Ferromagnetic clusters in the spin glass matrix in layered LaSrCoO$_4$
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Abstract

LaSrCoO$_4$ (Co$^{3+}$) is an intermediate between antiferromagnetic (AFM) La$_2$CoO$_4$ (Co$^{2+}$) and ferromagnetic (FM) Sr$_2$CoO$_4$ (Co$^{4+}$). It is fascinating because of its complex magnetic interactions. The appearance of Co$^{3+}$ which is not present in the end compounds makes it intriguing because of the spin-state transitions associated with it. Here we report that LaSrCoO$_4$ exhibits FM clusters in the spin glass matrix. Critical exponents $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\eta$ classifies the system as having a mean field model interactions but close to inter-metallic systems where magnetic anisotropy plays a role. Mutually self consistent magnetic measurements and analysis support ordering of the FM clusters and its freezing at $\sim$ 220 K and 8 K, respectively. FM clusters and spin glass matrix are formed of Co$^{3+}$ high spin (HS) and a mix of Co$^{3+}$ HS & Co$^{3+}$ LS, respectively. The presence of Co$^{3+}$ HS in the matrix of the Co$^{3+}$ low spin (LS) has been confirmed through extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy.

* Corresponding Author: dkshukla@csr.res.in
I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic interactions in Co$^{3+}$ containing cobaltates are intriguing due to the involved spin-state transitions which make the magnetic order in these systems highly unpredictable. LaCoO$_3$ one such often studied [1–4] compound exhibits peculiar properties such as a metal-insulator transition [5], spin-state transitions [6], magneto-electronic phase separation [7] and ferromagnetic (FM) correlations [8]. Another fascinating cobaltate is its 2D analog, La$_2$CoO$_4$, which is an antiferromagnetic insulator (AFI) [9], but not explored enough mainly due to its topotactic oxidation [10]. Its counterpart Sr$_2$CoO$_4$ has a similar structure and shows FM and half-metallicity [11]. Intermediate of above two is LaSrCoO$_4$ with the structure having LaCoO$_3$ 3D blocks separated by rock-salt LaO/SrO layers. Previous studies on polycrystalline LaSrCoO$_4$ reported that it is FM with $T_c \sim 230$ K [12, 13] but a single crystal study claims that it is paramagnetic at all temperatures [14]. A cusp in zero field cooled magnetization at $\sim 7$ K has also been observed and origin to that is reported as the random freezing of moments i.e. spin glass phase [12, 14, 15] and results of specific heat measurements support this observation [14]. A signature of Griffith phase was also observed by some authors [15]. Therefore, from earlier results it is clear that this compound exhibits an inhomogeneous spin state distribution. Such inhomogeneity can create almost degenerate competing magnetic states which can give rise to emergent phases under small perturbations [16].

The spin-states of the Co$^{3+}$ ions in this compound has been discussed controversially [17–23]. In our previous study, we probed the spin-states in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_4$ compounds and found the existence of the high spin (HS) and low spin (LS) states together for $0.5 \leq x \leq 1$ at room temperature and discarded the presence of intermediate spin (IS) state. To understand the origin of the complex behavior of temperature dependent magnetism in this compound, one has to know the temperature dependence of the Co$^{3+}$ spin states. H. Wu [20] theoretically predicted that the ground state of LaSrCoO$_4$ can have mixed spin-states (HS and LS) of the Co$^{3+}$ ions but so far no experimental observation exists. Different spin states of the Co$^{3+}$ ions have different ionic radii so an experimental spin state distribution estimate could be possible by extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy because this method can provide information about minor local changes in the bond lengths as has been proven in the case of cuprates and manganites [24, 25].
By means of detailed magnetization measurements on LaSrCoO$_4$, we demonstrate the presence of FM clusters in the spin-glass matrix and a glassy transition as cluster glass freezing point. To support our observations we also demonstrate the inhomogeneous distribution of spin states by utilizing temperature dependent EXAFS experiments across the Co K-edge. We conjure that the present compound is a model for the real cluster spin-glass system.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Polycrystalline LaSrCoO$_4$ was prepared employing a standard solid state synthesis method. Using lanthanum oxide (La$_2$O$_3$), cobalt oxide (Co$_3$O$_4$) and strontium carbonate (SrCO$_3$) powder as precursors in stoichiometric amounts. The mixture was ground and calcined for 8 h at 1000°C. The calcined powder was re-grinded and pelletized in to a 10 mm disc followed by sintering for 12 h at 1150°C. X-ray diffraction (XRD) has been done on a Bruker D2 Phaser using Cu Kα radiation. Magnetization measurements were done with a 7 T Quantum design SQUID-VSM magnetometer. M(H) loops were measured in the field-cooled (FC) protocol. Magnetization as a function of temperature M(T) were measured in FC and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) protocol with different fields with varying temperature from 5 to 300 K. For the virgin M(H) curves, first the sample was demagnetized and then data were taken. ZFC relaxation measurement has been performed by cooling the sample down to 150 K in zero field and waited for 100 s ($t_w$) followed by the isothermal (at 150 K) magnetization measurement with time (upto ~ 8000 s). For Arrott plots we have used internal effective magnetic field [26, 27] $H_{\text{eff}}$, using relation, $H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{applied}} - (4\pi N)M$ where N is the demagnetization factor and has been determined from the isothermal low field M(H) data, below the T$_c$.

EXAFS measurements were done at beamline P 65 at PETRA III, DESY, Germany. The measurements were done in fluorescence and transmission on homogeneously mixed and pressed pellet of the sample and boron nitride (BN). The sample amount has been calculated for 1 absorption length. A liquid helium flow cryostat has been employed for low temperature measurements. The Co K edge (7.7 keV) EXAFS has been measured up to high momentum transfer of about 16 Å$^{-1}$. Athena has been utilized for data processing. In Artemis, the FEFF code was used to calculate theoretical scattering paths and the IFEFFIT
FIG. 1. Rietveld refined powder x-ray diffraction pattern of the LaSrCoO$_4$. Inset shows the unit cell schematic.

has been used to fit the experimental spectra.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 showing the Rietveld refined powder XRD pattern of the LaSrCoO$_4$ sample. The refinement results confirm that the sample has a tetragonal unit cell with space group $I4/mmm$ and lattice parameters $a = 3.83947(8)$ Å and $c = 12.5355(3)$ Å. It is usually difficult to obtain the exact oxygen stoichiometry for this composition. In order to confirm the oxygen stoichiometry, we have compared our $c$ lattice parameter (which is very sensitive to oxygen content), with the reported $c$ lattice parameter of stoichiometric LaSrCoO$_4$ [18, 28–30] and a good agreement between the reported and the refined $c$ lattice parameter is observed. To double-check oxygen stoichiometry, we have also performed a redox-titration using a previously reported method [31] and found the oxygen content to be 3.99, which can be considered as 4 within experimental error.
A. Glassy nature and critical exponent analysis

Fig. 2 (a) displays the FC and ZFC temperature dependent magnetization curves. In the low-field measurements a clear bifurcation between FC and ZFC is observed. Such bifurcations are a signature of the spin-glass (SG) phase [32] but could also be due to the presence of the cluster glass (CG) phase, magnetic anisotropy and super-paramagnetism (SPM) [33]. On increasing the applied magnetic field, the bifurcation decreases progressively and finally disappears at a field of 1 Tesla. A closer look at the low temperature ZFC M(T) data shows a clear cusp (Fig. 2 (c-h)) around T_g ∼ 7-8 K and allows us to evoke about the reentrant character of the sample. A similar feature was observed previously [12, 14, 15] and denoted as a freezing temperature. An interesting point to note is that on cooling below T_{Irr} (temperature at which FC and ZFC bifurcate), M_{FC} increases which has been considered as a characteristic of cluster glass dynamics in various systems [34]. The M_{FC} values increase with decreasing temperature, even below T_g points out the presence of a cluster glass because M_{FC} normally becomes steady for canonical spin glass [35]. It is noteworthy to mention that for a typical re-entrant SG systems, the irreversibility occurs far below T_c while for CG T_{Irr} ≤ T_c [36]. In this connection it is also reported [8] that if the bifurcation temperature T_{Irr} >> T_g then the compound exhibits cluster glassy magnetism while for canonical SG the T_{Irr} coincides with the T_g. So, we conclude that the signatures discussed above confirm the CG magnetism in this LaSrCoO_4 sample.

Fig. 2 (b) presents the isothermal field cooled (7T) M(H) loops, below and above the transition temperature. The M(H) loops typically show the paramagnetic nature of the sample at and above 225 K. At low temperatures, sharp increase of coercivity H_c and non-saturation up to 7T divulge the random orientation of clusters with no interaction between them [38] i.e. no favorable mean field. One has to apply a higher field to free them from trapped directions.

Now we discuss the anisotropy in the system and the nature of magnetic interactions in the sample. A neutron diffraction study on iso-structural LaSrFeO_4 [39] and half-doped La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO_4 [40] show that in these systems spins are forced to lie in the ab plane and can rotate within the plane. This implies that the c-axis is not the easy axis of magnetization, indicating the presence of an XY type anisotropy. We performed the analysis suggested by Joy et al. [33], according to which the decrease in M_{ZFC} is due to magneto-crystalline
FIG. 2. (a) Temperature dependent magnetization $M(T)$ curves at different magnetic field. (b) Field-cooled $M(H)$ loops. Inset (b) shows the variation of $H_c$ with temperature. (c-h) Enlarged view of ZFC $M(T)$ at low temperatures to highlight the presence of the freezing temperature and its dependence on field.

anisotropy and it should follow the following relation, Eq. (1).

$$\frac{M_{FC}}{H_{app} + H_c} \approx \frac{M_{ZFC}}{H_{app}}$$

(1)

It is observed that in the low temperature region at low fields, $\chi_{ZFC}$ (RHS of Eq. (1)) starts to deviate from $\chi_{FC}$ (LHS of Eq. (1)) (see Fig. 3 (a-f)), indicating that apart form the magneto-crystalline anisotropy there must exist a more complex mechanism. The clusters which are formed below $T_c$, increase in number or become smaller in size, and on lowering the temperature these clusters orient in random directions and produce local anisotropic fields and the downturn in $M_{ZFC}(T)$ below $T_{Irr}$ is due to competition between the spin orientation capabilities of the random anisotropy fields and applied field [8]. To further investigate the nature of magnetism below $T_c$, we have performed the $M_{ZFC}$ relaxation measurement at 150 K and fitted with the stretched exponential function defined by equation
\[ M(t) = M_o - M_r \ exp\left(-\frac{t}{t_r}\right)^x \] where \( M_o \) represents the FM part while \( M_r \) and \( t_r \) are associated with the glassy nature \[41\]. The value of the exponent \( x \) reflects the type of energy barriers present. For instance, \( x = 1 \) describes the relaxation behavior of a FM system with a single anisotropy energy barrier separating the ZFC and FC states \[42\], \( x \approx 0.5 \), on the other hand, is associated with the stretched exponential relaxation, caused by hierarchical energy barriers in spin glasses \[37\]. From the fit, based on the above expression, to the \( M(t) \) data (see inset (b) of Fig. \[6\]), we obtain \( x = 0.455 \), which is in good agreement with the values reported for spin glass systems \[37, 43\]. From the data presented so far, it is evident that the FM clusters are present below \( T_c \). To ascertain the behavior of the system above \( T_c \), we have analyzed the inverse magnetic susceptibility. The inverse magnetic susceptibility (H/M) plots, shown in Fig. \[3\]g, clearly show a deviation from the Curie-Weiss law. This behavior could be a signature of the Griffith Phase (i.e., the FM clusters embedded within a paramagnetic matrix), as previously suggested \[44, 45\]. However, an elaborate analysis regarding the presence or absence of this phase \[45\] reveals that this behavior is not due to the Griffith phase. After these observations and discussion, we can say that the system behaves as a disordered FM in the high temperature region and as a cluster glass in the low temperature region. In the present case, \( T_c \) is disorder-broadened and it is difficult to obtain the \( T_c \) correctly using the \( M(T) \) curves because of their field dependency.

It is well accepted that the critical exponents, associated with the continuous phase transition, \( \beta, \gamma \) and \( \delta \) are the universal quantities. These depend on the spin and spatial dimensionality \[46\]. The presence of non-equilibrium states in the present system must have considerable effects on the critical behavior. So, to estimate the value of \( T_c \) and to classify the ground state of LaSrCoO\(_4\), we have recorded and analyzed the virgin curves in the vicinity of the transition. According to Arrott \[47\] for long range FM ordering the \( M^2 \) vs H/M plots should display a straight line. He proposed the following relation for supporting his statement, Eq. \[2\].

\[
H = \left(\frac{1}{\chi_0}\right)M + bM^3 + cM^5 + \ldots \tag{2}
\]

The Arrott plot adopts the critical exponents from mean field theory which are \( \beta = 0.5 \) and \( \gamma = 1 \). In these plots the line passing through the origin gives \( T_c \). Apparently the extrapolated lines from the high field are not parallel and no line is passing through the origin (see Fig. \[3\] (h)). This further confirms the presence of short range FM order.
FIG. 3. (a-f) Susceptibilities measured (solid circles) and calculated (empty circles) at the different magnetic fields. (g) $\chi^{-1}$ vs T at different magnetic field. Red solid line shows the Curie-Weiss law behavior. (h) Arrott plot with mean field exponents. (i-l) Arrott plots with the exponents given by various theoretical FM models.

Arrott and Noakes [48] proposed an approximate equation of state to observe the behavior of different systems near their critical temperature. Eq. (3) represents the Arrott-Noakes equation which is modified Arrott plots.

$$(H/M)^{1/\gamma} = a[(T - T_c)/T_c] + bM^{1/\beta} \quad (3)$$

We first choose the critical exponents for the FM models as trial by assuming a quasi long-range FM ordering. For the 3D Heisenberg model $\beta = 0.365$ and $\gamma = 1.386$, the 3D Ising model with $\beta = 0.325$ and $\gamma = 1.24$, the 3D XY model with $\beta = 0.345$ and $\gamma = 1.316$ [Ref. 49] and for the tricritical mean field model or zero-point local spin fluctuation (ZPLSF) model $\beta = 0.25$ and $\gamma = 1$ [50]. We have plotted modified Arrott plots in Fig. 3 (i-l). Evidently, none of the above FM models adequately describes the critical behavior since it fails to yield the desired linear Arrott plots. This prompts us to find suitable critical
In the critical regime, the spontaneous magnetization $M_s$, initial susceptibility $\chi_0$ follows the following asymptotic behavior \cite{46,49}.

\begin{align}
\chi_0 &= A \epsilon^{-\gamma} \quad T > T_c \tag{4} \\
M_s &= B |\epsilon|^{\beta} \quad T < T_c \tag{5} \\
M &= M_0 H^{1/\delta} \quad T = T_c \tag{6}
\end{align}

where $\epsilon = (T - T_c)/T_c$, $A$, $B$ and $M_0$ are the critical amplitudes \cite{51}. In order to determine the values of $\beta$ and $\gamma$, the Kouvel-Fisher method \cite{52,53} is widely used. In this method, $\gamma$
and $\beta$ can be obtained using the following relations.

$$\chi_0^{-1}/(d\chi_0^{-1}/dT) = (T - T_c)/\gamma$$

(7)

$$M_s(T)/(dM_s/dT) = (T - T_c)/\beta$$

(8)

Firstly, we determined the values of $\beta$ and the $\gamma$ directly from $M(T)$ and $\chi(T)$ data using the above mentioned equations for different fields. The average of these values gives a first estimate of the critical exponents [54] as $\beta = 0.62$ and $\gamma = 1.081$. Plotting, a modified Arrott plot with these values and determining $M_s$ and $\chi_0$ again gives more reliable values of $\beta$ and $\gamma$ as 0.795 and 1.051, respectively which are independent of external perturbation. Using these values we obtained a suitable Arrott plot (see Fig. 4 (a)) for the present case. From this modified Arrott plot we find a transition temperature $T_c \sim 220$ K. Using Widom scaling relation [55, 56] $\delta = 1 + \gamma/\beta$, the value of $\delta$ is obtained as 2.320. To confirm this value, we fit the relation (6) for the virgin curve at $T = 220$ K. The inset of Fig. 4 (a) shows the ln $M$ vs ln $H$ curve which gives the value $\delta = 2.257$. This is in close agreement with the value of $\delta$ obtained through the scaling relation. This implies that the values obtained for $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are reliable. Using the following relation, Eq. (9), between $\delta$ and the exponent $\eta$ [Ref. 57] for three dimensional (d=3) case we find $\eta=0.846$.

$$\delta = \frac{(d + 2 - \eta)}{(d - 2 + \eta)}$$

(9)

Finally, we have $\beta = 0.795$, $\gamma = 1.051$ and $\eta=0.846$. In order to further verify these values we have scaled the data using Eq. (10) [Ref. 57].

$$M(H, \epsilon) = (\epsilon)^\beta f_{\pm} \left[ H/\epsilon^{\beta\delta} \right]$$

(10)

According to this hypothesis, $M|\epsilon|^{-\beta}$ vs $H|\epsilon|^{-\beta\delta}$ will form two universal branches below and above $T_c$ (see Fig. 4 (c)). This scaling hypothesis is an important criteria for the accuracy of the values of the critical exponents [51].

The values obtained for the exponents radically differ from those predicted by the FM models, except $\gamma$ which is in close agreement with the mean field model. Such type of deviations from a particular model is reported previously in other systems too [58, 59]. It is worth mentioning that our values of the critical exponents are very close to the exponents
for inter-metallic systems as reported elsewhere\cite{60} where they ascribed these deviations of critical exponents from the models to the presence of large magnetic anisotropy relative to magnetic exchange interaction in the system. This statement is very well applicable in the present case too. The presence of magneto-crystalline anisotropy can be picturized here due to strong spin-orbit coupling that cannot be neglected because of the presence of HS Co$^{3+}$.

This second order phase transition ($T_c \sim 220$ K) may be useful in the context of the magneto-caloric effect. A good magneto-caloric should have a broad peak in the isothermal magnetic entropy change $\Delta S_M$. Such a behavior is characteristic of a second order phase transition and should be as high in magnitude as in a first order phase transition. Using isothermal magnetization data we have obtained the values for $\Delta S_M$, using the Maxwell relation\cite{61}, Eq. (11), in two different regions, one around $T_c$ and the other one in the vicinity of $T_g$.

$$\Delta S_M = \int_a^b \left( \frac{dM}{dT} \right)_H dH \tag{11}$$

Fig. 4 (b) displays $\Delta S_M$ vs $T$. We observe a transition around 220 K which further confirms the value of the transition temperature derived from the critical exponent analysis. In addition, in the low temperature region, a transition around 8 K is found which is the freezing point of clusters. The Maximum value of $\Delta S_M$ at 1 kOe is 0.0012 J/Kg-K.

FIG. 5. (a) Schematic of distribution of FM correlated Co$^{3+}$ HS clusters (green) in the non-magnetic matrix of Co$^{3+}$ LS in the $ab$ plane. Red arrows indicate the isolated magnetic moments (Co$^{3+}$ HS). (b) Model showing inhomogeneous (HS+LS) spin state distribution which is used to find best agreement to the EXAFS data.
FIG. 6. H-T phase diagram shows the presence of AT and GT lines. Solid lines shows the fitting using Eqs. [12] and [13]. Inset (a) shows the definition of the $T_w$ and the $T_s$ and inset (b) shows the normalized isothermal (50 K) ZFC magnetic relaxation, measured with 50 Oe magnetic field with delay time 100 s. The solid red line shows fitting using stretched exponential function.

From the analysis, so far, we can conclude that, at high temperatures, the compound is a PM. On lowering the temperature below $T_c$, FM clusters of $\text{Co}^{3+}$ origin [62, 63] are embedded in a spin matrix with competing interactions $J < 0$ and $J > 0$, become ordered in the direction of applied field. The above scenario (below $T_c$) is hypothesized in the Fig. 5 (a) which shows the schematic of the ab plane of the supercell in which LS $\text{Co}^{3+}$ acts as non-magnetic (blue) while magnetic clusters and isolated ions are HS $\text{Co}^{3+}$ (green). On further lowering the temperature these large clusters break into smaller clusters. The matrix around the clusters favors a random orientation of clusters. We may predict a scenario of high temperature XY anisotropy and at lower temperatures a dominance of random magnetic anisotropy due to weakening of the spin-orbit coupling as a result of decreasing concentration of HS $\text{Co}^{3+}$. Results shown in Fig. 3 (a-f) are indicative of a competition between the applied field and random anisotropic field. These small FM clusters freeze at $T_g \sim 8$ K.

Above $T_c$, the paramagnetic phase has reversible symmetry with respect to H but below
irreversibility and remanence comes into the picture. To investigate the effect of the applied magnetic field, we have plotted the H-T phase diagram in Fig. 6. We have computed the irreversibility in magnetization using the relation, \( M_{Ir} = M_{FC} - M_{ZFC} \). Using standard procedure, we obtained the values of \( T_w \) and \( T_s \) (see inset (a) of Fig. 6). \( T_w \) and \( T_s \) respectively are the temperatures at which \( M_{Ir} \) departs from zero and the linear part of \( M_{Ir}(T) \) extrapolates to zero. In the literature, there exist standard models for explaining the SG behavior. In SG systems, on lowering the temperature, the random anisotropy is sufficiently large and hence orients the clusters into random directions. Similar observation has been made here. A different model which deals with the effect of the magnetic field on SG involves the freezing of transverse spin components and ordering of the longitudinal spin component at \( T_c \). Because of the inhomogeneous local mean field, the freezing of spin degrees of freedom in the direction perpendicular to the applied field takes place at a much lower temperature, \( T_g \). Gabay and Toulouse (GT) theoretically predicted that, in the case of an \( n \)-component vector spin glass, two successive field dependent transitions should occur on cooling. The first one is the freezing of the transverse spin components along the GT line, which is associated with the weak irreversibility, expressed as, Eq. (12):

\[
t_{GT} = \left( 1 - \frac{T_w(H)}{T_w(0)} \right) = \left( \frac{n^2 + 4n + 2}{4(n+2)^2} \right) h^2
\]

At lower temperature, freezing of the longitudinal component occurs along the so-called AT line, expressed by the following relation Eq. (13):

\[
t_{AT}^3 = \left( 1 - \frac{T_s(H)}{T_s(0)} \right)^3 = \left( \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{8} \right) h^2
\]

It is associated with the strong irreversibility of the frozen state and reproducing the original AT line, only if \( n = 1 \) (Ising case). In the case of FM where the application of a field removes the phase transition, droplet scaling theory suggest that there should be no AT line in the presence of an applied field but for \( n > 1 \) (XY, \( n = 2 \) and Heisenberg, \( n = 3 \)) there exist spin-glass even if an external field is applied. For the \( D > H \), \( n = 1 \) case and for \( D < H \) results \( n > 1 \) comes in to the picture, here \( D \) represents the strength of the anisotropy and \( H \) the magnetic field. The presence of these irreversibility lines is predicted by theoretical models such as the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model i.e. the solvable mean field model of spin-glass that explains the phase transition in absence and presence of the field.
FIG. 7. Temperature dependence of $k^2 \chi(k)$ vs k.

external magnetic field for both Ising and Heisenberg spin glass systems. The above analysis represents the interesting scenario in the terms of cluster glass models [43] that clusters with FM ordering exist and the GT line separates the FM and FM + CG coexisting phase i.e. freezing of transverse spin components and ordering of longitudinal spin components takes place while the AT line separates the FM + CG and the CG phase i.e. freezing of both the longitudinal and transverse spin components takes place or n=1 comes into picture. Moreover, the Arrott plots in low temperature region (see, Inset of Fig. 4 (d)) establish the absence of spontaneous magnetization (i.e. no long-range FM ordering) as the Arrott plots, when extrapolated to $H = 0$, do not yield any intercept on the ordinate axis. Hence, this observation supports the existence of the CG phase [68] at low temperatures.

B. Investigation of the Spin-state inhomogeneity

In the following we will discuss the evidence of Co$^{3+}$ HS and LS distribution found in form of FM clusters (HS origin) and glassy spin matrix (mixed HS and LS) as discussed in the previous section, Fig. 5 (a). Referring to the inset of Fig. 1 in the unit cell we have octahedral symmetry around the Co ions with four equatorial (Co-O2) and two axial (Co-O1) bonds. Because of the different radii of cobalt ions in different spin states (Co$^{3+}$
HS (0.61 Å) and Co$^{3+}$ LS (0.545 Å)) we expect different bond lengths between the cobalt ion and the oxygen ions. Therefore, we assume a simple model (see Fig. 5 (b)) that in case of spin-state inhomogeneity we should observe a difference between the lattice constant $a$ and twice the bond length between the Co ions and the oxygen ions (Co-O2) i.e. $a \neq 2 \times \text{Co-O2}$. We measured temperature dependent Co K-edge EXAFS and analyzed the data following a standard protocol [69]. Using the relation $\chi(k) = (\mu(k) - \mu(k_0))/\Delta \mu$, one may get the fine structure (EXAFS oscillations) where $k$, momentum, is defined as $k = \sqrt{2m(E - E_0)/\hbar}$, $E_0$ is deduced from the first inflection point on the edge and other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>$R_{\text{eff}}$ (Å)</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co ↔ O2</td>
<td>1.903</td>
<td>o2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co ↔ O1</td>
<td>2.009</td>
<td>o1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co ↔ La</td>
<td>3.197</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co ↔ Co</td>
<td>3.806</td>
<td>$a = \text{Lattice parameter}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co → O2 → O2 → Co</td>
<td>3.806</td>
<td>$2p = 2(a \tan(\angle \text{O2-Co-Co}))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co → O2 → Co → Co</td>
<td>3.806</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co ↔ O2 (second shell)</td>
<td>4.255</td>
<td>o2 = $(a^2 + o2^2)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8. (a) Fourier transformed $k^2 \chi(k)$ vs R at 7 K. (b) $k^2 \chi(k)$ vs k at 7 K, empty circle represents the data while continuous line is the fit to data. (c) Comparison of lattice parameter $a$ extracted from EXAFS (filled circle) and from literature (empty circle) (d) MSRD as function of temperature for inplane and out of plane Co-O bonds. (e) Bond lengths as function of temperature for inplane and out of plane Co-O bonds.

Symbols have their usual meaning [69]. Fig. 7 displays the $k^2$ weighted $\chi(k)$ oscillations measured at various temperatures (4 K to 300 K). The Fourier transformation of these gives us the radial distribution of shells.

EXAFS spectra were fitted in R-space using the range $0.9 < R < 4$ Å. We used a model with 4 equatorial and 2 axial oxygen neighbors [70] for a first shell to distinguish the equatorial and axial bond length in the CoO$_6$ octahedra. We have utilized various trigonometric constraints (see Table I) to fit the data for reasonable values. Fig. 8(a) and (b) show the fit of the spectrum measured at 7 K in R and k space. Fig. 8(c) shows the agreement
between the reported \[71\] and fitted lattice parameter \((a)\), Fig. 8(e) shows the temperature dependence of the determined Co-O distances, for Co-O1 and Co-O2. Fig. 8(c) and (e) clearly show that \(a \neq 2 \times \text{Co-O2}\). This is the first experimental evidence of the theoretical study done by W. Hu. \[20\], who proposed mixed spin states. Our measurement on a polycrystalline sample is not sensitive to atom pair bond length of particular orientation/plane. Rather these results are a distribution and average of different bond lengths so we can not quantify the fraction of one particular spin state. Further measurements on single crystals are needed for a quantification of the fractions of the two spin states.

Fig. 8(d) displays the mean square relative displacement (MSRD) \((\sigma^2 = \langle (R - \langle R \rangle)^2 \rangle)\) for atoms in the equitorial and axial places of the octahedron. Clear anomalies are observed in MSRD in the low temperature and same are also found in bond lengths, indicating the distortion (Co-O1/Co-O2) in the octahedra (see Fig. 5(d)). The anomalies might be explained by a breathing type distortion as found in the case of charge ordered \(\text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{CoO}_4\) [Ref. 72]. The first anomaly starts to appear around \(\sim 75\) K and second starts to appear around \(\sim 48\) K. These two anomalies could be explained from our previous Seebeck coefficient results \[73\], that coupling of electron-phonon and electron-magnon freezes at these temperatures, respectively and produces static distortion at the cost of freezing of low energy excitations as it happens in case of the Peirls distortion \[74\]. It is interesting to note that these dynamics are observable in the fast time scale of EXAFS \((10^{-15}\text{ sec})\).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A detailed study of magnetism in \(\text{LaSrCoO}_4\) has been carried out through the measurement of DC magnetization and by using EXAFS as a local structural probe. Cluster type FM ordering \((T_c \sim 220\text{ K})\) is observed and the critical exponent analysis classifies this system as FM close to mean field universality class. The FM correlated clusters are predicted to be of \(\text{Co}^{3+}\) HS origin and the glassy matrix host to be of \(\text{Co}^{3+}\) mixed (HS+LS) spin state origin. Competition between the applied field and the random anisotropic field breaks up the FM clusters and thereby reduces their size. These small clusters freeze at \(T_g \sim 8\text{ K}\). The presence of a spin-state inhomogeneity is confirmed through EXAFS analysis. The system mimics the scenario of cluster spin-glass system at intermediate temperatures (between \(\sim 220\text{ K}\) and \(\sim 8\text{ K}\)) while it becomes canonical spin glass at further lower temperatures.
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