}^{f} \geq M_{\alpha}^{g}$ for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$. Thus the very nice property of QAMs is that $M^{f} \geq M^{g}$ implies that $M_{\alpha}^{f} \geq M_{\alpha}^{g}$ for any $\alpha \in[0,1]$.

Now, let us consider the equation $M_{\alpha}^{f}(p, q)=M_{\alpha}^{g}(p, q)$ for $p \neq q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}((1-\alpha) f(p)+\alpha f(q))=g^{-1}((1-\alpha) g(p)+\alpha g(q)) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \circ g^{-1}$ is assumed strictly convex, and $g$ is strictly increasing, we have $g(p) \neq g(q)$ for $p \neq q$, and we reach the following contradiction:

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\alpha) f(p)+\alpha f(q) & =\left(f \circ g^{-1}\right)((1-\alpha) g(p)+\alpha g(q)),  \tag{33}\\
& <(1-\alpha)\left(f \circ g^{-1}\right)(g(p))+\alpha\left(f \circ g^{-1}\right)(g(q)),  \tag{34}\\
& <(1-\alpha) f(p)+\alpha f(q) . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $M_{\alpha}^{f}(p, q) \neq M_{\alpha}^{g}(p, q)$ for $p \neq q$, and $M_{\alpha}^{f}(p, q)=M_{\alpha}^{g}(p, q)$ for $p=q$.
Note that the $(A, G) \alpha$-divergences (i.e., the ordinary $\alpha$-divergences) is a proper divergence satisfying both the properties D1 and D2 because $f_{A}(u)=u$ and $f_{G}(u)=\log u$, and hence $\left(f_{A} \circ\right.$ $\left.f_{G}^{-1}\right)(u)=\exp (u)$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Let us denote by $I_{\alpha}^{f, g}[p: q]:=I_{\alpha}^{M^{f}, M^{g}}[p: q]$ the quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergences. Since the QAMs are symmetric means, we have $I_{\alpha}^{f, g}[p: q]=I_{1-\alpha}^{f, g}[q: p]$.

### 2.3 Limit cases of 1-divergences and 0-divergences

We seek a closed-form formula of the limit divergence $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} I_{\alpha}^{f, g}[p: q]$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
Lemma 1. A first-order Taylor approximation of the quasi-arithmetic mean [30] $M_{\alpha}^{f}$ for a $C_{1}$ strictly increasing generator $f$ when $\alpha \simeq 0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha}^{f}(p: q)=p+\frac{\alpha(f(q)-f(p))}{f^{\prime}(p)}+o(\alpha(f(q)-f(p))) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By taking the first-order Taylor expansion of $f^{-1}(x)$ at $x_{0}$ (i.e., Taylor polynomial of order 1), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(x)=f^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+o\left(x-x_{0}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the property of the derivative of an inverse function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{\left(f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}\right)(x)\right)}, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that the first-order Taylor expansion of $f^{-1}(x)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(x)=f^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) \frac{1}{\left(f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}+o\left(x-x_{0}\right) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging $x_{0}=f(p)$ and $x=f(p)+\alpha(f(q)-f(p))$, we get a first-order approximation of the weighted quasi-arithmetic mean $M_{\alpha}^{f}$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha}^{f}(p, q)=p+\frac{\alpha(f(q)-f(p))}{f^{\prime}(p)}+o(\alpha(f(q)-f(p))) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the following bivariate function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{f}(p, q):=\frac{f(q)-f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain closed-form formula for the $I_{1}$-divergence and $I_{0}$-divergence:
Theorem 2 (Quasi-arithmetic $I_{1}$-divergence and $I_{0}$-divergence). The quasi-arithmetic $I_{1}$-divergence induced by two strictly increasing and differentiable functions $f$ and $g$ such that $f \circ g^{-1}$ is strictly convex is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q]=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} I_{\alpha}^{f, g}[p: q)\right] & =\int\left(E_{f}(p, q)-E_{g}(p, q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \geq 0,  \tag{42}\\
& =\int\left(\frac{f(q)-f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(q)-g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $I_{0}^{f, g}[p: q]=I_{1}^{f, g}[q: p]$.

Proof. Let us prove that $I_{1}^{f, g}$ is a proper divergence satisfying axioms D1 and D2. Note that a sufficient condition for $I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q] \geq 0$ is to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{f}(p, q) & \geq E_{g}(p, q)  \tag{44}\\
\frac{f(q)-f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)} & \geq \frac{g(q)-g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

If $p=q \mu$-a.e. then clearly $I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q]=0$. Consider $p \neq q$ (i.e., at some observation $x$ : $p(x) \neq q(x))$.

We shall use the following property of a strictly convex and differentiable function $h$ for $x<y$ (sometimes called the chordal slope lemma, see [25]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(x) \leq \frac{h(y)-h(x)}{y-x} \leq h^{\prime}(y) . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $h(x)=\left(f \circ g^{-1}\right)(x)$ so that $h^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(x)\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(x)\right)}$. There are two cases to consider:

- $p<q$ and therefore $g(p)<g(q)$. Let $y=g(q)$ and $x=g(p)$ in Eq. 46. We have $h^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f^{\prime}(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}$ and $h^{\prime}(y)=\frac{f^{\prime}(q)}{g^{\prime}(q)}$, and the double inequality of Eq. 46 becomes

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)} \leq \frac{f(q)-f(p)}{g(q)-g(p)} \leq \frac{f^{\prime}(q)}{g^{\prime}(q)}
$$

Since $g(q)-g(p)>0$ and $g^{\prime}(p)>0$ and $f^{\prime}(p)>0$, we get

$$
\frac{g(q)-g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)} \leq \frac{f(q)-f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)}
$$

- $q<p$ and therefore $g(p)>g(q)$. Then the double inequality of Eq. 46 becomes

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(q)}{g^{\prime}(q)} \leq \frac{f(q)-f(p)}{g(q)-g(p)} \leq \frac{f^{\prime}(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}
$$

That is,

$$
\frac{f(q)-f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)} \geq \frac{g(q)-g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}
$$

since $g(q)-g(p)<0$.
Thus in both cases, we checked that $E_{f}(p(x), q(x)) \geq E_{g}(p(x), q(x))$. Therefore $I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q] \geq 0$ and since the QAMs are distinct $I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q]=0$ iff $p(x)=q(x) \mu$-a.e.

We can interpret the $I_{1}$ divergences as generalized KL divergences, and define generalized notions of cross-entropies and entropies. Since the KL divergence can be written as the cross-entropy minus the entropy, we can also decompose the $I_{1}$ divergences as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q] & =\int\left(\frac{f(q)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(q)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu-\int\left(\frac{f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu,  \tag{47}\\
& =h_{\times}^{f, g}(p: q)-h^{f, g}(p), \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{\times}^{f, g}(p: q)$ denotes the $(f, g)$-cross-entropy (for a constant $\left.c \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\times}^{f, g}(p: q)=\int\left(\frac{f(q)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(q)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu+c, \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h^{f, g}(p)$ stands for the $(f, g)$-entropy (self cross-entropy):

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{f, g}(p)=h_{\times}^{f, g}(p: p)=\int\left(\frac{f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu+c . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the generalized $(f, g)$-Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{KL}_{f, g}[p: q]:=h_{\times}^{f, g}(p: q)-h^{f, g}(p) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f=f_{A}$ and $g=f_{G}$, we resolve the constant to $c=0$, and recover the ordinary Shannon cross-entropy and entropy:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{\times}^{f_{A}, f_{G}}(p: q)=\int(q-p \log q) \mathrm{d} \mu=h_{\times}(p: q),  \tag{52}\\
& h^{f_{A}, f_{G}}(p: q)=h_{\times}^{f_{A}, f_{G}}(p: p)=\int(p-p \log p) \mathrm{d} \mu=h(p), \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

and we have the $\left(f_{A}, f_{G}\right)$-Kullback-Leibler divergence that is the extended Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{KL}_{f_{A}, f_{G}}[p: q]=\mathrm{KL}_{e}[p: q]=h_{\times}(p: q)-h(p)=\int\left(p \log \frac{p}{q}+q-p\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have the $(f, g)$-cross-entropy and $(f, g)$-entropy expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\times}^{f, g}(p: q) & =\int\left(\frac{f(q)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(q)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu  \tag{55}\\
h^{f, g}(p) & =\int\left(\frac{f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, we can define the $(f, g)$-Jeffreys' divergence as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{f, g}[p: q]=\mathrm{KL}^{f, g}[p: q]+\mathrm{KL}^{f, g}[q: p] . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we define the quasi-arithmetic mean $\alpha$-divergences as follows:
Theorem 3 (Quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergences). Let $f$ and $g$ be two strictly continuously increasing and differentiable functions on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f \circ g^{-1}$ is strictly convex. Then the quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergences induced by $(f, g)$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$ is

$$
I_{\alpha}^{f, g}[p: q]= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(M_{1-\alpha}^{f}(p: q)-M_{1-\alpha}^{g}(p: q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\} .  \tag{58}\\ I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q]=\int\left(\frac{f(q)-f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(q)-g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu & \alpha=1, \\ I_{0}^{f, g}[p: q]=I_{1}^{f, g}(q: p)=\int\left(\frac{f(p)-f(q)}{f^{\prime}(q)}-\frac{g(p)-g(q)}{g^{\prime}(q)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha=0 .\end{cases}
$$

When $f(u)=f_{A}(u)=u\left(M^{f}=A\right)$ and $g(u)=f_{G}(u)=\log u\left(M^{g}=G\right)$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{A, G}[p: q]=\int\left(q-p-p \log \frac{q}{p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu=\mathrm{KL}_{e}[p: q]=I_{1}[p: q] \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD) extended to positive densities, and $I_{0}=\mathrm{KL}_{e}^{*}$ the reverse extended KLD.

We can rewrite the $\alpha$-divergence $I_{\alpha}^{f, g}[p: q]$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{f, g}[p: q]=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(S_{1-\alpha}^{f}(p: q)-S_{1-\alpha}^{g}(p: q)\right), \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}^{h}(p: q):=\int M_{\lambda}^{h}(p: q) \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Zhang 39] (p. 188-189) considered the $\left(A, M^{\rho}\right) \alpha_{A}$-divergences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha}^{\rho}[p: q]=\frac{4}{1-\alpha^{2}} \int\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2} p+\frac{1+\alpha}{2} q-\rho^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \rho(p)+\frac{1+\alpha}{2} \rho(q)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula he obtained for $D_{ \pm 1}^{\rho}(p: q)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}^{\rho}[p: q]=\int\left(p-q-\left(\rho^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(\rho(q))(\rho(p)-\rho(q))\right) \mathrm{d} \mu=D_{-1}^{\rho}[q: p] \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in accordance with our generic formula of Eq. 42] since $\left(\rho^{-1}(x)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-1}(x)\right)}$. Notice that $A_{\alpha} \geq P_{\alpha}^{r}$ for $r \leq 1$ : The arithmetic weighted mean dominates the weighted power means $P^{r}$ when $r \leq 1$.

Furthermore, by imposing the homogeneity condition $I_{\alpha}^{A, M^{\rho}}[\lambda p: \lambda q]=\lambda I_{\alpha}^{A, M^{\rho}}[p: q]$ for $\lambda>0$, Zhang [39] obtained the class of the $\left(\alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right)$-divergences for $\left(\alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right) \in[-1,1]^{2}$ :
$D_{\alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}}[p: q]=\frac{4}{1-\alpha_{A}^{2}} \frac{2}{1+\beta_{A}} \int\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2} p+\frac{1+\alpha_{A}}{2} q-\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{2} p^{\frac{1-\beta_{A}}{2}}+\frac{1+\alpha_{A}}{2} q^{\frac{1-\beta_{A}}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta_{A}}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu$.

### 2.4 Generalized KL divergences as conformal Bregman divergences on monotone embeddings

We can rewrite the generalized KLDs $I_{1}^{f, g}$ as a conformal Bregman representational divergence 32, [33, 34] as follows:

Theorem 4. The generalized KLDs $I_{1}^{f, g}$ divergences are conformal Bregman representational divergences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q]=\int \frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)} B_{F}(g(q): g(p)) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $F=f \circ g^{-1}$ a strictly convex and differentiable Bregman convex generator.

Proof. For the Bregman strictly convex and differentiable generator $F=f \circ g^{-1}$, we expand the following conformal divergence:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)} B_{F}(g(q): g(p)) & =\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)}\left(F(g(q))-F(g(p))-(g(q)-g(p)) F^{\prime}(g(p))\right)  \tag{66}\\
& =\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)}\left((f(q)-f(p))-(g(q)-g(p)) \frac{f^{\prime}(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)}\right) \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\left(g^{-1} \circ g\right)(x)=x$ and $F^{\prime}(g(x))=\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)} B_{F}(g(q): g(p)) & =\frac{f(q)-f(p)}{f^{\prime}(p)}-\frac{g(q)-g(p)}{g^{\prime}(p)},  \tag{68}\\
& =E_{f}(p, q)-E_{g}(p, q)=I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q] . \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we easily check that $I_{1}^{f, g}[p: q]=\int \frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)} B_{F}(g(q): g(p)) \mathrm{d} \mu \geq 0$ since $f^{\prime}(p)>0$ and $B_{F} \geq$ 0.

In general, for a functional generator $f$ and a strictly monotonic representational function $r$, we can define the representational Bregman divergence [29] $B_{f \circ r^{-1}}(r(p): r(q))$ provided that $F=f \circ r^{-1}$ is a Bregman generator (i.e., strictly convex and differentiable).

In [30], a generalization of the Bregman divergences was obtained using the comparative convexity induced by two abstract means $M$ and $N$ to define ( $M, N$ )-Bregman divergences as limit of scaled $(M, N)$-Jensen divergences. The skew ( $M, N$ )-Jensen divergences are defined for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J_{F, \alpha}^{M, N}(p: q)=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(N_{\alpha}(F(p), F(q))\right)-F\left(M_{\alpha}(p, q)\right)\right), \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\alpha}$ and $N_{\alpha}$ are weighted means that should be regular [30] (i.e., homogeneous, symmetric, continuous and increasing in each variable). Then we can define the ( $M, N$ )-Bregman divergence as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{F}^{M, N}[p: q] & =\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1^{-}} J_{F, \alpha}^{M, N}(p: q), \\
& \left.=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(N_{\alpha}(F(p), F(q))\right)-F\left(M_{\alpha}(p, q)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula obtained in [30] for the quasi-arithmetic means $M^{f}$ and $M^{g}$ and a functional generator $F$ that is $\left(M^{f}, M^{g}\right)$-convex is:

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{F}^{f, g}(p: q) & =\frac{g(F(p))-g(F(q))}{g^{\prime}(F(q))}-\frac{f(p)-f(q)}{f^{\prime}(q)} F^{\prime}(q),  \tag{71}\\
& =\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(F(q))} B_{g \circ F \circ f^{-1}}(f(p): f(q)) \geq 0 . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a conformal divergence [32] that can be written using the $E_{f}$ terms as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{F}^{f, g}(p: q)=E_{g}(F(q), F(p))-E_{f}(q, p) F^{\prime}(q) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $F$ is $\left(M^{f}, M^{g}\right)$-convex iff $g \circ F \circ f^{-1}$ is (ordinary) convex 30].

The information geometry induced by a Bregman divergence (or equivalently by its convex generator) is a dually flat space [2, 27]. The dualistic structure induced by a conformal Bregman representational divergence is related to conformal flattening [33, 34].

Following the work of Ohara [33, 34], the geometric divergence $\rho(p, r)$ (a contrast function in affine differential geometry) induced by a pair $(L, M)$ of strictly monotone smooth functions between two distributions $p$ and $r$ of the $d$-dimensional probability simplex $\Delta_{d}$ is defined by (Eq. 28 in [33]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(p: r)=\frac{1}{\Lambda(r)} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{L\left(p_{i}\right)-L\left(r_{i}\right)}{L^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{\Lambda(r)} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} E_{L}\left(r_{i}, p_{i}\right) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{1}{L^{\prime}\left(p_{i}\right)} p_{i}$. Affine immersions [20] can be interpreted as special embeddings.
Let $\rho$ be a divergence (contrast function) and $\left({ }^{\rho} g,{ }^{\rho} \nabla,{ }^{\rho} \nabla^{*}\right)$ be the induced statistical manifold structure with

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{i j}(p) & :=-\left.\left(\partial_{i}\right)_{p}\left(\partial_{j}\right)_{p} \rho(p, q)\right|_{q=p}  \tag{75}\\
\Gamma_{i j, k}(p) & :=-\left.\left(\partial_{i}\right)_{p}\left(\partial_{j}\right)_{p}\left(\partial_{k}\right)_{q} \rho(p, q)\right|_{q=p}  \tag{76}\\
\Gamma_{i j, k}^{*}(p) & :=-\left.\left(\partial_{i}\right)_{p}\left(\partial_{j}\right)_{q}\left(\partial_{k}\right)_{q} \rho(p, q)\right|_{q=p} \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\partial_{i}\right)_{s}$ denotes the tangent vector at $s$ of a vector field $\partial_{i}$.
Consider a conformal divergence $\rho_{\kappa}(p: q)=\kappa(q) \rho(p: q)$ for a positive function $\kappa(q)>0$, called the conformal factor. Then the induced statistical manifold [12, 2] ( $\left.\rho_{\kappa} g,{ }^{\rho_{\kappa}} \nabla,{ }^{\rho_{\kappa}} \nabla^{*}\right)$ is 1-conformally equivalent to $\left({ }^{\rho} g,{ }^{\rho} \nabla,{ }^{\rho} \nabla^{*}\right)$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\kappa} g & =\kappa^{\rho} g  \tag{78}\\
\rho_{g}\left({ }^{\rho_{\kappa}} \nabla_{X} Y, Z\right) & ={ }^{\rho} g\left({ }^{\rho} \nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-d(\log \kappa)(Z)^{\rho} g(X, Y) \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

The dual affine connections ${ }^{\rho_{\kappa}} \nabla^{*}$ and ${ }^{\rho} \nabla^{*}$ are projectively equivalent [20] (and ${ }^{\rho} \nabla^{*}$ is said -1 conformally flat).

Conformal flattening [33, 34] consists in choosing the conformal factor $\kappa$ such that $\left({ }^{\rho_{\kappa}} g,{ }^{\rho_{\kappa}} \nabla,{ }^{\rho_{\kappa}} \nabla\right)$ becomes a dually flat space [2] equipped with a canonical Bregman divergence.

Therefore it follows that the statistical manifolds induced by the 1-divergence $I_{1}^{f, g}$ is a representational 1-conformally flat statistical manifold.

## 3 The subfamily of homogeneous ( $r, s$ )-power $\alpha$-divergences

In particular, we can define the $(r, s)$-power $\alpha$-divergences from two power means $P_{r}=M^{\text {pow }_{r}}$ and $P_{s}=M^{\text {pow }_{s}}$ with $r>s$ (and $P_{r} \geq P_{s}$ ) with the family of generators pow ${ }_{l}(u)=u^{l}$. Indeed, we check that $f_{r s}(u):=\operatorname{pow}_{r} \circ \operatorname{pow}_{s}^{-1}(u)=u^{\frac{r}{s}}$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$ since $f_{r s}^{\prime \prime}(u)=\frac{r}{s}\left(\frac{r}{s}-1\right) u^{\frac{r}{s}-2}>0$ for $r>s$. Thus $P_{r}$ and $P_{s}$ are two QAMs which are both comparable and distinct. Table 1 lists the expressions of $E_{r}(p, q):=E_{\text {pow }_{r}}(p, q)$ obtained from the power mean generators $\operatorname{pow}_{r}(u)=u^{r}$.

We conclude with the definition of the $(r, s)$-power $\alpha$-divergences:
Corollary 1 (power $\alpha$-divergences). Given $r>s$, the $\alpha$-power divergences are defined for $r>s$ and $r, s \neq 0$ by

$$
I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[p: q]= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(\left(\alpha p^{r}+(1-\alpha) q^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}-\left(\alpha p^{s}+(1-\alpha) q^{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}  \tag{80}\\ I_{1}^{r, s}[p: q]=\int\left(\frac{q^{r}-p^{r}}{r p^{r-1}}-\frac{q^{s}-p^{s}}{s p^{s-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu & \alpha=1 \\ I_{0}^{r, s}[p: q]=I_{1}^{r, s}(q: p) & \alpha=0\end{cases}
$$

| Power mean | $E_{r}(p, q)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $P_{r}(r \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$ | $\frac{q^{r}-p^{\prime}}{r^{r}-1}$ |
| $Q(r=2)$ | $\frac{q^{2}-p^{2}}{2 p}$ |
| $A(r=1)$ | $q-p$ |
| $G(r=0)$ | $p \log \frac{q}{p}$ |
| $H(r=-1)$ | $-p^{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)=p-\frac{p^{2}}{q}$ |

Table 1: Expressions of the terms $E_{r}$ for the family of power means $P_{r}, r \in \mathbb{R}$.
When $r=0$, we get the following power $\alpha$-divergences for $s<0$ :

$$
I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[p: q]= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(p^{\alpha} q^{1-\alpha}-\left(\alpha p^{s}+(1-\alpha) q^{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\} .  \tag{81}\\ I_{1}^{r, s}[p: q]=\int\left(p \log \frac{q}{p}-\frac{q^{s}-p^{s}}{s p^{s-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu & \alpha=1, \\ I_{0}^{r, s}[p: q]=I_{1}^{r, s}[q: p] & \alpha=0 .\end{cases}
$$

When $s=0$, we get the following power $\alpha$-divergences for $r>0$ :

$$
I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[p: q]= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(\left(\alpha p^{r}+(1-\alpha) q^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}-p^{\alpha} q^{1-\alpha}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\} .  \tag{82}\\ I_{1}^{r, s}[p: q]=\int\left(\frac{q^{r}-p^{r}}{p^{r-1}}-p \log \frac{q}{p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu & \alpha=1, \\ I_{0}^{r, s}[p: q]=I_{1}^{r, s}[q: p] & \alpha=0 .\end{cases}
$$

In particular, we get the following family of $(A, H) \alpha$-divergences:

$$
I_{\alpha}^{A, H}[p: q]=I_{\alpha}^{1,-1}[p: q]=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(\alpha p+(1-\alpha) q-\frac{p q}{\alpha q+(1-\alpha) p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\} .  \tag{83}\\
I_{1}^{1,-1}[p: q]=\int\left(q-2 p+\frac{p^{2}}{q}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu & \alpha=1, \\
I_{0}^{1,-1}[p: q]=I_{1}^{1,-1}(q: p) & \alpha=0 .
\end{array},\right.
$$

and the family of $(G, H) \alpha$-divergences:

$$
I_{\alpha}^{G, H}[p: q]=I_{\alpha}^{0,-1}(p: q)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(p^{\alpha} q^{1-\alpha}-\frac{p q}{\alpha q+(1-\alpha) p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\} .  \tag{84}\\
I_{1}^{0,-1}[p: q]=\int\left(p \log \frac{q}{p}-p+\frac{p^{2}}{q}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu & \alpha=1, \\
I_{0}^{0,-1}[p: q]=I_{1}^{0,-1}[q: p] & \alpha=0 .
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The $(r, s)$-power $\alpha$-divergences for $r, s \neq 0$ yield homogeneous divergences: $I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[\lambda p: \lambda q]=$ $\lambda I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[p: q]$ for any $\lambda>0$ because the power means are homogeneous: $P_{\alpha}^{r}(\lambda x, \lambda y)=\lambda P_{\alpha}^{r}(x, y)=$ $\lambda x P_{\alpha}^{r}\left(1, \frac{y}{x}\right)$. Thus the $I_{\alpha}^{r, s}$-divergences are Csiszár $f$-divergences 10

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[p: q]=\int p(x) f_{r, s}\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the generator

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r, s}(u)=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(P_{\alpha}^{r}(1, u)-P^{s}(1, u)\right) . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the family of $(r, s)$-power $\alpha$-divergences are homogeneous divergences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[\lambda p: \lambda q]=\lambda I_{\alpha}^{r, s}[p: q], \quad \forall \lambda>0 \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Conclusion, discussion and perspectives

For two comparable strict means $M \geq N$, one can define the ( $M, N$ )-divergence as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{M, N}[p: q]:=4 \int(M(p, q)-N(p, q)) \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the property of strict comparable means extend to their induced weighted means $M_{\alpha}$ and $N_{\alpha}$ (i.e., $M_{\alpha} \geq N_{\alpha}$ ), one can further define the ( $M, N$ ) $\alpha$-divergences for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]:=\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \int\left(M_{1-\alpha}(p, q)-N_{1-\alpha}(p, q)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $I^{M, N}[p: q]=I_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M, N}[p: q]$. When the weighted means are symmetric, the reference duality holds (i.e., $I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[q: p]=I_{1-\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]$ ), and we can define the ( $M, N$ )-equivalent of the KullbackLeibler divergence, i.e., the ( $M, N$ ) 1-divergence, as the limit case (when it exists): $I_{1}^{M, N}[p: q]=$ $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} I_{\alpha}^{M, N}[p: q]$.

We proved that the quasi-arithmetic weighted means $M_{\alpha}^{f}$ and $M_{\alpha}^{g}$ are strictly comparable whenever $f \circ g^{-1}$ is strictly convex. In the limit cases of $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we reported a closed-form formula for the equivalent of the Kullback-Leibler divergence and the reverse Kullback-Leibler divergence. We reported closed-form formula for the quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergences $I_{\alpha}^{f, g}(p: q)$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$ (Theorem 3), and for the subfamily of homogeneous $(r, s)$-power $\alpha$-divergences $I_{\alpha}^{r, s}(p: q)$ induced by power means (Corollary (1). The ordinary $(A, G) \alpha$-divergences, the $(A, H) \alpha$-divergences and the $(G, H) \alpha$-divergences are examples of $(r, s)$-power $\alpha$-divergences for $(r, s)=(1,0),(r, s)=$ $(1,-1)$ and $(r, s)=(0,-1)$, respectively.

Generalized $\alpha$-divergences may prove useful in reporting closed-form formula between parametric densities: For example, consider the ordinary $\alpha$-divergences between two scale Cauchy densities $p_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s_{1}}{x^{2}+s_{1}^{2}}$ and $p_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s_{2}}{x^{2}+s_{2}^{2}}$ : There is no obvious closed-form for the ordinary $\alpha$-divergences but we can report easily a closed-form for the $(A, H) \alpha$-divergences following the calculus reported in [26]:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\alpha}^{A, H}\left[p_{1}: p_{2}\right] & =\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(1-\int H_{1-\alpha}\left(p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)\right),  \tag{90}\\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(1-\frac{s_{1} s_{2}}{\left(\alpha s_{1}+(1-\alpha) s_{2}\right) s_{1-\alpha}}\right), \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

with $s_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha s_{1} s_{2}^{2}+(1-\alpha) s_{2} s_{1}^{2}}{\alpha s_{1}+(1-\alpha) s_{2}}}$. In general, instead of considering ordinary $\alpha$-divergences in applications, one may consider the ( $r, s$ )-power $\alpha$-divergences, and tune the three parameters $(r, s, \alpha)$ according to the various tasks (say, by cross-validation in supervised machine learning tasks). We note that the quasi-arithmetic means have been recently considered by Eguchi et al. [13] to define a novel non-parametric dualistic structure of information geometry via generalizations of the $e$-geodesics and the $m$-geodesics.

The elucidation the $(f, g) \alpha$-geometry of these generalized $\alpha$-divergences is left for future work. For the limit cases of $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ or of $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we proved that the limit KL-type divergences amount to a conformal Bregman divergence on a monotone embedding, and briefly showed the connection with conformal divergences and conformal flattening. The geometry of conformal flattening [33, 34 ] and the relationships with the $(\rho, \tau)$-monotone embeddings [24] shall be further studied.

Applications of $(f, g) \alpha$-divergences to center-based clustering [31] and to generalized $\alpha$-divergences in positive-definite matrix spaces [2] shall also be considered in future work. The quasi-arithmetic weighted means are convex if and only if the generators are differentiable with positive first derivatives with corresponding functions $-E_{f}$ of Eq. 41 convex (Theorem 4 of 6], i.e., convexity of the quasi-arithmetic weighted means does not depend on the weights). For example, when both quasiarithmetic means are convex means, the quasi-arithmetic $\alpha$-divergence is the difference of two convex mean functions, and the $k$-means centroid computation amounts to solve a Difference of Convex (DC) program which can solved by the smooth DC Algorithm, DCA, called the ConvexConCave Procedure [28]. Similarly, when $\alpha \in\{0,1\}$, we get a DC program since $I_{\alpha}^{f, g}$ writes as a difference of convex functions.
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