

ASTROPHYSICAL STOCHASTIC GRAVITATIONAL WAVE BACKGROUND

J.A. de Freitas Pacheco

Université de la Côte d’Azur - Observatoire de la Côte d’Azur

06304 Nice Cedex - France

keywords: gravitational waves, supernovas, magnetars, compact binaries

February 19, 2024

Abstract

The stochastic gravitational wave background produced by supernovas, magnetars and merger of binaries constituted by a pair of compact objects is reviewed and updated. The merger of systems composed by two black holes dominates by far the background signal, whose amplitude in the range $10-100\text{ Hz}$ is above the sensitivity of the planned Einstein laser interferometer (ET). The background signal at 25 Hz estimated by the LIGO-VIRGO collaboration, based on the available merger detection data, is in good agreement with the present theoretical predictions.

1 Introduction

The astrophysical stochastic gravitational wave background is the consequence of the superposition of a large number of unresolved sources formed along the history of the universe. The gravitational wave spectrum of such a background contains information about the origin and evolution of the sources, the history of the cosmic star formation and the evolution of the initial mass function (IMF) of the progenitors. Prediction of such a spectrum permits also the identification of the best frequency windows where searches for a relic cosmological stochastic background should be done.

One of the characteristics of the astrophysical background is the so-called “duty cycle” D , which is defined by the ratio between the typical duration $\Delta\tau$ of a single burst and the average time between two events τ_s . Introducing the frequency of events $f_s = 1/\tau_s$, the duty cycle condition can be written as

$$D = \int_0^{z_*} (1+z) \Delta\tau f_s(z) dz \quad (1)$$

where the factor $(1+z)$ was introduced to take into account the time dilation. The upper limit z_* represents the critical redshift beyond which background becomes truly continuous and fixed by the condition $D \geq 1$. In order to illustrate this point, in the case where the gravitational signal is originated from the merger of two neutron stars, the critical redshift is $z_* \sim 0.25$ [27], the precise value depending on the adopted cosmic star formation rate (CSFR) and the masses of the components. According to those authors, sources present in the redshift interval $0.03 < z < 0.25$ give a duty cycle $D \approx 0.1$, producing a cosmic noise dubbed “pop-corn”. Clearly, for lower redshifts, the sources are expected to be detected individually as it was the case of the source *GW170817* and of the candidates *S190425z*, *S190426c*.

Another important property of the astrophysical background is its spectrum defined by the dimensionless parameter $\Omega_{gw}(\nu_0)$, which is related to the energy flux of gravitational waves per frequency interval F_{ν_0} by the equation

$$\Omega_{gw}(\nu_0) = \frac{1}{\rho_{cr}c^3} \nu_0 F_{\nu_0} \quad (2)$$

In the equation above ν_0 is the gravitational wave frequency at the observer frame and $\rho_{cr} = 3H_0^2/8\pi G$ is the critical matter density required to close the universe. As usually, H_0 is the present value of the Hubble parameter.

Possible sources of gravitational waves able to produce a stochastic background are core collapse supernovas, rotating neutron stars deformed by strong magnetic fields (magnetars) and the merger of compact binaries constituted either by two black holes, two neutron stars or one black hole and one neutron star (see, for instance, [22] for a review). Predictions of the gravitational wave background originated from these potential sources depend on two basic aspects: the first is the physical mechanism by the which the gravitational radiation is generated and the second is the CSFR that fixes the formation rate of sources. Detection methods of such a background were recently revised by [7].

In the present contribution the gravitational wave background generated by the aforementioned sources will be reviewed and updated with respect to previous estimates.

2 The cosmic star formation rate

Since the CSFR plays a fundamental rôle in estimates of the astrophysical background of gravitational waves, some basic aspects concerning this quantity are here reviewed.

Multi-wavelength surveys performed either with the Hubble or Spitzer space telescopes as well as with different large ground-based instruments permitted the discovery of galaxies at redshifts as large as $z = 9.1$ [13], suggesting that the onset of the star formation activity may have been triggered about 250 Myr after the Big Bang. The beginning of the star formation process could have occurred even earlier ($z \sim 17$) if EDGES observations [4] will be confirmed in the future by independent data.

In general, the star formation rate is estimated from indicators, among others the luminosity of the stellar continuum or that of the $H\alpha$ line emission. However all these indicators are affected by dust obscuration and corrections may lead to discrepant rates found in the literature for $z > 3 - 4$ (see, for instance, [19, 16, 15]). However, far-infrared data obtained with the Spitzer space telescope permit the CSFR to be well determined for redshift $z \leq 1$.

Here the adopted relation describing the CSFR is a compromise between the fit of low-redshift data where dust obscuration corrections are more trustful and the fit of high-redshift simulated data by [12, 11], which explains quite well the photometric properties of galaxies. Such a relation as a function of the redshift is given by

$$R_*(z) = \frac{(0.0103 + 0.12z)}{[1 + (z/4)^{2.8}]} M_{\odot} Mpc^{-3} yr^{-1} \quad (3)$$

In figure 1 the adopted fit is compared with data collected from the literature [14, 15]. Notice that the fit is quite good for $z \leq 3$ but overestimates the more uncertain data at higher z . However such a smaller slope in the CSFR for $z > 3$ is necessary to explain observations suggesting the onset of star formation activity at $z \sim 9$ (or even higher) as mentioned previously. Moreover, the CSFR modelled by eq.3 describes quite well the type II supernova rate per unit of volume as a function of the redshift and the ionization optical depth measured by Planck in the CMB.




Figure 1: Cosmic star formation rate defined by eq.3 (red line) compared with data available in the literature.

3 Gravitational waves from type II supernova

Stars having masses in the range $9 \leq M/M_{\odot} \leq 50$ become unstable at the end of their lives, undergo the gravitational collapse and explode leaving a neutron star remnant. Gravitational waves are emitted during the collapsing phase and during the bounce of the outer layers of the stellar envelope on the hard neutron-rich core formed in the very first instants of the process.

Early investigations on the contribution of supernovas to the astrophysical gravitational wave background were performed by [10], who concluded that the resulting spectrum is nearly flat in the frequency interval $1.5 - 2.5 \text{ kHz}$, having an amplitude $\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-11} - 10^{-12}$. They concluded also that the expected duty cycle for supernovas is $D < 1$, indicating that the background is not continuous but being of the "pop-corn" type. The contribution of supernovas to the astrophysical gravitational background was also considered by [6]. These authors found a near flat spectrum at frequencies lower than those estimated by Ferrari et al. (1999), that is in the interval $100 - 1000 \text{ Hz}$ with a comparable amplitude, i.e., $\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-12}$. In the past decades a considerable effort has been done to improve our knowledge on the physics describing the collapse of a star and the associated emission of gravitational radiation. [9] performed relativistic calculations of the gravitational collapse of rotating stars and of the gravitational waves emitted during the process. They computed a grid of 26 models, assuming that the initial star configuration is described by a 4/3-polytropic. The post-bounce phase, in which the outer layers of the envelope are ejected by momentum transfer from neutrinos, was considered by [21], who showed that the gravitational wave frequency in this particular phase evolves from about 100 Hz up to $300 - 400 \text{ Hz}$. In reference [18] fully 3D relativistic core collapse computations were performed for a $15 M_{\odot}$ non-rotating star, testing three different equations of state for the nuclear matter. They found that gravitational waves with frequencies $\sim 100 - 200 \text{ Hz}$ are a typical signature for the standing accretion shock instability (SASI). According to [2], for non-rotating stars, the emission of gravitational waves in the collapsing phase depends on whether the post-shock is dominated by SASI or convection, since the SASI activity produces a stronger signal due to asymmetric mass motions. It is worth mentioning that the evolution of the gravitational wave frequency of the radiation emitted just after the bounce was computed by [28] in the framework of a relativistic linear perturbation theory.

In order to compute the background spectrum due to supernovas eq.2 will be used, taking into account




Figure 2: Gravitational energy spectrum from core collapse supernova. The dashed line represents the Newtonian result while the continuous curve represents the relativistic results (from [9])

that the wave frequency ν in the observer frame and that in the rest frame ν_0 are related by $\nu = (1+z)\nu_0$. Let $dE/d\nu_0$ be the energy spectrum of gravitational radiation (in the observer frame) emitted during the collapsing phase and λ_{SN} be the fraction by mass of the CSFR that will give origin to supernova progenitors. This is equivalent to say, if the lifetime of the progenitors can be neglected, that the supernova rate per unit co-moving volume at a given redshift is simply given by $\nu_{SN}(z) = \lambda_{SN}R_*(z)$. Under these conditions, the expected gravitational wave flux at the observer frame is

$$F_{\nu_0} = \lambda_{SN} \int_0^{z_{max}} \frac{1}{4\pi d_L^2} \frac{dE}{d\nu} R_*(z) \frac{dV}{dz} dz \quad (4)$$

In the equation above d_L is the luminosity distance, and the element of comoving volume dV is

$$dV = 4\pi r^2 \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{dz}{E(\Omega_i, z)} \quad (5)$$

where r is the proper distance, the term $E(\Omega_i, z)$ depends on the cosmology and for the standard Λ CDM model we have

$$E(\Omega_i, z) = \sqrt{\Omega_V + \Omega_m(1+z)^3} \quad (6)$$

where Ω_V is the equivalent cosmological constant density parameter and Ω_m is the matter (dark + baryonic) density parameter.

The value of the parameter λ_{SN} can be estimated either from the fit of the expected supernova rate per unit of volume $\nu_{SN}(z)$ with the available data or from the integral of the IMF in the mass range $9 - 50 M_\odot$. Both methods give concordant results and indicate a value of $\lambda_{SN} = 5.7 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot^{-1}$. For the energy distribution, the model A3B3G1 by [9] was adopted. This model is characterized by a central density at bounce of $3.5 \times 10^{14} g.cm^{-3}$ and a bounce timescale of $95 ms$. The corresponding energy distribution is shown in figure 2. Replacing the above relation into eq.2 one obtains numerically

$$\Omega_{gw}(\nu_0)h^2 = 3.1 \times 10^{-4} \nu_0 \int_0^{z_{max}} \frac{R_*(z)}{(1+z)^2} \left(\frac{dE}{d\nu} \right) \frac{dz}{E(\Omega_i, z)} \quad (7)$$

where h is the Hubble parameter in units of $100 km.s^{-1}.Mpc^{-1}$. The value of z_{max} depends on the considered frequency ν_0 and on the maximum frequency of the gravitational waves emitted in the process that for the adopted model is about $6 kHz$. Hence, $z_{max} = (6 kHz/\nu_0) - 1$. Figure 3 shows




Figure 3: Gravitational wave background spectrum due to core collapse supernovas

the resulting spectrum computed under the conditions above. Simple inspection of figure 3 shows that the background spectrum of gravitational waves due to supernovas has a broad maximum around 300 Hz , being compatible with the results of [6] but with an amplitude one order of magnitude lower, i.e., $\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-13}$.

4 Gravitational waves from magnetars

The possibility that rotating tri-axial neutron stars could generate a continuous background was investigated two decades ago by [25]. In their investigation, the authors assumed that neutron stars have an average ellipticity $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ and adopted CSFR relations quite distinct from eq.3. They concluded that the resulting background has a maximum around 0.9 – 1.5 kHz and an amplitude of $\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-11} - 10^{-9}$, which depends not only on the adopted CSFR but also on the adopted maximum rotation frequency of the neutron star at birth. Later, the same authors considered a sub-population of neutron stars (magnetars) distorted by strong magnetic fields [26], hereafter RP06). They found that the resulting background spectrum has a broad maximum around 1.2 kHz with an amplitude $\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-9}$, if neutron stars are supposed to have a type I superconducting interior.

Here these estimates are revisited with two main differences: the first is the CSFR that is now given by eq.3 and the second corresponds to the abandon of the superconducting interior model, what reduces by few orders of magnitude the amplitude of the background signal, since the resulting ellipticities are considerably smaller. In order to compute the background spectrum, the same procedure as before will be adopted. Hence, the energy spectrum will be first computed as

$$\frac{dE}{d\nu} = \frac{dE}{dt} \bigg| \frac{dt}{d\nu} \bigg| \quad (8)$$

In the equation above, the term dE/dt represents the quadrupole gravitational energy emission rate by the rotating neutron star. However, the dominant mechanism by which the star loses angular momentum is the magnetic dipole radiation (if the ellipticity is lower than 10^{-4}). Thus, the evolution of the rotation frequency is controlled by this mechanism. Under these conditions, eq.8 can be recast




Figure 4: Gravitational wave background spectrum due to magnetars

as

$$\frac{dE}{d\nu} = K(B) \nu^3, \quad (9)$$

where the parameter $K(B)$ (function of the magnetic field) is defined as

$$K(B) = \frac{384\pi^4}{5c^2} \frac{GI_{zz}^3}{R^6 B^2} \varepsilon_B^2 \quad (10)$$

where I_{zz} is the moment of inertia along the rotation axis, R is the average neutron star radius, B is magnetic field at the surface and ε_B is the ellipticity produced by the internal magnetic stresses. The other symbols having their usual meaning. If the neutron star is distorted essentially by magnetic stresses, the ellipticity can be expressed as [17]

$$\varepsilon_B = g \frac{R^4 B^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{GM^2} \quad (11)$$

where M is the mass of the neutron star, α is the angle between the rotation and the magnetic dipole axes and g is a dimensionless parameter that depends on the equation of state and on the internal magnetic field configuration. Here the value $g = 13$ will be adopted since it is more adequate for non-superconductive neutron star models. It is assumed that the dipole inclination angle α has a random orientation.

We assume also that the formation rate of neutron stars is equal to that of core collapse supernovas but only a fraction having magnetic fields higher than 10^{14} G are considered “magnetars”. Let $dp(B)/dB$ the normalized magnetic field distribution of neutron stars (see RP06). According to the population synthesis simulations by [24], the true magnetic field distribution of pulsars (not the observed one) can be represented by a log-normal of mean $\log B = 13.0$ (in Gauss) and with a dispersion $\sigma_{\log B} = 0.8$. Consequently, the formation rate of magnetars per comoving volume and per unit of magnetic field strength can be written as

$$\frac{dR_{mag}}{dB} = \lambda_{SN} \frac{dp(B)}{dB} R_*(z) \quad (12)$$

With these definitions, the expected gravitational wave flux results to be

$$F_{\nu_0} = \lambda_{SN} \frac{c}{H_0} \nu_0^3 \int_0^{z_{max}} \frac{(1+z) R_*(z)}{E(\Omega_i, z)} dz \int_{B_c}^{\infty} K(B) \frac{dp(B)}{dB} dB \quad (13)$$

In the one side, the upper limit in the first integral is estimated by taking the minimum rotation period of pulsars as being $P_o = 0.8 \text{ ms}$. Recalling that the gravitational wave frequency is twice the rotation frequency, this implies that $z_{max} = (2.5 \text{ kHz}/\nu_0) - 1$. On the other side, the lower limit of the second integral is taken to be $B_c = 10^{14} \text{ G}$, the lower field limit characterizing magnetars. Replacing eq.13 into eq.2 one obtains

$$\Omega_{gw} h^2 = 5.12 \times 10^{-24} \nu_0^4 \int_0^{z_{max}} \frac{(1+z)R_*(z)}{E(\Omega_i, z)} dz \quad (14)$$

In the numerical calculations, a "canonical" neutron star model was assumed, i.e., having the following parameters: $M = 1.4 M_\odot$, $R = 10 \text{ km}$ and $I_{zz} = 1.4 \times 10^{45} \text{ g.cm}^2$. The resulting spectrum computed from eq.14 is shown in figure 4. Again, a broad maximum is seen around 1.3 kHz but with an amplitude ($\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-12}$) smaller than that previously estimated by RP06.

5 Gravitational waves from binary mergers

The merger of binary systems composed by two compact objects (two neutron stars, two black holes or one neutron star and one black hole) are among the most important sources of gravitational waves in the universe. However, predictions of the amplitude of generated background signal are still quite uncertain mainly because estimates of merger rates are not robust. An additional difficulty concerns the evolution timescale of the binary system since its formation up to the beginning of the inspiral phase dominated by the radiation of gravitational waves. The first or the nuclear fuel phase, depends essentially on the mass of the progenitors (including processes of mass loss and mass exchange) and lasts until the formation of a dynamically stable binary constituted by two compact objects. The former phase is characterized by a timescale t_{min} whereas the later or the inspiral phase depends essentially on the masses of the compact objects and on their separation at the moment of their formation. In order to characterize such a phase, we introduce the probability distribution per unit of time $P(\tau)$, which measures the fraction of mergers occurring at redshift z in a timescale τ defined by the time interval between the instant at which the two compact objects appear and the instant they merge together. Hence, the merger rate $\rho_{b,i}$ is obtained by the convolution between the probability distribution $P(\tau)$ and the CSFR. Merger simulations of binaries constituted by a neutron star pair indicate that $P(\tau) \propto 1/\tau$ ([8], hereafter PRVS06). This probability distribution will be adopted here for the composition of all pairs. Under these assumptions, the merger rate per unit of comoving volume for a given pair composition " i " is

$$\rho_{b,i} = \lambda_{b,i} \int_{z_c(z)}^{\infty} R_*(z') P(\tau(z', z)) \left| \frac{dt}{dz'} \right| dz' \quad (15)$$

where $\lambda_{b,i}$ is the fraction by mass of formed stars producing a pair of massive stars that remain bounded after exhaustion of their nuclear fuel. The time interval between the formation of the compact objects at redshift z' and their merger at redshift z is calculated as

$$\tau(z', z) = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_z^{z'} \frac{dx}{(1+x)E(\Omega_i, x)} \quad (16)$$

The lower limit $z_c(z)$ of the integral in eq.15 is related to the average timescale of the nuclear evolution of the progenitors and should be calculated from the integral equation

$$t_{min} H_0 = \int_z^{z_c(z)} \frac{dx}{(1+x)E(\Omega_i, x)}. \quad (17)$$




Figure 5: Gravitational wave background spectrum due to the merger of compact pairs: BH-BH (pink line), NS-BH (green line) and NS-NS (blue line). For comparison, the spectrum of supernovae and magnetars are also plotted. The sensitivity curve of the planned ET laser antenna in its D-xylophone version is also shown. The gravitational background amplitude at 25 Hz estimated by the LIGO-VIRGO team is equally shown in the plot

In the case of a binary constituted by two neutron stars, the parameter $\lambda_{b,NSNS}$ is estimated from the value of the local ($z = 0$) merger rate, that is $612 \text{ Gpc}^{-3}.\text{yr}^{-1}$ (PRVS06). This value agrees quite well with a recent independent estimate by [20], who derived a local NS-NS merger rate of $591 \text{ Gpc}^{-3}.\text{yr}^{-1}$. For binaries having a different pair composition, relative rates based on population synthesis [3] or on cosmological simulations were adopted [20]. The final relative rates used in the present calculations were: $(NS - BH)/(NS - NS) = 0.12$ and $(BH - BH)/(NS - NS) = 0.19$.

The maximum gravitational wave frequency corresponds approximately to twice the orbital frequency of the last stable orbit and it can be estimated from the expression [5]

$$\nu_{max} = 4397(1 + 0.316\eta) \left[\frac{M_{\odot}}{(M_1 + M_2)} \right] Hz \quad (18)$$

where M_1 and M_2 are the masses of the components of the pair in solar units and the parameter η is defined by $\eta = \mu/M$ or, in other words, by the ratio between the reduced mass μ and the total mass M of the system. For neutron star pairs, both components were assumed to have masses equal to $1.4 M_{\odot}$. Consequently, the maximum emitted frequency is about 1690 Hz. For systems having a black hole, simulations were performed by assuming that the probability for the progenitor to have a mass M_* is given by IMF and that the minimal mass of the progenitor is $50 M_{\odot}$. The black hole mass M_{bh} relates to that of the progenitor by $M_{bh} = \alpha M_*$ with $\alpha = 0.2$. At higher z or at lower metallicities the IMF becomes more flatter favouring the formation of more massive stars and more massive black holes. The median of the resulting maximum frequency distributions derived from these simulations are 300 Hz for binaries having one neutron star and one black hole and 170 Hz for binaries constituted by two black holes.

The last point to be considered is the energy spectrum of the emitted waves. In the quadrupolar approximation, assuming circular orbits one obtains for energy spectrum

$$\frac{dE}{d\nu} = K_m \nu^{-1/3} \quad (19)$$

where the parameter K_m is defined by

$$K_m = \frac{(G\pi)^{2/3}}{3} \frac{M_1 M_2}{(M_1 + M_2)^{1/3}} \quad (20)$$

Similar simulations as those used to estimate maximum frequencies were used in order to compute the median values of K_m . These are respectively for NS-NS, NS-BH and BH-BH pairs, 5.2×10^{50} , 2.9×10^{51} and 2.3×10^{52} (in $erg.Hz^{-2/3}$).

From the relations above, the expected flux at the observer frame is

$$F_{\nu_0,i} = \frac{K_{m,i}}{H_0} \frac{c}{\nu_0^{1/3}} \int_0^{z_{max}} \frac{\rho_{b,i}}{(1+z)^{7/3}} \frac{dz}{E(\Omega_i, z)} \quad (21)$$

where again, for each pair composition $z_{max} = (\nu_{max,i}/\nu_0) - 1$.

Finally, the spectrum for each binary composition was computed from eq.2, using the flux given by eq.21. The results are plotted in figure 5 together with the spectra of supernovas and magnetars for comparison. As expected, the most important astrophysical background signal is due to the merger of BH-BH pairs that has a maximum around 100 Hz with an amplitude of $\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-9}$ while the merger of NS-BH pairs produce a signal with a maximum near 150 Hz and an amplitude 25 times lower. NS-NS mergers produce a background signal whose maximum is about 900 Hz and the amplitude is $\Omega_{gw} \sim 3 \times 10^{-10}$. This should be compared with the investigation by [23], who found a maximum around 500 Hz with an amplitude of $\Omega_{gw} \sim 7 \times 10^{-10}$.

6 Conclusions

In this work the astrophysical stochastic background of gravitational waves was revisited with emphasis on the contribution of supernovas, magnetars and merger of binaries constituted by compact objects. Special care was taken in the choice of the CSFR that combines data from lower redshifts with simulated data at high z .

The revised contribution of supernovas and magnetars indicates amplitudes lower than previous estimates and considerably smaller than the signal produced by the merger of binaries including neutron stars and/or black holes, which are the most important sources of the astrophysical stochastic background.

It should be emphasized that the planned gravitational wave telescope Einstein in its D-xylophone version has a sensitivity adequate to detect the background signal originated from mergers of binaries and in particular from that produced by BH-BH pairs, the dominant component. Using the existent information based on detected merger events, the LIGO-VIRGO collaboration [1] has estimated an amplitude of $\Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-9}$ at 25 Hz , which is quite compatible with the theoretical estimates here presented.

References

- [1] Abbott, B. et al. 2018, (LIGO-VIRGO Scientific Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett., 120, 091101.
- [2] Andresen, H., Muller, B., Muller, E. & Janka, H.-T. 2017, Mon.Not.R.Astron.Soc., 468, 2032.
- [3] Belczynski, K., Taam, R., Kalogera, V., Rasio, F. & Bulik, T. 2006, Astrophys.J., 662, 504.

- [4] Bowman, J., Rogers, A., Monsalve, R., Mozden, T. & Mahesh, N. 2018, *Nature*, 555, 67.
- [5] Buonanno, A., & Damour, T. 1999, *Phys.Rev. D*, 59, 084006.
- [6] Buonanno, A., Sigla, G., Raffelt, G., Janka, H.-T. & Muller, E. 2005, *Phys.Rev. D*, 72, 084001.
- [7] Cusin, G., Dvorkin, I., Pitrou, C. & Uzan, J.-P. 2019, *arXiv:astro-ph/1904.07797*.
- [8] de Freitas Pacheco, J., Regimbau, T., Vincent, S. & Spallici, A. 2006, (PRVS06), *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 15, 235.
- [9] Dimmelmeier, H., Font, J. & Muller, E. 2002, *Astron.& Astrophys.*, 393, 523.
- [10] Ferrari, V., Matarrese, S. & Schneider, R. 1999, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 303, 247.
- [11] Filloux, C., de Freitas Pacheco, J., Durier, F. & de Arajo, J.C.N. 2011, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 20, 2399.
- [12] Filloux, C., Durier, F., de Freitas Pacheco, J. & Silk, J. 2010, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 19, 1233.
- [13] Hashimoto, T., Laporte, N. & Mawatari, K. et al. 2018, *Nature*, 557, 392.
- [14] Hopkins, A. 2004, *Astrophys.J.*, 615, 209.
- [15] Hopkins, A. & Beacon, J. 2006, *Astrophys.J.*, 651, 142.
- [16] Kobayashi, M., Inoue, Y. & Inoue, A. 2013, *Astrophys.J.*, 763, 3.
- [17] Konno, O. T., K. & Kojima, Y. 2000, *Astron.& Astrophys.*, 356, 234.
- [18] Kuroda, T., Kotake, K. & Takiwaki, T. 2016, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 829, L14.
- [19] Madau, P. & Dickinson, M. 2014, *Ann. Rev. Astron & Astrophys.*, 52, 415.
- [20] Mapelli, M. & Giacobbo, N. 2018, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 479, 4391.
- [21] Murphy, J., Ott, C. & Burrows, A. 2009, *Astrophys. J.*, 707, 1173.
- [22] Regimbau, T. 2011, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.*, 11, 369.
- [23] Regimbau, T. & Chauvineau, B. 2007, *Class.Quantum Grav.*, 24, S627.
- [24] Regimbau, T. & de Freitas Pacheco, J. 2001a, *Astron. & Astrophys.*, 376, 381.
- [25] Regimbau, T. & de Freitas Pacheco, J. 2001b, *Astron. & Astrophys.*, 374, 182.
- [26] Regimbau, T., & de Freitas Pacheco, J. 2006a, *Astron. & Astrophys.*, 642, 455.
- [27] Regimbau, T., & de Freitas Pacheco, J. 2006b, (RP06), *Astron. & Astrophys.*, 447, 1.
- [28] Sotani, H., & Takiwaki, T. 2016, *Phys. Rev. D*, 94, 044043.