

On Smoothness of the Abel Equation Solution in Terms of the Jacoby Series Coefficients

Maksim V. Kukushkin

Moscow State University of Civil Engineering

Russia, Moscow, 129337,

Kabardino-Balkarian Scientific Center, RAS,

Russia, Nalchik, 360051, kukushkinmv@rambler.ru

Abstract

In this paper we continue the investigation of the Abel equation with the right part belonging to a Lebesgue weighted space. We have improved the previously known result - the uniqueness and existence theorem formulated in terms of the Jacoby series coefficients that gives us an opportunity to find and classify a solution due to an asymptotic of some relation containing the Jacoby coefficients of the right part. The new main results are in the following: The conditions imposed on the parameters, under which the Abel equation has a unique solution represented by the series, are formulated; The relationship between the values of the parameters and the solution smoothness is established. The independence between one of the parameters and the smoothness of the solution is proved.

1 Introduction

In the beginning, let us remind that the so called mapping theorems for the Riemann-Liouville operator were firstly studied by H. Hardy and Littlewoode, it was proved that $I_{a+}^{\alpha} : L_p \rightarrow L_q$, $1 < p < 1/\alpha$, $q < p/(1 - \alpha p)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. This proposition was afterwards clarified [5] and nowadays is known as the Hardy-Littlewood theorem with limit index $I_{a+}^{\alpha} : L_p \rightarrow L_q$, $q = p/(1 - \alpha p)$. However there was an attempt to extend this theorem on some class of weighted Lebesgue spaces defined as functional spaces endowed with the following norm

$$\|f\|_{L_p(I, \omega)} := \left\{ \int_a^b |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right\}^{1/p}, \quad \omega(x) := (x - a)^{\beta} (b - x)^{\gamma}, \quad \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad I := (a, b).$$

In this direction the mathematicians such as Rubin B.S. [17],[18],[19], Karapetyants N.K. [7],[8], Vakulov B.G. [25], Samko S.G. [21],[22] (the results of [7],[17],[18] are also presented in [20]) had a great success. There were formulated the analogs of Hardy-Littlewood theorem for a class of weighted Lebesgue spaces. The main disadvantage of the results presented in [20] is gaps of the parameters values in the conditions, moreover the notorious problem related to $p = 1/\alpha$ was remained completely unsolvable. All these create the prerequisite to invent another approach for studying the Riemann-Liouville operator action that was successfully investigated in the paper [10] and we write out below some of its highlights. In spite of the fact that the idea of using the Jacoby polynomials is not novel and many papers were devoted to this topic [23],[6],[1],[3], [9],[24],[2] we confirm the main advantage of the method, used in the paper [10] and based on the results [14],[15],[16],[12],[13], is still relevant and allows us to obtain some interesting results. The main challenge of this paper is to improve and clarify the results of the paper [10]. In particular we need to find a simple condition, on the right part of the Abel equation, under which Theorem 2 [10] is

applicable. For this purpose we have made an attempt to investigate this problem having used absolute convergence of a series. The main relevance of the improvement is based on the fact that the previously used methods were determined by the relation between order α of the fractional integral and index p of a Lebesgue space (for instance the case $p = 1/\alpha$ is not considered in the monograph [20]). All these create a strong motivation of researching in this direction, but the highlight is in the following: The relationship between the values of the parameters and order α , by virtue of which we can provide a description of the solution smoothness, is established; The conditions providing existence and uniqueness of the solution, formulated in terms of Jacobi series coefficients, were obtained. The principal result - the independence between one of the parameters and the solution smoothness was proved.

2 Preliminaries

The orthonormal system of the Jacobi polynomials is denoted by

$$p_n^{(\beta, \gamma)}(x) = \delta_n(\beta, \gamma) y_n^{(\beta, \gamma)}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where the normalized multiplier $\delta_n(\beta, \gamma)$ is defined by the formula

$$\delta_n(\beta, \gamma) = (-1)^n \frac{\sqrt{\beta + \gamma + 2n + 1}}{(b - a)^{n + (\beta + \gamma + 1)/2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + n + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\beta + n + 1) \Gamma(\gamma + n + 1)}},$$

$$\delta_0(\beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\beta + 1) \Gamma(\gamma + 1)}}, \quad \beta + \gamma + 1 = 0,$$

the orthogonal polynomials $y_n^{(\beta, \gamma)}$ are defined by the formula

$$y_n^{(\beta, \gamma)}(x) = (x - a)^{-\beta} (b - x)^{-\gamma} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[(x - a)^{\beta + n} (b - x)^{\gamma + n} \right], \quad \beta, \gamma > -1.$$

Consider the orthonormal Jacobi polynomials

$$p_n^{(\beta, \gamma)}(x) = \delta_n y_n(x), \quad \beta, \gamma > -1/2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

It is clear that

$$p_n^{(k)}(a) = \frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{(b - a)^{k + (\beta + \gamma + 1)/2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(\beta + \gamma + 2n + 1) \Gamma(\beta + \gamma + n + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\beta + n + 1) \Gamma(\gamma + n + 1)}} \sum_{i=0}^k C_n^i \binom{n+\beta}{n-i} \binom{n+\gamma}{i} C_k^i \binom{n-i}{k-i} i! =$$

$$= (-1)^{n+k} (b - a)^{-k - (\beta + \gamma + 1)/2} \delta'_n \tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma), \quad k \leq n,$$

where

$$\delta'_n := \sqrt{\frac{(\beta + \gamma + 2n + 1) \Gamma(\beta + \gamma + n + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\beta + n + 1) \Gamma(\gamma + n + 1)}}, \quad \tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma) := \sum_{i=0}^k C_n^i \binom{n+\beta}{n-i} \binom{n+\gamma}{i} C_k^i \binom{n-i}{k-i} i!.$$

In the same way, we get

$$p_n^{(k)}(b) = (b - a)^{k + (\beta + \gamma + 1)/2} \delta'_n \tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta), \quad k \leq n.$$

Using the Taylor series expansion for the Jacobi polynomials, we get

$$p_n^{(\beta, \gamma)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \frac{\delta'_n \tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma)}{k! (b - a)^{k + (\beta + \gamma + 1)/2}} (x - a)^k = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\delta'_n \tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta)}{k! (b - a)^{k + (\beta + \gamma + 1)/2}} (b - x)^k.$$

Applying the formulas (2.44),(2.45) of the fractional integral and derivative of a power function [20, p.40], we obtain

$$(I_{a+}^\alpha p_n)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \frac{\delta'_n \tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma)}{(b-a)^{k+(\beta+\gamma+1)/2} \Gamma(k+1+\alpha)} (x-a)^{k+\alpha},$$

$$(I_{b-}^\alpha p_n)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\delta'_n \tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta)}{(b-a)^{k+(\beta+\gamma+1)/2} \Gamma(k+1+\alpha)} (b-x)^{k+\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (-1, 1),$$

here we used the formal denotation $I_{a+}^{-\alpha} := D_{a+}^\alpha$. Thus, using integration by parts, we get

$$\int_a^b p_m(x) (I_{a+}^\alpha p_n)(x) \omega(x) dx =$$

$$= (-1)^n \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma) B(\alpha + \beta + k + 1, \gamma + m + 1)}{\Gamma(k + \alpha - m + 1)}.$$

In the same way, we get

$$(p_m, I_{b-}^\alpha p_n)_{L_2(I, \omega)} = (-1)^m \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) B(\alpha + \gamma + k + 1, \beta + m + 1)}{\Gamma(k + \alpha - m + 1)}.$$

Using the denotation

$$A_{mn}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} := \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma) B(\alpha + \beta + k + 1, \gamma + m + 1)}{\Gamma(k + \alpha - m + 1)},$$

we have

$$(p_m, I_{a+}^\alpha p_n)_{L_2(I, \omega)} = (-1)^n A_{mn}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}, \quad (p_m, I_{b-}^\alpha p_n)_{L_2(I, \omega)} = (-1)^m A_{mn}^{\alpha, \gamma, \beta}. \quad (1)$$

The following theorem is the very mapping theorem (see [10]) formulated in terms of the Jacoby series coefficients. Here we give the modified form corresponding to the right-side case.

Theorem 1. (Theorem 2 in [10]) Suppose $\omega(x) = (x-a)^\beta (b-x)^\gamma$, $\beta, \gamma \in [-1/2, 1/2]$, $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, the Pollard condition holds

$$4 \max \left\{ \frac{\beta+1}{2\beta+3}, \frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma+3} \right\} < p < 4 \min \left\{ \frac{\beta+1}{2\beta+1}, \frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma+1} \right\},$$

the right part of the Abel equation $I_{b-}^{-\alpha} \varphi = f$ such that

$$\|D_{b-}^{-\alpha} S_k f\|_{L_p(I, \omega)} \leq C, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n A_{mn}^{\alpha, \gamma, \beta} \right| \leq C m^{-\lambda}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lambda \in [0, \infty); \quad (2)$$

then there exists a unique solution of the Abel equation in $L_p(I, \omega)$, the solution belongs to $L_q(I, \omega)$, where: $q = p$, if $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/2$; $q = \max\{p, t\}$, $t < (2s-1)/(s-\lambda)$, if $1/2 < \lambda < s$ ($s = 3/2 + \max\{\beta, \gamma\}$); q is arbitrary large, if $\lambda \geq s$. Moreover if $\lambda > 1/2$, then the solution is represented by the convergent in $L_q(I, \omega)$ series

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m(x) (-1)^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n A_{mn}^{\alpha, \gamma, \beta}. \quad (3)$$

We also need an adopted version (see [10]) of the Zigmund-Marczinkevich theorem (see [11]), which establishes the following.

Theorem 2. *If $q \geq 2$ and we have*

$$\Omega_q(c) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q n^{(\max\{\beta, \gamma\} + 3/2)(q-2)} \right)^{1/q} < \infty, \max\{\beta, \gamma\} \geq -1/2, \quad (4)$$

then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n p_n^{(\beta, \gamma)}(x)$$

converges in $L_q(I, \omega)$, $\omega(x) = (x-a)^\beta(b-x)^\gamma$ to some function $f \in L_q(I, \omega)$ and $\|f\|_{L_q(I, \omega)} \leq C\Omega_q(c)$.

3 Main results

Everywhere further, in the contrary to the paper [10], we consider the right-side case, assuming that $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, but the reasonings corresponding to the left-side case are absolutely analogous.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $k < m$,*

$$I_{mk} := \delta'_m \frac{\Gamma(\beta + m + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (m - k - \alpha - i)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + k + \gamma + m + 2)};$$

then the following estimates hold

$$I_{mk} \leq C m^{-2\alpha - \gamma - 3/2}, I_{mk} \leq C e^{2k} m^{2\xi - 2\alpha - \gamma - 5/2 - 2k}, k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1,$$

where $\xi = 0.577215\dots$ is the Mascheroni constant.

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} I_{mk} &= \sqrt{\frac{(\beta + \gamma + 2m + 1)\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m + 1)}{m!\Gamma(\beta + m + 1)\Gamma(\gamma + m + 1)}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\beta + m + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (m - k - \alpha - i)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + k + \gamma + m + 2)} = \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(\beta + \gamma + 2m + 1)\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m + 1)\Gamma(\beta + m + 1)}{m!\Gamma(\gamma + m + 1)}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m - k - \alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + k + \gamma + m + 2)} < \\ &< \sqrt{\frac{(\beta + \gamma + 2m + 1)\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m + 1)\Gamma(\beta + m + 1)}{m!\Gamma(\gamma + m + 1)}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m - \alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + m + 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we should take into account the following relations (see [4, p.xv])

$$\frac{\Gamma(m + \beta + 1)}{m!} = m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_s m^{\beta-p} + O(m^{\beta-p-1}), \beta \neq -1, -2, \dots \quad (5)$$

Having applied formula (5), we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + m + 1)} = \frac{m^{\beta+\gamma} + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s1} m^{\beta+\gamma-s} + O(m^{\beta+\gamma-p-1})}{m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s2} m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1})} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= m^\gamma \frac{m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s1} m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta+\gamma-p-1}) n^{-\gamma}}{m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s2} m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1})} = m^\gamma \frac{m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s1} m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1})}{m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s2} m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1})} = \\
&= m^\gamma + m^\gamma \frac{\sum_{s=1}^p (c_{s1} - c_{s2}) m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1}) - O(m^{\beta-p-1})}{m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s2} m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1})} = \\
&= m^\gamma + m^\gamma \frac{\sum_{s=1}^p (c_{s1} - c_{s2}) m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1})}{m^\beta + \sum_{s=1}^p c_{s2} m^{\beta-s} + O(m^{\beta-p-1})} \sim m^\gamma, \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

since the notation $f(m) := O(m^{\beta-p-1})$ implies that there exists such a constant C so that $|f(m)| \leq C m^{\beta-p-1}$. Using formula (6), we get

$$\frac{\Gamma(m - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + m + 2)} \sim m^{-2\alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2}.$$

In an analogous way, we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{(\beta + \gamma + 2m + 1)\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m + 1)\Gamma(\beta + m + 1)}{m!\Gamma(\gamma + m + 1)}} \sim m^{\beta-1/2}.$$

Combining these two relations, we obtain the first estimate this theorem has claimed. However this estimate can be improved for sufficiently large values m and k . To manage such a result we should take into account the following relation (see[26])

$$\frac{x^{x-\xi}}{e^{x-1}} < \Gamma(x) < \frac{x^{x-1/2}}{e^{x-1}}, \quad x > 1, \quad \xi = 0.577215\dots$$

Having taken into account this formula, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(x + \delta)}{\Gamma(x)} &< e^{-\delta} \frac{(x + \delta)^{x+\delta-1/2}}{x^{x-\xi}} = e^{-\delta} \frac{(x + \delta)^{x+\delta-1/2}}{x^{x+\delta-1/2}} x^{\xi+\delta-1/2} = e^{-\delta} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{x}\right)^{x+\delta-1/2} x^{\xi+\delta-1/2} = \\
&= e^{-\delta} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{x}\right)^{\frac{x}{\delta} \cdot \frac{x+\delta-1/2}{x} \cdot \delta} x^{\xi+\delta-1/2} \sim x^{\xi+\delta-1/2}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

In an analogous way, it is not hard to prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(x + \delta)}{\Gamma(x)} &> e^{-\delta} \frac{(x + \delta)^{x+\delta-\xi}}{x^{x-1/2}} = e^{-\delta} \frac{(x + \delta)^{x+\delta-\xi}}{x^{x+\delta-\xi}} x^{1/2+\delta-\xi} = e^{-\delta} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{x}\right)^{x+\delta-\xi} x^{1/2+\delta-\xi} = \\
&= e^{-\delta} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{x}\right)^{\frac{x}{\delta} \cdot \frac{x+\delta-\xi}{x} \cdot \delta} x^{1/2+\delta-\xi} \sim x^{1/2+\delta-\xi}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these formulas we have

$$J := \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (m - k - \alpha - i)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + k + \gamma + m + 2)} = \frac{\Gamma(m - k - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + k + \gamma + m + 2)} <$$

$$< \frac{e^{-\delta_1} \left(1 + \frac{\delta_1}{m}\right)^{m+\delta_1-1/2} m^{\xi+\delta_1-1/2}}{e^{-\delta_2} \left(1 + \frac{\delta_2}{m}\right)^{m+\delta_2-\xi} m^{1/2+\delta_2-\xi}} = e^{-\delta_1+\delta_2} \left(1 + \frac{\delta_1}{m}\right)^{m+\delta_1-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\delta_2}{m}\right)^{-m-\delta_2+\xi} m^{2\xi-1+\delta_1-\delta_2},$$

where $\delta_1 = -k - \alpha$, $\delta_2 = k + \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2$. Note that for concrete δ_1, δ_2 we have the following tending

$$\left(1 + \frac{\delta_1}{m}\right)^{m+\delta_1-1/2} \rightarrow e^{\delta_1}, \quad \left(1 + \frac{\delta_2}{m}\right)^{-m-\delta_2+\xi} \rightarrow e^{-\delta_2}, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$J < C e^{-\delta_1+\delta_2} m^{2\xi-1+\delta_1-\delta_2} = C e^{2k+2\alpha+\beta+\gamma+2} m^{2\xi-1-(2k+2\alpha+\beta+\gamma+2)}.$$

Using formula (6), we have

$$\delta'_m \Gamma(\beta + m + 1) = \sqrt{\frac{(\beta + \gamma + 2m + 1) \Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m + 1) \Gamma(\beta + m + 1)}{m! \Gamma(\gamma + m + 1)}} \leq C m^{\beta+1/2}.$$

Combining these results, we obtain

$$I_{mk} < C e^{2k+2\alpha+\beta+\gamma+2} m^{2\xi-1-(2k+2\alpha+\gamma+3/2)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Thus, this estimate has proved the claimed result. \square

Lemma 2. *Suppose*

$$d_k(\eta) := \eta^k \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^i}{i!(k-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)}, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{N};$$

then

$$\forall \eta, \exists N : d_{k+1}(\eta) < d_k(\eta), \quad k > N.$$

Proof. Assume that $k > \eta$ and consider the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{2^i}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} - \eta^k \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^i}{i!(k-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} = \\ & = \eta^k \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} + \frac{\eta^{k+1} 2^{k+1}}{(k+1)! \Gamma(\gamma + k + 2)} = \\ & = \eta^k \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-\eta} \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} + \sum_{i=k-\eta+1}^k \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} \right\} + \eta^k \frac{\eta 2^{k+1}}{(k+1)! \Gamma(\gamma + k + 2)} = \\ & = \eta^k \left\{ \sum_{i=\eta}^{k-\eta} \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} + \sum_{i=k-\eta+1}^k \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} + \sum_{i=0}^{\eta-1} \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} \right\} + \\ & \quad + \eta^k \frac{\eta 2^{k+1}}{(k+1)! \Gamma(\gamma + k + 2)} = \eta^k \sum_{i=\eta}^{k-\eta} \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} + \\ & \quad + \eta^k \left\{ \sum_{i=k-\eta+2}^k \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta-1} \frac{2^i(\eta - 1 + i - k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} \right\} + \end{aligned}$$

$$+\eta^k \left\{ \frac{\eta 2^{k+1}}{(k+1)! \Gamma(\gamma+k+2)} + \frac{(\eta-1-k)}{(k+1)! \Gamma(\gamma+1)} \right\} = S_1 + S_2 + S_3;$$

Note that S_1, S_3 are negative for a sufficiently large value k . Consider separately the expression

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{i=k-\eta+2}^k \frac{2^i(\eta-1+i-k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+i+1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta-1} \frac{2^i(\eta-1+i-k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+i+1)} = \\ &= \sum_{i=k-\eta+2}^{k-1} \frac{2^i(\eta-1+i-k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+i+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{\eta-1} \frac{2^i(\eta-1+i-k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+i+1)} + \\ &+ \frac{2^k(\eta-1)}{k! \Gamma(\gamma+k+1)} + \frac{2(\eta-k)}{k! \Gamma(\gamma+2)} = \sum_{i=k-\eta+2}^{k-2} \frac{2^i(\eta-1+i-k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+i+1)} + \sum_{i=3}^{\eta-1} \frac{2^i(\eta-1+i-k)}{i!(k+1-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+i+1)} + \\ &+ \frac{2^k(\eta-1)}{k! \Gamma(\gamma+k+1)} + \frac{2(\eta-k)}{k! \Gamma(\gamma+2)} + \frac{2^{k-1}(\eta-2)}{(k-1)! 2! \Gamma(\gamma+k)} + \frac{2^2(\eta+1-k)}{2!(k-1)! \Gamma(\gamma+3)} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\eta-2} \left\{ \frac{2^{k-i}(\eta-1-i)}{(k-i)!(i+1)! \Gamma(\gamma+k+1-i)} + \frac{2^{i+1}(\eta-k+i)}{(i+1)!(k-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+2+i)} \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\eta-2} \left\{ \frac{2^{k-i}(\eta-1-i)}{(k-i)!(i+1)! \Gamma(\gamma+3) 2^{k-2-i}} + \frac{2(2\eta-k-2)}{(i+1)!(k-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+\eta)} \right\} < \\ &< \sum_{i=0}^{\eta-2} \left\{ \frac{4(\eta-1)}{(k-i)!(i+1)! \Gamma(\gamma+3)} + \frac{2(2\eta-k-2)}{(i+1)!(k-i)! \Gamma(\gamma+\eta)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is clear that $S_2 < 0$ for a sufficiently large value k . Combining this fact with the previously established fact regarding S_1, S_3 , we obtain the desired result. \square

Lemma 3. Assume that the following series is absolutely convergent

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n c_n < \infty, \quad (7)$$

where $f_n \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$c_n = \frac{\delta'_n n! \Gamma(n+\beta+1) \Gamma(n+\gamma+1)}{4^n},$$

then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f_n A_{mn}| \leq C m^{-2\alpha-\gamma-3/2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{mn}^{\alpha, \gamma, \beta} &:= \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) B(\alpha+\gamma+k+1, \beta+m+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha-m+1)} = \\ &= \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m-1, n\}} (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma) B(\alpha+\gamma+k+1, \beta+m+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha-m+1)} + \\ &+ \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=m}^n (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma) B(\alpha+\gamma+k+1, \beta+m+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha-m+1)} = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Consider I_1 . We need the following formula (see (1.66) [20])

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{z(z+1)\dots(z+n-1)}, \operatorname{Re} z > -n.$$

Denote $\alpha + 1 - [m - k] =: z$, then for the case $m > k$, we have $z > -[m - k]$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m-1, n\}} (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) B(\alpha + \gamma + k + 1, \beta + m + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - [m - k])} = \\ &= (-1)^m \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m-1, n\}} \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) (m - k - \alpha - 1)(m - k - \alpha - 2) \dots (-\alpha)}{B^{-1}(\alpha + \gamma + k + 1, \beta + m + 1) \Gamma(1 + \alpha)} = \\ &= (-1)^m \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m-1, n\}} \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) \prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (m - k - \alpha - i)}{B^{-1}(\alpha + \gamma + k + 1, \beta + m + 1) \Gamma(1 + \alpha)} = \\ &= (-1)^m \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m-1, n\}} \tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + k + 1) \Gamma(\beta + m + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (m - k - \alpha - i)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + k + \gamma + m + 2) \Gamma(1 + \alpha)} = \\ &= (-1)^m \delta'_n \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m-1, n\}} \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + k + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} I_{mk}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, having noticed that $\Gamma(\beta + k) \geq \Gamma(\beta + 3)2^{k-3}$, $k = 3, 4, \dots$, we have the following reasonings

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) &= \sum_{i=0}^k C_n^i \binom{n+\beta}{i} \binom{n+\gamma}{n-i} C_k^i \binom{n-i}{k-i} i! = \\ &= \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1) \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{C_n^i C_k^i \Gamma(n - i + 1) i!}{\Gamma(n + \beta - i + 1) \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1) \Gamma(n - k + 1)} = \\ &= \frac{n! k! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(n - k + 1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{i! (k - i)! \Gamma(n + \beta - i + 1) \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{n! k! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 3) 2^{n-k}} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^3}{i! (k - i)! 2^{n-i} \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} = \\ &= \frac{n! k! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 3) 2^{2n-3}} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^{i+k}}{i! (k - i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2, we have there exists such a constant $C > 0$ so that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^{i+k}}{i! (k - i)! \Gamma(\gamma + i + 1)} \leq C e^{-2k}.$$

Hence

$$\tilde{C}_n^k(\gamma, \beta) \leq C \frac{n! k! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 3) 4^n e^{2k}}.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 1, we have

$$|I_1| \leq C\delta'_n \frac{n!\Gamma(n+\beta+1)\Gamma(n+\gamma+1)}{4^n} \times \left\{ \Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)m^{-2\alpha-\gamma-3/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{m-1,n\}} \frac{k!\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+k+1)}{m^{2k-1}} m^{2\xi-2-2\alpha-\gamma-3/2} \right\}.$$

Consider

$$\Xi_{m-1} := \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{k!\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+k+1)}{m^{2k-1}},$$

we have

$$\Xi_m - \Xi_{m-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{k!\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+k+1)[m^{2k-1} - (m+1)^{2k-1}]}{m^{2k-1}(m+1)^{2k-1}} + \frac{m!\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+m+1)}{(m+1)^{2m-1}}.$$

Having applied the asymptotic Stirling formula (1.63) [20, p.16], we obtain

$$\frac{m!\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+m+1)}{(m+1)^{2m-1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\Xi_m - \Xi_{m-1} < 0$ for sufficiently large m . It implies that

$$|I_1| \leq C\delta'_n \frac{n!\Gamma(n+\beta+1)\Gamma(n+\gamma+1)}{4^n} m^{-2\alpha-\gamma-3/2};$$

Consider I_2 , we have the following reasonings

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \delta'_m \delta'_n \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{\tilde{C}_n^k(\beta, \gamma) B(\alpha+\gamma+k+1, \beta+m+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha-m+1)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\delta'_m \delta'_n n!\Gamma(n+\beta+1)\Gamma(n+\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+3)2^{2n-3}} \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{k!d_k B(\alpha+\gamma+k+1, \beta+m+1)}{2^k \Gamma(k+\alpha-m+1)} = \\ &= \frac{\delta'_m \delta'_n n!\Gamma(n+\beta+1)\Gamma(n+\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+3)2^{2n-3}} \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{d_k k! \Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+k+1) \Gamma(m+\beta+1)}{2^k \Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+k+\beta+m+2) \Gamma(k+\alpha-m+1)} = \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_k := 4^k \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{2^i}{i!(k-i)!\Gamma(\gamma+i+1)},$$

and we know, as it was proved, that $d_{k+1} < d_k$ for sufficiently large k . Haven taken into account all these facts, using the D'Alembert's principle, it is easy to show that the following series is convergent and there exists such a constant $C > 0$ so that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{d_k k! \Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+k+1)}{2^k \Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+k+\beta+m+2) \Gamma(k+\alpha-m+1)} < C, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Moreover, since

$$\frac{\Gamma(m+\beta+2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+k+\beta+m+3)} = \frac{\Gamma(m+\beta+1)(m+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+k+\beta+m+2)(\alpha+\gamma+k+\beta+m+2)} <$$

$$< \frac{\Gamma(m + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + k + \beta + m + 2)}, \quad k = m, m + 1, \dots,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{d_k k! \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + k + 1) \Gamma(m + \beta + 1)}{2^k \Gamma(\alpha + \gamma + k + \beta + m + 2) \Gamma(k + \alpha - m + 1)} < C, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C \frac{\delta'_m \delta'_n n! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 3) 4^n} \leq C \delta'_n \frac{n! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{4^n} \cdot \frac{m^{1/2+\beta}}{\Gamma(m + \beta + 1)} \leq \\ &\leq C \delta'_n \frac{n! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{4^n} m^{-2\alpha-\gamma-3/2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Due to the absolute convergence of the series (7) we can extract a multiplier in each term of the series i.e.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f_n A_{mn}| \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f_n| c_n \leq C m^{-2\alpha-\gamma-3/2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

□

Theorem 3. Assume that the Jacoby coefficients f_n of the right part of the Abel equation $I_{b-}^{-\alpha} \varphi = f$ such that corresponding series (7) is absolutely convergent, the condition $2\alpha + \gamma + 1 > 0$ holds, then there exists a unique solution of the Abel equation, the solution is represented by series (3) and has smoothness in accordance with Theorem 2, where $\lambda = 2\alpha + \gamma + 3/2$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 3, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n A_{mn}^{\alpha, \gamma, \beta} \right| \leq C m^{-2\alpha-\gamma-3/2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

and it is clear that $\lambda > 1/2$, since $2\alpha + \gamma + 1 > 0$. Thus to fulfill the conditions of Theorem 1, we must show that

$$\|D_{b-}^{-\alpha} S_k f\|_{L_p} \leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where p is such an index value that the Polard condition holds. Consider

$$(D_{b-}^{-\alpha} S_k f, p_m) = \sum_{n=0}^k f_n (D_{b-}^{-\alpha} p_n, p_m) = (-1)^m \sum_{n=0}^k f_n A_{mn}^{\alpha, \gamma, \beta} =: c_{mk}.$$

Let us impose the conditions on α, γ under which the following estimate holds

$$\|D_{b-}^{-\alpha} S_k f\|_{L_q} \leq C \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |c_{mk}|^q m^{(\max\{\beta, \gamma\} + 3/2)(q-2)} \right)^{1/q} < \infty, \quad q \geq 2. \quad (8)$$

Using Lemma 2 and calculating powers, we have the following sufficient conditions of series (8) convergence in terms of Theorem 1 : $q < (2s - 1)/(s - \lambda)$. Regarding the fulfilment of the Polard conditions, we should notice that

$$4 \max \left\{ \frac{\beta + 1}{2\beta + 3}, \frac{\gamma + 1}{2\gamma + 3} \right\} = \frac{2s - 1}{s}$$

(this is the consequence of the fact that the function $z(x) := (x + 1)/(2x + 3)$ is an increasing function), hence we can choose p so that

$$4 \max \left\{ \frac{\beta + 1}{2\beta + 3}, \frac{\gamma + 1}{2\gamma + 3} \right\} < p < q.$$

Combining these facts and using the Hölder inequality, we come to the conclusion

$$\|D_{b-}^{-\alpha} S_k f\|_{L_p} \leq C \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |c_{mk}|^q m^{(\max\{\beta, \gamma\} + 3/2)(q-2)} \right)^{1/q} < C, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where index p satisfies the Polard conditions. Hence the conditions of Theorem 1 is fulfilled. This gives us the desired result. \square

References

- [1] BEHROOZIFAR M., AHMADPOUR F. Comparative study on solving fractional differential equations via shifted Jacobi collocation method. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, **43**, No.2 (2017), 535–560.
- [2] ÇEKIM B., ERKUŞ-DUMAN E. Extended Jacobi functions via Riemann-liouville fractional derivative. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2013**, article ID 350182, (2013), 1–7; DOI: 10.1155/2013/350182.
- [3] DOHA E.H., BHRAWY A.H., EZZ-ELDIEN S.S. A new Jacobi operational matrix: An application for solving fractional differential equations. *Applied Mathematical Modeling*, **36**, Issue 10 (2012), 4931–4943.
- [4] HARDY G.H. Divergent series. *Oxford University Press, Ely House, London W.*, 1949.
- [5] HARDY G.H., LITTLEWOOD J.E. Some properties of fractional integrals I. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **27**, Issue 1 (1928), 565–606.
- [6] BANERJI P.K., CHOUDHARY S. On the fractional calculus of a general class of polynomials. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **27**, No.7 (1996), 675–679.
- [7] KARAPETYANTS N.K., RUBIN B.S. Operators of fractional integration in spaces with a weight (in Russian). *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR, Ser. Mat.*, **19**, No.1 (1984), 31–43.
- [8] KARAPETYANTS N.K., RUBIN B.S. Radial Riesz potential on the disk and the fractional integration operators. *Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, **25**, No.2 (1982), 522–525.
- [9] KAZEM S., ABBASBANDY S., KUMAR S. Fractional-order Legendre functions for solving fractional-order differential equations. *Applied Mathematical Modeling*, **37** (2013), 5498–5510.
- [10] KUKUSHKIN M.V. Riemann-Liouville operator in weighted L_p spaces via the Jacobi series expansion. *Axioms*, **8**, No 2:75 (2019), 1–23; <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms8020075>.
- [11] MARCINKIEWICZ J., ZYGMUND A. Some theorems on orthogonal systems. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **28**, No.1 (1937), 309–335.
- [12] MUCKENHOUT B. Mean Convergence of Jacobi Series. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **23**, No.2 (Nov., 1969), 306–310.
- [13] NEWMAN J., RUDIN W. Mean convergence of orthogonal series. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3**, No.2 (1952), 219–222.
- [14] POLLARD H. The mean convergence of orthogonal series I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **62**, No.3 (1947), 387–403.

- [15] POLLARD H. The mean convergence of orthogonal series II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **63**, No.2 (1948), 355–367.
- [16] POLLARD H. The mean convergence of orthogonal series III. *Duke Math. J.*, **16**, No.1 (1949), 189–191.
- [17] RUBIN B.S. Fractional integrals in Hölder spaces with, and operators of potential type (in Russian). *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR, Ser. Mat.*, **9**, No.4 (1974), 308–324.
- [18] RUBIN B.S. The fractional integrals and Riesz potentials with radial density in the spaces with power weight (in Russian). *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR, Ser. Mat.*, **21**, No.5 (1986), 488–503.
- [19] RUBIN B.S. One-dimensional representation, inversion and certain properties of the Riesz potentials of radial functions. *Mathematical Notes*, **34**, No.4 (1983), 521–533.
- [20] SAMKO S.G., KILBAS A.A., MARICHEV O.I. Fractional integrals and derivatives: theory and applications. *Switzerland; Philadelphia, Pa., USA: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers*, 1993.
- [21] SAMKO S.G., MURDAEV KH.M. Weighted Zigmund estimates for fractional differentiation and integration, and their applications. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **180** (1989), 233–235.
- [22] SAMKO S.G., VAKULOV B.G. On equivalent norms in fractional order function spaces of continuous functions on the unit sphere. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **4**, No.3 (2000), 401–433.
- [23] SAADATMANDI A., DEGHAN M. A new operational matrix for solving fractional-order differential equations. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **59**, Issue 3 (2010), 1326–1336.
- [24] CHEN SH., SHEN J., WANG LI. Generalized Jacobi functions and their applications to fractional differential equations. *Mathematics of computation*, (2015), 1–35; DOI:10.1090/mcom3035.
- [25] VAKULOV B.G., SAMKO N. Spherical fractional and hypersingular integrals of variable order in generalized Hölder spaces with variable characteristic. *Math. Nachr.*, **284**, Issue 2-3 (2011), 355–369.
- [26] LI X., CHEN C. Inequalities for the gamma function. *Journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics*, **8**, Issue 1(28) (2007), 1–3.