

## ON THE SOLVABILITY OF THE MATRIX EQUATION

$$(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$$

KARSTEN KRUSE

**ABSTRACT.** The treated matrix equation  $(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$  in this short note has its origin in a modelling approach to describe the nonlinear time-dependent mechanical behaviour of rubber. We classify the solvability of  $(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$  in general normed spaces  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  w.r.t. the parameters  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , and give an algorithm to numerically compute its solutions in  $E = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, n \geq 2$ , equipped with the Frobenius norm.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3] the common approach to extend hyperelastic models by a well-known Prony series is modified. In general, the classic approach using a Prony series for extension results in the need to identify a large number of parameters. The identification is usually an ill-posed problem. Therefore in [3], the authors restrict themselves to a single modified Prony element with a load-dependent relaxation time leading to an approach with only two parameters. Using an implicit Euler-approach (see [3, Eq. (28), p. 8]), solving the underlying matrix differential equation yields to

$$\frac{\sigma_{v,k+1} - \sigma_{v,k}^R}{\Delta t} = -\sigma_{v,k+1} \frac{\exp(\frac{\|\sigma_{v,k+1}\|}{\sigma_c})}{\tau_p} + \frac{\Delta \sigma_{el}^R}{\Delta t}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma_v \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  is the deviatoric stress of the modified Prony element,  $\sigma_{v,k}^R$  the rotated viscoelastic Cauchy stress,  $\Delta \sigma_{el}^R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  the incremental elastic driving stress,  $\|\cdot\|$  the Frobenius norm,  $\sigma_c > 0$  the critical stress,  $\tau_p > 0$  the relaxation timescale in the effective relaxation time and  $\Delta t > 0$  a time step. Equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$(1 + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_p} \exp(\frac{\|\sigma_{v,k+1}\|}{\sigma_c}))\sigma_{v,k+1} = \Delta \sigma_{el}^R + \sigma_{v,k}^R, \quad (2)$$

which has the general form

$$(1 + a \exp(-\frac{\|X\|}{b}))X = Y$$

with  $X := \sigma_{v,k+1}$ ,  $Y := \Delta \sigma_{el}^R + \sigma_{v,k}^R$ ,  $a := \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_p}$  and  $b := -\sigma_c$ .

## 2. CLASSIFICATION OF THE SOLVABILITY IN GENERAL NORMED SPACES

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space over the field  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , and  $Y \in E$ . We are searching for a solution  $X \in E$  of the vector equation

$$(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y. \quad (3)$$

If we take norms on both sides of (3), then we obtain the scalar equation

$$|1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}}|\|X\| = \|Y\|. \quad (4)$$

The solutions of (3) and (4) are related in the following manner.

---

*Date:* December 17, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A24, Secondary 15A60.

*Key words and phrases.* matrix equations, matrix norm, Lambert W function.

**2.1. Proposition.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $Y \in E$  and  $y := \|Y\|$ .*

*(a) If  $x \in [0, \infty)$  is a solution of  $|1 + ae^{-x/b}|x = y$  and  $1 + ae^{-x/b} \neq 0$ , then*

$$X := (1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}Y \in E \quad (5)$$

*fulfils  $\|X\| = x$  and  $X$  is a solution of  $(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$ .*

*(b) If  $X \in E$  is a solution of  $(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$ , then  $x := \|X\| \in [0, \infty)$  is a solution of  $|1 + ae^{-x/b}|x = y$ .*

*Proof.* Statement (b) is obvious, so we only need to prove (a), which follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\| &= |(1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}\|Y\|| = |(1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}y| = |(1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}|1 + ae^{-x/b}|x \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence we can use the solutions of the scalar equation (4) to obtain the solutions of the vector equation (3). *Lambert's W function* will turn out to be a useful tool to solve the scalar equation (4) with respect to the parameters involved. In the following we denote by  $W_0: [-e^{-1}, \infty) \rightarrow [-1, \infty)$  the upper branch and by  $W_{-1}: [-e^{-1}, 0) \rightarrow (-\infty, -1]$  the lower branch of Lambert's W function. These branches are bijective functions and the union of the sets  $\{W_0(z) \mid z \in [-e^{-1}, \infty)\}$   $\{W_{-1}(z) \mid z \in [-e^{-1}, 0)\}$  is the set of all solutions  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  of the equation  $xe^x = z$  (see e.g. [1]).

**2.2. Proposition.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , and  $y \in [0, \infty)$ . The equation*

$$|1 + ae^{-x/b}|x = y \quad (6)$$

*has in  $[0, \infty)$*

- (a) a unique solution if  $a \geq 0$  and  $b < 0$ ,*
- (b) a unique solution if  $a \leq -1$  and  $b < 0$ ,*
- (c) two solutions  $x = 0$  or  $x = b \ln(|a|)$  if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $y = 0$ ,*
- (d) three solutions if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $0 < y < (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (e) two solutions if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $y = (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (f) a unique solution if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $y > (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (g) a unique solution if  $0 \leq a \leq e^2$  and  $b > 0$ ,*
- (h) a unique solution if  $a > e^2$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $y < (1 + ae^{W_{-1}(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_{-1}(-e/a))$  or  $y > (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (i) two solutions if  $a > e^2$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $y = (1 + ae^{W_{-1}(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_{-1}(-e/a))$  or  $y = (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (j) three solutions if  $a > e^2$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $(1 + ae^{W_{-1}(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_{-1}(-e/a)) < y < (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (k) a unique solution if  $-1 \leq a < 0$  and  $b > 0$ ,*
- (l) two solutions  $x = 0$  or  $x = b \ln(|a|)$  if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $y = 0$ ,*
- (m) three solutions if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $0 < y < -(1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (n) two solutions if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $y = -(1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (o) a unique solution if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $y > -(1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ .*

*Proof.* Let us define the continuous function

$$f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad f(x) := |1 + ae^{-x/b}|x,$$

given by the left-hand side of the equation under consideration. First, we determine the conditions on  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \geq 0$  such that  $1 + ae^{-x/b} \geq 0$ . If  $a \geq 0$ , then

$1 + ae^{-x/b} > 0$  and thus  $f(x) = (1 + ae^{-x/b})x$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \geq 0$ . Now, let us turn to the case  $a < 0$ . We have the equivalences

$$1 + ae^{-x/b} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow e^{-x/b} \leq |a|^{-1} \Leftrightarrow x/b \geq \ln(|a|) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x \geq b \ln(|a|) & , b > 0, \\ x \leq b \ln(|a|) & , b < 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we note that

$$b \ln(|a|) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow (b > 0, |a| \geq 1) \text{ or } (b < 0, |a| \leq 1).$$

Hence, if  $b > 0$  and  $-1 \leq a < 0$ , then  $b \ln(|a|) \leq 0$  and

$$f(x) = (1 + ae^{-x/b})x, \quad x \in [0, \infty),$$

if  $b > 0$  and  $a < -1$ , then  $b \ln(|a|) > 0$  and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -(1 + ae^{-x/b})x & , x \in [0, b \ln(|a|)], \\ (1 + ae^{-x/b})x & , x \in (b \ln(|a|), \infty), \end{cases}$$

if  $b < 0$  and  $-1 < a < 0$ , then  $b \ln(|a|) > 0$  and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1 + ae^{-x/b})x & , x \in [0, b \ln(|a|)], \\ -(1 + ae^{-x/b})x & , x \in (b \ln(|a|), \infty), \end{cases}$$

if  $b < 0$  and  $a \leq -1$ , then  $b \ln(|a|) \leq 0$  and

$$f(x) = -(1 + ae^{-x/b})x, \quad x \in [0, \infty).$$

The set of zeros  $\mathcal{N}_f$  of  $f$  in  $[0, \infty)$  is  $\mathcal{N}_f = \{0\}$ , if either  $a \geq 0$  or  $b > 0$  and  $-1 \leq a < 0$  or  $b < 0$  and  $a \leq -1$ , and  $\mathcal{N}_f = \{0, b \ln(|a|)\}$  if either  $b > 0$  and  $a < -1$  or  $b < 0$  and  $-1 < a < 0$ . We note that  $f$  is infinitely continuously differentiable on  $[0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{N}_f$ .

(a) In this case our claim follows from the intermediate value theorem since  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ .

(b) The first derivative fulfils for all  $x \in (0, \infty)$

$$f'(x) = \underbrace{-a}_{\geq 1} \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{x}{b}\right)}_{>1} \underbrace{e^{-x/b}}_{>1} - 1 > 1 - 1 = 0,$$

implying that  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . Like in (a) this proves our claim by the intermediate value theorem because  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$  as well.

(c)-(f) We start with the first derivative of  $f$ . We have

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} + 1 & , x \in (0, b \ln(|a|)), \\ -a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} - 1 & , x \in (b \ln(|a|), \infty). \end{cases}$$

The function  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $(b \ln(|a|), \infty)$  since for all  $x > b \ln(|a|)$  it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= -a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} - 1 > |a|(1 - \frac{b \ln(|a|)}{b})e^{-b \ln(|a|)/b} - 1 = |a|(1 - \ln(|a|))|a|^{-1} - 1 \\ &= -\ln(|a|) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the local extremum of  $f$  on  $(0, b \ln(|a|))$ . For the second derivative on  $(0, b \ln(|a|))$  we remark that

$$f''(x) = \underbrace{-\frac{a}{b}}_{<0} \underbrace{\left(2 - \frac{x}{b}\right)}_{>0} e^{-x/b} < 0, \quad x \in (0, b \ln(|a|)),$$

so our local extremum will be a maximum. Setting  $z := 1 - \frac{x}{b} > 0$  for  $x \in (0, b \ln(|a|))$ , we have the equivalences

$$0 = f'(x) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 = aze^{-1}e^z + 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\frac{e}{a} = ze^z.$$

Since  $z > 0$  and  $-\frac{e}{a} > 0$ , the unique solution of the last equation is given by  $z = W_0(-e/a)$ . This yields by resubstitution the local maximum  $x_0 := b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ , which is in  $(0, b \ln(|a|))$  by Rolle's theorem. Furthermore,  $f$  has two zeros in  $[0, \infty)$ , namely,  $x_1 := 0$  and  $x_2 := b \ln(|a|)$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ . This implies our claims (c)-(f) using the intermediate value theorem again.

(g)-(j) Again, we compute the extrema of  $f$ . We have

$$f'(x) = a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f''(x) = -\frac{a}{b}(2 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b}, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

Defining  $z := 1 - \frac{x}{b} < 0$  for  $x > b$ , we note that

$$0 = f'(x) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\frac{e}{a} = ze^z.$$

Since  $z < 0$  for  $x > b$  and  $-\frac{e}{a} < 0$ , there are two solutions  $z_0 := W_0(-e/a)$  and  $z_1 := W_{-1}(-e/a)$  iff  $-\frac{e}{a} \geq -e^{-1}$ , which is equivalent to  $a \geq e^2$ . We remark that  $z_0 = z_1$  if  $a = e^2$ . By resubstitution we obtain that  $0 = f'(x)$  has the solutions  $x_0 := b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$  and  $x_1 := b(1 - W_{-1}(-e/a))$  iff  $a \geq e^2$ . From  $-1 < W_0(-e/a) < 0$  and  $-\infty < W_{-1}(-e/a) < -1$  for  $a > e^2$  follows  $b < x_0 < 2b$  and  $x_1 > 2b$ , which implies

$$f''(x_0) = \underbrace{-\frac{a}{b}}_{<0} \underbrace{(2 - \frac{x_0}{b})e^{-x_0/b}}_{>0} < 0$$

and

$$f''(x_1) = \underbrace{-\frac{a}{b}}_{<0} \underbrace{(2 - \frac{x_1}{b})e^{-x_1/b}}_{<0} > 0.$$

Hence  $x_0$  is a local maximum and  $x_1$  a local minimum if  $a > e^2$ . If  $a = e^2$ , then  $x_0 = x_1 = 2b$  and  $f''(2b) = 0$  and  $f'''(2b) = a/(e \cdot b)^2 \neq 0$ , yielding that  $x_0$  is a saddle point. In addition, we observe that  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x + \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} ae^{-x/b}x = \infty + 0 = \infty.$$

This proves our claims (g)-(j) by the intermediate value theorem, in particular, we deduce that  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  if  $0 \leq a \leq e^2$ .

(k) The first derivative for all  $x \in (0, \infty)$  is given by

$$f'(x) = a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} + 1.$$

As  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ , we only need to show that  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  due to the intermediate value theorem. Since

$$0 < e^{-x/b} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{x}{b} < 1$$

for all  $x > 0$ , we derive that

$$f'(x) = a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} + 1 > a + 1 \geq 0$$

for all  $x > 0$ , confirming our claim.

(l)-(o) Since  $f(0) = f(b \ln(|a|)) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ , we only need to show that  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $(b \ln(|a|), \infty)$  and has a unique local maximum on

$(0, b \ln(|a|))$ . Then the intermediate value theorem proves our claim. The first derivative is

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} -a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} - 1 & , x \in (0, b \ln(|a|)), \\ a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} + 1 & , x \in (b \ln(|a|), \infty). \end{cases}$$

We start with the proof that  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $(b \ln(|a|), \infty)$ . We have for  $x \in (b \ln(|a|), \infty)$  that

$$0 < e^{-x/b} < e^{-b \ln(|a|)/b} = |a|^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{x}{b} < 1 - \frac{b \ln(|a|)}{b} = 1 - \ln(|a|),$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= a(1 - \frac{x}{b})e^{-x/b} + 1 \geq a(1 - \ln(|a|))|a|^{-1} + 1 = -1 + \ln(|a|) + 1 \\ &= \ln(|a|) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $(b \ln(|a|), \infty)$ . Let us turn to the local maximum on  $(0, b \ln(|a|))$ . Setting  $z := 1 - \frac{x}{b} > 0$  for  $0 < x < b$ , we have the equivalences

$$0 = f'(x) \Leftrightarrow 0 = -az e^{-1} e^z - 1 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{e}{a} = z e^z.$$

Since  $z > 0$  and  $-\frac{e}{a} > 0$ , the unique solution of the last equation is given by  $z = W_0(-e/a)$ . This yields by resubstitution  $x_0 := b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$  and  $x_0 \in (0, b \ln(|a|))$  by Rolle's theorem. In addition, we observe that  $x_0 = b(1 - W_0(-e/a)) < b$ , giving

$$f''(x_0) = \underbrace{\frac{a}{b}}_{<0} \underbrace{(2 - \frac{x_0}{b})e^{-x_0/b}}_{>0} < 0.$$

Therefore  $x_0$  is a local maximum on  $(0, b \ln(|a|))$ .




FIGURE 1. Graph of  $f$  with (a)  $a = 1$  and  $b = -1$ , (b)  $a = -1$  and  $b = -5$ , (c)-(f)  $a = -1/2$  and  $b = -10$ , (g)  $a = e^2$  and  $b = 1$ , (h)-(j)  $a = 15$  and  $b = 1$ , (k)  $a = -1/2$  and  $b = 10$ , (l)-(o)  $a = -2$  and  $b = 10$ .

□

Due to the preceding propositions we obtain a solution of our problem.

**2.3. Theorem.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $E \neq \{0\}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , and  $Y \in E$ . Then the equation*

$$(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$$

*has in  $E$*

- (a) *a unique solution if  $a \geq 0$  and  $b < 0$ ,*
- (b) *a unique solution if  $a \leq -1$  and  $b < 0$ ,*
- (c) *the set of solutions  $\{0\} \cup \{X \in E \mid \|X\| = b \ln(|a|)\}$  if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $Y = 0$ ,*
- (d) *three solutions if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $0 < \|Y\| < (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (e) *two solutions if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $\|Y\| = (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (f) *a unique solution if  $-1 < a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  and  $\|Y\| > (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (g) *a unique solution if  $0 \leq a \leq e^2$  and  $b > 0$ ,*
- (h) *a unique solution if  $a > e^2$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $\|Y\| < (1 + ae^{W_{-1}(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_{-1}(-e/a))$  or  $\|Y\| > (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (i) *two solutions if  $a > e^2$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $\|Y\| = (1 + ae^{W_{-1}(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_{-1}(-e/a))$  or  $\|Y\| = (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (j) *three solutions if  $a > e^2$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $(1 + ae^{W_{-1}(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_{-1}(-e/a)) < \|Y\| < (1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (k) *a unique solution if  $-1 \leq a < 0$  and  $b > 0$ ,*
- (l) *the set of solutions  $\{0\} \cup \{X \in E \mid \|X\| = b \ln(|a|)\}$  if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $Y = 0$ ,*
- (m) *three solutions if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $0 < \|Y\| < -(1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (n) *two solutions if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $\|Y\| = -(1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ ,*
- (o) *a unique solution if  $a < -1$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $\|Y\| > -(1 + ae^{W_0(-e/a)-1})b(1 - W_0(-e/a))$ .*

*Proof.* All cases except for (c) and (l) are a direct consequence of our Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.1. Now, we turn to the cases (c) and (l). First, we observe that  $b \ln(|a|) > 0$ . Now, we only need to remark that  $(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = 0$  for some  $X \in E$  if and only if  $X = 0$  or  $\|X\| = b \ln(|a|)$ .  $\square$

Part (a) implies that our motivating equation (2) from the introduction is uniquely solvable.

- 2.4. Remark.**
- a) Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 remain valid if  $\|\cdot\|$  is replaced by an absolutely  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogeneous function  $p: E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , i.e. a function  $p$  such that  $p(\lambda X) = |\lambda|p(X)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - b) There are at least three solutions in (c) and (l) if  $E \neq \{0\}$ . Namely, choosing  $\tilde{X} \in E$  with  $\|\tilde{X}\| = 1$ , we always have the solutions  $X = 0$ ,  $X = b \ln(|a|)\tilde{X}$  or  $X = -b \ln(|a|)\tilde{X}$ . If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , then there are infinitely many  $\tilde{X} \in E$ ,  $\|\tilde{X}\| = 1$ , and so there are infinitely many solutions in (c) and (l).
  - c) Let  $E := \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and denote by  $\|\cdot\|_p$  with  $p \in [1, \infty]$  the  $p$ -norm and by  $\|\cdot\|_F$  the Frobenius norm on  $E$ . If  $\|\cdot\| \in \{\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \|\cdot\|_F\}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, n \geq 2$ , then there are infinitely many solutions in case (c) and (l). It suffices to show that there are infinitely many matrices  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $\|X\| = b \ln(|a|)$ . We choose  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 \leq c \leq b \ln(|a|)$ . If  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_F$ , we define  $X := (x_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  by  $x_{11} := \sqrt{b^2 \ln^2(|a|) - c^2}$ ,  $x_{12} := c$  and  $x_{ij} := 0$

else. Then we have  $\|X\|_F = \sqrt{x_{11}^2 + x_{12}^2} = b \ln(|a|)$ , which implies

$$(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|_F}{b}})X = 0 \cdot X = 0.$$

Since the maximal singular value of  $X$  is  $\sqrt{x_{11}^2 + x_{12}^2} = b \ln(|a|)$ , we have  $\|X\|_2 = b \ln(|a|) = \|X\|_F$ . Thus we have infinitely many solutions if  $\|\cdot\|$  is the 2-norm or the Frobenius norm. If  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_1$ , we define  $X := (x_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  by  $x_{11} := b \ln(|a|) - c$ ,  $x_{21} := c$  and  $x_{ij} := 0$  else. If  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_\infty$ , we define  $X := (x_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  by  $x_{11} := b \ln(|a|) - c$ ,  $x_{12} := c$  and  $x_{ij} := 0$  else. Then  $\|X\| = b \ln(|a|)$  if  $\|\cdot\|$  is the 1-norm or  $\infty$ -norm, which again implies that there are infinitely many solutions.

If  $n = m = 1$ , then there are only the three solutions  $X = 0$ ,  $X = b \ln(|a|)$  or  $X = -b \ln(|a|)$  from b).

- d) Let  $E \neq \{0\}$ ,  $Y \in E$  and  $y := \|Y\|$ . If  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  which converges to a solution  $x \in [0, \infty)$  of  $|1 + ae^{-x/b}|x = y$  with  $1 + ae^{-x/b} \neq 0$ , then the sequence given by  $X_k := (1 + ae^{-x_k/b})^{-1}Y \in E$  is well-defined if  $k$  is big enough and converges to the solution  $X := (1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}Y \in E$  of  $(1 + ae^{-\|X\|/b})X = Y$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_k - X\| &= \|(1 + ae^{-x_k/b})^{-1}Y - (1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}Y\| \\ &= |(1 + ae^{-x_k/b})^{-1} - (1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}| \|Y\| \end{aligned}$$

and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 + ae^{-x_k/b})^{-1} = (1 + ae^{-x/b})^{-1}$ . Thus, if we use a numerical method which produces a sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$ , we obtain by  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of matrices which converges to the solution  $X$ .

- e) In the MATLAB [2] **m-file** named **msolve** (see Appendix A) our propositions and theorem are used to solve the norm equation (6) with  $y := \|Y\|_F$  for given inputs  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} =: E$  w.r.t. to the Frobenius norm. The MATLAB function **fzero** is applied to compute the roots of  $g_y(x) := |1 + ae^{-x/b}|x - y$  in  $[0, \infty)$ . Then these roots are used to obtain the solutions of the matrix equation (3) via formula (5).
- f) Instead of **fzero** one might use the Newton-Raphson method to compute the roots of  $g_y$ , at least, in some of our cases. One of the difficulties of the Newton-Raphson method is the choice of suitable initial values  $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$  for the Newton iteration. For example in case (a) this can be solved since  $g'_y(x) = f'(x) > 0$  and  $g''_y(x) = f''(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , which implies that the Newton-Raphson method converges by [4, Satz 30, p. 229] for every initial value  $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$  with  $x_0 > x^*$  where  $x^*$  is the root of  $g_y$  in  $[0, \infty)$ . Using that

$$g_y(y) = (1 + ae^{-y/b})y - y = ae^{-y/b}y > 0$$

and that  $g_y$  is strictly increasing in case (a), we can choose  $x_0 := y$  as a suitable initial value.

#### APPENDIX A. **M-FILE** TO COMPUTE THE SOLUTIONS OF $(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$

As mentioned in Remark 2.4 e) the solutions of  $(1 + ae^{-\frac{\|X\|}{b}})X = Y$  in  $E = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, n \geq 2$ , for the Frobenius norm  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_F$  are computed in MATLAB using the following **m-file** named **msolve**.

```

1 function X=msolve(Y,a,b)
2 y=norm(Y,'fro'); % other norms like norm(Y,1), norm(Y,2)
3 % or norm(Y,Inf) are possible as well
4 if (nargin ~= 3 || b==0 || min(size(Y))<2)
5     error('Inputs have the wrong dimensions!');
6 end

```

```

7 % Simple cases where no calculation is needed
9
10 if (y==0) && ((-1<a && a<0 && b<0) || (a<-1 && b >0)) % cases (c) and
    (1)
11     fprintf('Infinitely many solutions!');
12 elseif (y==0) % special case of the other cases
13     X = Y;
14 elseif (y>0 && a==0) % special case of (a) and (g)
15     X = Y;
16 end
17
18 % Simple cases with unique solutions
19
20 if (y>0) && (0<a && b<0) % case (a)
21     x = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, y);
22     X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
23 elseif (y>0) && (a<=-1 && b<0) % case (b)
24     x = fzero(@(x) -(1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, y-b*log(2));
25     X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
26 elseif (y>0) && (a>0 && a<=exp(2) && b>0) % case (g)
27     x = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, y);
28     X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
29 elseif (y>0) && (-1<=a && a<0 && b>0) % case (k)
30     x = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, 2*y+b*log(-2*a));
31     X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
32 end
33
34 % Lambert W function for the more complicated cases
35
36 if (y>0) && ((-1<a && a<0 && b<0) || (a<-1 && b>0)) % for cases (d)-(f)
    % and (m)-(o)
37     z0 = lambertw(0, -exp(1)/a); % z0 = W_0(-e/a)
38     fz0 = (1+a*exp(z0-1))*b*(1-z0); % fz0 = f(b(1-W_0(-e/a)))
39     elseif (y>0) && (a>exp(2) && b>0) % for case (h)-(j)
40     z0 = lambertw(0, -exp(1)/a); % z0 = W_0(-e/a)
41     z1 = lambertw(-1, -exp(1)/a); % z1 = W_{-1}(-e/a)
42     fz0 = (1+a*exp(z0-1))*b*(1-z0); % fz0 = f(b(1-W_0(-e/a)))
43     fz1 = (1+a*exp(z1-1))*b*(1-z1); % fz1 = f(b(1-W_{-1}(-e/a)))
44 end
45
46 % The more complicated cases
47
48 if (-1<a && a<0 && b<0) && (y>0 && y<fz0) % case (d)
49     x1 = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, [0, b*(1-z0)]);
50     X1 = (1/(1+a*exp(-x1/b)))*Y;
51     xm = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, [b*(1-z0), b*log(-a)]);
52     Xm = (1/(1+a*exp(-xm/b)))*Y;
53     xr = fzero(@(x) -(1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, y+b*log(-a/2));
54     Xr = (1/(1+a*exp(-xr/b)))*Y;
55     X = [X1, Xm, Xr]; % three solutions stored in one matrix
56 elseif (-1<a && a<0 && b<0) && (y>0 && y==fz0) % case (e)
57     X1 = (1/(1+a*exp(z0-1)))*Y;
58     xr = fzero(@(x) -(1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, y+b*log(-a/2));
59     Xr = (1/(1+a*exp(-xr/b)))*Y;
60     X = [X1, Xr]; % two solutions stored in one matrix
61 elseif (-1<a && a<0 && b<0) && (y>0 && y>fz0) % case (f)
62     x = fzero(@(x) -(1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, y+b*log(-a/2));
63     X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
64 elseif (a>exp(2) && b>0) && (y>0) && (y>fz0) % case (h)
65     x = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, [b*(1-z1), y]);
66     X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
67 elseif (a>exp(2) && b>0) && (y>0) && (y<fz1) % case (h)

```



```

69      x = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[0,b*(1-z0)]);
      X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
71  elseif (a>exp(2) && b>0) && (y>0) && (y==fz0)           % case (i)
      Xl = (1/(1+a*exp(z0-1)))*Y;
73      xr = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[b*(1-z1),y]);
      Xr = (1/(1+a*exp(-xr/b)))*Y;
75      X = [Xl,Xr]; % two solutions stored in one matrix
      elseif (a>exp(2) && b>0) && (y>0) && (y==fz1)         % case (i)
77      Xr = (1/(1+a*exp(z1-1)))*Y;
      x1 = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[0,b*(1-z0)]);
79      Xl = (1/(1+a*exp(-x1/b)))*Y;
      X = [Xl,Xr]; % two solutions stored in one matrix
81  elseif (a>exp(2) && b>0) && (y>0) && (y<fz0 && y>fz1)     % case (j)
      x1 = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[0,b*(1-z0)]);
83      Xl = (1/(1+a*exp(-x1/b)))*Y;
      xm = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[b*(1-z0),b*(1-z1)]);
85      Xm = (1/(1+a*exp(-xm/b)))*Y;
      xr = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[b*(1-z1),y]);
87      Xr = (1/(1+a*exp(-xr/b)))*Y;
      X = [Xl,Xm,Xr]; % three solutions stored in one matrix
89  elseif (a<-1 && b>0) && (y>0 && y<-fz0)                   % case (m)
      x1 = fzero(@(x) -(1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[0,b*(1-z0)]);
91      Xl = (1/(1+a*exp(-x1/b)))*Y;
      xm = fzero(@(x) -(1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y,[b*(1-z0),b*log(-a)]);
93      Xm = (1/(1+a*exp(-xm/b)))*Y;
      xr = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, 2*y+b*log(-2*a));
95      Xr = (1/(1+a*exp(-xr/b)))*Y;
      X = [Xl,Xm,Xr]; % three solutions stored in one matrix
97  elseif (a<-1 && b>0) && (y>0 && y==fz0)                   % case (n)
      Xl = (1/(1+a*exp(z0-1)))*Y;
99      xr = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, 2*y+b*log(-2*a));
      Xr = (1/(1+a*exp(-xr/b)))*Y;
101     X = [Xl,Xr]; % two solutions stored in one matrix
      elseif (a<-1 && b>0) && (y>0 && y>-fz0)                 % case (o)
103     x = fzero(@(x) (1+a*exp(-x/b))*x-y, 2*y+b*log(-2*a));
      X = (1/(1+a*exp(-x/b)))*Y;
105 end
107 end

```

msolve.m

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth. On the Lambert W function. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 5(1):329–359, 1996.
- [2] MATLAB. *version 9.3.0.713579 (R2017b)*. The MathWorks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, 2017.
- [3] J. Plagge, A. Ricker, N. H. Kröger, P. Wriggers, and M. Klüppel. Efficient modeling of filled rubber assuming stress-induced microscopic restructurization. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 151:103291, 2020.
- [4] H. Späth. *Numerik*. Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1994.

TU HAMBURG, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, AM SCHWARZENBERG-CAMPUS 3, GEBÄUDE E,  
21073 HAMBURG, GERMANY  
E-mail address: karsten.kruse@tuhh.de