

EULER-LIKE VECTOR FIELDS, NORMAL FORMS, AND ISOTROPIC EMBEDDINGS

ECKHARD MEINRENKEN

ABSTRACT. As shown in [5], germs of tubular neighborhood embeddings for submanifolds $N \subseteq M$ are in one-one correspondence with germs of Euler-like vector fields near N . In many contexts, this reduces the proof of ‘normal forms results’ for geometric structures to the construction of an Euler-like vector field compatible with the given structure. We illustrate this principle in a variety of examples, including the Morse-Bott lemma, Weinstein’s Lagrangian embedding theorem, and Zung’s linearization theorem for proper Lie groupoids. In the second part of this article, we extend the theory to a weighted context, with an application to isotropic embeddings.

To the memory of Hans Duistermaat.

1. INTRODUCTION

A vector field on a manifold M is called Euler-like with respect to a submanifold N if it vanishes along the submanifold, and its linear approximation is the Euler vector field on the normal bundle. It was observed in [5] that Euler-like vector fields determine tubular neighborhood embedding of the normal bundle in which they become *the* Euler vector field. This provides a 1-1 correspondence between germs of Euler-like vector fields and germs of tubular neighborhood embeddings.

Many normal form results for geometric structures along submanifolds $N \subseteq M$ amount to a construction of tubular neighborhood embeddings $\nu(M, N) \supseteq O \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ in which the given structure takes on a simple form – for example, it becomes linear or quadratic in an appropriate sense. Such normal forms may be reformulated as the existence of Euler-like vector fields that are compatible with the given structure. In [3, 5], this perspective was used to prove old and new ‘splitting theorems’ for Lie algebroids, Poisson structures, generalized complex structures, L_∞ -algebroids, and so on.

One of the purposes of this article is to explain applications to other types of normal form results, such as the Weinstein Lagrangian embedding theorem, normal forms for Morse-Bott functions, the Grabowski-Rodkievicz characterization of subbundles of vector bundles, and the linearizability of proper Lie groupoids. This part of the paper is largely expository, showing how all of these results can be proved along very similar lines.

Our second objective is to indicate a generalization to a *weighted* version of the theory. In this paper, we shall only consider the case of degrees 2 weightings – intuitively, this means that some directions normal to N will be treated as ‘second order’. More precisely, given a

subbundle of the normal bundle $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$, we define a filtration on functions by assigning weight 1 to functions vanishing along N , and weight 2 if furthermore the differential vanishes in the normal direction given by F . It turns out that these data determine a fiber bundle

$$\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \rightarrow N$$

which we call a *weighted normal bundle*. This fiber bundle is not naturally a vector bundle, but is a ‘graded bundle’ in the sense of Grabowski-Rodkiewicz [13]. There is a notion of Euler-like vector fields for this context, and given any such vector field one obtains a tubular neighborhood embedding $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \subseteq O \rightarrow M$.

As an application, if (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold and N is an isotropic submanifold, we consider the weighting defined by the subbundle $F = TN^\omega/TN$. We find that $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ canonically inherits a symplectic 2-form homogeneous of degree 2. We will show how to construct a weighted Euler-like vector field X satisfying $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 2\omega$, which then produces a tubular neighborhood embedding preserving symplectic forms. This may be seen as a version of Weinstein’s *isotropic embedding theorem* [25, 26], but with a local model that does not require a choice of connection.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to the referee for detailed comments and helpful suggestions. I also thank Francis Bischoff for suggesting the application to linearizations of groupoids, and Yiannis Loizides for discussions. This research was funded by an NSERC discovery grant.

Notation. We shall use the convention that the flow $(t, m) \mapsto \Phi_t(m)$ of a vector field X is described in terms of the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_X on functions $f \in C^\infty(M)$ by

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(\Phi_{-t}(m)) = (\mathcal{L}_X f)(\Phi_{-t}(m)),$$

with $\Phi_0(m) = m$. The flow is defined for (t, m) in some neighborhood of $\{0\} \times M$ in $\mathbb{R} \times M$. More generally, given a time dependent vector field X_t , we define Φ_t by the same formula, with \mathcal{L}_X replaced by \mathcal{L}_{X_t} . For a vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$, the *Euler vector field* \mathcal{E} is the vector field having scalar multiplication by e^{-t} as its flow. For $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, this is the vector field $\mathcal{E} = \sum_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.

2. EULER-LIKE VECTOR FIELDS ON \mathbb{R}^n

2.1. Linearization of Euler-like vector fields. Consider a vector field

$$(1) \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

with a critical point at 0, that is, $a_i(0) = 0$. The *linear approximation* of X is the vector field $X_{[0]}$ obtained by replacing each coefficient function a_i with the linear term of its Taylor approximation. (The subscript signifies the degree of homogeneity with respect to scalar multiplication.) The vector field is called (smoothly) *linearizable* if there exists a germ of a diffeomorphism φ at 0 such that $\varphi^*X = X_{[0]}$. The *Sternberg linearization theorem* [22, 23] gives a sufficient condition: X is linearizable provided that the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of the matrix with entries

$$\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_j}(0)$$

are *non-resonant*, that is, sums $\lambda_{i_1} + \dots + \lambda_{i_N}$ with $N > 1$ (with possible repetitions) are *not* eigenvalues.

Sternberg's theorem applies, in particular, when all the eigenvalues are equal to 1. Here, the linear approximation $X_{[0]}$ is the *Euler vector field*

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

In this very special case, a short Moser-type argument is available [5], with an explicit choice for φ :

Lemma 2.1. *If $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is Euler-like, there exists a unique germ at 0 of a diffeomorphism φ of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $D\varphi(0) = \text{id}$, and*

$$\varphi^* X = \mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. Since X has linear approximation $X_{[0]} = \mathcal{E}$, the family of vector fields, for $t \neq 0$,

$$X_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i(tx)}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

extends smoothly to $t = 0$, by $X_0 = \mathcal{E}$. The t -derivative of this vector field is

$$\frac{dX_t}{dt} = \frac{1}{t} [\mathcal{E}, X_t].$$

Define a family of vector fields, for $t \neq 0$,

$$(2) \quad W_t = \frac{1}{t} (X_t - \mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i(tx) - tx_i}{t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i};$$

again this extends smoothly to $t = 0$. Let $t \mapsto \Phi_t$ be the (germ of) flow of the time dependent vector field W_t , with $\Phi_0 = \text{id}$. The calculation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_{-t}^* X_t = \Phi_{-t}^* \left([W_t, X_t] + \frac{dX_t}{dt} \right) = \Phi_{-t}^* \left([W_t + \frac{1}{t} \mathcal{E}, X_t] \right) = \Phi_{-t}^* \left[\frac{1}{t} X_t, X_t \right] = 0$$

shows that $\Phi_{-t}^* X_t$ is constant. Hence, $\varphi = \Phi_{-1}$ satisfies

$$\varphi^* X = \Phi_{-1}^* X_1 = \Phi_0^* X_0 = \mathcal{E}.$$

For any given t , the vector field W_t vanishes to second order at $x = 0$, hence its flow satisfies $D\Phi_t(0) = \text{id}$ for all t ; hence also $D\varphi(0) = \text{id}$. This proves existence; the uniqueness follows because the germ at 0 of a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n preserving the Euler vector field is the germ of a map commuting with scalar multiplication, hence the germ of a *linear* map. \square

2.2. Applications. Here are some applications of the lemma to well-known classical results.

Example 2.2 (Morse Lemma [20]). Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a smooth function with a non-degenerate critical point at 0, and with $f(0) = 0$. Morse's Lemma states that there is a diffeomorphism φ , defined near 0, such that $\varphi^* f$ is quadratic. Here is a proof using Euler-like vector fields. By Taylor's theorem

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} A_{ij}(x) x_i x_j$$

for a smooth matrix-valued function $x \mapsto A(x)$ with $A_{ij}(x) = A_{ji}(x)$. The derivatives of f are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k B_{jk}(x) x_k$$

with $B_{jk} = A_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{\partial A_{jk}}{\partial x_r} x_r$. Since $B(0) = A(0)$ is invertible, the matrix-valued function $x \mapsto B(x)$ is invertible for x close to 0. Consider the vector field, defined for x close to 0,

$$X = \sum_{ij} (A(x)B(x)^{-1})_{ij} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Since AB^{-1} is the identity matrix up to higher order terms, this vector field is Euler-like, hence it determines a germ of a diffeomorphism φ with $\varphi^*X = \mathcal{E}$. On the other hand, X satisfies $\mathcal{L}_X f = 2f$. Pulling back under φ , we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi^* f = 2\varphi^* f$. But this just means that $\varphi^* f$ is homogeneous of degree 2, i.e., quadratic. Q.E.D.

See [1, 2, 21] for Moser-type proofs of Morse's lemma; in our approach the Moser argument is incorporated in Lemma 2.1. Note that this argument gives a canonical choice for the coordinate change φ .

The following proof of Darboux's theorem elaborates on a remark in the introduction of [15]. See [28] for the standard 'Moser-type' proof.

Example 2.3 (Darboux theorem). Let $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a closed 2-form, which is nondegenerate at 0. Darboux's theorem states that there exists a germ of a diffeomorphism φ such that $\varphi^*\omega$ is constant. Using Euler-like vector fields, this can be proved as follows. Let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the primitive of ω given by Poincaré's lemma, and let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the vector field defined by $\iota_X \omega = 2\alpha$. From the coordinate expressions

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij} dx_i dx_j + \dots, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij} x_i dx_j + \dots,$$

(where the dots indicate terms cubic and higher in x_i) we see that X equals the Euler vector field $\mathcal{E} = \sum x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ up to higher order terms. Thus, X is Euler-like, and determines a germ of a diffeomorphism φ such that $\varphi^*X = \mathcal{E}$. On the other hand, pulling back the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_X \omega = d\iota_X \omega = 2d\alpha = 2\omega$$

under φ we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi^* \omega = 2\varphi^* \omega$. This means that the 2-form $\varphi^* \omega$ is homogeneous of degree 2, hence its coefficients are constant. Q.E.D.

2.3. Weighted Euler-like vector fields on \mathbb{R}^n . The discussion in Section 2.1 has the following generalization to a *weighted* (or *quasi-homogeneous*) setting. Let (w_1, \dots, w_n) with $w_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be a 'weight sequence', and consider the corresponding weighted scalar multiplication

$$(3) \quad \kappa_t: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (t^{w_1} x_1, \dots, t^{w_n} x_n).$$

Then $s \mapsto \kappa_{\exp(-s)}$ is the flow of the weighted Euler vector field

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

A vector field $X = \sum_i a_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ will be called *weighted Euler-like* if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \kappa_t^* X = \mathcal{E}$. Equivalently, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-w_i} a_i(\kappa_t(x)) = w_i x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We think of X as a perturbation of \mathcal{E} by higher order terms, where the ‘order’ is the weighted order of vanishing.

Example 2.4. Consider \mathbb{R}^2 with weights $(w_1, w_2) = (1, 2)$. The vector field

$$(4) \quad (x + y^m) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

is weighted Euler-like for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ since the perturbation term $y^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ has total weight $2m - 1 > 0$. On the other hand,

$$(5) \quad x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (2y + x^m) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

is weighted Euler-like only if $m \geq 3$, since the perturbation term $x^m \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ has total weight $m - 2$. Note that these are resonant cases from the perspective of Sternberg’s theorem.

Lemma 2.5. *If $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is weighted Euler-like for some weight (w_1, \dots, w_n) , there exists a germ at 0 of a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $D\varphi(0) = \text{id}$, and*

$$\varphi^* X = \mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as for the unweighted case, Lemma 2.1. We observe that the family of vector fields

$$X_t = \kappa_t^* X = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i(\kappa_t(x))}{t^{w_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

extends smoothly to $t = 0$, with $X_0 = \mathcal{E}$, and that $\frac{dX_t}{dt} = \frac{1}{t}[\mathcal{E}, X_t]$. We obtain a time dependent vector field W_t , given by $W_t = \frac{1}{t}(X_t - \mathcal{E})$ for $t \neq 0$. Letting Φ_t be its flow, the same calculation as in the unweighted case shows that $\Phi_{-t}^* X_t$ is constant. Consequently, $\varphi = \Phi_{-1}$ has the desired properties. \square

Example 2.6. In particular, we see that vector fields (4) for $m \geq 1$ and (5) for $m \geq 3$ are linearizable. The coordinate changes are explicitly given by $\tilde{x} = x + y^m/(1 - 2m)$, $\tilde{y} = y$ for (4), and $\tilde{x} = x$, $\tilde{y} = y + x^m/(m - 2)$ for (5). Note that even though (4) for $m = 1$ is linear in the usual sense, the term $y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is considered a higher order perturbation for the weighted setting, and Lemma 2.5 gives a diffeomorphism removing this term. On the other hand, (5) for $m = 2$ is known to be a non-linearizable vector field. The non-linearizability may be seen by explicitly solving the corresponding ODE, and comparing the resulting phase portrait with that of the linearized ODE.

Remark 2.7. The diffeomorphism φ in Lemma 2.5 is not unique: in the example of \mathbb{R}^2 with weights $(1, 2)$, the nonlinear diffeomorphism $\psi(x, y) = (x, y + x^2)$ commutes with κ_t , hence preserves \mathcal{E} , but $D\psi(0) = \text{id}$. Thus, if φ^* takes X to \mathcal{E} , then so does $(\varphi \circ \psi)^*$.

However, one finds that φ is uniquely determined if we require that its *weighted* linear approximation is the identity, in the sense that $\kappa_t^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \kappa_t \rightarrow \text{id}$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.

3. EULER-LIKE VECTOR FIELDS FOR SUBMANIFOLDS

Consider the category of manifold pairs. Objects in this category are pairs (M, N) consisting of a manifold M with a closed submanifold N ; the morphisms $\Phi: (M', N') \rightarrow (M, N)$ in this category are the smooth maps $\Phi: M' \rightarrow M$ taking N' into N . The *normal bundle functor* associates to every object (M, N) the normal bundle

$$\nu(M, N) = TM|_N / TN,$$

and to every morphism $\Phi: (M', N') \rightarrow (M, N)$ the corresponding vector bundle morphism $\nu(\Phi): \nu(M', N') \rightarrow \nu(M, N)$. For example, any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ that is tangent to N may be seen as a morphism $X: (M, N) \rightarrow (TM, TN)$, and applying the normal bundle functor

$$\nu(X): \nu(M, N) \rightarrow \nu(TM, TN) \cong T\nu(M, N)$$

we obtain the linear approximation $X_{[0]} = \nu(X) \in \mathfrak{X}(\nu(M, N))$.

Definition 3.1. [5] A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is *Euler-like* for (M, N) if it is tangent to N , with linear approximation $X_{[0]} = \mathcal{E}$ the Euler vector field of the normal bundle.

Equivalently, Euler-like vector fields are characterized by the condition that for all functions f vanishing to order k on N ,

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{L}_X f - k f \text{ vanishes to order } k + 1 \text{ on } N.$$

Actually, it suffices to check this condition for $k = 1$.

Let $O \subseteq \nu(M, N)$ be a star-shaped open neighborhood of the zero section (that is, O is invariant under multiplication by scalars in $[0, 1]$). A morphism $\varphi: (O, N) \rightarrow (M, N)$ is called a *tubular neighborhood embedding* if φ is an embedding as an open subset $\varphi(O) = U \subseteq M$, and the linear approximation $\nu(\varphi)$ is the identity map on $\nu(M, N)$, using the standard identification $\nu(O, N) \cong \nu(M, N)$. (The normal bundle of the zero section of a vector bundle is canonically isomorphic to the vector bundle itself.) The tubular neighborhood embedding will be called *complete* if $O = \nu(M, N)$.

Suppose X is Euler-like for (M, N) , and let Φ_s^X be its (local) flow.

Theorem 3.2. [5] *An Euler-like vector field X for (M, N) determines a unique maximal tubular neighborhood embedding*

$$\nu(M, N) \supseteq O \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$$

such that $\varphi^ X = \mathcal{E}$. Its image is the open subset U consisting of all $m \in M$ for which the limit $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_s^X(m)$ exists and lies in N . The construction is functorial: Given a morphism $\Phi: (M', N') \rightarrow (M, N)$, and Φ -related Euler-like vector fields X, X' , the corresponding tubular neighborhood embeddings $\varphi: O \rightarrow M$, $\varphi': O' \rightarrow M'$ satisfy $\Phi(O') \subseteq O$ and $\varphi' \circ \nu(\Phi) = \Phi \circ \varphi$.*

Note that if X is complete, then U is invariant under Φ_s^X , and hence the tubular neighborhood embedding φ is complete.

According to the theorem, tubular neighborhood embeddings and Euler-like vector fields are essentially the same thing. The proof of Theorem 3.2 given in [5] is analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.1. The proof was given a clear geometric interpretation in the work of Haj-Higson [15], using deformation spaces, further details may be found in [3].

Remark 3.3. Euler-like vector fields for submanifolds appear in the 2016 paper by Nguyen Viet Dang [8], under the name of ‘Euler vector fields’. Theorem 3.2 may be deduced from the local uniqueness result [8, Theorem 1.3].

The construction of a normal form for a given geometric structure along a submanifold is typically the construction of a tubular neighborhood embedding in which that structure has a suitable homogeneity property (typically, linear or quadratic). By the theorem, this amounts to the construction of an Euler-like vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that the given structure has a corresponding infinitesimal homogeneity property with respect to X . This reformulation of the problem can be useful. For example, given an action of a compact Lie group G preserving the structure, one can average X under the group action, thus obtaining a G -invariant Euler-like vector field. The corresponding φ will then produce a G -equivariant normal form. In particular, one immediately gets G -equivariant versions of the Morse lemma and of Darboux’s theorem.

Example 3.4. Suppose $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a vector field tangent to N , and let $\nu(Y) \in \mathfrak{X}(\nu(M, N))$ be its linear approximation. A *linearization* of Y is a tubular neighborhood embedding φ such that $\varphi^*Y = \nu(Y)$. By Theorem 3.2, this is equivalent to the existence of an Euler-like vector field X for the pair (M, N) such that $[X, Y] = \mathcal{L}_X Y = 0$ near N . Indeed, in the tubular neighborhood embedding determined by X we then have that $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{E}(\varphi^*Y) = \varphi^*(\mathcal{L}_X Y) = 0$, which means that φ^*Y is linear and therefore coincides with the linear approximation. For $N = \text{pt}$, this observation was already used by Guillemin-Sternberg in their 1968 paper [14]. Note that for a compact Lie group G acting on M , preserving the submanifold N , if a G -invariant vector field Y is linearizable along N then it is also equivariantly linearizable.

4. APPLICATIONS

4.1. Weinstein’s Lagrangian neighborhood theorem. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, and $N \subseteq M$ a Lagrangian submanifold. Since ω pulls back to zero on N , it has a well-defined linear approximation

$$\omega_{[1]} \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^2(\nu(M, N)),$$

which is a linear 2-form on the normal bundle. Using that N is Lagrangian, one finds that $\omega_{[1]}$ is symplectic along the zero section, hence also on a neighborhood of the zero section, and consequently everywhere (by homogeneity). Weinstein’s normal form theorem [25] asserts the existence of a tubular neighborhood embedding $\nu(M, N) \supseteq O \rightarrow M$ preserving the symplectic structures. For a proof using Theorem 3.2, we may assume, by choosing an initial tubular neighborhood embedding, that M is a star-shaped open neighborhood of the zero section of N in $\nu(M, N)$. Let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ be the primitive of ω , defined by the homotopy operator:

$$\alpha = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \kappa_t^* \iota_\mathcal{E} \omega \, dt,$$

where κ_t is scalar multiplication by t on $\nu(M, N)$. Then α pulls back to zero on N . Taking the linear approximation of this equation, and using $t^{-1} \kappa_t^* \omega_{[1]} = \omega_{[1]}$, we see that $\alpha_{[1]} = \iota_\mathcal{E} \omega_{[1]}$. Define a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$(7) \quad \alpha = \iota_X \omega.$$

Then we also have $\alpha_{[1]} = \iota_{X_{[0]}}\omega_{[1]}$, and hence $X_{[0]} = \mathcal{E}$ (since $\omega_{[1]}$ is symplectic). It follows that X is Euler-like, and defines a tubular neighborhood embedding $\varphi: O \rightarrow M$. Since (on a neighborhood of N)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi^*\omega) = \varphi^*(\mathcal{L}_X\omega) = \varphi^*(d\iota_X\omega) = \varphi^*d\alpha = \varphi^*\omega,$$

we see that $\varphi^*\omega$ is linear on a neighborhood of the zero section, and hence coincides there with the linear approximation of ω . That is, $\varphi^*\omega = \omega_{[1]}$ near N . Q.E.D.

Remark 4.1. For any vector bundle $V \rightarrow N$ with a linear symplectic form $\omega \in \Omega^2(V)$, the restriction of the 2-form to a bilinear form on $TV|_N = V \oplus TN$ gives a non-degenerate pairing between V and TN . The resulting vector bundle isomorphism $V \rightarrow T^*N$ is symplectic, for the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle. For the case at hand, it follows that $\nu(M, N)$ with the symplectic form $\omega_{[1]}$ is canonically isomorphic to T^*N , leading to the more standard formulation of Weinstein's theorem.

4.2. Morse-Bott functions. Let $N \subseteq M$ be a closed submanifold. If $f \in C^\infty(M)$ vanishes to second order along N , we have the quadratic approximation $f_{[2]} \in C^\infty(\nu(M, N))$. That is, $f_{[2]}$ is fiberwise a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2. The corresponding symmetric bilinear form on the fibers $\nu(M, N)_x$ is the *normal Hessian*

$$\text{Hess}(f)_x: \nu(M, N)_x \times \nu(M, N)_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The function f is called *Morse-Bott* along N if the normal Hessian is non-degenerate for all $x \in N$. The Morse-Bott Lemma states that in this case, there exists a tubular neighborhood embedding $\varphi: \nu(M, N) \supseteq O \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi^*f = f_{[2]}$. By the usual strategy, this is proved once we have an Euler-like vector field for N satisfying

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{L}_X f = 2f$$

near N . Indeed, in the resulting tubular neighborhood embedding we have $\varphi^*X = \mathcal{E}$, hence $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}\varphi^*f = \varphi^*\mathcal{L}_X f = 2\varphi^*f$, which means that φ^*f is homogeneous of degree 2 and hence coincides with its quadratic approximation $f_{[2]}$. To construct X , we may, for example, use an initial tubular neighborhood embedding to reduce to the case that M is a star-shaped open neighborhood of $N \subseteq \nu(M, N)$, and then apply the construction from Example 2.2 fiberwise.

4.3. Grabowski-Rodkiewicz theorem. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle. A theorem of Grabowski-Rodkiewicz [12] asserts that a closed submanifold $F \subseteq E$ is a vector subbundle of E if and only if it is invariant under the scalar multiplication κ_t for E :

$$(9) \quad \kappa_t(F) \subseteq F, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using Euler-like vector fields, this may be seen as follows. Note first that the intersection $N = F \cap M$ is a submanifold of F , since it is the range of the smooth projection $\kappa_0|_F: F \rightarrow F$. (See, for example, [16, Theorem 1.13].) Applying the normal bundle functor to the morphism $(F, N) \rightarrow (E, M)$ given by the inclusion of F , we obtain an inclusion $\nu(F, N) \hookrightarrow \nu(E, M)$ as a vector subbundle along N . Let X be the Euler vector field of E . It defines a (complete) tubular neighborhood embedding $\varphi_X: \nu(E, M) \rightarrow E$. The invariance (9) implies that X is tangent to F , so the restriction $Y = X|_F$ is well-defined. Its linear approximation $\nu(Y) \in \mathfrak{X}(\nu(F, N))$ is the restriction of $\nu(X) \in \mathfrak{X}(\nu(E, M))$, so it is the Euler vector field on $\nu(F, N)$. In particular,

Y is Euler-like for (F, N) . The functoriality statement of Theorem 3.2 shows that the tubular neighborhood embeddings φ_X, φ_Y defined by X, Y fit into a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nu(F, N) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \nu(E, M) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_X} & E \end{array}$$

The map φ_Y is a bijection, by equivariance with respect to scalar multiplication. Since φ_X is just the standard identification, and in particular is an isomorphism of vector bundles over M , and since $\nu(F, N)$ is a subbundle of $\nu(E, M)$ along N , it follows that its image F is a vector subbundle of E along N . Q.E.D.

4.4. Linearization of proper Lie groupoids. Let us next turn to linearization questions for Lie groupoids $G \rightrightarrows M$. For basic information on Lie groupoids, we refer to [19]. We will denote the source and target maps by $s, t: G \rightarrow M$, respectively; the groupoid multiplication of elements $g, h \in G$ with $s(g) = t(h)$ is written as $g \circ h$ or simply gh . The Lie algebroid of G is the normal bundle $A = \nu(G, M)$; the isomorphism $\ker(Tt) \cong s^*A$ ('left-trivialization') identifies the left-invariant vector fields on G with the sections of A . One knows that the infinitesimal automorphisms of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ are exactly the vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ that are *multiplicative*: For all composable elements $g, h \in G$ the tangent vectors X_g, X_h are composable elements of the tangent groupoid $TG \rightrightarrows TM$, and

$$X_g \circ X_h = X_{gh}.$$

Equivalently, X is multiplicative if and only if the vector field (X, X) on G^2 restricts to a vector field $X^{(2)}$ on the submanifold $G^{(2)}$ of composable arrows, with $X^{(2)} \sim_{\text{Mult}_G} X$ under the groupoid multiplication $\text{Mult}_G: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G$. Multiplicative vector fields are tangent to $M \subseteq G$, with a restriction $X_M \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, and they satisfy $X \sim_s X_M$ and $X \sim_t X_M$.

Suppose $N \subseteq M$ is an invariant closed submanifold, that is, $s^{-1}(N) = t^{-1}(N)$. (The invariant submanifolds are unions of orbits of G .) Then $G|_N = s^{-1}(N)$ is a closed subgroupoid of G . The normal bundle of $G|_N$ inside G is a Lie groupoid $\nu(G, G|_N) \rightrightarrows \nu(M, N)$, with structure maps obtained by applying the normal bundle functor to the structure maps of G . We think of $\nu(G, G|_N)$ as the linear approximation of G along N . The Lie groupoid G is called *linearizable along N* if there exists a tubular neighborhood embedding

$$\nu(G, G|_N) \supseteq O \xrightarrow{\varphi} G,$$

such that O is an open subgroupoid containing N , and φ is a groupoid morphism.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is a multiplicative Euler-like vector field for $(G, G|_N)$. Then the tubular neighborhood embedding $\varphi: \nu(G, G|_N) \supseteq O \rightarrow G$ defined by X is a groupoid isomorphism onto its image.*

Proof. The vector field (X, X) on G^2 is Euler-like for $(G^2, (G|_N)^2)$, hence its restriction $X^{(2)}$ to $G^{(2)}$ is Euler-like for $(G^{(2)}, (G|_N)^{(2)})$, defining a tubular neighborhood embedding $\varphi^{(2)}: O^{(2)} \rightarrow G^{(2)}$ where $O^{(2)} = O^2 \cap G^{(2)}$. Since $X^{(2)} \sim_{\text{Mult}_G} X$, it follows by the functoriality statement of

Theorem 3.2 that $\text{Mult}_G(O^{(2)}) \subseteq O$, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nu(G^{(2)}, (G|_N)^{(2)}) \supseteq O^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(2)}} & G^{(2)} \\ \nu(\text{Mult}_G) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Mult}_G \\ \nu(G, G|_N) \supseteq O & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G \end{array}$$

commutes.

By definition of the groupoid structure on $\nu(G, G|_N)$, the identification of $\nu(G^{(2)}, (G|_N)^{(2)})$ with $\nu(G, G|_N)^{(2)}$, intertwines $\nu(\text{Mult}_G)$ with $\text{Mult}_{\nu(G, G|_N)}$. Hence, the diagram tells us that $O \subseteq \nu(G, G|_N)$ is a subgroupoid, with φ a groupoid morphism. \square

In general, Lie groupoids are not linearizable. Consider however the case that the Lie groupoid G is *proper*, i.e., the map

$$(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}): G \rightarrow \text{Pair}(M) = M \times M$$

is a proper map. Proper Lie groupoids may be seen as counterparts to compact Lie groups; examples include the action Lie groupoids for proper Lie group actions, or the pair groupoids for surjective submersions. See [6, 9] for further information on proper Lie groupoids. In [29], Weinstein conjectured that proper Lie groupoids are linearizable at their critical points; this conjecture and its generalization to linearization along orbits was proved by Zung in [30]. (The orbits $\mathcal{O} \subseteq M$ of a proper Lie groupoid are invariant closed submanifolds of M .) Simpler arguments (and improved statements) were given later by Crainic-Struchiner [7] and Fernandes-del Hoyo [10]. We will explain how the proof in [7] can be further streamlined using Euler-like vector fields. A key tool for proper Lie groupoids is the existence of ‘Haar densities’. A *left-invariant density* \mathbf{m} on a Lie groupoid G is a left-invariant section of the density bundle of $\ker(T\mathbf{t}) \subseteq TG$; under left trivialization it is identified with a section of the density bundle of A . The following fact is due to J.-L. Tu [24] and Crainic [6, Section 2.1]:

Lemma 4.3. *Proper Lie groupoids $G \rightrightarrows M$ admit left-invariant densities \mathbf{m} such that*

- *the restriction of $\mathbf{t}: G \rightarrow M$ to the support of \mathbf{m} is a proper map,*
- *the integral of \mathbf{m} over every \mathbf{t} -fiber is equal to 1.*

These ‘Haar densities’ are used for averaging arguments. In particular, as shown in [7], they may be used to obtain multiplicative vector fields:

Lemma 4.4 (Crainic-Struchiner [7]). *Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a proper Lie groupoid. Then any left-invariant density \mathbf{m} as in Lemma 4.3 determines a projection from the space of \mathbf{s} -projectable vector fields on G to the space multiplicative vector fields, given by $X \mapsto \overline{X}$ with*

$$\overline{X}_g = \int_{a \in \mathbf{t}^{-1}(\mathbf{s}(g))} X_{ga} \circ (X_a)^{-1} \mathbf{m}(a)$$

(using groupoid multiplication in $TG \rightrightarrows TM$). The averaged vector field satisfies $\overline{X} \sim_s \overline{Y}$ where

$$\overline{Y}_m = \int_{a \in \mathbf{t}^{-1}(m)} \mathbf{t}(X_a) \mathbf{m}(a).$$

In this lemma, the condition that X is \mathfrak{s} -projectable (i.e., $X \sim_{\mathfrak{s}} Y$ for some vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$) ensures that the groupoid product $X_{ga} \circ (X_a)^{-1}$ is defined.

Theorem 4.5. *If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a proper Lie groupoid, and $N \subseteq M$ is a closed invariant submanifold, then G is linearizable along N .*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, it suffices to construct a multiplicative Euler-like vector field for $(G, G|_N)$. We begin by choosing an Euler-like vector field Y for (M, N) . Since \mathfrak{s} is a submersion, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ with $X \sim_{\mathfrak{s}} Y$. Since \mathfrak{s} induces a fiberwise isomorphism of normal bundles, the vector field X is Euler-like for $(G, G|_N)$. Let \overline{X} be the multiplicative vector field obtained by taking the average with respect to a Haar density \mathfrak{m} . We claim that the vector field \overline{X} is again Euler-like. Since $\overline{X} \sim_{\mathfrak{s}} \overline{Y}$, it suffices to show that \overline{Y} is Euler-like. We shall use the characterization (6). Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $f|_N = 0$. Since X is Euler-like, the difference $\mathcal{L}_X(\mathfrak{t}^*f) - \mathfrak{t}^*f$ vanishes to second order on $G|_N \subseteq G$. But then its integral over \mathfrak{t} -fibers

$$m \mapsto (\mathcal{L}_{\overline{Y}}f - f)(m) = \int_{a \in \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(m)} (\mathcal{L}_X(\mathfrak{t}^*f) - \mathfrak{t}^*f) \Big|_a \mathfrak{m}(a)$$

vanishes to second order on N , as required. \square

4.5. Linearization of proper symplectic groupoids. It is possible to combine the Euler-like arguments for the linearization of proper Lie groupoids (Section 4.4) and for Weinstein's Lagrangian neighborhood theorem (Section 4.1). Recall that a differential form $\alpha \in \Omega(G)$ on a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is *multiplicative* if $\text{Mult}_G^* \alpha = \text{pr}_1^* \alpha + \text{pr}_2^* \alpha$ where $\text{Mult}_G: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G$ is the groupoid multiplication and pr_1, pr_2 are the projections to the two factors of $G^{(2)} \subseteq G^2$. A *symplectic groupoid* is a groupoid with a multiplicative symplectic form $\omega \in \Omega^2(G)$.

Given an invariant closed submanifold $N \subseteq M$, the restriction $G|_N$ is a coisotropic submanifold; it is Lagrangian if and only if $\dim N = 0$. Thus suppose $N = \{p\}$ is a critical point for G . In this case, $H = G|_{\{p\}}$ (as a groupoid over a point) is a Lie group. The normal bundle inherits the structure of a Lie groupoid over $\nu(G, H) \rightrightarrows \nu(M, \text{pt}) = T_p M$, equipped with a linearized symplectic form $\omega_{[1]} \in \Omega^2(\nu(G, H))$, which is again multiplicative.

Suppose that the symplectic groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is furthermore *proper*, so that H is, in particular, compact. We claim that ω admits a multiplicative primitive $\alpha \in \Omega^1(G)$ (defined on some neighborhood of H in G), with $\alpha|_N = 0$. Indeed, by Theorem 4.5 there exists a tubular neighborhood embedding

$$\nu(G, H) \supseteq O_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} G$$

that is compatible with the groupoid structures. Thus $\varphi_1^* \omega$ is multiplicative, and pulls back to 0 on H . By applying the standard homotopy operator for the retraction of O_1 onto N , we obtain a primitive for $\varphi_1^* \omega$, which is furthermore multiplicative. Write this primitive in the form $\varphi_1^* \alpha$ (near N), and let X be defined by $\iota_X \omega = \alpha$ (as in Section 4.1). Then X is Euler-like, with $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = \omega$ near H , and is also multiplicative (since both ω and α are multiplicative). Hence, the tubular neighborhood embedding

$$\nu(G, H) \supseteq O \xrightarrow{\varphi} G$$

defined by X is an isomorphism of symplectic groupoids. The canonical isomorphism $\nu(G, H) \cong T^*H$ from Remark 4.1 is an isomorphism of symplectic groupoids. (See [17, Proposition 11.14].)

We hence recover Zung's result [30, Theorem 2.5] that a proper symplectic groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is isomorphic, near any critical point $p \in M$, to a cotangent groupoid $T^*H \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{h}^*$.

5. THE WEIGHTED SETTING

In this section we indicate a generalization of Euler-like vector fields for pairs (M, N) to include *weightings*. Further details will be given in a forthcoming work with Y. Loizides [18]. For simplicity, we will restrict ourselves to the degree 2 case (the filtration on functions by 'weighted degree of vanishing' is determined by its components up to filtration degree 2); the general case is slightly more involved and will be discussed in [18].

5.1. The filtration on functions. Suppose $N \subseteq M$ is a closed submanifold. Denote by $\mathcal{A} = C_M^\infty$ the sheaf of smooth functions on M , and by $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ the vanishing ideal (ideal sheaf) of N . Then \mathcal{I}^k is the sheaf of functions vanishing to order k on N . Given a subbundle $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$, we may define a smaller ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{J}(U)$ consists of functions $f \in C^\infty(U)$ satisfying $f|_U = 0$, $df|_{TU \cap \tilde{F}} = 0$. (Here $\tilde{F} \subseteq TM|_N$ is the pre-image of F , and the differential df is thought of as a function on the tangent bundle.) Note $\mathcal{I}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. The ideals \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} generate a decreasing filtration of the sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{A} = C_M^\infty$,

$$C_M^\infty = \mathcal{A}_{(0)} \supseteq \mathcal{A}_{(1)} \supseteq \cdots$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = \mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{A}_{(2)} = \mathcal{J}$, and with $\mathcal{A}_{(k)}(U)$ for $k > 1$ spanned by products of functions $f_1 \cdots f_p g_1 \cdots g_q$ with $f_i \in \mathcal{I}$, $g_j \in \mathcal{J}$, and $p + 2q \geq k$. Equivalently, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{(2\ell-1)} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}^\ell, \quad \mathcal{A}_{(2\ell)} = \mathcal{J}^\ell.$$

By construction, $\mathcal{A}_{(k)}\mathcal{A}_{(k')} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{(k+k')}$ for all k, k' .

Remark 5.1. To get a better feeling for this filtration, choose local coordinates x_a, y_b, z_c on an open neighborhood U of any given point $p \in N$, in such a way that $U \cap N$ is defined by the vanishing of the coordinates y_b, z_c , and $\tilde{F} \cap TU$ is defined by the vanishing of y_b, z_c , together with the vanishing of the differentials dz_c . Then $\mathcal{I}(U)$ is the ideal generated by the functions y_b, z_c , while $\mathcal{J}(U)$ is generated by the functions $y_{b_1}y_{b_2}$ and z_c . Consequently,

$$\mathcal{A}_{(k)}(U) = \langle y_{b_1} \cdots y_{b_p} z_{c_1} \cdots z_{c_q} \mid p + 2q = k \rangle$$

(where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the ideal in $\mathcal{A}(U)$ generated by this subset). This amounts to assigning weight 2 to the coordinates z_c , weight 1 to the coordinates y_b , and weight 0 to the coordinates x_a .

The filtration of the sheaf of algebras \mathcal{A} gives an associated graded sheaf of algebras,

$$\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{A})_k, \quad \mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{A})_k = \mathcal{A}_{(k)} / \mathcal{A}_{(k+1)}$$

By construction, it is generated by its components of degree ≤ 2 . Note that $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{A})$ is supported on N , since $\mathcal{A}_{(k)}(U) = \mathcal{A}$ for $U \cap N = \emptyset$, and hence may be regarded as a sheaf on N .

Lemma 5.2. *The sheaf $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{A})$ is the sheaf of sections of a bundle of graded algebras $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow N$.*

Proof. This is clear in the local coordinates from Remark 5.1: Here $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A})_k(U)$ is the $C^\infty(U \cap N)$ -span of monomials $y_{b_1} \cdots y_{b_p} z_{c_1} \cdots z_{c_q}$ with $p + 2q = k$. Put differently, $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A})(U)$ is generated (as an algebra over $C^\infty(U \cap N)$) by the coordinate functions y_b (of degree 1) and z_c (of degree 2). \square

Proposition 5.3. *There are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathbf{A}_0 = N, \quad \mathbf{A}_1 = F^*,$$

and an exact sequence

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2(F^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_2 \rightarrow \text{ann}(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The description of \mathbf{A}_0 is clear. The identification of \mathbf{A}_1 with $F^* = \nu(M, N)^* / \text{ann}(F)$ follows from the equality of the sheaves of sections,

$$\text{gr}(\mathcal{A})_1 = \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^2) / (\mathcal{J} / \mathcal{I}^2).$$

Finally, the quotient map $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A})_2 = \mathcal{J} / \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} / \mathcal{I}^2$ gives a surjection $\mathbf{A}_2 \rightarrow \text{ann}(F)$. The kernel $\mathcal{I}^2 / \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}$ is identified with the sheaf of sections of $\text{Sym}^2(F^*)$ through the multiplication map $\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2 / \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}$. \square

Proposition 5.4. *The choice of a submanifold Σ with*

$$N \subseteq \Sigma \subseteq M, \quad F = \nu(\Sigma, N) \subseteq \nu(M, N)$$

determines a splitting of the exact sequence (10), and gives an isomorphism of algebra bundles

$$\mathbf{A} \cong \text{Sym}(F^* \oplus \text{ann}(F)).$$

with F^ in degree 1 and $\text{ann}(F)$ in degree 2.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ be the ideal of germs of functions f such that $f|_\Sigma$ vanishes near $N \subseteq \Sigma$. We claim that

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_\Sigma + \mathcal{I}^2, \quad \mathcal{I}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{I}^2 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_\Sigma = \mathcal{I}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}.$$

To see this, given $p \in N$, choose coordinates x_a, y_b, z_c so that the coordinate functions y_b, z_c vanish on N , and z_c vanishes on Σ . Then $\mathcal{I}(U)$ is generated by the coordinate functions y_b, z_c , while $\mathcal{J}(U)$ is generated by z_c and products $y_{b_1}y_{b_2}$. The smaller ideal $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma(U)$ is generated by z_k , and each of $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{I}^2$, $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_\Sigma$, $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}$ is generated over U by products $y_j z_k$. By (11), the inclusion $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ descends to an inclusion

$$\mathcal{J} / \mathcal{I}^2 \cong \mathcal{I}_\Sigma / (\mathcal{I}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{I}^2) = \mathcal{I}_\Sigma / (\mathcal{I}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J} / \mathcal{I}\mathcal{J},$$

which defines the desired splitting $\text{ann}(F) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_2$. The inclusions of $F^* = \mathbf{A}_1$ and $\text{ann}(F) \subseteq \mathbf{A}_2$ into \mathbf{A} extend to a morphism of graded algebra bundles

$$(12) \quad \text{Sym}(F^* \oplus \text{ann}(F)) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}.$$

Since \mathbf{A} is generated by its components in degree ≤ 2 , this map is surjective. Using local coordinates x_a, y_b, z_c as above, we see that it is in fact an isomorphism. \square

Remark 5.5. The subbundle F may be regarded as a (very short) filtration of the normal bundle $\nu(M, N)$, with associated graded bundle

$$\mathrm{gr}(\nu(M, N)) = F \oplus \nu(M, N)/F.$$

Using the identification $(\nu(M, N)/F)^* \cong \mathrm{ann}(F)$, we may thus regard the algebra bundle $\mathrm{Sym}(F^* \oplus \mathrm{ann}(F))$ as the bundle of fiberwise polynomial functions on $\mathrm{gr}(\nu(M, N))$.

Remark 5.6. The splitting in Proposition 5.4 only depends on the 2-jet of Σ along N , i.e., on the restriction $T_2\Sigma|_N \subseteq T_2M = J_0^2(\mathbb{R}, M)$ of the second-order tangent bundle of Σ .

5.2. The weighted normal bundle. Recall [11] (see also [15]) that the smooth manifold M is determined from its algebra of functions as $M = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(C^\infty(M), \mathbb{R})$, where the algebra morphism defined by $p \in M$ is given by evaluation $\mathrm{ev}_p: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The smooth structure of M is determined by requiring that all evaluation maps are smooth. For a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, one similarly has $E = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(C_{\mathrm{pol}}^\infty(E), \mathbb{R})$. The scalar multiplication on E (characterizing the vector bundle structure) comes from the usual (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -action on the space $C_{\mathrm{pol}}^\infty(E)$ of fiberwise polynomial functions, and the base projection comes from the inclusion of degree 0 polynomials (identified with $C^\infty(M)$).

For a closed submanifold $N \subseteq M$, the algebra of polynomial functions on the normal bundle $\nu(M, N)$ is identified with the associated graded algebra to $C^\infty(M)$, using the filtration by order of vanishing on N . That is,

$$\nu(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathrm{gr}(C^\infty(M)), \mathbb{R}).$$

Given a subbundle $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$, we have another filtration of $C^\infty(M)$ by the *weighted* order of vanishing, as in Section 5.1 above. We shall define a weighted normal bundle similar to the usual normal bundle, but using this new filtration. As it turns out, the resulting space $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ is a smooth fiber bundle over N . While $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ is not a vector bundle, it does have an action of the monoid (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) by scalar multiplication, making it into a *graded bundle* in the sense of Grabowski-Rodkiewicz. In particular, the associated graded algebra to $C^\infty(M)$ is then identified with the polynomial functions on $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$.

Definition 5.7. Let (M, N) be a manifold pair and $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$ a subbundle, defining a filtration of $C^\infty(M)$ as above. The *weighted normal bundle* $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ is the character spectrum

$$\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathrm{gr}(C^\infty(M)), \mathbb{R}),$$

with the projection $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \rightarrow N$ induced by the inclusion $C^\infty(N) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}(C^\infty(M))$ as the degree 0 summand.

Remark 5.8. In this form, the definition is specific to the C^∞ -category. For more general settings (including the analytic or holomorphic categories), one should work with the filtration of the sheaf C_M^∞ rather than of global functions. The definition above may then be used locally to define $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ locally; one may also directly define the fibers in terms of the algebra bundle \mathbf{A} , as

$$\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)|_p = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbf{A}|_p, \mathbb{R}).$$

To describe the smooth structure on $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$, choose a submanifold Σ containing N , with the property

$$\nu(\Sigma, N) = F.$$

Proposition 5.4 identifies the graded algebra bundle $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow N$ with the bundle of polynomial functions on the fibers of the graded bundle $\text{gr}(\nu(M, N))$, hence $\text{gr}(C^\infty(M)) \cong C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\text{gr}(\nu(M, N)))$. Hence, the choice of any such Σ determines an identification

$$(13) \quad R_\Sigma: \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \cong \text{gr}(\nu(M, N)),$$

intertwining the projection π with the vector bundle projection for $\text{gr}(\nu(M, N))$. The resulting C^∞ structure on $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ does not depend on the choice of Σ . To see this, note that every $a \in \text{gr}(C^\infty(M))$ defines a function on the weighted normal bundle, by evaluation:

$$(14) \quad \text{ev}_a: \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto x(a).$$

The C^∞ structure on $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ is uniquely determined by requiring that all of these evaluation maps are smooth.

Returning to the general definition, we note the following properties:

- (a) The weighted normal bundle comes with a natural ‘zero section’

$$\iota: N \rightarrow \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N),$$

with $\pi \circ \iota = \text{id}_N$, obtained by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\cdot, \mathbb{R})$ to the augmentation map $\text{gr}(C^\infty(M)) \rightarrow \text{gr}(C^\infty(M))_0 = C^\infty(N)$. Under R_Σ , this becomes the zero section of $\text{gr}(\nu(M, N))$.

- (b) The action of the multiplicative monoid (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) on $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A})$, given on $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A})_k$ as multiplication by t^k , is by algebra bundle morphisms. Hence it induces an action $t \mapsto \kappa_t$ on $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ such that

$$\text{ev}_{t \cdot a} = \text{ev}_a \circ \kappa_t, \quad a \in \text{gr}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Under R_Σ , this is the action on $\text{gr}(\nu(M, N))$ given as scalar multiplication by t on F and by t^2 on $\nu(M, N)/F$. In particular, the (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -action on the weighted normal bundle is smooth. It makes $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ into a *graded bundle* in the sense of Grabowski-Rodkiewicz [13]. (See below.)

- (c) Consider the morphisms of algebra bundles

$$\mathbf{A}' \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}'',$$

where $\mathbf{A}' \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ is the subalgebra bundle generated by \mathbf{A}_1 , while $\mathbf{A}'' = \mathbf{A}$ is the quotient of \mathbf{A} by the ideal generated by \mathbf{A}_1 . Thus, $\mathbf{A}' = \text{Sym}(F^*)$, the algebra of polynomial functions on F , while $\mathbf{A}'' = \text{Sym}(\text{ann}(F))$, the algebra of polynomial functions on $\nu(M, N)/F$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\cdot, \mathbb{R})$, we obtain (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -equivariant bundle maps

$$\nu(M, N)/F \longrightarrow \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \longrightarrow F.$$

Under the map R_Σ , these become the obvious inclusion and projection $\nu(M, N)/F \rightarrow \text{gr}(\nu(M, N)) \rightarrow F$.

- (d) The construction is functorial: Consider the category whose objects are triples (M, N, F) consisting of a manifold pair (M, N) and a subbundle $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$, and whose morphisms $(M', N', F') \rightarrow (M, N, F)$ are the morphisms of manifold pairs $\varphi: (M', N') \rightarrow (M, N)$ such that $\nu(\varphi)$ takes F' to F . For any such morphism, the pullback map on functions $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M')$ preserves the weight filtrations; it therefore induces a

morphism of graded algebras, $A \rightarrow A'$, and via $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\cdot, \mathbb{R})$ a morphism of graded bundles

$$\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(\varphi): \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M', N') \rightarrow \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N).$$

Following Grabowski-Rodkiewicz [13], a manifold P with a smooth action

$$\mathbb{R} \times P \rightarrow P, (t, x) \mapsto \kappa_t(x)$$

of the monoid (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) is called a *graded bundle*. As shown in [13], these are automatically smooth fiber bundles over the submanifold $N = \kappa_0(P)$, with κ_0 as the bundle projection. First examples of graded bundles are the graded *vector* bundles, with the (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -action given as multiplication by t^k on the k -th summand, as well as the r -th *tangent bundle* $T_r M = J_0^r(\mathbb{R}, M)$, with the (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -action by linear reparametrizations of curves. According to (b) above, the weighted normal bundle is a graded bundle. Every graded bundle P comes with a distinguished *Euler vector field*

$$\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$$

with flow given by $s \mapsto \kappa_{\exp(-s)}$. Morphisms of graded bundles are the (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -equivariant smooth maps; equivalently these are the maps relating the Euler vector fields. A graded bundle P is not naturally a vector bundle, in general. However, it determines a graded vector bundle $P_{\text{lin}} = \nu(P, N)$, the *linear approximation*, to which it is non-canonically isomorphic, as a graded bundle. In the case of $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$, this graded vector bundle only has components in degree 1 and 2, and is

$$(15) \quad \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)_{\text{lin}} = \text{gr}(\nu(M, N)).$$

The map $R_{\Sigma}: \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\nu(M, N))$ is an isomorphism of graded bundles. Note finally that graded bundles come with a distinguished graded algebra $C_{\text{pol}}^{\infty}(E)$ of (fiberwise) polynomial functions, where a function is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k if it satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}} f = k f$. The description in (5.2) may be seen as a special case of a general construction for graded bundles in terms of their polynomial functions, see [13].

To conclude this section, let us mention two alternative constructions of the weighted normal bundle:

1. Consider the exact sequence (10). The first map in this sequence is the map $m: \text{Sym}^2 A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ given by multiplication in the graded algebra bundle A . The weighted normal bundle is realized as a subbundle of the graded vector bundle $A_1^* \oplus A_2^* \rightarrow N$, as follows:

$$\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in A_1^* \oplus A_2^* \mid \forall \mu \in A_1: \alpha_2(m(\mu \vee \mu)) = \alpha_1(\mu)^2\}.$$

(Here it is understood that μ has the same base point as (α_1, α_2) .) Note that this subset is invariant under the (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -action, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ acts as scalar multiplication by t on A_1^* and by t^2 on A_2^* . Using a choice of Σ , one verifies that it is indeed a smooth submanifold.

2. Similar to the description of the usual normal bundle as a subquotient of the tangent bundle, $\nu(M, N) = TM|_N / TN$, the weighted normal bundle admits a description as a subquotient of the second tangent bundle $T_2 M = J_0^2(\mathbb{R}, M)$,

$$\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) = Q / \sim$$

where $Q \subseteq T_2 M$ is the pre-image of $\tilde{F} \subseteq TM|_N$ under the projection $T_2 M \rightarrow TM$. See [18] for a description of the equivalence relation.

5.3. Weighted k -th order approximations. The filtration on the sheaf of functions $\mathcal{A} = C_M^\infty$ extends to a filtration on the sheaf of differential forms Ω_M ,

$$\Omega_M = \Omega_{M,(0)} \supseteq \Omega_{M,(1)} \supseteq \Omega_{M,(2)} \supseteq \cdots,$$

where the part of filtration degree k is locally spanned by forms $f_0 df_1 \cdots df_q$ such that $f_i \in \mathcal{A}_{(\mathbf{w}_i)}$, with $\mathbf{w}_0 + \cdots + \mathbf{w}_q \geq k$. Note that $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$ has filtration degree 1 if and only if $i_N^* \alpha = 0$, and it has filtration degree $k \geq 2$ if and only if for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with $X|_N \in \Gamma(\tilde{F})$, both $\iota_X \alpha$, $\iota_X d\alpha$ have filtration degree $k-1$. One also obtains a filtration on the sheaf of vector fields,

$$\mathfrak{X}_M = \mathfrak{X}_{M,(-2)} \supseteq \mathfrak{X}_{M,(-1)} \supseteq \mathfrak{X}_{M,(0)} \supseteq \cdots$$

where a (local) vector field has filtration degree k if and only if the associated Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_X raises the filtration degree of functions by k . In particular, X has filtration degree -1 if and only if $X|_N \in \Gamma(\tilde{F})$; it has filtration degree 0 if and only if X is tangent to $N \subseteq M$ and the linear approximation $\nu(X)$ is tangent to $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$. Thus, vector fields of filtration degree 0 are the infinitesimal automorphisms of the triple (M, N, F) . These filtrations are compatible with the usual operations from Cartan's calculus: exterior differential, contraction, Lie derivative, as well as wedge products, C_M^∞ -module structures, and Lie brackets.

As before, we will refer to the filtration degrees as *weights*, and think of a function f of filtration degree k as a function vanishing to order k on N in the *weighted sense*. Its equivalence class in the associated graded algebra defines a function

$$f_{[k]} \in C^\infty(\nu_W(M, N))$$

that is homogeneous of degree k for the (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) -action. More generally, a form $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$ of filtration degree k determines a form $\alpha_{[k]} \in \Omega(\nu_W(M, N))$, homogeneous of degree k , and a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ of filtration degree k defines a vector field $X_{[k]} \in \mathfrak{X}(\nu_W(M, N))$, homogeneous of degree k . Again, these k -th order homogeneous approximations are compatible with the standard operations on vector fields and forms, for example $(\mathcal{L}_X \alpha)_{[k+\ell]} = \mathcal{L}_{X_{[k]}} \alpha_{[\ell]}$ if X has filtration degree k and α has filtration degree ℓ .

5.4. Weighted Euler-like vector fields. As before, let (M, N) be a manifold pair together with a vector subbundle $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$, defining a weighted normal bundle $\nu_W(M, N)$. The normal bundle of the manifold pair $(M', N') = (\nu_W(M, N), N)$ is given by

$$\nu(M', N') = \nu(\nu_W(M, N), N) \cong \text{gr}(\nu(M, N)) = F \oplus \nu(M, N)/F,$$

and so again contains $F' = F$ as a vector subbundle. We may thus iterate the construction, and consider the corresponding weighted normal bundle.

Lemma 5.9. *There is a canonical isomorphism of graded bundles over N ,*

$$\nu_W(\nu_W(M, N), N) \cong \nu_W(M, N).$$

Proof. The data (M', N', F') define the filtration $\mathcal{A}'_{(k)}$ of $C_{M'}^\infty$, with the corresponding graded algebra bundle A' . The maps $\mathcal{A}_{(k)}(U) \rightarrow C^\infty(\nu_W(M, N)|_{U \cap N})$, $f \mapsto f_{[k]}$, for $U \subseteq M$ open, take values in functions of homogeneity k , hence of filtration degree k . This defines isomorphisms $A_k \rightarrow A'_k$ of vector bundles over N . The collection of these maps defines an algebra bundle isomorphism $A \rightarrow A'$, and hence an isomorphism of the weighted normal bundles. \square

Using this observation, we can define a (weighted) *tubular neighborhood embedding* of a star-shaped open neighborhood O of $N \subseteq \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ to be a morphism $\varphi: (O, N, F) \rightarrow (M, N, F)$ such that

$$(16) \quad \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \supseteq O \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$$

is an embedding, and $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(\varphi)$ is the identity map. On the other hand, a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called (weighted) *Euler-like* for (M, N, F) if it has filtration degree 0 (so, it preserves N and F), and its approximation $X_{[0]} \in \mathfrak{X}(\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N))$ is the Euler vector field. Equivalently, X is Euler-like if and only if for all functions f of filtration degree k , the difference $\mathcal{L}_X f - kf$ has filtration degree $k + 1$. (It is enough to check this condition for $k = 1, 2$.)

Theorem 5.10. *A weighted Euler-like vector field X for (M, N, F) determines a unique maximal tubular neighborhood embedding (16), in such a way that $\varphi^*X = \mathcal{E}$. The construction is functorial: Given a morphism $\Phi: (M', N', F') \rightarrow (M, N, F)$, and weighted Euler-like vector fields X', X with $X' \sim_{\Phi} X$, the corresponding tubular neighborhood embeddings intertwine Φ with its linear approximation $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(\Phi)$.*

The proof of this result, using (weighted) deformation spaces, is a straightforward extension of the argument in [15] and [3]. Details, for more general weightings, will be given in [18]. Alternatively, one may give a proof in coordinates x_i, y_j, z_k adapted to $N \subseteq M$ and to $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$, similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5.

5.5. Application: the isotropic embedding theorem re-visited. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Recall that a closed submanifold $N \subseteq M$ is *isotropic* if the pullback of ω to N vanishes. Equivalently, letting $TN^{\omega} \subseteq TM|_N$ denote the ω -orthogonal space to the tangent bundle of N , we have that $TN \subseteq TN^{\omega}$. The *symplectic normal bundle* of the isotropic submanifold N is the subbundle of the normal bundle given as

$$(17) \quad F = TN^{\omega}/TN \subseteq \nu(M, N).$$

By our general theory, it determines a weighted normal bundle $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \rightarrow N$. The corresponding sequence of graded bundles from 5.2(c), reads as

$$(18) \quad T^*N \rightarrow \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \rightarrow TN^{\omega}/TN,$$

where we identified $\nu(M, N)/F = TM/TN^{\omega} \cong T^*N$. We will show that ω canonically induces a symplectic form on the weighted normal bundle, extending the standard symplectic form ω_{can} on T^*N .

Proposition 5.11. *For any isotropic submanifold N of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , the symplectic form ω has filtration degree 2 with respect to the weighting given by $F = TN^{\omega}/TN$. The second order approximation*

$$\omega_{[2]} \in \Omega^2(\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N))$$

*is symplectic form. Relative to this symplectic form, T^*N is a symplectic submanifold of $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$; in particular, the zero section N is isotropic.*

Proof. To show that ω has filtration degree 2, we must show that for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with $X|_N = \Gamma(\tilde{F})$, the 1-form $\iota_X \omega$ pulls back to zero on N . But this is clear since $\tilde{F} = TN^{\omega}$,

by definition. Hence the second-order approximation $\omega_{[2]}$ is defined, with $d\omega_{[2]} = (d\omega)_{[2]} = 0$. Consider the decomposition

$$(19) \quad T\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)|_N = TN \oplus TN^\omega/TN \oplus T^*N.$$

In terms of the linearized action of the (weighted) Euler vector field, these are the eigenbundles for the eigenvalues $0, -1, -2$. Accordingly, sections of the three summands are realized as the restrictions of the k -th order approximations of vector fields of filtration degrees $k = 0, -1, -2$.

We claim that $\omega_{[2]}|_N$ is symplectic, and in fact coincides with the given symplectic structures on the vector bundles TN^ω/TN and on $TN \oplus T^*N$ (the latter given by the pairing). This claim will prove the proposition, since it implies that $\omega_{[2]}$ nondegenerate on an open neighborhood of N , and hence everywhere, by homogeneity.

For vector fields X, X' of filtration degree 0, vector fields Y, Y' of filtration degree -1 , and Z, Z' of filtration degree -2 we have, for degree reasons,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{[2]}(Y_{[-1]}, Z_{[-2]})|_N &= 0, & \omega_{[2]}(X_{[0]}, Y_{[-1]})|_N &= 0, \\ \omega_{[2]}(X_{[0]}, X'_{[0]})|_N &= 0, & \omega_{[2]}(Z_{[-2]}, Z'_{[-2]})|_N &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used that homogeneous functions of homogeneity degree $k < 0$ are zero, and those of homogeneity $k > 0$ restrict to 0 on N . This shows that the first and third summand in (19) are isotropic, and both are $\omega_{[2]}|_N$ -orthogonal to middle summand. On the other hand,

$$\omega_{[2]}(Y_{[-1]}, Y'_{[-1]})|_N = \omega(Y, Y')|_N = \omega|_N(Y|_N, Y'|_N).$$

shows that $\omega_{[2]}$ restricts to the given symplectic structure on middle summand TN^ω/TN . Finally,

$$\omega_{[2]}(X_{[0]}, Z_{[-2]})|_N = \omega(X, Z)|_N = \omega|_N(X|_N, Z|_N).$$

But X having filtration degree 0 means in particular that it is tangent to N , and so the right hand side is just the pairing between a vector tangent to N with a section of $TM|_N/TN^\omega$. \square

We think of $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ with the symplectic form $\omega_{[2]}$ as the local model for the symplectic structure near the isotropic submanifold N .

Theorem 5.12 (Isotropic embeddings). *For any isotropic submanifold $N \subseteq M$ of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , with the corresponding weighted normal bundle $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$, there exists a tubular neighborhood embedding $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \supseteq O \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ satisfying*

$$\varphi^*\omega = \omega_{[2]}.$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Weinstein's Lagrangian neighborhood theorem in Section 4.1. By replacing M with the image of an initial tubular neighborhood embedding $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \supseteq O_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M$, we may assume that M is a star-shaped open neighborhood of N inside $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$. Let α be the primitive of ω , defined by the homotopy operator:

$$\alpha = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \kappa_t^* \iota_{\mathcal{E}} \omega \, dt.$$

Since $\iota_{\mathcal{E}}$ and κ_t^* preserve filtration degrees, the 1-form α has filtration degree 2, and the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be defined by

$$\iota_X \omega = 2\alpha$$

X has filtration degree 0. The calculation

$$\iota_{X_{[0]}}\omega_{[2]} = 2\alpha_{[2]} = 2 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \kappa_t^* \iota_{\mathcal{E}}\omega_{[2]} dt = 2 \int_0^1 t \iota_{\mathcal{E}}\omega_{[2]} dt = \iota_{\mathcal{E}}\omega_{[2]}$$

shows that $X_{[0]} = \mathcal{E}$, so that X is (weighted) Euler-like for the triple $(M, N, TN^\omega/TN)$. Let $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) \supseteq O \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ be the new tubular neighborhood embedding defined by X . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}\varphi^*\omega = \varphi^*\mathcal{L}_X\omega = \varphi^*d\iota_X\omega = 2\varphi^*d\alpha = 2\varphi^*\omega.$$

Thus, $\varphi^*\omega$ is homogeneous of degree 2, and hence coincides with its 2nd-order approximation $\omega_{[2]}$. \square

Remark 5.13. In the standard treatment of Weinstein's isotropic embedding theorem [28, 26], one uses a connection to extend the given fiberwise symplectic structure on

$$T(\mathrm{gr}(\nu(M, N)))|_N = TN \oplus T^*N \oplus TN^\omega/TN$$

to a symplectic structure on the total space of $\mathrm{gr}(\nu(M, N))$, and then establishes a symplectomorphism with a neighborhood of N inside M . The use of the weighted normal bundle removes the non-canonical choice of a connection.

5.6. A symplectic interpretation of the bundle A_2 . Towards the end of Section 5.2, we remarked that the weighted normal bundle $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ for a given $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$ is fully determined, as a graded bundle, by the degree 2 component of the algebra bundle A , together with the inclusion map

$$m: \mathrm{Sym}^2 F^* \rightarrow A_2.$$

The vector bundle A_2 was defined in terms of its sheaf of sections, \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{IJ} . One may wonder about more geometric descriptions of A_2 . As it turns out, there is an interesting symplectic interpretation. We begin with a symplectic interpretation of the second cotangent bundle. (See, e.g., [27, 4].)

Given a symplectic vector space (S, ω) , let $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Lag}}(S)$ be its manifold of Lagrangian subspaces. For a fixed Lagrangian subspace $L \subseteq S$, the open subset $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Lag}}(S, L)$ of Lagrangian subspaces that are transverse to L is canonically an affine space, with $\mathrm{Sym}^2 L$ as its space of motions. This generalizes to symplectic vector bundles and Lagrangian subbundles, and in particular applies to the tangent bundles of symplectic manifolds with Lagrangian foliations. Consider in particular a cotangent bundle T^*M , with its standard symplectic form ω_{can} , and let $V \subseteq T(T^*M)$ be the tangent bundle to the fibers of $T^*M \rightarrow M$. There is a canonical isomorphism of fiber bundles over T^*M ,

$$(20) \quad T_2^*M \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Lag}}(T(T^*M), V),$$

where T_2^*M is the second cotangent bundle. In more detail, recall that $T_2^*M \rightarrow M$ is the vector bundle whose fiber at $p \in M$ is the space of 2-jets of functions $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $f(p) = 0$. The map taking 2-jets to 1-jets gives a surjective vector bundle map $T_2^*M \rightarrow T^*M$, with kernel $\mathrm{Sym}^2(T^*M)$. We will use this map to regard T_2^*M as a fiber bundle over T^*M . On the other hand, every $f \in C^\infty(M)$ defines a Lagrangian submanifold of T^*M , given as the range of its exterior differential $df: M \rightarrow T^*M$. For $p \in M$, with $\xi = df|_p$, the Lagrangian subspace

$$T_\xi(\mathrm{ran}(df)) \subseteq T_\xi(T^*M)$$

depends only on the 2-jet of f at p . Adding a constant, we may arrange $f(p) = 0$. In conclusion, the map (20) takes the 2-jet of a function f with $f(p) = 0$ to the Lagrangian subspace given as the tangent space to $\text{ran}(\text{d}f)$ at $\xi = \text{d}f|_p$. The subbundle $\text{Sym}^2(T^*M)$ is realized as the restriction of $\text{Gr}_{\text{Lag}}(T(T^*M), V)$ to $M \subseteq T^*M$, using the splitting $T(T^*M)|_M = V|_M \oplus TM$ and the symplectic form to identify $V|_M \cong T^*M$.

Now suppose that $N \subseteq M$ is a closed submanifold, and that $F \subseteq \nu(M, N)$ is a subbundle of its normal bundle. The conormal bundle $\nu(M, N)^*$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of T^*M , and hence $\text{ann}(F) \subseteq \nu(M, N)^*$ is an isotropic submanifold of T^*M . Let $C = T \text{ann}(F)^\omega$, a coisotropic subbundle along $\text{ann}(F)$, and take S to be the symplectic vector bundle

$$(21) \quad C/C^\omega \rightarrow \text{ann}(F).$$

It comes with a distinguished Lagrangian subbundle $L \subseteq C/C^\omega$ obtained by symplectic reduction of the vertical bundle $V \subseteq T(T^*M)$:

$$L = (V|_{\text{ann}(F)} \cap C)/(V|_{\text{ann}(F)} \cap C^\omega).$$

We obtain an identification

$$\mathbf{A}_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gr}_{\text{Lag}}(C/C^\omega, L).$$

The map is constructed similar to (20). Let $f \in C^\infty(M)_{(2)}$, so that $f|_N = 0$ and $\text{d}f|_{\tilde{F}} = 0$. For $p \in N$, the differential $\xi = \text{d}f|_p$ is then an element of $\text{ann}(F)$. The tangent space to $\text{ran}(\text{d}f) \subseteq T^*M$ at ξ is a Lagrangian subspace of $T_\xi(T^*M)$ transverse to $V|_\xi$; by reduction it defines a Lagrangian subspace of $(C/C^\omega)|_\xi$ transverse to $L|_\xi$. By checking in adapted coordinates (as in Remark 5.1), one verifies that this gives an isomorphism between $\mathbf{A}_2|_\xi$ with $\text{Gr}_{\text{Lag}}((C/C^\omega)|_\xi, L|_\xi)$. The subspace $\text{Sym}^2 F^* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_2$ is realized as the restriction of the bundle $\text{Gr}_{\text{Lag}}(C/C^\omega, L)$ to the submanifold $N \subseteq \text{ann}(F)$.

REFERENCES

1. V. I. Arnold, *Wave front evolution and equivariant Morse lemma*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1976), no. 6, 557–582.
2. A. Banyaga and D. Hurtubise, *A proof of the Morse-Bott lemma*, Expo. Math. **22** (2004), no. 4, 365–373.
3. F. Bischoff, H. Bursztyn, H. Lima, and E. Meinrenken, *Deformation spaces and normal forms around transversals*, Compositio Math. **156** (2020), 697–732.
4. R. Bryant, <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/105806>.
5. H. Bursztyn, H. Lima, and E. Meinrenken, *Splitting theorems for Poisson and related structures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **754** (2019), 281–312.
6. M. Crainic, *Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 4, 681–721.
7. M. Crainic and I. Struchiner, *On the linearization theorem for proper Lie groupoids*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **46** (2013), no. 5, 723–746.
8. N. V. Dang, *The extension of distributions on manifolds, a microlocal approach*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **17** (2016), no. 4, 819–859.
9. M. del Hoyo, *Lie groupoids and their orbispaces*, Port. Math. **70** (2013), no. 2, 161–209.
10. M. del Hoyo and R. Fernandes, *Riemannian metrics on Lie groupoids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **735** (2018), 143–173.
11. J. Navarro González and J. Sancho de Salas, *C^∞ -differentiable spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1824, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
12. J. Grabowski and M. Rotkiewicz, *Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), no. 9, 1285–1305.
13. ———, *Graded bundles and homogeneity structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **62** (2012), no. 1, 21–36.

14. V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Remarks on a paper of Hermann*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968), 110–116.
15. A.R. Haj Saeedi Sadegh and N. Higson, *Euler-like vector fields, deformation spaces and manifolds with filtered structure*, Documenta Mathematica **23** (2018), 293–325.
16. I. Kolář, P. Michor, and J. Slovák, *Natural operations in differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
17. E. Meinrenken, *Introduction to Poisson Geometry*, Lecture notes (2017), available at the author's website.
18. E. Meinrenken and Y. Loizides, *Differential geometry of weightings*, In preparation.
19. I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčun, *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 91, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
20. M. Morse, *The calculus of variations in the large*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996, Reprint of the 1932 original.
21. R. Palais, *The Morse lemma for Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 968–971.
22. S. Sternberg, *Local contractions and a theorem of Poincaré*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 809–824.
23. ———, *On the structure of local homeomorphisms of Euclidean n -space. II.*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 623–631.
24. Jean-Louis Tu, *La conjecture de Novikov pour les feuilletages hyperboliques*, K-Theory **16** (1999), no. 2, 129–184.
25. A. Weinstein, *Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds*, Advances in Math. **6** (1971), 329–346 (1971).
26. ———, *Neighborhood classification of isotropic embeddings*, J. Differential Geometry **16** (1981), no. 1, 125–128.
27. ———, *Lectures on symplectic manifolds*, CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math., vol. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., 1983, third printing.
28. ———, *Symplectic groupoids and Poisson manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **16** (1987), no. 1, 101–104.
29. ———, *Linearization problems for Lie algebroids and Lie groupoids*, Lett. Math. Phys. **52** (2000), no. 1, 93–102, Conference Moshé Flato 1999 (Dijon).
30. N.T. Zung, *Proper groupoids and momentum maps: linearization, affinity, and convexity*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **39** (2006), no. 5, 841–869.