Separability criteria based on Heisenberg-Weyl representation of density matrices

Jingmei Chang[1], Meiyu Cui[1], Tinggui Zhang[1,2†], Shao-Ming Fei[3,4]

1 School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, P. R. China
2 Hainan Center for Mathematical Research, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, P. R. China
[3]Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Leipzig 04103, Germany
[4]School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, P. R. China
† Correspondence to tinggui333@163.com

arXiv:2002.00164v1 [quant-ph] 1 Feb 2020

Separability is an important problem in theory of quantum entanglement. By using the Bloch representation of quantum states in terms of the Heisenberg-Weyl observable basis, we present a new separability criterion for bipartite quantum systems. It is shown that this criterion can be better than the previous ones in detecting entanglement. The results are generalized to multipartite quantum states.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 02.20.Hj, 03.65.-w

INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement is a fascinating phenomenon in quantum physics. In recent decades, much works have been devoted to understand entanglement as it plays important roles in many quantum information processing. Nevertheless, there are still many problems remain unsolved in the theory of quantum entanglement[1]. One basic problem is to determine whether a given bipartite state is entangled or separable. Although the problem is believed to be a nondeterministic polynomial-time hard problem, there are a number of operational criteria to deal with the problem, for example, the positive partial transpose (PPT) criterion [2, 3], realignment criteria [4–8], covariance matrix criteria [9–11], correlation matrix criteria [12–14] and so on. More recently, some more separability criteria have been proposed [15–20]. Among them, Li et al. [15] presented separability criteria based on correlation matrices and the Bloch vectors of reduced density matrices. And by adding some extra parameters, Ref.[20] presents a more general separability criterion for bipartite states in terms of the Bloch representation of density matrices.

The state of two quantum systems A and B, acting on the finite-dimensional Hilbert space $H = H_A \otimes H_B$, is described by the density operator ρ. A state ρ is said to be separable if ρ can be written as a convex combination of product vectors [21], i.e.

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i, \varphi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i, \varphi_i|, \tag{1}$$

where $0 \leqslant p_i \leqslant 1$, $\sum_i p_i = 1$, and $|\psi_i, \varphi_i\rangle = |\psi_i\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi_i\rangle_B$ ($|\psi\rangle_A \in H_A$ and $|\varphi\rangle_B \in H_B$). The state ρ is said to be entangled, when ρ cannot be written as in form of Eq.(1).

In this article, we put forward a new Bloch representation in terms of the Heisenberg-Weyl (HW) observable basis [22]. It is one of the standard Hermitian generalization of Pauli operators, constructed from HW operators [23–26]. They have distinct properties from those of Gell-Mann matrices [22], Based on the Heisenberg-Weyl representation of density matrices, we give a new separability criterion for bipartite quantum states and multipartite states. By example, we show that this criterion has advantages in determining whether a quantum state is separable or entangled.

HW observable basis. First, we briefly introduce the HW-operator basis [22]. The generalized Pauli "phase" and "shift" operators are given by $Z = e^{\frac{i2\pi Q}{d}}$ and $X = e^{\frac{-i2\pi P}{d}}$, respectively, $X|j\rangle = |j+1 \bmod d\rangle$ and $Z|j\rangle = e^{\frac{i2\pi j}{d}}|j\rangle$. Q and P are the discrete position and momentum operators describing a $d \times d$ grid.

The phase-space displacement operators for d-level systems are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(l,m) = Z^l X^m e^{\frac{-i\pi lm}{d}} , \tag{2}$$

i.e. [23]

$$\mathcal{D}(l,m) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2i\pi kl}{d}} |k\rangle\langle(k+m) mod\ d|, \tag{3}$$

$l, m = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1$. These non-Hermitian orthogonal basis operators satisfy the following orthogonality condition, $Tr\{\mathcal{D}(l,m)\mathcal{D}^\dagger(l',m')\} = d\delta_{l,l'}\delta_{m,m'}$.

The complete set of Hermitian operators can be constructed from the HW operators $\mathcal{D}(l,m)$ by defining

$$\mathcal{Q}(l,m) = \mathcal{X}\mathcal{D}(l,m) + \mathcal{X}^*\mathcal{D}^\dagger(l,m) , \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{X} = (1 \pm i)/2$. $\mathcal{Q}(l,m)$ are the so called HW observable basis and satisfy the orthogonality condition,

$$Tr\{\mathcal{Q}(l,m)\mathcal{Q}(l',m')\} = d\delta_{l,l'}\delta_{m,m'} . \tag{5}$$

This basis simply reduces to the pauli matrices for $d = 2$. When $d = 3$, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(0,1) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+i & 1-i \\ 1-i & 0 & 1+i \\ 1+i & 1-i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(0,2) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1-i & 1+i \\ 1+i & 0 & 1-i \\ 1-i & 1+i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(1,0) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1-\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(1,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\frac{\pi}{4}i} & e^{\frac{5}{12}\pi i} \\ e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}i} & 0 & e^{\frac{11}{12}\pi i} \\ e^{-\frac{5}{12}\pi i} & e^{-\frac{11}{12}\pi i} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(1,2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-\frac{11}{12}\pi i} & e^{\frac{\pi}{4}i} \\ e^{\frac{11}{12}\pi i} & 0 & e^{\frac{5}{12}\pi i} \\ e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}i} & e^{-\frac{5}{12}\pi i} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(2,0) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(2,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\frac{\pi}{4}i} & e^{-\frac{11}{12}\pi i} \\ e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}i} & 0 & e^{-\frac{5}{12}\pi i} \\ e^{\frac{11}{12}\pi i} & e^{\frac{5}{12}\pi i} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(2,2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\frac{5}{12}\pi i} & e^{\frac{\pi}{4}i} \\ e^{-\frac{5}{12}\pi i} & 0 & e^{-\frac{11}{12}\pi i} \\ e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}i} & e^{\frac{11}{12}\pi i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

BLOCH REPRESENTATION UNDER HEISENBERG-WELY OBSERVABLES

A state $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^d$ of single quantum system can be expressed in terms of the $d \times d$ identity operator I_d and the $d^2 - 1$ traceless Hermitian HW observable operators $\mathcal{Q}(l,m)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{l,m=0}^{d-1} r_{lm}\mathcal{Q}(l,m) \\ &= \frac{1}{d}(I_d + \sum_{\substack{l,m=0 \\ (l,m)\neq(0,0)}}^{d-1} r_{lm}\mathcal{Q}(l,m)) , \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(0,0) = I_d$. The coefficients r_{lm} in Eq.(6) are given by

$$r_{lm} = Tr(\rho\mathcal{Q}(l,m)) ,$$

where $l = 0, 1, \cdots, d-1$, $m = 0, 1, \cdots, d-1$ and $(l,m) \neq (0,0)$. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} \;=\; & (r_{0,1}, r_{0,2}, \cdots, r_{0,d-1}, r_{1,0}, \cdots, r_{1,d-1}, \\ & \cdots, r_{d-1,0}, \cdots, r_{d-1,d-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Lemma 1 *For pure states,*

$$\| \boldsymbol{r} \|_2 = \sqrt{d-1} , \tag{8}$$

where $\| \cdot \|_2$ *is the Euclidean norm on* $\mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$.

Proof: According to the Eq.(6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tr\rho^2 \;&=\; \frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{l,m,l',m'=0}^{d-1} r_{lm}r_{l'm'}Tr\{\mathcal{Q}(l,m)\mathcal{Q}(l',m')\} \\ &=\; \frac{1}{d^2}\cdot d\cdot\sum_{l,m=0}^{d-1} r_{lm}^2 \\ &=\; \frac{1}{d}(1+\sum_{\substack{l,m=0 \\ (l,m)\neq(0,0)}}^{d-1} r_{lm}^2) = \frac{1}{d}(1+\| \mathbf{r} \|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since ρ is a pure state, one has $Tr\rho^2 = Tr\rho = 1$. Therefore $\| \mathbf{r} \|_2^2 = d-1$. □

Now consider bipartite states $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$. Any state ρ can be similarly represented as [27]

$$\begin{aligned} \rho =& \frac{1}{d_1 d_2}(I_{d_1} \otimes I_{d_2} + \sum_{(l,m)\neq(0,0)} r_{lm}\mathcal{Q}(l,m) \otimes I_{d_2} \\ &+ \sum_{(k,n)\neq(0,0)} s_{kn} I_{d_1} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k,n) \\ &+ \sum_{(l,m),(k,n)\neq(0,0)} t_{lmkn}\mathcal{Q}(l,m) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k,n)), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{lm} &= Tr\{\rho\mathcal{Q}(l,m)) \otimes I_{d_2}\}, \\ s_{kn} &= Tr\{\rho I_{d_1} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k,n))\}, \\ t_{lmkn} &= Tr\{\rho\mathcal{Q}(l,m)) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k,n)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $l,m = 0, \cdots, d_1 - 1$, $k,n = 0, \cdots, d_2 - 1$ and $(l,m), (k,n) \neq (0,0)$.

SEPARABILITY CRITERIA FOR BIPARTITE STATES

Similarly to (7), we denote $\mathbf{r} = (r_{0,1}, \cdots, r_{0,d_1-1}, \cdots, r_{d_1-1,0}, \cdots, r_{d_1-1,d_1-1})^t$ and

$\mathbf{s} = (s_{0,1}, \cdots, s_{0,d_2-1}, \cdots, s_{d_2-1,0}, \cdots, s_{d_2-1,d_2-1})^t$, where t stands for transpose. Set $T = (t_{ij})$, where the entries t_{ij} are given by the coefficients t_{lmkn}, $l,m = 0,\cdots,d_1-1$, $k,n = 0,\cdots,d_2-1$, $(l,m),(k,n) \neq (0,0)$, with the first two indices lm associated with the array index i, and the last two indices kn with the column index j of T.

Let us consider the following matrix,

$$\mathcal{S}^m_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta E_{m\times m} & \beta\omega_m(\mathbf{s})^t \\ \alpha\omega_m(\mathbf{r}) & T \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (10)$$

where

$$\omega_m(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{(\ \mathbf{x}\ \cdots\ \mathbf{x}\ )}_{m\ columns},$$

α and β are nonnegative real numbers, m is a given natural number, $E_{m\times m}$ is an $m\times m$ matrix with all entries being 1. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *If the state ρ in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1}\otimes\mathbb{C}^{d_2}$ is separable, then*

$$\| \mathcal{S}^m_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) \|_{tr} \leq \sqrt{(m\beta^2+d_1-1)(m\alpha^2+d_2-1)}\ , \quad (11)$$

where $||\cdot||_{tr}$ stands for the trace norm (the sum of singular values).

Proof: Since ρ is separable, from [14] there exist vectors $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1^2-1}$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2^2-1}$ satisfying Eq.(8), and weights p_i satisfying $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$, $\sum_i p_i = 1$ such that

$$T = \sum_i p_i \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{v}_i^t\ ,\ \mathbf{r} = \sum_i p_i\mathbf{u}_i\ ,\ \mathbf{s} = \sum_i p_i\mathbf{v}_i.$$

From Lemma 1, we have

$$\| \mathbf{u}_i \|_2 = \sqrt{d_1-1}\ ,\ \| \mathbf{v}_i \|_2 = \sqrt{d_2-1}\ .$$

The matrix (10) has the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^m_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) &= \sum_i p_i \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta E_{m\times m} & \beta\omega_m(\mathbf{v}_i)^t \\ \alpha\omega_m(\mathbf{u}_i) & \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{v}_i^t \end{pmatrix}, \\ &= \sum_i p_i \begin{pmatrix} \beta E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha E_{1\times m} & \mathbf{v}_i^t \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathcal{S}^m_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) \|_{tr} &= \| \sum_i p_i \begin{pmatrix} \beta E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha E_{1\times m} & \mathbf{v}_i^t \end{pmatrix} \|_{tr} \\ &\leq \sum_i p_i \| \begin{pmatrix} \beta E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha E_{1\times m} & \mathbf{v}_i^t \end{pmatrix} \|_{tr}\ . \end{aligned}$$

Accounting to that for any vectors $|i\rangle = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m)^t$ and $|j\rangle = (j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n)^t$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \| |i\rangle\langle j| \|_{tr} &= Tr\sqrt{(|i\rangle\langle j|)^\dagger |i\rangle\langle j|} \\ &= Tr\sqrt{|j\rangle(\langle i||i\rangle)\langle j|} \\ &= \sqrt{(i_1^2+i_2^2+\cdots+i_m^2)(j_1^2+j_2^2+\cdots+j_n^2)} \\ &= \| |i\rangle \|_2 \| |j\rangle \|_2\ , \end{aligned} \qquad (12)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_i p_i \| \begin{pmatrix} \beta E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha E_{1\times m} & \mathbf{v}_i^t \end{pmatrix} \|_{tr} \\ &= \| \begin{pmatrix} \beta E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix} \|_2 \| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{v}_i \end{pmatrix} \|_2 \\ &= \sqrt{(m\beta^2+d_1-1)(m\alpha^2+d_2-1)}\ , \end{aligned}$$

which gives rise to (11). □

Remark Theorem 1 implies that a pure bipartite quantum state in Bloch representation Eq. (9) is separable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^m_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha E_{1\times m} & \mathbf{s}^t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta E_{m\times m} & \beta\omega_m(\mathbf{s})^t \\ \alpha\omega_m(\mathbf{r}) & T \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Eq.(9) can be rewritten as

$$\rho = \rho_A\otimes\rho_B + \frac{1}{d_1d_2}[(t_{lmkn} - r_{lm}s_{kn})\mathcal{Q}(l,m)\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k,n)],$$

where ρ_A and ρ_B are the reduced density matrices. Since $\mathcal{Q}(l,m)\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k,n)$ are linearly independent, $(t_{lmkn} - r_{lm}s_{kn})\mathcal{Q}(l,m)\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k,n) = 0$ if and only if $t_{lmkn} - r_{lm}s_{kn} = 0$, i.e. $T = \mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}^t$, for any l,m,k,n. Moreover, for $d_1 = d_2 = 2$, the HW observable basis is equivalent to Pauli matrices. In this case Theorem 1 is equivalent to the Theorem 1 in [20].

For high dimensional quantum states, let us consider the following 2×4 bound entangled state [28] as an example,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{7b+1}\begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(1+b) & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-b^2} \\ b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-b^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(1+b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $0 < b < 1$. We mix the above state with state $|\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$,

$$\rho_x = x|\xi\rangle\langle\xi| + (1-x)\rho.$$

By choosing

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2},\quad \beta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}},\quad m = 1,\quad b = 0.9,$$

our Theorem 1 can detect the entanglement in ρ_x for $0.2234 \leq x \leq 1$, while the Theorem 1 in [20], the V-B criterion [14] and the L-B criterion [15] can only detect the entanglement in ρ_x for $0.2320 \leq x \leq 1$, $0.2293 \leq$

$x \leq 1$ and $0.2841 \leq x \leq 1$, respectively. In this case, our criterion is better in detecting entanglement.

Here, instead of (4), if we define $\mathcal{Q}(l,m) = k(\mathcal{X}\mathcal{D}(l,m) + \mathcal{X}^*\mathcal{D}^\dagger(l,m))$, $k = \sqrt{2/d}$, then $\| \mathbf{r} \|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}}$, and the conclusion becomes

$$\| \mathcal{S}^m_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) \|_{tr} \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(2m\beta^2 + d_1^2 - d_1)(2m\alpha^2 + d_2^2 - d_2)} \ .$$

In this case, the least upper bound in Theorem 1 is equal to the Theorem 1 [20].

SEPARABILITY CRITERIA FOR MULTIPARTITE STATES

We now generalize our result in Theorem 1 to multipartite case. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be an $f_1 \times \cdots \times f_N$ tensor, A and $\bar{A}$ be two nonempty subsets of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ satisfying $A \cup \bar{A} = 1, \ldots, N$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{A|\bar{A}}$ denote the A, $\bar{A}$ matricization of $\mathcal{S}$, see [20, 29] for detail.

For any state ρ in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$, we import a natural number m and nonnegative real parameters $\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_N$, and define

$$\delta^{(d_i)}_{(k_i,n_i)} = \begin{cases} \alpha_i I_{d_i}, & 1 \leq k_i = n_i \leq m \\ Q^{(d_i)}(k_i - m, n_i - m), & \begin{array}{l} m \leq k_i \leq d_i + m - 1, \\ m \leq n_i \leq d_i + m - 1, \\ (k_i, n_i) \neq (m, m), \end{array} \end{cases}$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and $Q^{(d_i)}(k,n)$ be the traceless Hermitian HW observable basis and satisfy the orthogonality relation $Tr\{\mathcal{Q}^{(d_i)}(k,n)\mathcal{Q}^{(d_i)}(k',n')\} = d_i \delta_{l,l'}\delta_{m,m'}$. Denote $\mathcal{W}^{(m)}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_N}(\rho)$ the tensor given by elements of the following form,

$$w_{(k_1,n_1)\ldots(k_N,n_N)} = Tr(\rho \delta^{(d_1)}_{(k_1,n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta^{(d_N)}_{(k_N,n_N)}),$$

where $1 \leq k_i = n_i \leq m$ and $m \leq k_i, n_i \leq d_i + m - 1$. Below we give the full separability criterion based on $\mathcal{W}^{(m)}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_N}(\rho)$.

Theorem 2 *If a state ρ in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ is fully separable, then for any subset A of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have*

$$\| (\mathcal{W}^{(m)}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_N}(\rho))^{A|\bar{A}} \|_{tr} \leq \prod_{k=1}^{N} \sqrt{(m\alpha_k^2 + d_k - 1)}. \tag{13}$$

Proof: Without loss of generality, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{q_1, \ldots, q_M\}, & q_1 < \cdots < q_M, \\ \bar{A} &= \{q_{M+1}, \ldots, q_N\}, & q_M + 1 < \cdots < q_N. \end{aligned}$$

Since ρ is fully separable, from [30] there exist vectors $\mathbf{u}_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k^2 - 1}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}^{(m)}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_N}(\rho) = \sum_i p_i \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_N E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(N)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\| \mathbf{u}_i^{(k)} \|_2 = \sqrt{d_k - 1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\mathcal{W}^{(m)}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_N}(\rho))^{A|\bar{A}} \|_{tr} \\ =& \| \sum_i p_i \bigotimes_{l=1}^{M} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{ql} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_l)} \end{pmatrix} \bigotimes_{p=M+1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_p} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_p)} \end{pmatrix}^t \|_{tr} \\ \leq & \sum_i p_i \| \bigotimes_{l=1}^{M} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_l} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_l)} \end{pmatrix} \bigotimes_{p=M+1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_p} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_p)} \end{pmatrix}^t \|_{tr} \\ =& \sum_i p_i \| \bigotimes_{l=1}^{M} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_l} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_l)} \end{pmatrix} \|_2 \| \bigotimes_{p=M+1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_p} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_p)} \end{pmatrix} \|_2 \\ =& \sum_i p_i \sqrt{tr(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{M} (\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_l} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_l)} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_l} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_l)} \end{pmatrix}))} \\ & \cdot \sqrt{tr(\bigotimes_{p=M+1}^{N} (\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_p} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_p)} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{q_p} E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(q_p)} \end{pmatrix}))} \\ =& \sum_i p_i \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N} tr(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k E_{m\times 1} \\ \mathbf{u}_i^{(k)} \end{pmatrix})} \\ =& \prod_{k=1}^{N} \sqrt{(m\alpha_k^2 + d_k - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equality Eq.(12) and $tr(A \otimes B) = trA \cdot trB$.

CONCLUSION

We have studied the separability problem based on the Bloch representation of density matrices in terms of the Heisenberg-Weyl observable basis. New separability criteria have been derived for both bipartite and multipartite quantum systems, which provide more efficient ways in detecting quantum entanglement for certain kinds of quantum states. These criteria can experimentally implemented. The results may highlight approaches in dealing with the separability problem by using suitable observable basis.

Acknowledgments We thank Shu-Qian Shen for useful discussions. The work is partly supported by the NSF of China under Grant No. 11501153, No. 11661031 and No. 11675113; the NSF of Hainan Province under Grant No. 20161006.

[1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki and K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009)
[2] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett **77**, 1413 (1996).
[3] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **223**, 1 (1996).
[4] O. Rudolph, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 032312 (2003).
[5] K. Chen and L. A. Wu, Quantum Inf. Comput. **3**, 193 (2003).
[6] O. Rudolph, Quantum Inf. Process. **4**, 219 (2005).
[7] C. J. Zhang, Y. S. Zhang, S. Zhang and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 060301(R) (2008).
[8] P. Aniello and C. Lupo, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 355303 (2008).
[9] O. Gühne, P. Hyllus, O. Gittsovich and J. Eisert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 130504 (2007).
[10] O. Gittsovich, O. Gühne, P. Hyllus and J. Eisert, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 052319 (2008).
[11] O. Gittsovich, P. Hyllus and O. Gühne, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 032306 (2010).
[12] P. Badziag, Č. Brukner, W. Laskowski, T. Paterek and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 140403 (2008).
[13] W. Laskowski, M. Markiewicz, T. Paterek and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev A **84**, 062305 (2011).
[14] J.I. de Vicente, Quantum Inf. Comput. **7**, 624 (2007).
[15] M. Li, J. Wang, S. M. Fei and X. Li-Jost, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 022325 (2014).
[16] S. Q. Shen, M. Y. Wang, M. Li and S. M. Fei, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 042332 (2015).
[17] M. Lewenstein, R. Augusiak, D. Chruściński, S. Rana and J. Samsonowicz, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 042335 (2016).
[18] K. Fujikawa, C. H. Oh, K. Umetsu and S. Yu, Annals of Physics **368**, 248 (2016).
[19] F. Bohnet-Waldraff, D. Braun and O. Giraud, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 042343 (2016).
[20] S.Q. Shen, J. Yu, M. Li and S. M. Fei, Scientific Reports, **6**, 28850 (2016).
[21] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 4277 (1989).
[22] A. Asadian, P. Erker, M. Huber and C. Klöckl, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 010301(R) (2016).
[23] R. A. Bertlmann and P. Krammer, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 235303 (2008)
[24] S. Massar and P. Spindel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 190401 (2008)
[25] A. Asadian, C. Budroni, F.E.S. Steinhoff, P. Rabl and O. Guhne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 250403 (2015)
[26] X.F. Huang, N. Jing and T.G. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **65**, 701 (2016)
[27] U. Fano, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 855(1983).
[28] P. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **232**, 333 (1997).
[29] J.I. de Vicente and M. Huber, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 062306 (2011).
[30] A.S.M. Hassan and P. S. Joag, Quantum Inf. Comput. **8**, 773 (2008).