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1 Introduction

Let $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex representative functions, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, the convex feasibility problem is to find a point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $x^* \in S_{\leq 0} f_i, i = 1, \ldots, m,$ where $S_{\leq 0} f_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq 0\}$ is the zero sublevel set of $f_i$ corresponding to the level 0, and provided that the intersection is nonempty. It is well known that the convex feasibility problem plays an important role in the modellings of many noticeable situations, for example, signal processing, image processing, sensor network localization problems, for more information, see [2, 5, 9] and references therein. To deal with the convex feasibility problems, one often utilizes the so-called subgradient projection operator corresponding to each function $f_i$. Actually, we know that the subgradient projection is a cutter with its fixed point set equals to the zero sublevel set of the considered function $f_i$, furthermore, it is satisfying the so-called fixed point closed property. In this situation, the convergence results of methods for solving the convex feasibility problems can be obtained by applying the convergence results of the cutter operator. For more details about convex feasibility problems, algorithms and convergence properties, we refer to [1, 6].

Even if the convexity of the representative function has been studied and applied to several aspects, there are some situations such that the representative function is not convex, for instance in economics [3, 16], but satisfying the so-called quasi-convexity. The formal known property of quasi-convex is its sublevel set is a convex set. Of course, in a similar fashion to the convex feasibility problem, many authors also consider the so-called quasi-convex feasibility problems. Their solving iterative methods and convergence results can be found in, for instance [8, 12].
In this paper we also deal with algorithmic properties of a method for solving the quasi-convex feasibility problem. We firstly introduce a nonlinear operator corresponding to a quasi-convex function. Under some suitable assumptions, we show some important properties of the introduced operator. Finally, we show the convergence of the introduced iterative method.

2 Preliminaries

Let \( \mathbb{R}^n \) be a Euclidean space with an inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and with the norm \( \| \cdot \| \). Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function and \( \lambda \) be a real number. The strictly sublevel and sublevel sets of \( f \) corresponding to \( \lambda \) are defined by \( S^f_{<,\lambda} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) < \lambda \} \) and \( S^f_{\leq,\lambda} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq \lambda \} \), respectively. For a set \( A \), we denote by \( \text{cl}(A) \) its closure. Note that \( S^f_{\leq,\lambda} = \text{cl}(S^f_{<,\lambda}) \) may fail in general.

A function \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is said to be upper semiconinuous on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) if \( S^f_{<,\lambda} \) is an open set for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \).

As we know that if \( f \) is convex, the sets \( S^f_{\leq,\lambda} \) and \( S^f_{<,\lambda} \) are convex for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). However, the converse is generally false. A function \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is said to be quasi-convex on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) if \( S^f_{\leq,\lambda} \) (also, \( S^f_{<,\lambda} \)) is a convex set for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \).

Now we are going to recall some generalized subdifferentials and their important properties which are needed in the sequel. In 1973, Greenberg and Pierskalla \[10\] introduced the so-called Greenberg-Pierskalla subdifferential. Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). An element \( g \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is a Greenberg-Pierskalla subgradient of \( f \) at \( x \) if

\[
\langle g, y - x \rangle < 0, \quad \text{for every } y \in S^f_{<,f(x)}.
\]

We call the set of all Greenberg-Pierskalla subgradients of \( f \) at \( x \) the Greenberg-Pierskalla subdifferential of \( f \) at \( x \), and will be denoted by \( \partial^\text{GP} f(x) \). It is clear by the definition of \( S^f_{<,f(x)} \) that \( \partial^\text{GP} f(x) = \mathbb{R}^n \) whenever \( S^f_{<,f(x)} = \emptyset \).

Note that for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), the set \( \partial^\text{GP} f(x) \) may not be closed in general. To overcome this drawback, we consider the following definition introduced by Penot \[13\] and further investigated by Penot and Zălinescu \[15\]. Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). An element \( g \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the star subgradient of \( f \) at \( x \) if

\[
\langle g, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in S^f_{<,f(x)}.
\]

The set of all star subgradients of \( f \) at \( x \) is called the star subdifferential of \( f \) at \( x \) and it is denoted by \( \partial^* f(x) \).

The following theorem shows some basic properties of star subdifferential. For more details, see \[13\], Proposition 29-30.

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then the following statements are true:

(i) \( \partial^* f(x) \) is a closed convex cone.

(ii) \( \partial^\text{GP} f(x) \subset \partial^* f(x) \).
(iii) $0 \in \partial^* f(x)$ if and only if $x \in \operatorname{argmin} f$.

The nontrivialness of the star subdifferential is guaranteed by the following theorem appeared in [13, Proposition 31].

**Theorem 2.2** Let a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be quasi-convex and upper semicontinuous and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be given. Then $\partial^* f(x) \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$.

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with $S^f_{<0,0} \neq \emptyset$ is said to be satisfying property (sHöl) on $S^f_{\leq 0}$ [12] if there exist $\delta > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(q)| \leq L\|x - q\|^\delta,$$

for all $q \in S^f_{\leq 0}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We denote the positive part of a function $f$ by $f_+$, i.e., $f_+(x) := \max\{f(x), 0\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The following technical lemma will play a crucial role in the sequel and its proof is due to Konnov [11].

**Theorem 2.3** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a quasi-convex upper semicontinuous function with $S^f_{\leq 0} \neq \emptyset$. If the function $f$ satisfies the property (sHöl) on $S^f_{\leq 0}$ with order $\delta$ and modulus $L$, then for each $x \not\in S^f_{\leq 0}$, we have $f_+(x) \leq L \langle \frac{x}{\|x\|}, x - q \rangle$, for all $q \in S^f_{\leq 0}$ and $c \in \partial^* f(x) \setminus \{0\}$.

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be 0-lower semicontinuous [12] if its zero sublevel set $S^f_{\leq 0}$ is a closed set. Consider a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 
[x] & ; x > 1, \\
 x & ; \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}$$

where $[x]$ is a floor function, proposed in [12]. Observe that $f$ is 0-lower semicontinuous and upper semicontinuous but not lower semicontinuous.

We will close this section by recalling the concept of set convergence, which is known as Painlevé-Kuratowski convergence. All of these definitions and some more further properties can be found in [14, Chapter 4] and [4, Chapter 2].

We denote the family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ representing all the tails of $\mathbb{N}$ by

$$\mathbb{N}_{\infty} := \{N \subset \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \setminus N \text{ is finite}\},$$

and the family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ representing all the subsequence of $\mathbb{N}$ by

$$\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^2 := \{N \subset \mathbb{N} : N \text{ is infinite}\}.$$

By using these notations, the subsequence of a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the form $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^2$, while the tail of $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the form $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}$. We use the notation $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k$ in the case of convergence of a subsequence of $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ designated by an index set $N$ in $\mathbb{N}_{\infty}$ or $\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^2$.

Let $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The outer limit is the set

$$\operatorname{Limsup}_{k \to +\infty} C_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists N \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}^2, \forall k \in N, \exists x_k \in C_k \text{ such that } \lim_{k \in N} x_k = x\}.$$
and the inner limit is the set

$$\text{Liminf}_{k \to +\infty} C_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall k \in N, \exists x_k \in C_k \text{ such that } \lim_{k \to N} x_k = x\}.$$ 

We say that the sequence \(\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) converges to \(C\) if the outer and inner limit sets are equal to \(C\), i.e.,

$$\text{Lim}_{k \to +\infty} C_k := \text{Limsup}_{k \to +\infty} C_k = \text{Liminf}_{k \to +\infty} C_k = C.$$

The following theorem will be a key tool in our work and the proof can be found in \([4, \text{Exercise 2.2}]\).

**Theorem 2.4** Let \(\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a sequence of subsets of \(\mathbb{R}^n\) such that \(C_{k+1} \subset C_k\) for all \(k \geq 1\). Then \(\text{Lim}_{k \to +\infty} C_k\) exists and \(\text{Lim}_{k \to +\infty} C_k = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}(C_k)\).

Let us denote the distance (function) in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) by \(\text{dist} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}\) and recall that for \(C \subset \mathbb{R}^n\),

$$\text{dist}(x, C) := \inf_{c \in C} \|x - c\|.$$

The following theorem provides a relation between set convergence and the distance function, see \([4, \text{Proposition 2.2.11}]\) for more details.

**Theorem 2.5** Let \(\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a sequence of subsets of \(\mathbb{R}^n\) and \(C\) be a closed subset of \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Then, it holds that

$$\text{Lim}_{k \to +\infty} C_k = C \iff \lim_{k \to +\infty} \text{dist}(x, C_k) = \text{dist}(x, C),$$

for every \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\).

### 3 Star Subgradient Projection Operator

In this section we will introduce an important operator for dealing with the quasi-convex feasibility problem.

Let \(f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}\) with \(S_{\leq 0}^f \neq \emptyset\) be a quasi-convex, upper semicontinuous, 0-lower semicontinuous, and satisfying the Property (sHöI) on \(S_{\leq 0}^f\) with order \(\delta > 0\) and modulus \(L > 0\). Let \(c_f(x) \in \partial^* f(x)\) be a nonzero star subgradient of \(f\) at \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\). The operator \(P_f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n\) defined by

$$P_f(x) = \begin{cases} x - \left(\frac{f_+(x)}{L}\right)^{1/\delta} \frac{c_f(x)}{\|c_f(x)\|} & \text{if } f(x) > 0, \\ x & \text{if } f(x) \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

is called a star subgradient projection relative to \(f\).

Obviously, for \(x \notin S_{\leq 0}^f\), we have \(f(x) = f_+(x) > 0 \geq \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(u)\). This means that \(x\) is not a minimizer of \(f\) and it follows from Theorem 2.1 (vi) that \(0 \notin \partial^* f(x)\). Consequently, Theorem 2.2 yields that there always exists a nonzero star subgradient \(c_f(x) \in \partial^* f(x)\). Therefore, the well-definedness of the star subgradient projection \(P_f\) is guaranteed.
The following proposition shows an important relation between the fixed point set of $P_f$,

$$\text{Fix} \ P_f := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : P_f x = x \},$$

and the sublevel set $S_{\leq 0}^f$.

**Proposition 3.1** If $P_f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a star subgradient projection relative to $f$, then

$$\text{Fix} \ P_f = S_{\leq 0}^f.$$

**Proof.** It is clear that $S_{\leq 0}^f \subset \text{Fix} \ P_f$. Suppose that $x \notin S_{\leq 0}^f \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\partial^* f(x) \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$. In this case, we can find a nonzero star subgradient $c_f(x) \in \partial^* f(x) \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\left( \frac{f_+(x)}{L} \right)^{1/\delta} \frac{c_f(x)}{\|c_f(x)\|} \neq 0,$$

consequently, $x \notin \text{Fix} \ P_f$. Hence, we conclude that $\text{Fix} \ P_f = S_{\leq 0}^f$, as required. □

The following proposition states an important property of the star subgradient projection operator.

**Proposition 3.2** If $S_{\leq 0}^f \neq \emptyset$, then $P_f$ is a cutter, that is

$$(P_f x - x, P_f y - y) \leq 0,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $y \in \text{Fix} P_f$.

**Proof.** From Proposition 3.1, we note here again that $\text{Fix} \ P_f = S_{\leq 0}^f$. If $x \in S_{\leq 0}^f$, then it is clear that $P_f$ is a cutter. Suppose that $x \notin S_{\leq 0}^f$ and $y \in S_{\leq 0}^f$. Then, $f(y) \leq 0 < f(x)$. Now, by invoking the definition of star subgradient projection and Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\langle P_f x - x, P_f x - y \rangle = \|P_f x - x\|^2 + \langle P_f x - x, x - y \rangle$$

$$= \left( \frac{f_+(x)}{L} \right)^{2/\delta} - \left( \frac{f_+(x)}{L} \right)^{1/\delta} \left\langle \frac{c_f(x)}{\|c_f(x)\|}, x - y \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{f_+(x)}{L} \right)^{2/\delta} - \left( \frac{f_+(x)}{L} \right)^{2/\delta}$$

$$= 0,$$

which completes the proof. □

The following proposition shows the so-called **fixed-point closed** property of the star subgradient projection operator.

**Proposition 3.3** If $S_{\leq 0}^f \neq \emptyset$, then $P_f$ is fixed-point closed, that is, for any sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x_k \to x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $k \to +\infty$ and $\lim_{k \to +\infty} \|P_f x_k - x_k\| = 0$, we have $x \in \text{Fix} P_f$. 
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Proof. Let \( \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a sequence such that \( x_k \to x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) as \( k \to +\infty \) and \( \lim_{k \to +\infty} \| P_f x_k - x_k \| = 0 \). Note that

\[
\left( \frac{f_+(x_k)}{L} \right)^{g} = \| P_f x_k - x_k \| \to 0,
\]

and then \( \lim_{k \to +\infty} f_+(x_k) = 0 \). Thus, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( k_n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( f(x_k) \leq f_+(x_k) < \frac{1}{n} \) for all \( k \geq k_n \). That is, \( x_k \in S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}} \) and subsequently that

\[
\text{dist} \left( x_k, \text{cl}(S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}}) \right) = 0,
\]

for all \( k \geq k_n \).

Since \( y_k \to x \) as \( k \to +\infty \), we also have that

\[
\text{dist} \left( x, \text{cl}(S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}}) \right) = \lim_{l \to +\infty} \text{dist} \left( x_k, \text{cl}(S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}}) \right) = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

This implies that

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \text{dist} \left( x, \text{cl}(S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}}) \right) = 0. \tag{3.2}
\]

On the other hand, since \( f \) is a quasi-convex and upper semicontinuous function, we have that \( S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}} \) is a convex and open set for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and, further, we also have

\[
\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}} \supset S^f_{\leq 0}
\]

is a nonempty convex set. Moreover, we observe that \( \{S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \{\text{cl}(S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) are both decreasing. Further, we note that

\[
\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^f_{\leq \frac{1}{n}} = S^f_{\leq 0}.
\]

Thus, it follows from Theorem 2.4 and the property of closure that

\[
\text{Lim}_{n \to +\infty} \text{cl} \left( S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}} \right) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl} \left( S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}} \right) = \text{cl} \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^f_{\leq \frac{1}{n}} \right) = \text{cl}(S^f_{\leq 0}). \tag{3.3}
\]

Since \( f \) is 0-lower semicontinuous, we note that the sublevel set \( S^f_{\leq 0} \) is a closed set. Therefore, invoking (3.3) together with Theorem 2.5, we obtain that

\[
\text{dist} \left( x, S^f_{\leq 0} \right) = \text{dist} \left( x, \text{cl}(S^f_{\leq 0}) \right) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \text{dist} \left( x, \text{cl}(S^f_{< \frac{1}{n}}) \right) = 0 \tag{3.4}
\]

and hence \( x \in S^f_{\leq 0} = \text{Fix} P_f \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
4 Cyclic Star Subgradient Projection Method

Let \( f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \ldots, m \), be quasi-convex, upper semicontinuous, 0-lower semicontinuous, and satisfying the Property (sHöL) on \( S_{\leq 0}^{f_i} \) with order \( \delta_i > 0 \) and modulus \( L_i > 0 \), respectively. The quasi-convex feasibility problem (in short, QFP) is to find

\[ x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} S_{\leq 0}^{f_i}, \]

provided that the intersection is nonempty.

In this section we are concerned with the study of convergence properties of an iterative algorithm which approaches a solution of the following QFP. The following iterative algorithm for solving the QFP is due to Censor-Segal [8, Algorithm 13].

**Algorithm 1:** Cyclic Star Subgradient Projection Method

**Initialization:** Take \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) be arbitrary.

**Iterative Step:** For a given current iterate \( x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \) (\( n \geq 1 \)), calculate \( y_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \) by

\[
y_k := x_k
\]

\[
y_i := y_{i-1} - \left( \frac{(f_i)(y_{i-1})}{L_i} \right)^{1/\delta_i} \frac{c_{i-1}}{\|c_{i-1}\|}, i = 1, \ldots, m,
\]

where \( c_{i-1} \in \partial^* f_i(y_{i-1}) \) is an arbitrary nonzero star subgradient of \( f_i \) at \( y_{i-1} \).

Compute the next iterate \( x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^n \) by

\[
x_{k+1} := y_m.
\]

**Update** \( k := k + 1. \)

**Remark 4.1** (i) Observe that the iterate \( y_k \) in Algorithm 1 can be represented in the form of the star subgradient projection, that is

\[ y_k = P_{f_i}y_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \]

which yields that the iterate \( x_{k+1} \) is in the form of \( x_{k+1} = P_{f_m}P_{f_{m-1}} \cdots P_{f_2}P_{f_1}x_k. \)

(ii) Note that if there exists \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) in which \( f_i(x_{k_0}) \leq 0 \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), then Algorithm 1 terminates and the iteration \( x_{k_0} \) subsequently is a solution of the QFP. So to deal with the later convergence, we assume that Algorithm 1 does not terminate in any finite number of iterations \( k \geq 1. \)

In order to deal with our convergence theorem, we need to recall an important operator. We say that an operator \( T \) having a fixed point is \( \rho \)-strongly quasi-nonexpansive, where \( \rho \geq 0 \), if

\[
\|Tx - z\|^2 \leq \|x - z\|^2 - \rho\|Tx - x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and all } z \in \text{Fix} T.
\]

for any \( \lambda \in (0, 2]. \)

Next, we will investigate convergence analysis of a sequence generated by the cyclic star subgradient projection method (Algorithm 1) as the following theorem.
Theorem 4.2 If the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} S^{f_i}_{\leq 0}$ is nonempty, then any sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ generated by Algorithm 1 converges to a solution to QFP.

Proof. Firstly, let us denote

$$T := P_{f_m}P_{f_{m-1}}\cdots P_{f_2}P_{f_1},$$

where $P_{f_i}, i = 1, \ldots, m$ are defined by (3.1). Then, Algorithm 1 can be written in the form

$$x^{k+1} = Tx_k.$$ 

Since $P_{f_i}, i = 1, \ldots, m$ are cutters, each $P_{f_i}$ is nothing else than the 1-SQNE [6, Corollary 2.1.40]. Furthermore, since the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} S^{f_i}_{\leq 0} \neq \emptyset$, we get that these operators have a common fixed point, which yields that the operator $T$ is also SQNE [6, Theorem 2.1.48]. Moreover, $P_{f_i}$ are fixed-point closed, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, the composition $T$ is fixed-point closed [7, Theorem 4.2]. Thus, the assumptions of [6, Theorem 5.11.1] are satisfied, and hence the sequence $x_k$ converges to a point $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} S^{f_i}_{\leq 0}$. □

5 Conclusion

This paper introduced the so-called star subgradient projection operator and discussed their useful properties. We applied such operator for solving the quasi-convex feasibility problem. In our opinion, this operator can be utilized when proving convergence result of another method like the cyclic star subgradient methods and, moreover, their properties should be investigated in the same way as the celebrated subgradient projection operator.
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