

WEIGHTED WORDS AT DEGREE TWO, II: FLAT PARTITIONS, REGULAR PARTITIONS, AND APPLICATION TO LEVEL ONE PERFECT CRYSTALS

ISAAC KONAN

ABSTRACT. In a recent work, Keith and Xiong gave a refinement of Glaisher's theorem by using a Sylvester-style bijection. In this paper, we introduce two families of colored partitions, flat and regular partitions, and generalize the bijection of Keith and Xiong to these partitions. We then state two results, the first at degree one, where partitions have parts with primary colors, and the second result at degree two for secondary-colored partitions, using the result of the first paper of this series. These results allow us to easily compute the character of level one standard modules for the type $A_{2n}^{(2)}, D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ and $B_n^{(1)}$, and also to make the connection between the result stated in paper one and the quantum theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. History. Let n be a positive integer. A partition of n is defined as a non-increasing sequence of positive integers, called the parts of the partition, and whose sum is equal to n . For example, the partitions of 5 are

$$(5), (4, 1), (3, 2), (3, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1), \text{ and } (1, 1, 1, 1, 1).$$

A partition identity is a combinatorial identity that links two or several sets of integer partitions. The study of such identities has interested mathematicians for centuries, dating back to Euler's proof that there are as many partitions of n into distinct parts as partitions of n into odd parts. The Euler distinct-odd identity can be written in terms of q -series with the following expression:

$$(-q; q)_\infty = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} = \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_\infty}. \quad (1.1)$$

In the latter formula, $(x; q)_m = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (1 - xq^k)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and x, q such that $|q| < 1$. We also define for any a_1, \dots, a_s the expression $(a_1, \dots, a_s; q)_m = (a_1; q)_m \cdots (a_s; q)_m$.

We note that while the Euler identity is not difficult to prove by computing the generating function of both sets of partitions, the bijection that links these sets is not trivial.

In [7], Glaisher bijectively proved the first broad generalization of the Euler identity. Let m be a positive integer. We define an m -flat partition to be a partition where the differences between two consecutive parts, as well the smallest part, are less than m , and an m -regular partition to be a partition with parts not divisible by m . The generalization of Euler's identity given by Glaisher, and which makes the connection between m -flat and m -regular partitions, is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Glaisher). *For a fixed positive integer n , the following sets of partitions are equinumerous:*

- (1) *the m -regular partitions of n ,*
- (2) *the partitions of n with fewer than m occurrences for each positive integer,*
- (3) *the m -flat partitions of n .*

The corresponding q -series is

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + q^n + q^{2n} + \cdots + q^{n(m-1)}) = \prod_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ m \nmid n}} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)} = \frac{(q^m; q^m)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}. \quad (1.2)$$

Another bijective proof of the Euler identity was given by Sylvester [21]. However, it was an open problem to find a suitable generalization of Sylvester's bijection for the Glaisher identity.

This problem was solved, a century after the paper of Sylvester, by Stockhofe in his Ph.D thesis [20]. In the 90's, seminal works of Bessenrodt [2], and Pak and Postinkov [16], related the Sylvester algorithm to the alternating sign sum of integer partitions. They then gave new refinements of the Euler identity.

In this paper, we focus on a broad refinement of Glaisher's identity given by Keith and Xiong [12]. Their proof used a variant of the Sylvester-style bijection given by Stockhofe.

Theorem 1.2 (Keith-Xiong). *Let $m \geq 2$, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}, n be non-negative integers. Then, the number of m -flat partitions of n **with** u_i parts congruent to $i \pmod m$ is equal to the number of m -regular partitions of n **into** u_i parts congruent to $i \pmod m$.*

The main goal of this second paper is to state two results, beyond the refinement of Keith-Xiong, that link a general definition of flat partitions and regular partitions given in terms of weighted words.

1.2. Statement of Results.

1.2.1. *Generalized flat and regular partitions.* We first recall the notion of grounded partitions, introduced by the author and Dousse in [6].

Let \mathcal{C} be a set of colors, and let $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{C}} = \{k_c : k \in \mathbb{Z}, c \in \mathcal{C}\}$ be the set of colored integers.

Definition 1.3. Let \gg be a binary relation defined on $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}$. A *generalized colored partition* with relation \gg is a finite sequence (π_0, \dots, π_s) of colored integers, where for all $i \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$, $\pi_i \gg \pi_{i+1}$.

In the following, $c(\pi_i) \in \mathcal{C}$ denotes the color of the part π_i . The quantity $|\pi| = \pi_0 + \dots + \pi_s$ is the total size of π , and $C(\pi) = c(\pi_0) \dots c(\pi_s)$ is its color sequence.

Remark 1.4. *The binary relation is not necessarily an order. When \gg is a strict total order, we can easily check that every finite set of colored parts defines a classical colored partition, by ordering the parts. In the same way, for a total order, the generalized colored partitions are finite multi-sets of colored integers.*

Let us choose a particular color c_g . We now introduce the grounded partitions as a particular kind of generalized colored partitions.

Definition 1.5. A *grounded partition* with ground c_g and relation \gg is a non-empty generalized colored partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_s)$ with relation \gg , such that $\pi_s = 0_{c_g}$, and when $s > 0$, $\pi_{s-1} \neq 0_{c_g}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{c_g}^{\gg}$ denote the set of such partitions.

In the following, we explicitly write $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g})$. The trivial partition in $\mathcal{P}_{c_g}^{\gg}$ is then (0_{c_g}) .

Example 1.6. *Consider the set of classical integer partitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$, with integer parts satisfying $\pi_1 \geq \dots \geq \pi_s > 0$, where the empty partition is such that $s = 0$. This set is in bijection with the set \mathcal{P}_c of the grounded colored partitions with only one color c , defined by the relation*

$$k_c \gg l_c \iff k - l \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In fact, the correspondence is defined by

$$(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s) \mapsto ((\pi_1)_c, \dots, (\pi_s)_c, 0_c) = (\pi'_0, \dots, \pi'_{s-1}, 0_c),$$

where the empty partition \emptyset corresponds to the colored part 0_c .

In part one of this series, we viewed colored partitions in terms of energy, where a part with size k and color c is referred to a particle with potential k and state c . In the following, we keep this convention. The set of colors \mathcal{C} is then referred to the set of *states*, and we identify $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}$ as the set of *particles*. We then refer to the colored integer k_c as the particle with state c and potential k .

We also note that from a set \mathcal{C} , one can build the *states of degree k* as products of k states in \mathcal{C} , for any positive integer k . The set of degree k states is denoted \mathcal{C}^k , and is equal to $\{c_1 \dots c_k : c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Therefore, we write $\mathcal{C}^1 = \mathcal{C}$ the set of *primary* states, and we say that we use weighted words at degree k if the states of the particles have degree at most k , i.e if we consider the set of states $\mathcal{C}^1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{C}^k$.

We now extend the notion of flatness to the grounded partitions.

Definition 1.7. Let ϵ be a function from \mathcal{C}^2 to \mathbb{Z} , called *energy*. A *flat partition* with ground c_g and energy ϵ is a grounded partition with ground c_g and the relation \succ_ϵ defined by

$$k_c \succ_\epsilon l_d \iff k - l = \epsilon(c, d). \quad (1.4)$$

These partitions are determined by their sequence of states as well as the energy ϵ . This comes from the fact that for such a partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g})$, the computation of the potential of π_k gives the following relation,

$$\pi_k = \sum_{l=k}^{s-1} \epsilon(c(\pi_l), c(\pi_{l+1})).$$

Example 1.8. A good example of flat partitions are the m -flat partitions. It suffices to consider the set of states $\mathcal{C} = \{c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}\}$, $c_g = c_0$ and define the energy ϵ by

$$\epsilon(c_i, c_j) = \begin{cases} i - j & \text{if } i \geq j \\ m + i - j & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}.$$

With these definitions, for any flat partition, its particles with state c_i necessarily have a potential congruent to i modulo m . We also observe that ϵ has values in $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$. We then associate to any m -flat partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ the flat partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_0})$ such that, for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$,

$$\pi_k = \lambda_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad c(\pi_k) = c_{[\lambda_{k+1}]_m},$$

where $[\lambda_{k+1}]_m = \lambda_{k+1} \pmod{m}$.

Let us now generalize the notion of regularity.

Definition 1.9. A regular partition in c_g and with relation \gg is a grounded partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g})$ with ground c_g and relation \gg , such that $c(\pi_k) \neq c_g$ for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$.

Example 1.10. An example of such partitions are the m -regular partitions. It suffices to consider as in Example 1.8 the set of states $\mathcal{C} = \{c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}\}$, $c_g = c_0$ and define the relation \gg by

$$k_{c_i} \gg l_{c_j} \iff k \geq l \quad \text{and} \quad k - l \equiv i - j \pmod{m},$$

so that, in any regular partition, the potential of particles with state c_i are necessarily congruent to i modulo m . We then associate to any m -regular partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ the regular partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_0})$ such that, for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$,

$$\pi_k = \lambda_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad c(\pi_k) = c_{[\lambda_{k+1}]_m}.$$

In the remainder of this paper, we take for regular partitions a relation \gg_ϵ , associated to a energy ϵ , and defined by

$$k_c \gg_\epsilon l_d \iff k - l \geq \epsilon(c, d). \quad (1.5)$$

We then call the relation \gg_ϵ the *minimal difference condition* given by energy ϵ , and a regular partition with relation \gg_ϵ is referred to as regular partition with energy ϵ .

Considering the set of states and the energy ϵ given Example 1.8, by Theorem 1.2, there exists a bijection between the corresponding flat partitions with ground c_0 and energy ϵ and the regular partitions in c_0 and with energy ϵ , such that the particles with state c_i have potentials congruent to $i \pmod{m}$. The latter regular partitions are those described in Example 1.10. Furthermore, the bijection occurs between the partitions of both kinds with a fixed total energy and numbers of occurrences of the states different from the ground c_0 .

The main theorems of this paper have the following formulation.

Theorem 1.11. Let \mathcal{C} be a set of states and let $c_g \in \mathcal{C}$ be the ground. Then, for some suitable energies ϵ' and ϵ , there exists a bijection between **a certain** set of flat partitions with ground c_g and energy ϵ and **a certain** set of regular partitions in ground c_g and with energy ϵ' .

In Section 2, we give two such theorems. The first result, Theorem 2.8, is related to weighted words at degree one, i.e for primary states and particles, and energies satisfying $\epsilon = \epsilon'$. Here we give a corollary of Theorem 2.8 as the following analogue of Glaisher's theorem for m -regular partitions into distinct parts.

Corollary 1.12. *Let m and n be positive integers. Then, the number of m -regular partitions of n into **distinct** parts is equal to the number of $(m + 1)$ -flat partitions of n , such that*

- *the smallest part is less than m ,*
- *two consecutive parts divisible by m are necessarily equal,*
- *two consecutive parts not divisible by m and with the same congruence modulo m are necessarily distinct.*

Example 1.13. *Here we take $m = 3$ and $n = 16$, and the 3-regular partition of 16 into distinct parts are*

$$(16), (14, 2), (13, 2, 1), (11, 5), (11, 4, 1), (10, 5, 1), (10, 4, 2), (8, 7, 1), (8, 5, 2, 1), \text{ and } (7, 5, 4)$$

and the 4-flat partitions of 16 of the second kind are

$$(8, 5, 2, 1), (7, 5, 3, 1), (7, 4, 3, 2), (6, 5, 4, 1), (6, 5, 3, 2), (6, 4, 3, 2, 1), (5, 4, 3, 3, 1), (5, 3, 3, 3, 2), (4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 1),$$

$$\text{and } (3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 1).$$

Another consequence of Theorem 2.8 consists in easing the computation of characters of the representations of some affine Lie algebras. Formulas for such characters are given in [6] as generating functions of the flat partitions with respect to a ground and a suitable energy function of certain perfect crystals.

The second result, Theorem 2.13, concerns weighted words at degree two, and energies satisfying $\epsilon = \epsilon'$ up to some exceptions. This second theorem uses Theorem 2.8 and the result from the first paper of this series [14], which we summarize in Section 2.1. In the particular case of representations of affine Lie algebras we study here, Theorem 2.13 allows us to connect the difference conditions of the result given in the first paper and the energy function of the square, in terms of tensor product, of the vector representation.

1.2.2. *Character formulas for level one standard modules.* We refer the reader to [8] for the definitions in the theory of Kac-Moody algebras.

Let n be a non-negative integer, and consider the Cartan datum $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$ for a generalised Cartan matrix A of affine type and rank n . Here Π is the set of the simple roots $\alpha_i (i \in \{0, \dots, n\})$, and we denote by $\bar{P} = \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_n$ the lattice of the classical weights, where the elements $\Lambda_\ell (\ell \in \{0, \dots, n\})$ are the fundamental weights. We denote by δ the null root. $L(\Lambda)$ denote the irreducible module of highest weight Λ , also called the standard module. Using Theorem 2.8 and [6, Theorem 3.8], we compute the following characters $\text{ch}(L(\Lambda))$.

Theorem 1.14. *Let $n \geq 2$, and let $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be the fundamental weights and let $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the simple roots of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$. We have in $\mathbb{Z}[[e^{-\alpha_0}, e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_n}]]$ that*

$$e^{-\Lambda_0} \text{ch}(L(\Lambda_0)) = \prod_{u=1}^n (-e^{-\delta' - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n - \sum_{i=u}^{n-1} \alpha_i}, -e^{-\delta' + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n + \sum_{i=u}^{n-1} \alpha_i}; e^{-2\delta'})_\infty, \quad (1.6)$$

where $2\delta' = \delta = 2\alpha_0 + \dots + 2\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$ is the null root.

Theorem 1.15. *Let $n \geq 2$, and let $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be the fundamental weights and let $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the simple roots of $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. We have in $\mathbb{Z}[[e^{-\alpha_0}, e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_n}]]$ that*

$$e^{-\Lambda_0} \text{ch}(L(\Lambda_0)) = \frac{1}{(e^{-\delta}; e^{-2\delta})_\infty} \cdot \prod_{u=1}^n (-e^{-\delta - \sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i}, -e^{-\delta + \sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i}; e^{-2\delta})_\infty, \quad (1.7)$$

$$e^{-\Lambda_n} \text{ch}(L(\Lambda_n)) = \frac{1}{(e^{-\delta}; e^{-2\delta})_\infty} \cdot \prod_{u=1}^n (-e^{-\sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i}, -e^{-2\delta + \sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i}; e^{-2\delta})_\infty, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\delta = \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n$ is the null root.

Theorem 1.16. Let $n \geq 3$, and let $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be the fundamental weights and let $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the simple roots of $B_n^{(1)}$. We have in $\mathbb{Z}[[e^{-\alpha_0}, e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_n}]]$ that

$$e^{-\Lambda_n} \text{ch}(L(\Lambda_n)) = \frac{1}{(e^{-\delta}; e^{-2\delta})_\infty} \cdot \prod_{u=1}^n (-e^{-\sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i}, -e^{-\delta + \sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i}; e^{-\delta})_\infty, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\delta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \cdots + 2\alpha_n$ is the null root.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. We first give in Section 2 the tools and the main result of the first paper [14], as well as the main results connecting flat and regular partitions at degree one and two. Second, in Section 3, we prove the character formulas assuming Theorem 2.8. After that, we prove Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.13 respectively in Section 4 and Section 5. Finally, we discuss in Section 6 the possibility of a suitable Theorem 1.11 at degree k for $k \geq 3$ and conclude with some remarks in Section 7.

2. THE SETUP

2.1. Weighted words: colored integers as energetic particles. Here we recall the basic tools and results of the first paper [14].

Let \mathcal{C} be a set of primary states, countable or not. We recall the set of primary particles $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}$, which we also denote by $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{C}$. A primary particle with potential k and state c is then identified as k_c or (k, c) .

Definition 2.1. A *minimal energy* is an energy ϵ from \mathcal{C}^2 to $\{0, 1\}$. When $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$, the data given by ϵ is equivalent to the matrix $M_\epsilon = (\epsilon(c_i, c_j))_{i,j=1}^n$, denoted the *energy matrix*. The *energy relation* \succ_ϵ with respect to ϵ is the binary relation on \mathcal{P}^2 defined by the following,

$$(k, c) \succ_\epsilon (k', c') \iff k - k' \geq \epsilon(c, c'). \quad (2.1)$$

Example 2.2. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ be a set of states, and let I_1, I_2 be a set-partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We then define the minimal energy

$$\epsilon(c_i, c_j) = \begin{cases} \chi(i < j) & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \chi(i \in I_1) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}. \quad (2.2)$$

We then have the general order on the particles,

$$\cdots \succ_\epsilon (k+1)_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_n} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_{n-1}} \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon k_{c_2} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon \cdots,$$

and $k_{c_i} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_i}$ if $i \in I_2$ and $k_{c_i} \not\succ_\epsilon k_{c_i}$ if $i \in I_1$. This means that a particle k_{c_i} can be repeated in the well-ordered sequence of particles if and only if $i \in I_2$.

Example 2.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2\}$ and define the minimal energy

$$\epsilon(c_i, c_j) = \chi(i = j). \quad (2.3)$$

We then have the general relation on the particles,

$$\cdots \succ_\epsilon (k+1)_{c_2} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_2} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_2} \succ_\epsilon k_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon (k-1)_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon \cdots,$$

and $k_{c_i} \not\succ_\epsilon k_{c_i}$. A well-related sequence of particles with the same potential is then an alternating sequence.

Definition 2.4. We define the *secondary particles* to be the sums of two consecutive primary particles in terms of \succ_ϵ . We denote by $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{C}^2$ the set of secondary particles, in such a way that the particle

$$(k, c, c') = (k + \epsilon(c, c'), c) + (k, c') \quad (2.4)$$

has potential $2k + \epsilon(c, c')$ and state cc' . In the following, we identify a secondary particle as (k, c, c') or $(2k + \epsilon(c, c'))_{cc'}$. We denote by $\gamma(k, c, c')$ and $\mu(k, c, c')$ the primary particles

$$\gamma(k, c, c') = (k + \epsilon(c, c'), c) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(k, c, c') = (k, c'),$$

respectively called *upper* and *lower* halves of the secondary particles (k, c, c') .

Definition 2.5. We define the relation \gg_ϵ on $\mathcal{P} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ as follows:

$$(k, c) \gg_\epsilon (k', c') \iff k - k' > \epsilon(c, c'), \quad (2.5)$$

$$(k, c) \gg_\epsilon (k', c', c'') \iff k - (2k' + \epsilon(c', c'')) \geq \epsilon(c, c') + \epsilon(c', c''), \quad (2.6)$$

$$(k, c, c') \gg_\epsilon (k', c'') \iff (2k + \epsilon(c, c')) - k' > \epsilon(c, c') + \epsilon(c', c''), \quad (2.7)$$

$$(k, c, c') \gg_\epsilon (k', c'', c''') \iff k - k' \geq \epsilon(c', c'') + \epsilon(c'', c'''). \quad (2.8)$$

Definition 2.6. Let \mathcal{O}_ϵ (respectively \mathcal{E}_ϵ) be the set of all generalized colored partitions with particles in \mathcal{P} (resp. $\mathcal{P} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$) and with relation \succ_ϵ (resp. \gg_ϵ).

For $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$, we consider the following sets:

- $\mathcal{P}^{\rho+} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \rho} \times \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon^{\rho+} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \rho} \times \mathcal{C}^2 = \{(k, c, c') \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon : k \geq \rho\}$,
- $\mathcal{P}^{\rho-} = \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \rho} \times \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon^{\rho-} = \{(k, c, c') \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon : k + \epsilon(c, c') \leq \rho\}$.

We then denote by $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}$ (respectively $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho-}$) the subset of \mathcal{O}_ϵ with particles in $\mathcal{P}^{\rho+}$ (respectively $\mathcal{P}^{\rho-}$), and by $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}$ (respectively $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho-}$) the subset of \mathcal{E}_ϵ with particles in $\mathcal{P}^{\rho+} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^{\rho+}$ (respectively $\mathcal{P}^{\rho-} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^{\rho-}$).

Since secondary states are products of two primary states, the state sequence of partitions in \mathcal{O}_ϵ and \mathcal{E}_ϵ is then seen as a finite *non-commutative* product of states in \mathcal{C} . The size and color sequence of a generalized colored partition are respectively called *total energy* and *State*.

The main theorem of [14] is then stated as follows.

Theorem 2.7. For any integer n and any finite non-commutative product C of colors in \mathcal{C} , there exists a bijection between $\{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_\epsilon : (C(\lambda), |\lambda|) = (C, n)\}$ and $\{\nu \in \mathcal{E}_\epsilon : (C(\nu), |\nu|) = (C, n)\}$. In particular, for $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$, we have the identities

$$|\{\nu \in \mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+} : (C(\nu), |\nu|) = (C, n)\}| = |\{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+} : (C(\lambda), |\lambda|) = (C, n)\}|, \quad (2.9)$$

$$|\{\nu \in \mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho-} : (C(\nu), |\nu|) = (C, n)\}| = |\{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho-} : (C(\lambda), |\lambda|) = (C, n)\}|. \quad (2.10)$$

In the remainder of this paper, we keep the convention on primary and secondary particles. Note that the partitions in \mathcal{O}_ϵ and \mathcal{E}_ϵ are not grounded partitions, but we will see in Section 5.2 how to render them as regular partitions.

2.2. Weighted words, flat partitions and regular partitions. Let \mathcal{C} be a set of primary states, and let ϵ be a **minimal energy** as defined in Definition 2.1.

2.2.1. Weighted words at degree one. Let us fix a ground $c_g \in \mathcal{C}$. We set $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ to be the set of *primary* flat partitions, which are the flat partitions with ground c_g and energy ϵ . Recall that the energy ϵ defines a relation \succ_ϵ as follows,

$$k_c \succ_\epsilon k_{c'} \iff k - k' = \epsilon(c, c'). \quad (2.11)$$

Let us also recall the energy relation \succ_ϵ defined by

$$k_c \succ_\epsilon k_{c'} \iff k - k' \geq \epsilon(c, c'), \quad (2.12)$$

and let $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ be the set of *primary* regular partitions, which are the regular partitions in ground c_g and with energy ϵ .

Assuming that $c_g = 1$, one can see, for both flat or regular partitions, the state sequence as a product of states in $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{c_g\}$. Let us set $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{c_g\}$. Depending on certain properties of ϵ , we can build a bijection between $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ which preserves both the Energy and the State of partitions.

Theorem 2.8 (degree one). *Let us assume that $\epsilon(c_g, c_g) = 0$, and that for all $c, c' \neq 0$,*

$$\epsilon(c', c_g) = \epsilon(c, c_g) = 1 - \epsilon(c_g, c). \quad (2.13)$$

There then exists a bijection Ω between $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ and $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ which preserves the total energy and the sequence of states different from c_g .

This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.2. To see that Theorem 2.8 implies Theorem 1.2, we take the set $\mathcal{C} = \{c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}\}$, and set $c_g = c_0$. Theorem 1.2 then corresponds to the energy $\epsilon(c_i, c_j) = \chi(i < j)$, followed by the transformation

$$(q, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \mapsto (q^m, 1, q, \dots, q^{m-1}).$$

The latter operation means that the particle is k_{c_i} is transformed into the part $mk + i$, and the relations in (2.11) and (2.12) induced by ϵ then become

$$\begin{aligned} mk + i \succ_{\epsilon} mk' + i' &\iff (mk + i) - (mk' + i') = \begin{cases} i - i' & \text{if } i \geq i' \\ m + i - i' & \text{if } i < i' \end{cases}, \\ mk + i \succ_{\epsilon} mk' + i' &\iff (mk + i) - (mk' + i') \geq \begin{cases} i - i' & \text{if } i \geq i' \\ m + i - i' & \text{if } i < i' \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last part corresponds to 0 for both flat and regular partitions after this transformation. We then retrieve the flat partitions of Example 1.8 and the regular partitions in Example 1.10, except that we implicitly assimilate the congruence modulo m of the part size to the unique corresponding state in \mathcal{C} .

Similarly, Theorem 1.2 is also implied by Theorem 2.8 with the energy $\epsilon(c_i, c_j) = \chi(i > j)$ followed by the transformation $(q, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \mapsto (q^m, 1, q^{-1}, \dots, q^{1-m})$, in which case the particle k_{c_i} is assimilated to the part $km - i$.

In the same way, we obtain the analogue of Glaisher, stated in Corollary 1.12, by considering the same set of states $\mathcal{C} = \{c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}\}$, the ground $c_g = c_0$, the transformation $(q, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \mapsto (q^m, 1, q, \dots, q^{m-1})$, but a slightly different energy ϵ , given in Example 2.2 with $I_2 = \{0\}$,

$$\epsilon(c_i, c_j) = \begin{cases} \chi(i < j) & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note that the restriction of ϵ to $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{c_0\} = \mathcal{C}'$ then gives $\epsilon(c_i, c_j) = \chi(i \leq j)$.

2.2.2. Weighted words at degree two. Let us now assume that ϵ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.8 and consider the set of secondary particles \mathcal{S}_{ϵ} defined in Definition 2.4. We set δ_g to be the common value of $\epsilon(c_g, c)$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}'$.

Definition 2.9. We define $\mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}$ to be the set of *secondary* flat partitions, which are the flat partitions into secondary particles in \mathcal{S}_{ϵ} , with ground c_g^2 and energy ϵ_2 defined by

$$\epsilon_2(cc', dd') = \epsilon(c, c') + 2\epsilon(c', d) + \epsilon(d, d'). \quad (2.14)$$

Remark 2.10. The definition of ϵ_2 equivalent to defining a relation \succ_{ϵ_2} on secondary particles which satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (2k + \epsilon(c, c'))_{cc'} \succ_{\epsilon_2} (2l + \epsilon(d, d'))_{dd'} &\iff (2k + \epsilon(c, c')) - (2l + \epsilon(d, d')) = \epsilon(c, c') + 2\epsilon(c', d) + \epsilon(d, d'). \\ &\iff k - (l + \epsilon(d, d')) = \epsilon(c', d) \\ &\iff \mu((2k + \epsilon(c, c'))_{cc'}) \succ_{\epsilon} \gamma((2l + \epsilon(d, d'))_{dd'}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Definition 2.11. We set $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}$ to be the set of *secondary* regular partitions, which are the regular partitions into secondary particles in \mathcal{S}_{ϵ} , with ground c_g^2 and the energy ϵ' defined by

$$\epsilon'_2(cc', dd') = \epsilon_2(cc', dd') + 2\delta^{\epsilon}(cc', dd'), \quad (2.16)$$

where $\delta^{\epsilon}(cc', dd') = 0$ apart from

$$\delta^{\epsilon}(cc_g, c_g d') = \epsilon(c, d') \quad \text{for all } c, d' \in \mathcal{C}', \quad (2.17)$$

and the additional exceptions when $\delta_g = 1$:

$$\delta^{\epsilon}(cc', dd') = -1 \quad \text{if } \begin{cases} c = c_g, & c', d, d' \in \mathcal{C}' \text{ and } \epsilon(c', d) = 1 \\ c' = c_g, & c, d, d' \in \mathcal{C}' \text{ and } \epsilon(c, d) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

$$\delta^\epsilon(cc', dd') = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} d' = c_g, & c', d \in \mathcal{C}' \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(c', d) = 0 \\ d = c_g, & c, c', d' \in \mathcal{C}' \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(c', d') = 1 \end{cases}. \quad (2.19)$$

Remark 2.12. Note that the energy ϵ'_2 defines a binary relation \gg^ϵ on secondary particles of \mathcal{S}_ϵ as follows,

$$(2k + \epsilon(c, c'))_{cc'} \gg^\epsilon (2l + \epsilon(d, d'))_{dd'} \iff k - l - \epsilon(c', d) - \epsilon(d, d') \geq \delta^\epsilon(cc', dd'). \quad (2.20)$$

The level above Theorem 2.8 can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.13 (degree two). Assuming that $c_g = 1$, there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}$ which preserves the total energy and the sequence of states different from c_g .

Let us give an example of such identity. Consider the set $\mathcal{C} = \{a, b\}$, $c_g = b$ and the energy matrix

$$M_\epsilon = \begin{matrix} & a & b \\ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

We then obtain the energy matrix for ϵ_2

$$M_{\epsilon_2} = \begin{matrix} & a^2 & ab & ba & b^2 \\ \begin{matrix} a^2 \\ ab \\ ba \\ b^2 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

and the energy matrix for ϵ'_2

$$M_{\epsilon'_2} = \begin{matrix} & a^2 & ab & ba & b^2 \\ \begin{matrix} a^2 \\ ab \\ ba \\ b^2 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

Since in the regular partitions we never have a state b^2 except for the last part 0_{b^2} , one can consider these partitions as partitions into particles with state in $\{a^2, ab, ba\}$, satisfying the minimal difference condition in

$$M_{\epsilon'_2} = \begin{matrix} & a^2 & ab & ba \\ \begin{matrix} a^2 \\ ab \\ ba \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

and such that the minimal potentials for the particle with state a^2, ab and ba are respectively 3, 1 and 2. By applying the transformation $(q, a, b) \mapsto (q^3, q^{-2}, 1)$, we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 2.13.

Corollary 2.14. Let n be a non-negative integer. Let $A(n)$ be the number of partitions of n into distinct parts congruent to 1, 4, 5 modulo 6 such that two consecutive parts differ by at least 6 with equality only if they are not congruent to 5. Let $B(n)$ be the number of 13-flat partitions into parts congruent to 0, 1, 4, 5 modulo 6, the smallest part less than 6, and such that:

- two consecutive parts congruent to 1, 4, 5 modulo 6 differ by at least 6 with equality only if they are not congruent to 5 mod 6, and except that they differ by 3 when the greater is congruent to 1 and the smaller to 4 modulo 6,
- two consecutive parts, with at least one divisible by 6, differ by less than 6, except that they differ by 7 when the greater is divisible by 6 and the smaller is congruent to 5 modulo 6.

We then have that $A(n) = B(n)$, and the corresponding identity is

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} B(n)q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} A(n)q^n = (-q; q^3)_\infty. \quad (2.21)$$

Example 2.15. As examples, the partitions of 30 of the first kind are

$$(29, 1), (25, 5), (23, 7), (22, 7, 1), (19, 11), (19, 10, 1) \text{ and } (16, 10, 4),$$

and the partitions of 30 of the second kind are

$$(18, 11, 1), (16, 10, 4), (16, 7, 6, 1), (13, 12, 5), (13, 10, 6, 1), (13, 7, 6, 1) \text{ and } (11, 6, 6, 6, 1).$$

We then have $A(30) = B(30) = 7$.

3. APPLICATIONS TO LEVEL ONE PERFECT CRYSTALS

3.1. Notion of crystals.

3.1.1. *Crystals.* Here we introduce the basic tools which will be useful for the computation of level one standard modules' characters. For further reference, see [8, 10].

Let n be a non-negative integer, and consider the Cartan datum $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$ for a generalised Cartan matrix A of affine type and rank n . The set Π is the set of the simple roots $\alpha_i (i \in \{0, \dots, n\})$, and we denote by $\bar{P} = \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_n$ the lattice of the classical weights, where the elements $\Lambda_\ell (\ell \in \{0, \dots, n\})$ are the fundamental weights. The null root δ , can be uniquely written

$$\delta = d_0\alpha_0 + \dots + d_n\alpha_n$$

with d_0, \dots, d_n being positive integers. Let us now introduce the notion of crystal.

Definition 3.1. Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n-1}$ be a Cartan matrix with associated *Cartan datum* $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$. A *crystal* associated with $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$ is a set \mathcal{B} together with maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt} : \mathcal{B} &\longrightarrow P, \\ \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{B} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\} \quad (i \in \{0, \dots, n\}), \\ \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : \mathcal{B} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\} \quad (i \in \{0, \dots, n\}), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following properties for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$:

- (1) $\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle$,
- (2) $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \text{wt} b + \alpha_i$ if $\tilde{e}_i b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (3) $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \text{wt} b - \alpha_i$ if $\tilde{f}_i b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (4) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$ if $\tilde{e}_i b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (5) $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$ if $\tilde{e}_i b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (6) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$ if $\tilde{f}_i b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (7) $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$ if $\tilde{f}_i b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (8) $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$ for $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (9) if $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0$.

A graphical representation of a crystal \mathcal{B} , called the crystal graph, consists of a graph whose vertices are the elements of \mathcal{B} , and whose edges are i -arrows satisfying

$$b \xrightarrow{i} b' \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \tilde{f}_i b = b' \quad (\text{or equivalently } \tilde{e}_i b' = b).$$

In the following, for $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, we define the functions $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(b) &= \max\{k \geq 0 \mid \tilde{e}_i^k b \in \mathcal{B}\}, \\ \varphi_i(b) &= \max\{k \geq 0 \mid \tilde{f}_i^k b \in \mathcal{B}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\varepsilon_i(b)$ is the length of the longest chain of i -arrows ending at b in the crystal graph, and $\varphi_i(b)$ is the length of the longest chain of i -arrows starting from b . These definitions for ε_i and φ_i will be possible because of the nature of the crystals coming from the crystal base of integrable modules that we will consider in the following. Furthermore, by setting

$$\varepsilon(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i(b)\Lambda_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_i(b)\Lambda_i, \quad (3.1)$$

we then have $\overline{\text{wt}}(b) = \varphi(b) - \varepsilon(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\overline{\text{wt}}(b)$ is the projection of $\text{wt}(b)$ on \bar{P} .

We now define the tensor product of crystals.


Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ two crystals associated with $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$. The tensor product $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \equiv \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2$ is the crystal satisfying the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \geq \varepsilon_i(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) > \varepsilon_i(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \leq \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $b_1 \otimes 0 = 0 \otimes b_2 = 0$ for all $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \text{wt}b_1 + \text{wt}b_2, \\ \varepsilon_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varepsilon_i(b_1), \varepsilon_i(b_1) + \varepsilon_i(b_2) - \varphi_i(b_1)\}, \\ \varphi_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varphi_i(b_2), \varphi_i(b_1) + \varphi_i(b_2) - \varepsilon_i(b_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

To fully understand the tensor rule, we can picture it on the crystal graph with the following maximal chains of i -arrows and j -arrows with $i \neq j$.



An important property of the tensor product is its associativity: $(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2) \otimes \mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes (\mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \mathcal{B}_3)$.

We finally introduce the notion of energy function.

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{B} be crystal base. An *energy function* on $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a map $H : \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$H(\tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2)) = \begin{cases} H(b_1 \otimes b_2) + \chi(i=0) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \geq \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ H(b_1 \otimes b_2) - \chi(i=0) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and b_1, b_2 with $\tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) \neq 0$.

By definition, in the crystal graph of $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, the value of $H(b_1 \otimes b_2)$, when it exists, determines all the values $H(b'_1 \otimes b'_2)$ for vertices $b'_1 \otimes b'_2$ in the same connected component as $b_1 \otimes b_2$.

3.1.2. Character formula. The notion of perfect crystals, introduced by Kang and al. [10, 11], appears as a possible method to compute the characters of standard modules. The notion of grounded partitions, introduced by the author and Dousse, was deeply influenced by the behaviour of the perfect crystals and the related character formula.

Let us consider a perfect crystal \mathcal{B} of level ℓ , a classical weight Λ of level ℓ satisfying $\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = (\cdots \otimes g \otimes g)$, where \mathfrak{p}_Λ is the ground state path, and let us assume that $H(g \otimes g) = 0$. We then define the set of states indexed by \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{c_b : b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

and the energy ϵ by

$$\epsilon(c_b, c_{b'}) = H(b' \otimes b). \quad (3.4)$$

We then obtain the following theorem from [6].

Theorem 3.4 (Dousse-K.). *By a change of variable $q = e^{-\delta/d_0}$ and $c_b = e^{\overline{\text{wt}}b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $c_g = 1$ and the following identity:*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi) q^{|\pi|} = e^{-\Lambda} \text{ch}(\mathbf{L}(\Lambda)), \quad (3.5)$$


where $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ is the set of flat partitions with ground c_g and energy ϵ , and we assume that the states c_b commute in the generating function.¹

In the remainder of this section, by using the above theorem and Theorem 2.8, we compute the character formula corresponding to the following level one weights:

- Λ_0 for the affine type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$),
- Λ_0 and Λ_n for the affine type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$),
- Λ_n for the affine type $B_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 3$).

3.2. Case of affine type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$). The crystal \mathcal{B} of the vector representation of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$) is given by the crystal graph below

Figure 3.1.




with $\overline{\text{wt}}(0) = 0$ and for all $u \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$-\overline{\text{wt}}(\bar{u}) = \overline{\text{wt}}u = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n + \sum_{i=u}^{n-1} \alpha_i. \quad (3.6)$$

Here, we have $\delta = \alpha_n + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i$. We thus obtain the following crystal graph for $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$

Figure 3.2.



¹Here we use a corrected version of KMN character formula, with δ/d_0 instead of δ .

We then consider the set of states $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n, c_{\bar{n}}, \dots, c_{\bar{1}}, c_0\}$, $c_g = c_0$, and by setting $\epsilon'(c_u, c_v) = H(v \otimes u)$ and $H(0 \otimes 0) = 0$, we obtain the following energy matrix for ϵ' :

$$\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{\bar{1}} \\ c_0 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_{\bar{1}} & c_0 \\ 2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This energy matrix can be obtain by taking the energy matrix of ϵ defined by

$$\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{\bar{1}} \\ c_0 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_{\bar{1}} & c_0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

followed by the transformation

$$(q, c_1, c_{\bar{1}}, \dots, c_n, c_{\bar{n}}) \mapsto (q^2, c_1 q^{-1}, c_{\bar{1}} q^{-1}, \dots, c_n q^{-1}, c_{\bar{n}} q^{-1}). \quad (3.7)$$

This means that, for $c \neq c_0$, the particle k_c for the energy ϵ is identified as the particle $(2k-1)_c$ for the energy ϵ' , and since we do not modify the ground c_0 , the particle k_{c_0} for ϵ is assimilated to $(2k)_{c_0}$ for ϵ' , so that the last particle still remains 0_{c_0} .

By setting $c_0 = 1$, we can apply Theorem 2.8 to the flat partitions with ground c_0 and with energy ϵ , and we obtain the generation function

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi) q^{|\pi|} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi) q^{|\pi|} = (-c_1 q, -c_{\bar{1}} q, \dots, -c_n q, -c_{\bar{n}} q; q)_{\infty}.$$

In fact, by the definition of the energy ϵ , one can view the partitions of $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ as the finite sub-sequences, ending with 0_{c_0} , of the infinite sequence

$$\cdots \succ_{\epsilon} 3_{c_1} \succ_{\epsilon} 2_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_{\epsilon} \cdots \succ_{\epsilon} 2_{c_1} \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_{\epsilon} \cdots \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_{\bar{n}}} \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_n} \succ_{\epsilon} \cdots \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_1} \succ_{\epsilon} 0_{c_0}.$$


Using (3.7), we then have that the flat partitions with ground c_g and energy ϵ' are generated by the function

$$(-c_1 q, -c_{\bar{1}} q, \dots, -c_n q, -c_{\bar{n}} q; q^2)_{\infty}.$$

Using Theorem 3.4 and (3.6), we obtain the formula for the character for Λ_0 given in Theorem 1.14.

3.3. Case of affine type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$). The crystal graph of the vector representation \mathcal{B} of $D_{n+1}^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 2$) is the following,

Figure 3.3.




with $\overline{\text{wt}}(0) = \overline{\text{wt}}(\bar{0}) = 0$ and for all $u \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$-\overline{\text{wt}}(\bar{u}) = \overline{\text{wt}}u = \sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i. \quad (3.8)$$

Here, we have $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i$. We thus obtain the following crystal graph for $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$

Figure 3.4.



We then consider the set of states $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n, c_{\bar{0}}, c_{\bar{n}}, \dots, c_{\bar{1}}, c_0\}$, and by setting $e'(c_u, c_v) = H(v \otimes u)$ and $H(0 \otimes 0) = 0$, we obtain the following energy matrix for e' :

$$\begin{matrix}
 & c_1 & \cdots & c_n & c_{\bar{0}} & c_{\bar{n}} & \cdots & c_{\bar{1}} & c_0 \\
 c_1 & \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 2^* & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & 0^* & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\
 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0
 \end{array} \right) & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
 c_n & & & & & & & & \\
 c_{\bar{0}} & & & & & & & & \\
 c_{\bar{n}} & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
 c_{\bar{1}} & & & & & & & & \\
 c_0 & & & & & & & &
 \end{matrix} \quad (3.9)$$

3.3.1. *Character for Λ_0 .* Here we set the ground to be $c_g = c_0 = 1$. We obtain the energy matrix in (3.9) by considering the energy matrix for ϵ

$$\begin{matrix}
 & c_1 & \cdots & c_n & c_{\bar{0}} & c_{\bar{n}} & \cdots & c_{\bar{1}} & c_0 \\
 c_1 & \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 1^* & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & 0^* & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
 \end{array} \right) & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
 c_n & & & & & & & & \\
 c_{\bar{0}} & & & & & & & & \\
 c_{\bar{n}} & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
 c_{\bar{1}} & & & & & & & & \\
 c_0 & & & & & & & &
 \end{matrix}$$

followed by the transformation

$$(q, c_{\bar{0}}, c_1, c_{\bar{1}}, \dots, c_n, c_{\bar{n}}) \mapsto (q^2, c_{\bar{0}}q^{-1}, c_1q^{-1}, c_{\bar{1}}q^{-1}, \dots, c_nq^{-1}, c_{\bar{n}}q^{-1}). \quad (3.10)$$

By applying Theorem 2.8 to the corresponding flat partitions with ground c_0 and energy ϵ , we obtain the generation function

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi)q^{|\pi|} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi)q^{|\pi|} = \frac{(-c_1q, -c_{\bar{1}}q, \dots, -c_nq, -c_{\bar{n}}q; q)_\infty}{(c_{\bar{0}}q; q)}.$$

In fact, by the definition of the energy ϵ , one can view the partitions of $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ as the finite sub-sequences, ending with 0_{c_0} , of the infinite sequence

$$\cdots \succ_\epsilon 3_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon 2_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon 2_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_{\bar{n}}} \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_{\bar{0}}} \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_n} \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon 0_{c_0}.$$

with the particles $k_{c_{\overline{0}}}$ possibly repeated. Using (3.10), we then have that the flat partitions with ground c_0 and energy ϵ' are generated by the function

$$\frac{(-c_1q, -c_{\overline{1}}q, \dots, -c_nq, -c_{\overline{n}}q; q^2)_\infty}{(c_{\overline{0}}q; q^2)}.$$

By Theorem 3.4, (3.8) and the fact that $c_{\overline{0}} = 1$ with the convention of Theorem 3.4, we finally obtain the formula for the character corresponding to Λ_0 .

3.3.2. *Character for Λ_n .* Here we set the ground to be $c_g = c_{\overline{0}} = 1$. We obtain the energy matrix in (3.9) by considering the energy matrix of ϵ

$$\begin{array}{c} c_1 \quad \cdots \quad c_n \quad c_{\overline{0}} \quad c_{\overline{n}} \quad \cdots \quad c_{\overline{1}} \quad c_0 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \\ c_{\overline{0}} \\ c_{\overline{n}} \\ \vdots \\ c_{\overline{1}} \\ c_0 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0^* & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 1^* & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{array}{c} c_{\overline{n}} \quad \cdots \quad c_{\overline{1}} \quad c_0 \quad c_1 \quad \cdots \quad c_n \quad c_{\overline{0}} \\ \vdots \\ c_{\overline{1}} \\ c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \\ c_{\overline{0}} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 1^* & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0^* & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

followed by the transformation

$$(q, c_0, c_{\overline{1}}, \dots, c_{\overline{n}}) \mapsto (q^2, c_0q^{-1}, c_{\overline{1}}q^{-2}, \dots, c_{\overline{n}}q^{-2}). \quad (3.11)$$

Here the particle k_{c_0} for ϵ is transformed into $(2k-1)_{c_0}$ for ϵ' , and the particle $k_{c_{\overline{t}}}$ into $(2k-2)_{c_{\overline{t}}}$. Since $c_{\overline{0}}$ and c_i are not modified, the particle k_c then becomes $(2k)_c$ for any $c \in \{c_{\overline{0}}, c_i : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$.

Applying Theorem 2.8 to the flat partitions with ground $c_{\overline{0}}$ and energy ϵ , and we obtain the generation function

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi)q^{|\pi|} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi)q^{|\pi|} = \frac{(-c_1q, -c_{\overline{1}}q, \dots, -c_nq, -c_{\overline{n}}q; q)_\infty}{(c_0q; q)}.$$

In fact, by the definition of the energy ϵ , one can view the partitions of $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ as the finite sub-sequences, ending with $0_{c_{\overline{0}}}$, of the infinite sequence

$$\cdots \succ_\epsilon 3_{c_{\overline{n}}} \succ_\epsilon 2_{c_n} \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon 2_{c_{\overline{n}}} \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_n} \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_1} \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_0} \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_{\overline{1}}} \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon 1_{c_{\overline{n}}} \succ_\epsilon 0_{c_{\overline{0}}}.$$


with the particles k_{c_0} possibly repeated. Using (3.11), we then have that the flat partitions with ground $c_{\overline{0}}$ and energy ϵ' are generated by the function

$$\frac{(-c_1q^2, -c_{\overline{1}}, \dots, -c_nq^2, -c_{\overline{n}}; q^2)_\infty}{(c_0q; q^2)}.$$

By Theorem 3.4, (3.8) and the fact that $c_0 = 1$ with the convention of Theorem 3.4, we obtain the formula for the character corresponding to Λ_n .

3.4. **Case of affine type $B_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 3$).** The crystal graph of the vector representation \mathcal{B} of $B_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 3$) is the following,

Figure 3.5.




with $\overline{\text{wt}}(\bar{0}) = 0$ and for all $u \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$-\overline{\text{wt}}(\bar{u}) = \overline{\text{wt}}u = \sum_{i=u}^n \alpha_i. \quad (3.12)$$

Here, we have $\delta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i$. We thus obtain the following crystal graph for $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$

Figure 3.6.



Here, the only suitable ground to apply Theorem 3.4 is $c_{\bar{0}}$. We then consider $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n, c_{\bar{n}}, \dots, c_{\bar{1}}, c_{\bar{0}}\}$, and by setting $\epsilon'(c_u, c_v) = H(v \otimes u)$ and $H(\bar{0} \otimes \bar{0}) = 0$, we obtain the following energy matrix for ϵ' :

$$\begin{matrix} & c_{\bar{n}} & \cdots & c_{\bar{2}} & c_{\bar{1}} & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n & c_{\bar{0}} \\ \begin{matrix} c_{\bar{n}} \\ \vdots \\ c_{\bar{2}} \\ c_{\bar{1}} \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \\ c_{\bar{0}} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0^* & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 1^* & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \cdot \end{matrix}$$

This energy matrix can be obtain by taking the energy matrix of ϵ defined by

$$\begin{matrix} & c_{\bar{n}} & \cdots & c_{\bar{2}} & c_{\bar{1}} & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n & c_{\bar{0}} \\ \begin{matrix} c_{\bar{n}} \\ \vdots \\ c_{\bar{2}} \\ c_{\bar{1}} \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \\ c_{\bar{0}} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 1^* & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0^* & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{matrix}$$

followed by the transformation

$$(q, c_{\bar{1}}, \dots, c_{\bar{n}}) \mapsto (q, c_{\bar{1}}q^{-1}, \dots, c_{\bar{n}}q^{-1}). \quad (3.13)$$

Here the particle $k_{c_{\bar{\tau}}}$ for ϵ is transformed into $(k-1)_{c_{\bar{\tau}}}$ for ϵ' . The other particles k_c remain unchanged. By setting the ground $c_g = c_{\bar{0}} = 1$, we can apply Theorem 2.8 to the flat partitions generated by ϵ , and we obtain the generation function

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi)q^{|\pi|} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}} C(\pi)q^{|\pi|} = \frac{(-c_1q, -c_{\bar{1}}q, \dots, -c_nq, -c_{\bar{n}}q; q)_{\infty}}{(c_1c_{\bar{1}}q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

In fact, by the definition of the energy ϵ , one can view the partitions of $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ as the finite sub-sequences, ending with $0_{c_{\bar{0}}}$, of the infinite sequence

$$\dots \succ_{\epsilon} 3_{c_{\bar{n}}} \succ_{\epsilon} 2_{c_n} \succ_{\epsilon} \dots \succ_{\epsilon} 2_{c_{\bar{n}}} \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_n} \succ_{\epsilon} \dots \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_2} \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_1} \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_2} \succ_{\epsilon} \dots \succ_{\epsilon} 1_{c_{\bar{n}}} \succ_{\epsilon} 0_{c_{\bar{0}}},$$

with the additional condition that we have possibly alternating sub-sequences of the form

$$\dots \succ_{\epsilon} k_{c_1} \succ_{\epsilon} k_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_{\epsilon} k_{c_1} \succ_{\epsilon} k_{c_{\bar{1}}} \succ_{\epsilon} \dots$$

By reasoning on the parity of the length and the first element, the generating function of such alternating sequences for a fixed potential k , possibly empty or reduced to one element, is equal to

$$\frac{(1 + c_1q^k)(1 + c_{\bar{1}}q^k)}{1 - c_1c_{\bar{1}}q^{2k}}.$$

Using (3.13), we then have that the flat partitions with ground c_g and energy ϵ' are generated by the function

$$\frac{(-c_1q, -c_{\bar{1}}, \dots, -c_nq, -c_{\bar{n}}; q)_{\infty}}{(c_1c_{\bar{1}}q; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

Using Theorem 3.4 and (3.12), we obtain the formula for the character corresponding to Λ_n in Theorem 1.16.

4. BIJECTIVE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.8

We build in this section a bijection Ω_1 between the set $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ and $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ of Theorem 2.8. In the following, we illustrate Ω_1 with the set of states $\mathcal{C} = \{a, b, c\}$, the ground c , and the energy ϵ defined by the energy matrix

$$M_{\epsilon} = \begin{matrix} & a & b & c \\ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

4.1. The setup. Let δ_g be the common value of the $\epsilon(c_g, c)$ for $c \neq c_g$ given by (2.13). Note that for any $c \neq c_g$, for any $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} k_c \not\succeq_{\epsilon} l_{c_g} &\iff k - l \leq \epsilon(c, c_g) - 1 \\ &\iff l - k \geq 1 - \epsilon(c, c_g) \\ &\iff l - k \geq \epsilon(c_g, c) \\ &\iff l_{c_g} \succ_{\epsilon} k_c \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\tag{4.2}$$

so that *the particles with state c_g can be always related in terms of \gg with the particles with state different from c_g .*

Here we can see the classical integer partitions as the non-increasing sequences of non-negative integers, with all but a finite number of parts equal to 0.

Let us recall the conjugacy on classical partitions. The partitions $\nu = (\nu_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $\nu' = (\nu'_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ are conjugate if and only if their part sizes satisfy

$$\nu_i = |\{\nu'_j \geq i + 1\}| \tag{4.3}$$

The conjugacy is an involution, and we then have $\nu'_i = |\{\nu_j \geq i + 1\}|$.

4.1.1. *The set $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$.* We identify a partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g})$ of $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ as the unique pair of partitions

$$(\mu, \nu) = [(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{s-1}, 0_{c_0}), (\nu_0, \dots, \nu_{s-1})],$$

such that $C(\pi) = C(\mu) = c_0 \cdots c_{s-1} c_g$, and for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$, we have $c_k \neq c_g$,

$$\mu_k = \left(\sum_{l=k}^{s-1} \epsilon(c_k, c_{k+1}) \right)_{c_k}$$

and

$$\nu_k = \pi_k - \sum_{l=k}^{s-1} \epsilon(c_k, c_{k+1}).$$

The partition μ is then the unique element in $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g} \cap \mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ satisfying $C(\pi) = C(\mu) = c_0 \cdots c_{s-1} c_g$, and ν is the *residual* partition with s parts, possibly ending with some parts equal to 0. The partition ν then corresponds to a unique classical partition, with at most s parts.

Example 4.1. *The partition*

$$\pi = (10_a, 8_a, 8_b, 7_b, 5_a, 4_a, 3_a, 2_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c)$$

is identify with the pair (μ, ν) with

$$\mu = (4_a, 3_a, 3_b, 3_b, 3_a, 2_a, 1_a, 1_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c)$$

and

$$\nu = (6, 5, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0).$$

Let us now fix $C = c_0 \cdots c_{s-1}$. The partition μ in the pair then becomes fixed. By considering the set of regular partitions in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ with State $C c_g$, we have the bijection

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g} : C(\pi) = C c_g\} \approx \{\mu\} \times \{(\nu_0, \dots, \nu_{s-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \nu_0 \geq \dots \geq \nu_{s-1}\}. \quad (4.4)$$

The set $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ is then isomorphic to the set of classical partitions with at most s positive parts.

We now consider the set of the *descents*

$$D = \{k : \{0, \dots, s\} : \epsilon(c_{k-1}, c_k) = 0\} = \{k_0 < \dots < k_{|D|-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{D} = \{0, \dots, s-1\} \setminus D. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that, since $\epsilon(c_{s-1}, c_g) = 1 - \delta_g$, we recursively have for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$ that

$$\mu_k = \sum_{l=k}^{s-1} \epsilon(c_l, c_{l+1}) = 1 - \delta_g + |\{k+1, \dots, s-1\} \cap \overline{D}| \leq s - k - \delta_g. \quad (4.6)$$

We obtain with Example 4.1 that $C = aabbaaababb$, $s = 11$, $D = \{2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ and $\overline{D} = \{0, 1, 5, 6\}$.

For a fixed non-negative n , we build Ω in such a way that the partitions π in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ satisfying $(|\pi|, C(\pi)) = (n, C c_g)$ correspond to the partitions π in $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ which satisfy $(n, C) = (|\pi|, C(\pi)|_{c_g=1})$. The latter means that the sequence of states different from c_g is equal to C .

4.1.2. *The set $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$.* We now consider the set $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ of flat partitions π in $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ such that $C(\pi)|_{c_0=1} = C$. For such a partition π , there then exists a unique set $S = \{u_0 < \dots < u_{s-1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{u_{s-1}}, 0_{c_0}), \\ c(\pi_{u_k}) &= c_k \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}, \\ c_{\pi_k} &= c_g \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, u_{s-1}\} \setminus S. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

In fact, we cannot have $c(\pi_{u_{s-1}}) = c_g$, otherwise $\pi_{u_{s-1}} = \epsilon(c_g, c_g) = 0$, so that $\pi_{u_{s-1}} = 0_{c_g}$, and this contradicts the definition of grounded partitions. Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} s' &= u_{s-1} + 1 - s \\ W &= \{0 \leq v < |D| : u_{k_v} - u_{k_{v-1}} > 1\} = \{v_0 < \dots < v_{|W|-1}\}, \\ D_W &= \{k_v : v \in W\}, \\ D_{\overline{W}} &= D \setminus D_W. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

If we have some particles with state c_g between u_k and u_{k+1} (which means that $k+1 \notin D$), their potentials' differences gives

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(c_k, c_g) + \underbrace{0 + \dots + 0}_{\# \text{parts inserted} - 1} + \epsilon(c_g, c_{k+1}) &= \epsilon(c_k, c_g) + \epsilon(c_g, c_{k+1}) \\ &= 1 - \delta_g + \delta_g \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and it differs from $\epsilon(c_k, c_{k+1})$ if and only if $k+1 \in D$. We then obtain that

$$\pi_{u_k} = \mu_k + |\{k+1, \dots, s-1\} \cap D_W|,$$

so that by (4.6). Since $\pi_{u_{s-1}} = 1 - \delta_g$, we obtain recursively that for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-2\}$,

$$\pi_{u_k} = 1 - \delta_g + |\{k+1, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)|. \quad (4.9)$$

Note that by (4.9), for all $u_{k-1} < u < u_k$, we necessarily have that $k \in \overline{D} \sqcup D_W$, and then

$$\pi_u = \delta_g + \pi_{u_k} = |\{k, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)|.$$

We now build the bijection between $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ and $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$.

4.2. The map Ω from $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ to $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$. For any partition $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ described above, we associate the conjugate ν of the classical partition ν' whose parts are:

- (1) for $k \notin D$, the $u_k - u_{k-1} - 1$ particles between u_{k-1} and u_k with potential

$$\pi_u = |\{k, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)|,$$

which the convention $u_{-1} = -1$.

- (2) for $k \in D_W$, we take $u_k - u_{k-1} - 2$ particles between u_{k-1} and u_k with potential

$$\pi_u = |\{k, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)|,$$

and one particle (called the *weighted* particle) with potential

$$\pi_u + k = |\{k, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)| + k. \quad (4.10)$$

Example 4.2. For example, we illustrate these transformations with $C = aabbaaababb$ and

$$\pi = (6_a, 5_a, 5_b, 4_c, 4_c, 4_c, 4_b, 4_a, 3_c, 3_a, 2_a, 1_c, 1_c, 1_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c).$$

Recall that $\mu = (4_a, 3_a, 3_b, 3_b, 3_a, 2_a, 1_a, 1_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c)$, $D = \{2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ and $\overline{D} = \{0, 1, 5, 6\}$. Here we have that

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
u_k	0	1	2	6	7	9	10	13	14	15	16

and then $D_W = \{3, 7\}$. We thus obtain that ν' is the classical partition with parts 3, 4, 4, 7 and 1, 8. We thus have $\nu' = (8, 7, 4, 4, 3, 1)$ and the conjugacy then gives the following partition with 11 parts

$$\nu = (6, 5, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0).$$

By adding the parts of ν to the corresponding particles of μ , we finally obtain

$$\Omega(6_a, 5_a, 5_b, 4_c, 4_c, 4_c, 4_b, 4_a, 3_c, 3_a, 2_a, 1_c, 1_c, 1_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c) = (10_a, 8_a, 8_b, 7_b, 5_a, 4_a, 3_a, 2_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c).$$

We first note that the total energy is conserved by these transformations, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} |\{k+1, \dots, s-1\} \cap D_W| &= \#\{(k, l) : l \in \{k+1, \dots, s-1\} : l \in D_W\} \\ &= \sum_{l \in D_W} \#\{0 \leq k < l\} \\ &= \sum_{l \in D_W} l \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u=0}^{u_{s-1}} \pi_u &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \pi_{u_k} + \sum_{\substack{k \\ 1 < u_k - u_{k-1}}} (u_k - u_{k-1} - 1) \pi_{u_k - 1} \\ &= \sum_{u \notin S} \pi_u + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \mu_k + \sum_{l \in D_W} l \\ &= |\mu| + \sum_{l \in D_W} l + \pi_{u_{l-1}} + (u_l - u_{l-1} - 2) \pi_{u_l - 1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l \notin D} (u_l - u_{l-1} - 1) \pi_{u_l - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

The *unweighted* particles are those which are not weighted. We then remark that for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{k, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)| + k &= |\overline{D} \sqcup D_W| + |\{0, \dots, k-1\} \cap D_{\overline{W}}| \\ &= \pi_{u_0} + \delta_g + |\{0, \dots, k-1\} \cap D_{\overline{W}}| \end{aligned}$$

so that the weighted particles have all potentials greater than or equal to the potentials of the unweighted particles. We also notice that unweighted particles coming from different k are distinct, since the potentials' difference gives

$$|\{k, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)| - |\{k+1, \dots, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)| = \chi(k \in \overline{D} \sqcup D_W)$$

and this is exactly the condition required to *insert* a particle in ν' . Also when we take two consecutive weighted particles in $k_{v_j} < k_{v_{j+1}} \in D_W$, we obtain the difference of potential

$$k_{v_i} - k_{v_{i+1}} + |\{k_{v_i}, \dots, k_{v_{i+1}} - 1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)| = -|\{k_{v_i}, \dots, k_{v_{i+1}} - 1\} \cap D_{\overline{W}}|$$

so that the weighted particles appear in a non-decreasing order according to the indices i in $\{0, |W| - 1\}$. We then obtain $\nu' = (\nu'_0, \dots, \nu'_{s'-1})$, where we have for all $i \in \{0, \dots, |W| - 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_{|W|-1-i} &= |\{k_{v_i}, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)| + k_{v_i} \\ &= s - |D_{\overline{W}} \cap \{k_{v_i}, \dots, s-1\}| \\ &= s - |\{v_i \leq p < |D| : p \notin W\}| \quad \text{by (4.8)} \\ &= s + |W| - |D| + v_i - i \\ &\leq s, \end{aligned}$$

and the rest of the particles consists of $u_k - u_{k-1} - 1 - \chi(k \in D_W)$ particles for $k \in \overline{D} \sqcup D_W$, each of them with potential

$$|\{k, s-1\} \cap (\overline{D} \sqcup D_W)| \geq 1.$$

Note that ν' viewed as a classical partition has s' parts with size at most equal to s , and by (4.3), the partition ν then has at most s positive parts and satisfies $\nu_0 = s'$. Our map from $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ to $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ is then well-defined.

We conclude by observing the following equality: for all $i \in \{0, \dots, |W| - 1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_{|W|-1-i} - |W| + i &= s - |D| + v_i \\ &= |\{0, \dots, k_{v_i} - 1\}| + |\{k_{v_i}, s - 1\} \cap \overline{D}| \\ &= \delta_g + \mu_{k_{v_i}} + k_{v_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

and for all $u \in \{|W|, \dots, s' - 1\}$, we have

$$\nu'_u - u - 1 \leq \nu'_{|W|} - |W| - 1 < \delta_g + \mu_0. \quad (4.12)$$

4.3. The map Ω^{-1} from $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$ to $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$. Let consider a partition π in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)$, and the corresponding pair (μ, ν) . The partition ν then corresponds to a classical partition with at most s positive parts. We first consider the partition ν' the conjugate of ν given by the relation (4.3). The partitions ν' has then ν_0 positive parts, whose sizes are at most equal to s . Let us set $s' = \nu_0$ and write $\nu' = (\nu'_0, \dots, \nu'_{s'-1})$. We then apply the following transformations:

(1) For each $k \in \{0, \dots, s - 1\}$, we change the part μ_k into μ'_k with the relations

$$\begin{cases} c(\mu'_k) = c(\mu_k) = c_k \\ \mu'_k = \mu_k + |\{0 \leq u < s' : \delta_g + \mu_k + k \leq \nu'_u - u - 1\}| \end{cases}. \quad (4.13)$$

(2) For each $u \in \{0, \dots, s' - 1\}$, we change the part ν'_u into ν''_u with the relations

$$\begin{cases} c(\nu''_u) = c_g \\ \nu''_u = \nu'_u - |\{0 \leq k < s : \delta_g + \mu_k + k \leq \nu'_u - u - 1\}| \end{cases}. \quad (4.14)$$

The final partition $\Omega^{-1}(\pi)$ is obtained by inserting the particles ν''_u into the sequence of particles μ'_k according \succ_{ϵ} , and adding the ground 0_{c_g} . The partition $\Omega^{-1}(\pi)$ then has $s + s'$ particles different from 0_{c_g} and by double counting, we have that $|\Omega^{-1}(\pi)| = |\mu| + |\nu| = |\pi|$.

Example 4.3. For example, we illustrate these transformations with $C = aabbaaababb$ and

$$\pi = (10_a, 8_a, 8_b, 7_b, 5_a, 4_a, 3_a, 2_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c),$$

corresponding to

$$\mu = (4_a, 3_a, 3_b, 3_b, 3_a, 2_a, 1_a, 1_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c),$$

and

$$\nu = (6, 5, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0).$$

The conjugacy gives

$$\nu' = (8, 7, 4, 4, 3, 1)$$

Recall that we have $\delta_g = 0$. Using the following tables

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\mu_k + k$	4	4	5	6	7	7	7	8	9	10	11

u	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\nu'_u - u - 1$	7	5	1	0	-2	-5

we obtain that

$$\mu' = (6_a, 5_a, 5_b, 4_b, 4_a, 3_a, 2_a, 1_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c) \quad , \quad \nu'' = (1_c, 4_c, 4_c, 4_c, 3_c, 1_c)$$

and the insertion then gives

$$\Omega^{-1}(\pi) = (6_a, 5_a, 5_b, 4_c, 4_c, 4_c, 4_b, 4_a, 3_c, 3_a, 2_a, 1_c, 1_c, 1_b, 1_a, 1_b, 1_b, 0_c).$$

Let us now show that $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$. First and foremost, note that

$$\delta_g + \mu_{s-1} + s - 1 = s,$$

and since $\nu'_u \leq s$ for all $u \in \{0, \dots, s' - 1\}$, we then obtain that $\mu'_{s-1} = \mu_{s-1} = 1 - \delta_g$. Besides, for all the $k \in \{0, \dots, s - 1\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_g + \mu_k + k) - (\delta_g + \mu_{k-1} + k - 1) &= 1 + \mu_k - \mu_{k-1} \\ &= 1 - \epsilon(c_{k-1}, c_k) \in \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the sequence $(\delta_g + \mu_k + k)_{k=0}^{s-1}$ is non-decreasing, and with the difference between consecutive terms at most equal to 1, with equality if and only if $k \in D$.

On the other hand $u \in \{1, \dots, s - 1\}$, we have for all $u \in \{0, \dots, s' - 1\}$ that

$$\nu'_{u-1} - u - (\nu'_u - u - 1) = 1 + \nu'_{u-1} - \nu'_u \geq 1.$$

The sequence $(\nu'_u - u - 1)_{u=0}^{s'-1}$ is then decreasing.

Let us now set

$$D_V = \{k \in \{0, \dots, s\} : \mu'_{k-1} - \mu'_k \neq \mu_{k-1} - \mu_k\}.$$

Since $\epsilon(c_{k-1}, c_k) \in \{0, 1\}$, the set D_V then contains all the $k \in \{1, \dots, s - 1\}$ such that

$$0 < |\{0 \leq u < s' : \delta_g + \mu_{k-1} + k - 1 \leq \nu'_u - u - 1 < \delta_g + \mu_k + k\}| \leq 1 - \epsilon(c_{k-1}, c_k),$$

so that we necessarily have $D_V \subset D$. For such k , we then have a unique u such that

$$\delta_g + \mu_{k-1} + k - 1 \leq \nu'_u - u - 1 < \delta_g + \mu_k + k. \quad (4.15)$$

In fact, the sequence $(\nu'_u - u - 1)_{u=0}^{s'-1}$ being decreasing, and the interval $[\delta_g + \mu_{k-1} + k - 1, \delta_g + \mu_k + k)$, being a singleton for $k \in D_V$, contains at at most one element of the latter sequence. We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{0 \leq l < s : \delta_g + \mu_l + l \leq \nu'_u - u - 1\}| &= |\{0, \dots, k - 1\}| = k \\ |\{0 \leq v < s' : \delta_g + \mu_{k-1} + k - 1 \leq \nu'_v - v - 1\}| &= |\{0, \dots, u\}| = u + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_u &= \nu''_u + k, \\ \mu'_k &= \mu_k + u \\ \mu'_{k-1} &= \mu_{k-1} + u + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

and by (4.1) and (4.15), we necessarily have

$$\mu'_{k-1} \geq_\epsilon \nu''_u \geq_\epsilon \mu'_k. \quad (4.17)$$

The particle ν''_u is then inserted between μ'_{k-1} and μ'_k . Note that this insertion occurs once for all u such that

$$|\overline{D}| = \delta_g + \mu_0 \leq \nu'_u - u - 1,$$

so that

$$|D_V| = |\{0 \leq k < s : \delta_g + \mu_0 \leq \nu'_u - u - 1\}|.$$

Then, for all $u \geq |D_V|$, we have

$$\nu'_u - u - 1 < \delta_g + \mu_0,$$

so that $\nu''_u = \nu'_u$. In particular, we have

$$\nu''_{|D_V|} - |D_V| - 1 < \delta_g + \mu_0 \iff \nu''_{|D_V|} \leq \delta_g + \mu_0 + |D_V| = \delta_g + \mu'_0. \quad (4.18)$$

We remark that for all $k \in D \setminus D_V$, since $\mu'_{k-1} - \mu'_k = \mu_{k-1} - \mu_k = 0$, the parts μ'_{k-1}, μ'_k then have the same size, and then the same relation with all particles with state c_g . This means that, after inserting of the particles ν''_u into μ' , we do not have any particle between the parts μ'_{k-1} and μ'_k . We also note that, for all $k \in \overline{D} \sqcup D_V$, we have $\mu'_{k-1} - \mu'_k = 1$, so that we can insert any number of particles with state c_g and potential $\delta_g + \mu'_k$, and since $\epsilon(c_g, c_g) = 0$, these particle with the same potential and state c_g are well-related by \geq_ϵ .

These facts, along with (4.17) and (4.18), imply that π belongs to $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$.

We conclude by observing that, by (4.17), D_V can be also defined as the unique subset of D with satisfies the following: $k \in D$ belongs to D_V if and only if there exists $u \in \{0, \dots, s'\}$ such that $\mu'_{k-1} \succ_{\epsilon} \nu''_u \succ_{\epsilon} \mu'_k$.

4.4. Reciprocity of the maps. Using (4.11) and (4.12), we straightforward to observe by the definition of Ω^{-1} that $\Omega^{-1} \circ \Omega = Id_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)}$. On the other hand, the fact that $\Omega \circ \Omega^{-1} = Id_{\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C)}$ comes from the correspondence between D_W and D_V . In fact, this correspondence is deduced from the equivalence between the definition of W and the above definition of D_V . We also observe that the only particles whose potential changes from one set of partitions to another are those related to the set D_W and D_V . We finally conclude by observing the reciprocity between the definition of the weighted particles related to D_W given in (4.10), and the definition of the particles related to D_V given by the formula (4.16).

Remark 4.4. *The bijection built here gives a more refine property, as for a fixed State C product of s states different from c_g , it makes the correspondence between the partitions ν with at most s parts such that the greatest part has size s' and the flat partitions having s' additional particles with states c_g different from 0_{c_g} .*

5. BIJECTIVE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.13

In this section, we prove the following.

Proposition 5.1. *For a fixed State C as product of colors different from c_g and a fixed non-negative integer n , the following sets of generalized partitions are equinumerous:*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g} : C(\pi)|_{c_g=1} = C, |\pi| = n\}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g} : C(\pi)|_{c_g=1} = C, |\pi| = n\}$,
- (3) $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g} : C(\pi)|_{c_g=1} = C, |\pi| = n\}$,
- (4) $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g} : C(\pi)|_{c_g=1} = C, |\pi| = n\}$.

In the previous section, we have shown in the proof of Theorem 2.8 that $|\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)| = |\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)|$. In the following, we first show that there is a bijection between $\mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$, and after that we build the bijection between $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$.

5.1. Bijection between $\mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$. Here we recall that, by Definition 2.9, the partitions of $\mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}$ have the form $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2})$, such that for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$, we have $\pi_k \in \mathcal{S}_{\epsilon}$, and if we set $c(\pi_k) = c_{2k}c_{2k+1} \in \mathcal{C}^2$, we have by (2.15) that

$$\mu(\pi_k) \succ_{\epsilon} \gamma(\pi_{k+1}). \quad (5.1)$$

We also observe that $c_{2s-2}c_{2s-1} \neq c_g^2$, otherwise the latter equation gives that $\pi_{s-1} - 0_{c_g^2} = 4\epsilon(c_g, c_g) = 0$, and then $\pi_{s-1} = 0_{c_g^2}$, and this contradicts the definition of grounded partitions. Besides, we remark that $\mu(\pi_{s-1}) = 0_{c_g}$ if and only if $c_{2s-1} = c_g$.

Let us consider the map \mathcal{F} from $\mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}$ to $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ defined by

$$(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2}) \mapsto \begin{cases} (\gamma(\pi_0), \mu(\pi_0), \gamma(\pi_1), \mu(\pi_1), \dots, \gamma(\pi_{s-2}), \mu(\pi_{s-2}), \gamma(\pi_{s-1}), 0_{c_g}) & \text{if } c_{2s-1} = c_g \\ (\gamma(\pi_0), \mu(\pi_0), \gamma(\pi_1), \mu(\pi_1), \dots, \gamma(\pi_{s-2}), \mu(\pi_{s-2}), \gamma(\pi_{s-1}), \mu(\pi_{s-1}), 0_{c_g}) & \text{if } c_{2s-1} \neq c_g \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

It is easy to check that both the total energy and the sequence of primary states are preserved. To show that $\mathcal{F}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2}) \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$, we proceed according to whether $c_{2s-1} = c_g$ or $c_{2s-1} \neq c_g$. Note that by definition of the secondary particles, we have that for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$ that

$$\gamma(\pi_k) - \mu(\pi_k) = \epsilon(c_{2k}, c_{2k+1}) \iff \gamma(\pi_k) \succ_{\epsilon} \mu(\pi_k).$$

- If $c_{2s-1} = c_g$, then the latter equation and (5.1) give that $\mathcal{F}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2})$ is well-defined up to $\mu(\pi_{s-1})$, and with the fact that $c_{2s-2} \neq c_g$ and $\mu(\pi_{s-1}) = 0_{c_g}$, we obtain that $\mathcal{F}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2}) \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$.

- If $c_{2s-1} \neq c_g$, then the latter equation and (5.1) give that $\mathcal{F}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2})$ is well-defined up to $\mu(\pi_{s-1})$, with the fact that $c_{2s-1} \neq c_g$ and $\mu(\pi_{s-1}) = \epsilon(c_{2s-1}, c_g)$, we obtain that $\mathcal{F}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2}) \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$.

The reciprocal map \mathcal{F}^{-1} is even easier to build. We simply proceed as follows:

$$(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g}) \mapsto \begin{cases} (\pi_0 + \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{s-1} + 0_{c_g}, 0_{c_g^2}) & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ (\pi_0 + \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{s-2} + \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^2}) & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}. \quad (5.3)$$

The primary particles being consecutive in terms of \succ_ϵ , the map \mathcal{F}^{-1} is well-defined, and one can check that the first case of \mathcal{F}^{-1} is reciprocal to the first case of \mathcal{F} , so as the second case of \mathcal{F}^{-1} is reciprocal to the second case of \mathcal{F} .

5.2. Bijection between $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$. Let us recall that $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{c_g\}$, and set $\mathcal{C}'_\times = \{cc' : c, c' \in \mathcal{C}'\}$. We now set $\rho = 1 - \delta_g$ the common value of $\epsilon(c, c_g)$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}'$. Here we refer to \mathcal{O}_ϵ and \mathcal{E}_ϵ as the sets corresponding to the set \mathcal{C}' . We now show the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *For a fixed State C as product of states in \mathcal{C}' and a fixed non-negative integer n , the following sets of generalized partitions are equinumerous:*

- (1) $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_2^{\epsilon, c_g} : C(\pi)|_{c_g=1} = C, |\pi| = n\}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+} : C(\pi) = C, |\pi| = n\}$,
- (3) $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+} : C(\pi) = C, |\pi| = n\}$,
- (4) $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g} : C(\pi)|_{c_g=1} = C, |\pi| = n\}$.

By Theorem 2.7, we already have that $|\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)| = |\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)|$. We show in the remainder of this section that $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$ are in bijection, as are $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$.

5.2.1. Bijection between $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$. This is straightforward by considering the following map from $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$ to $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$:

$$(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g}) \mapsto (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}) \quad (5.4)$$

In fact, we have that $c(\pi_k) \in \mathcal{C}'$ for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$, and by (2.12), that

$$\pi_k - \pi_{k+1} \geq \epsilon(c(\pi_k), c(\pi_{k+1})),$$

so that $\pi_{s-1} \geq \epsilon(c(\pi_{k+1}), c_g) = 1 - \delta_g = \rho$. By (2.1) and Definition 2.6, we then have that the partition $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1})$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$.

The reciprocal map is obtain by adding a 0_{c_g} to the right to a partition in $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$, and the latter relations imply that the resulting partition indeed belongs to $\mathcal{R}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$.

5.2.2. Bijection between $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$. It may seem intricate to map these two sets, as a partition in the first set can have some primary particle while a partition in the second set cannot, but the regularity in c_g^2 allows us to overcome this fact. For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C}')$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}')$ respectively the sets of the secondary particles with states as a product of two primary states in \mathcal{C} , the secondary particles with states as a product of two primary state in \mathcal{C}' and the primary particles with state in \mathcal{C}' . We observe that we have a natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C}') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C})$.

For any $c \in \mathcal{C}'$, we have by definition that the potential of the secondary particle with state cc_g has the same parity as $\epsilon(c, c_g) = \rho$, while the potential of the secondary particle with color $c_g c$ has the same parity as $\epsilon(c_g, c) = 1 - \rho$. We then build the embedding $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C})$ as follows:

$$k_c \mapsto \begin{cases} k_{cc_g} & \text{if } k \equiv \rho \pmod{2} \\ k_{c_g c} & \text{if } k \equiv 1 - \rho \pmod{2} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, we obtain a natural bijection \mathcal{R} between $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}') \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C}')$ and $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \{(2\mathbb{Z})_{c_g^2}\}$ with the relations

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\mathcal{C}') \ni (2k + \epsilon(c, c'))_{cc'} \mapsto (2k + \epsilon(c, c'))_{cc'} \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathcal{P}(C') \ni k_c \mapsto \begin{cases} k_{cc_g} & \text{if } k \equiv \rho \pmod{2} \\ k_{c_g c} & \text{if } k \equiv 1 - \rho \pmod{2} \end{cases}. \quad (5.6)$$

We remark that the reciprocal bijection \mathcal{R}^{-1} is also the identity on $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(C')$, and for a particle with state cc_g or $c_g c$, we associate the particle in $\mathcal{P}(C')$ with the same potential and state c .

We can now extend the map \mathcal{R} to the partitions in $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}$ with

$$\mathcal{R} : (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}) \mapsto (\mathcal{R}(\pi_0), \dots, \mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}), 0_{c_g^2}), \quad (5.7)$$

and we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *The map \mathcal{R} defines a bijection between $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}(C, n)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}(C, n)$.*

Recall that \gg_ϵ in Definition 2.4 is the relation that relates the particles of a partition in $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}$, and the relation \gg^ϵ defined in (2.20) relates the particles of a partition in $\mathcal{R}_2^{\epsilon, c_g}$.

Note that the map \mathcal{R} from $\mathcal{P}(C') \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(C')$ to $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(C) \setminus \{(2\mathbb{Z})_{c_g^2}\}$ conserves the potential and the sequence of states different from c_g , so that extended to $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{\rho+}$, it also preserves the total energy and the sequence of states different from c_g . We now prove Proposition 5.3 by using the two next lemmas.

Lemma 5.4. *Let us fix a state $c \in C' \sqcup C'_\times$ and let us set $c = c(\pi_{s-1})$. We then have that the minimal potential of $\pi_{s-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{\rho+} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^{\rho+}$ is the minimal potential of $\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1})$ satisfying $\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}) \gg 0_{c_g^2}$.*

Lemma 5.5. *For all particles $k_p, l_q \in \mathcal{P}(C') \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(C')$, we have the following :*

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (5.8)$$

Lemma 5.4 gives the equivalence of the minimal potential condition for the last particle, while Lemma 5.5 states that the difference conditions are equivalent for both sets of partitions, and we directly obtain Proposition 5.3.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. We reason on whether $c \in C'_\times$ or $c \in C'$ and π_{s-1} with a potential with the same parity as ρ or $1 - \rho$.

- If $c \in C'_\times$, we then write $c = c_0 c_1$. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{s-1} \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^{\rho+} &\iff \mu(\pi_{s-1}) \geq \rho && \text{by Definition 2.6} \\ &\iff \mu(\pi_{s-1}) \geq \epsilon(c_1, c_g) \\ &\iff \mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}) = \pi_{s-1} \gg^\epsilon 0_{c_g^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

- If $c \in C'$ and $\pi_{s-1} \equiv \rho \pmod{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{s-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{\rho+} &\iff \pi_{s-1} \geq \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \pi \equiv \rho \pmod{2} && \text{by Definition 2.6} \\ &\iff \pi_{s-1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \rho \\ &\iff c(\mu(\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}))) = c_g \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1})) \geq 0 && (5.6) \\ &\iff \mu(\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1})) \geq \epsilon(c_g, c_g) \\ &\iff \mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}) \gg^\epsilon 0_{c_g^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

- If $c \in C'$ and $\pi_{s-1} \equiv 1 - \rho \pmod{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{s-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{\rho+} &\iff \pi_{s-1} \geq \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \pi \equiv 1 + \rho \pmod{2} && \text{by Definition 2.6} \\ &\iff \pi_{s-1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + 1 + \rho \\ &\iff \mu(\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1})) \geq \rho \quad \text{and} \quad c(\mu(\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}))) = c && (5.6) \\ &\iff \mu(\mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1})) \geq \epsilon(c, c_g) \\ &\iff \mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}) \gg^\epsilon 0_{c_g^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

To conclude, one can observe that we always have the equivalence

$$\pi_{s-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{\rho+} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^{\rho+} \iff \mathcal{R}(\pi_{s-1}) \gg^\epsilon 0_{c_g^2}$$

and this conclude the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Let us first state some obvious fact: for all integer a, b , we have the following,

(1) if $b \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, then

$$2a \geq b \iff a \geq \chi(b = 1), \quad (5.9)$$

(2) if $b \in \{-2, -1, 0\}$, then

$$2a \geq b \iff a \geq -\chi(b = -2). \quad (5.10)$$

As before, we reason on the types of the particles k_p and l_q .

• If $k_p \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$, we then write $k_p = (2u + \epsilon(c_0, c_1))_{c_0 c_1}$.

– If $l_q \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(c_2, c_3))_{c_2 c_3}$.

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff u - v - \epsilon(c_1, c_2) - \epsilon(c_2, c_3) \geq 0 \quad (2.8)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.20)$$

– If $q \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $l \equiv \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(q, c_g))_q$. We then have

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(c_0, c_1)) - (2v + \epsilon(q, c_g)) \geq 1 + \epsilon(c_0, c_1) + \epsilon(c_1, q) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(q, c_g) - \epsilon(c_1, q)) \geq \epsilon(c_g, q) - \epsilon(c_1, q)$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(q, c_g) - \epsilon(c_1, q) \geq \epsilon(c_g, q)(1 - \epsilon(c_1, q)) \quad (5.9)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.19)$$

– If $q \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $l \equiv 1 - \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(c_g, q))_q$.

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(c_0, c_1)) - (2v + \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq 1 + \epsilon(c_0, c_1) + \epsilon(c_1, q) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(c_1, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq \epsilon(c_1, q) + \epsilon(c_g, q) - 1$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(c_1, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq \epsilon(c_1, q) - \epsilon(q, c_g)$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(c_1, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q) \geq \epsilon(c_1, q)\epsilon(c_g, q) \quad (5.9)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.19) \cdot$$

• If $p \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $k \equiv \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $k_p = (2u + \epsilon(p, c_g))_p$

– If $l_q \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(c_2, c_3))_{c_2 c_3}$. We then have

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(p, c_g)) - (2v + \epsilon(c_2, c_3)) \geq \epsilon(p, c_2) + \epsilon(c_2, c_3) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(c_g, c_2) - \epsilon(c_2, c_3)) \geq \epsilon(p, c_2) - \epsilon(p, c_g) - 2\epsilon(c_g, c_2)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(c_g, c_2) - \epsilon(c_2, c_3)) \geq (\epsilon(p, c_2) - 1) - \epsilon(c_g, p)$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(c_g, c_2) - \epsilon(c_2, c_3) \geq -(1 - \epsilon(p, c_2))\epsilon(c_g, p) \quad (5.10)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.18)$$

– If $q \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $l \equiv \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(q, c_g))_q$. We then have

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(p, c_g)) - (2v + \epsilon(q, c_g)) \geq 1 + \epsilon(p, q) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(c_g, q) - \epsilon(q, c_g)) \geq \epsilon(p, q) - 1$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(c_g, q) - \epsilon(q, c_g) \geq 0 \quad (5.9)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.20)$$

– If $q \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $l \equiv 1 - \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(c_g, q))_q$. We then have

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(p, c_g)) - (2v + \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq 1 + \epsilon(p, q) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(c_g, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq \epsilon(p, q) + \epsilon(c_g, p) - \epsilon(c_g, q)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(c_g, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq \epsilon(p, q)$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(c_g, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q) \geq \epsilon(p, q) \quad (5.9)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.17)$$

- If $p \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $k \equiv 1 - \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $k_p = (2u + \epsilon(c_g, p))_p$.
 – If $l_q \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(c_2, c_3))_{c_2 c_3}$. We then have

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(c_g, p)) - (2v + \epsilon(c_2, c_3)) \geq \epsilon(p, c_2) + \epsilon(c_2, c_3) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(p, c_2) - \epsilon(c_2, c_3)) \geq -\epsilon(p, c_2) - \epsilon(c_g, p)$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(p, c_2) - \epsilon(c_2, c_3) \geq -\epsilon(p, c_2)\epsilon(c_g, p) \quad (5.10)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.18)$$

- If $q \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $l \equiv \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(q, c_g))_q$. We then have

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(c_g, p)) - (2v + \epsilon(q, c_g)) \geq 1 + \epsilon(p, q) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(p, q) - \epsilon(q, c_g)) \geq \epsilon(c_g, q) - \epsilon(p, q)$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(p, q) - \epsilon(q, c_g) \geq \epsilon(c_g, q)(1 - \epsilon(p, q)) \quad (5.9)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.19)$$

- If $q \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $l \equiv 1 - \rho \pmod{2}$, we write $l_q = (2v + \epsilon(c_g, q))_q$. We then have

$$k_p \gg_\epsilon l_q \iff (2u + \epsilon(c_g, p)) - (2v + \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq 1 + \epsilon(p, q) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\iff 2(u - v - \epsilon(p, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q)) \geq \epsilon(p, q) - 1$$

$$\iff u - v - \epsilon(p, c_g) - \epsilon(c_g, q) \geq 0 \quad (5.9)$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R}(k_p) \gg^\epsilon \mathcal{R}(l_q). \quad (2.20)$$

□

6. DEGREE BEYOND THEOREM 2.13

We begin this section by defining a particle of degree k .

Definition 6.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a set of primary states. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define the set of states of degree k as the set of the products of k primary states:

$$\mathcal{C}^k = \{c_1 \cdots c_k : c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

For an energy ϵ and the corresponding flat relation \succ_ϵ defined on the set of primary particles, we define the set $\mathcal{P}^k = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{C}^k$ of particles of degree k as the sum of k primary particles well-related by \succ_ϵ :

$$(p, c_1 \cdots c_k) = \sum_{u=1}^k \left(p + \sum_{v=u}^{k-1} \epsilon(c_v, c_{v+1}) \right)_{c_u} = \left(kp + \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} u\epsilon(c_u, c_{u+1}) \right)_{c_1 \cdots c_k}. \quad (6.1)$$

We set the function $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ on \mathcal{P}^k such that

$$\gamma_i(p, c_1 \cdots c_k) = \left(p + \sum_{u=i}^{k-1} \epsilon(c_u, c_{u+1}) \right)_{c_i}, \quad (6.2)$$

and we then obtain that

$$(p, c_1 \cdots c_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i(p, c_1 \cdots c_k), \quad (6.3)$$

$$\gamma_1(p, c_1 \cdots c_k) \succ_\epsilon \gamma_2(p, c_1 \cdots c_k) \succ_\epsilon \cdots \succ_\epsilon \gamma_k(p, c_1 \cdots c_k). \quad (6.4)$$

Definition 6.2. We can then naturally define a flat relation \succ^k on \mathcal{P}^k as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (p, c_1 \cdots c_k) \succ^k (q, d_1 \cdots d_k) &\iff p - q = \epsilon(c_k, d_1) + \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} \epsilon(d_u, d_{u+1}) \\ &\iff \gamma_k(p, c_1 \cdots c_k) \succ_\epsilon \gamma_1(q, d_1 \cdots d_k). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

The latter is equivalent to saying that the smallest primary particle of $(p, c_1 \cdots c_k)$ is greater than the greatest primary particle of $(q, d_1 \cdots d_k)$ in terms of \succ_ϵ .

One can check that the relation \succ^k is indeed the flat relation linked to the energy ϵ^k defined on $\mathcal{C}^k \times \mathcal{C}^k$ by

$$\epsilon^k : (c_1 \cdots c_k, d_1 \cdots d_k) \mapsto \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} u\epsilon(c_u, c_{u+1}) + n\epsilon(c_k, d_1) + \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} (k-u)\epsilon(d_u, d_{u+1}). \quad (6.6)$$

In fact, by using (6.1) and (6.5), the difference of potentials of the particles $(p, c_1 \cdots c_k)$ and $(q, d_1 \cdots d_k)$ is exactly equal to $\epsilon^k(c_1 \cdots c_k, d_1 \cdots d_k)$.

This extension of the flatness to degree k has a strong connection with crystal base theory via the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *Let \mathcal{B} be a crystal and suppose that there exists an energy function H on $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Then, the function H^k on $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k}$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_k \otimes b_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{2k} \mapsto & H(b_1 \otimes b_2) + 2H(b_2 \otimes b_3) + \cdots + (k-1)H(b_{k-1} \otimes b_k) + \\ & kH(b_k \otimes b_{k+1}) + \\ & (k-1)H(b_{k+1} \otimes b_{k+2}) + \cdots + 2H(b_{2k-2} \otimes b_{2k-1}) + H(b_{2k-1} \otimes b_{2k}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

is also an energy function on $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k}$.

Proof. The tensor product being associative, we then have, for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and for all $j \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}$, that

$$\tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{2k}) = b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{e}_i(b_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{2k} \implies \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i(b_{j-1} \otimes b_j) = b_{j-1} \otimes \tilde{e}_i(b_j) \\ \tilde{e}_i(b_j \otimes b_{j+1}) = \tilde{e}_i(b_j) \otimes b_{j+1} \end{cases}.$$

We thus obtain by (3.3) that, for $j \leq k$, (the following still holds for $j = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{2k})) - H^k(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{2k}) &= (j-1)(H(b_{j-1} \otimes \tilde{e}_i(b_j)) - H(b_{j-1} \otimes b_j)) + \\ & \quad j(H(\tilde{e}_i(b_j) \otimes b_{j-1}) - H(b_j \otimes b_{j+1})) \\ &= -(j-1)\chi(i=0) + j\chi(i=0) \\ &= \chi(i=0). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $j > k$, we have by (3.3) that (the following still holds for $j = 2k$)

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{2k})) - H^k(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{2k}) &= (2k-j+1)(H(b_{j-1} \otimes \tilde{e}_i(b_j)) - H(b_{j-1} \otimes b_j)) + \\ & \quad (2k-j)(H(\tilde{e}_i(b_j) \otimes b_{j-1}) - H(b_j \otimes b_{j+1})) \\ &= -(2k-j+1)\chi(i=0) + (2k-j)\chi(i=0) \\ &= -\chi(i=0). \end{aligned}$$

□

The tensor of level ℓ perfect crystals being a level ℓ perfect crystal as well [10], we then obtain that $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes k}$ is a perfect crystal if \mathcal{B} is.

We note that the energy function of each perfect crystal \mathcal{B} studied in Section 3 can be obtain by operating a transformation, which preserves the ground, on a certain minimal energy satisfying the condition in Theorem 2.8 and such that $\delta_g = 0$. Therefore, we can define both secondary flat and regular partitions corresponding to this energy function. In particular, since the corresponding minimal energy satisfies $\delta_g = 0$, the energies related to these flat and regular partitions are almost equal by (2.15) and (2.20). By Proposition 5.3, this means that the partitions, corresponding to those in $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^{1+}$ after applying the transformation on the minimal energy, satisfy some difference condition equal to the difference implied by the corresponding energy function of \mathcal{B}^2 . In particular, one can view the case $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ as a result that links the generalization of the Siladić theorem [13] for $2n$ primary colors to the unique level one standard module $L(\Lambda_0)$. This fits with the original work of Siladić [19], where he stated his identity after describing a basis of the unique level one standard module of $A_2^{(2)}$ through vertex operators. A suitable subsequent work is then to build the vertex operators, for the level one standard module of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$), which will allow us to describe a basis corresponding to the difference conditions given by the generalization of Siladić's theorem.

We now define the degree k flat partitions.

Definition 6.4. We define $\mathcal{F}_k^{\epsilon, c_g}$ to be the set of *degree k flat partitions*, which are the flat partitions into degree k particles in \mathcal{P}^k , with ground c_g^k and energy ϵ^k defined in (6.6).

In particular, when $\epsilon(c_g, c_g) = 0$, we can then generalize the bijection built in Section 5.1.

Proposition 6.5. *For any $k \geq 1$, there is a bijection \mathcal{F}_k between $\mathcal{F}_k^{\epsilon, c_g}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$ that preserves the total energy and the sequence of states different from c_g of the flat partitions.*

Proof. For any flat partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_g^k})$ in $\mathcal{F}_k^{\epsilon, c_g}$, we associate the partition $\mathcal{F}_k(\pi)$ defined by the sequence

$$(\gamma_1(\pi_0), \dots, \gamma_k(\pi_0), \gamma_1(\pi_1), \dots, \gamma_k(\pi_1), \dots, \gamma_1(\pi_{s-2}), \dots, \gamma_k(\pi_{s-2}), \gamma_1(\pi_{s-1}), \dots, \gamma_i(\pi_{s-1}), 0_{c_g}),$$

where $i = \max\{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \gamma_j(\pi_{s-1}) \neq 0_{c_g}\}$. The existence of such index i is ensured by the fact that $\pi_{s-1} \neq 0_{c_g^k}$. It suffices to assume by contradiction that for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have $\gamma_j(\pi_{s-1}) = 0_{c_g}$. Since $\epsilon(c_g, c_g) = 0$, we then have $0_{c_g} \succ_{\epsilon} 0_{c_g}$, and we obtain by (6.3) that

$$0_{c_g^k} \neq \pi_{s-1} = \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j(\pi_{s-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^k 0_{c_g} = 0_{c_g^k}.$$

To prove that $\mathcal{F}_k(\pi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_1^{\epsilon, c_g}$, we use (6.4) along with (6.5) to see that $\mathcal{F}_k(\pi)$ is well related up to $\gamma_i(\pi_{s-1})$, and to show that $\gamma_i(\pi_{s-1}) \succ_{\epsilon} 0_{c_g}$, we distinguish two cases.

- If $i < k$, we then have that $\gamma_{i+1}(\pi_{s-1}) = 0_{c_g}$, and we conclude with (6.4).
- If $i = k$, we then have by (6.5) that $\gamma_k(\pi_{s-1}) \succ_{\epsilon} \gamma_1(0_{c_g^k})$ and we conclude.

We now build the reciprocal bijection \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} . For any $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{s-1}, 0_{c_0})$, we write the decomposition $s = km - s'$ with the unique non-negative integers m, s' such that $s' \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$. We then set


$$\mathcal{F}_k^{-1}(\pi) = \underbrace{(\pi_0 + \dots + \pi_{k-1})}_{\pi_k}, \underbrace{(\pi_k + \dots + \pi_{2k-1})}_{\pi_{2k}}, \dots, \underbrace{(\pi_{(m-2)k} + \dots + \pi_{mk-k-1})}_{\pi_{(m-1)k}}, \underbrace{(\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1} + s' \times 0_{c_g})}_{\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1} + s' \times 0_{c_g}}, 0_{c_g^k}.$$

Here we see by (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5), this sequence is well-defined up to the particle $\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1} + s' \times 0_{c_g}$. Note that since $\pi_{s-1} \neq 0_{c_g}$, we necessarily have that $\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1} + s' \times 0_{c_g} \neq 0_{c_g^k}$. We distinguish two cases.

- If $s' > 0$, since $\pi_{s-1} \succ_{\epsilon} 0_{c_g} \succ_{\epsilon} 0_{c_g}$, we then have by (6.3) that $\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1} + s' \times 0_{c_g}$ is in \mathcal{P}^k , and by (6.5) that $\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1} + s' \times 0_{c_g} \gg^k 0_{c_g^k}$.
- If $s' = 0$, we then have by (6.3) that $\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1}$ is in \mathcal{P}^k , and since $\pi_{s-1} \succ_{\epsilon} 0_{c_g}$, we obtain by (6.5) that $\pi_{(m-1)k} + \dots + \pi_{s-1} \gg^k 0_{c_g^k}$.

The reciprocity comes from the correspondence between the case $s' = 0$ for \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} and $i = k$ for \mathcal{F}_k . □

The latter proposition allows us to have the following correspondences



A major subsequent work would be to find a suitable energy to define regular partitions for degree k and which would allow us to state an analogue of Theorem 1.11 at degree k . This problem appears to be closely related to the problem of finding a generalization to weighted words at degree k of the result stated in [14].

7. CLOSING REMARKS

We close this paper with two remarks. First, we point out that in [5, 6], Dousse and the author gave a theorem that connects some flat partitions to regular partitions. They considered the set of n^2 secondary states $\mathcal{C} = \{\alpha_i \beta_j : i, j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\}$, the ground $c_g = a_0 b_0$, and the energies $\epsilon, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ defined by:

$$\epsilon(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) = \chi(i \geq i') - \chi(i = j = i') + \chi(j \leq j') - \chi(j = i' = j') \quad (7.1)$$

$$\epsilon_1(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) = \epsilon(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) + \delta_1(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) \quad (7.2)$$

$$\epsilon_2(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) = \epsilon(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) + \delta_2(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}), \quad (7.3)$$

where δ_1 equals 0 up to the following exceptions,

$$\delta_1(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} i = j = i' = j' \neq 0 \\ i = j = i' > i' \\ i < j = i' = j' \end{cases}.$$

and δ_2 equals 0 up to the following exceptions,

$$\delta_2(a_i b_j, a_{i'} b_{j'}) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} i = j = i' = j' \neq 0 \\ i = j = j' + 1 \leq i' \\ i \geq j + 1 = i' = j' \end{cases}.$$

The flat partitions then correspond to those with energy ϵ , and the first set of regular partitions corresponds to the energy ϵ_1 , and the second set to the energy ϵ_2 . However, the bijections established in [5] link the flat partitions to some subsets of the sets of regular partitions:

- In the first case, the corresponding regular partitions are those which avoid the following forbidden patterns:

$$\text{for all } i \geq i' > j > j' : (p+1)_{a_i b_j}, p_{a_{j'+1} b_{j'+1}}, p_{a_{i'} b_{j'}},$$

$$\text{for all } i < i' < j \leq j' : (p+1)_{a_i b_j}, (p+1)_{a_{i+1} b_{i+1}}, p_{a_{i'} b_{j'}}.$$

- In the second case, the corresponding regular partitions are those which avoid the following forbidden patterns:

$$\text{for all } i' > i > j' \leq j' : (p+1)_{a_i b_j}, p_{a_{i'} b_{i'}}, p_{a_{i'} b_{j'}},$$

$$\text{for all } i' \leq i < j' < j' : (p+1)_{a_i b_j}, (p+1)_{a_j b_j}, p_{a_{i'} b_{j'}}.$$

Furthermore, these bijections preserve the total energy of the partitions, but only the sequence of states in which we replace $a_i b_i$ by 1 for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

A suitable subsequent work could then be to investigate some analogue of Theorem 1.11 not only in terms of difference conditions implied by energies, but also in terms of forbidden patterns.

The second remark concerns the notion of difference d at distance l for positive integers d, l . A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ is said to satisfy the difference d at distance l condition if $\lambda_{i-k} - \lambda_k \geq d$ for all $i \in \{k+1, \dots, s\}$. By considering the conjugated $\lambda^* = (\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_r^*)$ of λ , we equivalently have that $\lambda_{i-d}^* - \lambda_i^* \leq k$ for all $i \in \{d, \dots, r\}$. Therefore, a difference-distance condition imply a flatness condition.

Using this term, the partitions with fewer than m occurrences for each positive integer, described in the Glaisher theorem, are exactly the partitions satisfying difference 1 at distance $m-1$. We can then see The Glaisher theorem as the link between partitions satisfying difference 1 at distance $m-1$ to m -regular partitions. The Andrews-Gordon identities [1], a broad generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [17], can be seen as the level above Glaisher's identity, dealing with the partitions satisfying difference 2 at distance $m-1$. They state that, for any positive integers n, i, m such that $1 \leq i \leq m$, the number of partitions of n into parts not congruent to $0, \pm i \pmod{2m+1}$, is equal to the number of partitions of n with fewer than i occurrences of 1, and which satisfy difference 2 at distance $m-1$. Here again, the connection is made with a subset of $2m+1$ -regular partitions. By Theorem 1.2, this subset corresponds to the subset of all the $2m+1$ -flat partitions with no parts congruent to $\pm i \pmod{2m+1}$. On the other hand, the subset

of partitions satisfying the difference 2 at distance $m - 1$ corresponds to the subset of m -flat partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ satisfying the following:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq i - 1, \\ \lambda_{u-2} - \lambda_u \leq m - 1 \text{ for all } u \in \{3, s\}, \\ \lambda_s \leq m - 1. \end{cases} \quad (7.4)$$

The problem of finding a simple bijective proof for the Andrews-Gordon identities, hence the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, could then be reduced to the problem of finding a bijection between the corresponding m -flat partitions and $2m + 1$ -flat partitions, whose forms are relatively close one to each other. Other analogous identities given by Bressoud [3], allow us to make a connection between subset of m -flat partitions and subsets of $2m$ -flat partitions. Similarly, the identities conjectured by Kanade and Russell [9], proved for the cases related to $A_9^{(2)}$, partially by Bringmann, Jennings-Shaffer and Malhburg [4], and completely by Rosengren [18], can be interpreted in terms of identities which link:

- for the case $A_7^{(2)}$, subsets of 3-flat partitions to subsets of 9-flat partitions,
- for the case $A_9^{(2)}$, subsets of 4-flat partitions to subsets of 12-flat partitions.

An investigation in the framework of flat partitions could be a new way to approach the conjectured identities, and this could possibly lead to a more general family of such identities.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. ANDREWS, *An Analytic Generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities for Odd Moduli*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **71(10)** (1974), 4082-4085.
- [2] C. BESSENRODT, *A bijection for Lebesgue's partition identity in the spirit of Sylvester*, Discrete Math. **132** (1994), 1–10.
- [3] D. BRESSOUD, *Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1980), no. 227, 54 pp.
- [4] K. BRINGMANN, C. JENNINGS-SHAFFER and K. MAHLBURG, *Proofs and reductions of various conjectured partition identities of Kanade and Russell*, J. Reine Angew. Math., doi:10.1515/crelle-2019-0012.
- [5] J. DOUSSE and I. KONAN, *Generalizations of Capparelli's and Primc's identities, I: coloured Frobenius partitions and combinatorial proofs*, arXiv:1911.13191.
- [6] J. DOUSSE and I. KONAN, *Generalizations of Capparelli's and Primc's identities, II: perfect crystals of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ and explicit character formulas*, arXiv:1911.13189.
- [7] J.W.L. GLAISHER, *A theorem in partitions*, Messenger of Math. **12**(1883), 158–170 .
- [8] J. HONG and S.-J. KANG, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, (GSM42). Grad. Stud. Math. AMS, **02** (2002).
- [9] S. KANADE and M. RUSSELL, *IdentityFinder and some new identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Exp. Math. **24** (2015), 419–423.
- [10] S.-J. KANG, M. KASHIWARA, K.C. MISRA, T. MIWA, T. NAKASHIMA, and A. NAKAYASHIKI, *Affine crystals and vertex models, Infinite Analysis, Part A, B* (Kyoto, 1991), 449–484, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. **16**, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1992.
- [11] S.-J. KANG, M. KASHIWARA, K.C. MISRA, T. MIWA, T. NAKASHIMA, and A. NAKAYASHIKI, *Perfect crystals of quantum affine Lie algebras*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), 499-607.
- [12] W.J. KEITH and X. XIONG, *Euler's partition theorem for all moduli and new companions to Rogers-Ramanujan-Andrews-Gordon identities*, Ramanujan J. **49** (2019), no. 3, 555–565.
- [13] I. KONAN, *A Bijective proof and Generalization of Siladić's theorem*, arXiv:1906.00089.
- [14] I. KONAN, *Weighted words at degree two, I: Bressoud's algorithm as an energy transfer*, arXiv:2001.10927.
- [15] P.A. MAC MAHON, *Combinatory Analysis*, vols. I and II Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1915, 1916)
- [16] I. PAK and A. POSTNIKOV, *A generalization of Sylvester's Identity*, Discrete Math. **178** (1998), 277–281.
- [17] L. J. ROGERS and S. RAMANUJAN, *Proof of certain identities in combinatory analysis*, Cambr. Phil. Soc. Proc. **19** (1919), 211-216.
- [18] H. ROSENGREN, *Proofs of some partition identities conjectured by Kanade and Russell*, arXiv:1912.03689.
- [19] I. SILADIĆ, *Twisted $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ -modules and combinatorial identities*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **52(72)** (2017), 53-77.
- [20] D. STOCKHOFE, *Bijektive Abbildungen auf der Menge der Partitionen einer natürlichen Zahl*, Ph.D. thesis. Bayreuth. Math. Schr. **10** (1982), 1–59.
- [21] J. SYLVESTER, *A constructive theory of partitions, arranged in three acts, an interact and an exodion*, Amer. J. Math. **5** (1882), 251–330.

IRIF, UNIVERSITY OF PARIS DIDEROT, PARIS, 75013, FRANCE
E-mail address: konan@irif.fr