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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the dependence on initial data of solutions to the Novikov equation. We show that the solution map is not uniformly continuous dependence on the initial data in Besov spaces $B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>$ $\max \left\{1+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$.


## 1. Introduction and main result

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the Novikov equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right) u_{t}=3 u u_{x} u_{x x}+u^{2} u_{x x x}-4 u^{2} u_{x}, \quad t>0  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

What we are most concerned about is the issue of non-uniform dependence on the initial data. This equation was discovered very recently by Novikov in a symmetry classification of nonlocal PDEs with cubic nonlinearity. He showed that Eq.(1.1) is integrable by using a definition of the existence of an infinite hierarchy of quasilocal higher symmetries [35]. It has a bi-Hamiltonian structure and admits exact peakon solutions $u(t, x)= \pm \sqrt{c} e^{|x-c t|}$ with $c>0$ [27]. The Novikov equation had been studied by many authors. Indeed, it is locally well-posed in certain Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces [40, 41, 43, 44]. Moreover, it has global strong solutions [40], finite-time blow up solutions [44] and global weak solutions [29, 39].

The Novikov equation can be thought as a generalization of the well-known Camassa-Holm (CH) equation

$$
\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right) u_{t}=3 u u_{x}-2 u_{x} u_{x x}-u u_{x x x} .
$$

This equation is known as the shallow water wave equation [2, 13]. It is completely integrable, which has been studied extensively by many authors [2, 6, 14]. The CH equation also has a Hamiltonian structure [4, 22], and admits exact peaked solitons of the form $c e^{|x-c t|}$ with $c>0$ which are orbitally stable [15]. These peaked solutions also mimic the pattern specific to the waves of greatest height $[7,11,37]$.

The local well-posedness for the Cauchy problem of CH equation in Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces was established in $[9,10,16,36]$. Moreover, the CH equation has global strong solutions [5, 9, 10], finite-time blow-up strong solutions [5, 8, 9, 10], unique global weak solution [42], and it is continuous dependence on initial data [31].

The CH equation was the only known integrable equation having peakon solutions until 2002 when another such equation was discovered by Degasperis and Procesi [18]

$$
\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right) u_{t}=4 u u_{x}-3 u_{x} u_{x x}-u u_{x x x} .
$$
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The DP equation can be regarded as a model for nonlinear shallow water dynamics and its asymptotic accuracy is the same as for the CH shallow water equation[19], also, it's integrable with a bi-Hamiltonian structure [17, 12].

Similar to the CH equation, the DP equation has travelling wave solutions [30, 38]. The Cauchy problem of the DP equation is locally well-posed in certain Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces [23, 24, 45]. In addition, it has global strong solutions [33, 45, 47], the finite-time blow-up solutions [20, 21], global weak solutions[3, 20, 46,47 ], and it is the continuous dependence on initial data [31]. Different form the CH equation, the DP equation has not only peakon solutions [17], periodic peakdon solutions [46], but also shock peakons[34] and the periodic shock waves [21].

The issue of non-uniform dependence has attracted a lot of attention after Kenig et al's study on some dispersive equations [28]. For the non-uniform continuity of CH and DP equations in Sobolev spaces, we refer to [26, 25]. And for the Novikov equation, Himonas and Holliman have proved that the data-to-solution map is not uniformly continuous in Sobolev spaces $H^{s}, s>\frac{3}{2}$, they used the method of approximate solutions in conjunction with well-posedness estimates [24]. Up to now, to our best knowledge, there is no paper concerning the non-uniform dependence on initial data for the Novikov equation under the framework of Besov spaces, which is we shall investigate in this paper.

The Novikov equation (1.1) can be changed into a transport-like form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u^{2} u_{x}=-\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{3}+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{3}{2} u u_{x}^{2}+u^{3}\right)\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For simplicity, we denote

$$
R(u)=R_{1}(u)+R_{2}(u)+R_{3}(u),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}(u)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(u_{x}^{3}\right), \quad R_{2}(u)=-\partial_{x}\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(u^{3}\right), \\
& R_{3}(u)=-\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(u u_{x}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have the following result.
Theorem 1.1. Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $s>\max \left\{1+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$. The data-to-solution map for the Novikov equation (1.2) is not uniformly continuous from any bounded subset in $B_{p, r}^{s}$ into $\mathcal{C}\left([0, T] ; B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. That is, there exists two sequences of solutions $u^{n}$ and $v^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})}+\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim 1, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{0}^{n}-v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s},(\mathbb{R})}=0, \\
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{n}(t)-v^{n}(t)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \gtrsim t, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

with small positive time $T_{0}$.
Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries which will be used in the sequel. In Section 3, we give the proof of our main theorem.

Notations. Given a Banach space $X$, we denote its norm by $\|\cdot\|_{X}$. The symbol $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a uniform positive constant $c$ independent of $A$ and $B$ such that $A \leq c B$.

## 2. Littlewood-Paley analysis

In this section, we will recall some facts about the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, the nonhomogeneous Besov spaces and their some useful properties. For more details, the readers can refer to [1].

There exists a couple of smooth functions $(\chi, \varphi)$ valued in $[0,1]$, such that $\chi$ is supported in the ball $\mathcal{B} \triangleq\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\right\}, \varphi$ is supported in the ring $\mathcal{C} \triangleq\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \frac{3}{4} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\right\}$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ for $\frac{4}{3} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \chi(\xi)+\sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)=1, \\
\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)=1, \\
\left|j-j^{\prime}\right| \geq 2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Supp} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \cdot\right) \cap \operatorname{Supp} \varphi\left(2^{-j^{\prime}} \cdot\right)=\emptyset \\
j \geq 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Supp} \chi(\cdot) \cap \operatorname{Supp} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \cdot\right)=\emptyset
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, we can define the nonhomogeneous dyadic blocks $\Delta_{j}$ and nonhomogeneous low frequency cut-off operator $S_{j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{j} u=0, \text { if } j \leq-2, \quad \Delta_{-1} u=\chi(D) u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi \mathcal{F} u) \\
\Delta_{j} u=\varphi\left(2^{-j} D\right) u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{-j} \cdot\right) \mathcal{F} u\right), \text { if } j \geq 0 \\
S_{j} u=\sum_{j^{\prime}=-\infty}^{j-1} \Delta_{j^{\prime}} u
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. The nonhomogeneous Besov space $B_{p, r}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ consists of all tempered distribution $u$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \triangleq\left\|\left(2^{j s}\left\|\Delta_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\right\|_{\ell^{r}(\mathbb{Z})}<\infty
$$

Then, we have the following product laws.
Lemma 2.2 ([1]). (1) For any $s>0$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, there exists a positive constant $C=C(d, s, p, r)$ such that

$$
\|u v\|_{\left.B_{p, r}^{s}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\|v\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right) .
$$

(2) Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $s>\max \left\{\frac{3}{2}, 1+\frac{d}{p}\right\}$. Then, we have

$$
\|u v\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\|v\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (Theorem 3.38, [1] and Lemma 2.9, [32]). Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma>-d \min \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma>-1-d \min \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \operatorname{div} v=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a constant $C=C(d, p, r, \sigma)$ such that for any smooth solution to the following linear transport equation:

$$
\partial_{t} f+v \partial_{x} f=g,\left.\quad f\right|_{t=0}=f_{0}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in[0, t]}\|f(s)\|_{B_{p, r}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C e^{C V_{p}(v, t)}\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\int_{0}^{t}\|g(\tau)\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
V_{p}(v, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla v(s)\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\frac{d}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \mathrm{d} s, & \text { if } \sigma<1+\frac{d}{p}, \\
\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla v(s)\|_{B_{p, r}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \mathrm{d} s, & \text { if } \sigma=1+\frac{d}{p} \text { and } r>1, \\
\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla v(s)\|_{B_{p, r},-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { if } \sigma>1+\frac{d}{p} \text { or }\left\{\sigma=1+\frac{d}{p} \text { and } r=1\right\} .
$$

If $f=v$, then for all $\sigma>0(\sigma>-1$, if $\operatorname{div} v=0)$, the estimate (2.2) holds with

$$
V_{p}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

## 3. NON-UNIFORM CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE

In this section, we will give the proof of our main theorem. Firstly, we recall the local well-posedness result.
Lemma 3.1 ([41]). For $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $s>\max \left\{1+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$ and initial data $u_{0} \in B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a time $T=T\left(s, p, r,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})}\right)>0$ such that the equation (1.2) have a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{C}\left([0, T] ; B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Moreover, for all $t \in[0, T]$, there holds

$$
\|u(t)\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}(\mathbb{R})} .
$$

Next, we give two technical lemmas to estimate the error.
Letting $\hat{\phi}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ function such that

$$
\hat{\phi}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & |x| \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ 0, & |x| \geq \frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

We choose the velocity $u_{0}^{n}$ having the following form:

$$
u_{0}^{n}=f_{n}
$$

with

$$
f_{n}(x)=2^{-n s} \phi(x) \sin \left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{n} x\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Notice that

$$
\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}_{n} \subset\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}: \frac{17}{12} 2^{n}-\frac{1}{2} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{17}{12} 2^{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

then we can deduce that $\Delta_{j} f_{n}=0, j \neq n$ and $\Delta_{n} f_{n}=f_{n}$. Moreover, there holds

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{\sigma}} \leq C 2^{(\sigma-s) n}
$$

Assume that $u^{n}$ is the solution of (1.2) with initial data $u_{0}^{n}$. Then, we have the following estimate between $u_{0}^{n}$ and $u^{n}$.
Lemma 3.2. Let

$$
\varepsilon_{s}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(s-\max \left\{1+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\right\}\right), \frac{1}{2}\right\} .
$$

Then there holds

$$
\left\|u^{n}-u_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(B_{p, r}^{s}\right)} \leq C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} .
$$

Proof. By the well-posedness result (see Lemma 3.1), the solution $u^{n}$ belong to $\mathcal{C}\left([0, T] ; B_{p, r}^{s}\right)$ and have common lifespan $T \simeq 1$. In fact, it is easy to show that for $k \geq-1$,

$$
\left\|u_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+r}} \leq C 2^{k n}
$$

and

$$
\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(B_{p, r}^{s, k}\right)} \leq C 2^{k n}
$$

Since $s-\varepsilon_{s}-1>\frac{1}{p}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \|\left. f\right|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1-\varepsilon_{s}}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain for all $t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{n}-u_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq & \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{\tau} u^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \mathrm{~d} \tau \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{t}\left\|-\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{x}^{n}\right)^{3}+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{3}{2} u^{n}\left(u^{n}\right)_{x}^{2}+\left(u^{n}\right)^{3}\right)\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \mathrm{~d} \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\|-\left(u^{n}\right)^{2} u_{x}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \mathrm{~d} \tau \\
\leq & C\left(\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}^{2}\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}+\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s,-1}}^{3}+\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}\left\|u_{x}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, r}}\right) \\
\leq & C 2^{-n}+C 2^{-3 n}+C 2^{\left(-1-\varepsilon_{s}\right) n} 2^{n} \\
\leq & C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of this lemma.
Next, we choose the velocity $v_{0}^{n}$ having the following form:

$$
v_{0}^{n}=f_{n}+g_{n}
$$

with

$$
g_{n}(x)=2^{-\frac{1}{2} n} \phi(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Assume that $v^{n}$ is the solution of (1.2) with initial data $v_{0}^{n}$. Then, we have the following estimate between $v_{0}^{n}$ and $v^{n}$.
Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there holds for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\left\|v^{n}-v_{0}^{n}+t\left(v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C t^{2}+C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}}
$$

Here, $C$ is a positive constant independent with $t$.
Proof. By the well-posedness result (see Lemma 3.1), the solution $v^{n}$ belong to $\mathcal{C}\left([0, T] ; B_{p, r}^{s}\right)$ and have common lifespan $T \simeq 1$. For simplicity, we denote $w_{n}=$ $v^{n}-v_{0}^{n}-t V_{0}^{n}$ with $V_{0}^{n}=-\left(v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}$. It is easy to check that for $\sigma \geq-\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+\sigma}} \leq C 2^{\sigma n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+\sigma}} & \leq C\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+\sigma}}+C\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+\sigma}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n} 2^{(\sigma+1) n}+C 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n} 2^{\sigma n} \\
& \leq C 2^{\sigma n}, \quad \text { for } \quad \sigma \geq-\frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(B_{p, r}^{s+\sigma}\right)} \leq C 2^{\sigma n}, \quad \text { for } \quad \sigma \geq-\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left\|v_{0}^{n}, V_{0}^{n}, v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \leq C\left\|v_{0}^{n}, V_{0}^{n}, v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n},
$$

which will be used frequently in the sequel. By (1.2), we can deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} w_{n}+\left(v^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} w_{n}= & -t\left(v^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}-t\left(v^{n}+v_{0}^{n}\right) V_{0}^{n} \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n} \\
& -w_{n}\left(v^{n}+v_{0}^{n}\right) \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}+R\left(v^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $R_{2}\left(v^{n}\right)$, we have from Lemma 2.2 and (3.2)-(3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{2}\left(v^{n}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}, r} & \leq C\left\|\left(v^{n}\right)^{3}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \leq C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \\
& \leq C 2^{-\frac{3}{2} n} \leq C 2^{-\left(1+\varepsilon_{s}\right) n} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For the term $R_{3}\left(v^{n}\right)$, we obtain from Lemma 2.2 and (3.1)-(3.4), that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{3}\left(v^{n}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} & \leq\left\|v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}} \\
& \leq C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}\left\|\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}} \\
& \leq C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-r}}\left\|\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}\right)^{2}\right\| \|_{B_{p, r}^{s-\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \leq C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-\frac{3}{2}}}\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-\left(1+\varepsilon_{s}\right) n} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{3}\left(v^{n}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} & \leq\left\|v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \\
& \leq C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s},}\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \\
& \leq C 2^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_{s}\right) n} \leq C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

For the term $R_{1}\left(v^{n}\right)$, we rewrite it as

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}\left(v^{n}\right)= & \underbrace{-\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}+\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right) \partial_{x} w_{n}\right)}_{R_{1,1}} \\
& \underbrace{-\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(t\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}\right)\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}+\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right) \partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}\right)}_{R_{1,2}} \\
& \underbrace{-\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}\right)}_{R_{1,3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have from Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{1,1}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}, r} & \leq C\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}+\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right) \partial_{x} w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 2}} \\
& \leq C\left\|\partial_{x} w^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 2}}\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}+\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, r}} \\
& \leq C\left\|w^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s,-}}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{1,2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} & \leq C t\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}+\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right) \partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}} \\
& \leq C t\left\|\partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-r}}\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v^{n}+\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \\
& \leq C t 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the following inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)^{3}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 2}} \leq & C\left\|\left(\partial_{x} g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 2}}+C\left\|\left(\partial_{x} f_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} g_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \\
& +C\left\|\left(\partial_{x} f_{n}\right)^{3}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}+C\left\|\left(\partial_{x} g_{n}\right)^{3}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \\
\leq & C 2^{n(s-2)}\left\|\partial_{x} g_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}}+C\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}, r}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}, r}\left\|\partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& +C\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}^{3}+C\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, r}}\left\|\partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \\
\leq & C 2^{-2 n}+C 2^{-\frac{3}{2} n}+C 2^{-2 n(s-1)}+C 2^{-n(s-1)-\frac{1}{2} n} \\
\leq & C 2^{-n\left(1+\varepsilon_{s}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{1,3}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} & \leq C\left\|\partial_{x} v^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}} \\
& \leq C\left\|\partial_{x} w^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}}+C t\left\|\partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}}+C\left\|\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\left(\partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-2}} \\
& \leq C t 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n}+C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}+2^{-n\left(1+\varepsilon_{s}\right)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.5)-(3.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R\left(v^{n}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 1}} \leq C t 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n}+C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 1}}+2^{-n\left(1+\varepsilon_{s}\right)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R\left(v^{n}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C t 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n}+C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 1}}+2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left(v^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \leq C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s,-1}}^{2}\left\|V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C 2^{-n},  \tag{3.13}\\
\left\|\left(v^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\left\|V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+1}}+C\left\|v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} V_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
\leq C,  \tag{3.14}\\
\left\|\left(v^{n}+v_{0}^{n}\right) V_{0}^{n} \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \leq C 2^{-n},  \tag{3.15}\\
\left\|\left(v^{n}+v_{0}^{n}\right) V_{0}^{n} \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C . \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 again yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{n}\left(v^{n}+v_{0}^{n}\right) \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s,-1}} \leq C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s,-1}}, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{n}\left(v^{n}+v_{0}^{n}\right) \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} & \leq C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+1}}\left\|v^{n}+v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s, 1}}+C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \\
& \leq C 2^{\frac{1}{2} n}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}}+C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.3 and combining (3.11), (3.13), (3.15), (3.17), we obtain

$$
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \leq C \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \mathrm{~d} \tau+C t^{2} 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n}+C 2^{-n\left(1+\varepsilon_{s}\right)}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \leq C t^{2} 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n}+C 2^{-n\left(1+\varepsilon_{s}\right)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.3 again and combining (3.12), (3.14), (3.16), (3.18), (3.19) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} & \leq C \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \mathrm{~d} \tau+\int_{0}^{t} 2^{\frac{1}{2} n}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s-1}} \mathrm{~d} \tau+C t^{2}+C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \mathrm{~d} \tau+C t^{2}+C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C t^{2}+C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of this lemma.
Proof of the main theorem. Now, we need prove the result of Theorem 1.1. It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}^{n}-v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{s, r}^{s}} \leq\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C 2^{-\frac{1}{2} n} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which tend to 0 for $n$ tends to infinity. According Lemmas 3.2-3.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{n}-v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \geq c\left\|t\left(v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}-C t^{2}-C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\left(v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} v_{0}^{n}=\left(v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} g_{n}+\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}+2 g_{n} f_{n} \partial_{x} f_{n}+\left(g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\left(v_{0}^{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} g_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|v_{0}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+1}} \leq C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}}, \\
&\left\|\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \leq C\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+1}}+C\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{n} f_{n} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} & \leq C| | f_{n}\left\|_{L^{\infty}}\right\| g_{n}\| \|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s+1}}+C\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}\left\|\partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This alongs with (3.22) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{n}-v^{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} \geq c t\left\|\left(g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}-C t^{2}-C 2^{-n \varepsilon_{s}} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the facts $\Delta_{j}\left(\left(g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right)=0, j \neq n$ and $\Delta_{n}\left(\left(g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right)=\left(g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}$, direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}=2^{n s}\left\|\left(g_{n}\right)^{2} \partial_{x} f_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \quad=\frac{17}{12}\left\|\phi^{3}(x) \cos \left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{n} x\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \rightarrow \frac{17}{12}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{\pi}|\cos x|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|\phi^{3}(x)\right\|_{L^{p}} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

by Riemann-Lebesgue's Lemma. Hence, combining (3.21), (3.23), (3.24) and choosing the positive $T_{0}$ small enough, we can obtain our result.
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