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Abstract. — We study the algebraicity of compact Kähler manifolds admitting a positive rational Hodge class of bidimension $(1,1)$. We show that if the dual pseudoeffective cone of a compact Kähler threefold $X$ contains a rational class as an interior point, then $X$ is projective. We also study the Oguiso-Peternell problem about the algebraicity of a compact Kähler manifold whose dual Kähler cone contains a rational class as an interior point (and its variant concerning manifolds containing a smooth curve with ample normal bundle). For such a manifold $X$, we prove that its Albanese variety is always projective. In dimension 3, we prove that $X$ has algebraic dimension at least 2 and relate the Oguiso-Peternell problem to a problem about 1-cycles in compact Kähler threefolds.

1 Introduction

1.1 Dual statements of the Kodaira embedding theorem

Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$. The celebrated Kodaira embedding theorem asserts that if the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of $X$ contains a rational cohomology class, then $X$ is projective [18]. Consider now the dual Kähler cone

$$\mathcal{K}^\vee(X) = \{ \alpha \in H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}) : \langle \alpha, \omega \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for every } \omega \in \mathcal{K}(X) \}$$

of $X$, where the paring

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle : H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

is defined by the Poincaré duality. Regarding $\mathcal{K}^\vee(X)$ as a subset of $H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ endowed with the Euclidean topology, let $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}^\vee(X))$ denote the interior of $\mathcal{K}^\vee(X)$. The following problem was first asked and studied by Oguiso and Peternell [25, 26] in search of a dual statement of the Kodaira embedding theorem.

Problem 1.1 (Oguiso-Peternell). — Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ such that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}^\vee(X))$ contains an element of $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. How can we describe the algebraicity of $X$? For instance, what are the possible algebraic dimensions of $X$?

Here is another problem dual to the Kodaira embedding theorem that we can formulate. The closure of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of the Kähler cone is called the nef cone of $X$ and due to Demailly and Păun, the Poincaré dual of
\(\mathcal{K}(X)\) is the closed convex cone \(\mathcal{M}(X) \subset H^{n-1,\star-1}(X, \mathbb{R})\) generated by classes of positive currents of type \((n-1, n-1)\) [3, Theorem 2.1]. The analogue of \(\mathcal{M}(X)\) in \(H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})\) is the pseudoeffective cone \(\text{Psef}(X)\), defined as the closed convex cone in \(H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})\) generated by classes of positive currents of type \((1, 1)\). From this point of view, if \(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee \subset H^{n-1,\star-1}(X, \mathbb{R})\) denotes the Poincaré dual of \(\text{Psef}(X)\) and let \(\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee)\) be its interior in \(H^{n-1,\star-1}(X, \mathbb{R})\), then the following can also be considered as a dual problem to the Kodaira embedding theorem.

**Problem 1.2.** — Let \(X\) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension \(n\) such that \(\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee)\) contains an element of \(H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})\). Is \(X\) always projective? If not, how can we describe the algebraicity of \(X\)?

The dual pseudoeffective cone \(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee\) contains the movable cone \(\mathcal{M}(X) \subset H^{n-1,\star-1}(X, \mathbb{R})\), defined to be the closed convex cone generated by classes of the form \(\mu_*(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1})\) where \(\mu : \tilde{X} \to X\) is a bimeromorphic morphism from a compact Kähler manifold \(\tilde{X}\) and the \(\omega_i\)'s are Kähler classes on \(\tilde{X}\). Conjecturally \(\text{Psef}(X)\) is dual to the movable cone \(\mathcal{M}(X) \subset H^{n-1,\star-1}(X, \mathbb{R})\) [3, Conjecture 2.3] and the question analogous to Problem 1.2 with \(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee\) replaced by \(\mathcal{M}(X)\) had already been asked in [17, Problem 2.12].

We can compare the positivity assumptions in Problem 1.1 and 1.2 as well as in the Kodaira embedding theorem as follows. On the one hand if \(\omega \in \mathcal{K}(X)\), then \(\omega^{n-1} \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{M}(X)) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee)\), so the assumption in Problem 1.2 is weaker than the one in the Kodaira embedding. On the other hand since \(\mathcal{K}(X) \subset \text{Psef}(X)\), the assumption in Problem 1.2 is stronger than the one in Problem 1.1. Whether these implications are equivalent or not is still unknown.

In [26], the following problem had also been studied by Oguiso and Peternell.

**Problem 1.3 (Oguiso-Peternell).** — Let \(X\) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension \(n\) such that \(X\) contains a smooth curve with ample normal bundle. How algebraic is \(X\)?

The two Oguiso-Peternell problems (1.1 and 1.3) could be related by the conjecture that if \(C \subset X\) is a smooth curve with ample normal bundle, then \([C] \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee)\) [26, Conjecture 0.3] (which is known to hold for projective manifolds [27]). All these problems aim at understanding the algebraicity of compact Kähler manifolds containing some positive rational Hodge class of bidimension \((1, 1)\).

### 1.2 Known results and main results of the text

The main purpose of this text is to study the aforementioned problems. Our first result provides a partial answer to the Oguiso-Peternell problem (and also to Problem 1.2).

**Theorem 1.4.** — Let \(X\) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension \(n\). If \(\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})\) is not empty, then the Albanese variety of \(X\) is projective.

For a compact Kähler manifold \(X\) as in Problem 1.1, Theorem 1.4 gives a lower bound of the algebraic dimension \(a(X)\) by the Albanese dimension. In particular, if \(X\) has maximal Albanese dimension (namely, its Albanese map is generically finite onto its image), then \(X\) is projective. Note that if we replace the nonemptiness of \(\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})\) in Theorem 1.4 by the existence of a smooth curve \(C \subset X\) such
that $N_{C/X}$ is ample (hence in the context of Problem 1.3), then the projectivity of $\text{Alb}(X)$ follows simply from the surjectivity of $\text{Alb}(C) \to \text{Alb}(X)$ [27, Lemma 12].

For a compact Kähler surface $S$, Huybrechts [12, 14] and independently Oguiso-Peternell [25] proved that if $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(S)^\vee)$ contains a rational cohomology class, then $S$ is projective. This completely answers Problem 1.1 and Problem 1.2 in dimension 2. In this text, we answer Problem 1.2 in dimension 3.

**Theorem 1.5.** — Let $X$ be a smooth compact Kähler threefold. If $\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee)$ contains a rational cohomology class, then $X$ is projective.

The study of the Oguiso-Peternell problem in the threefold case was initiated by Oguiso and Peternell. In their previous work [26], they proved that if $X$ is a compact Kähler threefold such that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee)$ contains a curve class, then $X$ has algebraic dimension $a(X) \geq 2$. In this text, we will improve their result by removing the curve class assumption.

**Theorem 1.6.** — Let $X$ be a smooth compact Kähler threefold. If $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee)$ contains a rational cohomology class, then $a(X) \geq 2$.

If we replace the assumption $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee) \cap H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ in Theorem 1.6 by the existence of a smooth curve in $X$ with ample normal bundle (thus in the context of Problem 1.3), then the same conclusion $a(X) \geq 2$ holds and was essentially proven by Oguiso and Peternell [26, Theorem 0.5] (1). Oguiso and Peternell have also outlined a strategy to construct a non-algebraic threefold $X$ such that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee)$ contains a curve class (or such that $X$ contains a smooth curve with ample normal bundle). However an explicit construction of such an example is still missing.

While we are still not able to solve Problem 1.1 and 1.3 in dimension 3, we can relate these problems to a problem about 1-cycles in threefolds. We will postpone the discussion to 1.4, after we give an outline of the proofs of the aforementioned results.

### 1.3 Outline of the proofs of the main results

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is based on the following solution to the Oguiso-Peternell problem for complex tori that we will prove in Section 5.

**Proposition 1.7.** — Let $X$ be a smooth compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ which is bimeromorphic to the quotient $T/G$ of a complex torus $T$ by a finite group $G$. If $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is not empty, then $X$ is projective.

In the proof of Theorem 1.4, we only apply the special case of Proposition 1.7 where $G$ is trivial. The full statement of Proposition 1.7 will be applied in the proof of Theorem 1.6, which we explain now.

To prove Theorem 1.6, we have to show that if $X$ is a compact Kähler threefold $X$ of algebraic dimension $a \leq 1$, then $\text{Int}(\mathcal{K}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$. According to Fujiki’s classification of compact Kähler threefolds

---

1. To obtain $a(X) \geq 2$ from [26, Theorem 0.5], one needs to exclude the existence of simple non-Kummer threefolds, which is now known thanks to the Minimal Model Program for Kähler threefolds [15, Theorem 6.2].
of algebraic dimension $a \leq 1$ (together with some improvements, see Proposition 2.7), those threefolds are bimeromorphic to one of the following:

i) A threefold which dominates a surface.

ii) The quotient $T/G$ of a 3-torus $T$ by a finite group $G$.

iii) A finite quotient of a smooth isotrivial torus fibration over a curve without multi-sections.

iv) A fibration in abelian varieties over a curve (which might contain singular fibres).

According to the bimeromorphic invariance of the emptiness of $\text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X)^{\vee}) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ [26, Proposition 2.5], it suffices to prove that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X)^{\vee}) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for the above four types of varieties. The first case is an immediate consequence of [26, Proposition 2.6]. Case ii) results from Proposition 1.7. Case iii) and iv) will be carried out in Section 4 and 6 respectively, derived as corollaries of the following result (which holds in arbitrary dimension).

**Proposition 1.8 (see Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 6.4).** — Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold which is the total space of a fibration $f : X \to B$ over a projective curve whose general fibre $F$ is a complex torus. Suppose that $F$ is projective or $f$ is smooth. If $\text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X)^{\vee})$ contains a rational cohomology class, then $f$ has a multi-section.

Since $\mathcal{X}(X) \subset \text{Psef}(X)$, Theorem 1.6 also implies that if $X$ is a compact Kähler threefold such that $\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^{\vee}) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \not= \emptyset$, then $a(X) \geq 2$. Therefore to prove Theorem 1.5 for $X$, it suffices to exclude the case where $a(X) = 2$. Compact Kähler threefolds of algebraic dimension 2 are bimeromorphic to elliptic fibrations over a projective base, and the remaining part of the proof of Theorem 1.5 is essentially reduced to the following statement, which we will prove in Section 7.

**Proposition 1.9.** — Let $f : X \to B$ be a flat elliptic fibration from a smooth compact Kähler threefold $X$ to a smooth projective surface $B$. If there exists $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $f^* \alpha \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})$ is ample, then $X$ is projective.

### 1.4 A question about 1-cycles and the Oguiso-Peternell problem

Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold and $Y \subset X$ a complex subvariety of codimension $l$. Let $\alpha \in H^{k,l}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be a Hodge class which vanishes in $H^{2k}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q})$. Since $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ carries a pure Hodge structure, $\alpha$ belongs to the image of $i_* : H^{2k-2l}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ where $i : \tilde{Y} \to X$ is the composition of a Kähler desingularisation $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ of $Y$ with $Y \hookrightarrow X$. If we moreover assume that $X$ is projective, then based on the existence of polarisation on the underlying $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure of (the summands of the primitive decomposition of) $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, the class $\alpha$ is even the image of a Hodge class $\beta \in H^{k-1,l-1}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q})$ [33, Remark 2.30]. The later property might fail without the assumption that $X$ is projective but for 1-cycles in threefolds, a concrete example is still missing.

**Question 1.10.** — Let $X$ be a smooth compact Kähler threefold and $Y \subset X$ a surface. Let $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ be a desingularisation of $Y$ and let $i : \tilde{Y} \to X$ be its composition with $Y \hookrightarrow X$. Given a Hodge class $\alpha \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ which vanishes in $H^4(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q})$, does there exist $\beta \in H^1(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $i_* \beta = \alpha$?
So far Question 1.10 can be answered positively under the assumption that $Y$ is irreducible (Lemma 7.2). If we assume that Question 1.10 has a positive answer, then we are able to improve Proposition 1.9 as follows.

**Proposition 1.11.** — Let $f : X \to B$ be a flat elliptic fibration over a smooth projective surface $B$. Suppose that $X$ is a smooth compact Kähler threefold and that Question 1.10 has a positive answer for $X$. If there exists $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $f_* \alpha \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})$ is big, then $X$ is projective.

Under the assumption that Question 1.10 has a positive answer, with some further argument the conclusion of Proposition 1.11 will allow us to show that $a(X) \neq 2$ for every threefold $X$ as in Problem 1.1 and in Problem 1.3.

**Corollary 1.12.** — A positive answer to Question 1.10 for a compact Kähler threefold $X$ implies a positive answer to both Oguiso-Peternell problems 1.1 and 1.3 for any smooth bimeromorphic model of $X$.

By Corollary 1.12, we also understand that if there exists a threefold $X$ for which one of the Oguiso-Peternell problems has a negative answer, then Question 1.10 would also have a negative answer for some smooth bimeromorphic model of $X$.

### 1.5 The existence of connecting family of curves and Problem 1.2

We finish this introduction by explaining how one could expect Problem 1.2 to have a positive answer. Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold and $\mathcal{M}(X) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ its movable cone. Define $\mathcal{M}(X)_{\text{NS}} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ to be the closed convex cone in $H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ generated by $\mathcal{M}(X) \cap H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. If we assume that $X$ is projective, then as a consequence of the BDPP theorem, $\mathcal{M}(X)_{\text{NS}}$ coincides with the closed convex cone generated by curve classes of the form $\mu(A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-1})$ where $\mu : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a birational morphism and the $A_i$’s are very ample divisors on $\tilde{X}$ [3, Theorem 2.4]. In particular, $\mathcal{M}(X)_{\text{NS}}$ is a closed convex cone generated by classes of connecting family of curves $(C_t)_{t \in T}$ (namely for every general pair of points $x, y \in X$, there exist $t_1, \ldots, t_l \in T$ such that $x, y \in C_{t_1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{t_l}$ and $C_{t_1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{t_l}$ is connected). One can ask whether it is still the case without the projectivity assumption.

**Question 1.13.** — Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold. Is the Néron-Severi part $\mathcal{M}(X)_{\text{NS}}$ of the movable cone a closed convex cone generated by classes of connecting family of curves?

Conjecturally $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is the dual cone of $\text{Psef}(X)$ [3, Conjecture 2.3]. If we assume this conjecture and that Question 1.13 has a positive answer, then a compact Kähler manifold $X$ satisfying $\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ would be algebraically connected (see 2.4 for the definition). Therefore by Campana’s projectivity criterion (Theorem 2.3), a compact Kähler manifold $X$ as in Problem 1.2 would be projective.

### 1.6 Organisation of the text

In the next section, we will recall and prove some preliminary results that we need in this text. In Section 3, we will prove that the condition in Problem 1.2 is invariant under bimeromorphic modifications.
Proposition 1.8 for smooth torus fibrations will be proven in Section 4. In Section 5, we will prove Theorem 1.4. The same section also contains a small paragraph about hyper-Kähler manifolds (Proposition 5.4). In Section 6, we will prove Proposition 1.8 for fibrations in abelian varieties over a curve. Section 7 is devoted to elliptic fibrations and we will prove Proposition 1.9 therein. We will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.5 and 1.6 in Section 8. Finally we prove Corollary 1.12 in Section 9, which relates the Oguiso-Peternell problems to Question 1.10.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Convention and terminology

In this text, compact complex manifolds and varieties are assumed to be irreducible (but subvarieties can be reducible). A fibration is a surjective proper holomorphic map \( f : X \to B \) with connected fibres. For any subring \( R \subset \mathbb{C} \), the set of \( R \)-Hodge classes in \( H^{k,k}(X) \) is denoted by \( H^{k,k}(X,R) \). In other words,

\[
H^{k,k}(X,R) := H^{k,k}(X) \cap \text{Im} \left( H^{2k}(X,R) \to H^{2k}(X,\mathbb{C}) \right).
\]

2.2 Kähler forms on normal complex spaces

The goal of this subsection is to recall how singular Kähler metrics are defined on normal complex spaces and prove Lemma 2.1 for latter use. A standard reference is [31, II.1].

Let \( X \) be a normal complex space (for instance, the quotient of a complex manifold by a finite group). A smooth function on \( X \) is a continuous function \( f : X \to \mathbb{R} \) such that for some open cover \( \{U_i\} \) of \( X \), there exist holomorphic embeddings \( U_i \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \) such that \( f|_{U_i} \) extends to a smooth function on a neighbourhood of \( U_i \) in \( \mathbb{C}^N \). The sheaf of smooth functions on \( X \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{O}_X^\infty \). Similarly, a strictly plurisubharmonic (psh for short) function on \( X \) is an upper semi-continuous function with values in \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \) which extends to a strictly psh function in a neighbourhood of a local embedding \( X \to \mathbb{C}^N \).

Let \( \text{PH}_X = \mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_X^\infty \subset \mathcal{E}_X^\infty \) be the subsheaf of pluriharmonic functions. A Kähler metric on \( X \) is a collection of smooth strictly psh functions \( \{\phi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}\}_{i \in \text{ref}} \) where \( \{U_i\}_{i \in \text{ref}} \) is an open cover of \( X \) such that \( \phi_{ij}|_{U_{ij} \cap U_{ij}} - \phi_{ij}|_{U_{ij} \cap U_{ij}} \in \text{PH}_X(U_{ij} \cap U_{ij}) \). In particular, a Kähler metric on \( X \) is an element of \( H^0(X,\mathcal{E}_X^\infty/\text{PH}_X) \). The short exact sequences

\[
0 \longrightarrow \text{PH}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^\infty/\text{PH}_X \longrightarrow 0
\]

and

\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\infty \longrightarrow \text{PH}_X \longrightarrow 0
\]

induce the composition

\[
[\bullet] : H^0(X,\mathcal{E}_X^\infty/\text{PH}_X) \to H^1(X,\text{PH}_X) \to H^2(X,\mathbb{R})
\]

and a Kähler class \([\omega] \in H^2(X,\mathbb{R})\) is the image of a Kähler metric \( \omega \in H^0(X,\mathcal{E}_X^\infty/\text{PH}_X) \). The Kähler classes form a convex cone \( \mathcal{K}(X) \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) \) and elements in the closure \( \overline{\mathcal{K}(X)} \) are called nef classes of \( X \).

**Lemma 2.1.** — Let \( f : X \to X/G \) be the quotient of a compact complex manifold \( X \) by a finite group \( G \). A \( G \)-invariant nef class \([\omega] \in H^2(X,\mathbb{R})\) is the pullback \( f^*[\omega'] \) of a nef class \([\omega'] \in H^2(X/G,\mathbb{R}) \).

Proof. — It suffices to prove that a $G$-invariant Kähler class $[\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the pullback $f^*[\omega']$ of a Kähler class $[\omega'] \in H^2(X/G, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\omega$ be a $G$-invariant Kähler form representing $[\omega]$. There exist an open cover $\{V_i\}$ of $X/G$ and $G$-invariant $C^\infty$-strictly psh functions $u_i$ defined over $U_i := f^{-1}(V_i)$ such that $\omega|_{U_i} = i\partial\bar{\partial}u_i$. As the pushforward of a strictly psh (resp. pluriharmonic) function under a finite surjective morphism is still strictly psh (resp. pluriharmonic) [31, Lemma II.3.1.2], the pushforwards $v_i = f_*u_i$ are strictly psh and $v_{ij} = (v_i - v_j)|_{V_i \cap V_j}$ are pluriharmonic. By [31, Theorem 1] (2), there exist $C^\infty$-strictly psh functions $v_i'$ defined over $V_i$ such that $v_i' - v_j' = v_{ij}$. Therefore the image $[\omega'] \in H^2(X/G, \mathbb{R})$ of the Čech 1-cocycle $\sum_{i,j} [v_{ij}]$ in $H^1(X/G, \mathcal{P}H_{X/G})$ is a Kähler class. Since $\frac{1}{\omega} f^*v_{ij} = (u_i - u_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}$, we have $f^*[\omega'] = [\omega]$. \hfill $\Box$

2.3 A projection formula for varieties with quotient singularities

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper continuous map between two closed rational homology manifolds (e.g. complex varieties with at worst quotient singularities [4, Proposition A.1 (iii)]). Then Poincaré duality holds for $X$ and $Y$, which allows us to define the Gysin morphism

$$f_\ast : H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{PD} H_{BM}^{\dim X-k}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{f^\ast} H_{BM}^{\dim X-k}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{PD} H^{k-\ast}(Y, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $r = \dim X - \dim Y$ and PD denotes the Poincaré duality. The following is the reformulation of the projection formula [16, IX.3.7] in terms of Gysin morphism.

**Proposition 2.2 (Projection formula).** — Given $\alpha \in H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\beta \in H^l(Y, \mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$f_\ast(\alpha \cdot f^\ast \beta) = f_\ast \alpha \cdot \beta.$$

2.4 Campana’s criterion

Recall that the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$ consists of compact complex varieties which are meromorphically dominated by compact Kähler manifolds. A compact complex variety $X$ is called algebraically connected if for every general pair of points $x, y \in X$, there exists a connected proper curve $C \subset X$ such that $x, y \in C$. The following criterion for a variety in the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$ to be Moishezon is due to Campana.

**Theorem 2.3 (Campana [6, Corollaire on p.212]).** — A compact complex variety $X$ in the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$ is Moishezon if and only if it is algebraically connected.

We list some direct consequences of Campana’s criterion.

**Corollary 2.4.** — Let $X$ be a compact complex variety in the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$ and $f : X \to B$ a fibration. Assume that a general fibre of $f$ and $B$ are both Moishezon. Then $X$ is Moishezon if and only if $f$ has a multi-section.

**Corollary 2.5 ([22, Corollary 3.12]).** — Let $X$ be a compact complex variety in the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$ and $f : X \to B$ a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration. If $B$ is Moishezon, then $X$ is Moishezon.

---

2. Note that since $X/G$ is reduced, the condition ii) in [31, Theorem 1] holds automatically; see [31, Remark II.2.2].
3. A closed rational homology manifold of dimension $n$ is a compact topological space $X$ such that for every $x \in X$, we have $H_i(X, X\setminus\{x\}, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ if $i = n$ and $H_i(X, X\setminus\{x\}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ if $i \neq n$. 
Lemma 2.6. — Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold with $a(X) = \dim X - 1$. The algebraic reduction $f : X \to B$ of $X$ is almost holomorphic whose general fibre is an elliptic curve.

Proof. — We already know by [30, Theorem 12.4] that any resolution of $f$ is an elliptic fibration. It remains to show that $f$ is almost holomorphic. Let $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to B$ be a resolution of $f$ by a compact Kähler manifold $\tilde{X}$. Let $E \subset \tilde{X}$ be the exceptional divisor of $\tilde{X} \to X$. Since $X$ is not Moishezon and since $B$ is Moishezon and a general fibre of $\tilde{f}$ is a curve, Corollary 2.4 implies that $E$ does not dominate $B$. Therefore $f$ is almost holomorphic. □

2.5 Bimeromorphic models of compact Kähler threefolds with $a \leq 1$

Bimeromorphic models of compact Kähler threefolds of algebraic dimension $a \leq 1$ had essentially been classified by Fujiki [10]. Further improvements have been made in [5, Corollary 7.6] and [22, Proposition 2.5]. In this subsection, we state this classification result in the following form for our needs.

Proposition 2.7. — Let $X$ be a compact Kähler threefold such that $a(X) \leq 1$, then $X$ is bimeromorphic to one of the following.

i) A $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration $X' \to S$ over a smooth compact Kähler surface $S$.

ii) $(S \times F)/G$ where $S$ is a non-projective surface, $F$ a smooth curve, and $G$ a finite group acting diagonally on $S \times F$.

iii) A fibration $f : X' \to B$ in 2-tori without multi-sections. Moreover, if a general fibre of $f$ is non-algebraic, then we may assume that there exist a finite group $G$ and a $G$-equivariant smooth isotrivial fibration $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{B}$ in 2-tori such that $\text{Gal}(\tilde{B}/B) = G$ and $f$ is the quotient of $\tilde{f}$ by $G$.

iv) The quotient $T/G$ of a 3-torus $T$ by a finite group $G$.

Proof. — If $X$ is uniruled, then $X$ is in case i) by [22, Lemma 4.4]. If $X$ is not uniruled, then by [22, Proposition 2.5], $X$ is in case ii), iv), or iii) (but without knowing whether $f$ has multi-sections). All we need to prove is that in the last situation, either $f$ has no multi-section or $X$ is in case ii).

If a general fibre $F$ of $f$ is algebraic, then since $X$ is non-algebraic, $f$ has no multi-section (Corollary 2.4). Suppose that $F$ is non-algebraic and let $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{B}$ be a $G$-equivariant smooth isotrivial fibration in 2-tori as in iii). If the fibration $f$ has a multi-section, then there exists a finite base change $\tilde{f}' : \tilde{X}' \to \tilde{B}'$ of $\tilde{X} \to \tilde{B}$ such that $\tilde{f}'$ is a trivial torus fibration $F \times \tilde{B}' \to \tilde{B}'$ [22, Lemma 5.6]. Up to making a further base change, we can assume that $\tilde{B}' \to B$ is Galois. There is a natural induced $G' := \text{Gal}(\tilde{B}'/B)$-action on $F \times \tilde{B}'$ and $(F \times \tilde{B}')/G'$ is bimeromorphic to $X$. It remains to show that the $G'$-action on $F \times \tilde{B}'$ is diagonal to conclude that $X$ is in case ii).

Since $F$ is non-algebraic, either $a(F) = 1$ or $a(F) = 0$. If $a(F) = 1$, then $F$ is an elliptic fibration $F \to C$, which induces a surjective map $F \times \tilde{B}' \to C \times \tilde{B}'$. Accordingly,$$a(X) = a((F \times \tilde{B}')/G') = a(F \times \tilde{B}') \geq a(C \times \tilde{B}') = 2,$$contradicting the assumption that $a(X) \leq 1$. Therefore $a(F) = 0$, in particular the only subvarieties of $F$ are points and $F$. For every $g \in G'$, consider the map $\Phi_g : \tilde{B}' \to \text{Aut}(F)$ defined by $\Phi_g(b)(x) = \text{pr}_1(g(x, b))$ where
pr₁ : F × ˜B′ → F is the projection to the first factor. Since ImΦγ is a subvariety of a connected component of Aut(F) (which is isomorphic to F) and dim ImΦγ < dim F, the map Φγ is constant. Hence the G'-action on F × ˜B′ is diagonal.

3 Dual pseudoeffective cones under bimeromorphic modifications

We start with the easy observation that the interior of the dual pseudoeffective cone is stable under pushforward by surjective maps.

Lemma 3.1. — Let f : X → Y be a surjective map between compact Kähler manifolds. If α ∈ Int(Psef(X)) then f∗α ∈ Int(Psef(Y)).

Proof. — For every γ ∈ Psef(Y)\{0}, since α ∈ Int(Psef(X)) and f∗γ ∈ Psef(X)\{0}, we have f∗α · γ = α · f∗γ > 0. Hence f∗α ∈ Int(Psef(Y)). □

The following result is the analogue of [26, Proposition 2.1] for pseudoeffective cone, which holds in any dimension.

Proposition 3.2. — Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and v : ˜X → X the blow-up of X along a submanifold Y ⊂ X. If Int(Psef(X)) ∩ H2(X, Q) ≠ ∅, then Int(Psef(˜X)) ∩ H2(˜X, Q) ≠ ∅.

Proof. — Fix α ∈ Int(Psef(X)) ∩ H2n−2(X, Q). Let E = v−1(Y) be the exceptional divisor and let ℓ be a line in v−1(y) for some y ∈ Y. Then every element ˜γ ∈ H1,1(˜X, R) is of the form ˜γ = v∗γ + rE for some γ ∈ H1,1(X, R) and r ∈ R. If moreover ˜γ ∈ Psef(˜X), then γ = v∗γ is also pseudoeffective. Therefore to prove the proposition, it suffices to find q ∈ Q>0 such that (v∗α − qtℓ) · (v∗γ + rE) > 0 for every γ ∈ Psef(X) and r ∈ R such that v∗γ + rE ∈ Psef(X)\{0}.

Fix a norm ∥·∥ on H2(X, R) and let

\[ \text{Psef}(X) = \text{Psef}(X) \cap \{ γ ∈ H^2(X, R) \mid \|γ\| = 1 \}. \]

For every γ ∈ Psef(X), let rγ = inf \{ r ∈ R \mid v∗γ + rE ∈ Psef(˜X) \}. Since v∗γ ∈ Psef(˜X), we have rγ ≤ 0. As α · γ > 0 for every γ ∈ Psef(X), and both γ ↦ α · γ and γ ↦ rγ are continuous functions defined on the compact set Psef(X), there exists q ∈ Q>0 such that α · γ + qrg > 0 for all γ ∈ Psef(X).

Now let γ ∈ Psef(X) and r ∈ R such that v∗γ + rE ∈ Psef(˜X)\{0}. If γ = 0, then r > 0, so

\[ (v∗α − qtℓ) · (rE) = qr > 0. \]

If γ ≠ 0 then \( \frac{r}{\| γ \|} ≥ rγ/\| γ \| \), so we also have

\[ (v∗α − qtℓ) · (v∗γ + rE) = \alpha · γ + qr = \| γ \| \left( \alpha \cdot \frac{r}{\| γ \|} + qr/\| γ \| \right) ≥ \| γ \| \left( \alpha \cdot \frac{r}{\| γ \|} + qr/\| γ \| \right) > 0. \]

□

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we have the following.
Corollary 3.3. — Let $f : X \to Y$ be a dominant meromorphic map between compact Kähler manifolds. If \( \text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)) \cap H_2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset \), then \( \text{Int}(\text{Psef}(Y)) \cap H_2(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset \).

Proof. — Let $X \leftarrow \tilde{X} \to Y$ be a resolution of $f$ by a sequence of blow-ups $\nu : \tilde{X} \to X$ along smooth centres. By Proposition 3.2, there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Int}(\text{Psef}(\tilde{X})) \cap H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q})$, and we conclude by Lemma 3.1 that $f^* \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Int}(\text{Psef}(Y)) \cap H_2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$.

4 Smooth torus fibrations

In this section we study the Oguiso-Peternell problem for smooth torus fibrations. The argument involves the Deligne cohomology in an essential way and the reader is referred to [32, Chapter 12.3] for a reference.

Let $f : X \to B$ be a smooth torus fibration over a smooth base and let $g = \dim X - \dim B$. Recall that the (absolute) Deligne complex $\mathcal{D}_X(g)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_X(g) = \text{Cone}(Z \to \Omega^*_{X/B}[−1])$$

where $Z$ is regarded as a complex concentrated in degree 0 and the map is induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{Z} \times_{\Omega^*_{X/B}} \Omega^*_{X/B}$. Similarly, the relative Deligne complex is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g) = \text{Cone}(Z \to \Omega^*_{X/B}[−1]).$$

Applying $Rf_*$ to $\mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g)$, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g) \longrightarrow H^{p,q}(X/B) \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{J} = \text{coker}\left(R^{2g−1}f_*Z \to R^{2g−1}f_*\Omega^*_{X/B} \right)$$

and

$$H^{p,q}(X/B) := \ker\left(R^{2g}f_*Z \to R^{2g}f_*\Omega^*_{X/B} \right) = R^{2g}f_*Z_X \simeq Z_B.$$  

The sheaf $\mathcal{J}$ is isomorphic to the sheaf of germs of sections of the Jacobian fibration $p : f \to B$ associated to $f$ and $H^{p,q}(X/B)$ could be regarded as the space of “relative Hodge classes” of bidegree $(p, q)$.

The Deligne cohomology group of degree $2g$ is defined by $H^{2g}_D(X, \mathcal{Z}(g)) = H^{2g}(X, \mathcal{D}_X(g))$ and we have a map $\text{cl} : H^{2g}_D(X, \mathcal{Z}(g)) \to H^{2g}(X, \mathcal{Z})$ induced by $\mathcal{D}_X(g) \to \mathcal{Z}$ whose image is $H^{2g}(X, \mathcal{Z})$. This map sits inside the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{2g}_D(X, \mathcal{Z}(g)) & \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} & H^{2g}(X, \mathcal{Z}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\
H^0(B, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^0(B, H^{p,q}(X/B)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(B, \mathcal{J})
\end{array}
$$

(4.2)
where the vertical arrow on the left is the composition of \( H^2_d(X, \mathbb{Z}(g)) \rightarrow H^2_d(X, D_{X/B}(g)) \) induced by the natural map \( D_X(g) \rightarrow D_{X/B}(g) \) with \( H^2_d(X, D_{X/B}(g)) \rightarrow H^0(B, R^2f_*D_{X/B}(g)) \) and the second row is an exact sequence induced by (4.1).

Finally recall that \( H^p_d(X/B) \cong \mathbb{Z}_B \), so we have a canonical isomorphism \( H^0(B, H^p_d(X/B)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). For every \( f \)-torsor \( f : X \rightarrow B \), let \( \eta(f) = \delta(1) \in H^1(B, \mathcal{F}) \). This defines a bijection

\[
\eta : \{ \text{\( f \)-torsors} \} \cong H^1(B, \mathcal{F})
\]

(4.3) and \( \eta(f) \) is torsion if and only if \( f \) has a multi-section (which can be chosen étale over \( B \)) [7, Proposition 2.1 and 2.2].

**Lemma 4.1.** — Let \( X \) be a compact Kähler manifold, which is the total space of a smooth torus fibration \( f : X \rightarrow B \) of relative dimension \( g \) over a compact Kähler manifold \( B \). If \( f_* : H^p_d(X, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow H^0(B, \mathcal{Q}) \) is surjective, then \( f \) has an étale multi-section.

**Proof.** — It suffices to show that \( \eta(f) \) is torsion. Since \( f_* : H^p_d(X, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow H^0(B, \mathcal{Q}) \) is surjective, there exists \( \alpha \in H^p_d(X, \mathcal{Z}) \) such that \( f_*\alpha \in H^0(B, \mathcal{Z}) \) is nonzero. By (4.2), \( f_*\alpha \) lifts to an element of \( H^0(B, R^{2g}f_*D_{X/B}(g)) \) so \( \delta(f_*\alpha) = 0 \). Hence \( \eta(f) = \delta(1) \) is torsion. \( \Box \)

**Proposition 4.2.** — Let \( X_0 \) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension \( g + 1 \) such that \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X_0)^\vee) \) contains a rational class \( \alpha \in H^2_d(X_0, \mathcal{Q}) \). Assume that \( X_0 \) is bimeromorphic to \( X/G \) where \( G \) is a finite group and \( X \) is the total space of a \( G \)-equivariant smooth torus fibration \( f : X \rightarrow B \) over a smooth curve \( B \), then \( f \) has an étale multi-section.

**Proof.** — Let \( X_0 \leftarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow X/G \) be a resolution of a bimeromorphic map \( X_0 \rightarrow X/G \) by a sequence of blow-ups \( \tilde{X} \rightarrow X_0 \) along submanifolds. By [26, Proposition 2.1], \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(\tilde{X})^\vee) \) contains a rational class \( \beta \). Let \( X \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} \tilde{X} \stackrel{\alpha}{\rightarrow} X \) be a resolution of the rational map \( X \rightarrow X/G \rightarrow X \) by a compact Kähler manifold \( \tilde{X} \). The situation is summarised in the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{X}' \xrightarrow{p} X \xrightarrow{f} B \\
\downarrow g \downarrow \downarrow r \downarrow \downarrow f \\
\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\alpha} X/G \xrightarrow{\beta} B/G
\end{array}
\]

With the notations therein,

\[
r_*f_*p_*q_*\beta = \tilde{f}_*q_*q'\beta = \deg(q) \cdot \tilde{f}_*\beta \neq 0 \in H^0(B/G, \mathcal{Q})
\]

where the non-vanishing follows from [26, Proposition 2.5]. In particular if \( \alpha := p_*q_*\beta \), then \( f_*\alpha \neq 0 \) in \( H^0(B, \mathcal{Q}) \). Therefore \( f_* : H^p_d(X, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow H^0(B, \mathcal{Q}) \) is surjective and we apply Lemma 4.1 to conclude. \( \Box \)

## 5 Complex tori and hyper-Kähler manifolds

In this section, we first study the Oguiso-Peternell problem for complex tori (and their finite quotients). A positive answer to this problem in this case can be derived from the following result.
Proposition 5.1. — Let $T$ be a complex torus and $G$ a finite group acting on $T$. If there exists $\beta \in H^{n-1,n-1}(T, \mathbb{Q})^G$ such that $\beta \cdot \omega \neq 0$ for every $\omega \in \mathcal{K}(T)$, then $T$ is projective.

Proof. — Up to replacing $\beta$ by some nonzero multiple of it, we can assume that $\beta \in H^{n-1,n-1}(T, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let us first prove that if $T$ is not projective, then $T$ is not a simple torus (in the sense that $T$ does not contain any nontrivial sub-torus). Assume to the contrary that $T$ is simple torus. Let $n = \dim T$ and let $\hat{T}$ be the dual of $T$. Let

$$\mathcal{F} : H^{2n-2}(T, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{PD} H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(\hat{T}, \mathbb{Z})$$

be the Fourier transformation, defined as the composition of the Poincaré duality with the isomorphism induced by the natural perfect pairing $H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^1(\hat{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$. The map $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism of Hodge structures, so there exists a line bundle $L$ on $\hat{T}$ such that $c_1(L) = \mathcal{F}(\beta)$; let $\phi_L : \hat{T} \to T$ be the homomorphism induced by $L$. Since $T$ is simple, $\ker(\phi_L)$ is either $\hat{T}$ or finite. In other words, either $c_1(L) = 0$ or $c_1(L)^n \neq 0$ [2, Lemma 2.4.7 and Proposition 2.4.9]. As $\beta \neq 0$, we have $c_1(L) = \mathcal{F}(\beta) \neq 0$. Therefore $c_1(L)^n \neq 0$, in particular $c_1(L)^{n-1} \neq 0$, so $\beta_{n-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(c_1(L)^{n-1}) \neq 0$ where $\ast$ denotes the Pontryagin product.

Let $L'$ be a line bundle over $T$ such that $c_1(L') = \beta^\ast\beta^{-1}$. By the same argument, we have $c_1(L')^{n} \neq 0$, so the Hermitian form $h$ on $H^1(T, \mathbb{C})$ which corresponds to $c_1(L')$ is non-degenerate. Let $(p, q)$ be the signature of $h$. There exist $dz_1, \ldots, dz_n \in H^1(T, \mathbb{C})$ such that $dz_1, \ldots, dz_n, d\bar{z}_1, \ldots, d\bar{z}_n$ form a basis of $H^1(T, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$c_1(L') = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

with $c_1, \ldots, c_p > 0$ and $c_{p+1}, \ldots, c_n < 0$. Define the Kähler class

$$\omega := \sqrt{-1} \left( q \cdot \sum_{j=1}^p c_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j - p \cdot \sum_{j=p+1}^n c_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right).$$

On the one hand since $T$ is non-projective, both $L'$ and its dual are not ample, so $p, q \neq n$ and it follows that $\omega \cdot c_1(L')^{n-1} = 0$ by elementary computation. On the other hand by Poincaré’s formula [2, 16.5.6], there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $c_1(L')^{n-1} = C\beta$, so

$$\|G\| \left( \omega \cdot c_1(L')^{n-1} \right) = C \left( \omega \cdot (|G| \cdot \beta) \right) = C \cdot \omega \cdot \sum_{g \in G} g'^\ast \beta = C \cdot \sum_{g \in G} g'^\ast \beta \cdot \beta \neq 0,$

which yields a contradiction. Hence $T$ is not simple.

Now we prove Proposition 5.1 by induction on $\dim T$. The statement for $\dim T = 1$ is obvious. Assume that $\dim T > 1$ and that Proposition 5.1 is proven for every complex torus of dimension strictly less than $\dim T$. If $T$ is not projective, then $T$ is not simple, so there exists a surjective homomorphism $\pi : T \to T'$ with connected fibres to a simple complex torus $T'$ with $0 < \dim T' < \dim T$. For every $\omega' \in \mathcal{K}(T')$, we have

$$\pi_\ast \beta \cdot \omega' = \beta \cdot \pi'^\ast \omega' = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g'^\ast \beta \cdot \pi'^\ast \omega' = \frac{1}{|G|} \beta \cdot \sum_{g \in G} g'^\ast \pi'^\ast \omega' \neq 0,$$
so $T'$ is projective by the induction hypothesis. Moreover, since $T'$ is simple, we have
\[ \pi_*(\rho^{\dim T}) = (\pi \rho)^{\dim T'} \neq 0 \in H^0(T', \mathbb{Z}), \]
so $\pi$ has an étale multi-section $\Sigma \subset T$ by Lemma 4.1. We can assume that $\Sigma$ is connected and it follows that if $F \subset T$ is a fibre of $\pi : T \to T'$, then there exists a finite étale cover $\tau : \bar{T} \to T$ together with a surjective homomorphism $\pi' : \bar{T} \to F$ such that $\ker(\pi')$ is an abelian variety isogenous to $\Sigma$. For every $\omega'' \in \mathcal{X}(F)$, we have $\tau_*\pi'''\omega'' \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{T})$, so
\[ \pi'\tau'\beta \cdot \omega'' = \beta \cdot \tau_*\pi'''\omega'' = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{y \in G} g^\beta \cdot \tau_*\pi'''\omega'' = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{y \in G} g^\beta \cdot \tau_*\pi'''\omega''. \]
Thus $F$ is projective by the induction hypothesis. Since $\pi : T \to T'$ is a fibration with a multi-section such that $T'$ and the fibres of $\pi$ are projective, by Corollary 2.4 $T$ is also projective.

**Corollary 5.2.** — Let $X$ be a smooth compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ which is bimeromorphic to the quotient $T/G$ of a complex torus $T$ by a finite group $G$. If $\text{Int} (\mathcal{X}(X)) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is not empty, then $X$ is projective.

**Proof of Proposition 1.7.** — Let $\alpha \in \text{Int} (\mathcal{X}(X)) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Fix a bimeromorphic map $p : X \to T/G$. Up to resolving $p$ by a successive blow-ups of $X$ along complex submanifolds, by [26, Proposition 2.1] we may assume that $p$ is holomorphic. Let $q : T \to T/G$ be the quotient map. For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{T})$, there exists by Lemma 2.1 $\omega' \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{T}/G)$ such that $\omega = q^\omega \omega'$. As $\omega'$ is nef, the pullback $p^\alpha \omega'$ is also nef. So if $\beta = q^* p_* \alpha \in H^{n-1,n-1}(T, \mathbb{Q})^G$, then since $\alpha \in \text{Int} (\mathcal{X}(X)) \cap H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, we have
\[ \beta \cdot \omega = q^* p_* \alpha \cdot \omega = q^* \left( p_* \alpha \cdot \omega' \right) > 0, \tag{5.1} \]
where the last equality follows from the projection formula (Proposition 2.2). It follows from Proposition 5.1 that $T$ is projective. Hence $X$ is also projective.

Before we prove Theorem 1.4, let us mention the following immediate consequence of Proposition 5.1.

**Corollary 5.3.** — Let $X$ be compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ such that $\text{Int} (\mathcal{X}(X)) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is not empty. If $a(X) = 0$, then $b_1(X) = 0$.

**Proof.** — It is equivalent to show that Alb$(X)$ is a point. Since $a(X) = 0$, the Albanese map $X \to \text{Alb}(X)$ is surjective and $a(\text{Alb}(X)) = 0$ [30, Lemma 13.1]. So $\text{Int} (\mathcal{X}(X)) \cap H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ implies that $\text{Int} (\mathcal{X} (\text{Alb}(X)))$ contains a rational class as well [26, Proposition 2.5]. It follows from Proposition 1.7 that Alb$(X)$ is projective, hence Alb$(X)$ is a point.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** — Let $a : X \to T$ denote the Albanese map of $X$ and $Y := a(X)$. Let $\bar{Y} \to Y$ be a minimal Kähler desingularisation of $Y$ and $r : \bar{Y} \to T$ its composition with $Y \hookrightarrow T$. Since $\text{Int} (\mathcal{X}(Y)) \cap H^{2n-2}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ and $X$ dominates $\bar{Y}$, we can find $\alpha \in \text{Int} (\mathcal{X}(\bar{Y})) \cap H^{2n-2}(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Q})$. According to Proposition 1.7, it suffices to show that $[\alpha] \cdot r_* \alpha > 0$ for every $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{X}(T)/\mathbb{Q}$.

Since $[\alpha] \cdot r_* \alpha = r'[\alpha] \cdot \alpha$, we only need to show that $r'[\alpha] \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{Y})/\mathbb{Q}$ for every $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{X}(T)/\mathbb{Q}$. As $[\alpha]$ is nef, we can assume that $[\alpha]$ is represented by $\alpha = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ for some $c_j \geq 0$ and $dz_1, \ldots, dz_n \in H^1(T, \mathbb{C})$.
such that \( dz_1, \ldots, dz_n, d\bar{z}_1, \ldots, d\bar{z}_n \) form a basis of \( H^1(T, \mathbb{C}) \). It follows that \( r \omega \) is semi-positive and it suffices to show that \( r \omega \neq 0 \) to conclude the proof. As \( \omega \neq 0 \), the 1-form \( \sum_{j=1}^n c_j dz_j \) is non-zero. Since \( Y \) is the Albanese image of \( X \), there exists a smooth point \( y \in Y \) such that \( T_{Y,y} \) is not in the kernel of \( \sum_{j=1}^n c_j dz_j \). Therefore \( \omega_{Y,y} \neq 0 \) and hence \( r \omega \neq 0 \).

From the viewpoint of the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition theorem, complex tori and hyper-Kähler manifolds can be considered as the building blocks of non-projective K-trivial compact Kähler manifolds. We already answered Problem 1.1 for complex tori. We finish this section by answering Problem 1.1 for hyper-Kähler manifolds, based on Huybrechts’ description of their nef cones.

**Proposition 5.4.** — Let \( X \) be a hyper-Kähler manifold. If \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset \), then \( X \) is projective.

**Proof.** — We show that if \( X \) is not projective, then \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset \). Let \( \Phi : H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \) be the map sending \( \alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \) to the Poincaré dual of \( q(\alpha, \cdot) \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})^\vee \) where \( q \) is the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki quadratic form. Let \( V \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \) be the subspace generated by \( \Phi^{-1}(H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \). Since \( X \) is assumed to be non-projective, we have \( q(\alpha, \alpha) \leq 0 \) for every \( \alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) [13, Proposition 26.13]. As \( \Phi \) is an isomorphism of Hodge structures, \( q_{\mathbb{Q}} \) is negative. So there exists \( \omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( q(\omega, \omega) \geq 0 \) and \( q(\omega, \alpha) = 0 \) for every \( \alpha \in V \). It follows that \( \omega \cdot \beta = 0 \) for every \( \beta \in H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) and it suffices to show that \( \omega \in \mathcal{K}(X) \) to obtain a contradiction. For every rational curve \( C \subset X \), since \( [C] \in H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \), we have \( \omega \cdot C = 0 \). Up to replacing \( \omega \) by \( -\omega \), we can assume that \( \omega \in \mathcal{K}(X) \) where \( \mathcal{K}(X) \) is the connected component of \( \{ \gamma \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid q(\gamma, \gamma) > 0 \} \) containing \( \mathcal{X}(X) \). It follows from [13, Proposition 28.2] that \( \omega \in \mathcal{K}(X) \).

## 6 Fibrations in abelian varieties

In this section, we study the Oguiso-Peternell problem for fibrations in abelian varieties over a curve. A positive answer to the Oguiso-Peternell problem will be obtained as a consequence of the following result, which is an analogue of Lemma 4.1.

**Proposition 6.1.** — Let \( f: X \to B \) be a fibration over a smooth projective curve \( B \) whose general fibre is an abelian variety of dimension \( g \). Assume that \( X \) is a compact Kähler manifold and \( f \) has local sections (for the Euclidean topology) at every point of \( B \). If there exists \( \alpha \in H^{g,\overline{g}}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( f\ast \alpha \neq 0 \in H^{0}(B, \mathbb{Z}) \), then \( f \) has a multi-section.

Before we prove Proposition 6.1, let us first recall and improve some properties of the fibration \( f: X \to B \) from [21, Section 4], which generalise the discussion in Section 4.

Given a fibration \( f: X \to B \) as in Proposition 6.1 and assume that every fibre of \( f \) is a normal crossing divisor. We also assume that \( f \) has unipotent local monodromies. Since fibres of \( f \) are Moishezon, \( f \) is locally projective [5, Theorem 10.1]. Let \( j: B^* \hookrightarrow B \) be the inclusion of a non-empty Zariski open of \( B \) over which \( f \) is smooth and let \( i: X^* = f^{-1}(B^*) \hookrightarrow X \). Let \( D := f^{-1}(B \setminus B^*) \). The relative Deligne complex \( D_{X/B}(g) \) is defined to be the cone of the composition

\[
R_i \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\chi(2\pi \sqrt{-1})} R_i \mathcal{C} \cong \Omega^*_X(\log D) \to \Omega^*_X(\log D)
\]
shifted by $-1$. We obtain in the same way as in the case of smooth torus fibrations a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{J} \to R^2f_*\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}}(g) \to H^{g,\partial}(X/B) \to 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)

whose restriction to $B^*$ is the short exact sequence (4.1) defined for the smooth torus fibration $X^* \to B^*$ (see [21, 4.2]). The sheaf $\mathcal{J}$ is called the canonical extension of the sheaf of germs of sections of the Jacobian fibration associated to $X^* \to B^*$ and the quotient $H^{g,\partial}(X/B)$ in (6.1) is isomorphic to $R^2(f \circ \iota)_*\mathcal{Z}$ [21, Lemma 4.6]. The natural map $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(g) \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}}(g)$ induces a map $H^{2g}(X, \mathcal{Z}(g)) \to H^0(B, R^2f_*\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}}(g))$ and we have the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{2g}_D(X, \mathcal{Z}(g)) & \to & H^{g,\partial}(X, \mathcal{Z}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(B, R^2f_*\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}}(g)) & \to & H^0(B, H^{g,\partial}(X/B)) \oplus H^1(B, \mathcal{J})
\end{array}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

analogous to (4.2), where the second row is an exact sequence induced by (6.1).

The Jacobian fibration $\mathcal{J} \to B^*$ associated to $f^* = f|_{\mathcal{X}} : X^* \to B^*$ can be compactified to a fibration $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \to B$ such that $\mathcal{J}$ is contained in the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \to B$. This compactification is bimeromorphic over $B$ to the Saito compactification [29, Theorem 2.8] $\tilde{J}_s \to B$ of the Jacobian fibration $\mathcal{J} \to B^*$ [21, Proof of Lemma 4.9]. The latter fact will be used (in the proof of Lemma 6.2) to extend some local sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \to B^*$ to local sections of $\mathcal{J} \to B$.

Let $\mathcal{H} = R^{2g-1}f^*\mathcal{Z}$ and let $\mathcal{E}(B, \mathcal{H})$ be the set of bimeromorphic classes of fibrations $f : X \to B$ in abelian varieties over $B$ such that $f$ has local sections at every point of $B$, $f$ is smooth over $B^*$, and the underlying $\mathcal{Z}$-local system over $B^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}$. Elements of $\mathcal{E}(B, \mathcal{H})$ are called bimeromorphic $\mathcal{J}$-torsors. There exists a map

$$\Phi : H^1(B, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{E}(B, \mathcal{H})$$

which is a generalisation of the inverse of (4.3). The map $\Phi$ is surjective and a pre-image of $[f] \in \mathcal{E}(B, \mathcal{H})$ can be constructed by choose a lifting $\tilde{1} \in H^0(B, H^{g,\partial}(X/B))$ of $1 \in H^0(B^*, H^{g,\partial}(X^*/B^*)) = \mathcal{Z}$ so that $\Phi(\delta(\tilde{1})) = [f]$ [21, Lemma 4.11]. Such a lifting $\tilde{1}$ can be chosen coming from an element of $H^0(B, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z})$.

**Lemma 6.2.** — The restriction map $j^* : H^0(B, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z}) \to H^0(B^*, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z})$, which factorises through

$$H^0(B, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z}) = H^0(B, H^{g,\partial}(X/B)) \to H^0(B^*, H^{g,\partial}(X^*/B^*)) = H^0(B^*, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z}),$$

is surjective.

**Proof.** — Note that the natural map $\phi : R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z} \to j_*j^*R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}$ is surjective: by assumption $\mathcal{Z}$ is covered by discs $\Delta \subset B$ such that the restriction $f^{-1}(\Delta) \to \Delta$ of $f$ to $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ has a local section, therefore $H^{2g}(f^{-1}(\Delta), \mathcal{Z}) \to H^0(\Delta, \mathcal{Z})$ is surjective. Since the restriction of $\phi$ to $B^*$ is an isomorphism, the support of $\ker(\phi)$ is 0-dimensional. In particular $H^1(B, \ker(\phi)) = 0$, hence $j^* : H^0(B, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z}) \to H^0(B^*, R^{2g}f_*\mathcal{Z})$ is surjective.

In general the map $\Phi$ is not injective. The next lemma gives a sufficient condition under which two elements of $H^1(B, \mathcal{J})$ represent the same bimeromorphic $\mathcal{J}$-torsor.
Lemma 6.3. — Let
\[ \delta : H^0(B, H^{p,q}(X/B)) \to H^1(B, \mathcal{F}) \]
be the map induced by the short exact sequence (6.1). Given \( \beta, \beta' \in H^0(B, R^{2\beta} f, \mathcal{Z}) \) and let
\[ \beta, \beta' \in H^0(B, R^{2\beta}(f \circ \iota), \mathcal{Z}) = H^0(B, H^{p,q}(X/B)) \]
denote their image. If \( \beta_{B^*} = \beta'_{B^*} \) in \( H^0(B^*, H^{p,q}(X^*/B^*)) \), then there exists \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that \( \Phi(\delta(m\beta)) = \Phi(\delta(m\beta')) \).

Proof. — Let \( \{U_i\}_{i=1} \) be a finite good open cover of \( B \) such that \( U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j \subset B^* \). We can assume that \( f \) is projective over \( U_i \) and that each \( U_i \) is a small disc such that \( \Delta \setminus B^* \subset \{\circ\} \) where \( \circ \) is the origin of \( U_i \). First we construct the integer \( m \) in the statement. Let \( f_i : X_i \to U_i \) be the restriction of \( f \) to \( X_i = f^{-1}(U_i) \) and let \( X_0 = f^{-1}(\circ) \). Since \( f_i \) is projective, for every irreducible component \( D_{ij} \) of \( X_0 \), there exists a multi-section \( \Sigma_{ij} \) of \( f_i \) such that \( \Sigma_{ij} \cap X_{ij} \) is supported on a general point of \( D_{ij} \). Let \( m_{ij} \) denote the multiplicity of \( \Sigma_{ij} \cap D_{ij} \) and let \( m_i = \text{lcm}_{D_{ij} \subset X_0}(m_{ij}) \) where \( D_{ij} \) runs through the irreducible components of \( X_0 \). Note that since the restriction \( H^{2\beta}(X_0, \mathcal{Z}) \to H^{2\beta}(X_{ij}, \mathcal{Z}) \equiv \sum_{j} H^{2\beta}(D_{ij}, \mathcal{Z}) \) is an isomorphism, the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( m_i \cdot H^{2\beta}(X_0, \mathcal{Z}) \) is generated by classes of multi-sections of \( f_i \). Finally, let \( m = \text{lcm}_{i=m_i} \).

By definition, a Čech 1-cocycle \( \{\eta_{ij}\} \) representing \( \delta(m\beta) \) with respect to the open cover \( \{U_i\}_{i=1} \) can be constructed by first choosing a lift \( \sigma_i \in R^{2\beta} f_i \mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g)(U_i) \) of the restriction \( m\beta_i \) of \( m\beta \) to \( U_i \) and then taking \( \{\eta_{ij}\} \) as the Čech differential of \( \{\sigma_i\} \). For our purpose, we shall choose \( \sigma_i \in R^{2\beta} f_i \mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g)(U_i) \) as follows. By the construction of \( m \), we can represent \( m\beta_i \in H^{2\beta}(X_i, \mathcal{Z}) \) by an analytic cycle \( Z_i \) which is finite over \( U_i \). We choose \( \sigma_i \in H^0(U_i, R^{2\beta} f_i \mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g)) \) to be the image of the Deligne cycle class \( [Z_i]_\beta \in H^{2\beta}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_i, \mathcal{Z}(g)) \) in \( H^0(U_i, R^{2\beta} f_i \mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g)) \). Similarly, we represent \( \delta(m\beta') \) by a 1-cocycle \( \{\eta'_{ij}\} \) obtained as the Čech differential of a 1-cochain \( \{\sigma'_{ij}\} \) with coefficients in \( R^{2\beta} f_i \mathcal{D}_{X/B}(g) \) chosen in the same way. We have
\[ \eta_{ij} - \eta'_{ij} = (\sigma_i - \sigma'_i)|_{U_{ij}} - (\sigma_j - \sigma'_j)|_{U_{ij}}. \]  
(6.3)
Since \( \beta_{B^*} = \beta'_{B^*} \), the image of \( \sigma_i - \sigma'_i \) in \( H^{2\beta}(f^{-1}(t), \mathcal{Z}) \) is zero for every \( t \in U^*_i \subset U_i \cap B^* \). So \( (\sigma_i - \sigma'_i)|_{U^*_i} \) is a holomorphic section of \( \mathcal{I} \to B^* \) over \( U^*_i \). By our choices of \( \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma'_i \), the normal forms \( (\sigma_i - \sigma'_i)|_{U^*_i} \) are admissible with respect to \( U_i \) [29, Remark 1.7.ii], and therefore can be extended to a holomorphic section \( \Sigma_i \) of \( \mathcal{I} \to B \) over \( U_i \) by the following claim.

Claim. — An admissible normal function \( \nu : U^*_i \to \mathbb{I} \) can be extended to a local holomorphic section \( U_i \to \mathcal{I} \).

Proof of Claim. — Since \( \nu \) is admissible, it can be extended to a holomorphic section \( \sigma : U_i \to \mathcal{I}_5 \) [29, Theorem 2.8] where \( \mathcal{I}_5 \to B \) is the Saito compactification of the Jacobian fibration \( \mathcal{I} \to B^* \). As \( \mathcal{I}_5 \) is bimeromorphic to \( \mathcal{I} \) over \( B \) (see the proof of [21, Lemma 4.9]), the map \( \sigma \) is sent to a holomorphic section \( U_i \to \mathcal{I} \), which is the extension of \( \nu \).

\( \square \)

Over each \( U_i \), the translation by \( \Sigma_i \) defines a bimeromorphic map \( \text{tr}(\Sigma_i) : \mathcal{I}_5 \to \mathcal{I}_j \) by [24, Proposition 1.6]. Let \( X \to B \) (resp. \( X' \to B \)) be the element in \( \mathcal{E}(B, \mathcal{H}) \) obtained from \( \{\eta_{ij}\} \) (resp. \( \{\eta'_{ij}\} \)). To be more precise, the fibration \( f : X \to B \) is obtained by gluing the local fibrations \( \mathcal{I}_j \to U_i \) along \( \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{ij} := \mathcal{I}_i \cap \mathcal{I}_j \) using \( \text{tr}(\eta_{ij}) : \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{ij} \to \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{ij} \).
and same for \( f' : X' \to B \). Fix biholomorphic maps \( \eta_i : X_i = f^{-1}(U_i) \setminus \sim \to \tilde{f}_i \) and \( \eta_i' : X_i' = f'^{-1}(U_i) \setminus \sim \to \tilde{f}_i \); such that \( \text{tr}(\eta_i) = \eta_i \circ \eta_i^{-1} \) and \( \text{tr}(\eta_i') = \eta_i' \circ \eta_i'^{-1} \). By (6.3), over \( U_i \) we have

\[
\eta_i^{-1} \circ \text{tr}(\Sigma_i) \circ \eta_i = \eta_i'^{-1} \circ \text{tr}(\Sigma_i) \circ \eta_i',
\]

so we can glue \( \eta_i'^{-1} \circ \text{tr}(\Sigma_i) \circ \eta_i : X_i \to X'_i \) and obtain a bimeromorphic map \( X \to X' \) over \( B \), which shows that \( \Phi(\delta(m\beta)) = \Phi(\delta(m\beta')) \). 

Finally, for every \( m \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\} \) and \( \eta \in H^1(B, \mathcal{F}) \), if \( f : X \to B \) and \( f' : X' \to B \) are fibrations in abelian varieties representing \( \Phi(\eta) \) and \( \Phi(m\eta) \) respectively, then there exists a generically finite map \( m : X \to X' \) over \( B \), called the multiplication-by-\( m \) map.

**Proof of Proposition 6.1.** — Up to replacing \( f \) by a finite base change followed by a log-desingularisation of \( (X,D) \) where \( D \subset X \) is the union of singular fibres of \( f \), we can assume that \( f \) has unipotent local monodromies and that every fibre of \( f \) is a normal crossing divisor. Since the second row of (6.2) is exact, if \( \beta \in H^0(B, H^{p,q}(X/B)) \) denotes the image of \( a \), then \( \delta(\beta) = 0 \). Choose (by Lemma 6.2) a lifting \( \tilde{1} \in H^0(B, H^{p,q}(X/B)) \) of \( 1 \in H^0(B^*, H^{p,q}(X^*/B^*)) \approx \mathbb{Z} \) coming from \( H^0(B, \mathbb{R}^{\beta})Z \). If \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) is the image of \( f, \alpha \) under the isomorphism \( H^0(B, \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z} \), then both \( m \cdot \tilde{1} \) and \( \tilde{\beta} \) have the same image in \( H^0(B^*, H^{p,q}(X^*/B^*)) \). By Lemma 6.3, there exists \( m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that

\[
\Phi(0) = \Phi(\delta(m' \beta)) = \Phi(\delta(m' m \cdot \tilde{1})),
\]

so \( \tilde{f} \to B \) is a bimeromorphic \( f \)-torsor representing \( \delta(m'm \cdot \tilde{1}) \). The multiplication-by-\( m'm \) map \( m' \circ m : X \to \tilde{f} \) is generically finite and the pre-image of the 0-section of \( \tilde{f} \to B \) under \( m' \circ m \) is therefore a multi-section of \( f : X \to B \).

As a consequence of Proposition 6.1, we answer the Oguiso-Peternell problem for fibrations in abelian varieties.

**Corollary 6.4.** — Let \( X \) be a smooth compact Kähler threefold such that \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{4,4}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset \). Assume that \( X \) is bimeromorphic to the total space of a fibration \( f : X' \to B \) over a smooth projective curve \( B \) whose general fibre is an abelian variety, then \( X \) is projective.

**Proof.** — Up to replacing \( X' \) with a minimal Kähler desingularisation of it, we can assume that \( X' \) is a compact Kähler manifold. As \( X' \) is bimeromorphic to \( X \) and \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}(X)^\vee) \cap H^{4,4}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset \), there exists \( \alpha \in H^{4,4}(X', \mathbb{Q}) \cap \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}(X')^\vee) \) by [26, Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.5]. Since the fibres of \( f \) are Moishezon and \( X' \) is Kähler, \( f \) is locally projective [5, Theorem 10.1]. In particular \( f \) has local multi-sections around every point of \( B \), so there exists a finite morphism \( r : \tilde{B} \to B \) from a smooth curve \( \tilde{B} \) such that \( X' \times_B \tilde{B} \to B \) has local sections around every point of \( B \). Let \( \tilde{X} \to X' \times_B \tilde{B} \) be a Kähler desingularisation and let \( q : \tilde{X} \to X' \) and \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{B} \) be the natural maps. The situation is summarised in the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{q} & X' \\
\downarrow{f} & & \downarrow{f} \\
B & \xrightarrow{r} & B
\end{array}
\]
Since
\[ r_f q' \alpha = f_q q' \alpha = \deg(q) \cdot f \alpha \neq 0 \]
where the non-vanishing follows from [26, Proposition 2.5], we have \( f_q q' \alpha \neq 0 \). Since \( f \) has local sections around every point of \( \tilde{B} \) by construction, we deduce from Proposition 6.1 that \( f \) has a multi-section. Since the fibres and the base of \( \tilde{f} \) are Moishezon, \( \tilde{X} \) is also Moishezon (Corollary 2.4). Hence \( X' \), and therefore \( X \), is projective.

\[ \square \]

7 Elliptic fibrations

The aim of this section is to prove Proposition 1.9. Let us first prove some general results, starting with an analogous statement of Proposition 1.9 for surfaces.

**Lemma 7.1.** — Let \( S \) be a smooth connected compact Kähler surface and \( f : S \to B \) a surjective map onto a projective curve. If there exists \( \alpha \in H^{1,1}(S, \mathbb{Q}) \) such that \( f \cdot \alpha \neq 0 \in H^0(B, \mathbb{Q}) \), then \( S \) is projective.

**Proof.** — Up to replacing \( \alpha \) with a multiple of it, we can assume that \( \alpha = c_1(L) \) for some line bundle \( L \) on \( S \). Since \( \alpha \cdot [F] = f \cdot \alpha \neq 0 \in H^0(B, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q} \) where \( F \) is a fibre of \( f \), we have \( c_1(L \otimes O(mF))^2 = c_1(L)^2 + m \alpha \cdot [F] > 0 \) for \( m \gg 0 \) or \( m \ll 0 \). Thus \( S \) is projective [1, Theorem IV.6.2].

The next lemma concerns 1-cycles vanishing away from an irreducible surface, which gives a partial answer to Question 1.10 in the positive.

**Lemma 7.2.** — Let \( X \) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension \( n \) and \( Y \subset X \) an irreducible surface. Let \( \iota : \tilde{Y} \to X \) be the composition of a desingularisation of \( Y \) with the inclusion \( \iota : Y \hookrightarrow X \). There exists a sub-\( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structure \( L \) of \( H^2(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}) \) such that
\[
H^2(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}) = \ker(\iota : H^{1,1}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \oplus L.
\]
In particular, if \( \alpha \in H^{n-1,n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) lies in the kernel of \( H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2n-2}(X/Y, \mathbb{Q}) \), then \( \alpha \in \text{Im} (\iota) \).

We start with an easy lemma.

**Lemma 7.3.** — Let \( \phi : L \to M \) be a morphism of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structures. Assume that \( L \) has a pairing \( Q \) such that \( (L, Q) \otimes \mathbb{R} \) is a direct sum of polarised \( \mathbb{R} \)-Hodge structures \( (L_i, Q_i) \). If \( \ker(\phi) \subset L_i \) for some \( i \), then there exists a sub-\( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structure \( L' \subset L \) such that \( \phi_{L'} \) is an isomorphism onto \( \text{Im}(\phi) \).

**Proof.** — As \( Q \) is non-degenerate by assumption, it suffices to show that \( \ker(\phi) \cap \ker(\phi)^\perp = 0 \) or equivalently, \( \ker(\phi_R) \cap \ker(\phi_R)^\perp = 0 \). Since \( (L_i, Q_i) \) is a polarised \( \mathbb{R} \)-Hodge structure, the orthogonal complement \( L'_i \) of \( \ker(\phi_R) \) with respect to \( Q_i \) satisfies \( \ker(\phi_R) \cap L'_i = 0 \). Hence
\[
\ker(\phi_R) \cap \ker(\phi_R)^\perp = \ker(\phi_R) \cap \left( L'_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j \neq i} L_j \right) = 0.
\]
\[ \square \]
Proof of Lemma 7.2. — Fix a Kähler class \( \omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \) and let \( H = (\mathcal{T} \omega)_{\perp} \subset H^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{R}) \) where the orthogonal is defined with respect to the intersection product on \( H^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{R}) \). Since \( \mathcal{T} \alpha \cdot \omega \neq 0 \), we have \( H^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \mathcal{T} \omega \perp H \). We verify that the restriction of the intersection product to \( H \) is a polarisation of the Hodge structure: the induced pairing on \( H \) is non-degenerate and the Hodge decomposition \( H \otimes C = H^{2,0} \oplus H^{1,1} \oplus H^{0,2} \) is orthogonal with respect to the Hermitian form \( h(\beta, \gamma) = \beta \cdot \gamma \). The restriction of \( h \) to \( H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2} \) is definite positive. Since \( H^{1,1} \) is of signature \((1, \dim H^{1,1} - 1)\), the restriction of \( h \) to \( H^{1,1} \) is definite negative.

We have \( \ker(\iota) \subset H \). Indeed, let \( \xi \in \ker(\iota) \) and write \( \xi = a \cdot \mathcal{T} \omega \cdot \beta \) with \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \beta \in H \). Then

\[
0 = \iota(a \cdot \mathcal{T} \omega \cdot \beta) \cdot \omega = a[Y] \cdot \omega^2 + \iota(\beta \cdot \mathcal{T} \omega) = a[Y] \cdot \omega^2.
\]

As \( [Y] \cdot \omega^2 \neq 0 \), we have \( a = 0 \), so \( \ker(\iota) \subset H \). It follows from Lemma 7.3 that there exists a sub-\( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structure \( L \) such that \( H^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Q}) = \ker(\iota) \oplus L \). Therefore \( \iota_{\mathbb{Q}} : L \to H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structures onto

\[
\text{Im}\left( \iota : H^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \right) = \ker\left( H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2n-2}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}) \right),
\]

which proves the last statement of Lemma 7.2. \( \square \)

From now on, let \( f : X \to B \) be a flat elliptic fibration from a smooth compact Kähler threefold to a smooth projective surface \( B \). For every curve \( D \subset B \), let \( X_D = f^{-1}(D) \). Let \( \Delta \subset B \) be the maximal subvariety in \( B \) such that \( f \) is quasi-smooth \(^{4}\) over \( B \setminus \Delta \). Let \( \tau : \hat{X}_\Delta \to X_\Delta \) be a minimal desingularisation of \( X_\Delta \) and \( \iota_\Delta : \hat{X}_\Delta \to X \) its composition with \( X_\Delta \hookrightarrow X \).

Lemma 7.4. — \( \hat{X}_\Delta \) is a union of curves and projective surfaces.

Proof. — It suffices to show that if \( S \) is an irreducible components of \( \hat{X}_\Delta \) which is a surface, then \( S \) is projective. Since \( f \) is flat, \((f \circ i_\Delta)(S) = E \) is a curve and a general fibre \( F \) of \( S \to E \) is either an elliptic curve or a union of rational curves. If \( F \) is a union of rational curves, then \( S \) is projective. If \( F \) is an elliptic curve, then since \( f \) is not quasi-smooth over \( t := (f \circ i_\Delta)(F) \), the fibre \( f^{-1}(t) \) has at least two irreducible components. So there exists another irreducible component \( S' \) of \( \hat{X}_\Delta \) such that \((f \circ i_\Delta)(S') = E \) and \( S \cap S' \) is a curve which dominates \( E \). It follows that \( S \to E \) is an elliptic surface with a multi-section, hence \( S \) is projective. \( \square \)

The proof of Proposition 1.9 is based on the following technical lemma.

Lemma 7.5. — Let \( \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \). Suppose that \( f_* \alpha = [C] \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}) \) for some curve \( C \subset B \) containing an ample irreducible curve and the 0-dimensional irreducible components of \( \Delta \). Then there exists \( \beta \in H^1(\hat{X}_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \) such that \((f(x_\Delta), \tau_\Delta, \beta)_{\Delta \setminus C} = 0 \) in \( H^2(\hat{X}_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \alpha|_{\hat{X}_\Delta} = (\iota_\Delta \beta)|_{\hat{X}_\Delta \setminus C} \) in \( H^1(\hat{X}_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \).

\(^{4}\) A fibration \( \pi : V \to T \) is called quasi-smooth if \( \pi^{-1}(t)_\text{red} \) is smooth for every \( t \in T \).
Proof. — Let $A \subset C$ be an ample irreducible component and $E \subset C$ the curve residual to $A$. We have the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
K' := \ker(f_{X,A,C}) \\
\downarrow \\
H^2_{BM}(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow f_{X,A,C} \\
H^2_{BM}(\Delta \setminus C, \mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow f_{X,C} \\
H^2_{BM}(B \setminus (C \cup \Delta), \mathbb{Q})
\end{array}
\quad (7.1)
$$

which we now explain. The second and the third rows of (7.1) are part of the long exact sequences of Borel-Moore homology groups induced by the open embeddings [16, IX.2.1], and the vertical arrows between them are induced by $f : X \to B$. To show that the rightmost vertical arrow is an isomorphism, first we note that by the Poincaré duality, the map is isomorphic to the Leray quotient

$$(f_{X,X_{C\cup A}})_* : H^q(X \setminus X_{C\cup A}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^q(B \setminus (C \cup \Delta), R^q f_* \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^q(B \setminus (C \cup \Delta), \mathbb{Q})$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by $(R^q f_* \mathbb{Q})|_{B \setminus (C \cup \Delta)} = \mathbb{Q}$ resulting from the smoothness of $f$ over $B \setminus \Delta$. Since $C = A \cup E$ and $m(m'A + E + \Delta_1)$ is very ample for $m, m' \gg 0$ where $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$ is the divisorial part of $\Delta$, the complement $B \setminus (C \cup \Delta) = B(C \cup \Delta_1)$ is affine. Thus $H^p(B \setminus (C \cup \Delta), R^q f_* \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ whenever $p > 2$ [8, Theorem 4.1.26]. As the fibres of $f$ over $B \setminus \Delta$ are curves, we have $(R^q f_* \mathbb{Q})|_{F \setminus (C \cup \Delta)} = 0$ for every $q > 2$. Hence $(f_{X,X_{C\cup A}})_*$ is an isomorphism. Finally, it follows from the snake lemma that $K' \to K$ is surjective.

Consider the composition

$$\phi : H_2(\tilde{X}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(X_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2_{BM}(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q})$$

induced by $\tau$ and the open embedding $X_{A \cap C} \hookrightarrow X_{\Lambda}$. The Borel-Moore homology is endowed a mixed Hodge structure [28, Lemma-Definition 6.25] and $\phi$ is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures. Since $H_2(\tilde{X}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q})$ is a pure Hodge structure of weight $-2$, we have $\text{Im}(\phi) \subset W^{-2}H^2_{BM}(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q})$. This inclusion is actually an equality:

**Lemma 7.6.** — We have $\text{Im}(\phi) = W^{-2}H^2_{BM}(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q})$.

**Proof.** — By construction, $\phi$ has the factorisation

$$\phi : H_2(\tilde{X}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mu} W^{-2}H_2(X_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\nu} W^{-2}H^2_{BM}(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

It suffices to show that both $\mu$ and $\nu$ are surjective. As $\tilde{X}_{\Lambda} \to X_{\Lambda}$ is a desingularisation, $\mu$ is surjective. To show that $\nu$ is also surjective, consider the exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$H_2(X_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2_{BM}(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since $X_{A \cap C}$ is compact, the only weights that occur in $H_1(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q})$ are $-1$ and $0$ [28, Theorem 5.39]. Hence $\nu : W^{-2}H_2(X_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \to W^{-2}H^2_{BM}(X_{A \cap C}, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. \hfill $\square$
Recall that \( K \) and \( K' \) are defined in (7.1). Let \( H = \phi^{-1}(W^{-2}K') \subset H_2(\bar{X}_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \). Since \( K' \to K \) is surjective, Lemma 7.6 implies that the image of \( H \) under the composition

\[
\Psi : H_2(\bar{X}_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\phi} W^{-2}H^\text{BM}_2(X_{\Delta,C}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\zeta} W^{-2}H^\text{BM}_2(X \setminus X_C, \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Gr}_{W_2}H^\text{BM}_2(X \setminus X_C, \mathbb{Q})
\]

is \( \text{Gr}_{W_2}K \). As \( \bar{X}_\Delta \) is smooth and projective (Lemma 7.4), \( H_2(\bar{X}_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \) is a polarised pure \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structure. So \( H \) is also a polarised pure \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structure, thus there exists a sub-\( \mathbb{Q} \)-Hodge structure \( L \) of \( H \) such that \( \Psi \) maps \( L \) isomorphically onto \( \text{Gr}_{W_2}K \).

Since \( f_\alpha = [C] \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}) \), we have \( (f_\alpha)_{|\mathbb{P}^1} = 0 \), so \( a_{\alpha|X_C} \in K \). Since \( a_{\alpha|X_C} \) is the image of \( \alpha \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) which is pure of weight \(-2\), we have \( a_{\alpha|X_C} \in W^{-2}K \). Thus we can find \( \beta \in L_\mathbb{C}^{-1,-1} \cap L \) such that

\[
a_{\alpha|X_C} = (\iota' \circ \phi)(\beta) = (\iota_\Delta \beta)_{|X_C}, \quad \text{mod} \ W^{-2}H^\text{BM}_2(X \setminus X_C, \mathbb{Q}).
\] (7.2)

However, since \( \Phi : H_2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_2(\bar{X}_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^\text{BM}_2(X \setminus X_C, \mathbb{Q}) \) defined by \( \Phi(\alpha, \beta) = a_{\alpha|X_C} - (\iota_\Delta \beta)_{|X_C} \) is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures from a pure Hodge structure of weight \(-2\), (7.2) actually holds in \( H^\text{BM}_2(X \setminus X_C, \mathbb{Q}) \), which can also be considered as an equality in \( H^4(X \setminus X_C, \mathbb{Q}) \) by the Poincaré duality. Finally, as \( \beta \in L \), we have \( \phi(\beta) \in \phi(L) \subset \phi(H) \subset K' \), namely

\[
((f_{\Delta,C}), \tau, \beta)_{|X_C} = (f_{\Delta,C}, \phi(\beta) = 0 \in H^\text{BM}_2(\Delta \setminus C, \mathbb{Q}).
\] (7.3)

\[\square\]

\textbf{Proof of Proposition 1.9.} — Up to replacing \( \alpha \) with a multiple of it, we can assume that \( f_\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}) \) for some very ample line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) and that there exists a linear system \( T \subset |\mathcal{L}| \) such that if \( C \subset B \) is a general member of \( T \), then

1. \( C \) is smooth and \( X_C \) is irreducible;
2. \( C \cap \Delta \) is finite and contains the \( 0 \)-dimensional irreducible components of \( \Delta \);
3. a general pair of points of \( B \) is connected by a chain of general members of \( T \).

Let \( C \subset B \) be a general member of \( T \). By Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.5 there exists \( \alpha' \in H^{1,1}(\bar{X}_C, \mathbb{Q}) \) such that

\[
\iota_* \alpha' = \alpha - \iota_\Delta \beta.
\] (7.4)

where \( \iota : \bar{X}_C \to X \) is the composition of a desingularisation of \( X_C \) with the inclusion \( X_C \hookrightarrow X \). Since \( C \cap \Delta \) is finite, the restriction \( j' : H_2(\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^\text{BM}_2(\Delta \setminus C, \mathbb{Q}) \) is injective. As \( j'(f_{\Delta,C}), \tau, \beta = (f_{\Delta,C}, \phi(\beta) = 0 \) and \((f_{\Delta,C}), \tau, \beta \in H_2(\Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \) maps to \( f_\Delta \iota_\Delta \beta \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}) \), it follows that

\[
f_\Delta \iota_\Delta \beta = 0 \in H^{1,1}(B, \mathbb{Q}).
\] (7.5)

Applying \( f \), we obtain from (7.5) that

\[
f'_g \alpha' = f_\iota \alpha' = f_\alpha = [C]
\]

where \( g := (f \circ \iota) : \bar{X}_C \to C \) and \( j' : C \hookrightarrow B \). In particular \( g \alpha' \neq 0 \), so \( \bar{X}_C \) is projective by Lemma 7.1. Finally as \( C \) is general in \( T \), a general pair of points of \( X \) is connected by such algebraic surfaces \( X_C \). Hence by Campana’s criterion (Theorem 2.3), \( X \) is projective. \[\square\]
Thanks to Proposition 1.9, we can exclude threefolds of algebraic dimension 2 in Problem 1.2.

**Corollary 7.7.** — Let $X$ be a smooth compact Kähler threefold. If $\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee)$ contains a rational class, then $a(X) \neq 2$.

**Proof.** — Assume that $a(X) = 2$, then the algebraic reduction of $X$ is bimeromorphic to an elliptic fibration $f : X' \to B$. By flattening and desingularisation, we can assume that $f$ is flat and both $X'$ and $B$ are smooth [23, Theorem 2.1.22]. As $\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee)$ contains a rational class, $\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X')^\vee)$ contains a rational class $\alpha$ as well (Corollary 3.3) and $f_*\alpha \in \text{Int}(\text{Psef}(B)^\vee)$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $B$ is a smooth projective surface, $f_*\alpha \in H^{1,1}(B, \mathbb{Q})$ is ample by Kleiman’s criterion. Applying Proposition 1.9 to the elliptic fibration $f : X' \to B$ shows that $X'$ is projective, contradicting the assumption that $a(X) = 2$. $\square$

## 8 Proof of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** — Let $X$ be a compact Kähler threefold such that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{N}(X)^\vee)$ contains an element of $H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Assume that $a(X) \leq 1$, then $X$ is in one of the cases listed in Proposition 2.7. Suppose that $X$ is in case $i)$, namely $X$ is bimeromorphic to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration $X' \to S$ over a smooth compact Kähler surface. Then $S$ is projective by [26, Proposition 2.6], so $X$ is also projective (Corollary 2.5), which is impossible. If $X$ is in case $ii)$, then the projection $(S \times B)/G \to S/G$ induces a dominant meromorphic map $X \to S/G$. Once again, [26, Proposition 2.6] implies that $S/G$ is projective, contradicting the fact that $S$ is non-algebraic. Case $iii)$ is ruled out by the conjunction of Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 6.4. Finally we rule out case $iv)$ by Proposition 1.7. $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** — Let $X$ be a compact Kähler threefold such that $\text{Int}(\text{Psef}(X)^\vee) \cap H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{N}(X) \subset \text{Psef}(X)$, Theorem 1.6 already implies that $a(X) \geq 2$. The case $a(X) = 2$ is excluded by Corollary 7.7, hence $X$ is projective. $\square$

## 9 One-cycles in compact Kähler threefolds and the Oguiso-Petriell problem

In this final section we work under the assumption that Question 1.10 has a positive answer and prove that every compact Kähler threefold $X$ as in Problem 1.1 or Problem 1.3 is projective (Corollary 1.12). Already by Theorem 1.6, we know that such a threefold $X$ has algebraic dimension $a(X) \geq 2$, so the proof consists of excluding the case $a(X) = 2$. We will deduce the latter as a consequence of Proposition 1.11, which is a refinement of Proposition 1.9.

**Proof of Proposition 1.11.** — Let $f : X \to B$ be a flat elliptic fibration from a smooth compact Kähler threefold to a smooth projective surface $B$. For every curve $D \subset B$, let $X_D = f^{-1}(D)$. Let $\Delta \subset B$ be the maximal subvariety in $B$ such that $f$ is quasi-smooth over $B \setminus \Delta$.

Since $f_*\alpha \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})$ is big, $f_*\alpha$ is the sum of an ample curve class and an effective curve class [19, Corollary 2.2.7]. We have the following more precise statement.

Lemma 9.1. — Up to replacing \( \alpha \) by a positive multiple of it, there exist a very ample line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \), integral curves \( E_1, \ldots, E_l \subset B \), and positive integers \( n_1, \ldots, n_l \) such that
\[
f_\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{i=1}^l n_i[E_i]
\]
in \( H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}) \) and that \( c_1(\mathcal{L}) \) does not lie in the subspace of \( H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}) \) spanned by \([E_1], \ldots, [E_l] \).

Proof. — Since \( f_\alpha \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}) \) is big, up to replacing \( \alpha \) by a positive multiple of it there exist a very ample line bundle \( \mathcal{L}' \), integral curves \( E_1, \ldots, E_l \subset B \), and \( n'_1, \ldots, n'_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that
\[
f_\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}') + \sum_{i=1}^l n'_i[E_i] \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}).
\]
Suppose that \( c_1(\mathcal{L}') = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i[E_i] \) for some \( m_i \in \mathbb{Q} \). Then
\[
m_i \cdot f_\alpha = (n'_i + m_i)c_1(\mathcal{L}') + \sum_{i=1}^l (m_i n'_i - n'_i m_i)[E_i]. \tag{9.1}
\]
Up to reordering the indices we can assume that \( \frac{m_i}{n_i} \geq \frac{m_j}{n_j} \) (so \( m_i n'_i - n'_i m_i \geq 0 \)) for every \( i = 1, \ldots, l \). As \( \mathcal{L}' \) is ample, we have \( m_i > 0 \) for at least one \( j \), so \( m_i > 0 \). Therefore up to replacing \( \alpha \) by a positive multiple of it, (9.1) gives a new expression
\[
f_\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}'') + \sum_{i=1}^l n''_i[E_i] \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}) \tag{9.2}
\]
for some \( l' < l \) and \( n_1, \ldots, n_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) together with another very ample line bundle \( \mathcal{L}'' \).

We can repeat the same procedure as long as \( c_1(\mathcal{L}'') \in \sum_{i=1}^{l'} \mathbb{Q}[E_i] \). Since the integer \( l' \) in (9.2) decreases strictly, this procedure eventually stops and the outcome will be an expression \( f_\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{i=1}^{l'} n_i[E_i] \) satisfying the properties in Lemma 9.1. \( \square \)

We write \( f_\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \sum_{i=1}^{l'} n_i[E_i] \) as in Lemma 9.1. Up to replacing \( \mathcal{L} \) by a multiple of it, we can find a linear system \( T \subset |\mathcal{L}| \) such that if \( A \) is a general member of \( T \), then

1. \( A \) is smooth and \( X_A \) is irreducible;
2. \( A \cap \Delta \) is finite and contains the 0-dimensional irreducible components of \( \Delta \);
3. a general pair of points of \( B \) is connected by a chain of general members of \( T \).

Set \( E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l'} E_i \) and \( C = A \cup E \). Let \( \tilde{X}_E \) (resp. \( \tilde{X}_A \)) be a desingularisation of \( X_E \) (resp. \( X_A \)) and let \( \tilde{i} : \tilde{X}_A \cup \tilde{X}_E \to X_C \hookrightarrow X \) be the composition of the desingularisation map with the inclusion \( i : X_C \hookrightarrow X \).

Lemma 9.2. — With the same notations as above, there exists \( \alpha' \in H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}_A, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}_E, \mathbb{Q}) \) such that
\[
f_\alpha - f_\alpha \cdot \alpha' = \sum_{i=1}^{l'} \mathbb{Q}[E_i] \subset H^{1,1}(B, \mathbb{Q}).
\]

Proof. — Let \( \tau : \tilde{X}_A \to X_A \) be a minimal desingularisation of \( X_A \) and \( \tilde{i}_A : \tilde{X}_A \to X \) its composition with \( X_A \hookrightarrow X \). By Lemma 7.5, there exists \( \beta \in H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}_A, \mathbb{Q}) \) such that \((f_{\tilde{i}_A}, \tau, \beta)_{|X_C} = 0 \) in \( H^{2,0}(\Delta \setminus C, \mathbb{Q}) \) and
\( a_{\partial(X \setminus C)} = (i_\Lambda \beta)|_{\partial(X \setminus C)} \in H^4(X \setminus C, \mathbb{Q}) \). As we assume that Question 1.10 has a positive answer, there exists \( \alpha' \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) such that

\[
\iota_{\alpha'} = \alpha + i_\Lambda \beta. \tag{9.3}
\]

Since \(((f_\partial), \tau_\partial, \beta)_{\Lambda \setminus C} = 0 \in H^2_{BM}(\Lambda \setminus C, \mathbb{Q})\), it follows from the long exact sequence of Borel-Moore homology groups induced by the closed embedding \( \Lambda \cap C \hookrightarrow \Delta \) that

\[
(f_\partial), \tau_\partial, \beta \in \text{Im}(H_2(C \cap \Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(\Delta, \mathbb{Q})). \tag{9.4}
\]

As \( A \cap \Delta \) is finite, we have

\[
\text{Im}(H_2(C \cap \Delta, \mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(\Delta, \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_{E \subset \Delta} \text{Im}(H_2(E, \mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(\Delta, \mathbb{Q})). \tag{9.5}
\]

Since \((f_\partial), \tau_\partial, \beta \in H_2(\Delta, \mathbb{Q})\) maps to \( f_\iota_{\Lambda \beta} \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})\), it follows from (9.4) and (9.5) that

\[
f_\iota_{\Lambda \beta} \in \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Q}[E_i] \subset H^{1,1}(B, \mathbb{Q}). \tag{9.6}
\]

Applying \( f_\partial \) to (9.3), we obtain from (9.6) that \( f_\partial \iota_{\alpha'} - f_\partial \alpha \in \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Q}[E_i] \). \( \square \)

Write \( \alpha' = \alpha'_A + \alpha'_E \) with \( \alpha'_A \in H^{1,1}(X_A, \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \alpha'_E \in H^{1,1}(\hat{X}_E, \mathbb{Q}) \). Let \( f_A : X_A \to A \) (resp. \( f_E : X_E \to E \)) be the composition of the desingularisation \( \hat{X}_A \to X_A \) (resp. \( \hat{X}_E \to X_E \)) with \( f \). Suppose that \( f_A, \alpha'_A = 0 \), then in \( H^{1,1}(B, \mathbb{Q}) \),

\[
[A] = f_\partial \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^l n_i[E_i] \in f_\iota_{\Lambda \alpha'} + \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Q}[E_i] = \iota_A \alpha + \iota_E \alpha_E + \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Q}[E_i] = \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Q}[E_i]
\]

where \( \iota_A : A \hookrightarrow B \) and \( \iota_E : E \hookrightarrow B \) are the inclusions. The latter contradicts the property that \( c_1(Z) \notin \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Q}[E_i] \). Thus \( f_A, \alpha'_A \neq 0 \) and therefore \( X_A \) is Moishezon by Lemma 7.1. As \( A \) is general in the linear system \( T \) and any general pair of points of \( B \) is connected by general members of \( T \), it follows that \( X \) is algebraically connected. Hence by Campana’s criterion (Theorem 2.3), \( X \) is projective. \( \square \)

**Proof of Corollary 1.12 for Problem 1.1.** — Since \( a(X) = 2 \), \( X \) is bimeromorphic to an elliptic fibration \( f : X' \to B \) and we can assume that both \( X' \) and \( B \) are smooth. As \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X')) \) contains a rational class, \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(X')) \) contains a rational class \( a \) as well [26, Proposition 2.1]. By [26, Proposition 2.5], \( f, \alpha \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{X}(B')) \). Since \( B \) is a smooth projective surface, \( f, \alpha \in H^{1,1}(B, \mathbb{Q}) \) is big. Applying Proposition 1.11 to the elliptic fibration \( f : X' \to B \) shows that \( X' \) is projective. Hence \( X \) is projective. \( \square \)

Finally we prove Corollary 1.12 for Problem 1.3. Before we start the proof, let us first recall and prove some statements about subvarieties with ample normal bundles. The first one is a theorem due to Fulton and Lazarsfeld, asserting that a subvariety with ample normal bundle intersects non-negatively with other subvarieties.

**Theorem 9.3 (Fulton-Lazarsfeld [20, Corollary 8.4.3].)** — Let \( X \) be a compact complex manifold and \( Y \subset X \) a local complete intersection subvariety of dimension \( k \). Assume that \( N_{Y/X} \) is ample, then for every subvariety \( Z \subset X \) of codimension \( k \), we have \( Y \cdot Z \geq 0 \). Moreover, if \( Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset \), then \( Y \cdot Z > 0 \).
While the pullback of an effective cycle does not necessarily remain effective, in some situations the ampleness of the normal bundle of a subvariety $Y \subset X$ ensures that the pullback of the cycle class of $Y$ is still effective.

**Lemma 9.4.** — Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a bimeromorphic morphism between smooth compact Kähler threefolds and let $C \subset X$ be a proper smooth curve. If $N_{C/X}$ is ample, then $\mu^*[C] \in H^4(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ is an effective curve class. More precisely, there exists an irreducible curve $\check{C}$ on $Y$ such that $\mu(\check{C}) = C$ and $m\mu^*[C] = [\check{C}]$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

**Proof.** — If $N_{C/X}$ is ample, then the connected components of $C$ have ample normal bundles as well, so we can assume that $C$ is connected. Let $q : X' \to X$ be the blow-up of $X$ along $C$. We resolve the bimeromorphic map $\mu^{-1} \circ q : X' \to Y$ by a sequence of blow-ups along smooth centres $\nu : X' \to X'$ and let $p : \check{X} \to X$ be the induced bimeromorphic morphism. The following commutative diagram summarises the situation:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\check{X} & \overset{\nu}{\longrightarrow} & X' \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
Y & \overset{\mu}{\longrightarrow} & X
\end{array}
$$

Since $\mu^*[C] = p_*\nu^*q^*[C]$, it suffices to prove Lemma 9.4 for the bimeromorphic morphism $q \circ \nu$.

Let $E = q^{-1}(C)$ and $g = q|_E : E \to C$. By the blow-up formula [11, Proposition 6.7.(a)],

$$q^*[C] = j_*c_1(g^*N_{C/X}) + j_*c_1(\Theta_{E/C}(1))$$

where $j : E \hookrightarrow X'$ is the inclusion. As $N_{C/X}$ is ample, $c_1(g^*N_{C/X}) + c_1(\Theta_{E/C}(1))$ is an ample class in $E$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $L := (\det(g^*N_{C/X}) \otimes \Theta_{E/C}(1))^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. We can find an irreducible curve $C^* \subset [L]$ such that $\dim(C^* \cap C) = 0$ where $C_0 \subset X'$ is the image of the exceptional divisor of $\nu$. Since $\dim(C^* \cap C) = 0$, the blow-up formula shows that $\nu^*[C^*] = [\check{C}]$ where $\check{C}$ is the strict transform of $C^*$ under $\nu$ [11, Corollary 6.7.2]. Hence

$$mv^*q^*[C] = \nu^*[C^*] = [\check{C}].$$

Finally, since $C^* \subset E$ is ample, we have $q(C^*) = C$. Therefore $(q \circ \nu)(\check{C}) = q(C^*) = C$. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Corollary 1.12 for Problem 1.3.** — By [26, Corollary 4.8] and [15, Theorem 6.2], we have $a(X) \geq 2$.

Assume that $a(X) = 2$. By Lemma 2.6, the algebraic reduction $f : X \to B$ of $X$ is almost holomorphic whose general fibre $F$ is an elliptic curve. Let $\Sigma$ be the irreducible component of the Douady space of $X$ containing $F$ and let

$$\Sigma \overset{q}{\longrightarrow} X$$

denote the universal family. Since $X$ is a compact Kähler manifold, $\Sigma$ is proper [9] (and so is $E$). As

$$2 = \dim B \leq \dim \Sigma \leq H^0(F, N_{F/X}) = H^0(F, \Theta^2_F) = 2,$$

the generically injective meromorphic map $\tau : B \to \Sigma$ induced by the almost holomorphic fibration $X \to B$ is bimeromorphic. Consequently, $q$ is bimeromorphic.
By desingularisation and flattening [23, Theorem 2.1.22], we have the commutative diagram.

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\
\downarrow{\rho} & & \downarrow{q} \\
\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \Sigma
\end{array} \]

where \( \nu \) (resp. \( \nu' \)) is a desingularisation of \( \Sigma \) (resp. \( \mathcal{C} \)) and \( \tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{C} \to \Sigma \) is flat. As \( C \) is a smooth curve and \( N_{C/X} \) is ample, by Lemma 9.4 there exist \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) and an irreducible curve \( \tilde{C} \) on \( \mathcal{C} \) such that \( m\tilde{\eta}^*C = [\tilde{C}] \) in \( H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{C}) = C \).

Now we show that \( \tilde{\rho},[C] \) is nef. To this end, it suffices to first show that \( \tilde{\rho},[C] \) is nef, and then \( (\tilde{\rho},[C])^2 > 0 \). Since \( N_{C/X} \) is ample, Theorem 9.3 implies that

\[ [C'] \cdot \tilde{\rho},[\tilde{C}] = m[C'] \cdot \tilde{\rho},\tilde{\eta}^*[C] = m\tilde{\eta},\tilde{\rho}^*[C'] \cdot [C] \geq 0 \]

for every curve \( C' \subset \Sigma \). So \( \tilde{\rho},[\tilde{C}] \) is nef. To show that \( (\tilde{\rho},[\tilde{C}])^2 > 0 \), first we note that if \( F' \) is a fibre of \( p, \) then \( C \not\subset q(F') \). Indeed, if \( C \subset q(F') \), then since \( p \) is flat and a general fibre of \( p \) is an elliptic curve, we would have \( g(C) \leq 1 \). So \( X \) would be projective by [26, Corollary 4.6], contradicting \( a(X) = 2 \). It follows from \( C \not\subset q(F') \) that \( \nu(\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{C})) \subset \Sigma \) is a curve.

Claim. --- \( D := \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{C}))) \) has a divisorial component containing \( C \).

Proof. --- Indeed, let \( U \subset \Sigma \) be the largest open subset such that \( \nu|_U \) is isomorphic onto its image. Since \( \nu(\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{C})) \subset \Sigma \) is a curve, \( C^c := \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{C}) \cap U \) and \( \nu(C^c) \) are also curves. As \( p : \mathcal{C} \to \Sigma \) is the universal family of the Douady space \( \Sigma \), the complex subspace \( D^c := q(p^{-1}(\nu(C^c))) \subset X \), which is the union of curves parameterised by \( \nu(C^c) \), is a divisor and \( D^c \) contains \( C \). Finally, note that since \( \nu^c(\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(C^c)) = p^{-1}(\nu(C^c)) \), we have \( D^c \subset D \). \( \square \)

Once again by Theorem 9.3, we have

\[ (\tilde{\rho},[\tilde{C}])^2 = [C] \cdot \tilde{\rho}\tilde{\rho}[\tilde{C}] = m\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\rho}^*[C] \cdot \tilde{\rho}\tilde{\eta}[\tilde{C}] = m[C] \cdot \tilde{\eta}\tilde{\rho}^*[C] \geq m[C] \cdot [D^c] > 0. \]

Since \( \tilde{\rho} \) is bimeromorphic to \( p \), \( \tilde{\rho} \) is an elliptic fibration. As \( \tilde{\rho},[\tilde{C}] \) is big, it follows from Proposition 1.11 that \( \mathcal{C} \) is projective, which contradicts the assumption that \( a(X) = 2 \) because \( X \) is bimeromorphic to \( \mathcal{C} \). Hence \( X \) is projective. \( \square \)
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