

MULTI-TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH REGULAR POLYDOMAINS

GELU POPESCU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce and study the class of weighted multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative polydomains $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$, $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, generated by k -tuples $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ of positive regular free holomorphic functions in a neighborhood of the origin. These operators are acting on the tensor product $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k})$ of full Fock spaces with n_i generators or, equivalently, they can be viewed as multi-Toeplitz operators acting on tensor products of weighted full Fock spaces. For a large class of polydomains, we show that there are no non-zero compact multi-Toeplitz operators.

We characterize the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators in terms of bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial part of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ and use the result to obtain an analogue of the Dirichlet extension problem for free k -pluriharmonic functions. We show that the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators have noncommutative Fourier representations which can be viewed as noncommutative symbols and can be used to recover the associated operators. We also prove that the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators satisfy a Brown-Halmos type equation associated with the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$.

All the results hold, in particular, for the multi-Toeplitz operators acting on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{m}}(z, w) := \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{N_i} a_{i,p} \bar{z}_i^p w_i^p\right)^{m_i}}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_k), w = (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{D}^k,$$

where $a_{i,p} \geq 0$ and $a_{i,1} > 0$.

INTRODUCTION

The study of Toeplitz operators was initiated by O. Toeplitz [29] and was continued, later on, with the seminal work of Brown and Halmos [2]. Over the years, this study has been extended to Toeplitz operators acting on Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit disc (see [9]) such as the Bergman space and weighted Bergman space, and also to higher dimensional setting involving holomorphic functions in several complex variables on various classes of domains in \mathbb{C}^n (see Upmeyer's book [30]). We refer the reader to [1], [6], [28], and [9] for a comprehensive account on Toeplitz operators and their applications.

In the noncommutative multivariable setting, a study of unweighted multi-Toeplitz operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ with n generators was initiated in [14], [15] and has had an important impact in multivariable operator theory and the structure of free semigroups algebras (see [3], [4], [5], [17], [18], [10], [11]). Unweighted multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative polyballs and acting on tensor products of full Fock spaces were studied in [23]. In [27], we obtained a Brown-Halmos [2] type characterization of multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative poly-hyperballs which extended the characterization of Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols on the weighted Bergman space $A_m(\mathbb{D})$ obtained by Louhichi and Olofsson [12], as well as Eschmeier and Langendörfer [8] extension to the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n .

Very recently [26], we initiated the study of weighted multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative regular domains $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}$, generated by positive regular free holomorphic functions \mathbf{f} in a neighborhood of the origin. In the present paper, which can be seen as a continuation of [26],

Date: February 15, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B35; 47A56, Secondary: 47B37; 47A62.

Key words and phrases. Multivariable operator theory, Multi-Toeplitz operator, Noncommutative polydomain, Full Fock space, Pluriharmonic function.

Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1500922.

we introduce and study the class of weighted multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative polydomains.

To present our results, we need some definitions. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbf{n} := (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n_i generators $g_1^i, \dots, g_{n_i}^i$ and the identity g_0^i . The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ is defined by $|\alpha| := 0$ if $\alpha = g_0^i$ and $|\alpha| := p$ if $\alpha = g_{j_1}^i \cdots g_{j_p}^i$, where $j_1, \dots, j_p \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. If $Z_{i,1}, \dots, Z_{i,n_i}$ are noncommuting indeterminates, we denote $Z_{i,\alpha} := Z_{i,j_1} \cdots Z_{i,j_p}$ and $Z_{g_0^i} := 1$. Let $f_i := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} Z_{i,\alpha}$, $a_{i,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$, be a formal power series in n_i noncommuting indeterminates $Z_{i,1}, \dots, Z_{i,n_i}$. We say that f_i is a *positive regular free holomorphic function* if the following conditions hold: $a_{i,\alpha} \geq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$, $a_{i,g_0^i} = 0$, $a_{i,g_j^i} > 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n_i$, and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_{i,\alpha}^2 \right)^{1/2k} < \infty.$$

Given $X_i := (X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, define the map $\Phi_{f_i, X_i} : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\Phi_{f_i, X_i}(Y) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha|=k} a_{i,\alpha} X_{i,\alpha} Y X_{i,\alpha}^*, \quad Y \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology and $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Let $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \dots, m_k)$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ be a k -tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions. We denote by $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ the set of all tuples $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ with the property that, for any $p, q \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $p \neq q$, the entries of X_p are commuting with the entries of X_q . The *noncommutative polydomain* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is defined by its Hilbert space representations $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all k -tuples

$$\mathbf{X} := (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$$

with the property that

$$(id - \Phi_{f_1, X_1})^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k, X_k})^{p_k}(I) \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$$

where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$, with $p_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We use the convention that $(id - \Phi_{f_i, X_i})^0 = id$. In [19], we showed that each regular polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ has a *left universal model* $\mathbf{W} := \{\mathbf{W}_{i,j}\}$ consisting on weighted left creation operators acting on the tensor product $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k})$ of full Fock spaces with n_i generators (see Section 1 for the definitions). This was used in [21], [22] to develop an operator model theory and a theory of free holomorphic functions on these polydomains and associated noncommutative varieties. This study was continued recently in [24], where we obtained a Beurling type characterization of the joint invariant subspaces under the universal model $\mathbf{W} := \{\mathbf{W}_{i,j}\}$ and developed an operator model theory for completely non-coisometric elements in polydomains (resp. varieties) in terms of characteristic functions.

The goal of the present paper is to show that, as in the classical case of Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ of the disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, there is a class of multi-Toeplitz operators associated with each noncommutative regular polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ which are acting on tensor products of full Fock spaces. We initiate the study of these operators in close connection with the theory of free k -pluriharmonic functions on polydomains.

In Section 1, we introduce the multi-homogeneous operators acting on tensor products of full Fock spaces and the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators associated with the regular polydomains $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$. The main result of this section is a characterization of the multi-homogeneous operators which are also weighted multi-Toeplitz operators (see Theorem 1.7). In addition, we show that any weighted multi-Toeplitz operator $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ has a unique formal Fourier representation

$$\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*,$$

which can be viewed as a noncommutative symbol. At the end of this section, we show that, for a large class of polydomains, the associated multi-Toeplitz operators contain no non-zero compact operators.

In Section 2, we characterize the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators in terms of bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial part of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ (see Theorem 2.3) and also characterize the formal series which are noncommutative Fourier representations of weighted multi-Toeplitz operators (see Theorem 2.5). These results are used, in Section 3, to prove that the bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ are precisely those that are noncommutative Berezin transforms of the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators. In this setting, we solve the Dirichlet extension problem.

In Section 4, we prove that the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators satisfy a Brown-Halmos type equation associated with the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$, leaving the converse as an open problem.

1. MULTI-HOMOGENEOUS OPERATORS AND WEIGHTED MULTI-TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH POLYDOMAINS

In this section, we introduce the multi-homogeneous operators acting on tensor products of full Fock spaces and the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators associated with the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$. We characterize the multi-homogeneous operators which are also weighted multi-Toeplitz operators and show that any weighted multi-Toeplitz operator has a Fourier representation which can be seen as a noncommutative symbol. For a large class of polydomains, we show that the associated weighted multi-Toeplitz operators contain no non-zero compact operators.

Let H_{n_i} be an n_i -dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1^i, \dots, e_{n_i}^i$. We consider the full Fock space of H_{n_i} defined by

$$F^2(H_{n_i}) := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} H_{n_i}^{\otimes p},$$

where $H_{n_i}^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}1$ and $H_{n_i}^{\otimes p}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of p copies of H_{n_i} . Set $e_{\alpha}^i := e_{j_1}^i \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_p}^i$ if $\alpha = g_{j_1}^i \dots g_{j_p}^i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ and $e_{g_0^i}^i := 1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\{e_{\alpha}^i : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $F^2(H_{n_i})$.

Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{T}^k \rightarrow B(\bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ be the strongly continuous unitary representation of the k -dimensional torus, defined by

$$\Gamma(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k})f := \sum_{\substack{\alpha_s \in \mathbb{F}_{n_s}^+ \\ s \in \{1, \dots, k\}}} e^{i\theta_1|\alpha_1|} \dots e^{i\theta_k|\alpha_k|} a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} e_{\alpha_1}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_k}^k.$$

for any $f = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_s \in \mathbb{F}_{n_s}^+ \\ s \in \{1, \dots, k\}}} a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} e_{\alpha_1}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_k}^k \in \bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$. The spectral subspaces $\{\mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}\}_{(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k}$ of Γ are the images of the orthogonal projections $\mathbf{P}_{p_1, \dots, p_k} \in B(\bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ defined by

$$\mathbf{P}_{p_1, \dots, p_k} := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^k \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ip_1\theta_1} \dots e^{-ip_k\theta_k} \Gamma(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k}) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_k,$$

where the integral is defined as a weak integral and the integrand is a continuous function in the strong operator topology. Note also that

$$\mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k} := \{f \in \bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}) : \Gamma(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k})f = e^{i\theta_1 p_1} \dots e^{i\theta_k p_k} f\}$$

for $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, and we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}) = \bigoplus_{(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}.$$

We remark that if $p_s < 0$ for some $s \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then $\mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k} = \{0\}$. In what follows, we use the notation $\Gamma(e^{i\theta}) := \Gamma(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k})$. Let \mathcal{K} be a separable Hilbert space.

Definition 1.1. If $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ and $\mathbf{s} := (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ we define the \mathbf{s} -multi-homogeneous part of T to be the operator $T_{\mathbf{s}} \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ defined by

$$T_{\mathbf{s}} := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^k \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-is_1\theta_1} \dots e^{-is_k\theta_k} (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta})) T (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta}))^* d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_k.$$

Proposition 1.2. For any $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, the \mathbf{s} -multi-homogeneous part of $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ has the following properties:

- (i) $(T^*)_{\mathbf{s}} = (T_{-\mathbf{s}})^*$;
- (ii) $T_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}) \subset \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_1+p_1, \dots, s_k+p_k}$ for any $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$.

Proof. Part (i) is clear. To prove part (ii), note that

$$\Gamma(e^{i\theta})^*|_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}} = e^{-ip_1\theta_1} \dots e^{-ip_k\theta_k} I_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}}, \quad (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k.$$

Consequently, if $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and $f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbf{s}}f &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^k \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(s_1+p_1)\theta_1} \dots e^{-i(s_k+p_k)\theta_k} (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta})) T f d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_k \\ &= (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{s_1+p_1, \dots, s_k+p_k}) T f. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$T_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}) \subset \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_1+p_1, \dots, s_k+p_k}$$

for any $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, which completes the proof. \square

Definition 1.3. An operator $A \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is said to be multi-homogeneous of degree $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ if

$$A(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}) \subset \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_1+p_1, \dots, s_k+p_k}$$

for any $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$.

Brown and Halmos [2] proved that a necessary and sufficient condition that an operator on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ be a Toeplitz operator is that its matrix $[\lambda_{ij}]$ with respect to the standard basis $\chi_k(e^{i\theta}) = e^{ik\theta}$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, be a Toeplitz matrix, i.e

$$\lambda_{i+1, j+1} = \lambda_{ij}, \quad i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots\},$$

which is equivalent to the fact that $\lambda_{ij} = a_{i-j}$, where $\varphi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \chi_k$ is the Fourier expansion of the symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. In what follows, we find an extension of their result to multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative regular polydomains.

First, we need some notations. If $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we say that $\omega \geq_r \gamma$ if there is $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ such that $\omega = \sigma\gamma$. In this case we set $\omega \setminus_r \gamma := \sigma$. If $\sigma \neq g_0$ we write $\omega >_r \gamma$. We say that ω and γ are *comparable* if either $\omega \geq_r \gamma$ or $\gamma \geq_r \omega$. Let $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$ be in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ := \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$. We say that $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ are comparable if, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, either one of the relations $\omega_i <_r \gamma_i$, $\gamma_i <_r \omega_i$, or $\omega_i = \gamma_i$ holds. We also use the standard notation $s^+ := \max\{s, 0\}$ and $s^- := \max\{-s, 0\}$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We denote by \mathcal{C} the set of all pairs $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \times \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+$ which are comparable, and set

$$\mathcal{J} := \{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) := (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) : \sigma_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \text{ with } |\sigma_i| = s_i^+, |\beta_i| = s_i^-, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We introduce the *simplification function* $\mathbf{s} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ defined by $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) := (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$, where, if $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$, then for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\sigma_i := \begin{cases} \omega_i \setminus_r \gamma_i, & \text{if } \omega_i \geq_r \gamma_i, \\ g_0^i, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \beta_i := \begin{cases} \gamma_i \setminus_r \omega_i, & \text{if } \gamma_i \geq_r \omega_i, \\ g_0^i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.4. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is called *weighted (right) multi-Toeplitz operator* associated with the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ if there exist operators $\{A_{(\sigma, \beta)}\}_{(\sigma, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ such that, for any $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\langle T(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \rangle = \begin{cases} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)} \langle A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} x, y \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \times \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

where the weights $\{\tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}\}_{(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}}$ are given by

$$\tau_{(\omega, \gamma)} := \prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\frac{b_{i, \min\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i, \max\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}}^{(m_i)}}}$$

and the coefficients $b_{i, \beta_i}^{(m_i)}$ are given by relation (1.1).

Proposition 1.5. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is *weighted multi-Toeplitz* if and only if, for any $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+$,

$$\langle T(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}}{\tau_{(\omega', \gamma')}} \langle T(x \otimes e_{\gamma'}), y \otimes e_{\omega'} \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \times \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

where $(\omega', \gamma') := \mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)$ when $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. If T is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator and $(\omega', \gamma') \in \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathbf{s}(\omega', \gamma') = (\omega', \gamma')$ and $\tau_{(\omega', \gamma')} = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{i, \max\{\omega'_i, \gamma'_i\}}^{(m_i)}}}$. Using Definition 1.4, we deduce that

$$\langle T(x \otimes e_{\gamma'}), y \otimes e_{\omega'} \rangle = \tau_{(\omega', \gamma')} \langle A_{(\omega', \gamma')} x, y \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{K}.$$

If $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$, we set $(\omega', \gamma') := \mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)$. The relation above implies

$$\langle A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{\tau_{(\omega', \gamma')}} \langle T(x \otimes e_{\gamma'}), y \otimes e_{\omega'} \rangle.$$

Consequently, due to Definition 1.4, we deduce that the direct implication holds. To prove the converse, assume that the relation in the proposition holds. Then, for any $(\omega', \gamma') \in \mathcal{J}$, we define $A_{(\omega', \gamma')} \in B(\mathcal{K})$ by setting

$$\langle A_{(\omega', \gamma')} x, y \rangle := \frac{1}{\tau_{(\omega', \gamma')}} \langle T(x \otimes e_{\gamma'}), y \otimes e_{\omega'} \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Since $\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{J}$ when $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$, we can use relation above when $(\omega', \gamma') := \mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)$ and the relation in the proposition, to deduce that T is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator. The proof is complete. \square

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, set $b_{i, g_0^i}^{(m_i)} := 1$ and

$$(1.1) \quad b_{i, \alpha}^{(m_i)} := \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{i, \gamma_1} \cdots a_{i, \gamma_j} \binom{j + m - 1}{m - 1} \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

The diagonal operators $D_{i, j} : F^2(H_{n_i}) \rightarrow F^2(H_{n_i})$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$, are defined by setting

$$D_{i, j} e_{\alpha}^i := \sqrt{\frac{b_{i, \alpha}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i, g_j^i}^{(m_i)}}} e_{\alpha}^i, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+,$$

where $\{e_{\alpha}^i\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+}$ is the orthonormal basis of the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_i})$. As in [19], we associate with the noncommutative domain

$$\mathcal{D}_{n_i}^{m_i}(\mathcal{H}) := \{X_i \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i} : (id - \Phi_{f_i X_i})^p(I) \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq m_i\}.$$

The *weighted left creation operators* $W_{i,j} : F^2(H_{n_i}) \rightarrow F^2(H_{n_i})$ are defined by $W_{i,j} := S_{i,j}D_{ij}$, where $S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,n_i}$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_i})$, i.e.

$$S_{i,j}\varphi := e_j^i \otimes \varphi, \quad \varphi \in F^2(H_{n_i}).$$

If $\beta = g_{j_1}^i \cdots g_{j_p}^i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$, we set $W_{i,\beta} := W_{i,j_1} \cdots W_{i,j_p}$, and $W_{i,g_0^i} := I$. A simple calculation reveals that

$$W_{i,\beta}e_\alpha^i = \frac{\sqrt{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}}}{\sqrt{b_{i,\beta\alpha}^{(m_i)}}}e_{\beta\alpha}^i \quad \text{and} \quad W_{i,\beta}^*e_\alpha^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_{i,\gamma}^{(m_i)}}}{\sqrt{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}}}e_\gamma^i & \text{if } \alpha = \beta\gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$, we define the operator $\mathbf{W}_{i,j}$ acting on the tensor Hilbert space $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k})$ by setting

$$\mathbf{W}_{i,j} := \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \otimes W_{i,j} \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{k-i \text{ times}}.$$

According to [22], if $\mathbf{W}_i := (\mathbf{W}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{i,n_i})$, then

$$(id - \Phi_{f_1, \mathbf{W}_1})^{m_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k, \mathbf{W}_k})^{m_k}(I) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$ onto $\mathbb{C}1 \subset \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$, where $\mathbb{C}1$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}1$. Moreover, $\mathbf{W} := (\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_k)$ is a pure k -tuple, i.e. $\Phi_{f_i W_i}^p(I) \rightarrow 0$ strongly as $p \rightarrow \infty$, in the noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}))$. The k -tuple $\mathbf{W} := (\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_k)$ plays the role of the *left universal model for the noncommutative polydomain* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$. More on noncommutative polydomains, universal models, noncommutative Berezin transforms and their applications can be found in [16], [19], [20], [21], and [22].

Here is an alternative description of the multi-Toeplitz operators associated with the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let F_{n_i, m_i}^2 be the Hilbert space of formal power series in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_{i,1}, \dots, Z_{i,n_i}$ with complete orthogonal basis $\{Z_{i,\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+\}$ with the property that $\|Z_{i,\alpha}\|_{i,m_i} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}}}$. It is clear that

$$F_{n_i, m_i}^2 = \left\{ \varphi := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_\alpha Z_{i,\alpha} : a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \|\varphi\|_{i,m_i}^2 := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} \frac{1}{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}} |a_\alpha|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Note that F_{n_i, m_i}^2 can be seen as a weighted Fock space with n_i generators. The left multiplication operators $L_{i,1}, \dots, L_{i,n_i}$ are defined by $L_{i,j}\xi := Z_{i,j}\xi$ for all $\xi \in F_{i,m_i}^2$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$, we define the operator $\mathbf{L}_{i,j}$ acting on the tensor Hilbert space $F_{n_1, m_1}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{n_k, m_k}^2$ by setting

$$\mathbf{L}_{i,j} := \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \otimes L_{i,j} \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{k-i \text{ times}}.$$

We remark that $U_{i,m_i} : F^2(H_{n_i}) \rightarrow F_{n_i, m_i}^2$ defined by $U_{i,m_i}(e_\alpha^i) := \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m_i)}} Z_{i,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$, is a unitary operator and $U_{i,m_i} W_{i,j} = L_{i,j} U_{i,m_i}$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Now, it is easy to see that the operator $\mathbf{U} := U_{1,m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{k,m_k} : \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}) \rightarrow \otimes_{i=1}^k F_{n_i, m_i}^2$ is unitary and $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{W}_{i,j} = \mathbf{L}_{i,j} \mathbf{U}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. A straightforward calculation shows that $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}))$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator if and only if there exist operators $\{A_{(\sigma,\beta)}\}_{(\sigma,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}} \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ such that the operator $T' := (I \otimes \mathbf{U})T(I \otimes \mathbf{U}^*)$ satisfies the relation

$$\langle T'(x \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\gamma), y \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\omega \rangle = \begin{cases} \mu(\omega, \gamma) \langle A_{s(\omega, \gamma)} x, y \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

for any $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$, where the weights $\{\mu(\omega, \gamma)\}_{(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}}$ are given by

$$\mu(\omega, \gamma) := \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{b_{i, \max\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}}^{(m_i)}}.$$

We remark that all the results of the present paper can be written in the setting of multi-Toeplitz operators on tensor products of weighted Fock spaces. Let us mention a few particular cases which show that our definition of multi-Toeplitz operators seems to be natural for our setting.

In the particular case when $k = 1$ and $n_1 = 1$, the space $F_{1,1}^2$ coincides with the weighted Bergman space $A_{m_1}(\mathbb{D})$. As seen in [27], T' is a Toeplitz operator with operator-valued bounded harmonic symbol on \mathbb{D} . In the scalar case when $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$, this class of operators was studied by Louhichi and Olofsson in [12].

When $n_i = m_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the tensor product $F_{1,1}^2 \otimes \dots \otimes F_{1,1}^2$ is identified with the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^k)$. In this case, T' is a multi-Toeplitz operator if and only if $T' = P_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^k)} M_\varphi|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^k)}$ for some $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^k)$. We should mention that a Brown-Halmos type characterization of Toeplitz operators on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^k)$ was recently obtained in [13].

In the particular case when $n_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, and $f_i = \sum_{p=1}^{N_i} a_{i,p} z_i^p$ is a regular polynomial in z_i , the tensor product $F_{1,m_1}^2 \otimes \dots \otimes F_{1,m_k}^2$ is identified with the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{m}}(z, w) := \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{N_i} a_{i,p} \bar{z}_i^p w_i^p\right)^{m_i}}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_k), w = (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{D}^k.$$

All the results of the present paper hold, in particular, for these reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, which include the Hardy space, the Bergman space, and the weighted Bergman space over the polydisk.

In what follows, we set $\mathbf{W}_\alpha := \mathbf{W}_{1,\alpha_1} \dots \mathbf{W}_{k,\alpha_k}$, if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ := \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$. We recall from [27] the following straightforward technical result which follows right away using the definition of the universal model \mathbf{W} .

Lemma 1.6. *If $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$, then the family $\{\mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma\}_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}}$ consists of pairwise orthogonal vectors. Moreover, if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$, then*

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle \neq 0$$

if and only if $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $s(\omega, \gamma) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

If $\mathbf{s} := (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, we use the notation

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}} := \{(\alpha, \beta) := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) : \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \text{ with } |\alpha_i| = s_i^+, |\beta_i| = s_i^-\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$. We remark that the operator

$$q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} A_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*,$$

where $A_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B(\mathcal{K})$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator and also a multi-homogeneous operator of degree \mathbf{s} . This fact can be checked easily using the definition of the universal model \mathbf{W} . The next result shows that any weighted multi-Toeplitz operator which is multi-homogeneous operator of degree $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ has this form.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\mathbf{s} := (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and let $A \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ be a multi-homogeneous operator of degree \mathbf{s} . If A is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator, then*

$$Af = q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)f, \quad f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}),$$

where

$$q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} C_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*,$$

and the coefficients $C_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B(\mathcal{K})$ are given by

$$\langle C_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)} h, \ell \rangle := \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{b_{i,\alpha_i}^{(m_i)} b_{i,\beta_i}^{(m_i)}} \right) \langle A(h \otimes x), \ell \otimes y \rangle, \quad h, \ell \in \mathcal{K},$$

where $x := x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k$, $y = y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_k$ with

$$\begin{cases} x_i = e_{\beta_i}^i \text{ and } y_i = 1, & \text{if } s_i \leq 0 \\ x_i = 1 \text{ and } y_i = e_{\alpha_i}^i, & \text{if } s_i > 0 \end{cases}$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Proof. First, we prove that any weighted multi-Toeplitz operator $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ has a unique formal Fourier representation

$$\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*,$$

where $\{A_{(\alpha, \beta)}\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}}$ are some operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , such that

$$T\zeta = \varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{P}_K,$$

where \mathcal{P}_K is the linear span of all vectors of the form $h \otimes e_{\alpha_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\alpha_k}^k$, where $h \in \mathcal{K}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$. Due to Definition 1.4, there exist operators $\{A_{(\alpha, \beta)}\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} \subset B(\mathcal{K})$, such that

$$\langle A_{(\alpha, \beta)} h, \ell \rangle = \frac{1}{\tau_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \langle T(h \otimes e_\beta), \ell \otimes e_\alpha \rangle, \quad h, \ell \in \mathcal{K}.$$

A closer look at the later relation, reveals that the coefficients $A_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ are satisfying the relation

$$\langle A_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)} h, \ell \rangle = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{b_{i, \alpha_i}^{(m_i)} b_{i, \beta_i}^{(m_i)}} \right) \langle T(h \otimes x), \ell \otimes y \rangle, \quad h, \ell \in \mathcal{K},$$

where $x := x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k$, $y = y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_k$ with

$$\begin{cases} x_i = e_{\beta_i}^i \text{ and } y_i = 1, & \text{if } s_i \leq 0 \\ x_i = 1 \text{ and } y_i = e_{\alpha_i}^i, & \text{if } s_i > 0 \end{cases}$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Consequently, if $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$ and $h \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$T(h \otimes e_\gamma) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)} A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} h \otimes e_\omega$$

is a vector in $\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$. This shows that the series

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2 A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)}^* A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} \quad \text{is WOT-convergent.}$$

Consider the formal power series

$$\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*.$$

In what follows, we show that

$$\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)(x \otimes e_\gamma) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} x \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma$$

is convergent for any $x \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$. According to Lemma 1.6, if $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$, then

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle \neq 0$$

if and only if $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma) = (\alpha, \beta)$. In this case, a straightforward computation reveals that $\langle \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle = \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}$. Due to Parseval's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma\|^2 &= \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+} |\langle \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C} \\ \mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma) = (\alpha, \beta)}} |\langle \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle|^2 = \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C} \\ \mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma) = (\alpha, \beta)}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using again Lemma 1.6, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)(x \otimes e_\gamma)\|^2 &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} \|A_{(\alpha, \beta)} x\|^2 \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C} \\ \mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma) = (\alpha, \beta)}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} \|A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} x\|^2 \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the later expression is finite due to relation (1.2). Using Definition 1.4 and the results above, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)(x \otimes e_\gamma, y \otimes e_\omega) \rangle &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} \langle A_{(\alpha, \beta)} x, y \rangle \langle \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* e_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)} \langle A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} x, y \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}, \end{cases} \\ &= \langle T(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have $\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)(x \otimes e_\gamma) = T(x \otimes e_\gamma)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$. It remains to prove the uniqueness of the Fourier representation. To this end, assume that $\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A'_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*$ is a formal series such that $T\zeta = \varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{K}$. On the other hand, if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\mathbf{s}(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha, \beta)$. In this case, we have

$$\langle \varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)(x \otimes e_\beta, y \otimes e_\alpha) \rangle = \tau_{(\alpha, \beta)} \langle A_{(\alpha, \beta)} x, y \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)(x \otimes e_\beta, y \otimes e_\alpha) \rangle = \tau_{(\alpha, \beta)} \langle A'_{(\alpha, \beta)} x, y \rangle.$$

Since $\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta = \varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ and $\tau_{(\alpha, \beta)} \neq 0$, the relations above imply $A_{(\alpha, \beta)} = A'_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}$, which proves our assertion.

Now, assume that $A \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator which is also multi-homogeneous operator of degree $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Due to the first part of the proof, A has a unique formal Fourier representation

$$\varphi_A(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} C_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* = \sum_{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*),$$

where

$$q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{t}}} C_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*$$

and the coefficients $C_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in B(\mathcal{K})$ are defined in the theorem, and such that

$$A\zeta = \varphi_A(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{P}_K.$$

If $\zeta \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$, then $q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{t_1+p_1, \dots, t_k+p_k}$ and, since A is a multi-homogeneous operator of degree \mathbf{s} , we have $A\zeta \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_1+p_1, \dots, s_k+p_k}$. Consequently, since the subspaces $\{\mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}\}_{(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k}$ are orthogonal and

$$A\zeta = \sum_{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta,$$

we deduce that $A\zeta = q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$. Using the fact that

$$\otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}) = \bigoplus_{(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k},$$

we conclude that $A = q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$, which completes the proof. \square

We should record the following result that was proved in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Corollary 1.8. *Any weighted multi-Toeplitz operator $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ has a unique formal Fourier representation*

$$\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*,$$

where $\{A_{(\alpha, \beta)}\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}}$ are some operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , such that

$$T\zeta = \varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{P}_K.$$

The formal Fourier series $\varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ associated with the weighted multi-Toeplitz operator T can be viewed as its noncommutative symbol. In the next section, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions on a formal series $\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*$ to be the Fourier series of a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator.

The last result of this section shows that for a large class of polydomains the associated weighted multi-Toeplitz operators contain no non-zero compact operators.

Theorem 1.9. *Let \mathbf{D}_f^m be a noncommutative polydomain where the coefficients $b_{i, \alpha}^{(m_i)}$ associated to \mathbf{f} satisfy the condition*

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} \frac{b_{i, g_j^i \alpha}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i, \alpha}^{(m_i)}} < \infty, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}.$$

If $T \in B(\otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is a compact weighted multi-Toeplitz operator, then $T = 0$.

Proof. Let $(\omega', \gamma') \in \mathcal{J}$ and let $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma) = (\omega', \gamma')$. Due to Proposition 1.5, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \langle Te_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle = \frac{\tau(\omega, \gamma)}{\tau(\omega', \gamma')} \langle Te_{\gamma'}, e_{\omega'} \rangle.$$

The condition in the theorem implies that for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}} \frac{b_{i, \sigma \alpha}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i, \alpha}^{(m_i)}} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\tau(\omega, \gamma)}{\tau(\omega', \gamma')} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\frac{b_{i, \min\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i, \max\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}}^{(m_i)}}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{b_{i, \max\{\omega'_i, \gamma'_i\}}^{(m_i)}} \right).$$

Using relation (1.4), we deduce that

$$\liminf_{|\min\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{i, \min\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i, \max\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}}^{(m_i)}} > 0,$$

which implies

$$(1.5) \quad \liminf_{|\min\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau(\omega, \gamma)}{\tau(\omega', \gamma')} > 0.$$

Note that if $|\min\{\omega_i, \gamma_i\}| \rightarrow \infty$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then $e_\gamma \rightarrow 0$ weakly. If T is compact operator, then $Te_\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in norm. Using relations (1.3) and (1.5), we deduce that $\langle Te_{\gamma'}, e_{\omega'} \rangle = 0$. Now, using again relation (1.3), we deduce that $\langle Te_\gamma, e_\omega \rangle = 0$ for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma) = (\omega', \gamma')$. Taking into account Proposition 1.5, we conclude that $T = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

We should mention that the later theorem was proved in [27] in the particular case of the poly-hyperball. In what follows we present another class of polydomains for which Theorem 1.9 holds.

Let $\varphi := (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$ be the k -tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions defined by

$$\varphi_i = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha| \geq 1} Z_{i, \alpha}.$$

Corollary 1.10. *If $T \in B(\otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is a compact weighted multi-Toeplitz operator with respect to the poldomain $\mathbf{D}_{\varphi}^{\mathbf{m}}$, then $T = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ be such that $|\alpha| = d \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Note that if $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then each tuple $(q_1, \dots, q_j) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $q_1 + \dots + q_j = d$ corresponds to a unique tuple $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j)$ with $\gamma_s \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ such that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha$ and $|\gamma_1| = q_1, \dots, |\gamma_j| = q_j$. On the other hand, it is well-known that

$$\text{card} \{(q_1, \dots, q_j) \in \mathbb{N}^k : q_1 + \dots + q_j = d\} = \binom{d-1}{j-1}.$$

Taking into account that

$$b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)} := \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{i,\gamma_1} \cdots a_{i,\gamma_j} \binom{j+m_i-1}{m_i-1} \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha| \geq 1,$$

and $a_{i,\alpha} = 1$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$, we deduce that

$$b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)} = \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} \binom{j+m_i-1}{m_i-1}.$$

Consider the polynomial

$$p_d(x) := x^{m_i}(1+x)^d = \binom{d}{0} x^{m_i} + \binom{d}{1} x^{m_i+1} + \dots + \binom{d}{d} x^{m_i+d}$$

and note that the derivative of p_d of order $m_i - 1$ satisfies the relation

$$\frac{p_d^{(m_i-1)}(1)}{(m_i-1)!} = \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \binom{d}{j-1} \binom{j+m_i-1}{m_i-1}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{b_{i,g_j^i \alpha}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}} = \frac{p_d^{(m_i-1)}(1)}{p_{d-1}^{(m_i-1)}(1)}.$$

In order to apply Theorem 1.9, we need to prove that $\frac{p_d^{(m_i-1)}(1)}{p_{d-1}^{(m_i-1)}(1)}$ is bounded as $d \rightarrow \infty$. First, note that if $m_i = 1$, then $\frac{p_d(1)}{p_{d-1}(1)} = 2$. Now assume that $m_i \geq 2$. A careful straightforward computation leads to the fact

$$p_d^{(m_i-1)}(1) = 2^{d-m_i+1} [d(d-1) \cdots (d-m_i+2) + q_{m_i-2}(d)],$$

where q_{m_i-2} is a polynomial of degree $m_i - 2$ in the variable d . Then, we obtain

$$\frac{p_d^{(m_i-1)}(1)}{p_{d-1}^{(m_i-1)}(1)} = \frac{2^{d-m_i+1} [d(d-1) \cdots (d-m_i+2) + q_{m_i-2}(d)]}{2^{d-m_i} [(d-1)(d-2) \cdots (d-m_i+1) + q_{m_i-2}(d-1)]},$$

which converges to 2 as $d \rightarrow \infty$. Now, applying Theorem 1.9, we can complete the proof. \square

2. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF WEIGHTED MULTI-TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH POLYDOMAINS

In this section, we characterize the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators in terms of bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial part of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ and also characterize the formal series which are noncommutative Fourier representations of weighted multi-Toeplitz operators.

The *noncommutative Berezin kernel* associated with any element $\mathbf{X} = \{X_{i,j}\}$ in the noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the operator

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k}) \otimes \overline{\Delta_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I)(\mathcal{H})}$$

defined by

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}} h := \sum_{\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, i=1,\dots,k} \sqrt{b_{1,\beta_1}^{(m_1)}} \cdots \sqrt{b_{k,\beta_k}^{(m_k)}} e_{\beta_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\beta_k}^k \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I)^{1/2} X_{1,\beta_1}^* \cdots X_{k,\beta_k}^* h,$$

where the defect operator is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I) := (id - \Phi_{f_1,X_1})^{m_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k,X_k})^{m_k}(I).$$

The noncommutative Berezin kernel associated with a k -tuple $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ in the noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ has the following properties.

(i) $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}$ is a contraction and

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}^* \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}} = \lim_{q_k \rightarrow \infty} \cdots \lim_{q_1 \rightarrow \infty} (id - \Phi_{f_1,X_k}^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k,X_1}^{q_1})(I).$$

where the limits are in the weak operator topology.

(ii) If \mathbf{X} is *pure*, i.e. $\Phi_{f_i,X_i}^p(I) \rightarrow 0$ strongly as $p \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}^* \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}} = I_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

(iii) For any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$,

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}} X_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^* \otimes I) \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}.$$

More on noncommutative Berezin transforms associated with noncommutative domains and polydomains can be found in [16], [19], [20], [21], and [22].

The radial part of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ whose representation on any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) := \cup_{r \in [0,1)} r \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

In what follows, we also use the notation $\mathbf{X}_{\alpha} := \mathbf{X}_{1,\alpha_1} \cdots \mathbf{X}_{k,\alpha_k}$ whenever $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ := \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$, and set $|\alpha| := |\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_k|$.

Definition 2.1. We say that F is a free k -pluriharmonic function on the radial part of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$, if its representation on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} has the form

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} A_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^*$$

for any $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology.

An application of the noncommutative Berezin transforms associated with polydomains reveals that F is a free k -pluriharmonic function on the radial part of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$, if and only if the series

$$\sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*$$

converges in the operator norm topology for any $r \in [0,1)$. A free k -pluriharmonic function on the radial part of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is called bounded if

$$\|F\| := \sup_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})} \|F(\mathbf{X})\| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} .

In what follows, we need the following result from [27].

Lemma 2.2. *If $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ and $\{T_{\mathbf{s}}\}_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k}$ are the multi-homogeneous parts of T , then*

$$Tf = \lim_{N_1 \rightarrow \infty} \dots \lim_{N_k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k, |s_j| \leq N_j} \left(1 - \frac{|s_1|}{N_1 + 1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{|s_k|}{N_k + 1}\right) T_{\mathbf{s}}f$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$. Moreover,

$$Tf = \lim_{N_1 \rightarrow \infty} \dots \lim_{N_k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k, |s_j| \leq N_j} T_{\mathbf{s}}f$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ and any $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$.

Recall that, for each $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}} := \{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) : \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \text{ with } |\alpha_i| = s_i^+, |\beta_i| = s_i^-\}$$

and $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$.

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 2.3. *If $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *T is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator.*
- (ii) *There is a bounded free k -pluriharmonic function F on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$ such that*

$$T = \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W}).$$

Moreover, the function F is uniquely determined with the properties above, and

$$\|T\| = \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \|F(r\mathbf{W})\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|F(r\mathbf{W})\| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|F(\mathbf{W})\zeta\|.$$

Proof. Assume that T is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator and let $\{T_{\mathbf{s}}\}_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k}$ be the multi-homogeneous parts of T . First, we need to show that the multi-homogeneous parts of T are also weighted multi-Toeplitz operators. Since $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz, for any $\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$,

$$\langle T(x \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}), y \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\tau(\boldsymbol{\omega}', \boldsymbol{\gamma}')} \langle T(x \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}'}) , y \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}'} \rangle, & \text{if } (\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \in (\mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\omega}', \boldsymbol{\gamma}') := \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$ when $(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}' = (\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_k)$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}' = (\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)$ be in \mathbf{F}_n^+ such that $(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $(\boldsymbol{\omega}', \boldsymbol{\gamma}') := \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$. Note that

$$\Gamma(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}})^* e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = e^{-i|\omega_1|\theta_1} \dots e^{-i|\omega_k|\theta_k} e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}},$$

and $|\gamma_i| - |\omega_i| = |\gamma'_i| - |\omega'_i|$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Using the relations above, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}})) T (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}}))^* (x \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}), y \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \right\rangle \\ &= e^{-i(|\gamma_1| - |\omega_1|)\theta_1} \dots e^{-i(|\gamma_k| - |\omega_k|)\theta_k} \langle T(x \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}), y \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\tau(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\tau(\boldsymbol{\omega}', \boldsymbol{\gamma}')} e^{-i(|\gamma'_1| - |\omega'_1|)\theta_1} \dots e^{-i(|\gamma'_k| - |\omega'_k|)\theta_k} \langle T(x \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}'}), y \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}'} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\tau(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\tau(\boldsymbol{\omega}', \boldsymbol{\gamma}')} \left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}})) T (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}}))^* (x \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}'}), y \otimes e_{\boldsymbol{\omega}'} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle T_{\mathbf{s}}(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \rangle \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^k \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-is_1\theta_1} \cdots e^{-is_k\theta_k} \left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta})) T (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta}))^* (x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \right\rangle d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_k \\
&= \frac{\tau(\omega, \gamma)}{\tau(\omega', \gamma')} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^k \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-is_1\theta_1} \cdots e^{-is_k\theta_k} \left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta})) T (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta}))^* (x \otimes e_{\gamma'}), y \otimes e_{\omega'} \right\rangle d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_k \\
&= \frac{\tau(\omega, \gamma)}{\tau(\omega', \gamma')} \langle T_{\mathbf{s}}(x \otimes e_{\gamma'}), y \otimes e_{\omega'} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $(\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \times \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}$, then similar calculations show that $\langle T_{\mathbf{s}}(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \rangle = 0$. Consequently, $T_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator.

Now, we can apply Theorem 1.7 to deduce that

$$T_{\mathbf{s}}g = q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)g, \quad g \in \mathcal{K} \bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}),$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*,$$

for some operators $A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in B(\mathcal{K})$. On the other hand, according to Lemma 2.2, we have

$$Tf = \lim_{N_1 \rightarrow \infty} \cdots \lim_{N_k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k, |s_j| \leq N_j} T_{\mathbf{s}}f$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ and any $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}$ the linear span of all vectors of the form $h \otimes e_{\alpha_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\alpha_k}^k$, where $h \in \mathcal{K}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$. Combining the results above, we deduce that

$$(2.2) \quad T\zeta = \lim_{N_1 \rightarrow \infty} \cdots \lim_{N_k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k, |s_j| \leq N_j} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

We remark that, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{K} \bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle T_{\mathbf{s}}x, y \rangle| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^k \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle T (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta})^*) x, (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Gamma(e^{i\theta})^*) y \rangle| d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_k \\
&\leq \|T\| \|x\| \|y\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\|T_{\mathbf{s}}\| \leq \|T\|$ for any $\mathbf{s} := (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. As a consequence, we deduce that the series

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} r^{|s_1|+\dots+|s_k|} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} r^{|s_1|+\dots+|s_k|} T_{\mathbf{s}}$$

are convergent in the operator norm topology. Now, we prove that, for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}$,

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta \right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

It is enough to prove the relation when $\zeta \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$. To this end, let $\epsilon > 0$ and note that relation (2.2), implies the existence of a finite set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that $\left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \Gamma} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta \right\| < \epsilon$. Since the vectors $\{q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\}_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k}$ are pairwise orthogonal and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \Gamma} \|q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \Gamma} r^{(|s_1|+\dots+|s_k|)} \|q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\|^2 \leq \epsilon^2,$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta \right\| \leq \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \Gamma} \|q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| \\ & + \left(\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \Gamma} \|q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \Gamma} \|q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \Gamma} \|q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $r \rightarrow 1$, one can easily deduce relation (2.3). In what follows, we prove that

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*) \right\| \leq \|T\|, \quad r \in [0, 1).$$

We recall that the noncommutative Berezin kernel associated with $r\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}))$ is defined on $\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$ with values in $\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{r\mathbf{W}} \subset (\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})) \otimes (\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}))$, where $\mathcal{D}_{r\mathbf{W}} := \overline{\otimes_{r\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I)(\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}))}$. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$ be k -tuples in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+$, set $q := \max\{|\gamma_1|, \dots, |\gamma_k|, |\omega_1|, \dots, |\omega_k|\}$, and define the operator

$$\mathcal{T}_q := \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, |s_1| \leq q} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}, |s_k| \leq q} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*,$$

where we use the notation $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha} := \mathbf{W}_{1, \alpha_1} \cdots \mathbf{W}_{k, \alpha_k}$ if $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ := \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$. We also set $e_{\alpha} := e_{\alpha_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\alpha_k}^k$ and $b_{\alpha}^{(\mathbf{m})} := \sqrt{b_{1, \alpha_1}^{(m_1)}} \cdots \sqrt{b_{k, \alpha_k}^{(m_k)}}$.

Using the definition of the noncommutative Berezin kernel $\mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}}$ and that of the universal model \mathbf{W} , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}}^*)(T \otimes I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})})(I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}})(h \otimes e_{\gamma}), h' \otimes e_{\omega} \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle (T \otimes I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})}) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} h \otimes b_{\alpha}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha}^*(e_{\gamma}), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} h' \otimes b_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\beta} \otimes \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*(e_{\omega}) \right\rangle \\ & = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} \left\langle T(h \otimes b_{\alpha}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\alpha}) \otimes \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha}^*(e_{\gamma}), h' \otimes b_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\beta} \otimes \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*(e_{\omega}) \right\rangle \\ & = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} \left\langle T(h \otimes b_{\alpha}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\alpha}), h' \otimes b_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\beta} \right\rangle \left\langle \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha}^*(e_{\gamma}), \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*(e_{\omega}) \right\rangle \\ & = \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, |s_1| \leq q} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}, |s_k| \leq q} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+, i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \\ |\alpha_i| = s_i^+, |\beta_i| = s_i^-}} \left\langle \mathcal{T}_q(h \otimes b_{\alpha}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\alpha}), h' \otimes b_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\beta} \right\rangle \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha}^*(e_{\gamma}), \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*(e_{\omega}) \right\rangle \\ & = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+} \left\langle \mathcal{T}_q(h \otimes b_{\alpha}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\alpha}), h' \otimes b_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{m})} e_{\beta} \right\rangle \left\langle \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha}^*(e_{\gamma}), \Delta_{r\mathbf{W}}(I)^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*(e_{\omega}) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that the later sum is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}}^*)(\mathcal{T}_q \otimes I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}})(h \otimes e_{\gamma}), h' \otimes e_{\omega} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, |m_1| \leq q} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}, |m_k| \leq q} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+, i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \\ |\alpha_i| = s_i^+, |\beta_i| = s_i^-}} \\
& \quad \left\langle \left(A_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)} \otimes r^{\sum_{i=1}^k (|\alpha_i| + |\beta_i|)} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^* \right) (h \otimes e_{\gamma}), h' \otimes e_{\omega} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)(h \otimes e_{\gamma}), h' \otimes e_{\omega} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$(I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}}^*)(T \otimes I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})})(I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Since the noncommutative Berezin kernel $\mathbf{K}_{r\mathbf{W}}$ is an isometry, we obtain inequality (2.4). In what follows, we prove that

$$(2.5) \quad \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*) = T.$$

Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$. Then there is $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\|\psi - \zeta\| < \epsilon$ and, due to relations (2.2) and (2.4), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\psi - T\psi \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)(\psi - \zeta) \right\| \\
& + \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta \right\| + \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - T\psi \right\| \\
& \leq 2\|T\|\|\psi - \zeta\| + \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta \right\| \\
& \leq 2\|T\|\epsilon + \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta - \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using relation (2.3), we deduce that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\psi - T\psi \right\| \leq 2\|T\|\epsilon$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, we can see that relation (2.5) holds. Note that $F(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^*)$ is a free k -pluriharmonic function on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$.

Now, we prove the converse (ii) \implies (i). To this end, assume that there is a free k -pluriharmonic function F on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$ of the form $F(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^*)$ such that $T = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W})$. Consequently,

$$F(r\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*),$$

where the convergence of the series is in the operator norm topology and $q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)^*$ has the form described by relation (2.1). Note that $q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator. Hence, we deduce that $F(r\mathbf{W})$ is weighted multi-Toeplitz operator as well. Taking into account that the set of weighted multi-Toeplitz operators is WOT-closed and the fact that $T = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W})$, we conclude that T is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator. Therefore condition (i) holds.

Assume that F and G are bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$T = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W}) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} G(r\mathbf{W}).$$

Let $F(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mathbf{X}_\beta^*$ and $G(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}} C_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mathbf{X}_\beta^*$ be the representations of F and G , respectively. If $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \langle F(r\mathbf{W})(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \begin{cases} \tau_{(\omega,\gamma)} r^{|\mathbf{s}(\omega,\gamma)|} \langle A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega,\gamma)} x, y \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+) \setminus \mathcal{C} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tau_{(\omega,\gamma)} \langle A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega,\gamma)} x, y \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+) \setminus \mathcal{C} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\langle T(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle = \begin{cases} \tau_{(\omega,\gamma)} \langle C_{\mathbf{s}(\omega,\gamma)} x, y \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}. \end{cases}$$

Now, it is clear that $A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega,\gamma)} = C_{\mathbf{s}(\omega,\gamma)}$, which proves that $F = G$.

To prove the last part of the theorem, note that relation (2.2) implies $\|T\| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|F(\mathbf{W})\zeta\|$. Taking into account relations (2.4) and (2.5), we deduce that $\|T\| = \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \|F(r\mathbf{W})\|$. On the other hand, using the noncommutative Berezin kernel, we have

$$F(r_1 \mathbf{W}) = (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{\frac{r_1}{r_2}}^* \mathbf{W})(F(r_2 \mathbf{W}) \otimes I_{\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})})(I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{\frac{r_1}{r_2}} \mathbf{W})$$

for any $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < 1$. Since $\mathbf{K}_{\frac{r_1}{r_2}}^* \mathbf{W}$ is an isomery, we deduce that $\|F(r_1 \mathbf{W})\| \leq \|F(r_2 \mathbf{W})\|$. Now, it is clear that $\sup_{r \in [0,1]} \|F(r\mathbf{W})\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|F(r\mathbf{W})\|$. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2.4. *If $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) T is a multi-Toeplitz operator.
- (ii) $T \in \text{span} \left\{ C \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* : C \in B(\mathcal{K}), (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J} \right\}^{-\text{SOT}}$.
- (iii) $T \in \text{span} \left\{ C \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* : C \in B(\mathcal{K}), (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J} \right\}^{-\text{WOT}}$.

If $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+$, we define its length to be $|(\alpha, \beta)| := |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_k| + |\beta_1| + \dots + |\beta_k|$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, we set $|\mathbf{s}| := |s_1| + \dots + |s_k|$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\{A_{(\alpha,\beta)}\}_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and let*

$$\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{J}} A_{(\alpha,\beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* = \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$$

be a formal series. Then $\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^)$ is the Fourier representation of a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator if and only if, for each $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$, the series*

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_n^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2 A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)}^* A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} \quad \text{is WOT-convergent}$$

and

$$\sup_{r \in [0,1]} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| < \infty.$$

In this case,

$$T = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\| = \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\|.$$

Proof. Assume that $\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ is the Fourier representation of a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator T . Then $T\zeta = \varphi_T(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_K$. Note that the multi-homogeneous parts of T , i.e. $\{T_{\mathbf{s}}\}_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k}$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbf{s}}f &= (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{s_1+p_1+\dots+s_k+p_k})Tf \\ &= (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{s_1+p_1+\dots+s_k+p_k})\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)f \\ &= (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{s_1+p_1+\dots+s_k+p_k}) \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{t}}} (A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*)f \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$. Consequently, taking into account that

$$\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{t}}} (A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*)f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{t_1+p_1, \dots, t_k+p_k},$$

we conclude that

$$T_{\mathbf{s}}f = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} (A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*)f, \quad f \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}.$$

Since

$$\otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}) = \bigoplus_{(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{E}_{p_1, \dots, p_k},$$

we deduce that $T_{\mathbf{s}} = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^* = q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ for any $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

According to the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have $\|T_{\mathbf{s}}\| \leq \|T\|$ for any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and, as a consequence, the series $\sum_{\mathbf{s}=(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^+} r^{|s_1|+\dots+|s_k|} T_{\mathbf{s}}$ is convergent in the operator norm topology. Moreover, according to inequality (2.4), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*) \right\| \leq \|T\|, \quad r \in [0, 1),$$

which implies $\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| < \infty$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_K$. Moreover, in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we also proved that $T = \text{SOT-lim}_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)$. On the other hand, Theorem 2.3 shows that $\|T\| = \sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\|$. Consequently, $\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)(h \otimes e_{\gamma})\| < \infty$ for each $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+$ and $h \in \mathcal{K}$. Since

$$\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)(x \otimes e_{\gamma}) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} r^{2|\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)|} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2 \|A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} x\|^2,$$

we deduce that

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2 A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)}^* A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} \quad \text{is WOT-convergent.}$$

Due to the fact that

$$\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_K, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| = \sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\| < \infty,$$

the direct implication is proved.

Now, we prove the converse. Assume that, for each $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+$, the series

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)}^2 A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)}^* A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} \quad \text{is WOT-convergent}$$

and

$$\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_K, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| < \infty.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.7, it is easy to see that, for any $h \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F} : (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)} A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} h \otimes e_{\omega}$$

is a vector in $\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$ and, therefore, so are $\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ and $\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}$, $r \in [0, 1)$. Hence, we deduce that

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta = \varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Using the fact that, for each $r \in [0, 1)$,

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| < \infty,$$

we conclude that there is a bounded linear operator $D_r \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}))$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad D_r \zeta = \varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Note that, for any $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$ and $h, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(h \otimes e_\gamma), \ell \otimes e_\omega \rangle &= \langle \varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)(h \otimes e_\gamma), \ell \otimes e_\omega \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \tau_{(\omega, \gamma)} \langle r^{|\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)|} A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)} h, \ell \rangle, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_n^+ \times \mathbf{F}_n^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, D_r is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator. Now, note that due to relation (2.6) and the fact that $\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| < \infty$, we deduce that

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}, \|\zeta\| \leq 1} \|\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta\| < \infty.$$

Consequently, there is a bounded linear operator T on $\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$ such that $T\zeta = \varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Now, it is clear that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} D_r \zeta = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\zeta = \varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta = T\zeta$$

for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|D_r\| < \infty$. This implies $T = \text{SOT-lim}_{r \rightarrow 1} D_r$. Since D_r is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator and the set of all weighted multi-Toeplitz operators is WOT-closed, we deduce that T is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator. Since $T\zeta = \varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)\zeta$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}$, Corollary 1.8 shows that $\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ is the formal Fourier representation of T . The proof is complete. \square

3. FREE k -PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON POLYDOMAINS

The results for the previous sections are used to prove that the bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ are precisely those that are noncommutative Berezin transforms of the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators. In this setting, we solve the Dirichlet extension problem.

Denote by $\mathbf{PH}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\infty}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}})$ the set of all bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{n}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$. We define the norms $\|\cdot\|_m : M_m(\mathbf{PH}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\infty}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}})) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by setting

$$\|[F_{ij}]_m\|_m := \sup \|[F_{ij}(\mathbf{X})]_m\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all elements $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ and any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It is easy to see that the norms $\|\cdot\|_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, determine an operator space structure on $\mathbf{PH}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\infty}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}})$, in the sense of Ruan (see e.g. [7]).

The *extended noncommutative Berezin transform* at $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the map

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{X}} : B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{X}}[g] := (I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{X}}^*)(g \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{X}}), \quad g \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})),$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is noncommutative Berezin kernel associated with $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Throughout this section, we assume that \mathcal{H} is a separable infinitely dimensional Hilbert space. Consequently, one can identify any free k -pluriharmonic function with its representation on \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{T} be the

set of all of all weighted multi-Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{K} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$. As in [27], one can prove the following characterization of bounded free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$.

Theorem 3.1. *If $F : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *F is a bounded free k -pluriharmonic function.*
- (ii) *There exists $T \in \mathcal{T}$ such that*

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{X}}[T], \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

In this case, $T = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W})$. Moreover, the map

$$\Phi : \mathbf{PH}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\infty}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi(F) := T$$

is a completely isometric isomorphism of operator spaces.

Proof. If item (i) holds, Theorem 2.3 shows that $T := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W})$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator and $\|T\| = \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \|F(r\mathbf{W})\|$. On the other hand, we have

$$F(r\mathbf{X}) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{X}}[F(r\mathbf{W})], \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Taking $r \rightarrow 1$, we deduce that $F(\mathbf{X}) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{X}}[T]$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$.

If item (ii) holds, then T is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator. If $\varphi(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ is its Fourier representation, Theorem 2.5 shows that $\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} q_{\mathbf{s}}(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)$, $r \in [0, 1]$, is convergent in the operator norm topology, $\sup_{r \in [0,1]} \|\varphi(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*)\| = \|T\|$ and $T = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W})$. Therefore, $F(\mathbf{X}) := \varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^*)$, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, is a bounded free k -pluriharmonic function. The proof of the last part of the theorem is exactly the same as the one from Theorem 4.1 from [27]. We omit it. \square

We denote by $\mathbf{PH}_{\mathcal{K}}^c(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}})$ the set of all free k -pluriharmonic functions on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with operator-valued coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$, which have continuous extensions (in the operator norm topology) to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, for any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Here is the analogue of the Dirichlet extension problem for polydomains.

Theorem 3.2. *If $F : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *F is a free k -pluriharmonic function on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ such that $F(r\mathbf{W})$ converges in the operator norm topology, as $r \rightarrow 1$.*
- (ii) *There exists $T \in \mathcal{G} := \text{span} \left\{ C \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^* : C \in B(\mathcal{K}), (\alpha; \beta) \in \mathcal{J} \right\}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that*

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{X}}[T], \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

- (iii) *F is a free k -pluriharmonic function on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ which has a continuous extension (in the operator norm topology) to the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$.*

In this case, $T = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W})$, where the convergence is in the operator norm. Moreover, the map

$$\Phi : \mathbf{PH}_{\mathcal{K}}^c(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi(F) := T$$

is a completely isometric isomorphism of operator spaces.

Proof. The equivalence (i) \leftrightarrow (ii) is due to Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.4, Theorem 3.1, and the properties of the noncommutative Berezin transform. Since the proof is straightforward, we leave it to the reader. The implication (iii) \rightarrow (i) is clear while the proof of the implication (ii) \rightarrow (iii) uses Theorem 3.1. Since the proof of the later implication and the last part of the theorem is exactly the same as the corresponding proof of Theorem 4.3 from [27], we leave it to the reader. \square

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}$ the norm-closed linear span of all the operators of the form $C \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*$, where $C \in B(\mathcal{K})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ := \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$. The following result is an easy extension of Theorem 2.4 from [22]. We omit the proof.

Theorem 3.3. *If $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, then there is a unital completely contractive linear map*

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{X}} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes}_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{X}}(g) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\delta \mathbf{X}}[g], \quad g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}},$$

where the limit is in the operator norm topology. If, in addition, \mathbf{X} is a pure tuple in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{X}}(g) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{X}}[g], \quad g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

In what follows, we show that the free k -pluriharmonic functions are characterized by a *mean value property*.

Theorem 3.4. *If $F : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \bar{\otimes}_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ is a free k -pluriharmonic function, then it has the mean value property, i.e*

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{X}}[F(r\mathbf{W})], \quad \mathbf{X} \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}), r \in (0, 1).$$

Conversely, if a function

$$\varphi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} := \text{span} \{C \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^* : C \in B(\mathcal{K}), (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}\}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

satisfies the relation

$$\varphi(r) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{1}{r}\mathbf{W}}[\varphi(t)], \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq r < t < 1,$$

then the map $G : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes}_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$G(\mathbf{X}) := \Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{X}}[\varphi(r)], \quad \mathbf{X} \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}), r \in (0, 1),$$

is a free k -pluriharmonic function and $G(r\mathbf{W}) = \varphi(r)$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $F : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ be a free pluriharmonic function with representation

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^*, \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \text{rad}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}),$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. In particular, we have

$$F(r\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*, \quad r \in [0, 1),$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Let $\mathbf{X} \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, $r \in (0, 1)$, and note that $F(r\mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{G}$. Consequently, using the continuity of F on $r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{X}}[F(r\mathbf{W})] &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{\delta}{r}\mathbf{X}}[F(r\mathbf{W})] \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} F(\delta \mathbf{X}) = F(\mathbf{X}), \end{aligned}$$

where $r < \delta < 1$ and the limits are in the operator norm topology.

Now, we prove the converse. To this end, assume that a function $\varphi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ satisfies the relation

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(r) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{1}{r}\mathbf{W}}[\varphi(t)], \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq r < t < 1.$$

According to Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.4, $\varphi(r)$ is a weighed right multi-Toeplitz operator with unique formal Fourier representation

$$\sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(r) \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*$$

for some operators $\{A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(r)\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}}$ in $B(\mathcal{K})$. On the other hand, setting

$$\varphi_{\delta}(r) := \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(r) \otimes \delta^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*, \quad \delta \in [0, 1),$$

where the convergence of the series is in the operator norm topology, Theorem 2.5 shows that

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi(r) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \varphi_\delta(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\delta \in [0,1)} \|\varphi_\delta(r)\| = \|\varphi(r)\|$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Taking into account that the map $Y \rightarrow Y \otimes I$ is SOT-continuous on bounded sets, one can easily see that the noncommutative Berezin transform $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{r}{t}} \mathbf{W}$ is SOT-continuous on bounded sets. Due to relation (3.1) and the results above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r) &= \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{r}{t}} \mathbf{W}[\varphi(t)] = \text{SOT-}\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{r}{t}} \mathbf{W}[\varphi_\delta(t)] \\ &= \text{SOT-}\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \left(\sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \otimes \delta^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since, due (3.2), we also have

$$\varphi(r) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \varphi_\delta(r) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \left(\sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(r) \otimes \delta^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* \right),$$

one can easily see that $A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(r) = A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)$ for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}$ and any $0 \leq r < t < 1$. Therefore, using again relation (3.2), we deduce that

$$\varphi(r) = \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^*, \quad r \in [0, 1),$$

for some operators $\{A_{(\alpha, \beta)}\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}}$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Consequently,

$$G(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mathbf{X}_\beta^*, \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}),$$

is a free k -pluriharmonic function and $G(r\mathbf{W}) = \varphi(r)$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Note also that

$$G(\mathbf{X}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{X}}[\varphi(r)]$$

for any $\mathbf{X} \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. The proof is complete. \square

Let G be a free k -pluriharmonic function with representation as above. We associate with G the weighted multi-Toeplitz kernels $\Gamma_{rG} : \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \times \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$, $r \in [0, 1)$, defined by

$$\Gamma_{rG}(\omega, \gamma) := \begin{cases} \tau(\omega, \gamma) r^{|\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)|} A_{\mathbf{s}(\omega, \gamma)}, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (\omega, \gamma) \in (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \times \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}^+) \setminus \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

where the weights $\{\tau(\omega, \gamma)\}_{(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}}$ are defined in Section 1.

Now, we prove a Schur type result characterizing the positive free k -pluriharmonic functions.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $G : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \bar{\otimes}_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$ be a free k -pluriharmonic function. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $G(\mathbf{X}) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$.
- (ii) $G(r\mathbf{W}) \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$.
- (iii) The weighted multi-Toeplitz kernel Γ_{rG} is positive semidefinite for any $r \in [0, 1)$.

Proof. Assume that G has the representation

$$G(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mathbf{X}_\beta^*, \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Since $G(r\mathbf{W})$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator, a careful computation shows that

$$\left\langle G(r\mathbf{W}) \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq q} h_\beta \otimes e_\beta \right), \sum_{|\gamma| \leq q} h_\gamma \otimes e_\gamma \right\rangle = \sum_{|\beta|, |\gamma| \leq q} \langle \Gamma_{rG}(\gamma, \beta) h_\beta, h_\gamma \rangle$$

for any $h_\beta \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$ and $|\beta| \leq q$. Consequently, $G(r\mathbf{W}) \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$ if and only if Γ_{rG} is a positive semidefinite kernel for any $r \in [0, 1)$. This shows that (ii) is equivalent to (iii).

On the other hand, for each $X \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, there is $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $X \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$. Due to Theorem 3.4, we have

$$G(\mathbf{X}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{X}}[G(r\mathbf{W})].$$

Since the noncommutative Berezin transform is a positive map, so is the map $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{X}}$. Now, it is clear that if $G(r\mathbf{W}) \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$, then $G(\mathbf{X}) \geq 0$ for any $X \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$. The converse is obviously true, which completes the proof of the equivalence of (i) with (ii). \square

Now, we prove an analogue of Weierstrass theorem for free k -pluriharmonic functions on the noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $F_s : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \bar{\otimes}_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of free k -pluriharmonic functions such that, for any $r \in [0, 1)$, the sequence $\{F_s(r\mathbf{W})\}_{s=1}^\infty$ is convergent in the operator norm topology. Then there is a free k -pluriharmonic function $F : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \bar{\otimes}_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $F_s(r\mathbf{W})$ converges to $F(r\mathbf{W})$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, for any $r \in [0, 1)$. In particular, F_s converges to F uniformly on any domain $r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, $r \in [0, 1)$.*

Proof. Let F_s have the representation

$$F_s(X) = \sum_{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{s_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_s} A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(s) \otimes \mathbf{X}_\alpha \mathbf{X}_\beta^*, \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}),$$

for some operators $\{A_{(\alpha, \beta)}(s)\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}}$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. If $r \in [0, 1)$, then $F_s(r\mathbf{W})$ is in the operator space

$$\mathcal{G} := \text{span} \{C \otimes \mathbf{W}_\alpha \mathbf{W}_\beta^* : C \in B(\mathcal{K}), (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}\}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Let $\varphi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi(r) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F_s(r\mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{G}, \quad r \in [0, 1).$$

Note that, for any $0 \leq r < t < 1$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{1}{t}\mathbf{W}}[\varphi(t)] = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{1}{t}\mathbf{W}}[F_s(t\mathbf{W})] = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F_s(r\mathbf{W}) = \varphi(r),$$

where the limits are in the operator norm topology. Applying Theorem 3.4, we deduce that the map $F : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes}_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$F(\mathbf{X}) := \Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{X}}[\varphi(r)], \quad \mathbf{X} \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}), r \in (0, 1),$$

is a free k -pluriharmonic function on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $F(r\mathbf{W}) = \varphi(r)$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Using relation (3.3), we deduce that $F(r\mathbf{W}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(r\mathbf{W})$, $r \in [0, 1)$. Due to the noncommutative von Neumann inequality for polydomains [22], we have

$$\sup_{\mathbf{X} \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})} \|F(\mathbf{X}) - F_s(\mathbf{X})\| = \|F(r\mathbf{W}) - F_s(r\mathbf{W})\|,$$

which implies that F_s converges to F uniformly on any domain $r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, $r \in [0, 1)$. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $G_s : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \bar{\otimes}_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of free pluriharmonic functions such that $\{G_s(0)\}$ is a convergent sequence in the operator norm topology and*

$$G_1 \leq G_2 \leq \cdots.$$

Then G_s converges to a free k -pluriharmonic function on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $G_1 \geq 0$, otherwise we take $F_s := G_s - G_1$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to Harnack type inequality for positive free k -pluriharmonic functions on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ (see [25]), if $s \geq q$, then we have

$$\|G_s(\mathbf{X}) - G_q(\mathbf{X})\| \leq \|G_s(0) - G_q(0)\| \frac{1-r}{1+r}$$

for any $X \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$. Consequently, since $\{G_s(0)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the operator norm, we conclude that $\{G_s\}$ is a uniformly Cauchy sequence on $r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$. Hence, $\{G_s(r\mathbf{W})\}$ is convergent in the operator norm topology. Employing Theorem 3.6, we find a free k -pluriharmonic function $G : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \bar{\otimes}_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $G_s(r\mathbf{W})$ converges to $G(r\mathbf{W})$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, for any $r \in [0, 1)$. In particular, G_s converges to G uniformly on any domain $r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, $r \in [0, 1)$. The proof is complete. \square

We denote by $Har_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}})$ denote the set of all free k -pluriharmonic functions $F : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \bar{\otimes}_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$. For $F, G \in Har_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}})$ and $0 < r < 1$, we define

$$d_r(F, G) := \|F(rW) - G(rW)\|.$$

As before, we assume that \mathcal{H} is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. The noncommutative von Neumann inequality for the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ implies

$$d_r(F, G) = \sup_{X \in r\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})} \|F(X) - G(X)\|.$$

Let $\{r_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers convergent to 1. For any $F, G \in Har_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}})$, we define

$$\rho(F, G) := \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s \frac{d_{r_s}(F, G)}{1 + d_{r_s}(F, G)}.$$

It is easy to see that ρ is a metric on $Har_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}})$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following result. Since the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.10 from [26], we omit it.

Theorem 3.8. $(Har_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f},rad}^{\mathbf{m}}), \rho)$ is a complete metric space.

4. BROWN-HALMOS TYPE EQUATION ASSOCIATED WITH WEIGHTED MULTI-TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Brown and Halmos [2] proved that a necessary and sufficient condition that an operator on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be a Toeplitz operator is that

$$S^*TS = T,$$

where S is the unilateral shift on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. In [12], Louhichi and Olofsson obtain a Brown-Halmos type characterization of Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols on the weighted Bergman space $A_m(\mathbb{D})$, the Hilbert space of all analytic functions on the unit disc \mathbb{D} with

$$\|f\|^2 := \frac{m-1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{m-2} dz < \infty.$$

Their result was recently extended by Eschmeier and Langendörfer [8] to the analytic functional Hilbert space $H_m(\mathbb{B})$ on the unit ball $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ given by the reproducing kernel $\kappa_m(z, w) := (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-m}$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{B}$, where $m \geq 1$. Recently, we obtained (see [27]) a Brown-Halmos type characterizations of the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative poly-hyperballs, i.e. when $f_i = Z_{i,1} + \dots + Z_{i,n_i}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

In this section, we prove that the weighted multi-Toeplitz operators satisfy a Brown-Halmos type equation associated with the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$, we define the *weighted right creation operators* $\Lambda_{i,j} : F^2(H_{n_i}) \rightarrow F^2(H_{n_i})$ by setting $\Lambda_{i,j} := R_{i,j} G_{i,j}$, where $R_{i,1}, \dots, R_{i,n_i}$ are the right creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_i})$ and the operators $G_{i,j} : F^2(H_{n_i}) \rightarrow F^2(H_{n_i})$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$, are defined by

$$G_{i,j} e_\alpha^i := \sqrt{\frac{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}}{b_{i,\alpha g_j^i}^{(m_i)}}} e_\alpha^i, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+.$$

In this case, we have

$$\Lambda_{i,\beta} e_\gamma^i = \frac{\sqrt{b_{i,\gamma}^{(m_i)}}}{\sqrt{b_{i,\gamma\tilde{\beta}}^{(m_i)}}} e_{\gamma\tilde{\beta}}^i \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{i,\beta}^* e_\alpha^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_{i,\gamma}^{(m_i)}}}{\sqrt{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}}} e_\gamma^i & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma\tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$, where $\tilde{\beta}$ denotes the reverse of $\beta = g_{j_1}^i \cdots g_{j_p}^i$, i.e., $\tilde{\beta} = g_{j_p}^i \cdots g_{j_1}^i$. We introduce the operator $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,j}$ acting on $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k})$ and given by

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,j} := \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \otimes \Lambda_{i,j} \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{k-i \text{ times}}.$$

We set $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i := (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,n_i})$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. The k -tuple $\mathbf{\Lambda} := (\mathbf{\Lambda}_1, \dots, \mathbf{\Lambda}_k)$ plays the role of the *right universal model for the noncommutative polydomain* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, set $\tilde{f}_i := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha| \geq 1} a_{i,\tilde{\alpha}} Z_{i,\alpha}$. We recall from [20] that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha| \geq 1} a_{i,\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_{i,\alpha} \Lambda_{i,\alpha}^* \leq I \quad \text{and} \quad \left(id - \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} \right)^{m_i} (I) = P_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $\Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha| \geq 1} a_{i,\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_{i,\alpha} X \Lambda_{i,\alpha}^*$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we define $\Gamma_i := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ : a_{i,\alpha} \neq 0\}$ and $N_i := \text{card } \Gamma_i$. The direct sum of N_i copies of \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{(N_i)}$. We associate with $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i := (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,n_i})$ the row contraction $C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} : (\otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))^{(N_i)} \rightarrow \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$ defined by

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} := [\sqrt{a_{i,\tilde{\alpha}}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,\alpha} \cdots]_{\alpha \in \Gamma_i},$$

where the entries $\sqrt{a_{i,\tilde{\alpha}}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,\alpha}$ are arranged in the lexicographic order of $\Gamma_i \subset \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$. If $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, we denote by $\mathbf{diag}_N(Y) : \mathcal{H}^{(N)} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{(N)}$ the direct sum of N copies of Y .

Proposition 4.1. *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the operator $C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}$ satisfies the following properties.*

(i) *The operator $C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}$ has closed range and*

$$\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} = (\otimes_{s=1}^{i-1} F^2(H_{n_s})) \otimes (F^2(H_{n_i}) \ominus \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\otimes_{s=i+1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})).$$

(ii) *The operator*

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} : \text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* \rightarrow \text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*$$

is invertible and the operator

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* : \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}) \rightarrow \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$$

is the orthogonal projection of $\otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$ onto

$$(\otimes_{s=1}^{i-1} F^2(H_{n_s})) \otimes (F^2(H_{n_i}) \ominus \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\otimes_{s=i+1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})).$$

(iii) *The following identity holds:*

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{-1} = C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}(I) \right) |_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*}.$$

Proof. Due to the definition of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i := (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,n_i})$, it is easy to see that

$$\text{range } \mathbf{\Lambda}_i = \left(\bigotimes_{s=1}^{i-1} F^2(H_{n_s}) \right) \otimes (F^2(H_{n_i}) \ominus \mathbb{C}) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{s=i+1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}) \right).$$

Note that $\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} \subset \text{range } \mathbf{\Lambda}_i$ and, for any $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n_i} \in F^2(H_{n_i})$, we have

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{n_i} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sqrt{a_{i,g_j^i}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i,j} \varphi_j = \text{range } \mathbf{\Lambda}_i.$$

The later equality is due to the fact that $a_{i,g_j^i} > 0$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Therefore, we have $\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} = \text{range } \mathbf{\Lambda}_i$, which proves item (i).

To prove part (ii), note that since $C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}$ has closed range, so does $C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*$. Consequently, the operator

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} : \text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* \rightarrow \text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*$$

is invertible. On the other hand, if $y \in \text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}$, then $y = C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} x$ for some $x \in \text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*$ and

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* y = C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} x = C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} x = y.$$

If $y \in (\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^\perp = \ker C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*$, then $C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* y = 0$. This completes the proof of item (ii).

To prove item (iii), we recall that

$$(id - \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{m_i}(I) = \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{k-i \text{ times}}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_{n_i})$ onto $\mathbb{C}1 \subset F^2(H_{n_i})$. Consequently, using item (ii), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* &= I_{\bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})} - (id - \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{m_i}(I) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^{j+1}(I) \\ &= C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^j(I) \right) C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, part (iii) follows. The proof is complete. \square

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the operator $C'_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} : \text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* \rightarrow \bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s})$ defined by

$$C'_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} := C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i})^{-1}$$

is called the *Cauchy dual* of $C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}$.

Definition 4.2. An operator $T \in B(\bigotimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is said to have the *Brown-Halmos property* if

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^* T C'_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i} = \mathbf{P}_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^j(T) \right) \Big|_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, \mathbf{\Lambda}_i}^*}$$

for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

If \mathcal{K} is a separable Hilbert space, we say that an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ satisfies the Brown-Halmos condition if

$$(4.1) \quad C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^{*'} T C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}' = \mathbf{P}_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(T) \right) \Big|_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*}$$

for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, where $I \otimes \Lambda_i := [I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Lambda_{i,1} \cdots I_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \Lambda_{i,n_i}]$. We would like to find all the solutions of this operator equation.

Theorem 4.3. *If $T \in B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{s=1}^k F^2(H_{n_s}))$ is a weighted multi-Toeplitz operator associated with the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$, then it satisfies the Brown-Halmos property.*

Proof. First, we show that if $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, then the operator

$$q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}} A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \otimes \mathbf{W}_{\alpha} \mathbf{W}_{\beta}^*$$

satisfies the Brown-Halmos condition. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and assume that $s_i \geq 0$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} = C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*))$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)) \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(I)) = \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*))),$$

where

$$\mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(X)) := \mathbf{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(X) \right).$$

Now, using Proposition 4.1, item (iii), and relations (4.2), (4.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} \\ &= (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(I) \right) \Big|_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \\ &= (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)) \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(I)) \Big|_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*))) \Big|_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

If $s_i < 0$, then

$$(4.4) \quad C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) = \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(I)) \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)) = \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*))).$$

Using using Proposition 4.1, item (iii), and relations (4.4), (4.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(I) \right) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(I)) \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i} (C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^* C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \mathbf{diag}_{N_i}(\Psi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(q_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*))) \Big|_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the first part of the proof, for any $r \in [0, 1)$, $q_s(r\mathbf{W}, r\mathbf{W}^*) = r^{|s|}q_s(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ satisfies the Brown-Halmos condition. According to Theorem 2.3, there is a bounded free k -pluriharmonic function F on the radial polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}, rad}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$T = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(r\mathbf{W})$$

and $F(r\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^k} r^{|s|}q_s(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^*)$ is convergent in the operator norm topology. It is easy to see that $F(r\mathbf{W})$ satisfies the Brown-Halmos condition (4.1). Using the SOT-convergence above, we can prove that, for each $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1\}$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{F}_n^+$, $h, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$(4.6) \quad \left\langle \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(F(r\mathbf{W}))h \otimes e_\alpha, \ell \otimes e_\beta \right\rangle \rightarrow \left\langle \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(T)h \otimes e_\alpha, \ell \otimes e_\beta \right\rangle, \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

Since

$$\Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}(I) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha| \geq 1} a_{i, \tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_{i, \alpha} \Lambda_{i, \alpha}^* \leq I$$

and, due to Theorem 2.3, $\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|F(r\mathbf{W})\| = \|T\|$, we deduce that

$$\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|\Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(F(r\mathbf{W}))\| < \infty.$$

Using relation (4.6), we deduce that $\Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(F(r\mathbf{W})) \rightarrow \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(T)$, as $r \rightarrow 1$, in the weak operator topology. Consequently, and using that

$$C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}'^* F(r\mathbf{W}) C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}' = \mathbf{P}_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*} \text{diag}_{N_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^j \binom{m_i}{j+1} \Phi_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^j(F(r\mathbf{W})) \right) |_{\text{range } C_{\tilde{f}_i, I \otimes \Lambda_i}^*}$$

for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we deduce that T satisfies the Brown-Halmos condition. The proof is complete. \square

In [27], we proved that the converse of Theorem 4.3 is true for poly-hyperballs. While we believe that the converse is true for all the noncommutative polydomains $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$, for now, it remains an open problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BOTTCHER AND B. SILBERMANN, *Analysis of Toeplitz operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [2] A. BROWN AND P.R. HALMOS, Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **213** 1963/1964 89–102.
- [3] K. R. DAVIDSON AND D. PITTS, The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), 275–303.
- [4] K.R. DAVIDSON, E. KATSOULIS, AND D. PITTS, The structure of free semigroup algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **533** (2001), 99–125.
- [5] K. R. DAVIDSON, J. LI, AND D.R. PITTS, Absolutely continuous representations and a Kaplansky density theorem for free semigroup algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **224** (2005), no. 1, 160–191.
- [6] R. G. DOUGLAS, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **179**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. xvi+194 pp.
- [7] E.G. EFFROS AND Z.J. RUAN, *Operator spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, **23**. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [8] J. ESCHMEIER AND S. LANGENDÖRFER, Toeplitz operators with pluriharmonic symbol, preprint 2017.
- [9] H. HEDENMALM, B. KORENBLUM, AND K. ZHU, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **199**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. x+286 pp.
- [10] M. KENNEDY, Wandering vectors and the reflexivity of free semigroup algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **653** (2011), 47–73.
- [11] M. KENNEDY, The structure of an isometric tuple, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **106** (2013), no. 5, 1157–1177.
- [12] I. LOUHICHI AND A. OLOFSSON, Characterizations of Bergman space Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **617** (2008), 1–26.
- [13] A. MAJI, J. SARKAR AND S. SARKAR, Toeplitz and asymptotic Toeplitz operators on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **146** (2018), 33–49.
- [14] G. POPESCU, Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 693–710.
- [15] G. POPESCU, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.
- [16] G. POPESCU, Poisson transforms on some C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.
- [17] G. POPESCU, Entropy and multivariable interpolation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (2006), no. 868, vi+83 pp.
- [18] G. POPESCU, Noncommutative transforms and free pluriharmonic functions, *Adv. Math.* **220** (2009), 831–893.

- [19] G. POPESCU, Noncommutative Berezin transforms and multivariable operator model theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), no. 4, 1003–1057.
- [20] G. POPESCU, Operator theory on noncommutative domains, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **205** (2010), no. 964, vi+124 pp.
- [21] G. POPESCU, Berezin transforms on noncommutative varieties in polydomains, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), no. 10, 2500–2552.
- [22] G. POPESCU, Berezin transforms on noncommutative polydomains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 6, 4357–4416.
- [23] G. POPESCU, Free pluriharmonic functions on noncommutative polyballs, *Analysis & PDE* **9** (2016), no.5, 1185–1234.
- [24] G. POPESCU, Invariant subspaces and operator model theory on noncommutative varieties, *Math. Ann.* **372** (2018), no. 1-2, 611–650.
- [25] G. POPESCU Bohr Inequalities on Noncommutative Polydomains, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **91** (2019), no. 1
- [26] G. POPESCU, Multi-Toeplitz operators and free pluriharmonic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **478** (2019), no. 1, 256–293.
- [27] G. POPESCU, Brown-Halmos characterization of multi-Toeplitz operators associated with noncommutative polyhyperballs, preprint.
- [28] M. ROSENBLUM AND J. ROVNYAK, *Hardy classes and operator theory*, Oxford University Press-New York, 1985.
- [29] O. TOEPLITZ, Zur Theorie der quadratischen und bilinearen Formen von unendlichvielen Veränderlichen, *Math. Ann.* **70** (1911), no. 3, 351–376.
- [30] H. UPMEIER, *Toeplitz operators and index theory in several complex variables*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **81**, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249, USA

E-mail address: gelu.popescu@utsa.edu