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Abstract. We show how to lift a Riemannian metric and almost symplectic form on a manifold to a Riemannian structure on a canonically associated supermanifold known as the antitangent or shifted tangent bundle. We view this construction as a generalisation of Sasaki’s construction of a Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold.
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1. Introduction

In this note, we show how one can, in a canonical and well-defined way, construct an even Riemannian metric on the supermanifold $\Pi_M$ provided that the (pure even) manifold $M$ is equipped with a Riemannian (or pseudo-Riemannian) structure and an almost symplectic structure. This construction first appears in an earlier paper by the author (see [2]) as an example of a Riemannian supermanifold, albeit details are missing from that paper. We view this construction as giving a super-version of a Sasaki metric on the antitangent rather than the tangent bundle [19]. We then briefly investigate some of the properties of the constructed metric. Recall that differential forms on the manifold $M$ are functions on the supermanifold $\Pi_M$. Furthermore, the associated Cartan calculus has a neat supergeometric formulation as vector fields on the said supermanifold. Thus, we examine the constructed metric on the de Rham differential, the interior derivative and the Lie derivative. In doing so, we recover aspects of the underlying Riemannian and almost symplectic geometry.

It is well-known that any smooth manifold can be equipped with a Riemannian metric, i.e., there are no topological obstructions to the existence of a metric. The same is not true for pseudo-Riemannian structures; there are certain topological obstructions. Similarly, not every smooth manifold can be equipped with an almost symplectic form, i.e., a non-degenerate two form that is not necessarily closed. For one, the non-degeneracy forces the dimension of the manifold to be even. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an almost symplectic structure on a manifold is the possibility of reducing the structure group of its tangent bundle to a unitary group. This forces the odd-dimensional Stiefel–Whitney classes of the manifold to vanish, see Libermann [14] for more details. Thus it is far from true that our construction can be applied to any manifold. We require the assumption that we have at least an almost symplectic structure. A natural class of almost symplectic manifolds are, or course, symplectic manifolds. The integrability condition, i.e., being closed, plays no role in our constructions. For examples of almost symplectic manifolds see Vaisman [21].

We remark that the classical Sasaki metric makes a natural appearance in geometric mechanics. Specifically, one can consider the configuration space of a system equipped with the Jacobi metric and then, in turn, the tangent bundle of the configuration space equipped with the associated Sasaki metric. Trajectories can be viewed as geodesics on the configuration space or its tangent bundle. For more details the reader can consult [17] Chapter 3. Thus, we speculate that the super-Sasaki metric may find applications in geometric approaches to supermechanics along the lines of [3].

2. Riemannian supermanifolds

We assume that the reader has some familiarity with the basics of the theory of supermanifolds. We will understand a supermanifold $M := (|M|, O_M)$ of dimension $n|m$ to be a supermanifold in the sense of Berezin & Leites [1], i.e., as a locally superringed space that is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n|m} := (\mathbb{R}^n, C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes M(\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^m))$. In particular, given any point on $|M|$ we can always find a ‘small enough’ open neighbourhood $|U| \subseteq |M|$ such that we can employ local coordinates $x^\alpha := (x^\mu, \xi^\alpha)$ on $M$. We will call (global) sections of the structure sheaf functions, and often denote the supercommutative algebra of all functions as $C^\infty(M)$. The underlying smooth manifold $|M|$ we refer to as the reduced manifold. We will make heavy use of local coordinates on supermanifolds and employ the standard abuses of notation when it comes to describing, for example, morphisms of supermanifolds. We will denote the Grassmann parity of an object $A$ by ‘tilde’, i.e., $\tilde{A} \in Z_2$. By ‘even’ and ‘odd’ we will be referring to the Grassmann parity of the objects in question. As we will work in the category of smooth supermanifolds, all the algebras, commutators etc. will be $Z_2$-graded.
The tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}M$ of a supermanifold $M$ is the sheaf of derivations of sections of the structure sheaf — this is, of course, a sheaf of locally free $\mathcal{O}_M$-modules. Global sections of the tangent sheaf we refer to as vector fields, and denote the $\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{M})$-module of vector fields as $\mathbf{Vect}(M)$. The total space of the tangent sheaf we will denote by $\mathcal{T}M$ and refer to this as the tangent bundle. By shifting the parity of the fibre coordinates one obtains the antitangent bundle $\mathcal{T}M$. We will reserve the nomenclature vector bundle for the total space of a sheaf of locally free $\mathcal{O}_M$-modules, that is we will be referring to ‘geometric vector bundles’. We will also encounter double supervector bundles, namely $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}_M)$. The classical notion of a double vector bundles is due to Pradines \cite{18}.

Definition 2.1. A Riemannian metric on a supermanifold $M$ is an even, symmetric, non-degenerate, $\mathcal{O}_M$-linear morphisms of sheaves

$$\mathcal{T}M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{T}M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M.$$ 

A Riemannian supermanifold is a supermanifold equipped with a Riemannian metric.

In terms of vector fields, we have the following properties:

1. $\langle X|Y \rangle_g = \tilde{X} + \tilde{Y}$,
2. $\langle X|Y \rangle_g = (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \langle Y|X \rangle_g$,
3. If $\langle X|Y \rangle_g = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathbf{Vect}(M)$, then $X = 0$,
4. $\langle fX + Y|Z \rangle_g = f\langle X|Z \rangle_g + \langle Y|Z \rangle_g$,

for all (homogeneous) $X,Y,Z \in \mathbf{Vect}(M)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Remark 2.2. A Riemannian metric on $M$ naturally induces a pseudo-Riemannian metric on the reduced manifold $|M|$. As we will not explicitly make use of this reduced structure we will not spell-out the construction.

A Riemannian metric is specified by an even degree two function $g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{T}M)$, i.e., a Grassmann degree zero rank 2 symmetric covariant tensor. In local coordinates, we write

$$g(x,\dot{x}) = \dot{x}^a x^b g_{ab}(x).$$

Under changes of coordinates $x^a \mapsto x'^a(x)$ the components of the metric transform as

$$g_{a'b'}(x') = (-1)^{\tilde{a} \tilde{b}} \left( \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial x'^b} \right) \left( \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x'^a} \right) g_{ab},$$

where we have explicitly used the symmetry $g_{ab} = (-1)^{\tilde{a} \tilde{b}} g_{ba}$.

If we denote the vertical lift of a vector field by $i_X$, which in local coordinates is given by

$$X = X^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \mapsto i_X := X^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^a} \in \mathbf{Vect}(\mathcal{T}M),$$

then we observe that

$$\langle X|Y \rangle_g = \frac{1}{2} i_X i_Y g,$$

which leads to the local expression

$$\langle X|Y \rangle_g = (-1)^{\tilde{a} \tilde{b}} X^a(x) Y^b(x) g_{ab}(x).$$

It is a straightforward exercise to show that the above local expression for the metric pairing is invariant under changes of coordinates.

It is well-known that the non-degeneracy condition forces the dimensions of the supermanifold $M$ to be $n|2p$, i.e., we require an even number of odd dimensions. All the standard constructions of classical Riemannian geometry generalise to Riemannian supermanifolds, for example, the fundamental theorem holds (see for example \cite{10}).

Remark 2.3. Odd Riemannian structures can also be defined. There are no changes to the above definition except that the parity now is shifted, i.e., the pairing between two vector fields will now be $\tilde{X} + \tilde{Y} + 1$. The condition of being non-degenerate now forces there to be an equal number of even and odd dimensions. We will only consider even metrics in this paper.

There are several good books on the subject of supermanifolds and we suggest Carmeli, Caston & Fioresi \cite{4}, Manin \cite{13} and Varadarajan \cite{21} as general references. The encyclopedia edited by Duplij, Siegel & Bagger \cite{6} is also indispensable, as is the review paper by Leites \cite{13}. DeWitt \cite{5}, Section 2.8 discusses in some detail Riemannian geometry on DeWitt–Rogers supermanifolds. While some care is needed in translating between supermanifolds (as locally ringed spaces) and DeWitt–Rogers supermanifolds, most of the expressions given by DeWitt on Riemannian structures remain valid in Riemannian supergeometry. An incomplete list of relatively recent papers on Riemannian supermanifolds includes \cite{7,8,9,10,11,12}. 
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3. Construction of the Super-Sasaki metric

Warning. From this point on $M$ will be a purely even manifold.

Let $M$ be an almost symplectic manifold, i.e., a manifold equipped with a non-degenerate two-form $\omega$, that
this not necessary closed. This forces the dimension of $M$ to be even. Furthermore, let us assume that $M$
is equipped with a Riemannian metric, which we will denote as $h$. It is always possible to equip any smooth
manifold with a Riemannian metric and we will not require any compatibility condition between the almost
symplectic structure $\omega$ and the Riemannian structure $h$. We build a Riemannian metric on the supermanifold
$\Pi TM$ in the following way.

**Construction 3.1.** Let $(M, h, \omega)$ be a smooth manifold equipped with a Riemannian metric and an almost symplectic structure. Consider the double supervector bundle $\mathcal{T}(\Pi TM)$, which we equip with natural coordinates $(x^a, dx^b, \dot{x}^c, d\dot{x}^d)$. Admissible changes of coordinates are of the form (using standard abuses of notation)

$$x^a' = x^a(x), \quad dx^b' = dx^a\frac{\partial x'^a}{\partial x^a},$$

$$\dot{x}^c' = \dot{x}^c\frac{\partial \dot{x}'^c}{\partial \dot{x}^c}, \quad d\dot{x}^d' = d\dot{x}^c\frac{\partial \dot{x}'^d}{\partial \dot{x}^c} + \dot{x}^c d\dot{x}^d + \ddot{x}^d d\dot{x}^c.$$

The Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ associated with the Riemannian metric induces a splitting

$$\mathcal{T}(\Pi TM) \xrightarrow{\phi_h} \Pi TM \times_M TM \times_M \Pi TM,$$

which we write in natural coordinates as

$$\phi_h^a \xi^a = d\dot{x}^a + d\dot{x}^b \Gamma_{cb}^a(x) = : \nabla \dot{x}^a.$$

Here $\xi^a$ are the (fibre) coordinates on the last factor of the decomposed or split double supervector bundle. The splitting $\phi_h$ is understood as acting as the identity on the remaining coordinates, i.e., we just canonically make the required identifications. On the decomposed double supervector bundle we can take the sum of the Riemannian metric and the almost symplectic structure. In natural coordinates we have

$$G := h + \omega = \dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b g_{ab}(x) + \xi^a \xi^b \omega_{ab}(x).$$

The metric on $\mathcal{T}(\Pi TM)$ is then the pull-back of $G$ by the splitting. Thus, we write

$$g = \phi_h^a G = \dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b g_{ab}(x) + \nabla \dot{x}^a \nabla \dot{x}^b \omega_{ab}(x).$$

**Remark 3.2.** In the above construction, the Levi-Civita connection could be replaced by any other symmetric connection. One could, for example, use the symmetric connection associated with the almost symplectic structure. However, it is well-known that such connections are not unique. Thus, to have a canonical construction we take the Levi-Civita connection.

Direct calculation show that this metric on $\Pi TM$ can be written in natural local coordinates as

$$g = \dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b g_{ab}(x) + d\dot{x}^a d\dot{x}^b \omega_{ab}(x) + 2 d\dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b (dx^c \Gamma_{cb}^a(x) \omega_{da}(x)) - \dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b (dx^c dx^d \Gamma_{cd}^a(x) \Gamma_{eb}^f(x) \omega_{fe}).$$

By (locally) decomposing any vector field as $X = X^a(x, dx) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + X^a(x, dx) \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^a} \in \text{Vect}(\Pi TM)$ (and similar for $Y$), we arrive at the local expression for the pairing

$$\langle X | Y \rangle_g = X^a Y^b g_{ab} - X^a Y^b (dx^c dx^d \Gamma_{cd}^a(x) \Gamma_{eb}^f(x) \omega_{fe})$$

$$+ ((-1)^Y \bar{X}^a Y^b + (-1)^Y Y^a \bar{X}^b) dx^c \Gamma_{cb}^a(x) \omega_{da}$$

$$+ (-1)^Y \bar{X}^a \bar{Y}^b \omega_{ab}.$$

Observations:

(i) Comparing (3.2) with Definition 2.1, we see that the parity is correct. The symmetry is similarly clear. The non-degeneracy is follows as both $h$ and $\omega$ are themselves non-degenerate. Linearity is also clear. Thus we do obtain a genuine Riemannian metric on $\Pi TM$.

(ii) The pairing $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_g$ is inhomogeneous with respect to the differential form degree, i.e., the natural $N$-weight associated with the vector bundle structure of $\Pi TM$.

**Definition 3.3.** Let $(M, h, \omega)$ be an even dimensional smooth manifold equipped with a Riemannian metric and an almost symplectic structure. We define a super-Sasaki metric to be the Riemannian metric on $\Pi TM$ given by Construction 3.1.

The form of the metric (3.1) is almost identical to that of the Sasaki metric on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold. In natural coordinates $(x, dx, \dot{x}, d\dot{x})$ on $\mathcal{T}(TM)$ the Sasaki metric, see [13] (3.2), is

$$g_S = \dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b g_{ab}(x) + D\dot{x}^a D\dot{x}^b g_{ab}(x).$$
where $D\delta^a := \delta \delta^a + \delta \delta^b \delta^a$. All the coordinates here are commuting, i.e., they are all even coordinates. We have anticommuting coordinates on $\mathcal{T}(\Pi M)$ and we require an antisymmetric structure on $M$ in order to generalise Sasaki’s construction. This justifies our nomenclature in Definition 3.3.

**Theorem 3.4.** Construction 3.1 is natural in the sense that if a diffeomorphism
\[(M, h_M, \omega_M) \rightarrow (N, h_N, \omega_N)\]
is an isometry and a symplectomorphism, then the induced diffeomorphism of supermanifolds
\[\Psi : \mathcal{T}(\Pi M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\Pi N)\]
is an isometry, i.e., $\Psi^*g_N = g_M$.

**Proof.** We will prove the statement using local coordinates. Let us equip $M$ with local coordinates $x^{\alpha}$ and $N$ with local coordinates $y^{\gamma}$. A diffeomorphism (or even just as smooth map) $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ is then locally given by $\psi^*y^{\gamma} = y^{\gamma}(x)$ (using the standard abuses of notation). The induced map $\Psi : \mathcal{T}(\Pi M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\Pi N)$ comes from the application of the antitangent and tangent functors. Explicitly in natural coordinates, we have
\[
y^{\alpha} = y^{\alpha}(x), \quad dy^{\beta} = dx^{\alpha}\frac{\partial y^{\beta}(x)}{\partial x^{\alpha}}, \quad \tilde{y}^{\gamma} = \tilde{x}^{b}\frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{\gamma}(x)}{\partial x^{b}}, \quad d\tilde{y}^{\beta} = d\tilde{x}^{c}\frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{\beta}(x)}{\partial \tilde{x}^{c}} + \tilde{x}^{c}d\tilde{x}^{a}\frac{\partial y^{\beta}(x)}{\partial x^{a}}.
\]
Note that by construction (using the transformation rules of Christoffel symbols and that we restrict attention to diffeomorphisms) that
\[\Psi^*(\nabla \tilde{y}^{\gamma}) = \nabla \tilde{x}^{a}\frac{\partial y^{\gamma}(x)}{\partial x^{a}}.
\]
Then we insist on the following
\[\Psi^*g_N = \tilde{x}^{c}dx^{a}\frac{\partial g_{\beta\alpha}(y(x))}{\partial x^{a}} + \nabla \tilde{x}^{a}\nabla \tilde{x}^{b}\frac{\partial g_{\beta\alpha}(y(x))}{\partial x^{b}} = g_M.
\]
This means that we require
\[g_{\beta\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial y^{\gamma}(x)}{\partial x^{a}}\frac{\partial y^{\beta}(x)}{\partial x^{b}} g_{\alpha\beta}(y(x)), \quad \omega_{\beta\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial y^{\gamma}(x)}{\partial x^{a}}\frac{\partial y^{\beta}(x)}{\partial x^{b}} \omega_{\alpha\beta}(y(x)),
\]
which is exactly the (local) statement that $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ is an isometry and a symplectomorphism. \qed

As is well-known, differential forms on $M$ are functions on the supermanifold $\Pi M$. Furthermore, the de Rham differential, the interior derivative and the Lie derivative can all be understood as vector fields on $\Pi M$. If $X \in \text{Vect}(M)$, then (locally)
\[d = dx^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}, \quad i_{X} = X^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}, \quad L_{X} = X^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}} + dx^{b}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{b}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \partial x^{a}}.
\]
The standard relations between these operators can easily be derived using this understanding in terms of vector fields on a supermanifold.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $(-|-)_{\lambda}$ be a super-Sasaki metric (see Definition 3.3). Furthermore, let $X$ and $Y \in \text{Vect}(M)$ be arbitrary vector fields on $M$. Then we have the following identities:

(i) \[(i_{X}i_{Y})_{g} = \omega(X, Y) \in C^{\infty}(M),\]
(ii) \[(i_{X}d)_{g} = 0,\]
(iii) \[(d)_{g} = 0,\]
(iv) \[(L_{X}|d)_{g} = X^{b} \in \Omega^{1}(M),\]
(v) \[(L_{X}|i_{Y})_{g} = \omega(\nabla X, Y) \in \Omega^{1}(M),\]
(vi) \[(L_{X}|L_{Y})_{g} = (X|Y)_{h} + \omega(\nabla X, \nabla Y) \in C^{\infty}(M) \oplus \Omega^{2}(M),\]
where $h : \text{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{1}(M)$ is the standard musical isomorphism on a Riemannian manifold and $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with $h$.

**Proof.** We will prove the above proposition via direct computation using local coordinates and the local expression for the pairing, see (3.2). One needs to insert the components into the local expression and tidy-up.

(i) \[(i_{X}i_{Y})_{g} = -X^{a}Y^{b}\omega_{ba}.\]
(ii) \[(i_{X}d)_{g} = X^{a}dx^{b}\delta^{c}_{a}\Gamma_{bc}^{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha \delta_{a}} = 0,\]
where we have used the fact that the Christoffel symbols are symmetric in lower indices while $dx^{b}\delta x^{c}$ is antisymmetric.
(iii) $\langle d|d \rangle_y = - \langle d|d \rangle_y = 0$ from the symmetry properties of a Riemannian metric on a supermanifold.

(iv) $\langle L_X|d \rangle_y = dx^b g_{ba} X^a$.

$\langle L_X|d \rangle_y = Y^a X^b dx^c \Gamma^d_{ab} \omega_{dc} - dx^c \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^c} Y^b \omega_{ba} = -dx^c \left( \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^c} + X^d \Gamma^a_{dc} \right) Y^b \omega_{ba}$.

(vi) $\langle L_X|L_Y \rangle_y = X^a Y^b g_{ba} - X^a Y^b (dx^c \frac{\partial X^d}{\partial x^c} \Gamma^f_{bc} \omega_{fe}) + (dx^a Y^b + dx^b Y^a) dx^c \Gamma^f_{bc} \omega_{fe} + dx^c \omega_{dc} + X^d \Gamma^a_{dc} \omega_{ba}$. Now we collect terms related to $\omega$ to give $\langle L_X|L_Y \rangle_y = X^a Y^b g_{ba} + dx^c \left( \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^c} + X^d \Gamma^a_{dc} \right) dx^c \left( \frac{\partial Y^b}{\partial x^c} + Y^d \Gamma^b_{dc} \right) \omega_{ba}$. \[ \square \]

Observations: As a supermanifold, we have the canonical projection $\epsilon: C^\infty(\Pi TM) \to C^\infty(M)$. In classical language, this is just projecting inhomogeneous differential forms to their degree zero part. We see that $\epsilon(\langle L_X|L_Y \rangle_y) = \langle X|Y \rangle_h$, and so we can recover the metric on $M$. Similarly, though this does not require the projection, we recover the almost symplectic form from $\langle i_X|i_Y \rangle_y = \omega(X,Y)$.
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