

Simulating the JUNO Neutrino Detectors

Srikanta Sinha

3A, Sharda Royale Apt.,
G. M.Palya, Bengaluru-560 075, INDIA.
email: sinha.srikanta@gmail.com

January 31, 2022

1 ABSTRACT

The JUNO Neutrino detector system is simulated using Monte-Carlo and analytical methods. A large number of proton decay events are also simulated. Preliminary results from this endeavour are presented in the present article.

2 INTRODUCTION

The existence of neutrinos was first postulated by Wolfgang Pauli [1] in order to explain the apparent violation of fundamental conservation laws in the phenomenon of nuclear beta decay. Still for a long time experimental searches failed to detect these highly elusive particles. This was because neutrinos interact with matter neither through the familiar electro-magnetic interactions nor through the nuclear strong interactions. Neutrinos interact with matter primarily through the nuclear weak interaction for which the interaction cross section at laboratory energies are extremely small, typically, say, of the order of 10.0^{-44} cm^2 .

However, there existed on this Earth (once upon a time- may their tribe increase!) daring and stubborn experimental physicists like Cowan and Reines [2] who took up the ardent task to build a completely unconventional detector that consisted of tons of an organic liquid scintillation detector placed it underground. It is crucial for this type of experiments to keep the detector very deep underground (typically, say, at a depth of 1km or more- more is better) since the Earth's surface is incessantly bombarded by high energy secondary particles that result from the interactions of high energy primary cosmic ray particles (mainly protons, alpha particles and numerous other species of heavier nuclei) that originate somewhere in the Universe and strike the Earth's atmosphere from outside. Majority of the secondary particles produced are either electrons or positrons or a few mesons or hadrons which are rather easily filtered out by the Earth's surface. But there are again some utterly useless (only for this type of experiments, they are truly very interesting and the subjects of studies in other type of experiments) ones which penetrate the Earth's surface and are detectable in sufficiently large (relatively speaking) numbers even in laboratories located deep underground. This is the source of much trouble and hence one has to have sufficient strength and courage to take up this type of investigations. However, mother Nature always favours Her children who are brave, honest and hard-working. Therefore, Reines and

Cowan became the first two to discover the fact that neutrinos are not the objects of fantasy of mad people but they really exist in this Universe. Thus the neutrino show began.

Though we are able to see (i.e. detect) only a few neutrino events say, in a year of operation, even with large (say, about a few hundred tons) detectors, their actual flux is very high (on the Earth's surface neutrinos that arrive do not have the same origin- some of them are produced in the core of the mighty Sun- in the thermonuclear fusion reactions that fuse hydrogen into helium and produce energy, in Supernovae explosions which are the cataclysmic explosions that mark the deaths of massive stars, and a host of other astrophysical processes). Large fluxes of neutrinos are produced in nuclear fission reactors that are used by many countries for power generation. In high energy particle accelerators such as the LHC, high energy neutrinos are produced when short-lived particles (there are a very large number of types of such particles) decay.

First confirmative observation of the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations came from the Japanese Super-Kamiokade (SUPER-K) experiment that operates in the Kamioka mines.

The stage is set now for new generation experiments and the JUNO experiment is one of the very important and promising, i.e. we hope that this experiment has the much needed high fiducial mass, very good energy resolution and other parameters which will lead to the solution of the fundamental questions being raised in this field.

So, at the present moment of GREAT IGNORANCE, what are the burning questions of neutrino physics ?

Question 1: What is the neutrino mass hierarchy ? Let us try to be slightly more explicit. Neutrinos come in three different flavours (i.e. there are three (so far observed) distinct species of neutrinos- (i) the electron neutrino (ν_e), (ii) the muon neutrino (ν_{μ}), and (iii) the tau neutrino (ν_{τ}). The important thing is we do not have answer to this question: which of these neutrinos' mass is the least, which of these three types is the heaviest and which neutrino species' mass lies midway between the other two.

Question 2: What are the precise values of the neutrino oscillation parameters ?

To understand this question correctly, first we have to understand what is meant by neutrino oscillations ?

As we said earlier neutrinos come in three distinct flavours or types. Now, if we set up an experiment, say, to study a particular type of neutrino, say,

the electron type neutrino, (ν_e), we end up seeing somewhat less number of neutrino events than what we expect to see. Now, this seems to be a paradox. It appears that some of these neutrinos have simply disappeared from the scene ! There is a belief among ordinary people (and physicists also seem to share this belief that something which truly existed cannot simply vanish or into non-existence). Something that have disappered must reappear in some other form, may be in a different space-time point. Truly, this belief was reinforced again by the discovery of the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations. If an electron neutrino (ν_e) disapperas it reappears again as a different neutrino species- either as a muon neutrino (ν_{mu}) or as a tao neutrino (ν_{tau}). But there is one important thing to remeber: the probability (the fraction of times) of an electron neutrino (ν_e) transforming into a muon neutrino (ν_{mu}) is not the same of that transforming into a tao neutrino (ν_{tau}). This probability again is a function of (i.e. dependent upon) other physical parameters, like energy of the neutrino etc.

Extremely large volumes (several tens of meters in diameter and height) and massive (few kilotons or even a few tens of kilotons) are needed to have meaningfully large number of detections per year.

Water Cerenkov detectors or Liquid Scintillator based detector systems are generally used for this type of experiments. The mean energies of neutrin-
 os and their spectral energy distributions produced in different natural and man-made processes are, however, quite different.

The JUNO detector has been designed in such a way that the data obtained from this experiment will help the physicists answer the above mentioned questions.

In addition to the above mentioned topics, the JUNO detector will also study (i) neutrinos produced in supernova explosions, (ii) atmospheric and geo-neutrinos, and (iii) proton decay events.

3 THE DETECTOR SYSTEM

The JUNO (Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory) experiment is located at Kaiping, Jiangmen in Southern China [3]. The primary aim of this experiment is to study reactor neutrinos. Hence it is located at a mean distance of 53 km from two Nuclear Power Plants (Yangshing 4 cores and Taishin, 6 cores). To shield the detectors from the large flux of high energy muons resulting from cosmic ray interactions in the Earth's atmosphere, the

laboratory is located under the Dashi hill (overburden of 700 m rocks).

The details of the JUNO NEUTRINO DETECTOR SYSTEM is available elsewhere[2].

The detector system consists of three distinct SUBSYSTEMs, viz. (i) the CENTRAL detector, (ii) the VETO detector, and (iii) the MUON TRACKER system.

The JUNO CENTRAL detector consists of a huge spherical chamber (having a wall made of Acrylic and having a diameter equal to 35.4 m). This spherical chamber is filled with nearly 20 kilotons of Linear Acrylic Benzene (LAB) based liquid scintillator. The fluor material used is PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole). The doping concentration is 3 g/L of the liquid. An additional wavelength shifter material (p-bis-(omethylstyryl)-benzene) or (bis-MSB) is used. Its concentration is 15 mg/L. This large volume of the liquid scintillator is viewed by two DISTINCT PMT (photo-multiplier) systems. The LARGE PMT (LPMT) system consists of 18,000 PMTs (each having diameter equal to 20 inches). The SMALL PMT (LPMT) system consists of 25,000 PMTs (each having diameter equal to 3 inches). The combined photo-cathode coverage is larger than 75%. The smaller PMTs have less dark noise and better temporal response compared to the larger ones.

The energy resolution of the JUNO CENTRAL detector is expected to be about 3% at 1 MeV.

The Acrylic sphere containing the LAB based liquid scintillator is completely enclosed within a larger CYLINDRICAL chamber filled with ultra-pure water (Fig.1). This cylindrical chamber has a diameter equal to 43.5 m and a height equal to 44 m. This water volume is viewed by 2,000 additional large (20 inch diameter) PMTs. This water volume serves as a Cerenkov VETO detector. It helps in eliminating MUON induced events and also acts as a SHIELD against the radiation from the rocks.

On top of the cylindrical chamber there is a MUON TRACKING DETECTOR.

4 SIMULATING THE DETECTORS and EVENTS

Some details about the simulation methods and procedures for the particle and photon interactions (electro-magnetic processes, such as the photo-electric interaction, coherent and incoherent scattering of photons, pair production etc.) are available elsewhere [4], [5].

The detector geometrical configuration (the inner SPHERICAL detector and the outer CYLINDRICAL VETO detector) including the position of each PMT are calculated using standard analytical formulae. Since in the present case we are dealing with high energy phenomena, we have to use Monte Carlo codes to simulate high energy photon and particle interactions that ultimately produce electro-magnetic cascades in the detection medium. For this purpose we use the simulation procedures described in [4], [5] and the MC code developed originally by Vatcha [6]. However, we have restructured and significantly modified the original code and use this for the present simulations instead of any standard code, eg. GEANT4 etc. The photon interaction cross-sections for different processes are calculated using the XCOM program developed by Berger and Hubbell [7].

As first trials we inject gamma rays (a total number of 6000 events) exactly at the center of the JUNO central detector and let them interact within the detector volume. The scintillation photons are collected by the PMTs (both the LPMTs and the SPMTs) and converted to a number of photo- electrons. These photo-electrons are then multiplied in the dynode chains within the photo-tubes and the resulting charges are converted into pulse heights using a suitable capacitance.

4.1 Simulation of Proton Decay Events:

We have tried to simulate nucleon (in the present case only protons are considered) decay events within the JUNO central detector. A total of 2000 such events are generated. These events are distributed within a fiducial volume of 15 meter radius (spherical volume). Only the $(e^+ - \pi_0)$ decay mode of the proton is considered. The resulting decay products are allowed to interact further within the scintillation detector. The total number of scintillation photons are very large in this case, since the proton rest mass is close to 1GeV . We should mention that we consider only the decay of protons at rest. Nuclear effects are not taken into account in the present calculations.

Another important aspect of the new MC code is that it is written almost entirely using the FORTRAN 90/95 language.




Figure 1: The JUNO CENTRAL DETECTOR

5 RESULTS

A computer simulated image of the JUNO central detector is shown in Fig.1. Since the number of PMTs is too large, all the individual PMTs are not resolved in the figure. The large PMTs (each of them having a diameter of 20 inches) are shown in red color, while the small PMTs (each of them having a diameter of 3 inches) are shown in green color. The simulated image of the JUNO central detector enclosed within the JUNO cylindrical VETO detector is shown in Fig.2. We show the pulse height spectrum that results from $1MeV$ gamma rays interacting at the center of the JUNO central detector. The scintillation photons that are collected by the PMTs are converted into photo-electrons. These photo-electrons undergo secondary multiplication in the dynode chain within the PMTs. We have made an attempt to simulate nucleon (in the present case only protons) decay events within the JUNO central detector. Fig.4 shows the frequency distribution of the number of photo-electrons that are seen by the central detector PMTs.




Figure 2: The JUNO CENTRAL DETECTOR enclosed within the VETO (CYLINDRICAL) DETECTOR

6 DISCUSSIONS and CONCLUSION

Detailed physics have to be incorporated to make the simulations much more realistic. Some of these processes have already been included in the latest procedures and test runs taken. Careful checking is required. These will help in getting accurate estimates of physical parameters. The simulation procedures are to be used to create different event topologies.

7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude to all my teachers, especially to (Late) A.N. Ghosh, (Late) K. Sivapasad, Prof. A.K. Biswas and Dr. B.K. Chatterjee. They had been and still remain great sources of inspiration and support to me. I am greatly indebted to (Late) Prof. John H. Hubbell of the NIST for kindly providing me the XCOM software. All the photon interaction cross-sections used in the present work are calculated using this program. I also thank the JUNO collaboration to make lot of information




Figure 3: The JUNE pulse height spectrum for photons (energy 1MeV) interacting within the CENTRAL DETECTOR

available about this great experiment on their website.

References

- [1] The Desperate Remedy-Dear Radio-active Ladies and Gentlemen- W. Pauli, ETH, Zurich, 4th Dec, 1930
- [2] Cowan, C.L. Jr.; Reines, F.; Harrison, F. B.; Kruse, H.W.; McGuire, A.D. (1956). "Detection of the free neutrino: A confirmation". *Science*, 124 (3212): 103-104
- [3] Status and Perspectives of the JUNE Experiment-Giaz Agnese, arXiv preprint arXiv: 1804.03575 v1 [physics.ins-det]
- [4] Simulation of X-ray and gamma ray detector response and spectral deconvolution-Sinha Srikanta, *Advances in Space Research*, Vol. 38, Issue 12, 2006. p 3005-3007.




Figure 4: The frequency distribution of the number of photo-electrons in proton decay events. The peak corresponds to almost 2.5 million photo-electrons.

- [5] A High Energy Electron and Photon Detector
Simulation System- Sinha Srikanta,
arXiv preprint arXiv: 0810.0459.
- [6] Vatcha R H, Ph. D thesis (unpublished, TIFR-1972)
- [7] Berger and Hubbell, XCOM, 19