Carmichael numbers for GL($m$)

Eugene Karolinsky and Dmytro Seliutin

Department of Pure Mathematics, Kharkiv National University, Ukraine

Abstract

We propose a generalization of Carmichael numbers, where the multiplicative group $G_m = \text{GL}(1)$ is replaced by $\text{GL}(m)$ for $m \geq 2$. We prove basic properties of these families of numbers and give some examples.
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1 Introduction

Recall that a composite number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is called Carmichael if $a^{n-1} = 1$ for any $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. In other words, Carmichael numbers are Fermat pseudoprimes to all values of $a$ (coprime to $n$).

Recently, various generalizations and analogues of Carmichael numbers were proposed, see, e.g., [2, 4, 6] and references therein. In this paper, we introduce a different analogue of Carmichael numbers, where the multiplicative group $G_m = \text{GL}(1)$ is replaced by $\text{GL}(m)$ for $m \geq 2$. Namely, we start with the exponent $K_m(p)$ of the group $\text{GL}(p, \mathbb{F}_p)$, extrapolate it naturally to all naturals as $K_m(n)$, and then define a composite number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be $m$-Carmichael if $A^{K_m(n)} = I$ for all $A \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, “classical” Carmichael numbers essentially are recovered as 1-Carmichael.

We study basic properties of $m$-Carmichael numbers, including an analogue of the Korselt’s criterion for a number to be Carmichael in terms of its prime divisors. This criterion appears practical for numbers of reasonable size, and we compute all $m$-Carmichael numbers less or equal than $10^5$ for $2 \leq m \leq 10$. We also describe the structure of $m$-Carmichael numbers with given prime factors.

Some properties of $m$-Carmichael numbers for $m \geq 2$ appear to be rather different from those of “classical” Carmichael numbers. Namely, $m$-Carmichael numbers for $m \geq 2$ need not to be squarefree or odd. Moreover, all prime powers are $m$-Carmichael for $m \geq 2$. Possible explanation of these
phenomena is the fact that the groups $GL(m)$ for $m \geq 2$ contain (many copies of) the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define $m$-Carmichael numbers and discuss their basic properties. The main result of the paper is Theorem 2.8, an analogue of the Korselt’s criterion for $m$-Carmichael numbers. In Section 3 we consider the distribution of $m$-Carmichael numbers with prescribed prime factors, giving several particular examples, and summarizing the general pattern in Theorem 3.5. In Section 4 we list some open questions and discuss possible generalizations. In Appendix, we describe our computations of relatively small $m$-Carmichael numbers.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $p$ a prime number. In particular, $\prod_{p|n}$ means a product taken over all prime divisors of $n$.

2 $m$-Carmichael numbers

Let $\Phi_k(X)$ be the $k$th cyclotomic polynomial. The following proposition is well known, but for the reader’s convenience we present a proof.

Proposition 2.1. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\text{lcm}(a - 1, a^2 - 1, \ldots, a^m - 1) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \Phi_k(a).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction with an obvious base. We have

$$\text{lcm}(a - 1, a^2 - 1, \ldots, a^m - 1) = \text{lcm} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \Phi_k(a), a^m - 1 \right) =$$

$$= \left( \prod_{d|m, d<m} \Phi_d(a) \right) \cdot \text{lcm} \left( \prod_{k|m, k<m} \Phi_k(a), \Phi_m(a) \right).$$

By [1, Theorem 5] we have $\gcd(\Phi_k(a), \Phi_l(a)) = 1$ unless $\frac{k}{l}$ is a prime power. Therefore,

$$\gcd \left( \prod_{k|m, k<m} \Phi_k(a), \Phi_m(a) \right) = 1,$$

and

$$\text{lcm} \left( \prod_{k|m, k<m} \Phi_k(a), \Phi_m(a) \right) = \Phi_m(a) \prod_{k|m, k<m} \Phi_k(a),$$

which finishes the proof.

Recall that the exponent of a (finite) group $G$ is the least common multiple of the orders of elements of $G$. 
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Theorem 2.2. \( \text{The exponent of } \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{F}_p) \text{ equals} \)

\[
p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \text{lcm}(p - 1, p^2 - 1, \ldots, p^m - 1) = p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \Phi_k(p).
\]

From now on we assume that \( m \geq 2 \).

Let us introduce the following notation:

\[
D_m(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \Phi_k(n),
\]

\[
\nabla_m(n) = \prod_{p|n, p < m} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor - 1},
\]

\[
K_m(n) = n \nabla_m(n) D_m(n).
\]

In this notation, the exponent of \( \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{F}_p) \) equals \( K_m(p) \).

Notice also that if \( p \geq m \), then \( p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor - 1} = 1 \). Therefore,

\[
\nabla_m(n) = \prod_{p|n, p < m} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor - 1}.
\]

Example 2.3. 1) We have \( \nabla_2(n) = 1 \), \( D_2(n) = (n - 1)(n + 1) \), thus \( K_2(n) = n(n - 1)(n + 1) \).

2) We have \( \nabla_3(n) = 1 \) for \( n \) odd, \( \nabla_3(n) = 2 \) for \( n \) even, and \( D_3(n) = (n - 1)(n + 1)(n^2 + n + 1) \). Therefore \( K_3(n) = n(n - 1)(n + 1)(n^2 + n + 1) \) for \( n \) odd and \( K_3(n) = 2n(n - 1)(n + 1)(n^2 + n + 1) \) for \( n \) even.

Definition 2.4. A composite number \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) is called an \( m \)-Carmichael number if \( A^{K_m(n)} = I \) for all \( A \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \).

First, we show that any prime power is an \( m \)-Carmichael number. For this purpose, we need two simple lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. If \( a \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( D_m(a) \mid D_m(a^k) \).

Proof. Consider \( D_m(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \Phi_k(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \). Then, since all roots of \( D_m(X) \) are simple, and each root of \( D_m(X) \) is a root of \( D_m(X^k) \), we have \( D_m(X) \mid D_m(X^k) \). Since the polynomial \( D_m(X) \) is monic, this implies the lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let \( B \in \text{Mat}(m, \mathbb{Z}), B \equiv I \mod p \). Then for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( B^{p^k-1} \equiv I \mod p^k \).

Proof. By the binomial theorem, we have \( B^p \equiv I \mod p^2 \). Then use induction.
Proposition 2.7. If \( k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1 \), then \( p^k \) is an \( m \)-Carmichael number.

Proof. Let \( A \in \text{Mat}(m, \mathbb{Z}) \), \( \gcd(\det A, p) = 1 \). By Theorem 2.2, we have \( B := A^{K_{m}(p)} \equiv I \mod p \). Therefore, by Lemma 2.6, we have \( B^{p^{k-1}} \equiv I \mod p^k \). Since \( \nabla_m(p^k) = \nabla_m(p) \) and, by Lemma 2.3, \( D_m(p) \mid D_m(p^k) \), the equation \( B^{p^{k-1}} = A^{pK_{m}(p)}D_m(p) \equiv I \mod p^k \) implies \( A^{K_{m}(p^k)} = A^{pK_{m}(p)}D_m(p^k) \equiv I \mod p^k \).

Now, we present the main theorem of the paper, a Korselt type criterion for a number to be \( m \)-Carmichael.

Theorem 2.8. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be composite. The following are equivalent:

1. \( n \) is an \( m \)-Carmichael number,
2. if \( p \mid n \), then \( D_m(p) \mid K_m(n) \).

Proof. 1) Let \( D_m(p) \mid K_m(n) \) for all \( p \mid n \). Since \( p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}\nabla_m(p) \mid K_m(n) \) and \( \gcd(p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}\nabla_m(p), D_m(p)) = 1 \), we also have \( p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}\nabla_m(p)D_m(p) \mid K_m(n) \) for all \( p \mid n \).

Now consider \( A \in \text{Mat}(m, \mathbb{Z}), \gcd(\det A, n) = 1 \). By Theorem 2.2, we have \( A^{pK_{m}(p)}D_m(p) \equiv I \mod p \) for all \( p \mid n \). By Lemma 2.6 this implies \( A^{p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}\nabla_m(p)}D_m(p) \equiv I \mod p^{\text{ord}_p(n)} \), and thus, \( A^{K_{m}(n)} \equiv I \mod p^{\text{ord}_p(n)} \) for all \( p \mid n \). By the Chinese remainder theorem, this implies \( A^{K_{m}(n)} \equiv I \mod n \). Therefore, \( n \) is an \( m \)-Carmichael number.

2) Conversely, assume that for some \( p \mid n \) we have \( D_m(p) \nmid K_m(n) \). Since \( D_m(p) = \text{lcm}(p-1, p^2 - 1, \ldots, p^m - 1) \), there exists \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \) such that \( p^k - 1 \nmid K_m(n) \).

We construct an \( A \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \) of order \( p^k - 1 \). Therefore, \( A^{K_{m}(n)} \neq I \), and \( n \) is not \( m \)-Carmichael.

To this end, let \( \alpha \) be a generator of the cyclic group \( \mathbb{F}_p^\times \). Consider the polynomial \( (X - \alpha)(X - \alpha^p) \ldots (X - \alpha^{p^{k-1}}) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \), and let \( B \in \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{F}_p) \) be its accompanying matrix. Then \( B \) is of order \( p^k - 1 \), and the same is true for \( C = \text{diag}(B, I) \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{F}_p) \). Lift \( C \) to an element of \( \text{Mat}(m, \mathbb{Z}) \), so in particular \( C^{p^k - 1} \equiv I \mod p \). By Lemma 2.6 we have \( (C^{p^{\text{ord}_p(n)-1}})^{p^k - 1} \equiv I \mod p^{\text{ord}_p(n)} \). Moreover, since \( \gcd(p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}, p^k - 1) = 1 \), we see that \( C^{p^{\text{ord}_p(n)-1}} \mod p^{\text{ord}_p(n)} \) is also of order \( p^k - 1 \). Finally, by the Chinese remainder theorem, consider \( A \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( A \equiv C^{p^{\text{ord}_p(n)-1}} \mod p^{\text{ord}_p(n)} \) and, for example, \( A \equiv I \mod \frac{n}{p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}} \). By construction, \( A \) is of order \( p^k - 1 \), which finishes the proof.

Remark 2.9. Proposition 2.7 also easily follows from Theorem 2.8.

Moreover, applying Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.3 we get

Corollary 2.10. If \( n \) is an \( m \)-Carmichael number, and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( n^k \) is also an \( m \)-Carmichael number.
Finally, we present one necessary condition for a number to be $m$-Carmichael.

**Proposition 2.11.** Assume that $n$ is an $m$-Carmichael number. Then $n \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$.

**Proof.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be composite, $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$. Then $D_m(n)$ is odd, and thus $\text{ord}_2(K_m(n)) = \lceil \log_2 m \rceil$.

On the other hand, consider an odd $p | n$. Since $\Phi_2(p) = p^{2k} + 1$ is even for $k \geq 1$, and $8 | \Phi_1(p)\Phi_2(p) = p^2 - 1$, we have $\text{ord}_2(D_m(p)) \geq \lceil \log_2 m \rceil + 2 > \lceil \log_2 m \rceil$. Thus, $D_m(p) \nmid K_m(n)$, and $n$ is not $m$-Carmichael. \hfill \qed

### 3 $m$-Carmichael numbers having prescribed prime factors

The divisibility condition in Theorem 2.8 for small values of $m$ is transparent enough to find some infinite families of $m$-Carmichael numbers (apart of prime powers).

Let us start with $m = 2$. Denote by $d_2(p, n)$ the condition $D_2(p) | K_2(n)$, i.e., $p^2 - 1 | n(n^2 - 1)$. We have

- $d_2(2, n)$ is $3 | n(n^2 - 1)$, satisfied for all $n$.
- $d_2(3, n)$ is $8 | n(n^2 - 1)$, satisfied if and only if $n$ is odd or $8 | n$.
- $d_2(5, n)$ is $3 \cdot 8 | n(n^2 - 1)$, again satisfied if and only if $n$ is odd or $8 | n$.
- $d_2(7, n)$ is $3 \cdot 16 | n(n^2 - 1)$, satisfied if and only if $n \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8$ or $16 | n$.
- $d_2(11, n)$ is $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 | n(n^2 - 1)$, satisfied if and only if $d_2(5, n)$ and $5 | n(n^2 - 1)$ are satisfied; the latter is satisfied if and only if $n \equiv 0, \pm 1 \mod 5$.

Using the above the following propositions are proved by a direct application of Theorem 2.8.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a composite 7-smooth number which is not a prime power. Then $n$ is 2-Carmichael if and only if $n$ belongs to one of the following families:

1) $n = 2^k \cdot 3^l \cdot 5^r$, where $k \geq 3$,
2) $n = 2^k \cdot 3^l \cdot 5^r \cdot 7^s$, where $k \geq 4$, $s \geq 1$,
3) $n = 3^l \cdot 5^r$,
4) $n = 3^l \cdot 5^r \cdot 7^s$, where $l \equiv r \mod 2$, $s \geq 1$. \hfill \qed
Proposition 3.2. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be a composite 11-smooth number which is not 7-smooth and not a prime power. Then \( n \) is 2-Carmichael if and only if \( n \) belongs to one of the following families:

1) \( n = 2^k \cdot 3^l \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11^t, \) where \( k \geq 3, r \geq 1, \)
2) \( n = 2^k \cdot 3^l \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^s \cdot 11^t, \) where \( k \geq 4, r \geq 1, s \geq 1, \)
3) \( n = 2^k \cdot 3^l \cdot 11^l, \) where \( k \geq 3, k \equiv l \mod 2, \)
4) \( n = 2^k \cdot 3^l \cdot 7^s \cdot 11^t, \) where \( k \geq 4, s \geq 1, k + l + s \) is even,
5) \( n = 3^l \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^s \cdot 11^t, \) where \( r \geq 1, \)
6) \( n = 3^l \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^s \cdot 11^t, \) where \( r \geq 1, s \geq 1, l + r + t \) is even,
7) \( n = 3^l \cdot 11^l, \) where \( l \) is even,
8) \( n = 3^l \cdot 7^s \cdot 11^t, \) where \( s \geq 1, l \equiv s \equiv t \mod 2. \) \( \square \)

Now consider \( m = 3, 4. \) We restrict ourselves to composite numbers of the form \( n = 2^k3^l. \)

Proposition 3.3. Let \( n = 2^k3^l, \) where \( k,l \geq 1. \) Then \( n \) is 3-Carmichael if and only if \( k \geq 2, \) and \((k,l)\) belongs to one of the following families:

1) \( k \equiv 0 \mod 12, l \equiv 0, \pm 2, 3 \mod 6, \)
2) \( k \equiv \pm 2 \mod 12, l \equiv \pm 4 \mod 6, \)
3) \( k \equiv \pm 4 \mod 12, l \equiv 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4 \mod 6, \)
4) \( k \equiv 6 \mod 12, l \equiv 0 \mod 3. \)

Proposition 3.4. Let \( n = 2^k3^l, \) where \( k,l \geq 1. \) Then \( n \) is 4-Carmichael if and only if \( k \geq 3, \) and \((k,l)\) belongs to one of the families 1) – 4) in Proposition 3.3 or to one of the following families:

5) \( k \equiv \pm 1 \mod 12, l \equiv \pm 2 \mod 6, \)
6) \( k \equiv \pm 3 \mod 12, l \equiv 0 \mod 3, \)
7) \( k \equiv \pm 5 \mod 12, l \equiv \pm 4 \mod 6. \)

Proof of Propositions 3.3 and 3.4. We have \( D_3(2) = 3 \cdot 7, D_4(2) = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, \)
\( D_3(3) = 2^1 \cdot 13, D_4(3) = 2^1 \cdot 5 \cdot 13, \) \( \nabla_3(n) = 2, \) \( \nabla_4(n) = 2 \cdot 3. \) Therefore, \( n \) is 3-Carmichael if and only if \( K_3(n) = 2n(n^2 - 1)(n^2 + n + 1) \) is divisible by \( 2^1, 3, 7, \) and 13, which is equivalent to the conditions \( 4 \mid n \) (i.e., \( k \geq 2, \)
\( n \equiv \pm 1, 2, 4 \mod 7, n \equiv \pm 1, 3, 9 \mod 13. \) Similarly, \( n \) is 4-Carmichael if and only if \( K_4(n) = 6n(n^2 - 1)(n^2 + n + 1)(n^2 + 1) \) is divisible by \( 2^1, 3, 5, 7, \) and 13, which is equivalent to \( 8 \mid n \) (i.e., \( k \geq 3, \)
\( n \equiv \pm 1, 2, 4 \mod 7, n \equiv \pm 1, 3, 9, \pm 5 \mod 13. \) Since \( \# \mathbb{F}_7^\times = 6, \) \( \# \mathbb{F}_{13}^\times = 12, \) and \( 3 \mod 13 \) is of order 3, we see that the conditions on \( n \) modulo 7 and 13 depend only on \( k \mod 12, l \mod 6. \) The corresponding values of \( k \mod 12, l \mod 6 \) are obtained by a direct calculation. \( \square \)

Now we describe the general pattern of the distribution of \( m \)-Carmichael numbers with prescribed prime factors.

Let \( P \) be a finite nonempty subset of primes. Denote by \( D_m(P) \) the least common multiple of \( D_m(p) \) for all \( p \in P. \)
Let us say that \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) is a \( P \)-number, if \( n \) is divisible precisely by the primes in \( P \). By Theorem \( 3.5 \), a \( P \)-number \( n \) is \( m \)-Carmichael if and only if \( D_m(P) \mid K_m(n) \).

Further, write \( D_m(P) = D'_m(P)D''_m(P) \), where \( D'_m(P) \) is a product of primes in \( P \), and \( D''_m(P) \) is coprime to all \( p \in P \). Then a \( P \)-number \( n \) is \( m \)-Carmichael if and only if \( D'_m(P) \mid K_m(n) \) and \( D''_m(P) \mid K_m(n) \).

First, notice that, since \( n \mid K_m(n) \), \( \nabla_m(P) := \nabla_m(n) \) depends only on \( P \), and \( D'_m(P) \) is coprime to \( D_m(n) \), the condition \( D'_m(P) \mid K_m(n) \) is satisfied if \( \text{ord}_p n \geq \text{ord}_p D'_m(P) - \text{ord}_p \nabla_m(P) \) for all primes \( p \in P \).

Secondly, since a \( P \)-number \( n \) is, by construction, invertible modulo \( D''_m(P) \), we see that the condition \( D''_m(P) \mid K_m(n) \) depends only on the values of \( \text{ord}_p n \) mod \( \lambda(D''_m(P)) \) for \( p \in P \). Here \( \lambda \) is the Carmichael function, i.e., \( \lambda(D''_m(P)) \) is the exponent of the group \( (\mathbb{Z}/D''_m(P)\mathbb{Z})^\times \). Moreover, if \( p \in P \), let us denote by \( v_{m,P}(p) \) the order of \( p \) mod \( D''_m(P) \) in the group \( (\mathbb{Z}/D''_m(P)\mathbb{Z})^\times \). Then the condition \( D''_m(P) \mid K_m(n) \) depends only on the values of \( \text{ord}_p n \) mod \( v_{m,P}(p) \) for \( p \in P \).

Thus, we get the following

**Theorem 3.5.** For any \( p \in P \), the set of \( P \)-numbers which are \( m \)-Carmichael is invariant under multiplication by \( p^{v_{m,P}(p)} \).

**Corollary 3.6.** Assume that there exists a \( P \)-number which is \( m \)-Carmichael. Then there are infinitely many of them.

**Remark 3.7.** Corollary \( 3.6 \) follows also from Corollary \( 2.10 \).

All propositions of this section can be viewed as examples to Theorem \( 3.5 \). E.g., for \( m = 3 \) and \( P = \{2, 3\} \) we have \( D'_m(P) = 2^3 \cdot 3 \), \( D''_m(P) = 7 \cdot 13 \), and \( \lambda(7 \cdot 13) = \text{lcm}(6, 12) = 12 \), \( v_{m,P}(2) = 12 \), \( v_{m,P}(3) = 6 \), which is in accordance with Proposition \( 3.3 \).

## 4 Concluding remarks

We list some natural questions that remain open.

- For what \( m \) and \( P \) are there \( P \)-numbers which are \( m \)-Carmichael?

- Are there squarefree \( m \)-Carmichael numbers for \( m \geq 3 \)?

**Remark 4.1.** One can consider an analogous notion for other affine group schemes of finite type defined over \( \mathbb{Z} \). Namely, if \( G \) is such a group scheme, \( K_G(p) \) the exponent of the group \( G(\mathbb{F}_p) \), and \( K_G(n) \) its reasonable extrapolation to all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then one can consider \( G \)-Carmichael numbers, i.e., composite \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( g^{K_G(n)} = 1 \) for all \( g \in G(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \).
A  Numerical experiments

We also calculate, via brute force, all $m$-Carmichael numbers up to $10^5$ for $2 \leq m \leq 10$. Let us call an $m$-Carmichael number nontrivial if it is not a prime power. There are 1330 nontrivial 2-Carmichael numbers, 44 nontrivial 3-Carmichael numbers, and 28 nontrivial 4-Carmichael numbers on the researched interval. There are none nontrivial $m$-Carmichael numbers for $5 \leq m \leq 10$ on the researched interval.

Among 16 Carmichael numbers less than $10^5$, four, namely

$$1729 = 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19, \quad 2465 = 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 29, \quad 6601 = 7 \cdot 23 \cdot 41, \quad 41041 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 41,$$

are 2-Carmichael. None of these Carmichael numbers are $m$-Carmichael for $3 \leq m \leq 10$. Moreover, none of $m$-Carmichael numbers for $3 \leq m \leq 10$ on the researched interval are squarefree.

There are 18 numbers on the researched interval, namely

\begin{align*}
48 &= 2^4 \cdot 3, \\
1728 &= 2^6 \cdot 3^3, \\
19216 &= 2^{10} \cdot 3^2, \\
25600 &= 2^{10} \cdot 5^2, \\
34992 &= 2^4 \cdot 3^7, \\
62208 &= 2^8 \cdot 3^5, \\
&
\end{align*}

that are nontrivial $m$-Carmichael numbers for all $m \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, and one number, $22815 = 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13^2$, that is nontrivial 3-Carmichael and 4-Carmichael, but not 2-Carmichael.

Also, on the researched interval there are 19 numbers, namely

\begin{align*}
160 &= 2^5 \cdot 5, \\
800 &= 2^5 \cdot 5^2, \\
3136 &= 2^6 \cdot 7^2, \\
18144 &= 2^5 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7, \\
28672 &= 2^{12} \cdot 7, \\
34496 &= 2^6 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11, \\
85184 &= 2^6 \cdot 11^3, \\
&
\end{align*}

that are nontrivial 2- and 3-Carmichael, but not 4-Carmichael; 8 numbers, namely $216 = 2^3 \cdot 3^3, 1152 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2, 2592 = 2^5 \cdot 3^4, 4000 = 2^5 \cdot 5^3, 5832 = 2^3 \cdot 3^6, 13824 = 2^9 \cdot 3^3, 28800 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2, 73728 = 2^{13} \cdot 3^2$, that are nontrivial 2- and 4-Carmichael, but not 3-Carmichael; 6 numbers, namely $324 = 2^2 \cdot 3^4, 900 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2, 1404 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13, 39204 = 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 11^2, 74088 = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^3, 74536 = 2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^3$, that are nontrivial 3-Carmichael, but not 2- or 4-Carmichael. Finally, one number, $26112 = 2^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 17$, is nontrivial 4-Carmichael, but not 2- or 3-Carmichael.
Below we list all nontrivial 2-Carmichael numbers up to 3000 that are not treated by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 (i.e., not 11-smooth).

\[
egin{align*}
104 &= 2^3 \cdot 13 \\
273 &= 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \\
455 &= 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \\
624 &= 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 13 \\
736 &= 2^5 \cdot 23 \\
855 &= 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 19 \\
903 &= 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 29 \\
1048 &= 2^6 \cdot 17 \\
1265 &= 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 23 \\
1431 &= 3^3 \cdot 53 \\
1539 &= 3^4 \cdot 19 \\
1625 &= 5^3 \cdot 13 \\
1881 &= 3^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \\
2001 &= 3 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \\
2080 &= 2^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \\
2365 &= 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 43 \\
2465 &= 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \\
2624 &= 2^6 \cdot 41 \\
2808 &= 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13 \\
2925 &= 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13
\end{align*}
\]

We also managed to compute a few larger \(m\)-Carmichael numbers for \(m \geq 5\). For instance, \(2^{22} \cdot 3^2\) is 2- (by Proposition 3.1), 3- (by Proposition 3.3), 4- (by Proposition 3.4), 5- and 6-Carmichael, but not 7- or 8-Carmichael. Similarly, \(2^{286} \cdot 3^{36}\) is 2-, 6-, 7-, and 8-Carmichael, but not 3-, 4-, or 5-Carmichael.
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