Theory of spin-polarized superconductors –an analogue of superfluid ³He A-phase–

Kazushige Machida

Department of Physics, Ritsumeikan University, Kusatsu 525-8577, Japan

(Dated: February 4, 2020)

It is shown theoretically that ferromagnetic superconductors, UGe₂, URhGe, and UCoGe can be described in terms of the A-phase like triplet pairing similar to superfluid ³He in a unified way, including peculiar reentrant, S-shape, or L-shape H_{c2} curves. The associated double transition inevitable between the A₁ and A₂-phases in the *H*-*T* plane is predicted, both of which are characterized by non-unitary state with broken time reversal symmetry and the half-gap. UTe₂, which has been discovered quite recently to be a spin-polarized superconductor, is analyzed successively in the same view point, pointing out that the expected A₁-A₂ transition is indeed emerging experimentally. Thus the four heavy Fermion compounds all together are entitled to be topologically rich solid state materials worth further investigating together with superfluid ³He A-phase.

Much attention has been focused on ferromagnetic superconductors¹, such as UGe₂, URhGe, and UCoGe. Recently a new member of a superconductor UTe_2 with $T_{\rm c}=1.6{\rm K}^{2,3}$ is discovered, which is almost ferromagnetic, and attracts much excitement. Many researchers start devoting to UTe_2 experimentally⁴⁻¹⁶ and theoreti- $\operatorname{cally}^{17-19}$ and show renewed interest on the former three compounds too. Those heavy Fermion materials belong to a strongly correlated system where 5f electrons responsible for it is believed to form a coherent narrow band with the large mass enhancement below a Kondo temperature. Since H_{c2} in those compounds exceeds the Pauli paramagnetic limitation, it is thought that a triplet or odd parity pairing state is realized¹. However, the detailed studies of the pairing symmetry remains lacking in spite of the long history of the first three compounds over two decades. Some of those features are, interestingly enough, common for spin-polarized flat band superconductivity found in double bilayer magic angle graphene^{20,21}, where the in-plane H_{c2} shows a similar "reentrance" behavior, as will see shortly, due to linear Zeeman effect in the superconducting (SC) state, appearing next to a spin-polarized insulating state.

To understand those four spin-polarized superconductors in a unified way, here we develop a phenomenological theory based on the assumption that the four compounds are commonly described in terms of the triplet pairing symmetry analogous to the superfluid ³He-A phase²² under Zeeman effect²³. Namely the A₁-A₂ phase transition is induced by an applied field, which is observed as the clear double specific heat jumps²⁴.

There exist prominent SC properties observed commonly in those superconductors:

(1) Above T_c the ferromagnetic transition (FM) occurs in the first three compounds. Thus the SC state survives under a strong internal field coming through an exchange interaction. However, in UTe₂ "static" FM is not detected so far although FM fluctuations are probed^{2,6,10,11} above T_c , i.e. a diverging static susceptibility along the *a*-axis^{2,6}, and $1/T_2^{10}$.

(2) When H is applied parallel to the magnetic hard axis b in orthorhombic crystals, H_{c2} exhibits the reentrant behavior for URhGe where the SC state once disappeared

reappears again at higher fields, or an S-shape H_{c2} in UCoGe¹ and a L-shape in UTe₂⁴ in the *H*-*T* plane.

(3) The gap structure is unconventional characterized by either point in $UTe_2^{2,3}$ or line nodes in the other three¹. (4) There is clear experimental evidence for double transitions: the two successive second order phase transitions seen by specific heat experiments as distinctive jumps systematically change under pressure (P) in UTe₂⁵. A similar indication for the double transitions in the ambient pressure is found in UCoGe at $T_{c2} \sim 0.2 \text{K}^{25}$ where $1/T_1T$ exhibits a plateau corresponding to the "half residual DOS" value at the intermediate T below $T_{\rm c} = 0.5$ K. Upon further lowering T it stars decreasing again at 0.2K. (5) In UTe₂ under ambient pressure a quite similar $1/T_1T$ is also observed in NMR experiment¹²: The saturated $1/T_1T = 0.25$ normalized by the normal value, which corresponds to the "half residual DOS", restarts decreasing to zero at the second transition at $T_{c2}=0.2$ K. This value nicely matches with the missing second phase transition in the *P*-*T* plane, namely, a smooth extrapolation of T_{c1} and T_{c2} toward $P \rightarrow 0$.

(6) Recent specific heat C/T data for several high quality samples of $UTe_2^{2,3,26}$ commonly show the residual DOS amounting to 0.5N(0), a half of the normal DOS N(0)while some claims zero⁸. Thus this "residual" half DOS issue is controversial at this moment. We will propose to resolve it later in this paper.

In order to address those seemingly "complicated and mutually conflicting", but quite intriguing experimental facts, we postulate the A phase like triplet pair symmetry which responds to the spontaneous FM moment under perpendicular external fields to yield the A₁-A₂ double transitions. This scenario coherently explains the observed reentrant H_{c2} in URhGe, an S-shape in UCoGe, and L-shape in UTe₂ in a unified way.

As mentioned, the A₁-A₂ phase transition in ³He Aphase²⁴ is controlled by the linear Zeeman effect due to applied field, which acts to split T_c^{23} . Thus in the FM superconductors T_c is controlled by spontaneous magnetic moment of ferromagnetism, which is linearly coupled to the non-unitary order parameter. We apply a Ginzburg-Landau theory to describe those characteristic H_{c2} curves. We also identify the pairing symmetry based on group theoretic classification²⁷. The pairing symmetry is non-unitary triplet^{27–29}, where the **d**-vector is a complex function and points to the perpendicular direction to the magnetic easy axis and the gap function has either point or line nodes with possibly chiral *p*-wave orbital form, which is most consistent with the STM observation of chiral edge states⁹

Let us start with Ginzburg-Landau theory for the Aphase like pairing state described by the complex **d**vector $\mathbf{d}(k) = \phi(k)\vec{\eta}$ with $\vec{\eta} = \vec{\eta}' + i\vec{\eta}''$ ($\vec{\eta}'$ and $\vec{\eta}''$ are real vectors). $\phi(k)$ is the orbital part of the pairing function which is classified group-theoretically under SO(3)×D_{2h} symmetry^{27,30}. Here we assume the weak spin-orbit coupling scheme whose strength depends on the compounds and will be appropriately tuned relative to the experimental situations. There exists U(1)×Z₂ symmetry in this pairing, namely invariance under $\mathbf{d} \to -\mathbf{d}$ and the gauge transformations.

Under D_{2h} symmetry GL free energy functional is given by

$$F = \alpha_0 (T - T_{c0}) \vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{\eta}^* + \frac{\beta_1}{2} (\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{\eta}^*)^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{2} |\vec{\eta}^2|^2 + i\kappa \vec{M} \cdot \vec{\eta} \times \vec{\eta}^*.$$
(1)

The last invariant ($\kappa > 0$) comes from the non-unitarity of the pairing function in the presence of the spontaneous moment $\vec{M}(H)$, which responds to external field directions differently. Since the fourth order term are written as $F^{(4)} = \frac{\beta_1}{2} (\vec{\eta}' \cdot \vec{\eta}' + \vec{\eta}'' \cdot \vec{\eta}'')^2 + \frac{\beta_1}{2} [(\vec{\eta}' \cdot \vec{\eta}' - \vec{\eta}'' \cdot \vec{\eta}'')^2]$, for $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$, we can find the minimum when $|\vec{\eta}'| = |\vec{\eta}''|$ and $\vec{\eta}' \perp \vec{\eta}''$. Note that the weak coupling estimate leads to $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = -2$, thus we assume the strong coupling effects in the following arguments.

The quasi-particle spectra are given by $E_{k,\sigma}$ $\sqrt{\epsilon(k)^2 + (|\vec{\eta}|^2 \pm |\vec{\eta} \times \vec{\eta}^{\star}|)\phi(k)^2}$. If we choose $\vec{\eta}' =$ $\eta_b \hat{b}$ and $\vec{\eta}'' = \eta_c \hat{c}$, those are rewritten as $E_{k,\sigma} =$ $\sqrt{\epsilon(k)^2 + \Delta_{\sigma}(k)^2}$ where the gap functions for two branches are $\Delta_{\uparrow}(k) = |\eta_b + \eta_c|\phi(k)$ and $\Delta_{\downarrow}(k) = |\eta_b - \eta_b|$ $\eta_c |\phi(k)$. Note that (1) If $|\eta_c| = 0$, $\Delta_{\uparrow}(k) = \Delta_{\downarrow}(k)$, which is the A phase²². (2) When $|\eta_b| = |\eta_c|, \ \Delta_{\uparrow}(k) \neq 0$ and $\Delta_{\downarrow}(k) = 0$ which is the non-unitary A₁ phase²³. The gap of one of the two branches identically vanishes and remains normal. Therefore, if we naively assume that in the normal state $N_{\uparrow}(0) = N_{\downarrow}(0)$ which is consistent with the NMR data in UTe_2^{12} , the A₁ phase is characterized by having the ungapped DOS $N_{\downarrow}(0) = N(0)/2$ with $N(0) = N_{\uparrow}(0) + N_{\downarrow}(0)$. In the non-unitary state with the complex **d**-vector, the time reversal symmetry is broken.

It is convenient to consider $\vec{\eta} = (0, \eta_b, \eta_c)$ or $\eta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_b \pm i\eta_c)$ for $\mathbf{M} = (M_a, 0, 0)$. Then we see from eq. (1), the quadratic term $F^{(2)}$ becomes

$$F^{(2)} = \alpha_0 (T - T_{c\uparrow}) |\eta_+|^2 + \alpha_0 (T - T_{c\downarrow}) |\eta_-|^2 \qquad (2)$$

with $T_{c\uparrow,\downarrow} = T_{c0} \pm \frac{\kappa}{\alpha_0} M_a$. The actual second transition temperature is modified to $T_{c\downarrow} = T_{c0} - \frac{\kappa M_a}{\alpha_0} \cdot \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{2\beta_2}$, which could be larger or smaller than the original $T_{c\downarrow}^{(0)} \equiv T_{c0} - (\kappa/\alpha_0)M_a$ due to the fourth order terms. For $1 \leq \beta_1/\beta_2 \leq 3$, $T_{c\downarrow} > T_{c\downarrow}^{(0)}$. This remark becomes important to understand the asymmetric L-shape $H_{c_2}^b$ observed in UTe₂⁴ as see later. It is easy to see the ratio of the specific heat jumps $\frac{\Delta C(T_{c\downarrow})}{\Delta C(T_{c\downarrow})} = \frac{T_{c\downarrow}}{T_{c\downarrow}} \cdot \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$. The jump at $T_{c\downarrow}$ can be quite small for $T_{c\uparrow} \gg T_{c\downarrow}$ which is the case for UTe₂ since $T_{c\uparrow}=1.6$ K, $T_{c\downarrow}=0.2$ K, and the second factor is an order of one, anticipating the difficulty to observe the second transition thermodynamically.

The FM moment M_a acts to shift the original transition temperature T_{c0} and split it into $T_{c\uparrow}$ and $T_{c\downarrow}$. The external field H comes in through $M_a(H)$ in addition to usual vector potential which gives rise to orbital depairing. The magnetic coupling is estimated²³ by $\kappa =$ $T_{\rm c} \frac{N'(0)}{N(0)} ln(1.14\omega/T_{\rm c})$ where N'(0) is energy derivative of the normal DOS and ω energy cutoff. This term arises from the electron-hole asymmetry near the Fermi level. κ indicates the degree of this asymmetry, which can be substantial for a narrow band, thus the Kondo coherent band in heavy Fermion material of our cases is expected to be important. We can estimate $N'(0)/N(0) \sim 1/E_{\rm F}$ with the Fermi energy $E_{\rm F}$. Since $T_{\rm c}=2{\rm mK}$ and $E_{\rm F}=1{\rm K}$ in ³He, $\kappa \sim 10^{-3}$, while the present compounds $T_{\rm c} \sim 1 {\rm K}$ and $E_{\rm F} \sim T_{\rm K}$ with the $T_{\rm K}$ Kondo temperature typically $10 \sim 50$ K, thus κ is an order of 10^{-1} .

Let us now consider the action of external field H_b applied to the hard axis b on the FM moment M_a , pointing parallel to the *a*-axis. The *a*-axis component of the moment $M_a(H_b)$ generally decreases by rotating it toward the *b*-axis as shown in Fig. 1(b). In fact it is actually observed in URhGe by neutron experiment³¹. Here we display the generic and typical magnetization curves of M_a and M_b in Fig. 1(c) where H_R denotes a characteristic field for $M_b(H_b) = M_a$. Experimentally it is realized by a meta-magnetic transition via a first order transition in UTe₂⁶ or gradual change in UCoGe¹.

Thus as displayed in Fig.1(a), $T_{c\uparrow}$ ($T_{c\downarrow}$) by increasing H_b decreases (increases) according to eq. (2). The two transitions $T_{c\uparrow} = T_{c\downarrow}$ meet at H_R . Upon further increasing H_b , $T_{c\downarrow}$ could keep increasing further by rotating the **d**-vector direction so that **d** is now perpendicular to \mathbf{M}_b , which maximally gains the magnetic coupling energy $i\kappa \vec{M} \cdot \vec{\eta} \times \vec{\eta}^*$. This process occurs gradually or suddenly, depending on the situations of the magnetic subsystem and also on the spin-orbit coupling which locks **d** to underlying lattices. Therefore H_R may indicate simultaneously the **d**-vector rotation. It should be noted, however, that if the spin-orbit coupling is so strong, the **d**-vector rotation is prevented. In this case $H_{c_2}^b$ exhibits a Pauli limited behavior as observed in UTe₂ under pressure³².

Within the GL scheme it is easy to estimate H_{c2}^b as follows. We start with the H_{c2}^b expression: $H_{c2}(T) = A_0(T_c(H_{c2}) - T)$ with $A_0 = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi\hbar^2} 4m\alpha_0$, *m* effective mass,



FIG. 1: (a) Generic phase diagram of the two transition temperatures $T_{c\uparrow}$ and $T_{c\downarrow}$ under applied field H_b . At $H_b=0$, $T_{c\uparrow}$ and $T_{c\downarrow}$ are split from T_{c0} in proportion to M_a . As H_b increases, $T_{c\uparrow}$ ($T_{c\downarrow}$) decreases (increases) because $M_a(H_b)$ diminishes and meet at H_R . By rotating the **d**-vector $T_{c\downarrow}$ keeps increasing. (b) By increasing H_b the spontaneous moment M_a rotates toward the *b*-axis. The projection of $M_a(H_b)$ to the *a*-axis decreases, and it vanishes at H_R where the moment completely points to the *b* direction. (c) Schematic magnetization curves for the spontaneous moment M_a and the induced moment M_b . At H_R , $M_b(H_b)$ becomes equal to M_a for H=0.

(a)

H

T_{c↓}

 T_{c0}

T_{c↑}

and Φ_0 quantum unit flux. Here T_c depends on H though $M_a(H)$ as described above. Thus the initial slope of H'_{c2} at T_c is simply given by $H'_{c2}(T) = A_0 \frac{dT_c}{dH_{c2}} H'_{c2} - A_0$. It is seen that if $\frac{dT_c}{dH_{c2}} = 0$ for the ordinary superconductors, $H'_{c2}(T) = -A_0 < 0$. The slope $H'_{c2}(T)$ is always negative. However, it is easily written as

$$\frac{1}{|H_{c2}'|} = \frac{1}{|H_{c2}'^0|} + |\frac{dT_c}{dH_{c2}}|.$$
(3)

The condition for attaining the positive slope, $H'_{c2}(T) > 0$ implies $|H'_{c2}^0| > (\frac{dH_{c2}}{dT_c})$. This is a necessary condition to achieve an S-shaped or L-shaped H_{c2} curves. This is fulfilled when $|H'_{c2}|$ is large enough, that is, the orbital depairing is small or $|\frac{dT_c}{dH}|$ at H_{c2} is large, or the T_c rise is strong enough. It is to be noted that when $1-A_0(\frac{dT_c}{dH_{c2}}) = 0$, the $H_{c2}(T)$ curve has a divergent part, which is indeed observed in UCoGe as a part of the S-shape. It is clear from the above that when $dT_c/dH < 0$, $|H'_{c2}(T)| < |H'_{c2}|$. Namely, in this case the slope $|H'_{c2}|$ is always smaller than the original $|H'_{c2}|$ as expected.

When the magnetic field is applied to the magnetic easy *a*-axis, the spontaneous moment $M_a(H_a)$ increases monotonically as shown in Fig.1(c). According to eq. (2), $T_{c\uparrow}(T_{c\downarrow})$ increases (decreases) as H_a increases. Thus H_{c2}^{\uparrow} can have a positive slope at $T_{c\uparrow}$ in principle. However, the existing data in UCoGe³⁵ show that it is negative and there is no report for it in other compounds. This is simply because the orbital depairing $H_{c2}^{\prime 0}$ overcomes the positive rise of $T_{c\uparrow}$. Moreover, H_{c2}^{\downarrow} is strongly suppressed by both $T_{c\downarrow}$ and the orbital effect $H_{c2}^{\prime 0}$, resulting in quite a low H_{c2}^a , compared with H_{c2}^b . This large H_{c2} anisotropy



FIG. 2: Schematic possible three phase diagrams in $H(\parallel b)$ and T plane. H_{c2}^{b} exhibits the separated reentrance as in URhGe (a), S-like curve as in UCoGe (b), and L-like shape as in UTe₂ (c). The dotted lines show the absolute upper critical field H_{c2}^{upper} . Beyond this domain there exists no SC state allowed.

is common in those compounds¹. From the above calculation, it is apparent that the large H_{c2}^b comes because the higher field part of H_{c2} belongs to H_{c2}^{\downarrow} , which has a positive slope.

There could be several types of the H_{c2}^b curves depending on the magnitude of the spontaneous moment M_a , and its growth rate against H_b , the coupling constant κ , T_{c0} , and etc. Possible representative H_{c2}^b curves are displayed in Fig. 2.

When the hypothetical T_{c0} is situated in the negative temperature side, the realized phase is the A₁-phase only at $H_b = 0$. In the high field regions, $T_{c\downarrow}(H_b)$ appears by increasing $M_b(H_b)$ as the A₂-phase, which is shown in Fig. 2(a). This is the situation of URhGe where the reentrant phase appears around the magnetic rotation field H_R^{-1} . We also note that under uniaxial stresses along the *b*-axis H_{c2}^b curve continuously deforms and two separated SC regions merge into an S-shaped H_{c2}^b in URhGe (see Fig. 2(a) in Ref. 33). This can be understood because under stresses H_R is known to decrease, thus the detached high field part approaches and eventually touches the lower part to from an S shape H_{c2}^b , resulting in a positive slope $(dH_{c2}^b/dT)_{T_c} > 0$.

The second example shown in Fig. 2(b) is the case where M_a and κ are relatively small, thus the splitting $T_{c\uparrow}$ and $T_{c\downarrow}$ at $H_b = 0$ is small. The small moment $M_a = 0.05\mu_{\rm B}$ and a rapid growth of M_b in UCoGe¹ are consistent with this picture. The resulting H_{c2}^b curve possess an S shape, similar to that observed in UCoGe. Note that there must always exist the "absolute" upper limit of H_{c2} for any superconductors. This is set by the orbital depairing where $H_{c2}^{\rm upper} = \Phi_0/2\pi\xi^2$ at T=0 with ξ coherent length. This absolute upper limit $H_{c2}^{\rm upper}$ has a triangle region in the H and T plane which is denoted by a dotted line in Fig. 2. The SC state is allowed only inside this $H_{c2}^{\rm upper}$ curve. If the rising $T_{c\downarrow}(H_b)$ hits this line, the H_{c2} curve follows this limiting curve.

In Fig. 2 (c), we show the H_{c2}^b curve similar to UTe₂ with an L shape⁴. It occurs when H_R situates at lower field and T_{c0} is positive and low. All three phases A₁, A₂ and A are realized for $H \parallel b$ -axis. The observed H_{c2}^b curve in UTe₂ is different from Fig.2 (c) in that the upper part of the A₂-phase is horizontally cut out⁴ at $H_{c2}^b \sim 40$

T because beyond that field the first order meta-magnetic transition completely alters the background electronic state, so that the SC state is abruptly wiped out. However, note that the field direction is appropriately chosen away from the *b*-axis, H_{c2} is greatly enhanced by avoiding the meta-magnetic transition at $H \parallel b$, reaching $\sim 60 \text{ T}^7$. This means that the intrinsic absolute upper limit of the orbital H_{c2}^{upper} can reach remakably $\sim 60 \text{ T}$ in this system. Thus it was quite reasonable to ignore the orbital depairing effect in the above H_{c2} arguments.

Therefore, it is possible to reproduce the essential features associated with H_{c2} for all compounds in terms of the A₁-A₂ transitions. To confirm our scenario, we now examine possible signatures of the double transition. The recent NMR experiment¹² on UT₂ clearly demonstrates it at $T_{c\downarrow} \sim 0.2$ K. Namely, upon lowering T, $1/T_1T$ which is proportional to square of DOS exhibits a sudden drop at ~ 0.2K after a prolonged T-constant plateau at 0.25 normalized by its normal value corresponding to N(0)/2. This behavior is backed up by the simultaneous Knight shift measurement¹². $T_{c\downarrow} = 0.2$ K moves up from $T_{c\downarrow}^{(0)}$ which is expected to situate at a negative T as shown in Fig. 2(c) because of the fourth order terms in eq. (1) mentioned before.

Under P in UT₂ the successive double transitions are indeed discovered⁵ and vary systematically as explained in the P-T phase diagram. The missing second transition at ambient P is now found mentioned above.

As for UCoGe, thermal conductivity experiment³⁴ indicates an anomaly at $T \sim 0.2K$, which coincides roughly with our prediction shown in Fig. 2(b). As a function of $H \parallel b$ the thermal conductivity anomaly is detected as a sudden increase at $H \sim 0.6H_{c2}$ (see Fig. 5 in Ref. 36). Moreover, under H parallel to the easy axis H_{c2} curve shows low T enhancement indicative of the underlaying phase transition (see Fig. 2(b) in Ref. 35). According to NMR by Manago et al²⁵, $1/TT_1$ shows very similar behaviors: plateau at N(0)/2 and sudden drop mentioned above. We expect further careful experiments to detect the A₁-A₂ transitions in all four compounds.

While for UGe₂, URhGe, and UCoGe "static" FM transitions are established, in UTe₂ slow FM fluctuations are found^{2,6,10,11}, which could be the origin of the symmetry breaking of $T_{c\uparrow} \neq T_{c\downarrow}$ under the assumption that FM

fluctuations are slow enough compared with the conduction electron motion. We recall a similar circumstance in UPt₃: The fluctuating antiferromagnetism at $T_N = 5$ K is detected only by the fast probe: neutron diffraction^{37,38} and undetected by other "static" probes, such as specific heat, μ SR, and NMR. Yet, this is believed to be the origin of the double transition in UPt₃^{39,40}.

In summary, we have discussed the SC properties of UGe₂, URhGe, UCoGe, and UTe₂ in depth in terms of a non-unitary triplet pairing state in a unified way. The FM moment governs and produces the various types of the H_{c2} curves observed. The possible pairing function is described by the complex d-vector whose direction is perpendicular to the magnetic easy axis. The orbital part $\phi(k)$ of the order parameter could be line nodes allowed group-theoretically²⁷ in the present orthorhombic symmetry, which is experimentally suggested in UCoGe^{1,34,35}.

As for UTe_2 , the specific heat experiments^{2,3,8} exhibit $C/T \sim T^2$, suggesting the gap structure with point nodes, which is also consistent with microwave measure $ment^{13}$. Thus if this is true, the pairing function of UTe₂ is symbolically given by $(\mathbf{b} + i\mathbf{c})(k_b + ik_c)$, a solid state analogous literally to superfluid ³He A_1 -phase⁴¹, which is doubly chiral both in the spin and orbital parts with points nodes. This chiral *p*-wave form in a simplest, but somewhat "ad hoc" possibility is consistent with the observed chirality by STM⁹. The orbital angular moment L spontaneously induced by this chiral state can gain the extra energy through the coupling $\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{L}$ with the spontaneous magnetic moment. We can explore a variety of interesting topological properties, such as Weyl nodes associated with point nodes, known in ³He A-phase⁴¹ which was difficult to access experimentally.

The author is grateful for useful discussions with Y. Shimizu, Y. Tokunaga, A. Miyake, T. Sakakibara, S. Nakamura, S. Kitaka, M. Manago, S. Kitagawa, and G. Nakamine. He especially thanks D. Aoki and K. Ishida for sharing the data prior to publication. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI, No. 17K05553 and partly performed at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1607611.

- ¹ D. Aoki, K. Ishida, and J. Flouquet, Review of U-based ferromagnetic superconductors: comparison between UGe₂, URhGe, and UCoGe, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 022001 (2019).
- ² S. Ran, C. Eckberg, Q-P. Ding, Y. Furukawa, T. Metz, S. H. Saha, I-L. Liu, M. Zie, H. Kim, J. Paglione, and N. P. Butch, Nearly ferromagnetic spin-triplet superconductivity, Science **365**, 684 (2019).
- ³ D. Aoki, A. Nakamura, F. Honda, D. Li, Y. Homma, Y. Shimizu, Y. J. Sato, G. Knebel, J. P. Brison, A. Pourret, D. Braithwaite, G. Lapertot, Q. Niu, M. Vališka, H. Harima, and J. Flouquet, Unconventional superconductiv-

ity in heavy Fermion UTe₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **88**, 043702 (2019).

- ⁴ G. Knebel, W. Knafo, A. Pourret, Q. Niu, M. Vališka, and D. Braithwaite, Field-reentrant superconductivity close to a metamagnetic transition in the heavy-fermion superconductor UTe₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 063707 (2019).
- ⁵ D. Braithwaite, M. Vališka, G. Knebel, G. Lapertot, J.-P. Brison, A. Pourret, M. E. Zhitomirsky, J. Flouquet, F. Honda, and D. Aoki, Multiple superconducting phases in a nearly ferromagnetic system, arXiv:1909.06074.
- ⁶ A. Miyake, Y. Shimizu, Y. J. Sato, D. Li, A. Nakamura,

Y. Homma, F. Honda, J. Flouquet, M. Tokunaga, and D. Aoki, Metamagneitc transition in heavy Fermion superconductor UTe₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **88**, 063706 (2019).

- ⁷ Sheng Ran, I-Lin Liu, Yun Suk Eo, Daniel J. Campbell, Paul Neves, Wesley T. Fuhrman, Shanta R. Saha, Christopher Eckberg, Hyunsoo Kim, Johnpierre Paglione, David Graf, John Singleton, and Nicholas P. Butch, Extreme magnetic field-boosted superconductivity, arXiv:1905.04343.
- ⁸ T. Metz, S. Bao, S. Ran, I-L. Liu, Y. S. Eo, and W. T. Fuhrman, D. F. Agterberg, S. Anlage, N. P. Butch, and J. Paglione, Point node gap structure of spin-triplet super-conductor UTe₂, arXiv:1908.01069.
- ⁹ Lin Jiao, Zhenyu Wang, Sheng Ran, Jorge Olivares Rodriguez, Manfred Sigrist, Ziqiang Wang, Nicholas Butch, and Vidya Madhavan, Microscopic evidence for a chiral superconducting order parameter in the heavy fermion superconductor UTe₂, arXiv:1908.02846.
- ¹⁰ Yo Tokunaga, Hironori Sakai, Shinsaku Kambe, Taisuke Hattori, Nonoka Higa, Genki Nakamine, Shunsaku Kitagawa, Kenji Ishida, Ai Nakamura, Yusei Shimizu, Yoshiya Homma, DeXin Li, Fuminori Honda, and Dai Aoki, ¹²⁵Te-NMR study on a single crystal of heavy fermion superconductor UTe₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 073701 (2019).
- ¹¹ Shyam Sundar, S. Gheidi, K. Akintola, A. M. Côtè, S. R. Dunsiger, S. Ran, N. P. Butch, S. R. Saha, J. Paglione, and J. E. Sonier, Coexistence of ferromagnetic fluctuations and superconductivity in the actinide superconductor UTe₂, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 140502 (R) (2019).
- ¹² Genki Nakamine, Shunsaku Kitagawa, Kenji Ishida, Yo Tokunaga, Hironori Sakai, Shinsaku Kambe, Ai Nakamura, Yusei Shimizu, Yoshiya Homma, Dexin Li, Fuminori Honda, and Dai Aoki, Superconducting properties of heavy fermion UTe₂ revealed by ¹25Te-nuclear magnetic resonance, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 113703 (2019).
- ¹³ Seokjin Bae, Hyunsoo Kim, Sheng Ran, Yun Suk Eo, I-Lin Liu, Wesley Fuhrman, Johnpierre Paglione, Nicholas P Butch, and Steven Anlage, Anomalous normal fluid response in a chiral superconductor, arXiv:1909.09032.
- ¹⁴ Sheng Ran, Hyunsoo Kim, I-Lin Liu, Shanta Saha, Ian Hayes, Tristin Metz, Yun Suk Eo, Johnpierre Paglione, and Nicholas P. Butch, Enhanced spin triplet superconductivity due to Kondo destabilization, arXiv:1909.06932.
- ¹⁵ Qun Niu, Georg Knebel, Daniel Braithwaite, Dai Aoki, Grard Lapertot, Gabriel Seyfarth, Jean-Pascal Brison, Jacques Flouquet, and Alexandre Pourret, Fermi-surface instabilities in the heavy-Fermion superconductor UTe₂ arXiv:1907.1118.
- ¹⁶ V. Hutanu, H. Deng, S. Ran, W. T. Fuhrman, H. Thoma, and N. P. Butch, Crystal structure of the unconventional spin-triplet superconductor UTe2 at low temperature by single crystal neutron diffraction, arXiv:1905.04377.
- ¹⁷ Y. Xu, Y. Sheng, and Y. Yang, Quasi-two-dimensional Fermi surfaces and unitary spin-triplet pairing in the heavy fermion superconductor UTe₂, arXiv:1908.07396.
- ¹⁸ J. Ishizuka, S. Sumita, A. Dido, and Y. Yanase, Insulatormetal transition and topological superconductivity in UTe₂ from a first -principles calculation, arXiv:1908.04004.
- ¹⁹ A. B. Shick and W. E. Pickett, Spin-orbit coupling induced degeneracy in the anisotropic unconventional superconductor UTe₂, arXiv:1908.01558.
- ²⁰ Xiaomeng Liu, Zeyu Hao, Eslam Khalaf, Jong Yeon Lee, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Ashvin Vishwanath, and Philip Kim, Spin-polarized correlated insula-

tor and superconductor in twisted double bilayer graphene, arXiv:1903.08130.

- ²¹ Jong Yeon Lee, Eslam Khalaf, Shang Liu, Xiaomeng Liu, Zeyu Hao, Philip Kim, and Ashvin Vishwanath, Theory of correlated insulating behaviour and spin-triplet superconductivity in twisted double bilayer graphene, arXiv:1903.08685.
- ²² A. J. Leggett, A theoretical description of the new phases of liquid ³He, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 331 (1975).
- ²³ V. Ambegaokar and N. D. Mermin, Thermal anomalies of He³: pairing in a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 81 (1973).
- ²⁴ W. P. Halperin, C. N. Archie, F. B. Rasmussen, T. A. Alvesalo, and R. C. Richardson, Specific heat of normal and superfluid ³He on the melting curve, Phys. Rev. B 13, 2124 (1976).
- ²⁵ Masahiro Manago, Shunsaku Kitagawa, Kenji Ishida, Kazuhiko Deguchi, Noriaki K. Sato, and Tomoo Yamamura, Superconductivity at the pressure-induced ferromagnetic critical region in UCoGe, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 113704 (2019) and M. Manago, Private communication.
- ²⁶ Y. Shimizu, Private communication.
- ²⁷ K. Machida and T. Ohmi, Phenomenological theory of ferromagnetic superconductivity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 850 (2001).
- ²⁸ K. Machida and T. Ohmi, Identification of nonunitary triplet pairing in a heavy Fermion superconductor UPt₃, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 1122 (1998).
- ²⁹ T. Ohmi and K. Machida, Non-unitary superconducting state in UPt₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 625 (1993).
- ³⁰ J. F. Annett, Symmetry of the order parameter for hightemperature superconductivity, Adv. Phys. **39**, 83 (1990).
- ³¹ F. Lévy, I. Sheikin, B. Grenier, and A. D. Huxley, Magnetic field-induced superconductivity in the ferromagnet URhGe, Science **309**, 1343 (2005).
- ³² D. Aoki, Private communication.
- ³³ Daniel Braithwaite, Dai Aoki, Jean-Pascal Brison, Jacques Flouquet, Georg Knebel, Ai Nakamura, and Alexandre Pourret, Dimensionality driven enhancement of ferromagnetic superconductivity in URhGe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 037001 (2018).
- ³⁴ M. Taupin, L. Howard, D. Aoki, and J.-P. Brison, Superconducting gap of UGeCo probed by thermal transport, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 180501(R) (2014).
- ³⁵ B. Wu, G. Bastien, M. Taupin, C. Paulsen, L. Haward, D. Aoki, and J.-P. Brison, Pairing mechanism in the ferromagnetic superconductor UCoGe, Nature Commun. 8, 14480 (2017).
- ³⁶ B. Wu, D. Aoki, and J.-P. Brison, Vortex liquid phase in the *p*-wave ferromagnetic superconductor UCoGe, Phys. Rev. B **98**, 024517 (2018).
- ³⁷ G. Aeppli, E. Bucher, C. Broholm, J. K. Kjems, J. Baumann, and J. Hufnagl, Magnetic order and fluctuations in superconducting UPt₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 615 (1988).
- ³⁸ T. Trappmann, H. v. Löhneysen, and L. Taillefer, Pressure dependence of the superconducting phases in UPt₃, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 13714(R) (1991).
- ³⁹ K. Machida and M. Ozaki, Superconducting double transition in a heavy-fermion material UPt₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 3293 (1991).
- ⁴⁰ J. A. Sauls, The order parameter for the superconducting phases of UPt₃, Adv. Phys. **43**, 113 (1993).
- ⁴¹ T. Mizushima, Y. Tsutsumi, T. Kawakami, M. Sato, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida, Symmetry-protected topological

superfluids and superconductors –From the basics to ³H–, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **85**, 022001 (2016). T. Mizushima, Y. Tsutsumi, M. Sato, and K. Machida, Symmetry protected

topological superfluid ${\rm He^3}\text{-}{\rm B},$ J. Phys. Condensed Matter, ${\bf 27},$ 113203 (2015).