

A NEW SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF THE SIXTH-ORDER KRALL DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION

K. ELLIOTT, L. L. LITTLEJOHN, AND R. WELLMAN

We are pleased to dedicate this paper to Dr. Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec on occasion of his 80th birthday for his significant contributions to mathematics. Franek, a scholar of eminence, is a long-time colleague and friend of one of the authors (LLL).

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we construct a self-adjoint operator $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$ generated by the sixth-order Krall differential expression in the extended Hilbert space $L^2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$. To obtain $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$, we apply a new general theory, the so-called GKN-EM theory, developed recently by Littlejohn and Wellman that extends the classical Glazman-Krein-Naimark theory using a complex symplectic geometric approach developed by Everitt and Markus. This work extends earlier studies of the Krall expression by both Littlejohn and Loveland.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1981, Littlejohn (see [11] and [12]) discovered the sixth-order Krall differential expression

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell_K[y](x) := & (x^2 - 1)^3 y^{(6)} + 18x(x^2 - 1)^2 y^{(5)} \\ & + (x^2 - 1) \left((3A + 3B + 96)x^2 - 3A - 3B - 36 \right) y^{(4)} + (24A + 24B + 168)x(x^2 - 1)y''' \\ & + \left((12AB + 42A + 42B + 72)x^2 + (12B - 12A)x - 12AB - 30A - 30B - 72 \right) y'' \\ & + \left((24AB + 12A + 12B)x + 12B - 12A \right) y'; \end{aligned}$$

here A, B are fixed, positive constants and $x \in (-1, 1)$. The expression (1.1) is Lagrangian symmetric; in fact,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell_K[y](x) = & - \left((1 - x^2)^3 y'''' \right)''' + \left((1 - x^2) (12 + (3A + 3B + 6)(1 - x^2)) y'' \right)'' \\ & - \left((6A - 6B - 12AB)x^2 + (12A - 12B)x + 12AB + 18A + 18B + 24 \right) y' \end{aligned}$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_n := n(n-1)(n^4 + 2n^3 + (3A + 3B - 1)n^2 + (3A + 3B - 2)n + 12AB),$$

the eigenvalue equation

$$(1.4) \quad \ell_K[y](x) = \lambda_n y(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

has a polynomial solution of degree exactly n , given explicitly by

$$(1.5) \quad y(x) = K_{n,A,B}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} (2n-j)! Q(n, j) x^{n-j}}{2^{n+1} (n - \lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor)! \lfloor j/2 \rfloor! (n-j)! (n^2 + n + A + B)},$$

Date: January 22, 2020; [Elliott-Littlejohn-WellmanAIOT.tex]; submitted to AIOT on 1/22/2010.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33C65, 34B30, 47B25; Secondary 34B20, 47B65 .

Key words and phrases. Krall polynomials, orthogonal polynomials, Glazman-Krein-Naimark theory, symplectic geometry, Lagrangian symmetry, self-adjoint operator, boundary conditions.

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function and

$$Q(n, j) := \frac{2 + (-1)^j}{2} ((n^4 + (2A + 2B - 1)n^2 + 4AB + 2j(n^2 + n + A + B)) \\ + \frac{1 - (-1)^j}{2}(4B - 4A).$$

The set $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called the Krall polynomials, named after H. L. Krall (1907-1994).

For perspective, Krall [10] discovered a fourth-order differential expression in 1938 which was subsequently named the Legendre type expression and studied by his son A. M. Krall (1936-2008) in [9]. The Legendre type expression is given explicitly by

$$(1.6) \quad \ell_L[y](x) := ((1 - x^2)^2 y'')'' - ((8 + 4A(1 - x^2)) y')' \\ = (1 - x^2)^2 y^{(4)} + 8x(x^2 - 1)y''' + (4A + 12)(x^2 - 1)y'' + 8Axy';$$

in this case, A is a fixed, positive parameter and $x \in (-1, 1)$. The eigenvalue equation

$$\ell_L[y](x) = \mu_n y(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

where

$$\mu_n = n(n + 1)(n^2 + n + 4A - 2),$$

has a polynomial solution

$$y(x) = P_{n,A}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^j (2n - 2j)! (A + n(n - 1)/2 + 2j)}{2^n j! (n - j)! (n - 2j)!} x^{n-2j}$$

called the n^{th} Legendre type polynomial. In this case, the sequence $\{P_{n,A}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ forms a complete orthogonal set in the Hilbert space $L^2_{\mu}[-1, 1]$ with inner product

$$(1.7) \quad (f, g)_A := \frac{f(-1)\bar{g}(-1)}{A} + \int_{-1}^1 f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx + \frac{f(1)\bar{g}(1)}{A}.$$

Here $d\mu$ is the positive Borel measure generated from the monotonically non-decreasing function

$$\hat{\mu}(x) := \begin{cases} -1 - \frac{1}{A} & \text{if } x \leq -1 \\ x & \text{if } -1 < x < 1 \\ 1 + \frac{1}{A} & \text{if } x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that, in (1.7), there are equal ‘jumps’ at the endpoints $x = \pm 1$. In 1979, H. L. Krall asked the questions: if there are *unequal* jumps at $x = \pm 1$, what are the underlying orthogonal polynomials and is there an accompanying differential equation that has these polynomials as eigenfunctions? The answers are the Krall polynomials (1.5) and the sixth-order Krall equation (1.4). The Krall polynomials $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ form a complete orthogonal set in the Hilbert space $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ with inner product

$$(1.8) \quad (f, g)_{\kappa} = \int_{[-1,1]} f\bar{g}d\kappa := \frac{f(-1)\bar{g}(-1)}{A} + \int_{-1}^1 f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx + \frac{f(1)\bar{g}(1)}{B}.$$

where κ is the positive Borel measure generated from the distribution function

$$\hat{\kappa}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 - \frac{1}{A} & \text{if } x \leq -1 \\ x & \text{if } -1 < x < 1 \\ 1 + \frac{1}{B} & \text{if } x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The first systematic spectral analysis of (1.1) was accomplished by Susan Loveland in her Ph.D. thesis [14] (see also [5]) where Loveland constructed the self-adjoint operator $T_{A,B}$ in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$, generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$, having the Krall polynomials as eigenfunctions. The analysis was challenging; indeed, the classical Glazman-Krein-Naimark (GKN) theory (see [15, Chapter V]) is not immediately applicable so new techniques and analytic tools had to be developed. It is noteworthy that the Krall expression (1.1) is in the limit-5 case at both endpoints $x = \pm 1$ in $L^2(-1, 1)$. Consequently, four appropriate boundary conditions (separated and/or non-separated) are needed to describe each self-adjoint operator, generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$, in $L^2(-1, 1)$. In this $L^2(-1, 1)$ setting, the GKN theory can be used. However, we wish to study $\ell_K[\cdot]$ in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ (since this is where the Krall polynomial eigenfunctions are orthogonal) and, in this setting, the GKN theory fails to explicitly help. As it turns out, it is remarkable that only two boundary conditions are needed to describe the self-adjoint operator $T_{A,B}$, generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$, in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ having the Krall polynomials $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ as eigenfunctions.

The initial work of Everitt, Littlejohn and Loveland from 1990-1993 on the spectral analysis of the Krall expression did not address the question of finding *all* self-adjoint operators T in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$. Quite simply, at that time, the authors did not have the tools to answer this more general question. Now, however, due to a recent generalization of the GKN theory recently developed by Littlejohn and Wellman [13], we have the tools to find all self-adjoint operators \widehat{T} in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$. The key to this generalization is a series of important papers by W. N. Everitt and L. Markus [6], [7], [8] who saw important connections between the von Neumann theory of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators and the theory of complex symplectic geometry.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2, we review properties of functions in the maximal domain Δ_K of the Krall expression $\ell_K[\cdot]$ in $L^2(-1, 1)$. In Section 3, we discuss a dense subset $\delta_K \subset \Delta_K$ that is the domain of the self-adjoint operator $T_{A,B}$ in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$, having the Krall polynomials $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ as eigenfunctions. We also briefly describe the Everitt-Littlejohn-Loveland method for constructing this self-adjoint operator. Section 4 gives a synopsis of the GKN-EM theory developed by Littlejohn and Wellman based on the work of Everitt and Markus. In this regard, it is important to note that $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$. Lastly, in Section 5, we apply the GKN-EM theory to construct $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$ which is equivalent to the operator $T_{A,B}$ found by Loveland. As the reader will see, this approach is algorithmic in the sense that the GKN-EM Theorem (see Theorem 4.2) may be applied to find all self-adjoint operators \widehat{T} in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$.

2. PROPERTIES OF FUNCTIONS IN THE MAXIMAL DOMAIN Δ_K OF $\ell_K[\cdot]$ IN $L^2(-1, 1)$

The maximal domain Δ_{κ} (see [15, Chapter V]) for $\ell_K[\cdot]$ in $H := L^2(-1, 1)$ is defined to be

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_K := \{f : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f^{(j)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(-1, 1) \ (j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5); \\ f, \ell_K[f] \in L^2(-1, 1)\}.$$

This set Δ_K is the largest subspace of functions f in $L^2(-1, 1)$ for which $\ell_K[f] \in L^2(-1, 1)$. In this setting, Green's formula reads as

$$(2.2) \quad [f, g]_H := \int_{-1}^1 \ell_K[f](x)\overline{g}(x)dx - \int_{-1}^1 f(x)\ell_K[\overline{g}](x)dx \\ = [f, g]_K(x) \Big|_{-1}^1 := [f, g]_K(1) - [f, g]_K(-1) \quad (f, g \in \Delta_K),$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_K$ is the symplectic (bilinear) form or bilinear concomitant defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad [f, g]_K(x) &:= \left(- \left((1-x^2)^3 f^{(3)}(x) \right)'' + \left((1-x^2)(12 + \alpha(1-x^2)) f''(x) \right)' - \pi(x) f'(x) \right) \bar{g}(x) \\
&\quad - \left(- \left((1-x^2)^3 \bar{g}^{(3)}(x) \right)'' + \left((1-x^2)(12 + \alpha(1-x^2)) \bar{g}''(x) \right)' - \pi(x) \bar{g}'(x) \right) f(x) \\
&\quad - \left(- \left((1-x^2)^3 f^{(3)}(x) \right)' + (1-x^2)(12 + \alpha(1-x^2)) f''(x) \right) \bar{g}'(x) \\
&\quad + \left(- \left((1-x^2)^3 \bar{g}^{(3)}(x) \right)' + (1-x^2)(12 + \alpha(1-x^2)) \bar{g}''(x) \right) f'(x) \\
&\quad - (1-x^2)^3 (f^{(3)}(x) \bar{g}''(x) - f''(x) \bar{g}^{(3)}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, for simplicity, $\alpha := 3A + 3B + 6$ and

$$\pi(x) := (-6A - 6B - 12AB)x^2 + (12A - 12B)x + (12AB + 18A + 18B + 24).$$

We emphasize to the reader the subtle difference between the symplectic form $[\cdot, \cdot]_H$ and the bilinear concomitant $[\cdot, \cdot]_K$.

The associated maximal operator $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \subset L^2(-1, 1) \rightarrow L^2(-1, 1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T[f](x) &= \ell_K[f](x) \\
f \in \mathcal{D}(T) &:= \Delta_K.
\end{aligned}$$

It is a densely defined operator with adjoint $T^* = T_0 : \mathcal{D}(T_0) \subset L^2(-1, 1) \rightarrow L^2(-1, 1)$, called the minimal operator. From the definition of Δ_K , and Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} [f, g]_K(x)$$

exists and is finite for all $f, g \in \Delta_K$. Moreover, $1 \in \Delta_K$ and

$$(2.5) \quad [f, 1]_K(x) = - \left((1-x^2)^3 f^{(3)}(x) \right)'' + \left((1-x^2)(12 + \alpha(1-x^2)) f''(x) \right)' - \pi(x) f'(x)$$

for all $f \in \Delta_K$.

For $f \in \Delta_K$ and $x \in (-1, 1)$, define $\Lambda : \Delta_K \rightarrow L^2(-1, 1)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad \Lambda[f](x) &:= \int_0^x \int_0^t \ell_K[f](s) ds dt - f^{(5)}(0)x - f^{(4)}(0) + (18 + \alpha) f'''(0)x \\
&\quad + (12 + \alpha) f''(0) - \pi(0) f'(0)x + \int_0^x \pi(t) f'(t) dt \\
&= - \left((1-x^2)^3 f'''(x) \right)' + (1-x^2)(12 + \alpha(1-x^2)) f''(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Lambda[f] \in L^2(-1, 1)$ and $\Lambda[f] \in AC_{\text{loc}}(-1, 1)$ for all $f \in \Delta_K$. As a result of Theorem 2.1 below, we will see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \Lambda[f](x)$$

exists and is finite and so $\Lambda[f] \in AC[-1, 1]$ for $f \in \Delta_K$. As we will see in the next section, this linear operator $\Lambda[\cdot]$ plays a key role in our analytic study of $\ell_K[\cdot]$ in $L^2(-1, 1)$.

Loveland [14, Theorem 7.2.2] (see also [5]) established the following theorem that lists properties of functions in Δ_K .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f, g \in \Delta_K$. Then*

- (i) $f' \in L^2(-1, 1)$ so $f \in AC[-1, 1]$; in particular, $\Delta_K \subset L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ and $\Lambda[f] \in AC[-1, 1]$;
- (ii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} (1 - x^2)^j f^{(j)}(x) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$;
- (iii) $1 \in \Delta_K$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} [f, 1]_K(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} (\Lambda'[f](x) - \pi(x)f'(x))$;
- (iv) $1 - x^2 \in \Delta_K$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} [f, 1 - x^2]_K(x) &= 2\Lambda[f](1) - 48(A + 2)f(1), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} [f, 1 - x^2]_K(x) &= -2\Lambda[f](-1) + 48(B + 2)f(-1). \end{aligned}$$

- (v) $(1 - x^2)^2 \in \Delta_K$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} [f, (1 - x^2)^2]_K(x) = \pm 192f(\pm 1);$$

- (vi) Define $h_{\pm} \in C^6[-1, 1]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} h_+(x) &= \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ \frac{1}{8}(A + 2)(1 - x^2)^2 \ln(1 - x^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2) \ln(1 - x^2) & x \text{ near } 1, \end{cases} \\ h_-(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}(B + 2)(1 - x^2)^2 \ln(1 - x^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2) \ln(1 - x^2) & x \text{ near } -1 \\ 0 & x \text{ near } 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then $h_{\pm} \in \Delta_K$; moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} [f, h_-]_K(x) &= (-32B - 12A + 16)f(-1) \\ &\quad + \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} (-\Lambda[f](x)h'_-(x) + 32f'(x) - (1 - x^2)^3(f'''(x)h''_-(x) - h'''_-(x)f''(x))), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} [f, h_+]_K(x) &= (32A + 12B - 16)f(1) \\ &\quad + \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (-\Lambda[f](x)h'_+(x) + 32f'(x) - (1 - x^2)^3(f'''(x)h''_+(x) - h'''_+(x)f''(x))); \end{aligned}$$

- (vii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} [f, (1 - x^2)^3]_K(x) = 0$; consequently $(1 - x^2)^3$ is in the domain of minimal operator in $L^2(-1, 1)$ associated with $\ell_K[\cdot]$;

$$\text{(viii) } \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} [f, g]_K(x) = [f, 1]_K(\pm 1)\bar{g}(\pm 1) - [\bar{g}, 1]_K(\pm 1)f(\pm 1)$$

$$+ \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} (-\Lambda[f](x)\bar{g}'(x) + \Lambda[\bar{g}](x)f'(x) - (1 - x^2)^3(f^{(3)}(x)\bar{g}''(x) - f''(x)\bar{g}'''(x))). \blacksquare$$

Remark 2.1. The importance of the functions h_{\pm} will be made clear in Section 5 (see Lemma 5.2).

We turn our attention to a brief study of the Frobenius solutions to

$$\ell_K[y](x) = 0 \quad (x \in (-1, 1)).$$

The endpoints $x = \pm 1$ are regular singular endpoints of $\ell_K[\cdot]$ in the sense of Frobenius. At either endpoint, the Frobenius indicial equation is given by

$$\rho(r) = (r - 3)(r - 2)(r - 1)^2 r(r + 1) = 0.$$

A careful analysis of the six linearly independent Frobenius solutions at $x = 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_3(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x-1)^{n+3} \quad (a_0 \neq 0) \\ \varphi_2(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m(x-1)^{m+2} + \log|x-1| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{b}_n(x-1)^{n+2} \quad (b_0 \neq 0) \\ \varphi_1(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x-1)^{n+1} \quad (c_0 \neq 0) \\ \hat{\varphi}_1(x) &= 3 \log|x-1| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x-1)^{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n(x-1)^{n+1} \quad (d_0 \neq 0) \\ \varphi_0(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n(x-1)^n + \log|x-1| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x-1)^n \quad (e_0 \neq 0) \\ \varphi_{-1}(x) &= \log|x-1| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x-1)^{n-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x-1)^{n-1} \quad (h_0 \neq 0).\end{aligned}$$

The subscripts in the above solutions correspond to the indicial root. Each of these series converges for $|x-1| < 2$. Since $\varphi_3, \varphi_2, \varphi_1, \hat{\varphi}_1, \varphi_0 \in L^2[0, 1)$ but $\varphi_{-1} \notin L^2[0, 1)$, we see that $\ell_K[\cdot]$ is in the limit-5 case at $x = 1$ in $L^2(-1, 1)$. A similar Frobenius analysis shows that $\ell_K[\cdot]$ is also in the limit-5 case at $x = -1$ in $L^2(-1, 1)$. Moreover, it is the case that $\hat{\varphi}_1' \notin L^2(-1, 1)$ so the smoothness condition given in part (i) of Theorem 2.1 is best possible.

We seek to ‘extract’ from Δ_K a dense (in $L^2(-1, 1)$) subset that is the domain of a self-adjoint operator, generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$, having the Krall polynomials as eigenfunctions. To this end, we note that the Krall polynomials are natural generalizations of both the classical Legendre polynomials and the Legendre type polynomials. Moreover, the self-adjoint operators T_L and T_A generated, respectively, by the classical second-order Legendre differential expression

$$\ell_L[y](x) = -((1-x^2)y'(x))' \quad (x \in (-1, 1))$$

and the fourth-order Legendre type expression (1.6), having the Legendre polynomials and Legendre type polynomials, respectively, as eigenfunctions have the remarkable smoothness properties

$$\begin{aligned}f \in \mathcal{D}(T_L) &\implies f' \in L^2(-1, 1) \\ f \in \mathcal{D}(T_A) &\implies f'' \in L^2(-1, 1).\end{aligned}$$

It is natural to ask: does the self-adjoint operator $T_{A,B}$ in $L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$, generated by the Krall expression $\ell_K[\cdot]$ and having the Krall polynomials $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ as eigenfunctions, have a similar property? More specifically, will it be the case that

$$f \in \mathcal{D}(T_{A,B}) \implies f''' \in L^2(-1, 1)?$$

The answer is yes. In the next section, we further restrict the maximal domain to a proper subset $\delta_K \subset \Delta_K \subset L^2_{\kappa}[-1, 1]$ which turns out to be the domain of $T_{A,B}$, generated by $\ell_K[\cdot]$, having the Krall polynomials as eigenfunctions.

3. PROPERTIES OF FUNCTIONS IN A PROPER SUBSPACE δ_K OF Δ_K OF $\ell_K[\cdot]$ IN $L^2(-1, 1)$

For specific details of results in this section, we refer the reader to [5] and to the Loveland thesis [14].

A key idea in these publications to finding δ_K was to restrict the maximal domain Δ_K so that those Frobenius solutions φ of $\ell_K[y] = 0$ near $x = \pm 1$ satisfying $\varphi''' \notin L^2(-1, 1)$ were eliminated. By taking an appropriate linear combination of the functions $(1 - x^2)$ and $(1 - x^2)^2$ and appealing to Theorem 2.1 (iv) and (v), we were led to defining $\psi_{\pm} \in C^6[-1, 1] \cap \Delta_K$ by

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_+(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2) + \frac{1}{8}(A + 2)(1 - x^2)^2 & x \text{ near } 1 \\ 0 & x \text{ near } -1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \psi_-(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ near } 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2) - \frac{1}{8}(B + 2)(1 - x^2)^2 & x \text{ near } -1. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see that

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \Delta_K$. Then*

$$(3.3) \quad [f, \psi_{\pm}]_K(\pm 1) = \Lambda[f](\pm 1). \blacksquare$$

With this result, we define

$$(3.4) \quad \delta_K := \{f \in \Delta_K \mid [f, \psi_+](1) = [f, \psi_-](-1) = 0\}.$$

The next theorem, established in [5] and [14], states properties of functions in δ_K . The proof of this result is difficult and uses hard-analytic techniques together with an integral inequality due to Chisholm and Everitt [3].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f, g \in \delta_K$. Then*

- (a) $f''' \in L^2(-1, 1)$ and $f, f', f'' \in AC[-1, 1]$;
- (b) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} ((1 - x^2)^3 f'''(x))^{(j)} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$;
- (c) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} (1 - x^2) f'''(x) = 0$;
- (d) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} (1 - x^2) f'''(x) \bar{g}''(x) = 0$;
- (e) $1 \in \delta_K$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} [f, 1]_K(x) = -24f''(1) - 24(A + 1)f'(1)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} [f, 1]_K(x) = 24f''(-1) - 24(B + 1)f'(-1);$$

- (f) $(1 - x^2) \in \delta_K$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} [f, 1 - x^2]_K(x) = -48(A + 2)f(1)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} [f, 1 - x^2]_K(x) = 48(B + 2)f(-1);$$

- (g) $(1 - x^2)^2 \in \delta_K$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} [f, (1 - x^2)^2]_K(x) = \pm 192f(\pm 1)$;

- (h)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} [f, g]_K(x) = -24(f''(1)\bar{g}(1) - \bar{g}''(1)f(1))$$

$$- 24(A + 1)(f'(1)\bar{g}(1) - \bar{g}'(1)f(1))$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} [f, g]_K(x) = 24(f''(-1)\bar{g}(-1) - \bar{g}''(-1)f(-1))$$

$$- 24(B + 1)(f'(-1)\bar{g}(-1) - \bar{g}'(-1)f(-1)). \blacksquare$$

From Theorem 3.2, the authors in [5] and [14] define the operator $T_{A,B} : \mathcal{D}(T_{A,B}) \subset L^2_\kappa[-1, 1] \rightarrow L^2_\kappa[-1, 1]$ by

$$(3.7) \quad T_{A,B}[f](x) = \begin{cases} 24Af''(-1) - 24A(B+1)f'(-1) & \text{if } x = -1 \\ \ell_K[f](x) & \text{if } -1 < x < 1 \\ 24Bf''(1) + 24B(A+1)f'(1) & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$f \in \mathcal{D}(T_{A,B}) := \delta_K.$$

Remark 3.1. If $f \in C^6[-1, 1] \cap \Delta_K$, calculations, using (1.1), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_K[f](-1) &= 24Af''(-1) - 24A(B+1)f'(-1) \\ \ell_K[f](1) &= 24Bf''(1) + 24B(A+1)f'(1) \end{aligned}$$

so the definition of $T_{A,B}[f](\pm 1)$ is consistent on smooth functions in Δ_K .

Theorem 3.3. The operator $T_{A,B}$ is self-adjoint on $L^2_\kappa[-1, 1]$. Furthermore, the Krall polynomials $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ form a complete set of eigenfunctions of $T_{A,B}$.

Proof. Details can be found in [14, Chapter VII, Section 7.4]. The proof consists of showing $T_{A,B}$ is symmetric whose range is all of $L^2_\kappa[-1, 1]$; by a well-known result (see [1, Chapter 1, Section 41]), it follows that $T_{A,B}$ is self-adjoint. The completeness of the polynomials follows from a general result in Szegö [16, Theorem 3.1.5]. Key to the surjectivity of $T_{A,B}$ is a careful study of an auxiliary self-adjoint operator $S_{A,B} : \mathcal{D}(S_{A,B}) \subset L^2(-1, 1) \rightarrow L^2(-1, 1)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A,B}[f](x) &= \ell_K[f](x) \\ f \in \mathcal{D}(S_{A,B}) &:= \{f \in \Delta_K \mid [f, \psi_\pm]_K(\pm 1) = [f, 1_\pm](\pm 1) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here ψ_\pm are defined as in (3.1) and (3.2) while the functions $1_\pm \in C^6[-1, 1] \cap \Delta_K$ are given by

$$1_+(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is near } -1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is near } 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad 1_-(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is near } -1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is near } 1. \end{cases}$$

The set of functions $\{\psi_\pm, 1_\pm\}$ are linearly independent modulo the minimal domain $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$, and since the deficiency index of T_0 is 4, it follows from the GKN Theorem [15, Chapter V] that $S_{A,B}$ is self-adjoint. \square

Motivated by the Krall example and other higher-order differential equations having orthogonal polynomial eigenfunctions, Littlejohn and Wellman developed a generalized GKN theory [13] that directly handles these examples. Indeed, applying Theorem 4.2, given below, is systematic and algorithmic. In the next section, we describe this theory and, in Section 5, we apply this new theory to further discuss the Krall example.

4. A BRIEF REVIEW OF THE GKN-EM THEORY

In this section, we give a brief summary of the general GKN-EM theory developed recently by Littlejohn and Wellman in [13] based on important earlier work of W. N. Everitt and L. Markus in [6], [7] and [8]. We remark that there are other approaches, generalizing the GKN theory, in constructing self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators. One of these approaches is the general theory of *boundary triples*; we refer the reader to the recent monograph [2] for details.

Throughout this section, we assume that T_0 and T_1 are densely defined linear operators, with respective domains $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(T_1)$, in a Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ satisfying the conditions:

- (a) T_0 is a closed and symmetric operator;
- (b) The deficiency indices of T_0 are equal and finite and denoted by their common value $\text{def}(T_0)$;

(c) $T_0 \subseteq T_1$ with $T_0^* = T_1$ and $T_1^* = T_0$.

We call the Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ the *base space* and we will refer to the operators T_0 and T_1 , respectively, as the *minimal operator* and *maximal operators* since these are the terms used in the GKN theory when both operators are generated by a Lagrangian symmetric ordinary differential expression. To be clear, however, it is not necessary in our situation that either of the operators T_0 and T_1 are differential operators. We define the symplectic form $[\cdot, \cdot]_H : \mathcal{D}(T_1) \times \mathcal{D}(T_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(4.1) \quad [x, y]_H := \langle T_1 x, y \rangle_H - \langle x, T_1 y \rangle_H \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{D}(T_1)).$$

Observe that (4.1) is a generalization of the classical Green's formula (see, for example, (2.2)).

Let $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W)$ be a finite-dimensional complex Hilbert space with

$$(4.2) \quad \dim W \leq \text{def}(T_0).$$

We call W the *extension space*. Let $\{\xi_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \dim W\}$ be an orthonormal basis of W and let $\mathcal{B} : W \rightarrow W$ be a fixed, self-adjoint operator.

The direct sum space $H \oplus W = \{(x, a) \mid x \in H, a \in W\}$ is called the *extended space*; it is well known that $H \oplus W$ is a Hilbert space when endowed with the inner product

$$\langle (x, a), (y, b) \rangle_{H \oplus W} := \langle x, y \rangle_H + \langle a, b \rangle_W.$$

It is this Hilbert space $H \oplus W$ that we seek to extend the classical GKN theory (see [15]).

As with the classic GKN theory presented in [15, Chapter V], we call a collection of vectors $\{t_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0)\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ is called a *GKN set* for T_0 if

- (i) the set $\{t_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0)\}$ is linearly independent modulo the minimal domain $D(T_0)$; that is to say:

$$\text{if } \sum_{j=1}^{\text{def}(T_0)} \alpha_j t_j \in \mathcal{D}(T_0) \text{ then } \alpha_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0);$$

and

- (ii) the set $\{t_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0)\}$ satisfies the symmetry conditions

$$[t_i, t_j]_H = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0)).$$

If $G \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ is a GKN set for T_0 , then a non-empty, proper subset $P \subseteq G$ is called a *partial GKN set* for T_0 . As we will see, partial GKN sets play an important role in our generalized GKN theory in $H \oplus W$.

We now list several definitions necessary before we state the main result (Theorem 4.2) below.

- (1) $P = \{t_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \dim W\}$ is a partial GKN set for T_0 ;
- (2) $\Phi_0 := \mathcal{D}(T_0) + \text{span}\{t_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \dim W\}$;
- (3) $\Psi : \Phi_0 \rightarrow W$ is defined to be

$$\Psi \left(x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\dim W} \alpha_j t_j \right) := \sum_{j=1}^{\dim W} \alpha_j \xi_j \quad (x_0 \in \mathcal{D}(T_0));$$

- (4) $\Omega : \mathcal{D}(T_1) \rightarrow W$ is given by

$$\Omega x := \sum_{j=1}^{\dim W} [x, t_j]_H \xi_j \quad (x \in \mathcal{D}(T_1));$$

(5) $\widehat{T}_1 : \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1) \subseteq H \oplus W \rightarrow H \oplus W$ is the maximal operator in $H \oplus W$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_1(x, a) &:= (T_1x, \mathcal{B}a - \Omega x) \\ \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1) &:= \{(x, a) \mid x \in \mathcal{D}(T_1); a \in W\};\end{aligned}$$

It is clear from the definition of $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1)$ why \widehat{T}_1 is called ‘maximal’. Note that \widehat{T}_1 is dependent upon a partial GKN set and the choice of self-adjoint operator \mathcal{B} in W . Consequently, there is a continuum of such maximal operators in $H \oplus W$.

(6) $\widehat{T}_0 : \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_0) \subseteq H \oplus W \rightarrow H \oplus W$ is the so-called *minimal operator* in $H \oplus W$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_0(x, \Psi x) &:= (T_1x, \mathcal{B}\Psi x) \\ \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_0) &:= \{(x, \Psi x) \mid x \in \Phi_0\};\end{aligned}$$

(see Theorem 4.2) below for the justification of the term ‘minimal’ for \widehat{T}_0)

(7) $[\cdot, \cdot]_{H \oplus W}$ is the symplectic form defined by

$$(4.3) \quad [(x, a), (y, b)]_{H \oplus W} := \langle \widehat{T}_1(x, a), (y, b) \rangle_{H \oplus W} - \langle (x, a), \widehat{T}_1(y, b) \rangle_{H \oplus W}$$

$$(4.4) \quad = [x, y]_H - \langle \Omega x, b \rangle_W + \langle a, \Omega y \rangle_W, \quad ((x, a), (y, b)) \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1);$$

the equality of (4.3) and (4.4) follows from the self-adjointness of \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 4.1. *Under the above definitions and assumptions,*

- (a) \widehat{T}_0 is a closed, symmetric operator satisfying $\widehat{T}_0 \subseteq \widehat{T}_1$ with $(\widehat{T}_0)^* = \widehat{T}_1$ and $(\widehat{T}_1)^* = \widehat{T}_0$;
- (b) The deficiency indices of \widehat{T}_0 are equal, finite and satisfy $\text{def}(\widehat{T}_0) = \text{def}(T_0)$.

From part (b) of this theorem, we see that the minimal operator \widehat{T}_0 (respectively, the maximal operator \widehat{T}_1) has self-adjoint extensions (respectively, self-adjoint restrictions) in $H \oplus W$. The following theorem is a generalization of the classic Glazman-Krein-Naimark theorem for the setting $H \oplus W$ with one important difference: the operators \widehat{T}_0 and \widehat{T}_1 are not assumed to be differential operators. The authors in [13] refer to this generalization as the GKN-EM Theorem.

Theorem 4.2.

- (a) *Suppose \widehat{T} is a self-adjoint extension of \widehat{T}_0 satisfying $\widehat{T}_0 \subseteq \widehat{T} \subseteq \widehat{T}_1$. Then there exists a GKN set $\{(x_j, a_j) \mid j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0)\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1)$ such that*

$$(4.5) \quad \widehat{T}(x, a) = (T_1x, \mathcal{B}a - \Omega x)$$

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}) = \{(x, a) \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1) \mid [(x, a), (x_j, a_j)]_{H \oplus W} = 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0))\}.$$

- (b) *If \widehat{T} is defined as in (4.4) and (4.5), where $\{(x_j, a_j) \mid j = 1, \dots, \text{def}(T_0)\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1)$ is a GKN, then \widehat{T} is a self-adjoint extension of \widehat{T}_0 in $H \oplus W$.*

In the next section, we use this theorem to construct the self-adjoint operator $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$, generated by the Krall differential expression $\ell_K[\cdot]$, in

$$L_\kappa^2[-1, 1] \simeq L^2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$$

having the Krall polynomials as eigenfunctions.

5. APPLICATION OF THE GKN-EM THEOREM TO THE KRALL DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION

Full details and proofs of results given below can be found in the recent Ph.D. thesis of Elliott [4].

For the Krall example, the base space is $H = L^2(-1, 1)$ equipped with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_H := \int_{-1}^1 f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx \quad (f, g \in L^2(-1, 1)).$$

The maximal operator T_1 and the minimal operator T_0 in H associated with $\ell_K[\cdot]$ are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 f)(x) &= \ell_K[f](x) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in (-1, 1)) \\ f &\in \mathcal{D}(T_1) = \Delta_K, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_K is given in (2.1), and

$$\begin{aligned} (T_0 f)(x) &= \ell_K[f](x) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in (-1, 1)) \\ f &\in \mathcal{D}(T_0) = \{f \in \Delta_K \mid [f, g]_H = 0 \ (g \in \Delta_K)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We remind the reader that, for $f, g \in \Delta_K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad [f, g]_H &:= \langle T_1 f, g \rangle_H - \langle f, T_1 g \rangle_H \\ &= [f, g]_K(1) - [f, g]_K(-1), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_K$ is the symplectic form defined in (2.3). As is well known, T_0 is closed and symmetric in $L^2(-1, 1)$ with $T_0^* = T_1$ and $T_1^* = T_0$. The deficiency indices of T_0 are equal and finite and, as the Frobenius analysis from Section 2 shows,

$$(5.2) \quad \text{def}(T_0) = 4.$$

For the Krall example, the extension space is $W = \mathbb{C}^2$ with inner product

$$(5.3) \quad \langle (a, b), (a', b') \rangle_W := \frac{a\overline{a'}}{A} + \frac{b\overline{b'}}{B}.$$

Define $\xi_1 = (\sqrt{A}, 0)$ and $\xi_2 = (0, \sqrt{B})$. Then $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis for W . For the self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{B} : W \rightarrow W$, we choose $\mathcal{B} = 0$ (see Remark (5.3) below for an explanation).

The inner product (4.4) in the extended space $H \oplus W$ is specifically given by

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad \langle (f, (a_1, b_1)), (g, (a_2, b_2)) \rangle_{H \oplus W} &= \langle f, g \rangle_H + \langle (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \rangle_W \\ &= \frac{a_1\overline{a_2}}{A} + \int_{-1}^1 f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx + \frac{b_1\overline{b_2}}{B}; \end{aligned}$$

compare (5.4) to the inner product in (1.8). For ease of eye, we adopt the notation $(f, a, b) = (f, (a, b))$ to represent a vector from $H \oplus W$.

Define $P = \{t_1, t_2\} \subset C^6[-1, 1] \cap \Delta_K$ by

$$(5.5) \quad t_1(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{A} & x \text{ near } -1 \\ 0 & x \text{ near } 1 \end{cases}, \quad t_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ \sqrt{B} & x \text{ near } 1. \end{cases}$$

We leave it to the reader to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *P is a partial GKN set for T_0 .*

In line with the notation and theory from Section 4, we define

$$\Phi_0 := \mathcal{D}(T_0) + \text{span}\{t_1, t_2\},$$

and the operators, $\Psi : \Phi_0 \rightarrow W$ by

$$\Psi(f_0 + \alpha_1 t_1 + \alpha_2 t_2) := \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2 = \left(\alpha_1 \sqrt{A}, \alpha_2 \sqrt{B} \right) \quad (f_0 \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)),$$

and $\Omega : \Delta_K \rightarrow W$ by

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega f &:= [f, t_1]_H \xi_1 + [f, t_2]_H \xi_2 \\ &= -\sqrt{A}[f, 1]_K(-1)\xi_1 + \sqrt{B}[f, 1]_K(1)\xi_2 = (-A[f, 1]_K(-1), B[f, 1]_K(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $[f, g]_K(\pm 1)$ are defined in (2.4).

With $\mathcal{B} = 0$, the minimal operator $\widehat{T}_0 : \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_0) \subseteq H \oplus W \rightarrow H \oplus W$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_0(f, (a, b)) &= (T_1 f, 0, 0) \\ \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_0) &= \{(f, \Psi f) \mid f \in \Phi_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note, from (5.2) and Theorem 4.1, that \widehat{T}_0 is a closed symmetric operator in $H \oplus W$ with $\text{def}(\widehat{T}_0) = 4$.

The associated maximal operator \widehat{T}_1 in $H \oplus W$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_1(f, a, b) &= (T_1 f, -\Omega f) = (T_1 f, A[f, 1]_K(-1), -B[f, 1]_K(1)) \\ \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1) &= \{(f, a, b) \mid f \in \Delta_K, (a, b) \in W\}. \end{aligned}$$

The symplectic form $[\cdot, \cdot]_{H \oplus W}$, defined in (4.4), is given by

$$[(f, a_1, b_1), (g, a_2, b_2)]_{H \oplus W} = [f, g]_H - \langle \Omega f, (a_2, b_2) \rangle_W + \langle (a_1, b_1), \Omega g \rangle_W,$$

for $(f, a_1, b_1), (g, a_2, b_2) \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1)$.

We now construct a GKN set for \widehat{T}_0 in $H \oplus W$. Define the functions $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \in C^6[-1, 1] \cap \Delta_K$ by

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} y_1(x) &= \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ (1-x^2)^2 & x \text{ near } 1, \end{cases} & y_2(x) &= \begin{cases} (1-x^2)^2 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ 0 & x \text{ near } 1, \end{cases} \\ y_3(x) &= \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ 1-x^2 & x \text{ near } 1, \end{cases} & y_4(x) &= \begin{cases} 1-x^2 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ 0 & x \text{ near } 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Calculations, using Theorem 2.1, show that, for $f \in \Delta_K$,

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} [f, y_1]_H &= [f, (1-x^2)^2]_K(1) = 192f(1), \\ [f, y_2]_H &= -[f, (1-x^2)^2]_K(-1) = 192f(-1), \\ [f, y_3]_H &= [f, 1-x^2]_K(1) = 2\Lambda[f](1) - 48(A+2)f(1), \\ [f, y_4]_H &= -[f, 1-x^2]_K(-1) = 2\Lambda[f](-1) - 48(B+2)f(-1). \end{aligned}$$

The importance of these functions $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^4$ lies in the following result.

Lemma 5.2. $\{y_i, (0, 0)\}_{i=1}^4$ is a GKN set for \widehat{T}_0 in $H \oplus W$.

Proof. It will first be shown that $\{y_i, (0, 0)\}_{i=1}^4$ is linearly independent modulo $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_0)$. Since

$$[(y_i, (0, 0)), (y_j, (0, 0))]_{H \oplus W} = [y_i, y_j]_H$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, it is sufficient to show that $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is linearly independent modulo $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$. To this end, let $f \in \Delta_K$ and arbitrarily choose $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, from Theorem 2.1 (iv) and (v), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [f, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H &= \bar{c}_1 [f, y_1]_H + \bar{c}_2 [f, y_2]_H + \bar{c}_3 [f, y_3]_H + \bar{c}_4 [f, y_4]_H \\ &= 192\bar{c}_1 f(1) + 192\bar{c}_2 f(-1) \\ &\quad + \bar{c}_3 (2\Lambda[f](1) - 48(A+2)f(1)) \\ &\quad + \bar{c}_4 (2\Lambda[f](-1) - 48(B+2)f(-1)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

We now specifically choose various $f \in \Delta_K$. Define $f_1, f_2 \in C^6[-1, 1] \cap \Delta_K$ by

$$f_1(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ 0 & x \text{ near } 1, \end{cases}, \quad f_2(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & x \text{ near } -1 \\ 1 & x \text{ near } 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$[f_1, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H = 192\bar{c}_2 - 48(B+2)\bar{c}_4 \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$[f_2, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H = 192\bar{c}_1 - 48(A+2)\bar{c}_3. \tag{5.11}$$

Using the functions $h_{\pm}(x)$ defined in Theorem 2.1 (vi), some tedious calculations show that $\Lambda[h_+](1) = 24$ and

$$[h_+, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H = 48\bar{c}_3; \tag{5.12}$$

similarly, $\Lambda[h_-](-1) = 24$ and

$$[h_-, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H = 48\bar{c}_4. \tag{5.13}$$

From the identities in (5.10), (5.11), (5.12), and (5.13), we immediately see that the simultaneous solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H &= 0, \\ [f_2, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H &= 0, \\ [h_+, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H &= 0, \\ [h_-, c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2 + c_3 y_3 + c_4 y_4]_H &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

is $\bar{c}_1 = \bar{c}_2 = \bar{c}_3 = \bar{c}_4 = 0$. Therefore, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is linearly independent modulo $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ and, hence, $\{y_i, (0, 0)\}_{i=1}^4$ is linearly independent modulo $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_0)$.

We now show that $[(y_i, (0, 0)), (y_j, (0, 0))]_{H \oplus W} = [y_i, y_j]_H = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Straightforward calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} [y_1, y_1]_H &= 192y_1(1) = 0, & [y_1, y_2]_H &= 192y_1(-1) = 0, \\ [y_1, y_3]_H &= -192y_3(1) = 0, & [y_1, y_4]_H &= -192y_4(1) = 0, \\ [y_2, y_2]_H &= 192y_2(-1) = 0, & [y_2, y_3]_H &= -192y_3(-1) = 0, \\ [y_2, y_4]_H &= -192y_4(-1) = 0, & [y_3, y_3]_H &= 2\Lambda[y_3](1) - 48(A+2)y_3(1) = 0, \\ [y_3, y_4]_H &= 2\Lambda[y_3](-1) - 48(B+2)y_3(-1) = 0, & [y_4, y_4]_H &= 2\Lambda[y_4](-1) - 48(B+2)y_4(-1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

and since $[y_i, y_j]_H = -[y_j, y_i]_H$, we conclude that $\{(y_i, (0, 0))\}_{i=1}^4$ is a GKN set for \widehat{T}_0 . \square

It follows, from Theorem 4.2, that the operator $\widehat{T}_{A,B} : \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_{A,B}) \subset L^2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow L^2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by

$$(5.14) \quad \widehat{T}_{A,B}[(f, a, b)](x) = (\ell_K[f](x), -\Omega[f]) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in (-1, 1))$$

$$(5.15) \quad \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_{A,B}) := \{(f, a, b) \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1) \mid [(f, (a, b)), (y_j, (0, 0))]_{H \oplus W} = 0 \ (j, 1, 2, 3, 4)\}$$

is self-adjoint in $L^2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$. For the remainder of this section, we will prove this operator is equivalent to the self-adjoint operator found by Loveland et al in [5] and [14] and to show that the Krall polynomials $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are a complete set of eigenfunctions of $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$. To prove this, we begin with the following fundamental theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *With $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^4$ defined in (5.7) and $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_{A,B})$ as given in (5.15), we have*

$$(5.16) \quad \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_{A,B}) = \{(f, f(-1), f(1)) \mid f \in \delta_K\},$$

where δ_K is defined in (3.4). Moreover, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_{A,B})$,

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_{A,B}[(f, f(-1), f(1))](x) \\ = (\ell_K[f](x), 24A f''(-1) - 24A(B+1)f'(-1), 24B f''(1) + 24B(A+1)f'(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose $f \in \Delta_K$ and $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfy, for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= [(f, (a, b)), (y_j, (0, 0))]_{H \oplus W} \\ &= [f, y_j]_H + \langle (a, b), \Omega y_j \rangle_W. \end{aligned}$$

Calculations show that

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega y_1 &= (0, -192B), & \Omega y_2 &= (-192A, 0), \\ \Omega y_3 &= (0, 48B(A+2)), & \Omega y_4 &= (48A(B+2), 0). \end{aligned}$$

Together with the identities in (5.8), it follows that the equations in (5.18) are equivalent to

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & 192f(1) - 192b = 0, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & 192f(-1) - 192a = 0, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & 2\Lambda[f](1) - 48(A+2)f(1) + 48b(A+2) = 0, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & 2\Lambda[f](-1) - 48(B+2)f(-1) + 48a(B+2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (i) and (ii) above, we find that $f(1) = b$ and $f(-1) = a$. Substituting these values into (iii) and (iv), we find that

$$(5.21) \quad \Lambda[f](-1) = \Lambda[f](1) = 0.$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we see that $f \in \delta_K$. It follows that

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \{(f, (a, b)) \in \mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}_1) \mid [(f, (a, b)), (y_j, (0, 0))]_{H \oplus W} = 0 \ (j, 1, 2, 3, 4)\} \\ \subseteq \{(f, f(-1), f(1)) \mid f \in \delta_K\}. \end{aligned}$$

The reverse inclusion follows from the conditions given in (5.20). As for the form of $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$ note that, from Theorem 4.2, the form of $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$ is given in (5.14). Using (5.6) together with (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain the representation given in (5.17). \square

Remark 5.1. *Compare Theorem 5.1 to Theorem 3.3 to see that $T_{A,B}$, given in (3.7), and $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$, given in Theorem 5.1, are (essentially) the same.*

Remark 5.2. Note that, from (i) and (ii) in (5.20), two of the GKN-EM boundary conditions, specifically

$$[f, y_i]_H + \langle (a, b), \Omega y_i \rangle_W = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

are equivalent to the conditions $a = f(-1)$ and $b = f(1)$. In other words, these boundary conditions force continuity at the endpoints of $f \in \delta_K$. The other two boundary conditions are, essentially, classical GKN boundary conditions; indeed, once continuity at the endpoints is forced, these remaining two conditions, namely

$$[f, y_j]_H + \langle (a, b), \Omega y_j \rangle_W = 0 \quad (i = 3, 4)$$

are equivalent to

$$[f, \psi_+]_H = [f, \psi_-]_H = 0.$$

The final result that we establish shows that the Krall polynomials $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are eigenfunctions of $\widehat{T}_{A,B}[\cdot]$ in the following sense.

Theorem 5.2. $\{(K_{n,A,B}, K_{n,A,B}(-1), K_{n,A,B}(1))\}_{n=0}^\infty$ form a complete set of eigenfunctions of $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$ in $L^2((-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Proof. Clearly $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset \Delta_K$. Straightforward calculations show that

$$[K_{n,A,B}, \psi_{-1}]_H = -[K_{n,A,B}, \psi_{-1}]_K(-1) = 0$$

and

$$[K_{n,A,B}, \psi_{+1}]_H = [K_{n,A,B}, \psi_{+1}]_K(1) = 0$$

so $\{K_{n,A,B}\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset \delta_K$. The Krall polynomials are eigenfunctions of the Krall expression $\ell_K[\cdot]$; specifically, from (1.4), we see that

$$\ell_K[K_{n,A,B}](x) = \lambda_n K_{n,A,B}(x) \quad (x \in [-1, 1]),$$

where the eigenvalue λ_n is given in (1.3). Moreover, we can see directly from the Krall expression in (1.1) that

$$\ell_K[K_{n,A,B}](-1) = 24AK''_{n,A,B}(-1) - (24AB + 24A)K'_{n,A,B}(-1) = \lambda_n K_{n,A,B}(-1)$$

and

$$\ell_K[K_{n,A,B}](1) = 24BK''_{n,A,B}(1) + (24AB + 24B)K'_{n,A,B}(1) = \lambda_n K_{n,A,B}(1).$$

Then, from (5.17),

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{T}_{A,B}[(K_{n,A,B}, K_{n,A,B}(-1), K_{n,A,B}(1))] \\ &= (\ell_K[K_{n,A,B}], 24AK''_{n,A,B}(-1) - 24A(B+1)K'_{n,A,B}(-1), 24BK''_{n,A,B}(1) + 24B(A+1)K'_{n,A,B}(1)) \\ &= (\ell_K[K_{n,A,B}], \ell_K[K_{n,A,B}](-1), \ell_K[K_{n,A,B}](1)) \\ &= \lambda_n (K_{n,A,B}, K_{n,A,B}(-1), K_{n,A,B}(1)). \end{aligned}$$

The completeness of $\{(K_{n,A,B}, K_{n,A,B}(-1), K_{n,A,B}(1))\}_{n=0}^\infty$ follows from the self-adjointness of $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$ and the discreteness of its spectrum $\sigma(\widehat{T}_{A,B}) = \{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. \square

Remark 5.3. We began our analysis of the Krall expression in this section by assuming the self-adjoint operator \mathcal{B} in $W = \mathbb{C}^2$ is the zero matrix. This is necessary for our $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$ to match up with Loveland's self-adjoint operator (3.7). In particular, the condition that $\mathcal{B} = 0$ is necessary for the Krall polynomials to be eigenfunctions of the operator $\widehat{T}_{A,B}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman, *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space I and II*, Ungar Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [2] H. Behrndt, S. Hassi and H. de Snoo, *Boundary value problems, Weyl functions and differential operators*, Monographs in Mathematics, No. 108, Birkhäuser Publishers, Basel, Switzerland, 2020.
- [3] R. S. Chisholm and W. N. Everitt, *On bounded integral operators in the space of integrable-square functions*, Royal Soc. Edinburgh (A) 69(1971), 199-204.
- [4] K. Elliott, *The sixth-order Krall differential expression and self-adjoint operators*, Ph.D. thesis, Baylor University, Waco, Texas, 2019.
- [5] W. N. Everitt, L. L. Littlejohn, and S. M. Loveland, *Some properties of the sixth-order Legendre-type differential expression*, Rend. Mat. (7), Vol. 13, 1993, 773-799.
- [6] W. N. Everitt and L. Markus, *Complex Symplectic Geometry with Applications to Ordinary Differential Operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 351(12), 1999, 4905-4945.
- [7] W. N. Everitt and L. Markus, *Boundary Value Problems and Symplectic Algebra for Ordinary Differential and Quasi-Differential Operators*, Math. Surveys Monogr., Volume 61, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1999.
- [8] W. N. Everitt and L. Markus, *Complex Symplectic Spaces and Boundary Value Problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 42(2005), no. 4, 461-500.
- [9] A. M. Krall, *Orthogonal polynomials satisfying fourth order differential equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A, 87 (1981) 271-288.
- [10] H. L. Krall, *Certain differential equations for Tchebycheff polynomials*, Duke Math. J. 4 (1938) 705-718.
- [11] L. L. Littlejohn, *Nonclassical orthogonal polynomials and differential equations*, Ph.D. thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, 1981.
- [12] L. L. Littlejohn, *The Krall polynomials: a new class of orthogonal polynomials*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 5 (1982), 255-265.
- [13] L. L. Littlejohn and R. Wellman, *Self-adjoint operators and the general GKN-EM theorem*, Operators and Matrices, 13(3), 2019, 667-704.
- [14] S. M. Loveland, *Spectral analysis of the Legendre equations*, Ph.D. thesis, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, 1990.
- [15] M. A. Naimark, *Linear differential operators*, Vol. II, Ungar Publishing Co., New York, NY., 1968.
- [16] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Volume 23, Providence, Rhode Island, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-EAU CLAIRE, HIBBARD HUMANITIES HALL 508, EAU CLAIRE, WI 54701.

E-mail address: `elliottkl@uwec.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, ONE BEAR PLACE #97328, WACO, TX 76798-7328.

E-mail address: `lance.littlejohn@baylor.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, TUT SCIENCE 208, 14 E. CACHE LA POUDE ST., COLORADO COLLEGE, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903.

E-mail address: `rwellman@coloradocollege.edu`