

ON THE ORDER THEORY FOR \mathcal{C}^∞ –REDUCED \mathcal{C}^∞ –RINGS AND APPLICATIONS

Jean Cerqueira Berni, IME-USP, jeancb@ime.usp.br,
Rodrigo Figueiredo, IME-USP rodrigof@ime.usp.br,
Hugo Luiz Mariano, IME-USP, hugomar@ime.usp.br

Abstract

In the present work we carry on the study of the order theory for (\mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced) \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings initiated in [16] (see also [4]). In particular, we apply some results of the order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields (*e.g.* every such field is real closed) to present another approach to the order theory of general \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings: “smooth real spectra” (see [5]). This suggests that a model-theoretic investigation of the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields could be interesting and also useful to provide the first steps towards the development of the “Real Algebraic Geometry” of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings.

Keywords: order theory, \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, real spectrum

Introduction

Given a smooth manifold, M , the set $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ supports a far richer structure than just of an \mathbb{R} -algebra: it interprets not only the symbols for real polynomial functions but for all smooth real functions $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a natural instance of the algebraic structure called \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring.

It was not until the decades of 1970’s and 1980’s that a study of the abstract (algebraic) theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings was made, mainly in order to construct topos models for “Synthetic Differential Geometry” ([12]). The interest in \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings gained strength in recent years, mainly motivated by the differential version of ‘Derived Algebraic Geometry’ (see [11]).

In this paper we address the study of the order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ –reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ –rings, presenting a useful characterization of the “natural order” of a \mathcal{C}^∞ –ring, introduced by Moerdijk and Reyes in [16]: given any \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$, this canonical strict partial order $<$ is given by:

$$(a < b) \iff (\exists u \in A^\times)(b - a = u^2).$$

Since this natural binary relation given on a generic \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring involves invertible elements, we should first analyze these elements of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. In order to do so, we shall restrict ourselves to the case of the \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings. This is carried out in two steps: first proving the results for finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings and then proving them for arbitrary ones.

Since any \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring can be expressed as the quotient of a free object – $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, for some set E – by some (ring-theoretic) ideal, it is appropriate to characterize the equality between their elements by making use of these ring-theoretic ideals. We show that in this context the canonical strict partial order of a generic \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, say $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)/I$, can be characterized by properties concerning filters of zerosets of functions in I .

In [16], Moerdijk and Reyes prove that every \mathcal{C}^∞ -field is real closed (cf. **Theorem 2.10**). This suggests that the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields is “well behaved” with respect to its model theory.

We apply, in particular, some results on the order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields – *e.g.*, every such field is real closed (cf. **Theorem 2.10** in [16]). – to present another approach to the order theory of general \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, introducing the so-called “smooth real spectra” (see [5]). This suggests that a model-theoretic study of the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields could be interesting and also useful to provide the first steps towards the development of the “Real Algebraic Geometry” of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings in the vein of [20].

Overview of the paper: In the first section we present some preliminary notions and results that are used (implicitly or explicitly) throughout the paper, such as the basic concept of \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring and some features of the category of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields, \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings of fractions, \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals, \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings and some facts about the smooth Zariski spectrum. **Section 2** is devoted to present some results that (dually) connects subsets of \mathbb{R}^E to quotients of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$: we present the characterizations of equalities and inequalities between elements of \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, *i.e.*, \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings of the form $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}$ with $\sqrt[\infty]{I} = I$, by means of the filter of zerosets of functions of I , and we use a Galois connection between filters of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E and ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ to show that there are bijections between the set of maximal filters on \mathbb{R}^E and the set of maximal ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. In **Section 3** we develop a detailed study of the natural strict partial ordering $<$ (introduced first by Moerdijk and Reyes) defined on a non-trivial \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, with the aid of the results established in the previous sections. **Section 4** presents some interesting results on \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields based on the results from section 3: for instance, every \mathcal{C}^∞ -field has $<$ as its unique (strict) total ordering compatible with the operations $+$ and \cdot , thus being a Euclidean field (in fact, it is real closed); this is useful to analyse the concept of “real \mathcal{C}^∞ -spectrum of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring”, which seems to be the suitable notion to deal with a smooth version of Real Algebraic Geometry. Finally, **Section 5** brings some concluding remarks, pointing some possible applications of the order structure of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring to its model theory.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we present the ingredients of the theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings needed in the sequel of this work for the reader’s convenience: we present the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings as the class of models of an algebraic theory, and we describe the main notions of “Smooth Commutative Algebra of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings”: smooth rings of fractions, \mathcal{C}^∞ -radicals, \mathcal{C}^∞ -saturation and the smooth Zariski spectra. The main references used here are [16], [17], [3], [4].

1.1 On the algebraic theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -Rings

In order to formulate and study the concept of \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, we use a first order language \mathcal{L} with a denumerable set of variables ($\text{Var}(\mathcal{L}) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$), whose nonlogical symbols are the symbols of all \mathcal{C}^∞ -functions from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n , with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., the non-logical symbols consist only of function symbols, described as follows.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the n -ary **function symbols** of the set $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_{(n)} = \{f^{(n)} \mid f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\}$. Thus, the set of function symbols of our language is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{(n)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Note that our set of constants is \mathbb{R} , since it can be identified with the set of all 0-ary function symbols, i.e., $\text{Const}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{F}_{(0)} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^0) \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\{*\}) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

The terms of this language are defined in the usual way as the smallest set which comprises the individual variables, constant symbols and n -ary function symbols followed by n terms ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Although a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring may be defined in any finitely complete category, we shall restrict ourselves to the study of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings in **Set**.

Before we proceed, we give the following:

Definition 1.1. A \mathcal{C}^∞ -**structure** on a set A is a pair $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Func}(A^n; A) \\ (f : \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathbb{R}) &\mapsto \Phi(f) := (f^A : A^n \rightarrow A) \end{aligned}$$

that is, Φ interprets the **symbols** of all smooth real functions of n variables as n -ary functions on A . Given two \mathcal{C}^∞ -structures, $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \Psi)$, a \mathcal{C}^∞ -**structure homomorphism** is a function $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f : \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathbb{R}$ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^n & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(n)}} & B^n \\ \Phi(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi(f) \\ A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \end{array}$$

i.e., $\Psi(f) \circ \varphi^{(n)} = \varphi \circ \Phi(f)$. The class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -structures and their morphisms compose a category that we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\infty\text{Str}$.

We call a \mathcal{C}^∞ -structure $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ a \mathcal{C}^∞ -**ring** if it preserves projections and all equations between smooth functions. Formally, we have the following:

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -structure. We say that \mathfrak{A} (or, when there is no danger of confusion, A) is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -**ring** if the following is true:

- Given any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any projection $p_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\mathfrak{A} \models (\forall x_1) \cdots (\forall x_n)(p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_k)$$

• For every $f, g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and every $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f = h \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n)$, one has:

$$\mathfrak{A} \models (\forall x_1) \cdots (\forall x_m)(f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = h(g(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_m)))$$

Given two \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \Psi)$, a \mathcal{C}^∞ -**homomorphism** is just a \mathcal{C}^∞ -structure homomorphism between these \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings. The category of all \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings and \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring homomorphisms make up a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Str}$, that we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rng}$.

Remark 1.3 (cf. Sections 2, 3 and 4 of [3]). Since $\mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rng}$ is a “variety of algebras” (it is a class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -structures which satisfy a given set of equations), it is closed under substructures, homomorphic images and products, by **Birkhoff’s HSP Theorem**. Moreover:

• $\mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rng}$ is a concrete category and the forgetful functor, $U : \mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rng} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ creates directed inductive colimits. Since $\mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rng}$ is a variety of algebras, it has all (small) limits and (small) colimits. In particular, it has binary coproducts, that is, given any two \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings A and B , we have their coproduct $A \xrightarrow{\iota_A} A \otimes_\infty B \xleftarrow{\iota_B} B$ again in $\mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rng}$;

• Each set X freely generates a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, $L(X)$, as follows:

- for any finite set X' with $\sharp X' = n$ we have $L(X') = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{X'}) \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, which is the free \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring on n generators, $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

- for a general set, X , we take $L(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^X) := \varinjlim_{X' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{X'})$;

• Given any \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A and a set, X , we can freely adjoin the set X of variables to A with the following construction: $A\{X\} := A \otimes_\infty L(X)$. The elements of $A\{X\}$ are usually called \mathcal{C}^∞ -polynomials;

• The congruences of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings are classified by their “ring-theoretical” ideals;

• Every \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring is the homomorphic image of some free \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring determined by some set, being isomorphic to the quotient of a free \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring by some ideal.

Within the category of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings we can perform a construction that is similar to the “ring of fractions” in Commutative Algebra, as well as define a suitable notion of “radical ideal”. We analyze these concepts in the following section.

1.2 On \mathcal{C}^∞ -Rings of Fractions and \mathcal{C}^∞ -Radical Ideals

In order to extend the notion of the ring of fractions to the category $\mathcal{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rng}$, we make use of the universal property a ring of fractions must satisfy in \mathbf{Ring} - except that we must deal with \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings and \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphisms instead of rings and homomorphisms of rings.

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring and $S \subseteq A$ one of its subsets. The \mathcal{C}^∞ -**ring of fractions** of A with respect to S is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring $A\{S^{-1}\}$, together with a \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism $\eta_S : A \rightarrow A\{S^{-1}\}$ satisfying the following properties:

$$(I) (\forall s \in S)(\eta_S(s) \in (A\{S^{-1}\})^\times)$$

(2) If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is any \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism such that for every $s \in S$ we have $\varphi(s) \in B^\times$, then there is a unique \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : A\{S^{-1}\} \rightarrow B$ such that the following triangle commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta_S} & A\{S^{-1}\} \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

By this universal property, the \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring of fractions is unique, up to (unique) isomorphisms.

The existence of smooth rings of fractions can be guaranteed by a combination of constructions:

- first consider the addition of $\sharp S$ -variables to the \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A :

$$A\{x_s | s \in S\} := A \otimes_{\infty} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^S),$$

and let $j_S : A \rightarrow A\{x_s | s \in S\}$ be the (left) canonical morphism;

- now consider the ideal $\langle \{x_s \cdot \iota_A(s) - 1 | s \in S\} \rangle$ of A generated by $\{x_s \cdot \iota_A(s) - 1 | s \in S\}$, and take the quotient:

$$A\{x_s | s \in S\} \xrightarrow{q_S} \frac{A\{x_s | s \in S\}}{\langle \{x_s \cdot \iota_A(s) - 1 | s \in S\} \rangle}.$$

Finally, define:

$$A\{S^{-1}\} := \frac{A\{x_s | s \in S\}}{\langle \{x_s \cdot \iota_A(s) - 1 | s \in S\} \rangle};$$

and

$$\eta_S := q_S \circ j_S : A \rightarrow A\{S^{-1}\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that such a construction satisfies the required universal property.

Example 1.5. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider the (closed) subset $Z(\varphi) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi(\vec{x}) = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\{\varphi^{-1}\} \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})/\langle \{y \cdot \varphi - 1\} \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(\varphi))$ and the restriction map $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(\varphi))$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism that satisfies the universal property of $\eta_{\{\varphi\}}$.

Now we analyze the concept of the “ \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal” in the theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, which plays a similar role to the one played by radical ideals in Commutative Algebra. This concept was first presented by I. Moerdijk and G. Reyes in [16] in 1986, and explored in more details in [17].

Unlike many notions in the branch of Smooth Rings such as \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields (\mathcal{C}^∞ -rings whose underlying rings are fields), \mathcal{C}^∞ -domains (\mathcal{C}^∞ -rings whose underlying rings are domains) and local \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings (\mathcal{C}^∞ -rings whose underlying rings are local rings), the concept of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical of an ideal cannot be brought from Commutative Algebra via the forgetful functor. This happens because when we take the localization of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring by an arbitrary prime ideal, it is not always true that we get a local \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring

(see **Example 1.2** of [17]). In order to get a local \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring we must require an extra condition, that we are going to see later on.

Recall, from Commutative Algebra, that the radical of an ideal I of a commutative unital ring R is given by:

$$\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid (\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(x^n \in I)\}.$$

There are several characterizations of this concept, among which we highlight the following ones:

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} = \{x \in R \mid \left(\frac{R}{I}\right) [(x + I)^{-1}] \cong 0\}.$$

The latter equality is the one which motivates our next definition.

Definition 1.6. (cf. p. 329 of [16]) *Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring and let $I \subseteq A$ be a proper ideal. The \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical of I is given by:*

$$\sqrt[\infty]{I} := \{a \in A \mid \left(\frac{A}{I}\right) \{(a + I)^{-1}\} \cong 0\}$$

Definition 1.7. (cf. **Definition 2.1.5** of [2]) *Given a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A and a subset $S \subseteq A$, we define the \mathcal{C}^∞ -saturation of S by:*

$$S^{\infty\text{-sat}} := \{a \in A \mid \eta_S(a) \in A^\times\}.$$

Example 1.8. *Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\{\varphi\}^{\infty\text{-sat}} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid Z(\psi) \subseteq Z(\varphi)\}$.*

The concept of \mathcal{C}^∞ -saturation is similar to the ordinary (ring-theoretic) concept of saturation in many aspects (for a detailed account of this concept, see [4]). In particular, we use it to give a characterization of the elements of \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals.

Proposition 1.9. [**Proposition 19** of [4]] *Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring and let $I \subseteq A$ be any ideal. We have the following equalities:*

$$\sqrt[\infty]{I} = \{a \in A \mid (\exists b \in I) \& (\eta_a(b) \in (A\{a^{-1}\})^\times)\} = \{a \in A \mid I \cap \{a\}^{\infty\text{-sat}} \neq \emptyset\}$$

where $\eta_a : A \rightarrow A\{a^{-1}\}$ is the \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism of fractions with respect to $\{a\}$.

In ordinary Commutative Algebra, given an element x of a ring R , we say that x is a nilpotent infinitesimal if and only if there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n = 0$. Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring and $a \in A$. D. Borisov and K. Kremnizer in [6] call a an ∞ -infinitesimal if, and only if $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong 0$. The next definition describes the notion of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring being free of ∞ -infinitesimals - which is analogous to the notion of “reducedness”, of a commutative ring.

Definition 1.10. *A \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A is \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced if, and only if, $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = (0)$.*

Example 1.11. The simplest example of \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings is the free \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings on any set of generators E (cf. **Proposition 37** of [4]).

Next we register some useful results on \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals and \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings.

Proposition 1.12 (Proposition 31, [4]). Let A', B' be two \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings and $j : A' \rightarrow B'$ be a monomorphism. If B' is \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced, then A' is also \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced.

Proposition 1.13. Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. We have:

- (a) An ideal $J \subseteq A$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal if, and only if, $\frac{A}{J}$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring.
- (b) A proper prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical if, and only if, $\frac{A}{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -domain.

Proof. See **Corollary 10** of [4]. □

Next we present some properties of \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A regarding some “operations” such as the intersection, the directed union and the preimage by a \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism of \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals. To simplify the notation, given a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , we denote by \mathfrak{J}_A^∞ the set of all its \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals. The proofs of the results given in the next proposition can be found in [4].

Proposition 1.14. The following results hold:

- (a) Suppose that $(\forall \alpha \in \Lambda)(I_\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_A^\infty)$. Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_A^\infty$, that is, if $(\forall \alpha \in \Lambda)(I_\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_A^\infty)$, then:

$$\sqrt[\infty]{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \sqrt[\infty]{I_\alpha}$$

- (b) Let $\{I_\alpha | \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ an upwards directed family of elements of \mathfrak{J}_A^∞ . Then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} I_\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_A^\infty$.
- (c) Let A, B be \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, $f : A \rightarrow B$ a \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism and $J \subseteq B$ any ideal. Then:

$$\sqrt[\infty]{f^{-1}[J]} \subseteq f^{-1}[\sqrt[\infty]{J}].$$

- (d) Let A, B be \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism and $J \subseteq B$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal. Then $f^{-1}[J]$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal of A .

- (e) Given any two \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , $I, J \in \mathfrak{J}_A^\infty$, we have:

$$\sqrt[\infty]{I \cdot J} = \sqrt[\infty]{I \cap J}$$

For a more comprehensive account of \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals and \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, we refer the reader to [4].

1.3 On the Smooth Zariski Spectrum

Recall that the spectrum of a commutative unital ring R consists of all prime ideals of R , together with a spectral topology - given by its “distinguished basic sets”, its Zariski topology. Recall, also, that in ordinary Commutative Algebra, every prime ideal is radical - and that the \mathcal{C}^∞ -version of this implication is false in the context of Smooth Commutative Algebra (not every prime ideal of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring is \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical). At this point it is natural to look for a \mathcal{C}^∞ -analog of the Zariski spectrum of a commutative unital ring. Keeping in mind the definitions of the previous subsection, we give the following definition, that can be found in [17]:

Definition 1.15. For a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , we define:

$$\text{Spec}^\infty(A) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is } \mathcal{C}^\infty\text{-radical}\}$$

equipped with the smooth Zariski topology defined by its basic open sets:

$$D^\infty(a) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A) \mid a \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$$

It is proved (see [4]) that $\text{Spec}^\infty(A)$, for any \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , is a spectral space.

Proposition 1.16 (Proposition 48, [4]). Let A, A' be two \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings and let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism. The function:

$$\begin{aligned} h^* : \text{Spec}^\infty(A') &\rightarrow \text{Spec}^\infty(A) \\ \mathfrak{p} &\mapsto h^{-1}[\mathfrak{p}] \end{aligned}$$

is a spectral map.

Theorem 1.17. (Separation Theorems, [5]) Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, $S \subseteq A$ be a subset of A and I be an ideal of A . Denote by $\langle S \rangle$ the multiplicative submonoid of A generated by S . We have:

(a) If I is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal, then:

$$I \cap \langle S \rangle = \emptyset \iff I \cap S^{\infty\text{-sat}} = \emptyset$$

(b) If $S \subseteq A$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -saturated subset, then:

$$I \cap S = \emptyset \iff \sqrt[\infty]{I} \cap S = \emptyset$$

(c) If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)$, then $A \setminus \mathfrak{p} = (A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{\infty\text{-sat}}$

(d) If $S \subseteq A$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -saturated subset, then:

$$I \cap S = \emptyset \iff (\exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A))((I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}) \& (\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset)).$$

(e) $\sqrt[\infty]{I} = \bigcap \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$

A more detailed account of the smooth Zariski spectrum containing detailed proofs can be found in **Section 5** of [4]

2 On smooth spaces and smooth algebras

Every (finite dimensional) smooth manifold M can be embedded as a closed subspace of some \mathbb{R}^n (Whitney's Theorem) and determines a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$. This mapping, $M \mapsto \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, extends to a full and faithful contravariant functor into the category of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings (see for instance **Theorem 2.8** of [18]). In this section we present some results that (dually) connects subsets of \mathbb{R}^E and quotients of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. More precisely, we present some very useful characterizations of equalities and inequalities between elements of \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, i.e., \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings of the form $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}$ with $\sqrt[I]{I} = I$, by means of the filter of zerosets of functions of I .

2.1 The finitely generated case

We start by recalling an important fact about the relation between closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and zerosets of \mathcal{C}^∞ -functions.

Fact 2.1. (essentially **Lemma 1.4** of [18]) *For each open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a smooth function $\chi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:*

- $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n)(\chi(x) \geq 0)$
- $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n)((\chi(x) = 0) \iff (x \notin U)).$

Recall that a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined over an arbitrary subset X of some \mathbb{R}^n is called *smooth* if there is an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X \subseteq U$ and a \mathcal{C}^∞ -extension of f , $\tilde{f} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $\tilde{f} \upharpoonright_X = f$.

Fact 2.2 (Smooth Tietze Theorem). *Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed set and let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(F)$. Then there is a smooth function $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\tilde{f} \upharpoonright_F = f$. Moreover:*

- *If $(\forall x \in F)(f(x) \neq 0)$, then we can choose a \mathcal{C}^∞ -extension \tilde{f} of f and an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $F \subseteq U$ and $(\forall x \in U)(\tilde{f}(x) \neq 0)$.*
- *If $(\forall x \in F)(f(x) > 0)$, then we can choose a \mathcal{C}^∞ -extension \tilde{f} of f such that $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n)(\tilde{f}(x) > 0)$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, so $\sqrt[I]{I} = I$. Given $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have:*

$$(q_I(f) = f + I = g + I = q_I(g)) \iff (\exists \varphi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) = g(x)).$$

Proof. Suppose $q_I(f) = f + I = g + I = q_I(g)$, so $g - f \in I$. It suffices to take $\varphi = g - f$, so:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(g(x) - f(x) = 0)$$

and

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) = g(x))$$

Conversely, suppose there is some $\varphi \in I$ such that $(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) = g(x))$. Thus, $Z(\varphi) \subseteq Z(g - f)$ and $\varphi \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(g-f)} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(g - f))^\times \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{(g - f)^{-1}\}^\times$ (see **Example 1.5**). Since there is $\varphi \in I$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{(g - f)^{-1}\}^\times$, it follows that $g - f \in \sqrt[\infty]{I} \subseteq I$ and $f + I = g + I$. \square

Now we characterize the invertible elements of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, so $\sqrt[\infty]{I} = I$. Given $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have:*

$$\left(q_I(f) = (f + I) \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I} \right)^\times \right) \iff (\exists \varphi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) \neq 0).$$

Proof. Suppose, first, that $(f + I) \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I} \right)^\times$, so there is some $h + I \in \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}$ such that:

$$(f + I) \cdot (h + I) = 1 + I$$

$$q_I(f) \cdot q_I(h) = q_I(1)$$

$$f \cdot h - 1 \in \ker(q_I)$$

$$\varphi = f \cdot h - 1 \in I,$$

One has:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) \cdot h(x) = 1 \neq 0)$$

and thus:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) = \frac{1}{h(x)} \neq 0)$$

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that there is some $\varphi \in I$ with $(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) \neq 0)$. Since f is a continuous function, there is an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Z(\varphi) \subseteq U$ and $(\forall x \in U)(f(x) \neq 0)$.

We define:

$$\begin{aligned} g : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto \frac{1}{f(x)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g \upharpoonright_{Z(\varphi)}$ is smooth on $Z(\varphi)$ and by **Smooth Tietze's Theorem (Fact 2.2)**, one is able to construct a \mathcal{C}^∞ -function $\tilde{g} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{g} \upharpoonright_{Z(\varphi)} = g \upharpoonright_{Z(\varphi)}$.

Since we have:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) \cdot \tilde{g}(x) - 1 = 0)$$

it follows, by **Proposition 2.3**, that $f \cdot \tilde{g} - 1 \in I$, so:

$$(f + I) \cdot (\tilde{g} + I) = 1 + I$$

and $f + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I} \right)^\times$. \square

Combining the previous proposition with **Proposition 2.3**, we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.5. *Let $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}$ be a finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, so $\sqrt{I} = I$. Given $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, are equivalent:*

1. $(\exists u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))(((f + I) = (u^2 + I)) \& (u + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}\right)^\times))$
2. $(\exists u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))(\exists \psi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\psi))(f(x) = u^2(x) \neq 0)$
3. $(\exists \psi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\psi))(f(x) > 0)$

2.2 The general case

We know that every closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n is the zeroset of some smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see **Fact 2.1**). We now expand the notion of zeroset for \mathbb{R}^E , where E is not necessarily a finite set.

Definition 2.6. *Let E be any set. Consider $\mathbb{R}^E = \{v : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid v \text{ is a function}\}$ and denote $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^E) := \{f : \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is a function}\}$.*

For every $D \subseteq E$, we have the canonical projection:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{ED} : \mathbb{R}^E &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D \\ v &\mapsto v \upharpoonright_D : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

and this induces a function:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{DE} : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^D) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^E) \\ f &\mapsto \mu_{DE}(f) := f \circ \pi_{ED} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.7. *Let E be any set. Define:*

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid (\exists E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E)(\exists f' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}))(f = f' \circ \pi_{EE'})\}.$$

It is not hard to see that for every $E', E'' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$ with $E' \subseteq E''$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) & \\ \mu_{E'E} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \mu_{E''E} \\ \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{E'E''}} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E''}) \end{array}$$

Moreover, notice that $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \cong \varinjlim_{E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$, where for every $E', E'' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$ such that $E' \subseteq E''$, the following triangle commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \varinjlim_{E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) & \\ \ell_{E'} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \ell_{E''} \\ \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{E'E''}} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E''}) \end{array},$$

where the morphisms indicated above are defined as in **Section 3** of [3].

Thus, by a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^E we mean a function $f : \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that factors through some projection $\pi_{EE'} : \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{E'}$ and a smooth function $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$, for some $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$. I.e., given $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^E)$ we have:

$$f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \iff (\exists E' \subseteq_{fin} E)(\exists \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}))(f = \tilde{f} \circ \pi_{EE'}).$$

Definition 2.8. Let E be any set. A subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$ is a **zeroset** if there is some $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $X = Z(\varphi)$, where

$$Z(\varphi) := \varphi^{-1}[\{0\}] = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^E : \varphi(\vec{x}) = 0\}$$

The set $\mathcal{Z}_E := \{Z(\varphi) \in \wp(\mathbb{R}^E) : \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)\}$ denotes the set of all zerosets in \mathbb{R}^E .

Remark 2.9. • Let E be an arbitrary set and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Select $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $\varphi = \varphi' \circ \pi_{EE'}$. Then $Z(\varphi) = \pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\varphi')]$.

• If E is a finite set, then by **Fact 2.1**, $\mathcal{Z}_E = \text{Closed}(\mathbb{R}^E) \subseteq \wp(\mathbb{R}^E)$ thus it is stable under finite reunions and arbitrary intersections; in particular, $\emptyset = \bigcup \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R}^E = \bigcap \emptyset$ are in \mathcal{Z}_E .

• In general, for an arbitrary set E , the subset $\mathcal{Z}_E \subseteq \wp(\mathbb{R}^E)$ is stable just under finite reunions and finite intersections.

Definition 2.10. If $I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ is an ideal, then $I' = \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$. We define:

$$\hat{I} = \{F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E \mid (\exists E' \subseteq_{fin} E)(\exists f \in I' = \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I])(F = \pi_{E'E}^{-1}[Z(f)])\}$$

Proposition 2.11. Let $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, so $\sqrt[\infty]{I} = I$. Given $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, we have:

$$(q_I(f) = f + I = g + I = q_I(g)) \iff (\exists \varphi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) = g(x)).$$

Proof. Given $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $q_I(f) = f + I = g + I = q_I(g)$, by definition there are finite subsets $E_f, E_g \subseteq E$ and $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_f}), \hat{g} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_g})$ such that $f = \mu_{E_f}(\hat{f}) = \hat{f} \circ \pi_{E_f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_f})$ and $g = \mu_{E_g}(\hat{g}) = \hat{g} \circ \pi_{E_g} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_g})$. Then $E_f \cup E_g \subseteq_{fin} E$. Let $\tilde{f} = \mu_{E_f, E_f \cup E_g}(\hat{f}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_f \cup E_g})$ and $\tilde{g} = \mu_{E_g, E_f \cup E_g}(\hat{g}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_f \cup E_g})$. By hypothesis, $f + I = g + I$, so $f - g \in I$ and $\mu_{E_f \cup E_g, E}(\tilde{f}) - \mu_{E_f \cup E_g, E}(\tilde{g}) \in I$. We have, thus, $(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}) \in \mu_{E_f \cup E_g, E}^{-1}[I] = \sqrt[\infty]{\mu_{E_f \cup E_g}^{-1}[I]}$, since I is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal (see **Proposition 1.14.(d)**). By the finitely generated case (**Proposition 2.3**), since $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_f \cup E_g})$ and $\tilde{f} + \mu_{E_f \cup E_g}^{-1}[I] = \tilde{g} + \mu_{E_f \cup E_g}^{-1}[I]$, it follows that there is some $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mu_{E_f \cup E_g}^{-1}[I]$ such that:

$$(\forall y \in Z(\tilde{\varphi}))(\tilde{f}(y) = \tilde{g}(y))$$

Taking $\varphi = \mu_{E_f \cup E_g, E}(\tilde{\varphi}) = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_{E, E_f \cup E_g} \in I$, we have:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) = \tilde{f} \circ \pi_{E_f \cup E_g}(x) = \tilde{g} \circ \pi_{E_f \cup E_g}(x) = g(x))$$

On the other hand, suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ are such that $(\exists \varphi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) = g(x))$. Thus, for such φ there is a finite $E_\varphi \subseteq E$ and $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_\varphi})$ such that $\varphi = \hat{\varphi} \circ \pi_{E, E_\varphi}$, and there are also some finite $E_f, E_g \subseteq E$ and some $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_f}), \hat{g} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_g})$ such that $f = \mu_{E_f, E}(\hat{f})$ and $g = \mu_{E_g, E}(\hat{g})$. Let $\tilde{\varphi} = \mu_{E_\varphi, E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g}(\hat{\varphi}), \tilde{f} = \mu_{E_f, E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g}(\hat{f})$ and $\tilde{g} = \mu_{E_g, E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g}(\hat{g})$. By the finitely generated case (**Proposition 2.3**), since $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g}), (\forall x \in Z(\tilde{\varphi}))(\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{g}(x))$ and $\sqrt[\infty]{\mu_{E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g, E}^{-1}[I]} = \mu_{E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g, E}^{-1}[I]$, it follows that $\tilde{f} - \tilde{g} \in \mu_{E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g, E}^{-1}[I]$, so $f - g = \mu_{E_\varphi \cup E_f \cup E_g, E}(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}) \in I$, and $f + I = g + I$. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let E be any set and $I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal. We have, for every $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$:*

$$(f + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I} \right)^\times) \iff (\exists \varphi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) \neq 0)$$

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $q_I(f) = f + I$ is invertible, let $h, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ be such that

$$(f \cdot h - 1) = \varphi \in I.$$

As in the proof of previous proposition, we can select $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$ and $f', h', \varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $f = \mu_{E'E}(f'), h = \mu_{E'E}(h'), \varphi = \mu_{E'E}(\varphi')$. Then

$$(f' \cdot h' - 1) = \varphi' \in I' := \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I].$$

Thus

$$(\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{E'})(x' \in Z(\varphi') \Rightarrow f'(x') \neq 0)$$

Since $Z(\varphi) = \pi_{E'E}^{-1}[Z(\varphi')]$ and $f = f' \circ \pi_{E'E}$, then

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^E)(x \in Z(\varphi) \Rightarrow f(x) \neq 0)$$

Conversely, let $f, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $\varphi \in I$ and

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^E)(x \in Z(\varphi) \rightarrow f(x) \neq 0).$$

Select $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$ and $f', \varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $f = \mu_{E'E}(f'), \varphi = \mu_{E'E}(\varphi')$.

Then $I' := \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$, $\varphi' \in I'$ and

$$(\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{E'})(x' \in Z(\varphi') \rightarrow f'(x') \neq 0).$$

By the finitely generated case (**Proposition 2.4**), $f' + I' \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})/I')^\times$. Let $h' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that

$$(f' + I')(h' + I') = 1 + I' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})/I'.$$

Now define $h := \mu_{E'E}(h')$. Then

$$(f + I)(h + I) = 1 + I \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)/I$$

\square

Proposition 2.13. Let E be any set. If $I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ is an ideal, then:

$$\hat{I} := \{X \in \wp(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid (\exists f \in I)(X = Z(f))\} \subseteq \wp(Z(\mathbb{R}^E))$$

is a filter of zerosets in \mathbb{R}^E .

Proof. Note first that

$$\hat{I} = \{F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E \mid (\exists E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E)(\exists f' \in I' = \mu_{E'}^{-1}[I])(F = \pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(f')])\} \subseteq \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E))$$

It is easy to see that \mathbb{R}^E is a zeroset. In fact, $\mathbb{R}^E = Z(0_E)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} 0_E : \mathbb{R}^E &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $0_E \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$: choose any finite $D \subseteq E$ and consider the \mathcal{C}^∞ -function

$$\begin{aligned} 0_D : \mathbb{R}^D &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $0_E = \mu_{DE}(0_D) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$.

Given $G_1, G_2 \in \hat{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$, let $g_1, g_2 \in I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $G_i = Z(g_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. There are finite $E', E'' \subseteq E$, $f_1 \in \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I] \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$, $f_2 \in \mu_{E''E}^{-1}[I] \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E''})$ such that $g_1 = f_1 \circ \pi_{EE'}$, $g_2 = f_2 \circ \pi_{EE''}$. Thus $\pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(f_1)] = G_1$ and $\pi_{EE''}^{-1}[Z(f_2)] = G_2$, where $\pi_{EE'} : \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{E'}$ and $\pi_{EE''} : \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{E''}$ are the canonical projections (restrictions). Consider:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''} & \\ \pi_{E' \cup E'', E'} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{E' \cup E'', E''} \\ \mathbb{R}^{E'} & & \mathbb{R}^{E''} \end{array}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{E' \cup E'', E'} : \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{E'} \\ v &\mapsto v \upharpoonright_{E'} : E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{E' \cup E'', E''} : \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{E''} \\ v &\mapsto v \upharpoonright_{E''} : E'' \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{R}^E & \\ \pi_{EE'} \swarrow & \downarrow \pi_{E, E' \cup E''} & \searrow \pi_{EE''} \\ \mathbb{R}^{E'} & \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''} & \mathbb{R}^{E''} \\ \pi_{E' \cup E'', E'} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{E' \cup E'', E''} \end{array}$$

Define $\tilde{f}_1 = f_1 \circ \pi_{E' \cup E'', E'} : \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{f}_2 = f_2 \circ \pi_{E' \cup E'', E''} : \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so $\tilde{F}_1 = \pi_{E' \cup E'', E'}^{-1}[Z(f_1)] = \tilde{f}_1^{-1}[\{0\}] = Z(\tilde{f}_1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \pi_{E' \cup E'', E''}^{-1}[Z(f_2)] = \tilde{f}_2^{-1}[\{0\}] = Z(\tilde{f}_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''}$ are zerosets.

Note that $\tilde{F}_1 \cap \tilde{F}_2$ is also a zeroset, namely $\tilde{F}_1 \cap \tilde{F}_2 = Z(\tilde{f}_1^2 + \tilde{f}_2^2)$, with $\tilde{f}_1^2 + \tilde{f}_2^2 \in \mu_{E' \cup E'', E}^{-1}[I]$. In fact, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) & \\
 \mu_{E'E} \swarrow & \uparrow \mu_{E' \cup E'', E} & \nwarrow \mu_{E''E} \\
 & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E' \cup E''}) & \\
 \mu_{E', E' \cup E''} \swarrow & & \nwarrow \mu_{E'', E' \cup E''} \\
 \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) & & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E''})
 \end{array}$$

Since the diagram commutes, we have $\mu_{E', E' \cup E''}(f_1) = f_1 \circ \pi_{E' \cup E'', E'} = \tilde{f}_1 \in \mu_{E' \cup E'', E}^{-1}[I]$ and $\mu_{E'', E' \cup E''}(f_2) = f_2 \circ \pi_{E' \cup E'', E''} = \tilde{f}_2 \in \mu_{E' \cup E'', E}^{-1}[I]$, so $\tilde{f}_1^2 + \tilde{f}_2^2 \in \mu_{E' \cup E'', E}^{-1}[I]$.

Then $g_1^2 + g_2^2 \in I$ and

$$\pi_{E, E' \cup E''}^{-1}[\tilde{F}_1 \cap \tilde{F}_2] = \pi_{E, E' \cup E''}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{f}_1^2 + \tilde{f}_2^2)] = Z(g_1^2 + g_2^2) = G_1 \cap G_2.$$

Let $G \in \hat{I}$ and $H \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E)$ be such that $G \subseteq H$. Then there are $g \in I, h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $G = Z(g), H = Z(h) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Now select $E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$ and $g', h' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $\mu_{E'E}(g') = g, \mu_{E'E}(h') = h$; thus $\pi_{E'E}^{-1}[Z(g')] \subseteq \pi_{E'E}^{-1}[Z(h')]$ and $g' \in I' := \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$. Let $G' = Z(g'), H' = Z(h') \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$, then $G' \subseteq H'$. Since we are dealing with $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ with E' finite, Whitney's theorem (**Fact 2.2**) gives us a smooth function, $\chi_{H'} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $H' = Z(\chi_{H'})$. We have $Z(h') = H' = G' \cap H' = Z(g') \cap Z(\chi_{H'}) = Z(g' \cdot \chi_{H'})$ and, since $I' = \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$ is an ideal, $g' \cdot \chi_{H'} \in I'$.

Since $H = \pi_{E, E'}^{-1}[H']$, $H' = Z(g' \cdot \chi_{H'})$, $g' \cdot \chi_{H'} \in I'$ and

$$\hat{I} = \{F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E \mid (\exists E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E)(\exists f' \in I' = \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I])(F = \pi_{E, E'}^{-1}[Z(f')])\} \subseteq \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E)),$$

we have $H \in \hat{I}$. □

Proposition 2.14. *Let E be any set. If $\Phi \subseteq \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E))$ is a filter of zerosets in \mathbb{R}^E , then:*

$$\check{\Phi} := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid Z(f) \in \Phi\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$$

is an ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$.

Proof. Note first that

$$\check{\Phi} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid (\exists E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E)(\exists f' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}))((\mu_{E'E}(f') = f) \& (\pi_{E, E'}^{-1}[Z(f')] \in \Phi))\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E).$$

It is easy to see that $0_E \in \check{\Phi}$. In fact, $Z(0_E) = \mathbb{R}^E \in \Phi$.

Given $f \in \check{\Phi} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Select $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$ and $f', h' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $\mu_{E'E}(f') = f, \mu_{E'E}(h') = h$. Then $h.f = \mu_{E'E}(h'.f') \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ and $Z(h.f) = Z(h) \cup Z(f) \supseteq Z(f) \in \Phi$. Thus $h.f \in \check{\Phi}$.

Let $f, g \in \check{\Phi}$. Select $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$ and $f', g' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $\mu_{E'E}(f') = f, \mu_{E'E}(g') = g$. Thus $\pi_{E'E'}^{-1}[Z(f')], \pi_{E'E'}^{-1}[Z(g')] \in \Phi$ and $f+g = \mu_{E'E}(f'+g') \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Since $Z(f+g) \supseteq Z(f) \cap Z(g) \in \Phi$, we obtain $f+g \in \check{\Phi}$. □

Proposition 2.15. Consider the partially ordered sets:

$$\mathfrak{F} = (\{\Phi \subseteq \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E)) \mid \Phi \text{ is a filter}\}, \subseteq)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{I} = (\{I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)\}, \subseteq)$$

The following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \vee : \mathfrak{F} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{I} \\ \Phi &\mapsto \check{\Phi} \\ \wedge : \mathfrak{I} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \\ I &\mapsto \hat{I} \end{aligned}$$

form a covariant Galois connection, $\wedge \dashv \vee$, that is:

- (a) Given $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $\Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi_2$, then $\check{\Phi}_1 \subseteq \check{\Phi}_2$;
- (b) Given $I_1, I_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$ such that $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ then $\hat{I}_1 \subseteq \hat{I}_2$;
- (c) For every $\Phi \in \mathfrak{F}$ and every $I \in \mathfrak{I}$ we have:

$$\hat{I} \subseteq \Phi \iff I \subseteq \check{\Phi}$$

Moreover, the mappings (\vee, \wedge) corresponds:

- (1) $\wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E))$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$;
- (2) Proper filters of $(\mathfrak{F}, \subseteq)$ and proper ideals of $(\mathfrak{I}, \subseteq)$.

Proof. Items (a), (b), (c) follows directly from the definitions.

Suppose that $\Phi = \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E))$. Then $\check{\Phi} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid f \in \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E))\}$, thus $\check{\Phi} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$.

Suppose that $I = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Then $\hat{I} = \{Z(f) \in \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E)) \mid f \in I\}$, thus $\hat{I} = \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E))$.

Suppose that Φ is a proper filter. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ is such that $Z(f) = \emptyset \notin \Phi$, then $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times$ and $f \notin \check{\Phi} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Thus $\check{\Phi} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ is a proper ideal.

Suppose that I is a proper ideal. So $f \notin I$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times$, i.e. whenever $Z(f) = \emptyset$. Thus $\emptyset \notin \hat{I}$, i. e. \hat{I} is a proper filter. □

Remark 2.16. *As in any (covariant) Galois connection, we have automatically that:*

- $I \subseteq \overset{\times}{\hat{I}}; \Phi \supseteq \overset{\diamond}{\hat{\Phi}}$
- $\hat{I} = \overset{\diamond}{\hat{I}}; \check{\Phi} = \overset{\times}{\hat{\Phi}}$

The following result gives a more detailed information on these compositions.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ be any ideal and $\Phi \subseteq \wp(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^E))$ be a filter of zerosets. Then:*

1. $\overset{\diamond}{\hat{\Phi}} = \{X \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^E) \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)(X = Z(f), Z(f) \in \Phi)\} = \Phi.$
2. $\overset{\times}{\hat{I}} = \{g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)(f \in I, Z(g) = Z(f))\} = \overset{\circ}{\sqrt{I}}.$

Proof. Item (1) and the first equality in item (2) follow directly from the definitions. We will show that

$$\{g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)(f \in I, Z(g) = Z(f))\} = \overset{\circ}{\sqrt{I}}$$

Note that: $\overset{\circ}{\sqrt{I}} = \{g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid (\exists f \in I)((\eta_g(f) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)\{g^{-1}\}^\times)) = \{g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) \mid (\exists E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E)(\exists \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}))(\exists \tilde{f} \in \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I])(g = \tilde{g} \circ \pi_{EE'}) \& (\eta_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{f}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})\{\tilde{g}^{-1}\}^\times)\}$

Given $g \in \overset{\times}{\hat{I}}$, there is some finite $E' \subseteq E$, some $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ with $g = \tilde{g} \circ \pi_{EE'}$ and some $\tilde{f} \in \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$ such that $\pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{g})] = Z(g) = Z(f) = \pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{f})]$. Since $\pi_{EE'} : \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{E'}$ is surjective, we have $Z(\tilde{g}) = \pi_{EE'}[\pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{g})]] = \pi_{EE'}[\pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{f})]] = Z(\tilde{f})$, so $Z(\tilde{g}) \supseteq Z(\tilde{f})$. It follows that $\tilde{f} \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{R}^{E'} \setminus Z(\tilde{g})} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'} \setminus Z(\tilde{g}))^\times$ and, by **Example 1.5**, $\eta_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{f}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})\{\tilde{g}^{-1}\}^\times$. Since there is $\tilde{f} \in \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$ such that $\eta_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{f}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})\{\tilde{g}^{-1}\}^\times$, it follows that $g \in \overset{\circ}{\sqrt{I}}$.

Conversely, given $g \in \overset{\circ}{\sqrt{I}}$, there is some finite $E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$, some $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ and some $\tilde{f} \in \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$ such that $g = \tilde{g} \circ \pi_{EE'}$ and $\eta_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{f}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})\{\tilde{g}^{-1}\}^\times$. So $\tilde{f} \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{R}^{E'} \setminus Z(\tilde{g})} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'} \setminus Z(\tilde{g}))^\times$, $Z(\tilde{f}) \subseteq Z(\tilde{g})$ and $\pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{f})] \subseteq \pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{g})]$. Since $\pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{f})] \in \hat{I}$ and \hat{I} is a filter, we have $Z(g) = \pi_{EE'}^{-1}[Z(\tilde{g})] \in \hat{I}$, so $g \in \hat{I}$. \square

Remark 2.18. *The item (2) in the previous proposition ensures that the \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical of any ideal of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring is an ideal.*

Proposition 2.19. *Let E be any set, and consider $A = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. The Galois connection $\wedge \dashv \vee$ establishes bijective correspondences between the:*

- (a) *The poset of all (proper) filters of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E and the poset of all (proper) \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, $\mathfrak{J}^\infty = \{I \in \mathfrak{J} \mid \overset{\circ}{\sqrt{I}} = I\}$;*
- (b) *The set of all maximal filters of $(\mathfrak{F}, \subseteq)$ and the set of all maximal ideals of $(\mathfrak{I}, \subseteq)$;*
- (c) *The poset of all prime (proper) filters of $(\mathfrak{F}, \subseteq)$ and the poset of all prime (proper) \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals of $(\mathfrak{I}, \subseteq)$.*

Proof. We saw in **Proposition 2.15**, that the functions (\vee, \wedge) restricts to maps between proper filters of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E and proper ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Thus the additional parts in items (a) and (c) are automatic.

Ad (a): Let Φ be a filter of zerosets in \mathbb{R}^E , then by **Proposition 2.17.(1)** $\Phi = \widehat{\check{\Phi}}$. Let I be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, then by **Proposition 2.17.(2)** and Remark 2.16

$$\widehat{\check{\widehat{I}}} = \check{\check{I}} = \sqrt[\infty]{I} = I$$

Thus, since $\widehat{I} = \widehat{\check{\widehat{I}}}$ and $\check{\Phi} = \check{\widehat{\check{\Phi}}}$, the (increasing) mappings (\vee, \wedge) establishes a bijective correspondence between the poset of all filters of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E and the poset of all \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$.

Ad (b): First of all, note that, by a combination of previous results, if I is a proper ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, then $\sqrt[\infty]{I}$ is also a proper ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Thus if I is a (proper) maximal ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, then $I = \sqrt[\infty]{I}$.

Now, by item (a), the increasing mappings (\vee, \wedge) establishes a bijective correspondence between the poset of all proper filters of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E and the poset of all proper \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Thus the mappings (\vee, \wedge) restrict to a pair of inverse bijective correspondence between the set of all maximal filters of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E and the set of all maximal ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$.

Ad (c): By the bijective correspondence in item (a), it is enough to show that the mappings (\vee, \wedge) restricts to a pair of mappings between the set of all prime filters of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E and the set of all \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical prime ideals of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$.

Let Φ be a prime filter of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E . If $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ are such that $f \cdot g \in \check{\Phi}$, then $Z(f \cdot g) = Z(f) \cup Z(g) \in \Phi$, so we have $Z(f) \in \Phi$ or $Z(g) \in \Phi$. Thus, $f \in \check{\Phi}$ or $g \in \check{\Phi}$, so $\check{\Phi}$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$; moreover, by item (a), $\check{\Phi}$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical.

Let I be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical prime ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, that is, if $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ are such that $f \cdot g \in I$ then $f \in I$ or $g \in I$. We need to show that $\widehat{I} = \{Z(h) | h \in I\}$ is a prime filter of zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E .

Let $F = Z(f), G = Z(g), H = Z(h)$ be zerosets of \mathbb{R}^E such that $F \cup G = H \in \widehat{I}$, $h \in I$. Select $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$ and $f', g', h' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $f = \mu_{E'E}(f'), g = \mu_{E'E}(g'), h = \mu_{E'E}(h')$. Let $F' = Z(f'), G' = Z(g'), H' = Z(h') \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E'}$ then $F' \cup G' = H' \in \widehat{I'}$, where $h' \in I' := \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$. Since I is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical prime ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$, then I' is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical prime ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$, see **Proposition 1.14.(d)**. If we show that $\widehat{I'}$ is a prime filter of zerosets of $\mathbb{R}^{E'}$ then, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $Z(f') = F' \in \widehat{I'}$ and $f' \in \sqrt[\infty]{I'} = I' = \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$; thus $f = \mu_{E'E}(f') \in I$ and $F = Z(f) \in \widehat{I}$, finishing the proof.

We will prove that $\widehat{I'}$ is a prime filter. We have $Z(f'.g') = Z(f') \cup Z(g') = F' \cup G' = H' = Z(h')$, where $h' \in I'$. Then, $f'.g' \in \sqrt[\infty]{I'} = I'$. Since I' is a prime ideal, $f' \in I'$ or $g' \in I'$. Thus $F' = Z(f') \in \widehat{I'}$ or $G' = Z(g') \in \widehat{I'}$. □

3 The order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings

The results established in the previous section are fundamental to develop an order theory over a broad class \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings. In fact, in order to get nice results, we need to assume some technical conditions: the \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings must be non-trivial (i.e. $0 \neq 1$) and \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced (see section 1).

The fundamental notion here is the following (see [16]):

Definition 3.1. *Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. The canonical relation on A is*

$$<_A = \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid (\exists u \in A^\times)(b - a = u^2)\}$$

Remark 3.2. *Note that the canonical relation is preserved by \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism. In more details: let A, A' be \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings and $h : A \rightarrow A'$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism. Then for each $a, b \in A$:*

$$a <_A b \implies h(a) <_{A'} h(b)$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be any \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. The canonical relation on A , $<_A$, is compatible with the sum and with the product on A .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ be such that $a < b$ and let $c \in A^\times$ such that $(b - a = c^2)$.

Given any $x \in A$, we have:

$$(b + x) - (a + x) = b - a = c^2,$$

thus $a + x < b + x$.

Given $x \in A$ such that $0 < x$, one has, since $0 < x$, that $(\exists d \in A^\times)(x = d^2)$. We have, thus:

$$b \cdot x - a \cdot x = (b - a) \cdot x = c^2 \cdot d^2 = (c \cdot d)^2.$$

Since both c and d are invertible, it follows that $c \cdot d$ is invertible, and $a \cdot x < b \cdot x$. □

Proposition 3.4. *If A is a non trivial \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, then $<$, defined as above, is irreflexive, that is,*

$$(\forall a \in A)(\neg(a < a))$$

Proof. Suppose there is some $a_0 \in A$ such that $a_0 < a_0$. By definition, this happens if, and only if there is some $c \in A^\times$ such that $0 = a_0 - a_0 = c^2$, so 0 would be invertible and $0 = 1$. □

To obtain deeper information on the canonical relations, $<_A$, it is needed to pass to “spatial” specific characterizations of it, by the aid of the results developed in the previous section. We start this enterprise by the following:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}$ be a finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. Then:*

$$(f + I < g + I) \iff ((\exists \varphi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) < g(x)))$$

Proof. This is a direct application of **Corollary 2.5**, but we will register here a detailed proof.

Suppose $f + I < g + I$, so there is some $h + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}\right)^\times$ such that $g - f + I = h^2 + I$. Since $h + I$ is invertible, by **Proposition 2.4** there is some $\psi \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\psi))(h(x) \neq 0)$$

Since $g - f + I = h^2 + I$, by **Proposition 2.3**, there is some $\phi \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\phi))(g(x) - f(x) = h^2(x)),$$

Taking $\varphi = \phi^2 + \psi^2 \in I$ we have, for every $x \in Z(\psi) \cap Z(\phi) = Z(\varphi)$ both:

$$g(x) - f(x) = h^2(x)$$

and

$$h^2(x) > 0$$

Hence,

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) < g(x))$$

Conversely, suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are such that there is some $\varphi \in I$ with satisfying:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) < g(x)).$$

Since f and g are continuous functions, there is an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Z(\varphi) \subseteq U$ and

$$(\forall x \in U)(f(x) < g(x)).$$

The \mathcal{C}^∞ -function:

$$\begin{aligned} m : \mathbb{R}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto g(x) - f(x) \end{aligned}$$

is such that $(\forall x \in U)(m(x) > 0)$. Thus $m \upharpoonright_{Z(\varphi)}$ is smooth and $(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(m(x) > 0)$, so by **Fact 2.2** there is a smooth function $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\tilde{m} \upharpoonright_{Z(\varphi)} = m \upharpoonright_{Z(\varphi)}$ and $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n)(\tilde{m}(x) > 0)$.

Now the function $h := \sqrt{\tilde{m}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function and since $h \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^\times$, we have $h + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}\right)^\times$.

Therefore

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(g(x) - f(x) = m(x) = \tilde{m}(x) = h^2(x))$$

Since $I = \sqrt[\infty]{I}$, by **Proposition 2.3** it follows that $(g - f) + I = h^2 + I$ with $h + I$ invertible. Thus, $f + I < g + I$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Given any \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A . Then there is a directed system of its finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings $(A_i, \alpha_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow A_j)_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \leq j}}$ such that:*

1. $A \cong \varinjlim_{i \in I} A_i$;

2. For each i, j , if $i \leq j$ then $\alpha_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ and $\alpha_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ are injective;
3. For each $i \in I$, A_i is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring;
4. For each $a, b \in A$, $a <_A b$ iff $\exists i \in I, \exists a_i, b_i \in A_i (\alpha_i(a_i) = a, \alpha_i(b_i) = b \text{ and } a_i <_{A_i} b_i)$.

Proof. Note that any \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring can be presented as $A \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)/I$, where $I = \sqrt[\infty]{I}$ and the latter can be described as a directed colimit of finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings. Indeed, we have that

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)/I \cong \left(\varinjlim_{E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) \right) / I \cong \varinjlim_{E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E} (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) / \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I]).$$

It is clear that $\alpha_{E'} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) / \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) / I$ is injective, for each $E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$. Thus if $E'' \subseteq E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$, then $\alpha_{E''E'} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E''}) / \mu_{E'',E}^{-1}[I] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) / \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I]$ is injective too.

We combine the results in **Proposition 1.13.(a)** and **Proposition 1.14.(d)** to conclude that $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) / \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I]$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring.

Now item (4) follows directly from the definition of canonical relation, since for each $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$:

$$\exists u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) ((g - f) + I = u^2 + I; u + I \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E) / I)^\times)$$

iff $\exists E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E, \exists f', g', u' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}), \mu_{E',E}(f') = f, \mu_{E',E}(g') = g, \mu_{E',E}(u') = u$ such that:

$$(g' - f') + \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I] = u'^2 + \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I] \text{ and } u' + \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I] \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'}) / \mu_{E',E}^{-1}[I])^\times.$$

□

We are ready to state and proof the following (very useful) general characterization result of $<$:

Theorem 3.7. Let $A = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}$ be a “general” \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. Let we have:

$$(f + I < g + I) \iff ((\exists \varphi \in I)(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) < g(x)))$$

Proof. Suppose $f + I < g + I$, so there is some $h + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I} \right)^\times$ such that $g - f + I = h^2 + I$. Since $h + I$ is invertible, by **Proposition 2.12** there is some $\psi \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\psi))(h(x) \neq 0)$$

Since $g - f + I = h^2 + I$, by **Proposition 2.11**, there is some $\phi \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\phi))(g(x) - f(x) = h^2(x)),$$

Taking $\varphi = \phi^2 + \psi^2 \in I$ we have, for every $x \in Z(\psi) \cap Z(\phi) = Z(\varphi)$ both:

$$g(x) - f(x) = h^2(x)$$

and

$$h^2(x) > 0$$

Hence,

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) < g(x))$$

Conversely, suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ are such that there is some $\varphi \in I$ with satisfying:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) < g(x)).$$

Pick $E' \subseteq_{fin} E$ and $f', g', \varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that $f = \mu_{E'E}(f')$, $g = \mu_{E'E}(g')$, $\varphi = \mu_{E'E}(\varphi')$. Then $I' := \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I]$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$, $\varphi' \in I'$ and

$$\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{E'} (x' \in Z(\varphi') \rightarrow f'(x') < g'(x')).$$

By the finitely generated case (i.e. **Proposition 3.5**),

$$f' + I' < g' + I'.$$

By (the proof of) **Proposition 3.6.(4)** we obtain

$$f + I < g + I.$$

□

Now, having available a characterization of the canonical relation $<$, we can establish many of its properties.

Proposition 3.8. *Let A be any \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. The canonical relation $<_A$ is transitive.*

Proof. The \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A can be presented as $A \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)/I$, for some set E and some \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal $I = \sqrt[\infty]{I} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Thus let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ be such that $f + I < g + I < h + I$.

Now apply the characterization **Theorem 3.7** and consider $\alpha, \beta \in I$ such that $f(x) < g(x), \forall x \in Z(\alpha)$ and $g(x) < h(x), \forall x \in Z(\beta)$.

Then $\gamma := \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \in I$ and $Z(\gamma) = Z(\alpha) \cap Z(\beta)$.

Thus $f(x) < g(x) < h(x), \forall x \in Z(\gamma)$ and since $\gamma \in I$, applying again the **Theorem 3.7** we obtain $f + I < h + I$. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let A be any non-trivial \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring and $a, b \in A$. Then the relation $<_A$ is asymmetric, i.e. it holds at most one of the following conditions: $a < b, b < a$.*

Proof. Suppose that holds simultaneously both the conditions: $a < b, b < a$. Since $<$ is transitive (**Proposition 3.8**) we have $a < a$, but this contradicts **Proposition 3.4**, since A is non-trivial. □

By a combination of **Propositions 3.4, 3.8** (and 3.9), the canonical relation $<$ on every \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A that is non-trivial and \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced is irreflexive, transitive (and asymmetric) binary relation on A : thus it defines a strict partial order. This motivates the following:

Definition 3.10. Let A be a non-trivial, \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. Then the canonical binary relation on A , $<_A$, (**Definition 3.1**) will be called the “canonical strict partial order on A ”.

Moreover, by **Proposition 3.3**, it holds:

Theorem 3.11. Let A be any non-trivial \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. The canonical partial order on A , $<_A$, is compatible with the sum and with the product on A .

Note that, due to the above result, to prove the trichotomy of $<$ it suffices to prove that holds the “restricted form of trichotomy”: given any $a \in A$ one has either $a = 0$, $a < 0$ or $0 < a$. But, clearly, this is not true in general:

Example 3.12. Let $A = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider the \mathcal{C}^∞ -function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := e^{(x_1 + \dots + x_n)} - 1$.

If $x_1 + \dots + x_n \stackrel{\geq}{\leq} 0$, then $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \stackrel{\geq}{\leq} 0$.

On the other hand, the restricted trichotomy holds for invertible members of some classes of \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring:

Proposition 3.13. Given a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, A , one has:

$$A^\times = (A^\times)^2 \cup (-A^\times)^2$$

provided A satisfies some of the conditions below:

1. A is a free \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring;
2. A is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -domain.

Proof. This holds trivially if $0 = 1$. We will prove that for a non trivial \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, A , the non obvious inclusion: $A^\times \subseteq (A^\times)^2 \cup (-A^\times)^2$ holds.

Item (1): First recall that any free \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring is \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced (see **Example 1.11**). Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times$, then there is $E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$ and $f' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})^\times$ such that $f = \mu_{E'E}(f') = f' \circ \pi_{EE'}$. Since $f' : \mathbb{R}^{E'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\mathbb{R}^{E'}$ is connected, then $\text{range}(f) = \text{range}(f')$ is a connected subset of \mathbb{R} , so it is an interval. Since $0 \notin \text{range}(f)$, then exactly one of the following alternatives holds: (i) $\text{range}(f) \subseteq]-\infty, 0[$ or (ii) $\text{range}(f) \subseteq]0, \infty[$. If (i) holds then $f \in -(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times)^2$ and if (ii) holds then $f \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times)^2$.

Item (2): We take a presentation of A as $A \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)/I$, for some set E and some (ring theoretical) ideal $I \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ be such that $(f + I \in \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times}{I})$. By **Proposition 2.12**, there exists $\varphi \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^E)(x \in Z(\varphi) \rightarrow f(x) \neq 0).$$

Let $E' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$ be such that $\varphi = \varphi' \circ \pi_{EE'}$ and $f = f' \circ \pi_{EE'}$, for some $\varphi', f' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$. Then

$$(\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{E'})(x' \in Z(\varphi') \rightarrow f'(x') \neq 0).$$

Thus,

$$Z(\varphi') \subseteq [f' > 0] \cup [-f' > 0],$$

where: $[\pm f' > 0] := \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{E'} : \pm f'(x') > 0\}$.

Note that:

$$Z(\varphi') \cap [\pm f' \geq 0] = Z(\varphi') \cap [\pm f' > 0]$$

Since f' is a continuous function, $[\pm f' \geq 0] = (\pm f')^{-1}[[0, \infty[$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{E'}$, and by **Fact 2.1**, there is some $\chi_{\pm} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ such that

$$Z(\chi_{\pm}) = [\pm f' \geq 0].$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\varphi') &= Z(\varphi') \cap \mathbb{R}^{E'} = Z(\varphi') \cap (Z(\chi_-) \cup Z(\chi_+)) = \\ &= (Z(\varphi') \cap Z(\chi_-)) \cup (Z(\varphi') \cap Z(\chi_+)) = Z(\varphi'^2 + \chi_-^2) \cup Z(\varphi'^2 + \chi_+^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since I is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical (proper) prime ideal of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^E)$, then $I' := \mu_{E'E}^{-1}[I] \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical (proper) prime ideal of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{E'})$ (see **Proposition 1.14.(d)**).

By **Proposition 2.19**, I' corresponds to a prime filter (of zero sets) \hat{I}' and since $Z(\varphi'^2 + \chi_-^2) \cup Z(\varphi'^2 + \chi_+^2) = Z(\varphi')$ and $\varphi' \in I'$, then some of the subsets $Z(\varphi'^2 + \chi_-^2)$, $Z(\varphi'^2 + \chi_+^2)$ belongs to the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical I' . By **Proposition 2.17**: $\check{I}' = \sqrt[\infty]{I'} = I'$, thus some of the functions $(\varphi'^2 + \chi_-^2)$, $(\varphi'^2 + \chi_+^2)$ belongs to I' .

Now recall that:

$$Z(\varphi'^2 + \chi_{\pm}^2) = Z(\varphi') \cap Z(\chi_{\pm}) = Z(\varphi') \cap [\pm f' \geq 0] = Z(\varphi') \cap [\pm f' > 0]$$

and consider $\alpha_{\pm} := (\varphi'^2 + \chi_{\pm}^2) \circ \pi_{EE'} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^E)$.

Then some of the alternatives holds:

- (i) $\alpha_- \in I$ and $(\forall x \in Z(\alpha_-))(-f(x) > 0)$;
- (ii) $\alpha_+ \in I$ and $(\forall x \in Z(\alpha_+))(f(x) > 0)$.

Applying **Theorem 3.7**, if (i) holds then $f + I \in -((\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^E)/I)^{\times})^2$ and if (ii) holds then $f + I \in ((\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^E)/I)^{\times})^2$.

This establishes the desired inclusion:

$$A^{\times} \subseteq (A^{\times})^2 \dot{\cup} (-A^{\times})^2.$$

□

There is another natural way to consider that a partial order \leq (where $a \leq b$ iff $a < b$ or $a = b$) is compatible with sums: if $0 \leq x$ and $0 \leq y$, then $0 \leq x + y$. This one also holds, as it follows (directly) from the results obtained below.

Proposition 3.14. *Given any \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , denote by $(A^\times)^2 = A^2 \cap A^\times = (A^2)^\times$. Then the following hold:*

1. $(\sum A^2) \cap A^\times = (A^\times)^2$
2. $(A^\times)^2 + \sum A^2 = (A^\times)^2$
3. $\sum (A^\times)^2 = (A^\times)^2$

Proof. First equality:

One easily checks that:

$$\left(\sum A^2\right) \cap A^\times \supseteq A^2 \cap A^\times,$$

so we only need to prove the opposite inclusion.

We know that $A \cong \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}$ for some set E and some \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal $\sqrt{I} = I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ be such that $q_I(f) = f + I \in \left(\sum \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^2}{I}\right) \cap \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times}{I}$. Since $q_I(f) \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}\right)^\times$, by **Proposition 2.12**, there is some $\varphi \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi))(f(x) \neq 0)$$

and since $q_I(f) \in \sum \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^2}{I}$, by **Proposition 2.11**, there are $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ and $\psi \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\psi))(f(x) = f_1(x)^2 + \dots + f_k(x)^2 \geq 0),$$

Thus $\varphi^2 + \psi^2 \in I$ and

$$(\forall x \in Z(\varphi^2 + \psi^2) = Z(\varphi) \cap Z(\psi))(f(x) = f_1^2(x) + \dots + f_k^2(x) > 0).$$

Applying **Theorem 3.7**, we have:

$$0 + I < f + I,$$

so $f + I = u^2 + I$, for some $u \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}\right)^\times$, establishing the equality in item (1).

Second and third equalities:

One easily checks that:

$$(A^\times)^2 + \sum A^2 \supseteq \sum (A^\times)^2 \supseteq (A^\times)^2,$$

so, to establish the items (2) and (3), we only need to prove that

$$(A^\times)^2 + \sum A^2 \subseteq (A^\times)^2.$$

Present A as $A \cong \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I}$ for some set E and some \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical ideal $\sqrt[I]{I} = I \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $q_I(f) = f + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times}{I} \right)^2 + \left(\sum \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^2}{I} \right)$. I.e., there are $g, h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)$ such that $f + I = (g^2 + h_1^2 + \dots + h_k^2) + I$ and $g + I \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)^\times}{I} \right)$.

Applying **Proposition 2.11** and **Theorem 2.12**, we conclude that there is $\theta \in I$ such that:

$$(\forall x \in Z(\theta))(f(x) = g(x)^2 + h_1(x)^2 + \dots + h_k(x)^2 \text{ and } g(x) \neq 0)$$

Thus

$$(\forall x \in Z(\theta))(f(x) > 0).$$

Since $\theta \in I$, applying **Theorem 3.7**, we have:

$$0 + I < f + I,$$

so $f + I = u^2 + I$, for some $u \in \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^E)}{I} \right)^\times$, establishing the desired inclusion. □

From the second equality above, it follows directly the:

Corollary 3.15. *Every \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A has the “weak bounded inversion property” (definition 7.1. in [7]), i.e. $1 + \sum A^2 \subseteq A^\times$.*

4 On the order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields and applications

In this section we use the results on the previous section to continue the development of the order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields initiated in [16] and to apply these results to introduce another aspect of the order theory of general \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings.

We start presenting some results concerning \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields that will be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field. If A is a finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, then $\mathbb{R} \cong A$.*

Proof. Let $A \cong \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I}$ be a finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -field, so I must be a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (otherwise the quotient would not even be an ordinary field). By **Proposition 3.6** of [18], every maximal ideal I of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has the form $I = \ker \text{ev}_x$, where $x \in Z(I)$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ev}_x : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ f & \mapsto & f(x) \end{array}$$

Since ev_x is surjective, by the **Fundamental Theorem of the \mathcal{C}^∞ -Homomorphism** we have:

$$A \cong \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{I} = \frac{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}{\ker \text{ev}_x} \cong \mathbb{R}$$

□

Proposition 4.2. (see [4]) *Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring.*

1. *If A is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field, then A is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -domain.*
2. *If A is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -domain, then $A\{(A \setminus \{0\})^{-1}\}$ is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field, $\eta_{A \setminus \{0\}} : A \rightarrow A\{(A \setminus \{0\})^{-1}\}$ is an injective \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism and $\eta_{A \setminus \{0\}}$ is universal among the injective \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphisms from A into some \mathcal{C}^∞ -field.*
3. *A is isomorphic to a \mathcal{C}^∞ -subring of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field if, and only if A is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -domain.*
4. *For every proper prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ that is \mathcal{C}^∞ -radical, we have a canonical \mathcal{C}^∞ -field $k_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) := \frac{A}{\mathfrak{p}}\{q_{\mathfrak{p}}[A \setminus \mathfrak{p}]^{-1}\}$ and a canonical \mathcal{C}^∞ -morphism with kernel \mathfrak{p} , $A \xrightarrow{q_{\mathfrak{p}}} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\eta_{q_{\mathfrak{p}}[A \setminus \mathfrak{p}]}} k_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$.*

Now we are ready to turn our attention to the order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields.

By **Corollary 3.15**, every \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A satisfies the relation $1 + \sum A^2 \subseteq A^\times$. In particular, every \mathcal{C}^∞ -field A is formally real, i.e. $-1 \notin \sum A^2$, thus it can be endowed with some linear order relation compatible with its sum and product. In fact, since a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field is a non-trivial \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring, we have a distinguished linear order relation in A that is compatible with its sum and product:

Theorem 4.3. *Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field and $<_A$ be the canonical strict partial order on A , cf. **Definition 3.10**. Then (A, \leq) is a totally/linearly ordered field, i.e., \leq is a reflexive, transitive and anti-symmetric binary relation in A that is compatible with sum and product and, moreover, it holds the trichotomy law, i.e., for every $a, b \in A$ we have exactly one of the following $a = b$ or $a < b$ or $b < a$.*

Proof. Since $a \leq b$ iff $a < b$ or $a = b$, it follows directly from **Theorem 3.11** that \leq is a reflexive, transitive and anti-symmetric binary relation in A that is compatible with sum and product.

By the compatibility of $<$ with the sum, to obtain the trichotomy law it is enough to show that for every $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$ we have either $(0 < f)$ or $(f < 0)$.

Since A is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field, $A^\times = A \setminus \{0\}$ and the result follows directly from **Proposition 4.2.(1)** and **Proposition 3.13.(2)**: $A^\times = (A^\times)^2 \cup (-A^\times)^2$.

□

In general, a field could support many linear orders compatible with its sum and product. A field is called **Euclidean** if it has a unique (linear, compatible with $+$, \cdot) ordering: these fields are precisely the ordered fields such that every positive member has an square root in the field. It is clear from the definition of $<$ and by **Theorem 4.3** that every \mathcal{C}^∞ -field is Euclidean.

Now recall that a totally ordered field (F, \leq) is **real closed** if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a) $(\forall x \in F)(0 \leq x \rightarrow (\exists y \in F)(x = y^2))$ (i.e. it is an Euclidean field);
- (b) every polynomial of odd degree has, at least, one root;

Equivalently, a totally ordered field (F, \leq) is real closed if, and only if it satisfies the conclusion of **intermediate value theorem** for all *polynomial* functions $h : F \rightarrow F$.

As pointed out in **Theorem 2.10** of [16], it holds the following:

Fact 4.4. *Every \mathcal{C}^∞ -field, A , together with its canonical order $<$ is such that A is a real closed field.*

In fact, a stronger property holds for every \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields We have the \mathcal{C}^∞ -analog of the notion of “real closedness”:

Fact 4.5 (Theorem 2.10’ of [16]). *Let $(F, <)$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -field. Then $(F, <)$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ -**real closed**. I.e., it holds:*

$$(\forall f \in F\{x\})((f(0) \cdot f(1) < 0) \& (1 \in \langle \{f, f'\} \rangle \subseteq F\{x\}) \rightarrow (\exists \alpha \in]0, 1[\subseteq F)(f(\alpha) = 0))$$

Note that the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields is an \mathcal{L} -elementary (proper) class, where all structures have cardinally at least 2^{\aleph_0} , but every finitely generated \mathcal{C}^∞ -field is real closed archimedean, i.e. isomorphic to \mathbb{R} (see **Proposition 4.1**).

The notion of \mathcal{C}^∞ -field is also useful to analyze the order theory of \mathcal{C}^∞ -reduced \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings:

Remark 4.6. *Consider $A = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The inclusion $i : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \text{Func}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ obviously preserves and reflects the equality relation (=) and the canonical strict partial order ($<$). Note that, by **Proposition 4.1**, this inclusion can be identified with*

$$A \xrightarrow{(q_m)_m} \prod_{m \in \text{Max}(A)} \frac{A}{m}$$

Thus, the family of all \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields $\{\frac{A}{m} : m \in \text{Max}(A)\}$ encodes the canonical relation $<_A$ on A .

The family of all \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields $\{k_p(A) : p \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)\}$ also encodes the canonical relation $<_A$ on A .

Consider the canonical \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism $c_A : A \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)} k_p(A)$, that is given by:

$$A \xrightarrow{(q_p)_p} \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)} \frac{A}{p} \xrightarrow{(\eta_{q_p[A \setminus p]})_p} \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)} k_p(A)$$

By **Proposition 4.2.(4)**, $\ker(c_A) = \bigcap_{p \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)} p$. Thus, by **Theorem 1.17.(e)**, $\ker(c_A) = \sqrt[0]{} = \{0\}$ and c_A is an injective \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism, i.e., it preserves and reflects the equality relation. We will see that c_A also preserves and reflects the canonical relation $<$.

The \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphism c_A preserves $<$ (see **Remark 3.2**). Note that, to establish that $c_A : A \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)} k_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$ reflects $<$ it suffices to certify that:

$$A \xrightarrow{(q_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}}} \prod_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A)} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}}$$

reflects $<$. In fact, since $(\forall \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A))(\frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}} \cong k_{\mathfrak{m}}(A))$, the inclusion $\text{Max}(A) \subseteq \text{Spec}^\infty(A)$ (this holds by **Proposition 4.2.(1)**) induces a canonical “projection”:

$$\pi_A : \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)} k_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A)} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}}$$

and, obviously,

$$(q_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}} = \pi_A \circ c_A,$$

Thus, if $a, b \in A$ are such that $a \not\prec b$, implies $(q_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}}(a) \not\prec (q_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}}(b)$, then also holds $c_A(a) \not\prec c_A(b)$.

Now we will apply the results on \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields to describe another approach of the order theory of (general) \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings.

Definition 4.7. Let A be an arbitrary \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. Let \mathcal{F} be the (proper) class of all the \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphisms of A to some \mathcal{C}^∞ -field. We define the following relation \mathcal{R} : given $h_1 : A \rightarrow F_1$ and $h_2 : A \rightarrow F_2$, we say that h_1 is related with h_2 if, and only if, there is some \mathcal{C}^∞ -field \tilde{F} and some \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields homomorphisms \mathcal{C}^∞ $f_1 : F_1 \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ and $f_2 : F_2 \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F_1 & \\ h_1 \nearrow & & \searrow f_1 \\ A & & \tilde{F} \\ h_2 \searrow & & \nearrow f_2 \\ & F_2 & \end{array}$$

The relation \mathcal{R} defined above is symmetric and reflexive.

The above considerations prove the following:

Proposition 4.8 (see **Proposition 54 of [4]**). If $h_1 : A \rightarrow F_1$ and $h_2 : A \rightarrow F_2$ be two \mathcal{C}^∞ -homomorphisms from the \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A to the \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields F_1, F_2 such that $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\ker(h_1) = \ker(h_2)$.

Definition 4.9. Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. A \mathcal{C}^∞ -ordering in A is a subset $P \subseteq A$ such that:

(O1) $P + P \subseteq P$;

(O2) $P \cdot P \subseteq P$;

$$(O3) \quad P \cup (-P) = A$$

$$(O4) \quad P \cap (-P) = \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A)$$

Fact 4.10. Let $\Sigma(A) := \{(\mathfrak{p}, Q) : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Sper}^\infty(A), Q \in \text{Spec}^\infty(k_{\mathfrak{p}}(A))\}$. The mapping $P \in \text{Spec}^\infty(A) \mapsto (\mathfrak{p}_P, Q_P) \in \Sigma(A)$, where $\mathfrak{p}_P := P \cap (-P)$ (or simply \mathfrak{p}) and $Q_P := \{\eta_{q_{\mathfrak{p}}[A \setminus \mathfrak{p}]}(a + \mathfrak{p}) \cdot (\eta_{q_{\mathfrak{p}}[A \setminus \mathfrak{p}]}(b + \mathfrak{p}))^{-1} : b \notin \mathfrak{p}, a, b \in P\}$ is a bijection (this is essentially the **Proposition 5.1.1** in [13]).

Definition 4.11. Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. Given a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ordering P in A , the \mathcal{C}^∞ -**support** of A is given by:

$$\text{supp}^\infty(P) := \mathfrak{p}_P = P \cap (-P)$$

Definition 4.12. Let A be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring. The \mathcal{C}^∞ -**real spectrum** of A is given by:

$$\text{Sper}^\infty(A) = \{P \subseteq A \mid P \text{ is an ordering of the elements of } A\}$$

together with the (spectral) topology generated by the sets:

$$H^\infty(a) = \{P \in \text{Sper}^\infty(A) \mid a \in P \setminus \text{supp}^\infty(P)\}$$

for every $a \in A$. The topology generated by these sets will be called “smooth Harrison topology”, and will be denoted by Har^∞ .

Remark 4.13. The suitable notion of prime spectrum of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , $\text{Spec}^\infty(A)$, appeared for the first time in [17]: this is the main spatial notion to develop “Smooth Algebraic Geometry”. On the other hand, in [2] was introduced the notion of smooth real spectrum of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , $\text{Sper}^\infty(A)$: this seems to be the suitable spatial notion for the development of “Smooth Real Algebraic Geometry”.

Fact 4.14. Given a \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , we have a function given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{supp}^\infty : (\text{Sper}^\infty(A), \text{Har}^\infty) & \rightarrow & (\text{Spec}^\infty(A), \text{Zar}^\infty) \\ P & \mapsto & P \cap (-P) \end{array}$$

which is spectral, and thus continuous, since given any $a \in A$, $\text{supp}^{\infty^{-1}}[D^\infty(a)] = H^\infty(a) \cup H^\infty(-a)$.

Unlike what happens in ordinary Commutative Algebra, we have the following (stronger) result in “Smooth Commutative Algebra”, as a consequence of the fact that every \mathcal{C}^∞ -field is (\mathcal{C}^∞ -)real closed¹ and some separation theorems (see [5] or **Theorem 45** of [4]):

Theorem 4.15. For each \mathcal{C}^∞ -ring A , the mapping

$$\text{supp}^\infty : (\text{Sper}^\infty(A), \text{Har}^\infty) \rightarrow (\text{Spec}^\infty(A), \text{Zar}^\infty)$$

is a (spectral) bijection.

¹In fact, to obtain this result it is enough to know that every \mathcal{C}^∞ -field is *Euclidean*.

5 Concluding remarks and future works

Remark 5.1. *It is natural to ask if the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields is model-complete in the language of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings or even admits elimination of quantifiers (possibly in the language expanded by a unary predicate for the positive cone of an ordering). If the former holds, then the relation \mathcal{R} between pairs of morphism with the same source and target \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields, that encodes Sper^∞ , is already a transitive relation (as it occurs in the algebraic case).*

Remark 5.2. *If the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ -fields admits quantifier elimination (over a reasonable language), then it is possible to adapt the definition and results provided in [20] on “Model-theoretic Spectra” and describe “logically” the spectral topological spaces $\text{Spec}^\infty(A)$ and/or $\text{Sper}^\infty(A)$ as certain equivalence classes of homomorphisms from A into models of a “nice” theory T . Moreover, since the techniques in this work provide structural sheaves of “definable functions”, we could compare them with other ones previously defined and determine other new natural model-theoretic spectra in \mathcal{C}^∞ -structures.*

Remark 5.3. *Another evidence that a systematic model-theoretic analysis of \mathcal{C}^∞ -rings, (not only under real algebra perspective but also under differential algebra perspective), should be interesting and deserves a further attention is indicated in [10]. In that work, were given the first steps towards a model-theoretic connection between three kinds of structures: o -minimal structures, Hardy fields and smooth rings. This triple is related to another one – Hardy fields, surreal numbers and transseries – studied in [1]: these are linked by the notion of H -field which provides a common framework for these structures. They present a model-theoretic analysis of the category of H -fields, e.g. the theory of H -closed fields is model complete, and relate these results with the latter tripod, that according the authors M. Aschenbrenner, L. v. Dries and J. v. Hoeven ([1]): “...are three ways to enrich the real continuum by infinitesimal and infinite quantities. Each of these comes with naturally interacting notions of ordering and derivative”.*

References

- [1] M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries, J. van der Hoeven, *On numbers, germs, and transseries*, *Proc. ICM-2018*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol.1, 1–24.
- [2] J.C. Berni, *Some Algebraic and Logical Apects of \mathcal{C}^∞ -Rings*. PhD thesis, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística (IME), Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Brazil, 2018.
- [3] J.C. Berni, H.L. Mariano, *A Universal Algebraic Survey of \mathcal{C}^∞ -Rings*, arXiv:1904.02728 [math.RA], 2019.
- [4] J.C. Berni, H.L. Mariano, *Topics on Smooth Commutative Algebra*, arXiv:1904.02725 [math.AC], 2019.
- [5] J.C. Berni, H.L. Mariano, *Separation Theorems in Smooth Commutative Algebra and Applications*, submitted, 2019.

- [6] D. Borisov, K. Kremnizer *Beyond perturbation I: de Rham spaces* <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1701.06278.pdf>
- [7] M. Dickmann, F. Miraglia, *Faithfully Quadratic Rings*, Memoirs AMS **1128**, Providence, R.I., 2015.
- [8] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*. (English summary) London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 248. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. x+180 pp.
- [9] L. van den Dries, C. Miller, *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures*, Duke Math. J, 84 (1996), no. 2, 497–540.
- [10] R. Figueiredo, H. L. Mariano, *Remarks on Expansions of the Real Field: Tameness, Hardy Fields and Smooth Rings*, South American Journal of Logic Vol. 4, n. 2, 373–383, 2018.
- [11] D. Joyce, *Algebraic Geometry over \mathcal{C}^∞ -Rings*, volume 7 of *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 2019.
- [12] A. Kock, *Synthetic Differential Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [13] M. A. Marshall, *Spaces of Orderings and Abstract Real Spectra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1636, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1996.
- [14] P. Michor, J. Vanžura. *Characterizing Algebras of Smooth Functions on Manifolds*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae (Prague) 37(3), 519-521, 1996, available at <https://www.mat.univie.ac.at/michor/ci-alg.pdf>
- [15] C. Miller, *Basics of O-minimality and Hardy fields*, Lecture Notes on O-minimal Structures and Real Analytic Geometry, Fields Institute Communications, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [16] I. Moerdijk, G. Reyes, *Rings of Smooth Functions and Their Localizations, I*, Journal of Algebra 99, 324-336, 1986.
- [17] I. Moerdijk, G. Reyes, N.v. Quê. *Rings of Smooth Functions and Their Localizations II*. Mathematical Logic and Theoretical Computer Science, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 106, 277-300, 1987.
- [18] I. Moerdijk, G. Reyes, *Models for smooth infinitesimals analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [19] V. Noquez. *Model Theory of Real Closed Fields*, Carnegie Mellon University. Logic and Computation Senior Thesis, May 2008.
- [20] R. O. Robson, *Model Theory and Spectra*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 63 (1990) 301-327, North-Holland 301.