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Abstract

We explain how Gauss integrals over ensemble of complex matrices with source matrices generate Hurwitz numbers of the most general type, namely, Hurwitz number with arbitrary orientable or non-orientable base surface and arbitrary profiles at branch points. We use the Feynman diagram approach. The connections with topological theories and also with certain classical and quantum integrable models in particular with Witten’s description of two-dimensional gauge theory are shown.
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1 Introduction

There is a lot of literature on Hurwitz numbers, on the connections of Hurwitz numbers with topological field theories [19], [20], [77], and with integrable systems [82], [83], [34], [71], [70], [97], [6], [32], [41], [79], [38], [80], (see reviews in [54], [40]), and also on relations of Hurwitz numbers to matrix models [66], [4], [102], [33], [37], [78], [14], [90], [91]. The papers most closely related to this work are [13] and [92]. Here, we consider three tasks. The first is to present models that allow the generation of Hurwitz numbers with arbitrary given sets of profiles at isolated points that describe the enumeration of non-isomorphic covering maps of any closed surface. The second is to connect such models with topological field theories. Finally, we look at relations between Hurwitz numbers and quantum integrable systems. Such a relation was noticed by Dubrovin in connection with the quantum dispersionless KdV equation. The second connection with integrable systems is that correlation functions in two-dimensional quantum gauge theory (2D Yang-Mills theory), defined on a closed orientable or non-orientable surface found by E.Witten [99], can also be considered as generating functions for Hurwitz numbers.

In Section 2 we give necessary definitions and present known facts about Hurwitz numbers, topological field theories and relations with representations of symmetric group. We collect the necessary consequences of axioms of the related topological field theory in the form of Proposition 1. The notion of independent Ginibre ensembles is explained.

Section 3.1 is central. There, in the case of orientable surfaces, we present another way of determining the Hurwitz numbers, namely, as an enumeration of the number of possible ways to glue surfaces from sets of polygons. Polygons are constructed from basic ones using Young diagrams assigned to basic polygons. Gluing the basic polygons leads to the formation of a base surface, and gluing the polygons built from the base ones using Young diagrams leads to the formation of a covering surface. The enumeration of
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possible ways of gluing is determined using Wick’s rule, applicable to Gaussian integrals. Note that the Wick rule is widely used by physicists to interpret various matrix integrals. It was first used by t’Hooft [69] for QCD matrix models, and then it was successfully used for various problems in physics and mathematical physics, see [45], [16], [52], [11] and many others. For our purpose, we need a model of independent Ginibre ensembles with two sets of matrices: a set that we call the set of random matrices and a set, which we call the set of source matrices. The last one is needed to get specific Hurwitz numbers, see Theorem [3]. Let us note, that Ginibre ensembles are popular models to study problems in quantum chaos [29] and information transfer problems [3].

To obtain Hurwitz numbers in case of non-orientable surface, we modify the measure of integration, see Theorem [4] in Subsection [3.2] that is a way to use axioms of Hurwitz topological field theory for the proof. In terms of matrix integrals the axioms of the topological field theory are manifested when the measure of integration is modified using special tau functions. The tau function is the central object in the theory of classical integrable models.

In Section [4] the Hurwitz numbers are related to specific quantum solvable models of two different types, considered respectively in subsections [4.1] and [4.2]. In Subsection [4.1] we recall Dubrovin’s observation that completed cycles (which were introduced into the theory of Hurwitz numbers by Okounkov in [83]) turn out to be eigenvalues of quantum Hamiltonian operators, which are the Hamiltonians of “higher” quantum dispersionless KdV equations. We study this relation using Jucys-Murphy elements and a Toda lattice analogue of the dispersionless KdV equations. We consider the action of such a Toda lattice Hamiltonian on our matrix models. This action generates the additional dependence of Hurwitz numbers on the completed cycles introduced by Okounkov [84]. Next, we select all such integrals that can be equated to tau functions, see Propositions [5] and [6] (such tau functions describe the lattice of solitons in classical integrable systems).

Subsection [4.2] shows that the partition function of the two-dimensional quantum gauge theory with fixed holonomy around marked points, presented by Witten in [100] can be considered as integral over complex matrices with sources, and this partition function also generates Hurwitz numbers. In this case, we consider the integrands that are tau-functions of a special family found in [58], [87].

2 Definitions and a review of known results

2.1 Definition of Hurwitz Numbers.

Hurwitz number is a weighted number of branched coverings of a surface with a prescribed topological type of critical values. Hurwitz numbers of oriented surfaces without boundaries were introduced by Hurwitz at the end of the 19th century. Later it turned out that they are closely related to the module spaces of Riemann surfaces [21], to the integrable systems [82], modern models of mathematical physics [matrix models], and closed topological field theories [19]. In this paper we will consider only the Hurwitz numbers over compact surfaces without boundary. The definition and important properties of Hurwitz numbers over arbitrary compact (possibly with boundary) surfaces were suggested in [10].

Clarify the definition. Consider a branched covering \( \varphi : P \to \Omega \) of degree \( d \) over a compact surface without boundary. In the neighborhood of each point \( z \in P \), the map \( \varphi \) is topologically equivalent to the complex map \( u \mapsto w^d \) in the neighborhood of \( u = 0 \in \mathbb{C} \). The number \( p(z) = p(z) \) is called degree of the covering \( \varphi \) at the point \( z \). The point \( z \in P \) is called branch point or critical point if \( p(z) \neq 1 \). There are only a finite number of critical points. The images \( \varphi(z) \) of any critical point is called critical value.

Let us associate with a point \( s \in \Omega \) all points \( z_1, \ldots, z_\ell \in P \) such that \( \varphi(z_i) = s \). Let \( p_1, \ldots, p_\ell \) be the degrees of the map \( \varphi \) at these points. Their sum \( d = p_1 + \cdots + p_\ell \) is equal to the degree \( d \) of \( \varphi \). Thus, to each point \( s \in \Omega \) there corresponds a partition \( d = p_1 + \cdots + p_\ell \) of the number \( d \). By ordering the degrees \( p_1 \geq \cdots \geq p_\ell > 0 \) at each point \( s \in \Omega \), we can introduce the Young diagram \( \Delta_s = [p_1, \ldots, p_\ell] \) of degree \( d \) with \( \ell = \ell(\Delta_s) \) number of lines of length \( p_1, \ldots, p_\ell \). The Young diagram \( \Delta_s \) is called topological type of the value \( s \). The value of \( s \) is critical if not all \( p_i \) are equal to 1.

Let us note that the Euler characteristics \( E(P) \) and \( E(\Omega) \) of the surfaces \( P \) and \( \Omega \) are related by the Riemann-Hurwitz relation:

\[
E(P) = E(\Omega)d + \sum_{z \in P} (p(z) - 1). 
\] (1)
or, the same

\[ E(P) = E(\Omega)d + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} (\ell(\Delta^i) - d). \]  

(2)

where \( s_1, \ldots, s_\nu \) are critical values.

An equivalence between coverings \( \varphi_1 : P_1 \to \Omega \) and \( \varphi_2 : P_2 \to \Omega \) is called a homeomorphism \( F : P_1 \to P_2 \) such that \( \varphi_1 = \varphi_2F \). Coverings are considered equivalent, if there is an equivalence between them. The equivalence of a covering with yourself is called an automorphism of the covering. Automorphisms of the covering \( \varphi \) form a group \( \text{Aut}(\varphi) \) of a finite order \( |\text{Aut}(\varphi)| \). Equivalent coverings have isomorphic groups of automorphisms.

Fix now points of \( s_1, \ldots, s_\nu \in \Omega \) and Young diagrams \( \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu \) of degree \( d \). Consider the set \( \Phi \) of all equivalence classes of coverings for which \( s_1, \ldots, s_\nu \) are the set of all critical values, and \( \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu \) are topological types of these critical values. Further, unless otherwise stated, we consider that the surface \( \Omega \) is connected

**Hurwitz number** is the number

\[ H_{e(\Omega)}^d(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu) = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\varphi)|}. \]  

(3)

It is easy to prove that the Hurwitz number is independent of the positions of the points \( s_1, \ldots, s_\nu \) on \( \Omega \). It depends only on the Young diagrams of \( \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu \) and the Euler characteristic \( e = e(\Omega) \).

### 2.2 Hurwitz Numbers and topological field theory.

The notion of closed topological field theory was proposed by M. Atiyah in [15]. In this case, we consider oriented closed surfaces without boundary. We also fix a finite-dimensional vector space \( A \) with a basis \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N \). Consider now an arbitrary set of points \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_\nu \in \Omega \) and place at them the vectors \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\nu \in A \)
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A closed topological field theory consists of matching of the data described above with the number \( <a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\nu >_\Omega \), which is called correlator. We assume that the numbers \( <a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\nu >_\Omega \) are invariant with respect to any autohomeomorphism of the surface. Moreover, we assume that correlators \( <a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\nu >_\Omega \) generate a system of polylinear forms on \( A \), satisfying an axiom of nondegeneracy and cutting axioms:

**Axiom of Nondegeneracy** means that the bilinear form \( <a_1, a_2 >_\Omega \) is nondegenerate. Denote by \( F_A^{a_i, a_j} \) the inverse matrix to \( (<\alpha_i, \alpha_j >_\Omega)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \).

**Axioms of cutting** describes the evolution of correlators \( <a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\nu >_\Omega \) as the result of the cutting the surface along a contour \( \gamma \subset \Omega \) with the subsequent contraction of each boundary contour to a point. Two possible topological types of contours give two axioms of cutting. If \( \gamma \) splits the surface \( \Omega \) into 2 surfaces \( \Omega' \) and \( \Omega'' \) (Figure 2).
then
\[
< a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f >_\Omega = \sum_{i,j} < a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, \alpha_i, \alpha_j >_\Omega' F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A < a_k+1, a_{k+2}, \ldots, a_f >_{\Omega''}.
\] (4)

If \(\gamma\) does not split \(\Omega\) (figure 3), then
\[
< a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f >_\Omega = \sum_{i,j} < a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f, \alpha_i, \alpha_j >_{\Omega'} F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A.
\] (5)

The first consequence of the axioms of topological field theory is algebra structure on \(A\). Namely, multiplication is defined by
\[
< a_1 a_2, a_3 >_{S^2} = < a_1, a_2, a_3 >_{S^2}.\]
Thus, the structure constants for this algebra in the basis \(\{\alpha_i\}\) are equal
\[
c^{k}_{ij} = \sum_{s} < \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_s >_{S^2} F^\alpha_s, \alpha_k A.
\]

The axiom of the cutting gives (Figure 4)
Consequently \[ \sum_{i,j} \langle a_1, a_2, \alpha_i \rangle > S^2 F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A \langle a_j, a_3, a_4 \rangle > S^2 = \]
\[ = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle > S^2 = \]
\[ \sum_{i,j} \langle a_2, a_3, \alpha_i \rangle > S^2 F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A \langle a_j, a_4, a_1 \rangle > S^2 . \]

Consequently \[ \sum_{i,j} e_{ik}c_{jk} = \sum_{i} e_{ik}c_{ik}, \] that is, \( A \) is an associative algebra. Vector \( \sum_{i} \langle \alpha_i \rangle > S^2 F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A a_j \) is the unit of algebra \( A \). The linear form \( l_A(a) = < a > S^2 \) generates non-degenerate invariant bilinear form \( (a_1, a_2)_A = l(a_1 a_2) = < a_1, a_2 > S^2 \). Topological invariance makes all marked points \( p_i \) equivalent and, therefore, \( A \) is the commutative algebra.

Thus, \( A \) is the commutative Frobenius algebra \([26]\), that is, the algebra with the unit and the invariant nondegenerate scalar product generated by the linear functional \( l_A \). We will call such pairs \((A, l_A)\) commutative Frobenius pairs. Moreover, the described construction generates the functor \( F \) from the category of closed topological field theories to the category of commutative Frobenius pairs.

**Theorem 1.** \([20]\) The functor \( F \) is the equivalence between the category of closed topological field theories and the category of commutative Frobenius pairs.

Theorem 1. \( [20] \) The functor \( F \) is the equivalence between the category of closed topological field theories and the category of commutative Frobenius pairs.

The structure of the Frobenius pair and the cutting axiom give the explicit formula for correlators:

\[ < a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f > \Omega = l_A(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_f (K_A)^g) = < a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f, (K_A)^g > S^2, \]

where \( K_A = \sum_{i,j} F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A \alpha_i \alpha_j \) and \( g \) is the genus of the surface \( \Omega \).

An extension of topological field theories to non-orientable surfaces was proposed in \([10]\). At the present paper, we consider surfaces without boundaries, and we will call such theories closed Klein topological field theory. Closed Klein topological theory is determined by the same scheme as the closed one but here the correlator \( < a_1, \ldots, a_f > \Omega \) is defined for both orientable and non-orientable surfaces \( \Omega \). In addition, each point of \( p_i \) is supplied with the orientation of its small neighborhood, and the algebra \( A \) is supplied with the involution \( \ast : A \to A \). Besides, we assume that the change in the orientation of the neighborhood of the point \( p_i \) changes the element of the algebra \( a \) placed at \( p_i \) to \( a^* = \ast(a) \).

For non-orientable surfaces, in addition to the above-described cuts, there are 2 more types of cuts. The type depends on whether the contour is divided into one or two contours after the cut. In the first case, the cut is called the Möbius cut, and in the second the Klein cut \((10)\).

Gluing along the Klein cut transforms correlators by the same rule as for non-dividing contours of closed topological field theory:

\[ < a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f > \Omega = \sum_{i,j} < a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f, \alpha_i, \alpha_j > \Omega' F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A. \tag{6} \]

Gluing along the Möbius cut transforms correlators according to the rule

\[ < a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_f > \Omega = \sum_{a_i} < a_1, \ldots, a_i, a_i > \Omega' D(a_i), \tag{7} \]

where the linear functional \( D(a) = < a > S^2 \): \( A \to K \) is defined by the Klein topological field theory for the real projective plane.

Denote by \( U \) the element of algebra conjugate with respect to the (defined above) metric on \( A \) to the linear functional \( D(a) \). Then it turns out that the quadruple \( (A, l_A, U, \ast) \) satisfies the following properties:

1) \((A, l_A)\) is the commutative Frobenius pair;
2) the involution \( \ast : A \to A \) generates an automorphism of algebra;
3) \( l_A(a^*) = l_A(a) \);
4) \( U^2 = F^{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}_A \alpha_i \alpha_j \).

Figure 4:
Theorem 2. [10] The functor $F$ is extended to the category of closed Klein topological field theories and defines equivalence between the category of closed Klein topological field theories and quadruples $(A, I_A, U, *)$, with properties 1) - 4). Moreover, $< a_1, a_2, ..., a_r >_\Omega = I_A(a_1a_2...a_r)^{2 - \epsilon(\Omega)}$, where $\epsilon(\Omega)$ is the Euler characteristic of the surface $\Omega$.

The closed Klein topological field theory can be extended to the Klein topological field theory, which includes surfaces with a boundary [10]. Its algebraic description is given by a more complex algebraic structure, is called in [7,8] as equipped Cardy-Frobenius algebra. Moreover in [10] is proved, that category of the Klein topological field theories is equivalent to the category of the equipped Cardy-Frobenius algebras. This algebraic structure naturally arises also in the representation theory of finite groups [60]. Moreover, Klein topological field theory can be continued on foams arising in string theory and algebraic geometry [73, 68, 18, 31].

Following the pattern of Klein topological field theory, we can construct a more general topological field theories with values in functors [74]. For some special functor, this gives the Kontsevich-Manin cohomological field theory and Gromov-Witten invariants [57]. A cohomological field theory is equivalent a flat family of closed topological field theories, forming a Frobenius-Dubrovin manifold [21, 62]. Dubrovin discovered that these manifolds play an important role in various branches of mathematics. Moreover, Frobenius-Dubrovin manifolds mutually correspond to quasi-homogeneous solutions of the WDVV hierarchy of differential equations, which appear in theory of quantum gravity.

We now return to the Hurwitz numbers. Dijkgraaf [19] noticed that the Hurwitz numbers for coverings degree $d$ generate closed topological field theory with the vector space $Y_d$ generated by Young diagrams $\{\Delta\}$ of degree $d$. Dijkgraaf considered correlators

$$< \Delta^1, ..., \Delta^r >_\Omega = H_{\epsilon(\Omega)}^d(\Delta^1, ..., \Delta^r). \tag{8}$$

and proved, that they satisfy all axioms of closed topological field theory. If we continue this definition of correlators on non-orientable surfaces, we get closed Klein topological a field theory ([10]). In this case the operator $*$ is trivial.

The axioms of topological field theory for Hurwitz correlates are easily proved geometrically. Indeed, for each branched cover $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, the cut $\gamma$ of the surface $\Omega$ generates the cut $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^{-1}(\gamma)$ of the surface $\tilde{\Omega}$. Thus, the set of covers $\varphi'$ corresponds to the set of covers $\varphi : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega'$, where $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \gamma$ and $\tilde{\Omega}' = \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}$. Moreover, all coverings $\varphi$ are obtained from the coverings $\varphi'$ with critical values of the same type by gluing $\tilde{\Omega}'$ to the boundary contours.

This gives the relationship between the number of covers over $\Omega$ and $\Omega'$. Their meaning is that the number of coverings over surface $\Omega$ is equal of the number of coverings over surface $\Omega'$ and different gluing between cutting contours. Auto contracting each boundary contour into a point, we obtain the relations between the Hurwitz numbers. These relations coincide the axioms of topological field theory.

Further, speaking about correlators, we will always have in mind the correlators $< * , * , ..., * >_\Omega$ of the Hurwitz topological field theory. Put $< * , * , ..., * > = < * , * >_{S^2}$. The linear functional $< \Delta >$ on $Y_d$ is defined by values on Young diagrams $\Delta$, that are equal $\frac{1}{\Delta}$ for $\Delta = [1, 1, ..., 1]$ and 0 for $\Delta \neq [1, 1, ..., 1]$. In next sections we prove, that this Hurwitz topological field theory generate a Frobenius algebra that is the center $Z(C[S_d])$ of the group algebra $C[S_d]$ of the symmetric group $S_d$ and $U = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\Delta}$.

The definition of Hurwitz numbers can also be expanded on the surface with a boundary, in such a way that they will generate Klein topological field theory [7,10]. The relationship of these new Hurwitz numbers with algebraic geometry and mathematical physics are not yet sufficiently explained (some advances in this direction are contained in [55, 77]).

### 2.3 Hurwitz numbers and symmetric group.

Describe now Hurwitz numbers $H^d(\Delta^1, ..., \Delta^r)$ in terms of the center $ZC[S_d]$ of the group algebra $C[S_d]$ of the symmetric group $S_d$. The action of a permutation $\sigma \in S_d$ on a set $T$ of $d$ elements splits $T$ into $\ell$ orbits consisting of $\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_\ell$ elements, where $\Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_\ell = d$. The Youn diagram $[\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_\ell]$ we will call a cyclic type of $\sigma$. All permutations of a cyclic type $\Delta$ form a conjugate class $C_\Delta \subset S_d$. Denote
by $|C_\Delta|$ the number of elements in $C_\Delta$. The sum $\mathfrak{c}_\Delta$ of elements of the conjugate class $C_\Delta$ belongs to the center of the algebra $ZC[S_d]$. Moreover, the sums $\mathfrak{c}_\Delta$ generate the vector space $ZC[S_d]$.

The correspondence $\Delta \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{c}_\Delta$ gives a isomorphism between vector spaces $Y_d$ and $ZC[S_d]$. It transfers the structure of algebra to $Y_d$. We will keep it in mind in this section, speaking about multiplication on $Y_d$.

Describe now the Hurwitz number $H^d_\nu(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu)$ of the sphere $S^2$ in terms of the algebra $ZC[S_d]$. Consider different points $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\nu\}$ of $S^2$ and $p \in S^2 \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_\nu\}$. Consider the standard generators of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_\nu\}, p)$. They are represented by simple closed pairwise disjoint contours $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\nu$ with a beginning and an end in $p$, which bypass the points $p_1, \ldots, p_\nu$ and $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_\nu = 1$.

Consider now the covering $\varphi : \Omega \to S^2$ of the type $(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu)$ with critical values $p_1 \ldots p_\nu$. The complete preimage of $\varphi^{-1}(p)$ consists of $d$ points $q_1, \ldots, q_d$. A going around the contour $\gamma_i$ get a permutation $\sigma_i \in S_d$ of $q_1, \ldots, q_d$. The conjugacy class of $\sigma_i$ is described by a Young diagram $\Delta_i$. Moreover, the product $\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_\nu$ gives an identical permutation. Thus, a covering of a sphere of type $(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu)$ generates an element of the set

$$M = M(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu) = \{(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu) \in (S_d)^\nu | \sigma_i \in \Delta_i (i = 1, \ldots, \nu); \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_\nu = 1\}.$$ 

Moreover, the equivalent coverings generate elements of $M$ that conjugated by some permutation $\sigma \in S_d$.

Construct now the inverse correspondence, from conjugation classes of $M(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu)$ to equivalent classes of coverings $\varphi : \tilde{\Omega} \to S^2$ of the type $(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu)$ with critical values $p_1 \ldots p_\nu$. Cuts $r_i \subset S^2$ between points $p$ and $p_i$ inside the contour $\gamma_i$ generate a cut sphere $\tilde{S} = S^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^d r_i$.

Correspond now the covering which corresponds to $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu) \in M$. For this we consider $d$ copies of the cut sphere $\tilde{S}$, number them, and glue its boundaries according to the permutations $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu$. This gives a compact surface $P$. Moreover, the correspondences between the copies of $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{S}$ generate the covering $\varphi : P \to S^2$, of type $(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu)$. Conjugated by $\sigma \in S_d$ of the set $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu)$ generate equivalent covering.

Thus

$$H^d_\nu(S^2)(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu) = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\varphi)|} = \sum_{(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu) \in \tilde{M}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu)|},$$

where $\tilde{M}$ is the set of conjugated classes of $M$ by $S_d$ and $\text{Aut}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu)$ is the stabilizer of $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu)$ by these conjugations.

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu) \in \tilde{M}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu)|} = \frac{1}{d!} |M(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\nu)| = < \Delta^1 \ldots \Delta^\nu > .$$

Thus,

$$H^d_\nu(S^2)(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu) = < \Delta^1 \ldots \Delta^\nu > .$$

For arbitrary closed connected surface $\Omega$ this relation turns into

$$H^d_\nu(\Omega)(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu) = < \Delta^1 \ldots \Delta^\nu U^{2-\nu(\Omega)} > .$$

where $U = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma^2$.

A proof for arbitrary $\Omega$ is practically the same that for $\Omega = S^2$. It needed only change the relation $\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_\nu = 1$ to relations for standard generators in $\pi_1(\Omega, p)$. For orientable $\Omega$ this is $[a_1, b_1] \ldots [a_\nu, b_\nu] \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_\nu = 1$; for non-orientable $\Omega$ this is $c_1^2 \ldots c_\nu^2 \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_\nu = 1$.

In particular

$$H^d_\nu(\mathbb{R}P^2)(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^\nu) = < \Delta^1 \ldots \Delta^\nu U > .$$
2.4 Hurwitz numbers and representation theory.

Formula (11) permits to describe Hurwitz numbers in term of the characters of symmetric groups. The corresponding formula is

\[ H^d_e(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^f) = (d!)^{-e} |C_{\Delta^1}| \ldots |C_{\Delta^f}| \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(\Delta^1) \ldots \chi(\Delta^f)}{\chi(1)^{d-e}}. \]  

(12)

where summation is carried out over all characters of irreducible representations of the group \( S_d \) and \( |C_\Delta| \) is the cardinality of the set of elements \( S_d \) of cyclic type \( \Delta \).

The first versions of the formula in the language of symmetric groups appeared in the works of Frobenius and Schur [27,28]. Geometric iteration relating to arbitrary surfaces turns appeared in [63,64]. We now give a sketch of the proof of formula (12).

Any partition \( \lambda \) of degree \( d \) generate a irreducible representation of \( S_d \) of dimension \( \dim \lambda \). Let \( \chi(\lambda) \) be the character of this representation. Then \( \dim \lambda = \chi_\lambda(C[1, \ldots, 1]) \). For any Young diagram \( \Delta \) we consider a normalized character

\[ \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) := \frac{|C_\Delta|}{\dim \lambda} \chi_\lambda(\Delta). \]  

(13)

The known orthogonality relations for the characters are \[ \sum_{\lambda} \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{d!} \right)^2 \varphi_\lambda(\mu) \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) = \frac{\delta_{\Delta,\mu}}{z_\Delta} \]  

(14) and

\[ \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{d!} \right)^2 \sum_{\Delta} z_\Delta \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) \varphi_\lambda(\mu) = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} \]  

(15)

where \( d = |\Delta| = |\lambda| \) and

\[ z_\Delta = \prod_i m_i! r_i^{m_i} = \frac{d!}{|C_\Delta|} \]  

(16) is the order of the automorphism group of the Young diagram \( \Delta \). (In this formula \( m_i \) is the number of lines of length \( i \) in \( \Delta \).)

Elements

\[ \delta_\lambda = \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{d!} \right)^2 \sum_{\Delta} z_\Delta \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) \mathfrak{c}_\Delta \]  

(17)

form the basis of idempotent of \( \mathbb{Z}[S_d] \), that is

\[ \delta_\lambda \delta_\mu = 0, \quad \mu \neq \lambda, \quad \delta_\lambda^2 = \delta_\lambda \]  

(18)

Moreover

\[ \mathfrak{c}_\Delta = \sum_\lambda \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) \delta_\lambda \]  

(19)

and therefore

\[ \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^1} \cdot \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^2} = \sum_\lambda \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^1) \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^2) \delta_\lambda = \sum_{\Delta} H^d_2(\Delta^1, \Delta^2, \Delta) z_\Delta \mathfrak{c}_\Delta \]  

(20)

Moreover

\[ < \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^1} \ldots \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^r} U^{2-k} > = \sum_\lambda \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^1) \ldots \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^r) < \delta_\lambda U^{2-k} > \]  

(21)

and

\[ < \delta_\lambda U^{2-k} > = \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{|\lambda|!} \right)^k \]  

(22)

Therefore

\[ H^d_e(\Sigma)(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^f) = < \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^1} \ldots \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^r} >_\Sigma = < \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^1} \ldots \mathfrak{c}_{\Delta^r} U^{2-k(\Sigma)} > \]  

(23)
\[
\sum_{\lambda} \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^1) \cdots \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^r) \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{|\lambda|^r} \right)^E
\]

that is equivalent to \(\text{(12)}\).

From \(\text{(23)}\) and \(\text{(14)}\) we get

\[
H_2(\Delta^1, \Delta) = \frac{\delta_{\Delta^1, \Delta}}{z_\Delta}
\]

and for symmetric group \(A = S_d\) and vectors \(\Delta^1, \Delta\) we obtain

\[
F_A^{\Delta^1, \Delta} = z_\Delta \delta_{\Delta^1, \Delta}
\]

This formula has a simple geometric explanation in the framework of Hurwitz topological field theory. Quadratic form \(F_A^{\Delta, \Delta}\) is used in the cutting axiom for gluing two parts of a surface. It is diagonal form \(kI\), where \(k\) is inverse to the number of all admissible ways of gluing between the boundaries of the two parts. Moreover, gluing along a contour on which the covering has the same degree is admissible. Therefore \(k = z_\Delta\) from \(\text{(16)}\). According to our definitions, Hurwitz topological field theory generates a Frobenius algebra with structure constants \(\sum_\Delta H_2(\Delta^1, \Delta^2, \Delta) F_A^{\Delta^3, \Delta^3}\) in basis Young diagrams \(\{\Delta\}\). According to \(\text{(9)}\), this structure constants coincide with structure constants of \(Z(C[S_d])\) in basis \(\mathcal{C}_\Delta\). The correspondence \(\Delta \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Delta\) generate isomorphism between the Frobenius algebra of Hurwitz topological field theory and the centre of group algebra of symmetric group. (see \(\text{[10]}\) for details).

For the number \(D(\Delta)\) describing Möbius cut \(\text{(7)}\), we get

\[
D(\Delta) = z_\Delta H_1(\Delta)
\]

where \(H_1(\Delta)\) is the Hurwitz number counting the covering of the real projective plane \(\mathbb{RP}^2\) with one critical value and the ramification profile \(\Delta\).

Formulas \(\text{(23)}\) and \(\text{(15)}\) allow us to give an independent proof of the fact that Hurwitz numbers satisfy the axioms of topological field theory:

**Proposition 1.** Let us define numbers \(H_{\kappa(\Sigma)}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^r)\) by \(\text{(23)}\). Consider the set of partitions \(\Delta^i, i = 1, \ldots, F_1 + F_2\) of the same weight \(d\). We have the handle cut relation (Fig 3)

\[
H_{\kappa-2}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^r) = \sum_{|\Delta| = d} H_{\kappa}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^r, \Delta) z_\Delta
\]

\[
= \sum_{|\Delta| = d} \frac{H_{\kappa}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^r, \Delta, \Delta)}{H_2(\Delta, \Delta)}.
\]

(that is the manifestation of \(\text{(4)}\) ) and surface cut relation (Fig 3)

\[
H_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2-2}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^{f_1+f_2}) = \sum_{|\Delta| = d} H_{\kappa_1}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^{f_1}, \Delta) z_\Delta H_{\kappa_2}(\Delta, \Delta^{f_1+1}, \ldots, \Delta^{f_1+f_2})
\]

\[
= \sum_{|\Delta| = d} \frac{H_{\kappa_1}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^{f_1}, \Delta) H_{\kappa_2}(\Delta, \Delta^{f_1+1}, \ldots, \Delta^{f_1+f_2})}{H_2(\Delta, \Delta)}.
\]

(that is can be either cuts given by \(\text{(4)}\) and \(\text{(6)}\), or the Möbius cut \(\text{(7)}\):

\[
H_{\kappa-1}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^r) = \sum_{\Delta} H_{\kappa}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^r, \Delta) D(\Delta)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\Delta} \frac{H_{\kappa}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^r, \Delta) H_1(\Delta)}{H_2(\Delta, \Delta)},
\]

where \(\frac{H_1(\Delta)}{H_2(\Delta, \Delta)} = D(\Delta)\) are rational numbers:

\[
D(\Delta) = z_\Delta H_1(\Delta) = \sum_{|\lambda| = |\Delta|} \chi_\lambda(\mathcal{C}_\Delta)
\]

see \(\text{[14]}\).
We get that the Hurwitz numbers of the projective plane may be obtained from the Hurwitz numbers of the Riemann sphere, while the Hurwitz numbers of the torus and the Klein bottle may be obtained from the Hurwitz numbers of the projective plane.

**Jucys-Murphy elements.** Jucys-Murphy elements serve to relate Hurwitz numbers with classical and also with the simplest quantum integrable systems (see Subsection 4.1 below). First applications of Jucys-Murphy elements to the description of integrable systems (namely, to the so-called KP and to the so-called TL hierarchies of integrable equations) were found in [32], [37] and in most clarified way in [40].

Let us consider the sums of transpositions

\[ J_m = (1, m) + (2, m) + \cdots + (m - 1, m), \quad m = 2, \ldots, d \]

(one implies \( J_1 = 0 \)) which are known as Jucys-Murphy elements of the group algebra \( \mathbb{C}[S_d] \) introduced in [46], [72]. Jucys-Murphy elements do not belong to \( \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{C}[S_d] \), however they pairwise commute and generate the maximal abelian subalgebra of \( \mathbb{C}[S_d] \) (Gelfand-Tseitlin algebra). Moreover [84], any symmetric function of \( J_1, \ldots, J_d \) belongs to \( \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{C}[S_d] \) and

\[ G(J_1, \ldots, J_d) \delta_\lambda = G(c_1, \ldots, c_d) \delta_\lambda \quad (30) \]

where \( G \) is a symmetric function of the arguments, and \( c_1, \ldots, c_d \) is the set of the contents of all \( d \) nodes of \( \lambda \). The content of the node of Young diagram \( \lambda \) with coordinates \((i, j)\) is defined as \( j - i \). In other words, we get

\[ G(J_1, \ldots, J_d) = \sum_\lambda G(c_1(\lambda), \ldots, c_d(\lambda)) \delta_\lambda = \sum_\Delta G^*(\Delta) \mathcal{E}_\Delta \quad (31) \]

where

\[ G^*(\Delta) = \sum_\lambda \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{d!} \right)^2 \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) G(c_1(\lambda), \ldots, c_d(\lambda)) \]

We are going to explain the following:

A) Integrable hierarchies generate correlators of the following type:

The Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy [81] generates (see Proposition 5)

\[ < G(J_1, \ldots, J_d) \mathcal{E}_\Delta^1 >_{S^2} \quad (32) \]

The two-component version of the KP hierarchy (and the relativistic Toda lattice hierarchy) generates

\[ < G(J_1, \ldots, J_d) \mathcal{E}_\Delta^1 \mathcal{E}_\Delta^2 >_{S^2} \quad (33) \]

The BKP hierarchy [47] generates (see Proposition 6)

\[ < G(J_1, \ldots, J_d) \mathcal{E}_\Delta^1 >_{R^2} \quad (34) \]

Here \( G \) is any (symmetric) function defined by the choice of the solution of the equations of the hierarchies.

B) The insertion of \( G \) can be equivalently described with help of the so-called completed cycles. This link will be discussed (see Lemma 1).

C) The insertion of \( G \) can be obtained as a result of an action of the vertex operators algebra which can be also treated as evolutionary operators for certain simple quantum models. This is related to the additional symmetries of classical integrable systems studied in [85].

Finally, at the end of this subsection, we would like to mention the excellent book [59], which discusses many related topics.

### 2.5 The generating function for Hurwitz numbers.

Important applications of Hurwitz numbers are related to the corresponding generating functions for 1- and 2- Hurwitz numbers. The (disconnected) 1-Hurwitz number \( h_{m, \Delta}^1 \) is the notation for the Hurwitz number \( H_2^d(\Delta, \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m) \), where \( \Gamma_1 = \cdots = \Gamma_m = [2, 1, \ldots, 1] \).
The generating function for the 1-Hurwitz numbers depends on the infinite number of formal variables \( p_1, p_2, \ldots \). We associate the Young diagram \( \Delta \) with rows of length \( \Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_k \) with monomial \( p_\Delta = p_{\Delta_1}, \ldots, p_{\Delta_k} \). The generating function for the 1-Hurwitz numbers is defined as

\[
F^\circ(u|p_1, p_2, \ldots) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{\Delta} \frac{u^m}{m!} h_{m, \Delta}^\circ p_\Delta.
\]

This function has a number of remarkable properties that have been discovered relatively recently. The first is the relationship between the \( u \) variable and the \( p_i \) variables.

\[
\frac{\partial F^\circ}{\partial u} = L^0 F^\circ,
\]  
(35)

where

\[
L^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^\infty \left( (a + b)p_ap_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b}} + abp_{a+b} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right).
\]  
(36)

This relationship was first found in [35] purely combinatorial methods. But it also has a geometric explanation [67], [68]. Consider the covering \( \varphi: \Sigma \to S^2 \) of the type \((\Delta, \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m)\). Let \( q, p \in S^2 \) be the critical points of the covering \( \varphi \) related to the Young diagrams \( \Delta \) and \( \Gamma_m \), respectively. Connect the points \( q \) and \( p \) with a line \( l \) without self-intersections. The preimage of \( \varphi^{-1}(l) \) consists of \( d-1 \) connected components, exactly one of which, say \( \tilde{l} \), contains the critical point \( \tilde{p} \) with the critical value \( p \). The ends of the component \( \tilde{l} \) are the pre-images \( \tilde{q}_1 \) and \( \tilde{q}_2 \) of \( q \).

Now we move the point \( p \) along the line \( l \) in the direction of the point \( q \), respectively, continuously changing the covering \( \varphi \). As a result, we get a covering \( \varphi' \) of the type \((\Delta', \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m)\). Let's see which Young diagram \( \Delta' \) can serve this. Let \( \tilde{q}_1 = \tilde{q}_2 \) and \( c \) be the branching order of the covering \( \varphi \) at this point \( \tilde{q} = \tilde{q}_1 = \tilde{q}_2 \). The orders of the critical points other than \( \tilde{q} \), will not change if the cover \( \varphi \) is deformed into a cover \( \varphi' \). As a result of deformation, the point \( \tilde{q} \) is split into 2 points with branch orders \( a \) and \( b \), where \( a + b = c \). Thus, the monomial \( p_\Delta \) becomes a monomial \( p_ap_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \).

Suppose that the critical points \( \tilde{q}_1 \) and \( \tilde{q}_2 \) do not coincide and the orders of their branching are \( a \) and \( b \), respectively. Then, as before, in the process of deformation of covering \( \varphi \) into a covering \( \varphi' \), the orders of critical points other than \( \tilde{q}_1 \) and \( \tilde{q}_2 \), will not change. As a result of deformation, the points \( \tilde{q}_1 \) and \( \tilde{q}_2 \) will be transferred to one critical point of order \( c = a+b \). Thus, the monomial \( p_\Delta \) becomes a monomial \( p_c \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \). Summation over all possible equivalence classes of the covers with the profile \((\Delta, \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m)\) and all their deformations into covers with all possible profiles \((\Delta', \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_{m-1})\) results in the relation [35].

Differential properties the function \( F^\circ(u|p_1, p_2, \ldots) \) investigated in [5, 6, 67, 68, 73, 74].

We defined the Hurwitz numbers, as a weighted number \( q \) of coverings \( \varphi: P \to \Sigma \) where the surface \( P \) is not necessarily connected. Such Hurwitz numbers are often called disconnected Hurwitz numbers. If in this definition we consider only connected surfaces \( P \), then the resulting number is called connected Hurwitz number. Let us denote \( h_{m, \Delta}^\bullet \) the connected version of 1-Hurwitz number \( h_{m, \Delta}^\circ \). It was proved that its generating function

\[
F^\bullet(u|p_1, p_2, \ldots) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{\Delta} \frac{u^m}{m!} h_{m, \Delta}^\bullet p_\Delta
\]

is a solution to the KP hierarchy. By simple combinatorial methods it is easy to prove that

\[
F^\bullet(u|p_1, p_2, \ldots) = \ln(F^\circ(u|p_1, p_2, \ldots)).
\]

Therefore [35] generates

\[
\frac{\partial F^\bullet}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^\infty \left( (a + b)p_ap_b \frac{\partial F^\bullet}{\partial p_{a+b}} + abp_{a+b} \frac{\partial^2 F^\bullet}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right).
\]  
(37)
2.6 Gaussian integrals over sets of complex matrices

On this subject there is an extensive literature, for instance see [3, 94, 95].

We will consider integrals over $N \times N$ complex matrices $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ where the measure is defined as

$$d\Omega(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = c_N^n \prod_{i=1}^n d\Re(Z_i) d\Im(Z_i) e^{-N\|Z_i\|_F^2}$$

where the integration range is $\mathbb{C}^{N^2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{N^2}$ and where $c_N^n$ is the normalization constant defined via

$$\int d\Omega(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = 1.$$

The set of $n \times N \times N$ complex matrices and the measure (38) is called $n$ independent complex Ginibre ensembles, such ensembles have wide applications in physics and in information transfer theory [1], [2], [3, 94, 95, 11].

The Wick rule. Let us recall the following property of the Gaussian integrals:

From

$$e \int_{\mathcal{C}} z^d \bar{z}^m e^{-N|z|^2} d\bar{z} d\bar{z} = d! \delta_{d,m} N^{-d}$$

($c$ is the normalization constant) it follows that $d!$ that enters the right hand side can be interpreted as the number of ways to select different pairs of $z$ and $\bar{z}$ in the product $z \cdots \bar{z} \cdots \bar{z}$. This funny observation is very useful and is known in physics as the Wick rule. The Wick rule is associated with the interpretations of matrix models in many problems of physics, for example, in the papers on statistical physics [43] quantum gravity [16] and also in [14, 13] which precede our work.

In what further, it will be applied to the product of matrix entries $(Z_i)_{a,b}$ and its complex conjugate $(Z_i)_{a,b}^\dagger$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $a, b = 1, \ldots, N$. Then, the thanks to the measure $d\Omega$, the integral of a monomial in matrix entries either vanishes, or is equal to a power of $N$.

The integrands we will consider in Sections 3.1 are polynomials in entries of matrices $(Z_i A_i)$ and $(\bar{Z}_i A_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (in certain cases integrands can be formal series in polynomials) where matrices $A_i$ and $A_i$ are not necessarily related while each $Z_i$ is Hermitian conjugate $Z_i$.

3 Integrals and Hurwitz numbers

3.1 Geometrical and combinatorial definition of Hurwitz numbers via graphs

Let $\Sigma$ be a connected compact orientable surface without boundary of the Euler characteristic $E$. We fix on $\Sigma$ two nonempty sets of points. The points of the first set $\{c_1, \ldots, c^e\}$ will be called capitals, and the points of the second set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_v\}$ will be called watch towers. To each capital $c_i$ we assign a Young diagram $\Delta_i = [\Delta_{i,1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i,j}]$ with rows $\Delta_{i,1} \geq \Delta_{i,2} \geq \cdots \geq \Delta_{i,j} > 0$ from the set $\mathcal{Y}_d$ of all Young diagrams of weight $d$.

Consider a graph $\Gamma$ with vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_v\}$ on the surface $\Sigma$. We require that the edges of the graph do not intersect in pairs at interior points, and that the complement to the edges disintegrates into connected, simply connected domains $P_j \ni c_j (j = 1, \ldots, \nu)$. (Such a partition always exists, except when $\Sigma$ is a sphere and $\nu = v = 1$.) We denote the number of edges of $\Gamma$ by $n$, the Euler characteristic of $\Sigma$ is $E = \nu + v - n$. We will call the domains $P_j$ basic polygons. The boundary of each basic polygon consists of sides generated by the edges of the graph and vertices generated by the vertices of the graph. Thus, the edge of the graph generates two sides of one or two basic polygons. The vertex of the graph $v$ (the watchtower) generates $k$ vertices of the basic polygons, where $k$ is the number of basic polygons with the vertex $v$. Fix one of vertices $v$ of each basic polygon $P$.

Consider a $d$-listed branched covering of the basic polygon $P_j$ corresponding to the Young diagram $\Delta_j$ with a unique critical value at the point $c_j$. This covering consists of $k^e_j$ $\Delta_j$-listed cyclic covers $\varphi^e_j : P^e_j \to P^j$. The preimages of the sides of the basic polygon $P^j$ are called the sides of the polygon $P^e_j$. The order $|\text{Aut}(\varphi^e_j)|$ of the automorphism group of the covering $\text{Aut}(\varphi^e_j)$ is equal to the order of
the automorphism group of the Young diagram $\Delta^j$ i.e. $|\text{Aut}(\Delta^j)| = m^j(1)! \cdots m^j(\Delta_j^1)! \Delta_j^1 \Delta_j^2 \cdots \Delta_j^{f(j)}$, where $m^j(r)$ is the number of rows of length $r$ in the Young diagram $\Delta^j$.

We divide the sides of the polygons $P_i^j$ into pairs so that the images of the sides of the pair coincide under the action the maps $\varphi^j$ and belong to the closure of only one basic polygon $P^j$ if and only if each side of the pair does not belong to the polygon $P_k^j$ where $k \neq j$. Glue the sides of one pair so that the images of glued points coincide under the action of the covers $\varphi^j$. We call such gluing systems admissible. The number of different ways of gluing on one edge of $\Gamma$ is $d!$. Therefore, the total number of admissible gluing systems equals $(dl)^n$.

Each admissible gluing system $\xi$ generates a branched covering $\varphi(\xi) : \Sigma(\xi) \to \Sigma$. The surface $\Sigma(\xi)$ that is glued from polygons $P_i^j$ is compact, orientable, but possibly not connected. Critical values of covering $\varphi(\xi)$ lie in the set $\{c^1, \ldots, c^p\} \cup \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$, and the topological type of the critical value at point $c^j$ is equal to $\Delta^j$. Topological type $\Delta_k$ at critical values $v_k$ depends on the gluing system. Automorphisms of the coverings $\varphi^j$ translate admissible gluing into admissible ones, preserving the topological type of the glued covers.

Now consider an arbitrary covering $\varphi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$, the complement $\Sigma^0 = \Sigma \setminus \Gamma$ and the preimage $\tilde{\Sigma}^0 = \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma^0)$. Adding the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ implements the admissible gluing system of the surface $\tilde{\Sigma}^0$ to get the surface $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Thus, admissible gluing system allows you to get any branched cover from any equivalence class of coverings of the surface $\Sigma$ with critical values at points $\{c^1, \ldots, c^p\} \cup \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$, of topological type $\Delta^j$ at points $c^j$. Denote by $\Phi^V(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p)$ the set of all admissible coverings of the Young diagrams $\Delta^j$.

The correspondence $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(\xi)$ generates a mapping $\Psi$ of the set $\Xi(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p)$ of all admissible gluing of the sides of the polygons $P_i^j$ on the set $\Phi^V(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p)$. The mapping $\Psi$ is constant on the orbits of the action of the group $\text{Aut} = \prod \text{Aut}(\Delta^j)$. In addition, the kernel of the action of the group $\text{Aut}$ on the set $\Xi(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p)$ coincides with automorphisms of the coverings $\varphi(\xi)$. Thus,

$$\frac{(dl)^n}{\prod_{j} z_{\Delta_i^j}} = \frac{\Xi(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p)}{\text{Aut}} = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi^V(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p)} \frac{1}{\text{Aut}(\varphi)} = \sum_{\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p \in \mathcal{T}_d} H_\Sigma(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p, \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p).$$

In order to find a specific Hurwitz number $H_\Sigma(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p, \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^p)$ we assign $N \times N$ matrices to the sides and corners of the basic polygons. The matrix assigned to the side $l$ of the basic polygon $P$ is denoted by $Z_{l,P}$. Thus, the edge $l$ separating the basic polygons $P', P''$ corresponds to the matrices $Z_{l,P'}$ and $Z_{l,P''}$. The case $P' = P''$ requires a separate discussion. In this case, the matrices $Z_{l,P'}$ and $Z_{l,P''}$ correspond to the sides of the same basic polygon and these sides are identified on the surface $\Sigma$. In all cases, we choose the matrices $Z_{l,P'}$ and $Z_{l,P''}$ Hermite conjugates. We get $n$ pairs of Hermite conjugate complex matrices, this collection we denote $\{Z\}$.

At the vertex of the basic polygon $P$ is the watchtower $v$. Denote by $A^{v,P}$ the matrix assigned to this vertex of the basic polygon. We will call these matrices source matrices. One gets a set of matrices $A^{v,P_1}, \ldots, A^{v,P_k}$ related to vertex $v$, where $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ are basic polygons adjacent to the watchtower $v$. The collection of $2n$ source matrices we denote $\{A\}$.

The matrices $Z_{l_1,P}, \ldots, Z_{l_n,P}$ and $A^{v,P_1}, \ldots, A^{v,P_k}$ corresponds to the basic polygon $P$ with the capital $c$. We assume that the indices of the sides and vertices are ordered counterclockwise, with the vertex $v_i$ lying between sides $l_i$ and $l_{i+1}$. Denote by $M(\{ZA\}) = Z_{l_1,P} A^{v,P_1} \cdots Z_{l_n,P} A^{v,P_k}$ the product of the matrices, we call this product monodromy around capital $c$. Here $\{ZA\}$ denotes the set of all pairwise products $Z_{l_i,P} A^{v,P_i}$, where the matrix $Z_{l_i,P}$ assigned to the side $l_i$ belonging to the country $P$, and the matrix $A^{v,P_i}$ is assigned to the vertex $v_i$, which is the endpoint of the side $l_i$ (remember that the sides are oriented). In what follows we will write $M(c)$ instead of $M(\{ZA\})$ where $c$ is the capital of the polygon $P$.

Consider the Young diagram $\Delta = [\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_l]$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\Delta)$ has the order $|\text{Aut}(\Delta)| = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Delta_i \prod_{j=1}^{d} k_j!$, where $k_j$ is the number of rows of a Young diagram of length $j$. To the capital
We assume that the indices of the basic polygons \( P \) and to the Young diagram \( \Delta = [\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_c] \) we assign the following polynomial in matrix entries

\[
M^\Delta_c = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Delta)|} \text{tr}((M(c))^{\Delta_1}) \cdots \text{tr}((M(c))^{\Delta_c}).
\]

Below \( \{ZA\} \) is the collection of all \( \{ZA\}^P \) related to the set of all polygons \( P_1, \ldots, P_c \). Put

\[
M(\Gamma, \{ZA\}, \{\Delta\}) = \prod_{i=1}^c M^\Delta_i
\]

(39)

Each edge of the graph corresponds to a pair of Hermitian conjugate matrices. Their union belongs to the set \( Z \), consisting of \( n \) pairs of Hermitian conjugate matrices, where \( n \) is the number of edges of the graph \( \Gamma \). The right side of the formula (39) contains \( d \) sets of matrices \( \{Z\} \). Moreover, to each edge \( l \) of the graph \( \Gamma \) corresponds to \( d \) pairs of Hermitian conjugate matrices (\( Z^{l,1}, Z^{l,1}, \ldots, Z^{l,d}, Z^{l,d} \)) \( \in Z^d \). We denote their matrix elements \( Z_{\alpha\beta}, Z_{\alpha\beta}^l \). Put \( U_{\alpha\beta} = Z_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\beta\alpha}^l \).

Now on the set \( Z^d \) we define the measure \( d\Omega \), assuming that the integral of a monomial product of entries of matrices from \( Z \) is equal to \( N^{-d} \) if it is a product of monomials of the form \( U_{l,s,t} \) with respect to all edges of the graph \( \Gamma \). The integral of the remaining monomials is assumed to be 0. (This measure is exactly the mesure introduced in Subsection 2.6.) Put

\[
I(\Gamma, \{A\}, \{\Delta\}) = \int_{Z^d} M(\Gamma, \{ZA\}, \{\Delta\}) d\Omega.
\]

where \( \{A\} \) is the collection of all source matrices and where \( \{\Delta\} \) is the set of Young diagrams \( \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^c \) of the weight \( d \).

We now consider an arbitrary watch tower \( v \) and the matrices \( A^{v,P_1}, \ldots, A^{v,P_c} \) associated with it. We assume that the indices of the basic polygons \( P_i \) correspond to the clockwise rounds around the watchtower \( v \). Denote by \( A_v = A^{v,P_1} \cdots A^{v,P_c} \) the matrix product. We will call this product monodromy around watchtower \( v \).

We associate the Young diagram \( \Delta = [\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_c] \) with a polynomial of products of matrix elements

\[
A^\Delta_v = \text{tr}(A^{\Delta_1}_v) \cdots \text{tr}(A^{\Delta_c}_v)
\]

Theorem 3.

\[
I(\Gamma, \{A\}, \{\Delta\}) = N^{-nd} \sum_{\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^c \in \mathcal{T}_\Delta} A^\Delta_{\Delta^1} \cdots A^\Delta_{\Delta^c} H_{\Sigma}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^c, \tilde{\Delta}^1, \ldots, \tilde{\Delta}^c).
\]

As we can see, \( I(\Gamma, \{A\}, \{\Delta\}) \) depends on the eigenvalues of special products of the original matrices, namely, on the eigenvalues of the watchtower monodromies \( A_{v_1}, \ldots, A_{v_c} \).

Proof. If the integral of the monomial of matrix elements is not equal to 0, then its part, generated by the matrices \( Z \) and \( Z \) is generated by monomials \( U_{l,s,t} = Z_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\beta\alpha}^l \). These monomials disappear after integration and contribute giving the factor \( N^{-nd} \). Grouping the remainder of the monomial by watchtowers, we obtain a monomial polynomial of the form

\[
\prod_{i=1}^c A^\Delta_{\Delta^i},
\]

(40)

where

\[
A^\Delta_{\Delta^i} = \text{tr}(A^{\Delta_1}) \cdots \text{tr}(A^{\Delta_c})
\]

for the Young diagram \( \Delta = [\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_c] \).

We now describe the expression (40) in terms of the coverings of the surface \( \Sigma \). Consider the set of \( \{l\} \) edges of the graph \( \Gamma \) and its complement \( \Sigma^0 = \Sigma \setminus \{l\} \). It splits into basic polygons. Consider the covering \( \Sigma^0 \to \Sigma^0 \) with the critical value in the capitals \( \{c^i\} \) of basic polygons of topological type \( \{\Delta^i\} \). The integration of the monomial \( U_{l,s,t} \) glues together the sides \( s \) and \( t \) covering the edge \( l \). Thus the
integral of the monomial generates a covering $\hat{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ of degree $d$ and a monomial of a polynomial of the form $[10]$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the set of coverings constructed in this way.

Let $\Delta$ be the Young diagram of the basic polygon $P$. Consider the restriction $\hat{P} \to P$ of the coverings of $\Sigma^0 \to \Sigma^0$ on the preimage of the basic polygon $P$. Group $\text{Aut}(\Delta)$ acts on the cover of $\hat{P} \to P$ by automorphisms. This action changes the gluing system and therefore acts on the set $\mathcal{K}$, preserving the equivalence class of the covering. Denote by $\Phi$ the set of equivalence classes of coverings from $\mathcal{K}$.

Suppose that all covers of $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$ have the trivial automorphism group. Then the set $\mathcal{K}$ splits into

$$\frac{|\mathcal{K}|}{\prod_{i=1}^{F} |\text{Aut}(\Delta_i)|}$$

equivalence classes. The automorphism of the covering $\varphi$ is realized by replacing the gluing system preserving the equivalence class of a covering. Thus, in the general case, the formula (41) gives the sum

$$\sum_{\varphi \in \Phi} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\varphi)|}.$$

Summation over all monomials gives the statement of the theorem.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 1.** If the size of the matrices $N$ is large enough, then the integral $I(\Gamma, \{A\}, \{\Delta\})$ allows you to find all Hurwitz numbers $H_{\Sigma}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^F, \tilde{\Delta}^1, \ldots, \tilde{\Delta}^V)$.

**Example 1.** $\Sigma = S^2$, $\Gamma$ is the graph with one edge, one vertex and with two faces (two 1-gones which are two basic polygons) and two capitals, $c_1$, $c_2$, (one loop with one vertex drawn on Riemann sphere). There are two matrices $A$ and $\tilde{A}$ assigned to the vertex. And the monodromies related to basic polygons and to the vertex are respectively equal to

$$M(c_1) = ZA, \quad M(c_2) = Z\tilde{A} \quad \text{and} \quad A = A\tilde{A}$$

where $Z$ and $\tilde{Z}$ are Hermitian conjugated and $A$ and $\tilde{A}$ are two independent source matrices.

(a) The simplest example is $\Delta^1 = \Delta^2 = (1)$. It is one-sheet cover $d = 1$:

$$I(\Gamma, \{A\}, \Delta^1, \Delta^2) = \int_{Z} \text{tr} (ZA) \text{tr} (Z\tilde{A}) d\Omega.$$

One gets

$$I(\Gamma, \{A\}, (1), (1)) = N^{-1} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} A_{ab} \tilde{A}_{ba} = N^{-1} \text{tr} (A\tilde{A})$$

As one expects, the Hurwitz number of the one-sheeted covering is equal to 1.

(b) Next, consider 3-sheeted covering where the profiles are chosen as $\Delta^1 = \Delta^2 = (3)$:

$$M_{c_1}^{\Delta^1} = \frac{1}{3} \text{tr} (ZAZA), \quad M_{c_2}^{\Delta^2} = \frac{1}{3} \text{tr} (Z\tilde{A}\tilde{Z}\tilde{A}\tilde{Z})$$

and

$$M(\Gamma, \{ZA\}, \{\Delta\}) = \frac{1}{9} \text{tr} (ZAZA) \text{tr} (Z\tilde{A}\tilde{Z}\tilde{A}\tilde{Z})$$

We have $d! = 6$ systems of gluing pairs of $Z, \tilde{Z}$ in the integral

$$I(\Gamma, \{A\}, (3), (3)) = \frac{1}{9} \int_{Z^3} \text{tr} (ZAZA) \text{tr} (Z\tilde{A}\tilde{Z}\tilde{A}\tilde{Z}) d\Omega =$$

$$\frac{1}{9} \int_{Z^3} Z_{a_1 b_1} A_{b_1 a_2} Z_{a_2 b_2} A_{b_2 a_3} Z_{a_3 b_3} A_{b_3 a_1} \tilde{Z}_{a_1 b_1} (A_1)_{b_1 a_2} \tilde{Z}_{a_2 b_2} \tilde{A}_{b_2 a_3} \tilde{Z}_{a_3 b_3} \tilde{A}_{b_3 a_1} d\Omega,$$

where the summation over repeating indexes is implied.
The gluing of sheets is the same as the selection the non-vanishing types of monomials which means the pairing the entries of $Z$ and $\hat{Z}$. The pairings of the first $Z$ from the left to the first $\hat{Z}$ from the left in the integrand, then the second $Z$ from the left to the second $\hat{Z}$ and at last, the third $Z$ from the left to the third $\hat{Z}$ is related, respectively, to the equalities

$$a_1 = \hat{b}_1, \quad b_1 = \hat{a}_1, \quad a_2 = \hat{b}_2, \quad b_2 = \hat{a}_2, \quad a_3 = \hat{b}_3, \quad b_3 = \hat{a}_3$$

thus, one can get rid of the subscripts with the hats.

One can record the way of gluing as $1 \rightarrow 1$, $2 \rightarrow 2$, $3 \rightarrow 3$. As one can see the integral of such monomials results in $\text{tr} \left( A\hat{A} \right)^3$.

There are two other ways of gluing where one obtains the same answer. One is the case where we glue the first $Z$ to the third $\hat{Z}$, the second to the first and the third to the second, let us present the gluing of the sheets as $1 \rightarrow 3$, $2 \rightarrow 1$, $3 \rightarrow 2$, that is we put

$$a_1 = \hat{b}_3, \quad b_1 = \hat{a}_3, \quad a_2 = \hat{b}_1, \quad b_2 = \hat{a}_1, \quad a_3 = \hat{b}_2, \quad b_3 = \hat{a}_2$$

is the case where Next, we glue the first $Z$ to the second $\hat{Z}$, the second to the third and the third to the first, let us present it as $1 \rightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 3$, $3 \rightarrow 1$, that is we put

$$a_1 = \hat{b}_2, \quad b_1 = \hat{a}_2, \quad a_2 = \hat{b}_3, \quad b_2 = \hat{a}_3, \quad a_3 = \hat{b}_1, \quad b_3 = \hat{a}_1$$

Thus these three ways of gluing result in $\tilde{\Delta} = (3)$.

One can see that the both ways of gluing $1 \rightarrow 1$, $2 \rightarrow 3$, $3 \rightarrow 2$ and $1 \rightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 1$, $3 \rightarrow 3$ result in the same answer which is $\left( \text{tr} \left( A\hat{A} \right) \right)^3$, which is related to $\tilde{\Delta} = (1,1,1)$.

The last way of gluing $1 \rightarrow 3$, $2 \rightarrow 2$, $3 \rightarrow 1$:

$$a_1 = \hat{b}_3, \quad b_1 = \hat{a}_3, \quad a_2 = \hat{b}_2, \quad b_2 = \hat{a}_2, \quad a_3 = \hat{b}_1, \quad b_3 = \hat{a}_1$$

results in $\left( \text{tr} \left( A\hat{A} \right) \right)^3$, which is related to the case $\tilde{\Delta} = (3)$.

Thus we obtain

$$H_2 ((3), (3), (3)) = \frac{3}{9} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad H_2 ((3), (3), (1,1,1)) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad H_2 ((3), (3), (2,1)) = 0$$

**Example 2** $\Sigma$ is the torus. The graph $\Gamma$ consists of a single vertex, two edges and has a single face (the single basic polygon is 4-gon).

The monodromies related to the 4-gon and to the single vertex are respectively equal to

$$M(c) = Z_1A_1Z_2A_2\hat{Z}_1\hat{A}_1\hat{Z}_2\hat{A}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A} = \hat{A}_2\hat{A}_1A_2A_1$$

where $Z_i, \hat{Z}_i$ is the pair of Hermitian conjugated matrices, $i = 1, 2$, and $A_i, \hat{A}_i, i = 1, 2$ are four source matrices.

(a) The simplest example is $\Delta = (1)$. It is one-sheet cover $d = 1$:

$$I(\Gamma, \{A\}, (1)) = \int_{\hat{Z}} \text{tr} \left( Z_1A_1Z_2A_2\hat{Z}_1\hat{A}_1\hat{Z}_2\hat{A}_2 \right) d\Omega = N^{-2} \text{tr} \left( \hat{A}_2\hat{A}_1A_2A_1 \right)$$

(b) Now we choose $\Delta = (1,1)$.

$$M_c^{\Delta_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{tr} \left( Z_1A_1Z_2A_2\hat{Z}_1\hat{A}_1\hat{Z}_2\hat{A}_2 \right) \right)^2$$

$$I(\Gamma, \{A\}, (1,1)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{Z}^2} \left( \text{tr} \left( Z_1A_1Z_2A_2\hat{Z}_1\hat{A}_1\hat{Z}_2\hat{A}_2 \right) \right)^2 d\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{Z}^2} (Z_1)_{a_1}^{b_1} (A_1)_{b_1}^{a_1} (Z_2)_{a_2}^{b_2} (A_2)_{b_2}^{a_2} (\hat{Z}_1)_{a_1}^{b_1} (\hat{A}_1)_{b_1}^{a_1} (\hat{Z}_2)_{a_2}^{b_2} (\hat{A}_2)_{b_2}^{a_2}$$
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The automorphism group of each of the covers is $\varphi T$ and $\varphi$ is a fundamental group of the torus $(1, 1)$ or $(2)$. This gives 4 non-isomorphic covers (one unconnected and 3 connected). The only geometric consideration of this answer is as follows. Find the weighted number of coverings of the inverse images of $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ which are equations $A_1 A_2 = \hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2$. This gives 4 non-isomorphic covers (one unconnected and 3 connected). The automorphism group of each of the covers is $Z_2$. Thus, the Hurwitz number is 2.

(c) Now we choose $\Delta = (2)$.

\[ M^\Delta_c = \frac{1}{2} N^{-4} \left( \frac{1}{2} N^{-2} \left( A_1 A_2 \hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2 \right)^2 \right) d\Omega = \]

where the summation over repeating indices $a_i$, $b_j$, $\hat{a}_i$, $\hat{b}_j$ is implied, $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2$. (In the chosen notations the indices labeling the entries of matrices, in turn, have superscripts $i = 1, 2$ which coincides with the number of the related matrix and the subscript $j = 1, 2$ which is the number of the same matrix is we count from the left to the right in the integrand).

One gets

\[ \frac{1}{2} N^{-4} (A_1 b_1^a a_1^a (A_2) b_2^a a_2^a (\hat{A}_1 b_1^a a_1^a (\hat{A}_2 b_2^a a_2^a (A_1 b_2^a a_2^a (\hat{A}_2 b_2^a a_2^a d\Omega) )

where one should equate all indices marked with hats to indices without hats, as well as summing over duplicate indices.

We have 4 ways of the equating of indexes (or, the same, of gluing the sheets) which are equations of type $a_i^j = \hat{b}_k^j$ and $b_j^j = \hat{a}_k^j$ where only the subscripts $j$ and $k$ can be different. The first one is

\[
a_1^1 = b_1^1, b_1^1 = \hat{a}_1^1, \quad a_2^1 = b_2^1, b_2^1 = \hat{a}_1^2, \quad a_1^2 = b_1^2, b_2^2 = \hat{a}_2^1, \quad a_2^2 = b_2^2, b_2^2 = \hat{a}_2^2
\]

thus, one can get rid of subscripts with hats. The summation of monomials over repeating subscripts of the matrix entries yields the term $\frac{1}{2} N^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{2} N^{-2} \left( A_1 A_2 \hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2 \right)^2 \right)^2$, thus it is related to $\Delta = (1, 1)$. Three different ways of gluing:

\[
a_1^1 = b_2^1, b_1^1 = \hat{a}_1^2, \quad a_2^1 = b_1^2, b_2^2 = \hat{a}_1^2, \quad a_1^2 = b_1^1, b_1^1 = \hat{a}_2^1, \quad a_2^2 = b_2^2, b_2^2 = \hat{a}_2^2
\]

and

\[
a_1^1 = b_2^1, b_1^1 = \hat{a}_1^2, \quad a_2^1 = b_2^2, b_2^2 = \hat{a}_1^2, \quad a_1^2 = b_1^1, b_1^1 = \hat{a}_2^1, \quad a_2^2 = b_1^2, b_2^2 = \hat{a}_2^2
\]

yields the same result. It means that

\[ H_0 ((1, 1), (2)) = 0, \quad H_0 ((1, 1), (1, 1)) = \frac{4}{2} = 2
\]

The purely geometric consideration of this answer is as follows. Find the weighted number of coverings (the Hurwitz number) for double sheets of the $\varphi$ torus without branching. Fix a basis $A, B$ of the fundamental group of the torus $T$. The number of connected components (circuits) of the inverse images $\varphi^{-1}(A)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(B)$ is 1 or 2. This gives 4 non-isomorphic covers (one unconnected and 3 connected). The automorphism group of each of the covers is $Z_2$. Thus, the Hurwitz number is 2.
3.2 Non-orientable case. Integrals and the topological theory

Hurwitz numbers for non-orientable surfaces were studied in [64], [45], [7], [8]. In the context of integrable systems it was done in [78], [79], [17]. Now we relate it to matrix integrals.

Consider

$$r_{\text{M"obius}}(M(c_i)) = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(M(c_i)) = \sum_{\Delta} D(\Delta)M_{c_i}^\Delta$$

(42)

where $D(\Delta)$ is given by [25], and

$$r_{\text{handle}}(M(c_i), M(c_j)) = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(M(c_i))s_{\lambda}(M(c_j)) = \sum_{\Delta} z_\Delta M_{c_i}^\Delta M_{c_j}^\Delta$$

(43)

where sums range over all partitions. We use the first equality for the description of (7) and we shall use the second equality in relation with [6] and [5], see Figure 3 as follows:

Theorem 4.

$$\int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{H} r_{\text{handle}}(M(c_{2i}), M(c_{2i-1})) \right) \left( \prod_{i=2i+1}^{2H+M} r_{\text{M"obius}}(M(c_i)) \right) \left( \prod_{i=2i+1+1}^{F} M_{c_i}^{\Delta_i} \right) d\Omega$$

$$= N^{-nd} \sum_{\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^v} A_{\Delta^1}^{\Delta^2} \cdots A_{\Delta^v}^{\Delta^1} H_{\Sigma} \left( \Delta^F - M - 2H, \cdots, \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^v \right)$$

where the Euler characteristic of $\Sigma$ is equal to $\nu - n + v - M - 2H$.

The interpretation of equality in the Theorem can be as follows. Consider the original orientable surface $\Sigma$ and the drawn graph $\Gamma$ and remove $H$ pairs of faces with capitals $(c_{2i}, c_{2i-1})$, $i = 1, \ldots, 2H$ and seal each pair of holes with a handle. Further, in addition, remove $M$ faces with capitals $c_i$, $i = 2H + 1, \ldots, 2H + M$ and seal each one with a Mobius strip. We obtain $\tilde{\Sigma}$.

Proof. Proof follows from the axioms of topological theories presented by relations (26) and (28) and from the explicit form of (42) and (43) and Theorem 3.\qed

4 Hurwitz numbers and quantum integrable models

In this section we show the links of Hurwitz numbers with certain quantum integrable systems.

4.1 Dubrovin’s commuting quantum Hamiltonians, Okounkov’s completed cycles, Jucys-Murphy elements, classical integrable systems

Vertex operators of Kyoto school. Consider one-parametric series of differential operators in infinitely many variables $\{p_m\}$:

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} z^m p_m + p_0 \log z - \sum_{m>0} z^{-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_m}, \quad |z| = 1$$

(44)

which depend on the parameter $z \in S^1$ and act on formal series in $p_1, p_2, \ldots$.

As it was explained in the works of Kyoto school, exponentials of $\theta(z)$, also known as vertex operators, play the important role in the theory of classical integrability [3].

Now, let us consider the vertex operator that depends on the parameters $z$ and $q = e^y$:

$$\phi^{\theta(\sqrt{z}) \theta(\sqrt{q^{-1/2}})} := q^{\theta_0 e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} z^m (q^{\frac{\pi}{4}} - q^{-\frac{\pi}{4}})}} p_m \sum_{m>0} z^{-m} (q^{\frac{\pi}{4}} - q^{-\frac{\pi}{4}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_m}$$

Dots :: $A$ :: means the so-called bosonic normal ordering of $A$, that means that all differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_m}$, $m > 0$ which are present in $A$ are moved to the right, while all creation operators, which are all $p_m$, $m \geq 0$, are moved to the left.

\footnote{At first, the vertex operators were considered in the work of Pogrebkov and Sushko in [92] in the context of Thirring model.}
This vertex operator acts as a shift operator in infinitely many variables on functions of $p_1, p_2, \ldots$. In classical soliton theory the vertex operators act on the so-called tau functions [44] where this action means the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81]. In [85], [39] it was used to construct symmetries of the KP hierarchy (sometimes called the adding of the so-called soliton to a solution to Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [81].

**Quantum Hamiltonians**. Simple quantum Hamiltonian models can be obtained as follows. Consider one parametric family of vertex operators

$$
\hat{H}(q) := \text{res}_z \left( e^{\partial (z^{1/2}) - \partial (z^{-1/2})} \left( -\frac{dz}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} \right) \right) = \sum_{n>0} y^n \hat{H}_n
$$

(45)

where $q = e^y \in \mathbb{C}$.

The important fact pointed out in [83] (see also [69], [68]) is that $H_3$ is the cut-and-join operator [36], introduced in [35].

**Proposition 2.** For any $q_1$ and $q_2$

$$\left[ \hat{H}(q_1), \hat{H}(q_2) \right] = 0, \quad \left[ \hat{H}_n, \hat{H}(q_2) \right] = 0 \quad \left[ \hat{H}_n, \hat{H}_m \right] = 0$$

The proof of this fact is well known and follows, for instance, from the so-called boson-fermion correspondence, we shall omit it.

Next, let us recall the definition of the Schur function [61]. Consider the equality

$$e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} z^m p_m} = 1 + z p_1 + z^2 p_1^2 + \frac{p_2}{2} + \cdots = \sum_{m \geq 0} z^m s_{(m)}(p)$$

The polynomials $s_{(m)}$ are called elementary Schur functions. Then, the polynomials $s_\lambda$ labeled by a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ defined by

$$s_\lambda(p) = \det (s_{(\lambda_1-i+j)}(p))_{i,j \geq 1}$$

are called Schur functions. (In the last formula it is implied that $s_{(m)} = 0$ for negative $m$.)

A quantum integrable model can be defined as a set of commuting operators (Hamiltonians), the linear space where these operators act (the Fock space), and a special vector of the Fock space which is eliminated by the Hamiltonians (the so-called vacuum vector). The dynamical Hamiltonian equation is obtained by the replacing of the Poisson bracket by the commutator and called the Heisenberg equation in physics.

Let us introduce

$$\theta(z, t) = e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} t_m H_m} \theta(z) e^{-\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} t_m H_m}$$

(45)

**Proposition 3. (Dubrovin [23]).**

(a) The set of commuting operators $\{H_n\}$ can be interpreted as the set of commuting Hamiltonians of the set of quantum dispersionless KdV equations:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t_n} = [\hat{H}_n, \hat{u}], \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

where $\hat{u}(z, t) = \sqrt{-1} z^{\frac{\partial \theta(z, t)}{\partial z}}$. The Fock space of the quantum dispersionless KdV equation is the space of polynomial functions in the variables $p_1, p_2, \ldots$.

(b) The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_2$ which is the Hamiltonian of the quantum dispersionless KdV equation coincides with the cut-and-join operator [39].

(c) For any $\lambda$, Schur functions $s_\lambda(p)$ are eigenfunctions of these Hamiltonians, or, the same, the Schur functions are eigenstates of quantum dispersionless KdV equations:

$$\hat{H}_n s_\lambda(p) = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \lambda_i - i \right)^n - \left( \frac{1}{2} - i \right)^n s_\lambda(p)$$

The vacuum vector is given by $s_0 = 1$. 
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Remark 1. Algebra of Hamiltonians \( \{ \hat{H}_m \} \) belong to the Cartan subalgebra of \( \hat{W}_{1+\infty} \). In [67], [68] more general algebra of commuting operators was constructed in explicit form. Then the Schur functions are eigenfunctions of the elements of this algebra. The basis elements are labeled with partitions and normalized character \( \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) \) is the eigenvalue of the element labeled by \( \Delta \).

The proof of this statement is contained in [23] (see also [25]). (The last formula was known much earlier from the boson-fermion correspondence.)

Now, let us treat the generating function of KdV Hamiltonians as the Hamiltonian that depends on \( q \).

Lemma 1.

Corollary 2. [83] For any Young diagram \( \lambda \), the Schur function \( s_\lambda \) is the eigenfunction of the operator \( \hat{H}(q) \)

\[
\hat{H}(q)s_\lambda(p) = e_\lambda(q)s_\lambda(p)
\]

(47)

\[
e_\lambda(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^i
\]

(48)

Formula [48] was used by Okounkov to generate the so-called completed cycles, see [83].

Lemma 1.

\[
e^{t(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})\sum_{i,j \in \lambda} \theta_{ij}^\lambda - \theta_{ij}^\lambda} = e^{t\hat{e}_\lambda(q)}\hat{e}_\lambda
\]

(49)

Proof follows from [30] and from the relation between the eigenvalues \( e_\lambda(q) \) and the so-called quantum contents \( q^{i-j} \) of the nodes of Young diagram \( \lambda \) with coordinates \( i,j \):

\[
\sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{i-j} = e_\lambda(q) / q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(50)

The last equality is obtained by the re-summation of the left hand side.

Let us introduce the following Hurwitz numbers

\[
H_\Sigma(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k ; t) = < e^{t(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})\sum_{i,j \in \lambda} \theta_{ij}^\lambda - \theta_{ij}^\lambda} \cdot \ldots \cdot \theta_{ij}^\lambda > \Sigma
\]

(51)

where \( \theta_j \) are Jusys-Murphy elements, see Subsection [24].

Let us mention that the expansion of the left side in the small parameter \( y = \log q \) generates Hurwitz numbers defined on the completed cycles.

Proposition 4. We have

\[
e^{tH(q)} \int \frac{\pi^{2g} \prod_{m > 0} \prod_{p_m M(c_1)} \prod_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i A_{i}}{\lambda_i_{\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k} d\Omega} = \sum_{\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k} H_\Sigma(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k, \Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k) p_{\Delta^1} \prod_{i \geq 1} A_{\Delta^i}^\lambda
\]

(52)

Proof follows from Cauchy-Littlewood identity

\[
e^\sum_{m > 0} \frac{\pi^{2g} \prod_{m M(c_1)}}{p_m M(c_1)} = \sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(p) s_\lambda(M(c_1)),
\]

Lemma [2] and [1].

2 As it was noted in [85], the existence of commuting operators obtained from the expansion of vertex operators gives rise to the commuting symmetries and additional hierarchies of commuting flows compatible with the KP flows. The classical Burgers equation is the example, this fact was noted in [101]. Then, Dubrovin dispersionless KdV is the quantization of this classical dispersionless KdV.

3 We note that this quantum model is related to the so-called free fermion point.
Hurwitz numbers \((52)\) generated by tau functions. Let us show, that the times flows times related to quantum Hamiltonians \(\hat{H}(q), \hat{H}(q^2), \hat{H}(q^3), \ldots\) at the same time are higher times for soliton lattice solutions related to the Hurwitz problem. We recall the important notion of tau function was introduced by Kyoto school, see \([44]\) for a review of the topic. There are only few cases where Hurwitz numbers \((52)\) are generated by tau functions and are going to select them. As functions of the variables \(p\) tau functions below are written as series in the Schur functions as it was done \([96], [98]\) and also in \([88], [87]\) and more specific in \([88]\). These tau functions solve KP hierarchy. Below we also need the BKP analogue of KP series written down in \([89]\). As functions in the variables \(t\) solutions below presented in \([88]\) and in \([89]\) may be called soliton lattices in the \(\mathbb{R}^m\) space with coordinates \(t\).

**Lemma 2.** Let \(t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots)\), \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) is the infinite set of parameters and \(p(0, q) = (p_1(0, q), p_2(0, q), \ldots)\) is the special set given by \(p_m(0, q) = \frac{1}{1-q^m}\). Then

\[
\tau_k(p, t) = \sum_{\lambda} e^{\sum_{m>0} t_m q^m (q^{m+\lambda_i}-q^{m+\lambda_{i+1}})} s_{\lambda}(p) s_{\lambda}(0, q) \tag{53}
\]

is the KP tau function with respect to parameters \(t\) which plays the role of higher times and the parameters \(p\) and \(k\) are supposed to be fixed. It is also the KP tau function with respect to parameters \(p\) which, now, plays the role of higher times while the parameters \(t\) and \(k\) are fixed. It is also the tau function of the two-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchy with respect to the sets \(t, p\) and discrete variable \(k\). The last statement, in particular, means that \(\phi_k(p, t) = \log \tau_k(p, t) - \log \tau_{k-1}(p, t)\) solves Toda lattice \(\partial_t, \partial_p, \phi_k = e^{\phi_k-\phi_{k+1}} - e^{\phi_k}\).

The proof is basically contained in \([88]\).

**Proposition 5.**

\[
\tau_k(p, t) = \sum_{\Delta^1} H_{\Delta^2}(\Delta, \Delta^1; t) p_{\Delta^1} \prod_{i>0} \frac{1}{1-q^i} \tag{54}
\]

where sum ranges over all partitions \(\Delta^i = (\Delta^1_1, \Delta^1_2, \ldots)\), \(i = 1, 2\) where it is implied that \(|\Delta^1| = |\Delta^1|\) and

\[
H_{\Delta^2}(\Delta^1, \Delta^2; t) = e^{\sum_{m>0} t_m q^m \frac{m^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d q^{m_i \lambda_i} c_{\Delta^i}} c_{\Delta^2} >_{\Delta^2} \tag{55}
\]

Similarly we have

**Lemma 3.** Let \(t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots)\), \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) is the infinite set of parameters and \(p(0, q) = (p_1(0, q), p_2(0, q), \ldots)\) is the special set given by \(p_m(0, q) = \frac{1}{1-q^m}\). Then

\[
\tau^B_k(p, t) = \sum_{\lambda} e^{\sum_{m>0} t_m q^m (m+\lambda_i-1) \sum_{i=1}^d q^{m_i \lambda_i}} s_{\lambda}(p) s_{\lambda}(0, q) \tag{56}
\]

is the BKP tau function presented in \([89]\) with respect to parameters \(t\) and \(k\) which plays the role of higher times.

The proof is basically contained in \([89]\).

**Proposition 6.**

\[
\tau^B_k(t) = \sum_{\Delta} H_{\Delta^2}(\Delta; t) \prod_{i>0} \frac{1}{1-q^i} \tag{57}
\]

where sum ranges over all partitions \(\Delta^i = (\Delta^1_1, \Delta^1_2, \ldots)\), \(i = 1, 2\) where

\[
H_{\Delta^2}(\Delta; t) = e^{\sum_{m>0} t_m q^m \frac{m^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d q^{m_i \lambda_i}} c_{\Delta} >_{\Delta^2} \tag{58}
\]

**Remark 2.** Similarly, we can show that \(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} \log < e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{t^m \lambda^m}{m^2}} >_{\Delta^1} >_{\Delta^2} \) and according to \([101]\) it also solves the so-called Burges equation, while \(< e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{t^m \lambda^m}{m^2}} >_{\Delta^2} \) is the tau function of the BKP hierarchy. However, we will not explain it in details, because one needs an additional triangle transform of from the set of KP (respectively, BKP) higher times and the parameters \(t^1, t^2, \ldots\) that takes space. This can be derived from the relation \([30]\) and the results of \([79]\).
4.2 2D Yang-Mills quantum theory

In Witten’s paper [100] the so-called two-dimensional quantum gauge theory (2D Yang-Mills model) with compact group $G$ on orientable and non-orientable surfaces without boundary was considered. In particular, see formula (2.51) in [100]: for the gauge theory on a Riemann surface $\Sigma$ of Euler characteristic $e = 2 - 2g$ the partition function of can be presented in form

$$Z_\Sigma(\rho) = \sum_\lambda e^{-\rho c_2/2} (\dim G \lambda)^e$$

where $\rho$ is a coupling constant of the theory and for $G = U(N)$ we have $c_2 = (\lambda_i - i + N)^2$, $\dim G \lambda = s_\lambda(I_N)$ is the dimension of the representation $\lambda$. As it was derived there (see formula (2.79)), the Yang-Mills path integral over all flat connections on $\Sigma$ where the holonomies around $k$ marked points belong to the conjugacy classes $\Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_k$ denoted by $Z_\Sigma(\rho; \Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_k)$ is

$$Z_\Sigma(\rho; \Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_k) = \sum_\lambda e^{-\rho c_2/2} (s_\lambda(I_N))^{k - k} \prod_{i=1}^k s_\lambda(\Theta_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (59)

There are similar formulas for non-orientable cases.

Let us present the same result with the help of Gaussian integral over complex matrices as follows.

Below we denote $s_\lambda(X) := s_\lambda(p(X))$, where $p(X) = (\text{tr } X, \text{tr } X^2, \text{tr } X^3, \ldots)$. We denote $p_\lambda = p_{\Delta_1} p_{\Delta_2} \cdots$, where $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots)$ is a given Young diagram.

In what follows we need characteristic map relation (61)

$$p_\lambda = \frac{\dim \lambda}{d!} \sum_{|\Delta| = |\lambda|} \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) s_\lambda(p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

Let us recall the known fact that $\dim U \lambda = (N) \lambda \dim \lambda$, where

$$(N) \lambda = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (N + j - i)$$

With the help of [14] and [12] one gets the following corollary of Theorem 3

**Lemma 4.** Consider graph $\Gamma$ drawn on the oriented surface $\Sigma$ described in Section 3.2. Consider a set of partitions $\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \ldots$ and the set of monodromies $M(c_1), \ldots, M(c_\ell)$ (see Section 3). We get

$$\int \left( \prod_{i=1}^\ell s_{\lambda^i}(M(c_i)) \right) d\Omega = \delta_{\lambda_1, \lambda^2, \ldots, \lambda^\ell} \left( \frac{(N) \lambda}{(N) \lambda} \right)^n \prod_{v=1}^V s_{\lambda}(A_v)$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

where $A_v$ is the monodromy related to the vertex $v$ (see Section 3.1) and where $\delta_{\lambda_1, \lambda^2, \ldots, \lambda^\ell}$ is equal to 1 in case $\lambda^1 = \lambda^2 = \cdots$, and vanishes otherwise.

Consider the following series over Young diagrams:

$$\tau(X, Y, t, a) := \sum_{\lambda} \frac{(N) \lambda}{(N) \lambda} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - i + N + \frac{1}{2})^2} s_\lambda(X_1) s_\lambda(X_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

$$\tau^B(X, t, a) := \sum_{\lambda} \frac{(N) \lambda}{(N) \lambda} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - i + N + \frac{1}{2})^2} s_\lambda(X)$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

where $a$ is any number, and $X_1, X_2, X \in \mathbb{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$. According to [87] [62] is the tau function of the two-component KP (2KP) hierarchy introduced in [44]. The set $\tau(X^m)$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, i = 1, 2$ play the role of the higher time of the 2KP hierarchy. According to [89] [63] is the tau function of the so-called BKP hierarchy introduced in [47]. The set $\tau(X^m)$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ plays the role of the set of the higher times of the BKP hierarchy.

From Lemma 4 we get

4There is an interesting deformation of the 2D Yang-Mills theory (Yang-Mills-Higgs model) presented in [30], which is associated with quantum nonlinear Schroedinger equation, which, as you can hope, can also be related to combinatorial problems.
Proposition 7. Let \( a_1 + \cdots + a_F = n \), \( t_1 + \cdots + t_F = \rho \) and suppose \( A_{\nu_1}, \ldots, A_{\nu_k}; \Theta_{2k+1}, \ldots, \Theta_F \in SU(N) \) is a given set of matrices. Then

\[
\int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{F} \tau^A(M(c_i), M(c_{2i-1}), t_{2i} + t_{2i+1}, a_{2i} + a_{2i+1}) \right) \left( \prod_{i=2i+1}^{2i+M} \tau^B(M(c_i), t_i, a_i) \right) \times \left( \prod_{j=2i+M+1}^{F} \tau^A(M(c_j), \Theta_i, t_i, a_i) \right) \ d\Omega = \sum_{\lambda} e^{-\rho \pi \omega(\lambda)/2} (\dim_c \lambda)^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{F} s_\lambda(\Theta_i) \prod_{p=v}^{V} s_\lambda(\lambda_p) \tag{64}\]

where the integrand is given by formulas (62)-(63). The right hand side of which can be easily identified with [59], where \( \Sigma \) is a surface with Euler characteristic equal to \( V - n + V - 2H - M \) and where the role of classes plays classes of the matrices \( A_{\nu_1}, \ldots, A_{\nu_k}; \Theta_{2k+1}, \ldots, \Theta_F \).

Relation to Hurwitz numbers. The comparance with Theorem 4 and also with the help of [12] and character map relation [60] and with the fact that \( \dim \lambda \) is equal to \( \dim_c \lambda \) times a polynomial in \( N \) shows that right hand sides of (64), [59] generates a specific series in Hurwitz numbers. We will do it similarly it was done in [90], or in [40].

Namely, we need

\[
s_\lambda(\lambda_N) = \frac{\dim \lambda}{d!} N^d \left( 1 + \sum_{d>|k|>0} \phi_\lambda(k)N^{-k} \right), \quad d = |\lambda| \tag{65}\]

where

\[
\phi_\lambda(k) := \sum_{\ell(\Delta) = d-k} \varphi_\lambda(\Delta), \quad k = 0, \ldots, d-1 \tag{66}\]

and its corollary

\[
(\lambda_N N^E) = \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{d!} \right)^E N^{Ed} \left( 1 + \sum_{k>0} \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(k; E)N^{-k} \right) \tag{67}\]

where each \( \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(k) \) is built of the collection \( \{\phi_\lambda(i), i>0\} \) as follows:

\[
\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(k; E) = \sum_{l \geq 1} E(E-1) \cdots (E-l+1) \sum_{\ell(\Delta) = \ell, |\mu| = k} \frac{\phi_\lambda(\mu)}{|\aut \mu|}, \quad \phi_\lambda(\mu) := \phi_\lambda(\mu_1) \cdots \phi_\lambda(\mu_t) \tag{68}\]

where \( \mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_t) \) is a partition which may be written alternatively [61] as \( \mu = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2}3^{m_3} \cdots) \) where \( m_i \) is the number of times \( i \) occurs in the partition of \( |\mu| = k \). Thus the set of all non-vanishing \( m_{j_a}, a = 1, \ldots, l', (l' \leq l) \) defines the partition \( \mu \) of length \( \ell(\mu) = \sum_{a=1}^{l'} m_{j_a} = l \) and of weight \( |\mu| = \sum_{a=1}^{l'} J_a m_{j_a} = k \). Then the order of the automorphism group of the partition \( \mu \) is

\[
|\aut \mu| := m_{j_1}! \cdots m_{j_{l'}}! \]

As we see \( \phi_\lambda(k; 1) = \phi_\lambda(k) \). Let us notice that \( \phi_\lambda(1) = \varphi_\lambda((2,1^{\lambda}-2)) \).

Remark 3. The quantity \( d - \ell(\lambda) \) which is used in the definition [60] is called the length of permutation with cycle structure \( \lambda \) and will be denoted by \( \ell^*(\lambda) \) (also called the colength of the partition \( \lambda \)). The colength enters the well-known Riemann-Hurwitz formula which relates the Euler characteristic of a base surface, \( E \), to the Euler characteristic of it’s \( d \)-branched cover, \( E' \) as follows

\[
E' - dE + \sum_i \ell^*(\Delta(i)) = 0 \tag{69}\]

where the sum ranges over all branch points \( z_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \) with ramification profiles given by partitions \( \Delta(i), i = 1, 2, \ldots \) respectively.

Let us introduce

\[
\deg \phi_\lambda(i) = i \tag{69}\]
This degree is equal to the colength of ramification profiles in formula (66), and due to Remark 3, it is to define the Euler characteristic  
$e'$ of the covering surfaces.

We have

$$\deg \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(i) = i$$  \hspace{1cm} (70)$$

In the notations of Theorem 3, we obtain

**Proposition 8.**

$$Z_\Sigma(\rho; \Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_k) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} \rho^m \sum_{\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k \in \mathcal{Y}_d} \tilde{H}_{E_i}^{\nu; m}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k; i, m) \prod_{v=1}^k \Theta_v^{\Delta_v^*}$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_{E_i}^{\nu; m}(\Delta^1, \ldots, \Delta^k; i, m) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Y}_d} \left[ \phi_\lambda(i, E) (\phi_\lambda(1))^m \right] \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^1) \cdots \varphi_\lambda(\Delta^k) \left( \frac{\dim \lambda}{|\lambda|!} \right)^E$$  \hspace{1cm} (71)$$

The coefficient in square brackets is a polynomial in normalized characters $\varphi_\lambda$ of degree $m + i$ (see (69)). Therefore, thanks to (23) this is a linear combination of Hurwitz numbers, where the Euler characteristics of the base surface and its cover are equal, respectively, to $E$ and to $e_{i,m} = dE - \sum_{v=1}^k \ell^i(\Delta^v) - m - i$. Difference with (23) comes from the coefficient in square brackets where $(\phi(1))^m$ describes the $m$ extra simple branch points, while $\phi(i, E)$ generates additional branch points in number, not more than $i$, with weights given by (68).
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