

Exact minimum codegree thresholds for K_4^- -covering and K_5^- -covering*

Lei Yu^a, Xinmin Hou^b, Yue Ma^c, Boyuan Liu^d

^{a,b,c,d} Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics

School of Mathematical Sciences

University of Science and Technology of China

Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

Abstract

Given two 3-graphs F and H , an F -covering of H is a collection of copies of F in H such that each vertex of H is contained in at least one copy of them. Let $c_2(n, F)$ be the maximum integer t such that every 3-graph with minimum codegree greater than t has an F -covering. In this note, we answer an open problem of Falgas-Ravry and Zhao (SIAM J. Discrete Math., 2016) by determining the exact value of $c_2(n, K_4^-)$ and $c_2(n, K_5^-)$, where K_t^- is the complete 3-graph on t vertices with one edge removed.

1 Introduction

Given a set V and a positive integer k , let $\binom{V}{k}$ be the collection of k -element subset of V . A *simple k -uniform hypergraph* (or k -graph for short) $H = (V, E)$ consists of a vertex set V and an edge set $E \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$. We write graph for 2-graph for short. For a set $S \subseteq V(H)$, the *neighbourhood* $N_H(S)$ of S is $\{T \subseteq V(H) \setminus S : T \cup S \in E(H)\}$ and the *degree* of S is $d_H(S) = |N_H(S)|$. The *minimum s -degree* of H , denoted by $\delta_s(H)$, is the minimum $d_H(S)$ taken over all s -element sets of $V(H)$, and $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ and $\delta_1(H)$ are usually called the *minimum codegree* and the *minimum degree* of H , respectively.

*The work was supported by NNSF of China (No. 11671376), NSF of Anhui Province (No. 1708085MA18), and Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies (AHY150200).

An r -graph H is called an r -partite r -graph if the vertex set of H can be partitioned into r parts such that each edge of H intersects each part exactly one vertex. Given disjoint sets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r , let $K(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r)$ be the complete r -partite r -graph with vertex classes V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r .

Given a k -graph F , we say a k -graph H has an F -covering if each vertex of H is contained in some copy of F . For $0 \leq i < k$, define

$$c_i(n, F) = \max\{\delta_i(H) : H \text{ is a } k\text{-graph on } n \text{ vertices with no } F\text{-covering}\}.$$

We call $c_{k-1}(n, F)$ the *minimum codegree threshold* for F -covering.

For graphs F , the F -covering problem was solved asymptotically in [5] by showing that $c_1(n, F) = (\frac{\chi(F)-2}{\chi(F)-1} + o(1))n$, where $\chi(F)$ is the chromatic number of F . For general k -graphs, the function $c_i(n, F)$ was determined for some special family of k -graphs F . For example, Han, Lo, and Sanhueza-Matamala [2] proved that $c_{k-1}(n, C_s^{(k, k-1)}) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))n$ for $k \geq 3, s \geq 2k^2$, where $C_s^{(k, \ell)}$ ($1 \leq \ell < k$) is the k -graph on s vertices such that its vertices can be ordered cyclicly so that every edge consists of k consecutive vertices under this order and two consecutive edges intersect in exactly ℓ vertices. Han, Zang, and Zhao showed in [3] that $c_1(n, K) = (6 - 4\sqrt{2} + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$, where K is a complete 3-partite 3-graph with at least two vertices in each part. In this note, we focus on the minimum codegree threshold for covering 3-graphs. Let K_t denote the complete 3-graph on t vertices and let K_t^- denote the 3-graph obtained from K_t by removing one edge. Falgas-Ravry and Zhao [1] determined the exact value of $c_2(n, K_4)$ for $n > 98$ and gave lower and upper bounds of $c_2(n, K_4^-)$ and $c_2(n, K_5^-)$. More specifically, they proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.2 in [1]). *Suppose $n = 6m + r$ for some $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 7$. Then*

$$c_2(n, K_4^-) = \begin{cases} 2m - 1 \text{ or } 2m & \text{if } r = 0, \\ 2m & \text{if } r \in \{1, 2\}, \\ 2m \text{ or } 2m + 1 & \text{if } r \in \{3, 4\}, \\ 2m + 1 & \text{if } r = 5. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1.4 in [1]). $\lfloor \frac{2n-5}{3} \rfloor \leq c_2(n, K_5^-) \leq \lfloor \frac{2n-2}{3} \rfloor$.

Falgas-Ravry and Zhao [1] also conjectured that the gap between the upper and lower bounds for $c_2(n, K_4^-)$ could be closed and left this as an open problem.

Problem 1.3 ([1]). *Determine the exact value of $c_2(n, K_4^-)$ in the case $n \equiv 0, 3, 4 \pmod{6}$.*

In this note, we determine not only the exact value of $c_2(n, K_4^-)$ but also the exact value of $c_2(n, K_5^-)$.

Theorem 1.4. $c_2(n, K_4^-) = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

The theorem solved Problem 1.3 completely.

Theorem 1.5. $c_2(n, K_5^-) = \lfloor \frac{2n-2}{3} \rfloor$.

This result completes Theorem 1.2.

The following are some definitions and notation used in our proofs. For a k -graph H and $x \in V(H)$, the *link graph* of x , denoted by $H(x)$, is the $(k-1)$ -graph with vertex set $V(H) \setminus \{x\}$ and edge set $N_H(x)$. Given a graph G and a positive integer vector $\mathbf{k} \in Z_+^{V(G)}$, the \mathbf{k} -*blowup* of G , denoted by $G^{(\mathbf{k})}$, is the graph obtained by replacing every vertex v of G with $\mathbf{k}(v)$ different vertices where a copy of u is adjacent to a copy of v in the blowup graph if and only if u is adjacent to v in G . We call the collection of copies of $v \in V(G)$ in $G^{(\mathbf{k})}$ the *blowup* of v . When there is no confusion, we write ab and abc as a shorthand for $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a, b, c\}$, respectively. Given two r -graphs H and F , we say H is F -free if H contains no subgraph isomorphic to F . Given a positive integer n , write $[n]$ for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

In the rest of the note, we give proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

2 Proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5

We will construct extremal 3-graphs for K_4^- and K_5^- with minimum codegree matching the upper bounds in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We first give an observation, which can be verified directly from the definitions.

Observation 1. *Let H be a 3-graph and $x \in V(H)$. If $H(x)$ is triangle-free and the subgraph of $H(x)$ induced by an edge $e \in E(H)$ with $x \notin e$ is P_2 -free, then x can not be covered by a K_4^- in H , where P_2 is a path of length two.*

By Theorem 1.1, to show Theorem 1.4, it is sufficient to construct 3-graphs H on n vertices for $n \equiv 0, 3, 4 \pmod{6}$ and with $\delta_2(H) = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ such that H has no K_4^- -covering. We distinguish the proof into three cases. Let C_6 be the 6-cycle $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_1$.

Construction A: Let G_1 be the graph obtained from C_6 and the 5-cycle 123451 by adding the edges $1v_1, 1v_3, 2v_2, 2v_5, 3v_4, 3v_6, 4v_3, 4v_5, 5v_2, 5v_6$.

Construction B: Let G_2 be the graph obtained from C_6 and the 8-cycle 123456781 by adding the edges $1v_1, 1v_3, 2v_2, 2v_6, 3v_1, 3v_5, 4v_3, 4v_6, 5v_2, 5v_4, 6v_3, 6v_5, 7v_4, 7v_6, 8v_2, 8v_5$.

Construction C: Let G_3 be the graph obtained from C_6 and the 8-cycle 123456781 by adding a new vertex 9 and the edges $19, 39, 79, 1v_1, 1v_3, 2v_2, 2v_6, 3v_1, 3v_4, 4v_3, 4v_5, 5v_4, 5v_6, 6v_1, 6v_5, 7v_3, 7v_6, 8v_2, 8v_4, 9v_2, 9v_5$.

It can be checked that G_1, G_2, G_3 are triangle-free; therefore, so are the blowups of them.

Case 1. $n = 6m$ for some integer $m \geq 1$.

Define a positive integer vector $\mathbf{k}_1 \in Z_+^{V(G_1)}$ by $\mathbf{k}_1(v_i) = m - 1$ for $i \in [6]$ and $\mathbf{k}_1(i) = 1$ for $i \in [5]$.

Construction 1. Let V_1, \dots, V_6 be six disjoint sets of the same size $m - 1$ and let x be a specific vertex. Define the 3-graph H_1 on vertex set $\{x\} \cup [5] \cup (\cup_{i=1}^6 V_i)$ such that the following holds:

- (1) The link graph of x , $H_1(x)$, consists of the \mathbf{k}_1 -blowup of G_1 by replacing v_i by V_i for $i \in [6]$ and a perfect matching between V_1 and V_4 .
- (2) A triple $abc \in E(H_1)$ if $x \notin \{a, b, c\}$ and the subgraph induced by $\{a, b, c\}$ in $H_1(x)$ is P_2 -free.

Claim 1. H_1 contains no K_4^- -covering and $\delta_2(H_1) = 2m = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

Proof of Claim 1. By the definition of G_1 , v_1 and v_4 have no common neighbor. So by (1) of Construction 1, $H_1(x)$ is triangle-free. By (2) of Construction 1, any two incident edges of $H_1(x)$ are not contained in one edge of H_1 . By Observation 1, x is contained in no copy of K_4^- in H_1 . So H_1 has no K_4^- -covering.

By (1) of Construction 1, one can check that $H_1(x)$ is $2m$ -regular. So $d_{H_1}(x, a) = 2m$ for all $a \in V \setminus \{x\}$. Now we consider the degree of the pair $\{a, b\}$ with $x \notin \{a, b\}$. If $ab \in E(H_1(x))$, then by (2) of Construction 1, $N_{H_1}(x, a) \cap N_{H_1}(a, b) = \emptyset$,

$N_{H_1}(x, b) \cap N_{H_1}(a, b) = \emptyset$ and $N_{H_1}(x, a) \cap N_{H_1}(x, b) = \emptyset$; or equivalently, for any $c \notin N_{H_1}(x, a) \cup N_{H_1}(x, b)$, $\{a, b, c\}$ forms an edge of H_1 . So $d_{H_1}(a, b) = 6m - 2 \times 2m = 2m$. If $ab \notin E(H_1(x))$ then $x \notin N_{H_1}(a, b)$. By (2) of the construction of H_1 , $N_{H_1}(x, a) \cap N_{H_1}(x, b) \cap N_{H_1}(a, b) = \emptyset$; or equivalently, for any $c \notin (N_{H_1}(x, a) \cap N_{H_1}(x, b)) \cup \{x, a, b\}$, we have $abc \in E(H_1)$. So $d_{H_1}(a, b) = 6m - 3 - |N_{H_1}(a, x) \cap N_{H_1}(b, x)| \geq 4m - 3 \geq 2m$ if $m > 1$. If $m = 1$, then $H_1(x)$ is the 5-cycle 123451, one can check that $d_{H_1}(a, b) \geq 2 = 2m$.

Case 1 follows directly from Claim 1.

Case 2: $n = 6m + 3$ for some integer $m \geq 1$.

Define a positive integer vector $\mathbf{k}_2 \in Z_+^{V(G_2)}$ by $\mathbf{k}_2(v_i) = m - 1$ for $i \in [6]$ and $\mathbf{k}_2(i) = 1$ for $i \in [8]$.

Construction 2. Let V_1, \dots, V_6 be six disjoint sets of the same size $m - 1$ and let x be a specific vertex. Define the 3-graph H_2 on vertex set $\{x\} \cup [8] \cup (\cup_{i=1}^6 V_i)$ such that the following holds:

- (1) The link graph of x , $H_2(x)$, consists of the \mathbf{k}_2 -blowup of G_2 by replacing v_i with V_i for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, a perfect matching between V_1 and V_4 and a matching $\{15, 26, 37, 48\}$.
- (2) A triple $abc \in E(H_2)$ if $x \notin \{a, b, c\}$ and the subgraph induced by $\{a, b, c\}$ in $H_2(x)$ is P_2 -free.

Claim 2. H_2 contains no K_4^- -covering and $\delta_2(H_2) = 2m + 1 = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

Proof of Claim 2. By the definition of G_2 , $N_{G_2}(v_1) \cap N_{G_2}(v_4) = \emptyset$ and $N_{G_2}(1) \cap N_{G_2}(5) = N_{G_2}(2) \cap N_{G_2}(6) = N_{G_2}(3) \cap N_{G_2}(7) = N_{G_2}(4) \cap N_{G_2}(8) = \emptyset$. So by (1) of Construction 2, $H_2(x)$ is triangle-free, too; and by (2) of Construction 2, any two incident edges of $H_2(x)$ are not contained in one edge of H_2 . By Observation 1, x is contained in no copy of K_4^- in H_2 . So H_2 has no K_4^- -covering.

By (1) of Construction 2, $H_2(x)$ is $(2m + 1)$ -regular. So $d_{H_2}(x, a) = 2m + 1$ for all $a \in V(H_2) \setminus \{x\}$. Now assume $\{a, b\} \subseteq V(H_2) \setminus \{x\}$. If $ab \in E(H_2(x))$, then by (2) of Construction 2, $N_{H_2}(x, a) \cap N_{H_2}(a, b) = \emptyset$, $N_{H_2}(x, b) \cap N_{H_2}(a, b) = \emptyset$ and $N_{H_2}(x, a) \cap N_{H_2}(x, b) = \emptyset$; or equivalently, for any $c \notin N_{H_2}(x, a) \cup N_{H_2}(x, b)$, $\{a, b, c\}$ forms an edge of H_2 . So $d_{H_2}(a, b) = 6m + 3 - 2(2m + 1) = 2m + 1$. If $ab \notin E(H_2(x))$ then $x \notin N_{H_2}(a, b)$. By (2) of the construction of H_2 , $N_{H_2}(x, a) \cap N_{H_2}(x, b) \cap N_{H_2}(a, b) = \emptyset$; or equivalently, for any $c \notin (N_{H_2}(x, a) \cap N_{H_2}(x, b)) \cup \{x, a, b\}$, $abc \in E(H_2)$. So we have $d_{H_2}(a, b) = 6m + 3 - 3 - |N_{H_2}(a, x) \cap N_{H_2}(b, x)| \geq 4m - 1 \geq 2m + 1$.

Case 2 follows from Claim 2.

Case 3: $n = 6m + 4$ for some integer $m \geq 1$.

Define a positive integer vector $\mathbf{k}_3 \in Z_+^{V(G_3)}$ by $\mathbf{k}_3(v_i) = m - 1$ for $i \in [6]$ and $\mathbf{k}_3(i) = 1$ for $i \in [9]$.

Construction 3. Let V_1, \dots, V_6 be six disjoint sets of the same size $m - 1$ and let x be a specific vertex. Define a 3-graph H_3 on vertex set $\{x\} \cup [9] \cup (\cup_{i=1}^6 V_i)$ such that the following holds:

- (1) The link graph of x , $H_3(x)$, consists of the \mathbf{k}_3 -blowup of G_3 and a matching $\{15, 26, 48\}$.
- (2) A triple $abc \in E(H_3)$ if $x \notin \{a, b, c\}$ and the subgraph induced by $\{a, b, c\}$ in $H_3(x)$ is P_2 -free.

Claim 3. H_3 contains no K_4^- -covering and $\delta_2(H_3) = 2m + 1 = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

Proof of Claim 3: By (1) of Construction 3, one can check that $H_3(x)$ is triangle-free; and by (2) of Construction 3, any two incident edges of $H_3(x)$ are not contained in one edge of H_3 . By Observation 1, x is contained in no copy of K_4^- in H_3 . So H_3 has no K_4^- -covering.

By the construction of $H_3(x)$, one can check that $H_3(x)$ is almost $(2m + 1)$ -regular, i.e. $d_{H_3(x)}(a) = 2m + 1$ for all vertices $a \in V(H_3) \setminus \{x, 1\}$ and $d_{H_3(x)}(1) = 2m + 2$. So $d_{H_3}(x, a) = 2m + 1$ for all $a \in V(H_3) \setminus \{x, 1\}$ and $d_{H_3}(x, 1) = 2m + 2$. Now assume $\{a, b\} \subseteq V(H_3) \setminus \{x\}$. If $ab \in E(H_3(x))$, by (2) of Construction 3, $N_{H_3}(x, a) \cap N_{H_3}(a, b) = \emptyset$, $N_{H_3}(x, b) \cap N_{H_3}(a, b) = \emptyset$, and for any $c \in V(H_3) \setminus (N_{H_3}(x, a) \cup N_{H_3}(x, b))$, $\{a, b, c\}$ forms an edge of H_3 . Since $H_3(x)$ is triangle-free, $N_{H_3}(x, a) \cap N_{H_3}(x, b) = \emptyset$. If $1 \notin \{a, b\}$ then $d_{H_3}(a, b) = |V(H_3)| - |N_{H_3}(x, a)| - |N_{H_3}(x, b)| = 6m + 4 - 2(2m + 1) = 2m + 2$. Now assume $1 \in \{a, b\}$, say $a = 1$. Then $d_{H_3}(1, b) = |V(H_3)| - |N_{H_3}(x, 1)| - |N_{H_3}(x, b)| = 6m + 4 - (2m + 2) - (2m + 1) = 2m + 1$. If $ab \notin E(H_3(x))$ then $x \notin N_{H_3}(a, b)$. By (2) of the construction of H_3 , $N_{H_3}(x, a) \cap N_{H_3}(x, b) \cap N_{H_3}(a, b) = \emptyset$; or equivalently, for any $c \notin (N_{H_3}(x, a) \cap N_{H_3}(x, b)) \cup \{x, a, b\}$, $abc \in E(H_3)$. So we have $d_{H_3}(a, b) = 6m + 4 - 3 - |N_{H_3}(a, x) \cap N_{H_3}(b, x)| \geq 4m \geq 2m + 1$.

Case 3 follows from Claim 3.

Theorem 1.4 follows from Cases 1, 2, 3 and Theorem 1.1.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

The following theorem is well known in graph theory.

Theorem 2.1 (König [4]). *Let G be a bipartite graph with maximum degree Δ . Then $E(G)$ can be partitioned into $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_\Delta$ so that each M_i ($1 \leq i \leq \Delta$) is a matching in G . In particular, if G is Δ -regular then $E(G)$ can be partitioned into Δ perfect matchings.*

Construction 4. *Given positive integers m, ℓ with $m \leq \ell$ and two disjoint sets V_1, V_2 with $|V_1| \leq |V_2| = m$, by Theorem 2.1, the edge set of the complete bipartite graph $K(V_1, V_2)$ has a partition M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m such that each M_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) is a matching. Let T be the 3-partite 3-graph with vertex classes $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup [\ell]$ and edge set*

$$E(H) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{e \cup \{i\} : e \in M_i\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first give the extremal 3-graph for K_5^- .

Construction 5. *Given a positive integer m and three disjoint sets V_1, V_2, V_3 such that $m - 1 \leq |V_1| \leq |V_2| = m \leq |V_3| \leq m + 1$ and $|V_3| - |V_1| \leq 1$, then $3m - 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 |V_i| \leq 3m + 1$. Denote $V_3 = [\ell]$. Then $\ell = m$ or $m + 1$. Let T be the 3-partite 3-graph on vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ defined by Construction 4. Let x be a specific vertex not in $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$. Define the 3-graph H_4 on vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \{x\}$ such that the following holds.*

- (1) *The link graph of x , $H_4(x)$, consists of three complete bipartite graphs $K(V_1, V_2)$, $K(V_1, V_3)$ and $K(V_2, V_3)$.*
- (2) *Each triple $e \notin E(K(V_1, V_2, V_3))$ is an edge of H_4 .*
- (3) *$E(T) \subseteq E(H_4)$.*

Let $n = |V(H_4)|$. Then $3m \leq n \leq 3m + 2$.

Claim 4. *H_4 has no K_5^- -covering and $\delta_2(H) \geq \lfloor \frac{3n-2}{3} \rfloor$.*

Proof of Claim 4: We show that x is contained in no copy of K_5^- in H_4 . Suppose to the contrary that H_4 contains a copy of K_5^- , say K , covering x . Denote $V(K) = \{x, a, b, c, d\}$. Then there is at least one part V_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) such that $|V_i \cap \{a, b, c, d\}| \geq 2$. By (1) of Construction 5, there is no edge of H_4 included in $\{x\} \cup V_i$. So at least one edge connecting x and $\{a, b, c, d\}$ misses from K . As K is a copy of K_5^- , there is exact one edge between x and $\{a, b, c, d\}$ missed and so $\{a, b, c, d\}$ induces a copy of

the complete 3-graph K_4 in H_4 . From Construction 4, $\Delta_2(T) \leq 1$. By (2) and (3) of Construction 5, each pair of vertices chosen from different parts of V_1, V_2, V_3 has at most one neighbor in the remaining part. Thus a putative K_4 induced by $\{a, b, c, d\}$ intersects at most two parts of V_1, V_2, V_3 . But this is impossible. In fact, if there is some $1 \leq i \leq 3$ such that $a, b, c \in V_i$, then $xab, xac \notin E(H_4)$, a contradiction. So assume $a, b \in V_i$ and $c, d \in V_j$ ($i \neq j$) for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $xab, xcd \notin E(H_4)$, a contradiction too.

Now we compute the minimum codegree of H_4 . Choose two distinct vertices $a, b \in V(H_4)$. If $x \in \{a, b\}$, assume $x = a$ and $b \in V_i$, then by (1) of Construction 5,

$$d(x, b) = n - 1 - |V_i| \geq n - 1 - \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{3} \right\rceil = \left\lfloor \frac{2n-2}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

If $a, b \in V_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$ then, by (2) of Construction 5, $d(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^3 |V_i| - 2 = n - 3 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{2n-2}{3} \right\rfloor$. If $a \in V_i, b \in V_j$ ($i \neq j$), then

$$d(a, b) = |V_i| + |V_j| - 2 + 1 + d_T(a, b) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{2n-2}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

where the inequality holds since $d_T(a, b) = 1$ when $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$ or $\{i, j\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $|V_1| = |V_2| = |V_3| = m$.

This completes the proof of Claim 4.

By Claim 4, we have

$$c_2(n, K_5^-) \geq \delta_2(H_4) = \left\lfloor \frac{2n-2}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

By Theorem 1.2, we have Theorem 1.5. □

References

- [1] V. Falgas-Ravry, Y. Zhao, Codegree thresholds for covering 3-uniform hypergraphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 30 (4) (2016), 1899-1917.
- [2] J. Han, A. Lo, N. Sanhueza-Matamala, Covering and tiling hypergraphs with tight cycles, Electron. Notes Discrete Math., 61 (2017), 561-567.
- [3] J. Han, C. Zang, Y. Zhao, Minimum vertex degree thresholds for tiling complete 3-partite 3-graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 149 (2017), 115-147.

- [4] D. König, Über Graphen und ihre Anwendung auf Determinantentheorie und Mengenlehre, Math. Ann., 77 (1916), 453-465.
- [5] C. Zang, Matchings and tilings in hypergraphs, PhD thesis, Georgia State University, 2016.