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#### Abstract

An abelian threefold $A_{/ \mathbb{Q}}$ of prime conductor $N$ is favorable if its 2 -division field $F$ is an $\mathcal{S}_{7}$-extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ with ramification index 7 over $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$. Let $A$ be favorable and let $B$ be a semistable abelian variety of conductor $N^{d}$ with $B[2]$ filtered by $d$ copies of $A[2]$. We obtain a class field theoretic criterion on $F$ to guarantee that $B$ is isogenous to $A^{d}$ and a fortiori, $A$ is unique up to isogeny.
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## 1. Introduction

Schoof [Sc1, $\mathrm{Sc2}, \underline{S c 3]}$ describes the semistable abelian varieties $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction outside the set $S$ of primes dividing a small odd integer $N$. This is done by studying the group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ that could occur in $A[2]$ and their field of points. Grothendieck and Fontaine give upper bounds for the root discriminants of those fields and when $N \leq 37$, Odlyzko provides a bound for their degree. Then class field theory and group theory allow the determination of all such simple group schemes and their extensions by one another.

To treat larger conductors $N$, one must restrict the simple group schemes that are permitted. Let $N$ be squarefree, let $p$ be a prime not dividing $N$ and let $\mathcal{E}$ be an absolutely simple group scheme of exponent $p$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$. When is there at most one isogeny class of abelian varieties $A$ of conductor $N$ such that $A[p] \simeq \mathcal{E}$ ? In BK2, using algebraic number theoretic criteria, we proved such a uniqueness

[^0]result for many isogeny classes of abelian surfaces of prime conductor. Here we treat the uniqueness question for the following threefolds.

Definition 1.1. An abelian threefold $A$ of prime conductor $N$ is favorable if $F=$ $\mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ is the Galois closure of a field $F_{0}$ of degree 7 such that 2 is totally ramified in $F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ and the discriminant of $F_{0}$ is $\pm 2^{6} N$.

Assume that $A$ is favorable and let $F=\mathbb{Q}(A[2])$. Up to isomorphism, there is a unique subfield $K$ of $F$ of degree 21 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\Omega_{K}$ be the maximal elementary 2 -extension of $K$ unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$ with ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right) \leq 6$ for the unique prime $\mathfrak{p}$ over 2 in $K$. Suppose that $L \neq F$ is the Galois closure over $\mathbb{Q}$ of a quadratic extension of $K$ inside $\Omega_{K}$. We show in $\oint_{6}$ that $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to one of three groups $G_{a}$, with $\left|G_{a}\right|=7!\cdot 2^{a}$ and $a=6,14,20$. We say that $F$ is amiable if one of the following conditions holds:
i) $\Omega_{K}=K$,
ii) $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right]=2$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right)=6$,
iii) $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right]=2, \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right)=4$ and the Galois closure of $\Omega_{K} / \mathbb{Q}$ has group $G_{6}$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A$ be a favorable abelian threefold of prime conductor $N$ such that $F=\mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ is amiable. If $B$ is a semistable abelian variety of conductor $N^{d}$, with $B[2]$ filtered by $d$ copies of $A[2]$, then $B$ is isogenous to $A^{d}$. In particular, if $B$ is an abelian threefold of conductor $N$ with $B[2]$ isomorphic to $A[2]$, then $B$ is isogenous to $A$.

Despite our title, the threefold $A$ need not even be principally polarized. However, Jacobians do provide the simplest examples. Let $f$ be a monic polynomial of degree 7 in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and let $J$ be the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve $C: y^{2}+y=f(x)$. Then $C$ has good reduction at 2 and so $J[2]$ is a finite flat group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. If $\theta$ is a root of $h(x)=1+4 f(x)$, then $F=\mathbb{Q}(J[2])$ is the Galois closure of $F_{0}=\mathbb{Q}[\theta]$. When the discriminant of $h(x)$ is $\pm 2^{6} N$, with $N$ a prime, $J$ is a favorable threefold.

In $\$ 7$ we report on a range of examples which suggest that there might be infinitely many abelian threefolds with $\mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ amiable. Magma Mag was used for those computations and to verify some of the group theoretical assertions in $\$ 6$

## 2. Overview

Let $\bar{K}$ denote an algebraic closure of the field $K$. When $R$ be a Dedekind domain with quotient field $K$, we use calligraphic letters for finite flat group schemes $\mathcal{V}$ over $R$ and the corresponding Roman letter for the Galois module $V=\mathcal{V}(\bar{K})$. The order of $\mathcal{V}$ is the rank over $R$ of its affine algebra, or equivalently the order of the finite abelian group $V$. As usual, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}$ is the group scheme of $n$-th roots of unity.

The next two sections contain the local theory of the relevant group schemes and comprise the most delicate part of our paper. We treat simple 3-dimensional biconnected group schemes $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ over the ring of integers of an unramified extension $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, to exhibit the dependence on $p$. In $\sqrt{3}$ we describe the Honda system of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$. Then we classify the Honda systems of the extensions $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{j}$ of exponent $p$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$.

In $\S 4$, we carefully determine the field of points for each of the group schemes above. We obtain the bound $p^{2}+p$ for the conductor exponent of the elementary abelian $p$-extension $K(V) / K\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)$ using a new conductor evaluation in Appendix
A. perhaps of independent interest. This improvement on Fontaine's general bound for group schemes of exponent $p$ relies on the biconnectedness of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ and is critical for our applications.

The more precise local analysis needed to compare with the global results, is restricted to $p=2$ and $K=\mathbb{Q}_{2}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}_{2}(V)$ is contained in the maximal elementary 2-extension $T$ of $F=\mathbb{Q}_{2}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ of conductor exponent $6=2^{2}+2$. The extension class $[V]$ of $E_{i}$ by $E_{j}$ as local Galois modules gives rise to a cohomology class in

$$
H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}(T / \mathbb{Q}), \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)\right)
$$

Let $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{R}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$. Using local reciprocity, we determine the R-module structure of $\operatorname{Gal}(T / F)$ and thereby control the cohomology classes associated to extensions $V$.

We next introduce our generalization of favorability for arbitrary $g$. The arithmetic of favorable fields, with applications to abelian varieties, is developed in \$5.

Definition 2.1. Let $N$ be odd and square-free.
i) A field $F_{0}$ of degree $2 g+1$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is favorable if its discriminant has the form $\pm 2^{2 g} N$ and the prime over 2 in $F_{0}$ is totally ramified.
ii) An abelian variety of dimension $g$ will also be called favorable if $\mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ is the Galois closure of a favorable field of degree $2 g+1$.

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need the following concepts.
Definition 2.2. Let $N$ be odd. A 2-primary finite flat group scheme $\mathcal{V}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ is semistable if, for each prime $q$ over $N$ in $\mathbb{Q}(V)$, the inertia group $\left\langle\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right\rangle$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V) / \mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic and $\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}-1\right)^{2}$ annihilates the Galois module $V=\mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Fix an odd prime $N$ and a simple semistable finite flat group scheme $\mathcal{E}$ over $R=\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$. In §6, as a special case of [BK2, §3], we consider the category $\underline{E}$ of finite flat group schemes $\mathcal{V}$ over $R$ satisfying:
E1. Each composition factor of $\mathcal{V}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}$.
E2. The group scheme $\mathcal{V}$ is semistable over $N$.
E3. If $d$ is the multiplicity of $E$ in the semi-simplification $V^{s s}$ of $V$, then the two Artin conductor exponents at $N$ satisfy $\mathfrak{f}_{N}(V)=\mathfrak{f}_{N}\left(V^{s s}\right)=d$.
The proof of Theorem 1.2 now reduces to showing that $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})=0$, i.e., in the category $\underline{E}$, all extensions $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ by $\mathcal{E}$ such that $2 \mathcal{V} \stackrel{ }{=} 0$ are split. By the local analysis above, the conductor exponent of the elementary 2-extension $\mathbb{Q}_{2}(V) / \mathbb{Q}_{2}(E)$ is at most 6 . Semistability at $N$ is used to deduce that, for some $a$ in $\{0,6,14,20,29,35\}, \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V) / \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to the group $G_{a}$ of order $2^{a} \cdot 7$ ! defined in Notation 6.7 Proposition 6.18 gives better conductor bounds over 2 for certain $a$ 's, based on $\$ 4.3$. The improved conductor bounds and Proposition 6.15 motivate the definition of amiability. By Proposition 6.22, amiability implies the vanishing of $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ and Theorem 1.2 follows.

Finally, we review some standard notation and facts from representation theory needed in both the local and global setting.

Parabolics. Let $G$ be a group and let $\rho_{E}$ be the representation afforded by a $G$-module $E$ over a field $k$. The exact sequence of $k[G]$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{1} \xrightarrow{\iota} V \xrightarrow{\pi} E_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

gives rise to a cocycle $\psi_{V}: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$
\rho_{V}(g)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{E_{1}}(g) & \psi_{V}(g) \rho_{E_{2}}(g)  \tag{2.3}\\
0 & \rho_{E_{2}}(g)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $g$ in $G$ acts on $m$ in $\mathfrak{h}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right)$ by $g(m)=\delta_{1} m \delta_{2}^{-1}$, with $\delta_{i}=\rho_{E_{i}}(g)$. The class [ $V$ ] in $\operatorname{Ext}_{k[G]}^{1}\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right)$ corresponds to that of $\left[\psi_{V}\right]$ in $H^{1}(G, \mathfrak{h})$. Indeed, if $x$ is in $E_{2}$ and $s$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(E_{2}, V\right)$ is any section of $\pi$, then $g\left(s\left(g^{-1} x\right)\right)-s(x)=\iota(y)$ for some $y$ in $E_{1}$ and one can take $\psi_{V}(g)(x)=y$. Thus, in the category of $k[G]$ modules, the extension classes of $E_{2}$ by $E_{1}$ under Baer sum form a group isomorphic to $H^{1}(G, \mathfrak{h})$. If $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ contained in ker $\rho_{V}$, then $\left[\psi_{V}\right]$ comes by inflation from a unique class in $H^{1}(G / N, \mathfrak{h})$ which we also denote by $\left[\psi_{V}\right]$.

The image $\rho_{V}(G)$ lies in a parabolic matrix group

$$
\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{E_{1}, E_{2}}=\left\{\left.g=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{1} & m  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & \delta_{2}
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \delta_{i}=\rho_{E_{i}}(g), m \in M_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(k)\right\}
$$

with $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} E_{i}$. If $H_{i}=\left\{g \in G \mid g_{\mid E_{i}}=1\right\}$ and $\Delta_{i}=G / H_{i}$, then $E_{i}$ is a faithful $k\left[\Delta_{i}\right]$-module. Any normal subgroup $H$ of $G$ acting trivially on both $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\rho_{V}(H) \subseteq\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & m \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{P} \right\rvert\, m \in M_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(k)\right\}
$$

The inflation-restriction sequence gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H^{1}(G / H, \mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\text { inf }} H^{1}(G, \mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} H^{1}(H, \mathfrak{h})^{G / H} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $E_{1}=E_{2}=E$ and let $V$ represent a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{k[G]}^{1}(E, E)$. Let $\Delta=\rho_{E}(G)$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Delta$ be the projection $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\delta & h \\ 0 & \delta\end{array}\right] \mapsto \delta$. Note that $\pi \rho_{V}=\rho_{E}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\psi_{V}$ and $\psi_{W}$ correspond to representatives $V$ and $W$ for classes in $\operatorname{Ext}_{k[G]}^{1}(E, E)$ as in (2.3). Then $[W]=[V]$ if and only if

$$
\rho_{W}(g)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & m  \tag{2.7}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rho_{V}(g)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -m \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

for some $m$ in $\mathfrak{h}$ and all $g$ in $G$. If $\rho_{W}(g)=\alpha \rho_{V}(g) \alpha^{-1}$ for some $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{P}$ and all $g$ in $G$ and the center of $\Delta$ is trivial, then $\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & m \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ for some $m$ in $\mathfrak{h}$ and $[W]=[V]$.

Proof. Formula (2.7) is equivalent to $\psi_{W}(g)=\psi_{V}(g)+m-g(m)$, so $\psi_{W}-\psi_{V}$ is a coboundary for $H^{1}(G, \mathfrak{h})$. Suppose, instead that $\rho_{W}(g)=\alpha \rho_{V}(g) \alpha^{-1}$ for all $g$ in $G$ and set $\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right]$. By multiplying out the matrices, we find that $a$ is in the center $Z(\Delta)$, so $a=1$ and then (2.7) implies that $[W]=[V]$.

## 3. Some Honda systems

In this section, we construct the Honda systems associated to group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ occurring in $A[2]$ when $A$ is a favorable abelian threefold. To begin, we review some basic material on Honda systems found in BC, Co, Fo. For a perfect field $k$ of characteristic $p$, let $\mathbb{W}=\mathbb{W}(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors and $K$ its field of fractions. Let $\sigma: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be the Frobenius automorphism characterized by $\sigma(x) \equiv x^{p}(\bmod p)$ for $x$ in $\mathbb{W}$. The Dieudonné ring $D_{k}=\mathbb{W}[\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{V}]$ is generated by the Frobenius operator F and Verschiebung operator V . We have $\mathrm{FV}=\mathrm{VF}=p$, $\mathrm{F} a=\sigma(a) \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{V} a=\sigma^{-1}(a) \mathrm{V}$ for all $a$ in $\mathbb{W}$.

A finite Honda system over $\mathbb{W}$ is a pair ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}$ ) consisting of a left $D_{k}$-module M of finite $\mathbb{W}$-length and a $\mathbb{W}$-submodule L with $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ injective and the induced $\operatorname{map} \mathrm{L} / p \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{FM}$ an isomorphism. If F is nilpotent on M , then $(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})$ is said to be connected. Morphisms are defined in the obvious manner.

Lemma 3.1. Let ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}$ ) be a Honda system of exponent p. Then $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{FM}$ is a direct sum, $\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{VL}=\mathrm{VM}$, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{F}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{L}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{FM}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathrm{CW}}_{k}$ denote the formal $k$-group scheme associated to the Witt covector group functor $\mathrm{CW}_{k}$. When $k^{\prime}$ is a finite extension of $k$ and $K^{\prime}$ is the field of fractions of $\mathbb{W}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\mathrm{CW}_{k}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq K^{\prime} / \mathbb{W}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$. For any $k$-algebra $R$, let $D_{k}$ act on elements $\mathbf{a}=\left(\ldots, a_{-n}, \ldots, a_{-1}, a_{0}\right)$ of $\mathrm{CW}_{k}(R)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fa} & =\left(\ldots, a_{-n}^{p}, \ldots, a_{-1}^{p}, a_{0}^{p}\right), \quad \mathrm{V} \mathbf{a}=\left(\ldots, a_{-(n+1)}, \ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}\right), \\
\text { and } \quad \dot{c} \mathbf{a} & =\left(\ldots, c^{p^{-n}} a_{-n}, \ldots, c^{p^{-1}} a_{-1}, c a_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot{c}$ in $\mathbb{W}$ is the Teichmüller lift of $c$.
The Hasse-Witt exponential map is a homomorphism of additive groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi: \widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right) \rightarrow \bar{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by $\xi\left(\ldots, a_{-n}, \ldots, a_{-1}, a_{0}\right)=\sum p^{-n} \tilde{a}_{-n}^{p^{n}}$, independent of the choice of lifts $\tilde{a}_{-n}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is the group scheme of a Honda system ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}$ ), the points of the Galois module $U$ correspond to $D_{k}$-homomorphisms $\varphi: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$ such that $\xi(\varphi(\mathrm{L}))=0$ and the action of $G_{K}$ on $U(\bar{K})$ is induced from its action on $\widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$.

We write $\dot{+}$ for the usual Witt covector addition [Co, p. 242] and state some related elementary facts. For $q$ a power of $p$ and $x, y$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$, the congruence $\Phi_{q}(x, y) \equiv\left((\tilde{x}+\tilde{y})^{q}-\tilde{x}^{q}-\tilde{y}^{q}\right) / q\left(\bmod p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$ defines a unique, possibly non-integral element of $\bar{K} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$, independent of the choices of lifts $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$. The binomial theorem yields the following estimates:

Lemma 3.3. (i) $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left((\tilde{x}+\tilde{y})^{q}-\tilde{x}^{q}-\tilde{y}^{q}\right) \geq 1+q \min \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\tilde{x}), \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\tilde{y})\right\}$.
(ii) If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and $\alpha \equiv \beta(\bmod p)$, then $\frac{1}{p} \alpha^{p} \equiv \frac{1}{p} \beta^{p}(\bmod p)$.

Write $\left(\overrightarrow{0}, x_{-n}, \ldots, x_{0}\right)$ for $\left(\ldots, 0,0, x_{-n}, \ldots, x_{0}\right)$ in $\widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$. A routine calculation using the formulas in $\mathrm{Ab}, \mathrm{Co}$ gives:
Lemma 3.4. Addition in $\widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$ specializes to:

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, u_{4}, u_{3}, u_{2}, u_{1}, u_{0}\right) \dot{+}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, v_{2}, v_{1}, v_{0}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, u_{4}, u_{3}, u_{2}+v_{2}, w_{1}, w_{0}\right)
$$

where $w_{1}=u_{1}+v_{1}-\Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ and

$$
w_{0}=u_{0}+v_{0}+\frac{1}{p}\left(u_{1}^{p}+v_{1}^{p}\right)-\Phi_{p^{2}}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{p}\left(u_{1}+v_{1}-\Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right)^{p} .
$$

For any subset $S$ of a $k$-vector space, let $\langle S\rangle$ denote the subspace spanned by $S$.
Proposition 3.5. The biconnected finite flat group schemes of order $p^{3}$ over $\mathbb{W}$ are described by the Honda systems $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)$ of the following form:
i) For some $\lambda$ in $k^{\times}, \mathrm{M}_{1}$ has a $k$-basis $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ such that $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$,

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{3.6}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

ii) For some $\lambda^{\prime}$ in $k^{\times}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ has a $k$-basis $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ such that $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$,

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{3.7}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Proof. Refer to Lemma 3.1 as needed. Since (M, L) is biconnected, $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{L}=1$ or 2. In the first case, let $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$ and $x_{2}=\mathrm{V} x_{1}$. Then $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent over $k$ because V is injective on $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and nilpotent. By Lemma 3.1 $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{V}=2$, so $\mathrm{V}^{2}=0$. Then $\mathrm{V} x_{2}=0$, so there is a $k$-basis $x_{2}, z$ for ker V and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, z\right\rangle$. Since $\mathrm{FM}_{1}=$ ker V, we can write $\mathrm{F} z=\lambda x_{2}+\mu z$ with $\lambda, \mu$ in $k$. But $\mu=0$ because F is nilpotent and $\mathrm{F} x_{2}=0$. Let $\mathrm{F} x_{1}=a x_{1}+b x_{2}+c z$ and use $\mathrm{VF}=0$ to find that $a=0$. Then $\lambda$ and $c$ are units because $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{FM}_{1}=2$. Conclude that $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}=\mathrm{F} x_{1}$ form a basis for $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ affording the matrix representations of V and F in (3.6).

In the second case, let $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{L}_{2}=2$. Then $\mathrm{VM}_{2}=\mathrm{VL}_{2}=\mathrm{kerF}$ is 2-dimensional and $\mathrm{FM}_{2}=\operatorname{kerV}$ is 1-dimensional. In particular $\mathrm{F}^{2}=0$, so that $\mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{2}+\mathrm{FM}_{2}$ gives $\mathrm{FM}_{2}=\mathrm{FL}_{2}$. Because V is nilpotent and injective on $\mathrm{L}_{2}$, we cannot have $\mathrm{VL}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{2}$ and so $\mathrm{VL}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ is 1-dimensional, say generated by $y_{2}=\mathrm{V} y_{1}$ with $y_{1}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{2}$. Thus $\mathrm{F} y_{2}=0$. In addition, $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are linearly independent, so $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$. Let $y_{3}=\mathrm{F} y_{1}$. Then $\mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{FL}_{2}=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\rangle$ and $\mathrm{F} y_{3}=\mathrm{F}^{2} y_{1}=0$. Write $\mathrm{V} y_{2}=a y_{1}+b y_{2}+c y_{3}$, use $\mathrm{FV}=0$ to get $a=0$ and use nilpotence of V to get $b=0$. This proves (3.7) with $\lambda^{\prime}=c$.

Corollary 3.8. The Cartier dual $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}^{*}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}^{*}\right)$ of $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)$ is a Honda system of the form (3.6) with parameter $\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}}$. It is isomorphic to $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)$ with parameter $\lambda$ precisely when there is some $r$ in $k^{\times}$such that $\lambda=r^{1-p^{3}}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}}$ in $k^{\times}$.

Proof. Let V and F be the Verschiebung and Frobenius matrices in (3.7) with respect to the basis $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ for $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. With respect to the dual basis $y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}, y_{3}^{*}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{*}$, the Verschiebung and Frobenius for $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}^{*}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}^{*}\right)$ are given by

$$
\mathrm{V}^{*}=\sigma^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}^{*}=\sigma\left(\mathrm{V}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\mathrm{V}^{\operatorname{tr}}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{\operatorname{tr}}$ are the transposes of V and F . We have $\mathrm{L}_{2}^{*}=\left\langle y_{3}^{*}\right\rangle$, since it is the annihilator of $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$. Set

$$
X_{1}=y_{3}^{*}, \quad X_{2}=\mathrm{V}^{*} X_{1}=y_{1}^{*}, \quad X_{3}=\mathrm{F}^{*} X_{1}=\sigma\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) y_{2}^{*}
$$

Then $\mathrm{F}^{*} X_{3}=\sigma^{2}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) X_{2}$, so $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ is the basis for a Honda system of the form (3.6) with parameter $\lambda^{*}=\sigma^{2}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$.

The only freedom in the standard basis $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ for $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ in (3.6) is to replace $x_{1}$ by $\tilde{x}_{1}=\sigma(r) x_{1}$ for some $r$ in $k^{\times}$. Then $\tilde{x}_{2}=\mathrm{V} \tilde{x}_{1}=r x_{2}$ and $\tilde{x}_{3}=\mathrm{F} \tilde{x}_{1}=\sigma^{2}(r) x_{3}$. Since $\mathrm{F} \tilde{x}_{3}=\frac{\sigma^{3}(r)}{r} \lambda \tilde{x}_{2}$, the parameter for the new basis is $\tilde{\lambda}=\frac{\sigma^{3}(r)}{r} \lambda$. Hence $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}^{*}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}^{*}\right)$ if and only if $\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda^{*}$ for some $r$.

As a check, with respect to the dual basis $x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, x_{3}^{*}$ for $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}^{*}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}^{*}\right)$, Verschiebung and Frobenius are given by

$$
\mathrm{V}^{*}=\sigma^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma^{-1}(\lambda) & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}^{*}=\sigma\left(\mathrm{V}^{\operatorname{tr}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $Y_{1}=x_{2}^{*}, Y_{2}=\mathrm{V}^{*} Y_{1}=\sigma^{-1}(\lambda) x_{3}^{*}, Y_{3}=\mathrm{F}^{*} Y_{1}=x_{1}^{*}$ is a standard basis for a Honda system of the form (3.7) and $\mathrm{L}_{1}^{*}=\left\langle Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right\rangle$ is the annihilator of $x_{1}^{*}$. Since $V^{*} Y_{2}=\sigma^{-2}(\lambda) Y_{3}$, the parameter for the basis $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}$ is $\sigma^{-2}(\lambda)$. Taking the double dual gives the expected isomorphism.

Let $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)$ be given by (3.6) and $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)$ by (3.7), with respective parameters $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ in $k^{\times}$, fixed for the rest of this section. For each pair $(i, j), 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$,
we parametrize extensions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{M}_{i}, \mathrm{~L}_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~L}) \xrightarrow{\pi}\left(\mathrm{M}_{j}, \mathrm{~L}_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0, \text { with } p \mathrm{M}=0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.10. Let $(i, j)=(1,1)$. For each triple $\mathbf{s}_{11}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ in $k^{3}$, there is a Honda system ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}$ ) as in (3.9) with $k$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$, such that $\mathrm{L}=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{4}\right\rangle$, $\iota\left(x_{1}\right)=e_{1}, \pi\left(e_{4}\right)=x_{1}$,

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_{1} \\
0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_{2} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_{3} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Conversely, every Honda system (M, L) as in (3.9) has this form for suitable choice of $\mathbf{s}_{11}$.

Proof. For a given parameter vector $\mathbf{s}_{11}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$, this construction satisfies the conditions for a Honda system. Conversely, given (M, L) as in (3.9), let $e_{t}=\iota\left(x_{t}\right)$ for $t=1,2,3$. Exactness of $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{1} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{~L} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \rightarrow 0$ implies that $e_{1} \neq 0$ is in L and we can extend to a basis for L by adjoining $e_{4}$ such that $\pi\left(e_{4}\right)=x_{1}$. We verify that $e_{5}=\mathrm{V} e_{4}$ and $e_{6}=\mathrm{F} e_{4}$ are lifts of $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ respectively:

$$
\pi\left(e_{5}\right)=\pi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{4}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\pi\left(e_{4}\right)\right)=\mathrm{V} x_{1}=x_{2}, \quad \pi\left(e_{6}\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(\pi\left(e_{4}\right)\right)=\mathrm{F} x_{1}=x_{3}
$$

Since $\pi\left(\mathrm{Fe} e_{6}\right)=\mathrm{F} x_{3}=\lambda x_{2}=\pi\left(\lambda e_{5}\right)$, we can write

$$
\mathrm{F} e_{6}=\lambda e_{5}+\lambda\left(s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)
$$

for some scalars $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ in $k$. It follows from $\mathrm{VF} e_{6}=0$ that $\mathrm{V} e_{5}=-\sigma^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right) e_{2}$. The matrix representations of V and F are completed by using $\mathrm{Ve}_{6}=\mathrm{VF} e_{4}=0$ and $\mathrm{F} e_{5}=\mathrm{FV} e_{4}=0$.

Remark 3.11. We examine possible changes of the above basis, if the matrices for V and F in the new basis have the same shape while keeping $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ fixed. Any other lift of $x_{1}$ to L has the form $\tilde{e}_{4}=e_{4}+r e_{1}$. Then, to obtain matrices for V and F with the above shape, $\tilde{e}_{5}=e_{5}+\sigma^{-1}(r) e_{2}$ and $\tilde{e}_{6}=e_{6}+\sigma(r) e_{3}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F} \tilde{e}_{6} & =\lambda\left(e_{5}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)+\sigma^{2}(r) \mathrm{F} e_{1} \\
& =\lambda\left(\tilde{e}_{5}-\sigma^{-1}(r) e_{2}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)+\sigma^{2}(r) \lambda e_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\sigma\left(\tilde{s}_{2}\right)=s_{2}+\sigma^{3}(r)-r$, but the other parameters are preserved, suggesting that $s_{2}$ is related to the unramified degree $p$ extension of $F$.

Proposition 3.12. Let (M, L) represent an extension of the form (3.9) with $(i, j)=$ $(2,1)$. There is a $k$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$ for M and a parameter $\mathbf{s}_{21}=s$ in $k$ such that $\iota\left(y_{1}\right)=e_{1}, \pi\left(e_{4}\right)=x_{1}, \mathrm{~L}=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{4}\right\rangle$,

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{\prime} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \sigma(s) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text {. }
$$

Proof. For $j=1,2,3$, let $e_{j}=\iota\left(y_{j}\right)$. Since $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{2} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{~L} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \rightarrow 0$ is exact, $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are in L and we can extend to a basis for L by adjoining $\tilde{e}_{4}$ such that $\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)=x_{1}$. Define $\tilde{e}_{5}=\mathrm{V} \tilde{e}_{4}$ and $\tilde{e}_{6}=\mathrm{F} \tilde{e}_{4}$, so that $\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{5}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)\right)=\mathrm{V} x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{6}\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)\right)=\mathrm{F} x_{1}=x_{3}$. Since $\pi\left(\mathrm{F} \tilde{e}_{6}\right)=\mathrm{F} x_{3}=\lambda x_{2}=\pi\left(\lambda \tilde{e}_{5}\right)$, we have

$$
\mathrm{F} \tilde{e}_{6}=\lambda\left(\tilde{e}_{5}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)
$$

for some scalars $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ in $k$.
We can choose a different lift $e_{4}=\tilde{e}_{4}+\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\alpha_{2} e_{2}$ of $x_{1}$ in L with $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ in $k$ to be determined. Then we have the lift

$$
e_{5}=\mathrm{V} e_{4}=\tilde{e}_{5}+\sigma^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) e_{2}+\sigma^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \lambda^{\prime} e_{3}
$$

of $x_{2}$ and the lift $e_{6}=\mathrm{Fe} e_{4}=\tilde{e}_{6}+\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) e_{3}$ lof $x_{3}$. We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F} e_{6} & =\lambda\left(\tilde{e}_{5}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right) \\
& =\lambda\left(e_{5}-\sigma^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) e_{2}-\sigma^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \lambda^{\prime} e_{3}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\alpha_{1}=\sigma\left(s_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}=\sigma\left(\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{3}\right)$, so that $\mathrm{F} e_{6}=\lambda\left(e_{5}+s_{1} e_{1}\right)$. It follows from $\mathrm{VFe}_{6}=0$ that $\mathrm{V} e_{5}=-\sigma^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right) e_{2}$. Finally, let $s=-\sigma\left(s_{1}\right)$.

Proposition 3.13. Let (M, L) represent an extension of the form (3.9) with $(i, j)=$ $(1,2)$. There is a $k$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$ for M and a parameter vector $\mathbf{s}_{12}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)$ in $k^{4}$ such that $\iota\left(x_{1}\right)=e_{1}, \pi\left(e_{4}\right)=y_{1}, \mathrm{~L}=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\rangle$,
$\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\prime} s_{1} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\prime} s_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{4} & \lambda^{\prime} s_{3} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\prime} & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $\mathrm{F}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & -\lambda \sigma\left(s_{4}\right) & -\lambda \sigma\left(s_{3}\right) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Proof. For $j=1,2,3$, let $e_{j}=\iota\left(x_{j}\right)$. Since $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{1} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{~L} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \rightarrow 0$ is exact, $e_{1}$ is in L and we can extend to a basis for L by adjoining $\tilde{e}_{4}$ and $\tilde{e}_{5}$ such that $\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)=y_{1}$ and $\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{5}\right)=y_{2}$. Then the most general lifts of $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ to L are $e_{4}=\tilde{e}_{4}+\alpha_{1} e_{1}$ and $e_{5}=\tilde{e}_{5}+\alpha_{2} e_{1}$ respectively, with $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ in $k$ to be determined. Since

$$
\pi\left(\mathrm{V} \tilde{e}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{V} y_{1}=y_{2}=\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{5}\right)
$$

we can write $\mathrm{V}\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)=\tilde{e}_{5}+t_{1} e_{1}+t_{2} e_{2}+t_{3} e_{3}$ for some $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$ in $k$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V} e_{4} & =\mathrm{V} \tilde{e}_{4}+\sigma^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) e_{2}=\tilde{e}_{5}+t_{1} e_{1}+t_{2} e_{2}+t_{3} e_{3}+\sigma^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) e_{2} \\
& =e_{5}+\left(t_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) e_{1}+\left(t_{2}+\sigma^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) e_{2}+t_{3} e_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\alpha_{2}=t_{1}$ and $\alpha_{1}=-\sigma\left(t_{2}\right)$ to arrange that $\mathrm{V} e_{4}=e_{5}+s_{4} e_{3}$ with $s_{4}=t_{3}$. Define $e_{6}=\mathrm{F} e_{4}$, so that $\pi\left(e_{6}\right)=y_{3}$. From

$$
0=\mathrm{FV} e_{4}=\mathrm{F}\left(e_{5}+s_{4} e_{3}\right)=\mathrm{F} e_{5}+\sigma\left(s_{4}\right) \lambda e_{2}
$$

we find that $\mathrm{F} e_{5}=-\sigma\left(s_{4}\right) \lambda e_{2}$. We have

$$
\pi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{5}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\pi\left(e_{5}\right)\right)=\mathrm{V} y_{2}=\lambda^{\prime} y_{3}=\lambda^{\prime} \pi\left(e_{6}\right)
$$

so we can write $V e_{5}=\lambda^{\prime}\left(e_{6}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
0=\mathrm{FV} e_{5}=\sigma\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{F} e_{6}+\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) e_{3}+\sigma\left(s_{3}\right) \lambda e_{2}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{F} e_{6}=-\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) e_{3}-\sigma\left(s_{3}\right) \lambda e_{2}
$$

Finally, $\mathrm{V} e_{6}=\mathrm{VF} e_{4}=0$, to complete the matrix for V .

Proposition 3.14. Let (M, L) represent an extension of the form (3.9) with $(i, j)=$ $(2,2)$. There is a $k$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$ for M and a parameter vector $\mathbf{s}_{22}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ in $k^{3}$ such that $\iota\left(y_{1}\right)=e_{1}, \pi\left(e_{4}\right)=y_{1}, \mathrm{~L}=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\rangle$,

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\prime} s_{1} & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\prime} s_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{\prime} & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\prime} s_{3} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof. For $j=1,2,3$, let $e_{j}=\iota\left(y_{j}\right)$. Since $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{2} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{~L} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \rightarrow 0$ is exact, $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are in L. We can extend to a basis for L by adjoining $\tilde{e}_{4}$ and $\tilde{e}_{5}$ such that $\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)=y_{1}$ and $\pi\left(\tilde{e}_{5}\right)=y_{2}$. Since $\pi\left(\mathrm{V} \tilde{e}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{V} y_{1}=y_{2}$, there are scalars $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ in $k$ such that $\mathrm{V} \tilde{e}_{4}=\tilde{e}_{5}+\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\alpha_{2} e_{2}+\alpha_{3} e_{3}$.

We may correct $\tilde{e}_{4}$ by an element of $\iota\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}\right\rangle$. First make the change $e_{4}=\tilde{e}_{4}+u_{2} e_{2}$ with $u_{2}$ in $k$ to be determined. Then

$$
\mathrm{V} e_{4}=\mathrm{V} \tilde{e}_{4}+\lambda^{\prime} \sigma^{-1}\left(u_{2}\right) e_{3}=\tilde{e}_{5}+\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\alpha_{2} e_{2}+\left(\alpha_{3}+\lambda \sigma^{-1}\left(u_{2}\right)\right) e_{3}
$$

Since $\tilde{e}_{5}$ also can be corrected by an element of $\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}\right\rangle$, let $e_{5}=\tilde{e}_{5}+\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\alpha_{2} e_{2}$ and choose $u_{2}=-\sigma\left(\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \alpha_{3}\right)$. Then $e_{5}$ is another lift of $y_{2}$ in $L$ and $\mathrm{V} e_{4}=e_{5}$. Define $e_{6}=\mathrm{F} e_{4}$, so that $\pi\left(e_{6}\right)=\mathrm{F} y_{1}=y_{3}$. Since $\pi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{5}\right)=\mathrm{V} y_{2}=\lambda^{\prime} y_{3}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{V} e_{5}=\lambda^{\prime}\left(e_{6}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)
$$

for some $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ in $k$. From $\mathrm{FV} e_{5}=0$, we find that $\mathrm{F} e_{6}=-\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) e_{3}$. Then use $\mathrm{V} e_{6}=\mathrm{VF} e_{4}=0$ and $\mathrm{F} e_{5}=\mathrm{FV} e_{4}=0$ to complete the matrices for V and F .

We could still take $e_{4}^{\prime}=e_{4}+u_{1} e_{1}$. Then $e_{5}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V} e_{4}^{\prime}=e_{5}+\sigma^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right) \lambda^{\prime} e_{2}$ and $e_{6}^{\prime}=\mathrm{F} e_{4}^{\prime}=e_{6}+\sigma\left(u_{1}\right) e_{3}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V} e_{5}^{\prime} & =\mathrm{V} e_{5}+\sigma^{-2}\left(u_{1}\right) \lambda^{\prime} e_{3}=\lambda^{\prime}\left(e_{6}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+\left(s_{3}+\sigma^{-2}\left(u_{1}\right)\right) e_{3}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\prime}\left(e_{6}^{\prime}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+\left(s_{3}+\sigma^{-2}\left(u_{1}\right)-\sigma^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right)\right) e_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $s_{3}^{\prime}=s_{3}+\sigma^{-2}\left(u_{1}\right)-\sigma^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right)$.
Fix the parameters $\lambda$ for $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ for $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)$. Each of the Propositions 3.10, 3.12, 3.13, 3.14 treats a specific pair $(i, j), 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ and assigns to a parameter vector $\mathbf{s}_{i j}$, an extension (M, L) as in (3.9).

Proposition 3.15. Given $(i, j)$, let the parameter vectors $\mathbf{s}_{i j}, \mathbf{s}_{i j}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{i j}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{s}_{i j}+\mathbf{s}_{i j}^{\prime}$ determine the Honda systems $(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}),\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{L}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, respectively. Then $\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{L}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the Baer sum of $(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})$ and $\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. The underlying argument applies to additive groups $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Consider two extensions of $M_{2}$ by $M_{1}$, say:

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{1} \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M_{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \rightarrow M_{1} \xrightarrow{\iota^{\prime}} M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M_{2} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Their Baer sum $M^{\prime \prime}$ is the fiber product of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ modulo the subgroup

$$
\Delta:=\left\langle(\iota(x), 0)-\left(0, \iota^{\prime}(x)\right) \mid x \in M_{1}\right\rangle
$$

Thus, $M^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \in M \times M^{\prime} \mid \pi(m)=\pi^{\prime}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right\} / \Delta$ is an extension

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{1} \xrightarrow{\iota^{\prime \prime}} M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime \prime}} M_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\iota^{\prime \prime}$ induced by $x \mapsto(\iota(x), 0)$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ induced by $\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \pi(m)$.

Fix sections $s: M_{2} \rightarrow M$ and $s^{\prime}: M_{2} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ describing the two extensions, i.e., $\pi \circ s=\operatorname{Id}_{M_{2}}$ and $\pi^{\prime} \circ s^{\prime}=\operatorname{Id}_{M_{2}}$. Then $s^{\prime \prime}=s \oplus s^{\prime}$ is a section of $\pi^{\prime \prime}$. In fact, for $y \in M_{2}$, the map $s^{\prime \prime}$ is induced by $y \mapsto\left(s(y), s^{\prime}(y)\right) \in M \oplus M^{\prime}$ and

$$
\pi^{\prime \prime}\left(s^{\prime \prime}(y)\right)=\pi^{\prime \prime}\left(\left(s(y), s^{\prime}(y)\right)+\Delta\right)=\pi(s(y))=y \text { for all } y \in M_{2}
$$

Fix endomorphisms $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ and let $g$ be an endomorphism of $M$ such that $g \circ \iota=\iota f_{1}$ and $\pi \circ g=f_{2} \circ \pi$. For $y$ in $M_{2}$, we find that $g(s(y))-s\left(f_{2}(y)\right)$ is in ker $\pi$, so $y$ determines a unique element $x$ in $M_{1}$ such that $g(s(y))-s\left(f_{2}(y)\right)=\iota(x)$. Define the homomorphism $\delta_{g}: M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$ by $\delta_{g}(y)=x$.

Similarly, let $g^{\prime}$ be an endomorphism of $M^{\prime}$ respecting $\iota^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ and let $\delta_{g^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding homomorphism in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{2}, M_{1}\right)$. One easily checks that $g^{\prime \prime}=g \oplus g^{\prime}$ is an endomorphism of $M^{\prime \prime}$ respecting $\iota^{\prime \prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ and that $\delta_{g^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}=\delta_{g}+\delta_{g}^{\prime}$.

For Honda systems, we treat $i=j=1$, since the other cases are similar. Let $\mathbf{s}_{11}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{s}_{11}^{\prime}=\left(s_{1}^{\prime}, s_{2}^{\prime}, s_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ determine the Honda systems (M, L) and $\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. Let F and V denote the Frobenius and Verschiebung endomorphisms on M . Then $\delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{F}}$ dictate the upper right $3 \times 3$ blocks in the matrices for V and F in Proposition 3.10 and thereby determine $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$. The upper $3 \times 3$ block for the Verschiebung of the Baer sum is the sum of the corresponding $3 \times 3$ blocks for ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ ) and similarly for the Frobenius. It follows that $\mathbf{s}_{11}+\mathbf{s}_{11}^{\prime}$ gives the Baer sum.

## 4. The local field of points

Let $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ be the simple group scheme associated to the Honda system $\mathfrak{E}_{i}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{i}, \mathrm{~L}_{i}\right)$ of Proposition 3.5 for $i=1,2$. We preserve the notation of $\S 3$, except that we now write $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ for lifts to $\mathbb{W}$ of what previously were the parameters in $k$ of $\mathfrak{E}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{E}_{2}$. The choices of $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are fixed throughout and will be suppressed from the notation. In this section, we study the field of points of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ and of extensions $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{j}$ such that $p \mathcal{V}=0$.
4.1. The simple group schemes. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ be standard bases for $\mathfrak{E}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{E}_{2}$ respectively. Then $x_{1}$ generates $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ generates $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ as a $D_{k}$-module. Write $E_{i}$ for the Galois module of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$. The points of $E_{i}$ are $D_{k^{-}}$ homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi: \mathrm{M}_{i} \rightarrow \widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right) \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the Hasse-Witt exponential $\xi\left(\psi\left(\mathrm{L}_{i}\right)\right)=0$, as in (3.2).
More generally, whenever a congruence involving elements of $\bar{K} / w \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ is stated, it is meant to be valid, independent of the choice of lifts to $\bar{K}$.

We first show that as Galois modules, $E_{1}$ and

$$
\Re_{\lambda}=\left\{a \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid a^{p^{3}}+\lambda^{p} p a \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{2} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)\right\}
$$

are isomorphic and we describe the field of points $F=K\left(E_{1}\right)$. For $a$ in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$, define $b \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ by $b \equiv \lambda^{-1} a^{p^{2}}\left(\bmod p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$ and denote by $\psi_{a}$ the unique $D_{k^{-}}$ homomorphism $\mathrm{M}_{1} \rightarrow \widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$ satisfying $\psi_{a}\left(x_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b, a)$.

Proposition 4.1.2. The field of points $F=K\left(E_{1}\right)$ is the splitting field over $K$ of $f_{\lambda}(x)=x^{p^{3}-1}+\lambda^{p} p$. Moreover:
i) $A D_{k}-m a p \psi$ is in $E_{1}$ if and only if $\psi=\psi_{a}$ for some a in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$.
ii) The maximal subfield of $F$ unramified over $K$ is $F_{0}=K\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}\right)$ and $F / F_{0}$ is totally ramified of degree $p^{3}-1$.
iii) $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$-vector space under the usual operations on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and $a \mapsto \psi_{a}$ defines an $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[G_{K}\right]$-isomorphism $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{1}$.

Proof. i) Let the $D_{k}$-homomorphism $\psi$ be a non-zero point in $E_{1}$. Since $V^{2}=0$, we have $\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b, a)$ for some $a$ and $b$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ with $a \neq 0$. Acting by V and F gives

$$
\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi\left(x_{3}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, b^{p}, a^{p}\right)
$$

Then $0=\mathrm{VF}\left(\psi\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\psi\left(x_{3}\right)\right)$ forces $b^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, so $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(b) \geq \frac{1}{p}$. Also,

$$
\lambda(\overrightarrow{0}, b)=\psi\left(\lambda x_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\mathrm{F} x_{3}\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(\psi\left(x_{3}\right)\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, a^{p^{2}}\right)
$$

Hence $\lambda b \equiv a^{p^{2}}(\bmod p)$ and so $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)=\frac{1}{p^{2}} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(b) \geq \frac{1}{p^{3}}$. From $\xi\left(\psi\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=0$, we find that $a+\frac{1}{p} b^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Then Lemma 3.3 gives

$$
a+\frac{1}{p}\left(\lambda^{-1} a^{p^{2}}\right)^{p} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Thus $a^{p^{3}}+\lambda^{p} p a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, from which we obtain the following valuations:

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)=\frac{1}{p^{3}-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}(b)=\frac{p^{2}}{p^{3}-1}
$$

All points of $E_{1}$ are accounted for as $a$ ranges over $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$
ii) If $f_{\lambda}(\theta)=0$ and $\zeta$ generates $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}$, then the roots of $f_{\lambda}$ have the form $\theta_{j}=\zeta^{j} \theta$, while their reductions modulo $p$ give all non-zero elements of $\Re_{\lambda}$. For the converse, lift $a \in \Re_{\lambda}$ to $\tilde{a}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$. If $g(x)=x^{p^{3}}-x$, then $g(\tilde{a} / \theta) \equiv 0\left(\bmod \frac{p}{\theta} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$, so $\tilde{a} \equiv 0$ or $\tilde{a} \equiv \theta_{j}\left(\bmod p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$ for some $j$ by Hensel's Lemma. Thus $F=K\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}, \theta\right)$ is the splitting field of $f_{\lambda}$. Since $f_{\lambda}$ is an Eisenstein polynomial over $F_{0}=K\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}\right)$, the extension $F / F_{0}$ is totally ramified of degree $p^{3}-1$ and $F_{0}$ is the maximal subfield of $F$ unramified over $K$.
iii) Scalar multiplication by $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ on $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$ is defined via the embedding

$$
\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}=\mathbb{W}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}\right) / p \mathbb{W}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}
$$

Closure of $\Re_{\lambda}$ under this operation and under the usual addition in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ is clear. If $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$, addition of points in $E_{1}$ implies that

$$
\psi_{a_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \dot{+} \psi_{a_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)=\psi_{a}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

for some $a$ in $\Re_{\lambda}$. Denote this equation of Witt covectors by

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, b_{1}, a_{1}\right) \dot{+}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, b_{2}, a_{2}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b, a) .
$$

By applying the Verschiebung V , we find that $b=b_{1}+b_{2}$, so $a^{p^{2}}=a_{1}^{p^{2}}+a_{2}^{p^{2}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$. Without changing notation, lift $a, a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and let $a=\omega_{0} \theta$, $a_{1}=\omega_{1} \theta$ and $a_{2}=\omega_{2} \theta$, where $\theta$ is a root of $f$ and each $\omega_{j}$ is in $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1} \cup\{0\}$. Then

$$
\omega_{0}^{p^{2}} \equiv \omega_{1}^{p^{2}}+\omega_{2}^{p^{2}} \equiv\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)^{p^{2}} \quad\left(\bmod \frac{p}{\theta^{p^{2}}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)
$$

Since the $\omega$ 's lie in the unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(p / \theta^{p^{2}}\right)>0$, we obtain $\omega_{0} \equiv \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}(\bmod p)$ and thus $a=a_{1}+a_{2}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$. Alternatively, $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a-a_{1}-a_{2}\right) \geq 1$ by the covector addition formulas in Lemma 3.4.

Next we show that there is a Galois module isomorphism of $E_{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}} \alpha^{p^{3}}+p^{p+1} \alpha \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{p+2} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)\right\} \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we describe $F^{\prime}=K\left(E_{2}\right)$. For $\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, define $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ by

$$
\gamma \equiv \lambda^{\prime} \alpha^{p} \quad\left(\bmod p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta \equiv-\frac{1}{p} \gamma^{p} \equiv-\frac{1}{p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p} \alpha^{p^{2}} \quad\left(\bmod p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)
$$

Denote by $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ the unique $D_{k}$-homomorphism $\mathrm{M}_{2} \rightarrow \widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)$ satisfying $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$.
Proposition 4.1.4. The field of points $F^{\prime}=K\left(E_{2}\right)$ is the splitting field over $K$ of $g_{\lambda^{\prime}}(x)=\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}} x^{p^{3}-1}+p^{p+1}$.
i) $A D_{k}$-map $\psi$ is in $E_{2}$ if and only if $\psi=\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ for some $\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}$.
ii) Let $F_{0}^{\prime}$ be the maximal subfield of $F^{\prime}$ unramified over $K$. If $p$ is odd, then $F_{0}^{\prime}=K\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}, \sqrt{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\left[F^{\prime}: F_{0}^{\prime}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{3}-1\right)$. If $p=2$, then $F_{0}^{\prime}=K\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{7}\right)$ and $\left[F^{\prime}: F_{0}^{\prime}\right]=7$.
iii) $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}-\text { vector space under the usual operations on } \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \text { and } \alpha \mapsto \psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}, ~\left({ }^{\prime}\right)}$ defines an $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[G_{K}\right]$-isomorphism $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{2}$.

Proof. i) Suppose that the $D_{k}$-homomorphism $\psi$ is a non-zero point in $E_{2}$. Since $V^{3}=0$, we have $\psi\left(y_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$. By applying V and F we find that

$$
\psi\left(y_{2}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi\left(y_{3}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma^{p}, \beta^{p}, \alpha^{p}\right)
$$

Also, $0=\mathrm{VF}\left(\psi\left(y_{1}\right)\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\psi\left(y_{3}\right)\right)$ gives $\gamma^{p} \equiv \beta^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, so $\psi\left(y_{3}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \alpha^{p}\right)$. In addition,

$$
\lambda^{\prime}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \alpha^{p}\right)=\psi\left(\lambda^{\prime} y_{3}\right)=\psi\left(\mathrm{V} y_{2}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\psi\left(y_{2}\right)\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma \equiv \lambda^{\prime} \alpha^{p} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential $\xi\left(\psi\left(y_{2}\right)\right)$ gives $\beta+\frac{1}{p} \gamma^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and so $\beta \equiv-\frac{1}{p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p} \alpha^{p^{2}}(\bmod p)$ by Lemma 3.3. In follows that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\gamma)=p \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\alpha)$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\beta)=p^{2} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\alpha)-1$. Vanishing of $\xi\left(\psi\left(y_{1}\right)\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\frac{1}{p} \beta^{p}+\frac{1}{p^{2}} \gamma^{p^{2}} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \tag{4.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing the terms, we obtain the following valuations:

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\alpha)=\frac{p+1}{p^{3}-1}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\beta)=\frac{p^{2}+1}{p^{3}-1}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\gamma)=\frac{p^{2}+p}{p^{3}-1}
$$

Then $\frac{1}{p^{2}} \gamma^{p^{2}} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ in (4.1.5). Substitute for $\beta$ in that equation to find that $\alpha+\frac{1}{p^{p+1}}\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}} \alpha^{p^{3}} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and so $g_{\lambda^{\prime}}(\alpha) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{p+2}\right)$.

Now, let $\alpha$ be a root of $g_{\lambda^{\prime}}$. If $p=2$, then $2 \alpha^{-2}$ is a root of the Eisenstein polynomial $y^{7}-2\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{8}$ and $F^{\prime}=K\left(\sqrt[7]{2 \lambda^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{7}\right)$. If $p$ is odd, we have

$$
\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}}=\left(p^{(p+1) / 2} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{3}-1\right)}\right)^{2}
$$

and so $\lambda^{\prime}$ is a square in $F^{\prime}$. Choose the sign of $\eta=\sqrt{\lambda^{\prime}}$ so that $\alpha$ a root of $\eta^{p^{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{3}-1\right)}-p^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{3}-1\right), \frac{1}{2}(p+1)\right\}=1$, the field $F^{\prime}$ is totally ramified of degree $\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{3}-1\right)$ over $F_{0}=K\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}, \sqrt{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$.

The proof of (iii) parallels that of Proposition 4.1.2

Remark 4.1.6. According to Proposition4.1.2(ii), if $\theta$ is a root of $f_{\lambda}(x)$, then the lifts of all $a \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ comprise the cosets $\zeta^{j} \theta+p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ as $\zeta^{j}$ ranges over $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{3}-1}$. Thus $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}$ descends to an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$-linear subspace of $\mathcal{O}_{F} / p \mathcal{O}_{F}$ and we write

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}(F)=\left\{a \in \mathcal{O}_{F} / p \mathcal{O}_{F} \mid a^{p^{3}}+\lambda^{p} p a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right\}
$$

Let the point $\psi_{a}$ in $E_{1}$ correspond to $a$ in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}(F)$. We define an action of elements $\epsilon$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ on $E_{1}$ by $\epsilon \psi_{a}=\psi_{\epsilon a}$, in agreement with multiplication on Witt covectors. In fact, if $b_{a}=\lambda^{-1} a^{p^{2}}$, then evaluating on $x_{1}$ gives

$$
[\epsilon]\left(\overrightarrow{0}, b_{a}, a\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} b_{a}, \epsilon a\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, b_{\epsilon a}, \epsilon a\right)
$$

Similarly, $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{F^{\prime}} / p \mathcal{O}_{F^{\prime}} \mid\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}} \alpha^{p^{3}}+p^{p+1} \alpha \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{p+2} \mathcal{O}_{F^{\prime}}\right)\right\}$. If the point $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ in $E_{2}$ corresponds to $\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$, let $\epsilon \psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\psi_{\epsilon \alpha}^{\prime}$.

For the convenience of the reader, we summarize some congruences and valuations from Propositions 4.1.2 and 4.1.4 in the following Table.

Table 1. Convenient formulae for the Honda systems $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$

| For $\mathrm{M}_{1}: \quad a^{p^{3}}+\lambda^{p} p a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- |
| - $\bmod p: \quad b \equiv \lambda^{-1} a^{p^{2}}, \quad \frac{1}{p} b^{p} \equiv-a \quad \bullet \bmod p^{p}: \quad \frac{1}{p^{2}} b^{p^{2}} \equiv(-1)^{p} p^{p-2} a^{p}$ |
| - $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)=\frac{1}{p^{3}-1}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}(b)=\frac{p^{2}}{p^{3}-1}$ |
| For $\mathrm{M}_{2}: \quad\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p^{2}} \alpha^{p^{3}}+p^{p+1} \alpha \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{p+2}\right)$ |
| - $\bmod p: \quad \beta \equiv-\frac{1}{p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p} \alpha^{p^{2}}, \quad \frac{1}{p} \beta^{p} \equiv-\alpha, \quad \gamma \equiv \lambda^{\prime} \alpha^{p}, \quad \frac{1}{p} \gamma^{p} \equiv-\beta$ |
| - $\bmod p^{p}: \quad \frac{1}{p^{2}} \beta^{p^{2}} \equiv(-1)^{p} p^{p-2} \alpha^{p}, \quad \frac{1}{p^{2}} \gamma^{p^{2}} \equiv(-1)^{p+1} p^{p-1} \alpha$ |
| - $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\alpha)=\frac{p+1}{p^{3}-1}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\beta)=\frac{p^{2}+1}{p^{3}-1}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\gamma)=\frac{p^{2}+p}{p^{3}-1}$ |

Remark 4.1.7. We have $(\alpha / a)^{p^{3}-1}=\left(\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{-p} \lambda^{-1} p\right)^{p}$, from the defining equations, so $\alpha / a=w^{p}$ is a $p$-th power for some $w$ in $F^{\prime \prime}=F F^{\prime}=K\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$.
4.2. Extensions of exponent $p$. For $j$ in $\{1,2\}$, let $\mathcal{E}_{j}$ be the group scheme associated to the Honda system $\left(\mathrm{M}_{j}, \mathrm{~L}_{j}\right)$ of Proposition 3.5. For a given pair $(i, j)$, let

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{[p]}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right)=\left\{[\mathcal{V}] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right) \mid p \mathcal{V}=0\right\}
$$

be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right)$ comprising the classes represented by group schemes $\mathcal{V}$ such that $p \mathcal{V}=0$. Thus, there is an exact sequence of Honda systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{M}_{i}, \mathrm{~L}_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~L}) \xrightarrow{\pi}\left(\mathrm{M}_{j}, \mathrm{~L}_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the exact sequence of group schemes $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{j} \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\iota^{*}} \mathcal{E}_{i} \rightarrow 0$.
Let $\mathbf{s}_{i j}$ be a parameter vector for (M,L) and preserve the notation in $\S 3$ for ordered bases: $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$ of M . The points of $\mathcal{V}$ are homomorphisms

$$
\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{D_{k}}\left(\mathrm{M}, \widehat{C W}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} / p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}\right)\right)
$$

determined by $\varphi\left(e_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)$, since $e_{1}$ and $e_{4}$ generates M as a $D_{k}$-module.

Theorem 4.2.2. Let $\mathcal{V}$ represent a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[p]}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right)$. Then $K(V)$ is an elementary abelian p-extension of $K\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)$ with ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f} \leq p^{2}+p$.

The proof is done in the next four propositions, depending on the pair $(i, j)$. In some cases, we determine conductor bounds for certain parameters and obtain the others from Baer sums, using Proposition 3.15 and the following general Lemma.
Lemma 4.2.3. Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of a local field $F$. Inside $\bar{F}$, let $L_{i} / F$ be an abelian extension with ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{i}=\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{i} / F\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$. If $\mathfrak{f}_{1}<\mathfrak{f}_{2}$ and the inclusions $L_{2} \subseteq L_{1} L_{3}$ and $L_{3} \subseteq L_{1} L_{2}$ hold, then $\mathfrak{f}_{2}=\mathfrak{f}_{3}$.

Proof. The inequalities $\mathfrak{f}_{3} \leq \mathfrak{f}\left(L_{1} L_{2} / F\right)=\mathfrak{f}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{2} \leq \mathfrak{f}\left(L_{1} L_{3} / F\right) \leq \max \left\{\mathfrak{f}_{1}, \mathfrak{f}_{3}\right\}$ follow from the given inclusions and imply that $f_{2}=f_{3}$.

By construction, $K(V) / K\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)$ is an elementary abelian $p$-extension. See Propositions 4.1.2 and 4.1.4 for properties of the fields $F=K\left(E_{1}\right)$ and $F^{\prime}=K\left(E_{2}\right)$. Let $F^{\prime \prime}=K\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ and $L=K(V)$. Points of $E_{1}$ have the form $\psi_{a}$ with $a$ in $\Re_{\lambda}(F)$ and points of $E_{2}$ have the form $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, with $\alpha$ in $\Re_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$, as in Remark 4.1.6. See Table 1 for a summary of the relations on $a, \alpha$ and the other parameters of $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$.

If $i=1$, let $L_{a}$ be the field generated by the points $\varphi$ of $V$ in the fiber over the point $\psi_{a} \neq 0$ of $E_{1}$, treating $L_{a}$ as an extension of $F$ if $j=1$, or $F^{\prime \prime}$ if $j=2$. Similarly, if $i=2$, let $L_{\alpha}$ be the field generated by the points in the fiber of $V$ over the point $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime} \neq 0$ of $E_{2}$. By controlling the conductor for each of these fibers, we obtain the bound on $\mathfrak{f}(L / F)$,

To determine the field of points, it is convenient to make a change of variables using congruences on elements of $\bar{K}$ that are not necessarily integral. Thus, we consider $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$-modules of the form $\mathrm{R}_{d}=\bar{K} / \frac{p}{d} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$, with $d$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(d)<1$. Let $r=x+\frac{p}{d} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ be an element of $\mathrm{R}_{d}$ with $x$ in $\bar{K}$ and also write $\bar{x}=r$ for the image of $x$ in $\mathrm{R}_{d}$. Let $q=p^{n}$ with $n \geq 1$. For the reader's convenience, we summarize some aspects of the arithmetic of $\mathrm{R}_{d}$ needed here and easily checked.
$\mathbf{C 1}$ If $r$ is 0 in $\mathrm{R}_{d}$, define $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(r)=\infty$. Otherwise, define $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(r)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(x)$, which is independent of the choice of representative $x$.
C2 Assume that $p x^{q-1}$ is in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and define $r^{q}=x^{q}+\frac{p}{d} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$, also independent of the choice of representative $x$. Thus, by definition $\bar{x}^{q}=\frac{d}{x^{q}}$.
C3 If $d x^{q}$ and $d y^{q}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$, then $\bar{x}^{q}$ and $\bar{y}^{q}$ are well-defined in $\mathrm{R}_{d}$ and we have $(\bar{x}+\bar{y})^{q}=\bar{x}^{q}+\bar{y}^{q}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{d}$.
C4 If $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(d) \leq \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$, then the natural projection $\mathrm{R}_{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{d^{\prime}}$ is well-defined.
Proposition 4.2.4. If $\mathcal{V}$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[p]}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}\right)$ and $L=K(V)$, then $\mathfrak{f}(L / F) \leq p^{2}$.

Proof. Here $L_{a}$ is the extension of $F$ generated by the points $\varphi$ in the fiber of $V$ over $\psi_{a}$, as described above. In the proof, we provide more details about the conductor of $L_{a}$ in terms of the parameter vector $\mathbf{s}_{11}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ of the associated Honda system M. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is a non-split extension, some $s_{j} \neq 0$.

By Proposition 4.1.2, $\varphi\left(e_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b, a), \varphi\left(e_{2}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b)$ and $\varphi\left(e_{3}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, a^{p}\right)$. Set $\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{2}, d_{1}, d_{0}\right)$, since $\mathrm{V}^{3}=0$ and obtain $\varphi\left(e_{5}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{2}, d_{1}\right)$. Then $d_{2}^{p} \equiv d_{1}^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ because $\varphi\left(\mathrm{FV} e_{4}\right)=0$, so $\varphi\left(e_{6}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{F} e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p}\right)$. We have

$$
-\sigma^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)(\overrightarrow{0}, b)=\varphi\left(-\sigma^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right) e_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{5}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{2}\right)
$$

so $d_{2} \equiv-\sigma^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right) b(\bmod p)$ and by Lemma 3.3, $\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}} \equiv p^{p-2} s_{1}^{p} a^{p}(\bmod p)$.
By Proposition 3.10, $\mathrm{F} e_{6}=\lambda\left(e_{5}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)$. Applying $\varphi$ gives

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p^{2}}\right)=\lambda\left(\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{2}, d_{1}\right) \dot{+} s_{1}(\overrightarrow{0}, b, a) \dot{+} s_{2}(\overrightarrow{0}, b) \dot{+} s_{3}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, a^{p}\right)\right)
$$

Combine the Witt covectors above via (3.4). Then the rightmost coordinate gives

$$
d_{0}^{p^{2}} \equiv \lambda\left(d_{1}+s_{1} a+s_{2} b+s_{3} a^{p}\right) \quad(\bmod p)
$$

The change of variables $d_{0}=a x, d_{1}=b y, d_{2}=c z$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x^{p^{2}}-A \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{b}, \text { with } A=s_{2}+\lambda\left(s_{1} a+s_{3} a^{p}\right) a^{-p^{2}} \tag{4.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential on $\varphi(\mathrm{L})$, we have

$$
0=\xi\left(\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}+d_{0} \equiv p^{p-2} s_{1}^{p} a^{p}+\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}+d_{0} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=y^{p}-B \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{a}, \quad \text { where } B=p^{p-2} s_{1}^{p} a^{p-1} \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $B$ is in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{F}$ and we can take $B=0$ if $p \geq 3$ or if $s_{1}=0$ in k. By (4.2.6), $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(x)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(y^{p}\right)$, leading to $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(x^{p^{2}}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(A)$ by 4.2.5).

Since $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)<\operatorname{ord}_{p}(b)$, we also have $x=y^{p}-B$ in $\mathrm{R}_{b}$ by $\mathbf{C 4}$. Then $\mathbf{C 3}$ implies that $x^{p^{2}}=\left(y^{p}-B\right)^{p^{2}}=y^{p^{3}}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{b}$, since

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b y^{p^{3}}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(b A)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a^{p^{2}} A\right)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad B^{p^{2}} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Substituting in (4.2.5) gives $y^{p^{3}}-y-A=0$ in $\mathrm{R}_{b}$. Conversely, if $y$ is a root of $f_{a}(Y)=Y^{p^{3}}-Y-A$ over $F$ and $x$ is defined by (4.2.6), we find that the congruence (4.2.5) holds. Hence the field $L_{a}$ generated by the points of the fiber in $V$ over $\psi_{a}$ is the splitting field of $f_{a}$.

If $s_{1}=s_{3}=0$, then the reduction of $f_{a}$ is separable over the residue field $k_{F}$, so $L_{a} / F$ is unramified. In the remaining cases, Proposition A. 2 implies that $L_{a} / F$ is an elementary abelian extension of degree $p^{3}$ and gives the conductor exponent. More precisely, if $s_{1} \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{a} / F\right)=p^{2}$. If $s_{1}=s_{2}=0$ and $s_{3} \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{a} / F\right)=p$. Finally, the conductor exponent also is $p$ for parameters of the form $\mathbf{s}_{11}=\left(0, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ by using Proposition 3.15 and Lemma 4.2.3 to combine the result for $\mathbf{s}_{11}=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, 0, s_{3}\right)$ with the unramified case $\mathbf{s}_{11}=\left(0, s_{2}, 0\right)$.
Proposition 4.2.7. If $\mathcal{V}$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[p]}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$ and $L=K(V)$, then $\mathfrak{f}\left(L / F^{\prime \prime}\right)=p^{2}-p$.
Proof. Let $s$ be the parameter for the corresponding Honda system M, with $s \neq 0$ in $k$ since $\mathcal{V}$ is non-split. Here $L_{\alpha}$ is the extension of $F^{\prime \prime}$ generated by the points $\varphi$ in the fiber over $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. By Proposiiton 4.1.4. $\varphi\left(e_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha), \varphi\left(e_{2}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta)$ and $\varphi\left(e_{3}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \alpha^{p}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{V}^{4}=0$, we can set

$$
\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}, d_{0}\right) \quad \text { and so } \quad \varphi\left(e_{5}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}\right)
$$

Then $\varphi\left(\mathrm{FV}_{4}\right)=0$ implies that $d_{3}^{p} \equiv d_{2}^{p} \equiv d_{1}^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and so $\varphi\left(e_{6}\right)=$ $\varphi\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Ve} e_{5}=s e_{2}$, we have $\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}\right)=s(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta)$. Thus

$$
d_{3} \equiv s^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma \quad(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad d_{2}=s \beta \quad(\bmod p)
$$

By applying $\varphi$ to $\mathrm{F} e_{6}+\lambda \sigma(s) e_{1}=\lambda e_{5}$, we find that

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p^{2}}\right) \dot{+} \lambda \sigma(s)(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)=\lambda\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}\right)
$$

By the Witt covector addition in Lemma 3.4 we recover the above congruences on $d_{3}$ and $d_{2}$ and we find that $d_{0}^{p^{2}}+\lambda \sigma(s) \alpha \equiv \lambda d_{1}(\bmod p)$.

For $a$ and $b$ as in Table 1, the change of variables $d_{0}=a x, d_{1}=b y$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x^{p^{2}}+A \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{b}, \quad \text { where } A=\sigma(s) \alpha / b \text { in } F^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e_{4}$ is in L, the Hasse-Witt exponential is 0 on $\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p^{3}} d_{3}^{p^{3}}+\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}+d_{0} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \tag{4.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leftmost term above vanishes because $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{3}\right) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\gamma)=\frac{p^{2}+p}{p^{3}-1}$. In addition, $\frac{1}{p} \beta^{p} \equiv-\alpha(\bmod p)$ and so $\frac{1}{p^{2}} \beta^{p^{2}} \equiv(-1)^{p} p^{p-2} \alpha^{p}\left(\bmod p^{p-1}\right)$ by Lemma 3.3(ii). Hence (4.2.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=y^{p}-B \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{a}, \quad \text { where } B=(-s)^{p^{2}} p^{p-2} \frac{\alpha^{p}}{a} . \tag{4.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, $s \neq 0$ in $k$, so

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(A)=-\frac{p^{2}-p-1}{p^{3}-1} \text { and } \operatorname{ord}_{p}(B)=p-2+\frac{p^{2}+p-1}{p^{3}-1}
$$

We can take $B=0$ if $p \geq 3$. In general, since $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(B)>0$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(A)<0$, it follows from (4.2.10) and (4.2.8) that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(y^{p}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(x)=\frac{1}{p^{2}} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(A)
$$

Since $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)<\operatorname{ord}_{p}(b)$, we also have $x=y^{p}-B$ in $\mathrm{R}_{b}$ by $\mathbf{C 4}$. Then $\mathbf{C} 3$ implies that $x^{p^{2}}=\left(y^{p}-B\right)^{p^{2}}=y^{p^{3}}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{b}$, since

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b y^{p^{3}}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(b A)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\alpha)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad B^{p^{2}} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Putting this into (4.2.8) gives $y^{p^{3}}-y+A=0$ in $\mathrm{R}_{b}$. Conversely, if $y$ is a root of $f_{a}(Y)=Y^{p^{3}}-Y+A$ over $F^{\prime \prime}$ and $x$ is defined by 4.2.10), we find that the congruence (4.2.8) holds. Hence the field $L_{a}$ generated by the points of the fiber in $V$ over $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is the splitting field of $f_{a}$.

By Proposition A. 2 with $m=0$ and $w=A^{-1}$, the extension $L_{\alpha} / F^{\prime \prime}$ is elementary abelian and totally ramified of degre $p^{3}$. The conductor exponent of every intermediate field is $\mathfrak{f}=p^{2}-p$.

Proposition 4.2.11. If $\mathcal{V}$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[p]}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{1}\right)$ and $L=K(V)$, then $\mathfrak{f}\left(L / F^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq p^{2}+p$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{s}_{12}=\left(; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)$ be the parameters for the corresponding Honda system M. Here $L_{a}$ is the extension of $F^{\prime \prime}$ generated by the points $\varphi$ in the fiber over $\psi_{a} \neq 0$. By Proposition 4.1.2 with $b \equiv \lambda^{-1} a^{p^{2}}(\bmod p)$, we have

$$
\varphi\left(e_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b, a), \quad \varphi\left(e_{2}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, b), \quad \varphi\left(e_{3}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, a^{p}\right) .
$$

Set $\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}, d_{0}\right)$, since $\mathrm{V}^{4}=0$. Applying V gives

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{4}\right)=\varphi\left(e_{5}\right) \dot{+} s_{4} \varphi\left(e_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(e_{5}\right) \dot{+}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, s_{4} a^{p}\right)
$$

Hence $\varphi\left(e_{5}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}-s_{4} a^{p}\right)$, using Lemma 3.4. From $0=\varphi\left(\mathrm{FV}_{4}\right)$ we find that $d_{3}^{p} \equiv d_{2}^{p} \equiv d_{1}^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, so $\varphi\left(e_{6}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{F} e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p}\right)$. By Proposition 3.13, $\mathrm{F} e_{6}+\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) e_{3}+\sigma\left(s_{3}\right) \lambda e_{2}=0$. Applying $\varphi$ gives

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p^{2}}\right) \dot{+} \sigma\left(s_{1}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{0}, a^{p}\right) \dot{+} \lambda \sigma\left(s_{3}\right)(\overrightarrow{0}, b)=0 .
$$

Since $\lambda b \equiv a^{p^{2}}(\bmod p)$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}^{p^{2}}+\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) a^{p}+\sigma\left(s_{3}\right) a^{p^{2}} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \tag{4.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{3}, d_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{5}\right) & =\lambda^{\prime} \varphi\left(e_{6}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\prime}\left(\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p}\right) \dot{+} s_{1}(\overrightarrow{0}, b, a) \dot{+} s_{2}(\overrightarrow{0}, b) \dot{+} s_{3}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, a^{p}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\overrightarrow{0},\left(\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} b, \lambda^{\prime}\left(d_{0}^{p}+s_{1} a+s_{2} b+s_{3} a^{p}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set $A=s_{1} a+s_{2} b+s_{3} a^{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{3} \equiv\left(\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} b \quad(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad d_{2} \equiv \lambda^{\prime}\left(d_{0}^{p}+A\right) \quad(\bmod p) \tag{4.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last formula for $d_{2}$ already implies (4.2.12). Indeed, since $d_{2}^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $b^{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, we find that

$$
0 \equiv d_{0}^{p^{2}}+A^{p} \equiv d_{0}^{p^{2}}+\left(s_{1} a\right)^{p}+\left(s_{2} b\right)^{p}+\left(s_{3} a^{p}\right)^{p} \equiv d_{0}^{p^{2}}+\left(s_{1} a\right)^{p}+s_{3}^{p} a^{p^{2}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential on $\varphi(\mathrm{L})$ gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{3}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{2}^{p}+d_{1}-t a^{p}=\xi\left(\varphi\left(e_{5}\right)\right) & \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and }  \tag{4.2.14}\\
\frac{1}{p^{3}} d_{3}^{p^{3}}+\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}+d_{0}=\xi\left(\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)\right) & \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{4.2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.3(ii) and Table 1, equation (4.2.13) implies that

$$
\frac{1}{p} d_{3}^{p} \equiv \lambda^{\prime} s_{1} \frac{1}{p} b^{p} \equiv-\lambda^{\prime} s_{1} a(\bmod p) \quad \text { and so } \quad \frac{1}{p^{p+1}} d_{3}^{p^{2}} \equiv\left(-\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{p} \frac{1}{p} a^{p}(\bmod p)
$$

Hence, we can rewrite (4.2.14) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1} \equiv-\frac{1}{p} d_{2}^{p}+r a^{p}(\bmod p), \quad \text { with } r=s_{4}-\left(-\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{p} p^{p-2} \tag{4.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since vanishing of $d_{1}^{p}(\bmod p)$ implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{p}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\frac{1}{p} d_{2}^{p}\right) \geq \min \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{1}\right), \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a^{p}\right)\right\}=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a^{p}\right)
$$

with equality when $r \neq 0$. Hence $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{p}+\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\frac{1}{p^{2 p}} d_{2}^{p^{3}}\right) \geq p^{2}-2 p+p^{3} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)=(p-1)^{2}+\frac{1}{p^{3}-1}>1 \tag{4.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The congruence (4.2.13) implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{3}\right) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{p}(b)=p^{2} /\left(p^{3}-1\right)$, so the term $\frac{1}{p^{3}} d_{3}^{p^{3}} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ drops out of (4.2.15), to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}+d_{0} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \tag{4.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $d_{0} \equiv-\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}}(\bmod p)$. Each term on the right of the last congruence is integral, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}^{p} \equiv(-1)^{p}\left(\frac{1}{p^{p}} d_{1}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p^{2 p}} d_{2}^{p^{3}}\right) \equiv(-1)^{p} \frac{1}{p^{p}} d_{1}^{p^{2}} \quad(\bmod p) . \tag{4.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

thanks to (4.2.17).
Fix $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ as in Table 1 and change variables via $d_{2}=\gamma z, d_{1}=\beta y, d_{0}=\alpha x$ in (4.2.13), (4.2.16) and (4.2.18), to obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { (i) } & z=x^{p}+A \alpha^{-p} & \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\gamma} \\
\text { (ii) } & y=z^{p}+r a^{p} \beta^{-1} & \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\beta}  \tag{4.2.20}\\
\text { (iii) } & x=y^{p}+(-1)^{p} p^{p-1} z^{p^{2}} & \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\alpha}
\end{array}
$$

These congruences are necessary and sufficient for the construction of the map $\varphi$.
Making the same change of variables in (4.2.19) yields $x^{p}=y^{p^{2}}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\beta)<\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\gamma)$, equation (4.2.20(ii)) also holds in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$. Using $d_{1}=\beta y$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{p}$ one can check that $p y^{p^{2}-1}$ is integral and so $y^{p^{2}}=\left(z^{p}+r a^{p} \beta^{-1}\right)^{p^{2}}$ is well-defined in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$ by $\mathbf{C 2}$. Combining this information with 4.2.20(i)) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{p}+r a^{p} \beta^{-1}\right)^{p^{2}}-z+A \alpha^{-p}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\gamma} \tag{4.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To treat the case $A=0$, an equation in terms of $y$ is preferred. For that, we use $x^{p}=y^{p^{2}}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$, already derived above, to obtain $z=y^{p^{2}}+A \alpha^{-p}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$ from 4.2.20(i)). One can check that $p z^{p-1}$ is integral, so $z^{p}=\left(y^{p^{2}}+A \alpha^{-p}\right)^{p}$ is well-defined in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$ by C2. Then (4.2.20(ii)) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{p^{2}}+A \alpha^{-p}\right)^{p}-y+r a^{p} \beta^{-1}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\gamma} \tag{4.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, given a root $z$ of (4.2.21) in $\bar{K}$, define $y$ and $x$ by (4.2.20(ii)) and (iii) respectively, treating each as an equation in $\bar{K}$. Then the congruence 4.2.20(i)) holds in $\mathrm{R}_{\alpha}$. Similar considerations apply if we begin with a root $y$ of (4.2.22).

Next, we find the conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{a} / F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in various cases where Proposition A. 2 applies. It follows that in these cases, $L_{a} / F^{\prime \prime}$ is a totally ramified elementary $p$-extension of degree $p^{3}$.

See that Proposition for notation used here. In particular, $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)>p^{3} /\left(1-p^{3}\right)$ holds, as required. Recall that $e_{F^{\prime \prime}}=p^{3}-1, v_{F^{\prime \prime}}(a)=1$ and $v_{F^{\prime \prime}}(\alpha)=p+1$.
Case 1. $r=0$ and $z^{p^{3}}-z+C=0$ over $F^{\prime \prime}$, with $C=A \alpha^{-p}$ by (4.2.21).

- $s_{1} \neq 0$. Then $m=0, w=C^{-1}, v_{F^{\prime \prime}}(w)=v_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(\alpha^{p}\right)-v_{F^{\prime \prime}}(a)=p^{2}+p-1$ and so $\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{a} / F^{\prime \prime}\right)=p^{2}+p$.
- $s_{1}=s_{2}=0, s_{3} \neq 0$. Then $C=s_{3}(a / \alpha)^{p}$. But $\alpha / a=w^{p}$ is a $p$-th power in $F^{\prime \prime}$ by Remark 4.1.7, so $C=s_{3} w^{-p^{2}}$. Furthermore, $m=2$ and $v_{F^{\prime \prime}}(w)=1$, so $\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{a} / F^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$.
- $s_{1}=s_{3}=0, s_{2} \neq 0$. Then $C=s_{2} b / \alpha^{p}=s_{2} \lambda^{-1}\left(a^{p} / \alpha\right)^{p}$. Set $w=\alpha / a^{p}$, so $m=1, v_{F^{\prime \prime}}(w)=1$ and $\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{a} / F^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$.
Case 2. $A=0, r \neq 0$ and $y^{p^{3}}-y+C=0$ over $F^{\prime \prime}$, with $C=r a^{p} / \beta$ by (4.2.22). Then $w=C^{-1}, m=0$ and $v_{F^{\prime \prime}}(w)=p^{2}-p+1$, so $\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{a} / F^{\prime \prime}\right)=p^{2}-p+2$.

Thanks to Proposition 3.15 and Lemma 4.2.3, judicious use of Baer sums shows that in Case 1, the conductor exponent satisfies $\mathfrak{f} \leq p^{2}+p$ for all $\mathbf{s}_{12}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right)$ with $r=0$, or equivalently, $s_{4}=\left(-\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{p} p^{p-2}$. Case 2 covers all parameters of the
form $\mathbf{s}_{12}=\left(0,0,0, s_{4}\right)$ with $s_{4} \neq 0$. By combining Cases 1 and 2 , we have $\mathfrak{f} \leq p^{2}+p$ for all possible parameters.
Proposition 4.2.23. If $\mathcal{V}$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[p]}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$ and $L=K(V)$, then

$$
\mathfrak{f}\left(L / F^{\prime}\right) \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+1\right) & \text { if } p \text { is odd } \\ 4 & \text { if } p=2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. A more precise result, in terms of the parameter vector $\mathbf{s}_{22}=s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ for the associated Honda system M can be found at the end of the proof. Here $L_{\alpha}$ is the extension of $F^{\prime \prime}$ generated by the points $\varphi$ in the fiber over $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime} \neq 0$.

We have $\varphi\left(e_{1}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha), \varphi\left(e_{2}\right)=(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta)$ and $\varphi\left(e_{3}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \alpha^{p}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{V}^{5}=0$, we can set

$$
\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{4}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}, d_{0}\right)
$$

so $\varphi\left(e_{5}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{V} e_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{4}, d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}\right)$. Then $\varphi\left(\mathrm{FV} e_{4}\right)=0$ gives

$$
d_{4}^{p} \equiv d_{3}^{p} \equiv d_{2}^{p} \equiv d_{1}^{p} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

and thus $\varphi\left(e_{6}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{4}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p}\right)$. By applying $\varphi$ to $\mathrm{F} e_{6}+\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) e_{3}=0$, we find that $\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p^{2}}\right)+\sigma\left(s_{1}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \alpha^{p}\right)=0$, so

$$
d_{0}^{p^{2}}+\sigma\left(s_{1}\right) \alpha^{p} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

By Proposition 3.14 Ve $e_{5}=\lambda^{\prime}\left(e_{6}+s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}+s_{3} e_{3}\right)$. Applying $\varphi$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{4}, d_{3}, d_{2}\right) & =\lambda^{\prime}\left(\left(\overrightarrow{0}, d_{0}^{p}\right) \dot{+} s_{1}(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) \dot{+} s_{2}(\overrightarrow{0}, \gamma, \beta) \dot{+} s_{3}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, \alpha^{p}\right)\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\prime}\left(\overrightarrow{0}, s_{1}^{\frac{1}{p^{2}}} \gamma, s_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta+s_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma, d_{0}^{p}+s_{1} \alpha+s_{2} \beta+s_{3} \alpha^{p}-\delta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta=\frac{1}{p} \lambda^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\binom{p}{j}\left(s_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta\right)^{j}\left(s_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma\right)^{p-j}$, with $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\delta) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\beta^{p-1} \gamma\right)=1+\frac{2 p}{p^{3}-1}$. Hence $\delta \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and we have the $\bmod p$ congruences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{4} \equiv\left(\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{2}}} \gamma, \quad d_{3} \equiv\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(s_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta+s_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma\right), \quad d_{2} \equiv \lambda^{\prime}\left(d_{0}^{p}+A\right) \tag{4.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A=s_{1} \alpha+s_{2} \beta+s_{3} \alpha^{p}$. Vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential gives:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\xi\left(\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{p^{4}} d_{4}^{p^{4}}+\frac{1}{p^{3}} d_{3}^{p^{3}}+\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}+d_{0} \equiv 0 & (\bmod p) \\
\xi\left(\varphi\left(e_{5}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{p^{3}} d_{4}^{p^{3}}+\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{3}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{2}^{p}+d_{1} \equiv 0 & (\bmod p)
\end{array}
$$

By (4.2.24), $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{4}^{p^{3}}\right) \geq \frac{p^{5}+p^{4}}{p^{3}-1}>5$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{3}^{p^{3}}\right) \geq \frac{p^{5}+p^{3}}{p^{3}-1}>4$. The ordinals of the interior terms in the binomial expansion of $\left(s_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta+s_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma\right)^{p}$ are bounded below by $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(p \beta^{p-1} \gamma\right)=2+\frac{2 p}{p^{3}-1}$. A lower bound for ordinals of the interior terms of $\left(s_{1} \alpha+s_{2} \beta\right)^{p}$ is given by $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(p \alpha^{p-1} \beta\right)=1+\frac{2 p^{2}}{p^{3}-1}$. From the relations in Table 1 and Lemma 3.3(ii), we have mod $p$ congruences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p} d_{3}^{p} & \equiv \frac{1}{p} \lambda^{\prime}\left(s_{1} \beta^{p}+s_{2} \gamma^{p}\right) \equiv-\lambda^{\prime}\left(s_{1} \alpha+s_{2} \beta\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\frac{1}{p^{p+1}} d_{3}^{p^{2}} & \equiv \frac{1}{p}\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(s_{1} \alpha+s_{2} \beta\right)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the interior terms in the expansion of $\frac{1}{p}\left(s_{1} \alpha+s_{2} \beta\right)^{p}$ are integral, as is $\frac{1}{p} \beta^{p}$, we find that

$$
\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{3}^{p^{2}} \equiv p^{p-2}\left(-\lambda^{\prime} s_{1} \alpha\right)^{p} \quad\left(\bmod p^{p-1}\right)
$$

The above equations for vanishing of $\xi\left(\varphi\left(e_{4}\right)\right)$ and $\xi\left(\varphi\left(e_{5}\right)\right)$ now reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p^{2}} d_{2}^{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{p} d_{1}^{p}+d_{0} & \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)  \tag{4.2.25}\\
\frac{1}{p} d_{2}^{p}+d_{1} & \equiv\left(\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{p} p^{p-2} \alpha^{p} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{4.2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $d_{0}=\alpha x, d_{1}=\beta y, d_{2}=\gamma z$. Using the relations in Table 1, the last equation in (4.2.24) and equations (4.2.26) and (4.2.25) simplify to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=x^{p}+A \alpha^{-p} \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\gamma}, \quad y=z^{p}+B \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\beta}, \quad x=y^{p}-p^{p-1} z^{p^{2}} \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\alpha} \tag{4.2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=s_{1} \alpha+s_{2} \beta+s_{3} \alpha^{p}$ as above, $B=\left(\lambda^{\prime} s_{1}\right)^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{-1}$ if $p=2$ and $B=0$ otherwise. If $p=2$ and $s_{1} \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{2}(B)=\frac{1}{7}$.

We may assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is a non-split extension, so some parameter $s_{j}$ is not zero in $k$. The ordinals of $x, y, z$ can be estimated from (4.2.27), as follows. First check that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(z) \leq 0$ and then arrive at

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(x^{p}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(y^{p^{2}}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(z^{p^{3}}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(A \alpha^{-p}\right) \geq-\frac{p^{2}-1}{p^{3}-1}
$$

We use the rules for arithmetic on fractional elements from Appendix A to simplify (4.2.27). Since $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\gamma)>\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\beta)$, the second equation there is valid in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$ and $B=0$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$ even when $p=2$. Similarly, the third equation passes ot $R_{\gamma}$ and $p^{p-1} z^{p^{2}}=0$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$. In $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$, we therefore have
(i) $z=x^{p}+A \alpha^{-p}$,
(ii) $y=z^{p}$,
(iii) $x=y^{p}$.

Since $p y^{p^{2}-1}$ is integral, 4.2.28) (ii) implies that $y^{p^{2}}=z^{p^{3}}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$ by C2. Similarly, (4.2.28) (iii) leads to $x^{p}=y^{p^{2}}$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$. Thus, $z$ satisfies $f_{\alpha}(Z)=Z^{p^{3}}-Z+A \alpha^{-p}=0$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$.

For the converse, let $z$ be a root of $f_{\alpha}$ in its splitting field over $F^{\prime}$ and use the second and third congruences in (4.2.27) as equations to defining $y$ and then $x$. The congruences established above imply that $z=x^{p}+A$ in $\mathrm{R}_{\gamma}$. Thus, all non-zero points in the fiber of $V$ over $\psi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ occur when $z$ ranges over the roots of $f_{\alpha}$.

If $s_{2}=s_{3}=0$, then $A \alpha^{-p}=s_{3}$, so $f_{\alpha}$ is separable over the residue field of $F^{\prime}$ and $L_{\alpha} / F^{\prime}$ is unramified and possibly split. In all other cases, we use Proposition A. 2 to verify that $L_{\alpha} / F^{\prime}$ is a totally ramified elementary abelian $p$-extension of degree $p^{3}$. By Proposition 4.1.4(ii) the ramification index of $F^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is given by

$$
e_{F^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{3}-1\right) & \text { if } p \text { is odd }  \tag{4.2.29}\\ 7 & \text { if } p=2\end{cases}
$$

Proposition A. 2 holds with $m=0$ and $w=C^{-1}$ and so

$$
\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{\alpha} / F^{\prime}\right)=v_{F^{\prime}}(w)+1=e_{F^{\prime}} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(w)+1
$$

We have the following cases:

- If $s_{1} \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(w)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\alpha^{p-1}\right)=\frac{p^{2}-1}{p^{3}-1}$. Hence

$$
\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{\alpha} / F^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+1\right) & \text { if } p \text { is odd } \\ 4 & \text { if } p=2\end{cases}
$$

- If $s_{1}=0$ and $s_{2} \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(w)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\alpha^{p} \beta^{-1}\right)=\frac{p-1}{p^{3}-1}$. Hence

$$
\mathfrak{f}\left(L_{\alpha} / F^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}(p+1) & \text { if } p \text { is odd } \\ 2 & \text { if } p=2\end{cases}
$$

4.3. Local corners. In this subsection, $p=2$ and $K=\mathbb{Q}_{2}$. For $i=1$ or 2 , denote by $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ the simple group scheme corresponding to the Honda system $\left(\mathrm{M}_{i}, \mathrm{~L}_{i}\right)$ of Proposition [3.5, with parameter necessarily equal to 1 in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. By Propositions 4.1 .2 and 4.1.4 the corresponding Galois modules $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ have the same field of points, $F=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\zeta, \varpi)$, where $\zeta \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_{7}$ satisfies $\zeta^{3}+\zeta+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $\varpi^{7}=2$. Let $\mathrm{R}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$, where $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)=\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle$, with the following action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(\zeta)=\zeta^{2}, \quad \tau(\varpi)=\varpi, \quad \sigma(\zeta)=\zeta, \quad \sigma(\varpi)=\zeta \varpi \tag{4.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\tau$ is a Frobenius, $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}=\sigma^{2}$ and $\Delta$ is the non-abelian group of order 21.
Recall the $\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$-homomorphism $\psi: \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}(F) \rightarrow E_{1}$ and the twisted action of $\zeta$ on $E_{1}$ defined in Remark 4.1.6. We consistently use $P$ to denote the unique non-zero
 $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-basis for $E_{1}$ affording the matrix representations

$$
s_{1}=\rho_{E_{1}}(\sigma)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1  \tag{4.3.2}\\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad t=\rho_{E_{1}}(\tau)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Thus, $c_{1}=\sigma^{3}+\sigma+1$ annihilates $E_{1}$. In general, $\sigma(\epsilon P)=\epsilon \zeta P$ and $\tau(\epsilon P)=\epsilon^{2} P$ for all $\epsilon$ in $\mathbb{F}_{8}$.

Similarly, $P^{\prime}=\psi_{-\varpi^{3}}^{\prime}$ is the unique non-zero element of $E_{2}$ fixed by $\tau$. Then $P^{\prime}$, $\zeta P^{\prime}, \zeta^{2} P^{\prime}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-basis for $E_{2}$ affording the matrix representations

$$
s_{2}=\rho_{E_{2}}(\sigma)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1  \tag{4.3.3}\\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]=s_{1}^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{E_{2}}(\tau)=t .
$$

Here, $c_{2}=\sigma^{3}+\sigma^{2}+1$ annihilates $E_{2}$. Also, $\sigma\left(\epsilon P^{\prime}\right)=\epsilon \zeta^{3} P^{\prime}$ and $\tau\left(\epsilon P^{\prime}\right)=\epsilon^{2} P^{\prime}$ for all $\epsilon$ in $\mathbb{F}_{8}$.

Recall that the irreducible representations of $\Delta$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are given by $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, as well as the trivial representation and a 2-dimensional representation $D$ that splits over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. The following R-module invariants, which we call corners, are used to impose the information about extensions of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{j}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ obtained in this section on the global extension problem in | 6 |
| :--- |

Definition 4.3.4. Let $M$ be a finitely generated R -module, $c_{1}=\sigma^{3}+\sigma+1$ and $c_{2}=\sigma^{3}+\sigma^{2}+1$. The corners are the following $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-vector subspaces of $M$ :

$$
C_{j}(M)=\left\{m \in M \mid \tau(m)=m \text { and } c_{j}(m)=0\right\} \quad \text { for } j=1,2
$$

Because $\sigma$ has odd order, $M=M_{0} \oplus M^{\prime}$, where $M_{0}$ is the submodule on which $\sigma$ acts trivially and $M^{\prime}=(\sigma-1)(M)$. Since $\tau$ normalizes $\langle\sigma\rangle$, we have $\mathrm{R} m_{j} \simeq E_{j}$ for any non-zero $m_{j}$ in $C_{j}(M)$. Indeed, the annihilator of $m_{j}$ is the left ideal generated by $\tau-1$ and $c_{j}$. If $\mathrm{R} C_{j}(M)$ denotes the R-module generated by $C_{j}(M)$, then

$$
M^{\prime}=\mathrm{R} C_{1}(M) \oplus \mathrm{R} C_{2}(M) \simeq E_{1}^{n_{1}} \oplus E_{2}^{n_{2}}
$$

where $n_{j}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(C_{j}(M)\right)$.
By Theorem4.2.2, if $\mathcal{V}$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right)$, then the field generated by the points of $V$ is contained in the maximal elementary 2 -extension $T$ of $F$ with ray class conductor exponent at most 6 . We write $\sigma$ for an element of order 7 in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(T / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ projecting to the element $\sigma$ in $\Delta$ chosen above.

For $n \geq 1$, let $U_{F}^{(n)}=1+\varpi^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be the standard filtration of local units, with $U_{F}^{(0)}=U_{F}$. The Artin symbol of local class field theory gives an isomorphism

$$
\Theta_{T / F}: F^{\times} / U_{F}^{(6)} F^{\times 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(T / F),
$$

with $\delta \in \Delta$ acting by $\delta \Theta_{T / F}(x) \delta^{-1}=\Theta_{T / F}(\delta(x))$. The R-module structure of the inertia group $\Gamma_{0}$ will be described in terms of the following corners:

$$
g_{1}=\Theta_{T / F}\left(1+\varpi+\varpi^{3}\right), \quad g_{2}=\Theta_{T / F}\left(1+\varpi^{3}\right), \quad g_{3}=\Theta_{T / F}\left(1+\varpi^{5}\right)
$$

Proposition 4.3.5. Let $T_{n}$ be the maximal subfield of $T$ with ray class conductor exponent at most $n$ over $F$. We have the diagram of fields and Galois groups:

Then $g_{0}=\Theta_{T / F}(\varpi)$ is a Frobenius element and

$$
\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(T / F)=\mathrm{R} g_{0} \oplus \mathrm{R} g_{1} \oplus \mathrm{R} g_{2} \oplus \mathrm{R} g_{3}
$$

There are R -module isomorphisms $\mathrm{R} g_{0} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}, \mathrm{R} g_{1} \simeq E_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R} g_{2} \simeq \mathrm{R} g_{3} \simeq E_{2}$.
Proof. If $L / F$ is an abelian extension of conductor $n$, then $U_{F}^{(n)}$ is contained in the group of norms $N_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)$by class field theory [Se, XV $\left.\S 2\right]$. For $m \leq 3$, the Artin map $\Theta_{T / F}$ therefore induces an isomorphism $F^{\times} / U_{F}^{(2 m)} F^{\times 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}\left(T_{2 m} / F\right)$. Furthermore,

$$
U_{F}^{(2 m+1)} F^{\times 2} / U_{F}^{(6)} F^{\times 2} \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(T / T_{2 m}\right),
$$

since $U_{F}^{(2 m)} U_{F}^{2}=U_{F}^{(2 m+1)} U_{F}^{2}$. The action of R on $g_{0}$ is trivial because $\sigma(\varpi)=\zeta \varpi$ is in $\varpi F^{\times 2}$ and $\tau(\varpi)=\varpi$. As an R-module, the inertia group

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(T / T_{0}\right) \simeq U_{F} / U_{F}^{(6)} U_{F}^{2}
$$

is a direct sum of copies of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, since $\sigma$ has no non-trivial fixed points in it. In terms of the corner invariants of R-modules in Definition 4.3.4 one checks that $C_{1}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle$ and $C_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=\left\langle g_{2}, g_{3}\right\rangle$.

As needed for our applications, let $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{h}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}(E, E)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{W}$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ and let $L=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(W)$. Then

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{2}\left(E_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(E)=F \subseteq L \subseteq T
$$

The extension class of the Galois module $W$ corresponds to a cohomology class $\left[\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{W}}\right]$ in $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right), \mathfrak{h}\right)$. Since $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(T / F)$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ has odd order, $H^{1}(\Delta, \mathfrak{h})=0$ and so (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(T / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right), \mathfrak{h}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { res }_{\Gamma}} H^{1}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h})^{\Delta}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}), \tag{4.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{R}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$. Also, the inflation map $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right), \mathfrak{h}\right) \xrightarrow{\inf _{L}^{T}} H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(T / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right), \mathfrak{h}\right)$ is injective.
Definition 4.3.7. The Honda character of $\mathcal{W}$, denoted $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$, is given by $\chi \mathcal{W}=\operatorname{res}_{\Gamma} \inf _{L}^{T}\left(\left[\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{W}}\right]\right)$.
Note that $\left[\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{W}}\right]$ is trivial if and only if $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}=0$. For elements $g$ in $\Gamma$, the representation afforded by $W$ has the form $\rho_{\mathcal{W}}(g)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & \chi \mathcal{W}(g) \\ 0 & I\end{array}\right]$ in the parabolic matrix group $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{E, E}$ of (2.4).

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{i j}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right) \simeq M_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, via the isomorphism afforded by the special bases for $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ in (4.3.2) and (4.3.3), respectively. The block decomposition

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g)=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
\chi_{11}(g) & \chi_{21}(g) \\
\hline \chi_{12}(g) & \chi_{22}(g)
\end{array}\right] \text { in } M_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}(E, E),
$$

defines R-homomorphisms $\chi_{i j}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{i j}$.
Table 2 gives the corner spaces of each $\mathfrak{h}_{i j}$ in terms of the $3 \times 3$ identity $I$ and the matrix $t$ of (4.3.2). The $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-span of a subset $S$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{i j}$ is denoted by $\langle S\rangle$ and $D$ is the unique 2-dimensional irreducible $\Delta$-module over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Table 2. R-module decomposition of $\mathfrak{h}_{i j}$

|  | R-module decomposition | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{2} \oplus D \oplus E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ | $\left\langle t^{2}\right\rangle$ | $\langle t\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$ | $E_{1} \oplus E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ | $\langle I, t\rangle$ | $\left\langle t^{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{h}_{21}$ | $E_{1} \oplus E_{2} \oplus E_{2}$ | $\langle t\rangle$ | $\left\langle I, t^{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{h}_{22}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{2} \oplus D \oplus E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ | $\langle t\rangle$ | $\left\langle t^{2}\right\rangle$ |

Verification of Table 2 Let $[f]$ be the matrix representation of $f$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{i j}$ with respect to the special bases for $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. An element $\delta$ of $\Delta$ acts on $f$ by $(\delta f)(x)=\delta\left(f\left(\delta^{-1} x\right)\right)$. In terms of matrices, $[f] \mapsto \rho_{E_{j}}(\delta)[f] \rho_{E_{i}}(\delta)^{-1}$. We have $t=\rho_{E_{1}}(\tau)=\rho_{E_{2}}(\tau)$ and $s_{i}=\rho_{E_{i}}(\sigma)$. Hence $\tau$ acts on $[f]$ via conjugation by $t$ in all cases. Matrices in the corner spaces commute with $t$ and thus are polynomials in $t$. The action of $\sigma$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{i j}$ is given by $[f] \mapsto s_{j}[f] s_{i}^{-1}$. For each power $t^{n}$, one checks whether it is annihilated by the characteristic polynomial $c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$ of Definition 4.3.4 to determine which corner space $t^{n}$ is in. For $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{22}$, after taking the span of the corners, there remains a 3-dimensional space that commutes with $s$, so consists of polynomials in $s$ and therefore is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2} \oplus D$.

Thanks to R-linearity, a Honda character $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ is determined by its values on the R-module generators $g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ for $\Gamma$ used in Proposition 4.3.5.

Lemma 4.3.8. If $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a Honda character, then $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}\left(g_{2}\right)$ has the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}* \\ { }_{*}^{*} \\ *\end{array}\right]$ and $\chi \mathcal{W}\left(g_{3}\right)=0$ or $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ t^{2} & 0\end{array}\right]$.

Proof. Refer to the conductor bounds in $\S 4.2$ using $p=2$. By Proposition4.2.7, the field of points of an extension of $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ annihilated by 2 has ray class conductor exponent at most 2 over $F$. Since $g_{3}$ and $g_{2}$ act trivially on that field, $\chi_{21}\left(g_{3}\right)$ and $\chi_{21}\left(g_{2}\right)$ vanish. This explains $\chi \mathcal{W}\left(g_{2}\right)$. By Propositions 4.2.4 and 4.2.23 extensions of $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ give rise to subfields of $T$ of conductor exponent at most 4 over $F$ and so $\chi_{11}\left(g_{3}\right)=\chi_{22}\left(g_{3}\right)=0$. In Table 2, $t^{2}$ is the unique corner of $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$
generating an R-submodule isomorphic to $E_{2}$. By Proposition 4.3.5, $\mathrm{R} g_{3} \simeq E_{2}$. Since $\chi_{12}$ is an R-module map, $\chi_{12}\left(g_{3}\right)$ is trivial or equals $t^{2}$.

## 5. Arithmetic of favorable fields

Throughout this section, $F$ denotes the Galois closure of a favorable field $F_{0}$ of discriminant $\pm 2^{2 g} N$, with $N$ odd and squarefree (see Definition 2.1). Write $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ for the inertia group and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ for the decomposition group at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ over 2 in $F$. We discuss the number theory of $F$ and some applications to favorable abelian varieties.

Proposition 5.1. The field $F$ enjoys the following properties.
i) The permutation action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ on the left cosets of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / F_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}$.
ii) The completion $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2 g+1}, \pi\right)$ with $\pi^{2 g+1}=2$ and the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is generated by a $(2 g+1)$-cycle. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the metacyclic group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rtimes\left\langle\right.$ Frob $\left._{\mathfrak{p}}\right\rangle$, where Frob $_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is any Frobenius at $\mathfrak{p}$. The order of Frob $_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the order of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z} /(2 g+1) / \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
iii) Write $N^{*}= \pm N \equiv 1+4 a(\bmod 8)$. Then $\sqrt{N^{*}}$ is in $F$ and $a=0$ if $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an even permutation, but $a=1$ otherwise.
iv) The inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}=\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ at each prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid N$ is generated by a transposition $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Proof. We first verify items (ii)-(iv) and then use them to prove (i).
ii) Since every unit of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is a $(2 g+1)$-power, there is a prime element $\pi$ over 2 in the completion $\widetilde{F}_{0}$ of $F_{0}$ at the prime over 2 , such that $\pi^{2 g+1}=2$ and we have $\widetilde{F}_{0}=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\pi)$. Lemma 5.11 below shows that $F_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathbb{Q}_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2 g+1}, \pi\right)$. Thus $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\langle\sigma\rangle$ is cyclic of order $2 g+1$. We have $\sigma(\pi)=\zeta \pi$ for a generator $\zeta$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2 g+1}$ and $\sigma(\zeta)=\zeta$. Since $\sigma$ acts transitively on the roots of $x^{2 g+1}-2$, it is represented by a $(2 g+1)$ cycle. Choose a Frobenius $\tau$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ to satisfy $\tau(\zeta)=\zeta^{2}$ and $\tau(\pi)=\pi$, so $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}=\sigma^{2}$. Any other choice of Frobenius lies in $\tau \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and so is conjugate to $\tau$.
iii) Since the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{N^{*}}\right)$ is contained in $F$, it is unramified over 2 and so $N^{*} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. In fact, $N^{*}$ is a square in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ precisely when $\tau$ acts trivially on $\sqrt{N^{*}}$, or equivalently, $\tau$ is an even permutation.
iv) Since $q$ exactly divides $N$, vdW, Satz II] shows that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a transposition.
i) By items (ii) and (iv) of the Proposition, $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ contains a $2 g+1$-cycle and a transposition. If the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ on the roots of a minimal polynomial for $F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ is primitive, then $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}$. Primitivity is obvious when $2 g+1$ is prime, but holds in general. If not, there is an intermediate field $K$ such that $F_{0} \supsetneq K \supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$, so $n=[K: \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\left[F_{0}: K\right]$ are odd and at least 3. Because $N$ is odd and squarefree and

$$
2^{2 g} N^{*}=\operatorname{disc}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}}=N_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\operatorname{disc}_{F_{0} / K}\right) \operatorname{disc}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}^{\left[F_{0}: K\right]}
$$

we find that $\pm \operatorname{disc}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}$ is a power of 2 . But the unique prime over 2 in $K$ is totally ramified and tame, so $\operatorname{disc}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}= \pm 2^{n-1}$. Hence the root discriminant of $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is $2^{1-\frac{1}{n}}$, contradicting Odlyzko's lower bound DyD, since $K$ has at least one real place.

Notation 5.2. For the rest of this section, $K$ denotes a subfield of $F$ such that $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ is the centralizer of a transposition in $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}$ and $K$ is called a pair-resolvent for $F$.

Since the symmetric group is doubly transitive, $K$ is well-defined up to isomorphism. Moreover $F$ is the Galois closure of $K / \mathbb{Q}$. Let $f$ be an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $2 g+1$ with splitting field $F$. Given distinct roots $a_{1}, a_{2}$ of $f$, the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)$ is a pair-resolvent for $F$ and has degree $\binom{2 g+1}{2}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. More generally, if $k^{\prime}$ is the splitting field of an separable, irreducible polynomial over a field $k$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\prime} / k\right)$ is doubly transitive, one can obtain a well-defined pair-resolvent for $k^{\prime}$ over $k$ from the roots of $f$ in this way.

The next several Lemmas provide arithmetic information about $K$. For convenience, take $H=\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ to be the subfield of $F$ fixed by the centralizer $C$ of the transposition (12).

Lemma 5.3. The ramification index $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(K / \mathbb{Q})=2 g+1$ for primes $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$ in $K$.
Proof. Let the prime $\mathfrak{P}$ lie over $\mathfrak{p}$ in $F$. By Proposition 5.1(ii), the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{P}}=\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{P}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by a $(2 g+1)$-cycle $\sigma$. If $d=\operatorname{gcd}\{2 g+1, j\}$, then $h=\sigma^{j}$ is a product of $d$ disjoint cycles of length $(2 g+1) / d$. Thus, $h$ preserves the set $\{1,2\}$ only if $h=1$ and so $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{P}} \cap C=\{1\}$. We conclude that $\mathfrak{P}$ is unramified in $F / K$ and the ramification index $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(K / \mathbb{Q})=e_{\mathfrak{P}}(F / \mathbb{Q})=2 g+1$.

Remark 5.4. Let $r$ be the multiplicative order of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z} /(2 g+1) \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. One can show that there is one prime over 2 in $K$ exactly when $2 g+1$ is prime and one of the following holds: i) $r=g$, with $g$ odd; or ii) $r=2 g$. We need only $g=3$, which can be checked directly.
Lemma 5.5. Denote the ideal class group of $K$ by $\mathcal{C}_{K}$ and let $\Omega_{K}$ be the maximal elementary 2-extension of $K$ of ray class conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{2 g} \infty$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a fixed prime over 2 in $K$ and $f$ is its residue degree in $K / \mathbb{Q}$. If $F_{0}$ admits exactly $r_{1}$ real embeddings, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{C}_{K}[2]+(g-f)(g+1)+\frac{1}{4}\left(r_{1}-1\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{\alpha \in K^{\times} \mid\right.$the ideal $(\alpha)=\mathfrak{a}^{2}$ is the square of an ideal $\}$. If the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ represents a class in $C_{K}[2]$, then a generator $\alpha$ for the principal ideal $\mathfrak{a}^{2}=(\alpha)$ is well-defined up to multiplication by an element of the group $U_{K}$ of units of $K$. We therefore have an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{C}_{K}[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U} / U_{K} K^{\times 2} \quad \text { induced by } \quad \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \alpha U_{K} K^{\times 2} .
$$

Counting -1 , the Dirichlet unit theorem implies that $U_{K} K^{\times 2} / K^{\times 2} \simeq U_{K} / U_{K}^{2}$ has dimension $r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, where $r_{1}^{\prime}$ is the number of distinct real embeddings of $K$ and $r_{1}^{\prime}+2 r_{2}^{\prime}=[K: \mathbb{Q}]=\binom{2 g+1}{2}=g(2 g+1)$. Hence
$\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{U} / K^{\times 2}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{U} / U_{K} K^{\times 2}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} U_{K} / U_{K}^{\times 2}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{C}_{K}[2]+r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}$.
Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ be the Kummer group for $\Omega_{K} / K$; that is, the subgroup of $K^{\times} / K^{\times 2}$ in the perfect pairing of Kummer theory

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right) \times \boldsymbol{\kappa} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2} \quad \text { given by } \quad\left(\gamma, \alpha K^{\times 2}\right) \mapsto \gamma(\sqrt{\alpha}) / \sqrt{\alpha} .
$$

The coset $\alpha K^{\times 2}$ belongs to $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ if and only if $\alpha$ is in $\mathcal{U}$ and the ray class conductor condition $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K(\sqrt{\alpha}) / K) \leq 2 g$ is satisfied. Write $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the unit group in the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let $U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n)}=1+\mathfrak{p}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}$ in
$K / \mathbb{Q}$ is $2 g+1$, we find that $\alpha K^{\times 2}$ is in $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ if and only if $\alpha$ belongs to $U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2 g+3)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times 2}$ by BK2, Lemma C.6]. Thus $\kappa$ is the kernel of the map

$$
\mathcal{U} / K^{\times 2} \longrightarrow U_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times 2} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2 g+3)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times 2} \simeq U_{\mathfrak{p}} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2 g+3)} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{2}
$$

By Lemma 5.12, $r_{1}^{\prime}=\binom{r_{1}}{2}+r_{2}$ and we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} U_{\mathfrak{p}} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2 g+3)} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{2}=f(g+1)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{\kappa} & \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{U} / K^{\times 2}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} U_{\mathfrak{p}} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2 g+3)} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{2} \\
& \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} C_{K}[2]+r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}-f(g+1) \\
& \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} C_{K}[2]+(g-f)(g+1)+\frac{1}{4}\left(r_{1}-1\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.6. Let $K_{1}$ be a subfield of $F$ quadratic over $K$ and let $q$ be a rational prime dividing $N$. Then some prime over $q$ ramifies in $K_{1} / K$.

Proof. Fix $H=\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ to be the centralizer of the transposition (12). Since $H$ is generated by its transpositions, any subgroup $H_{1}$ of index 2 in $H$ omits some transposition, say $t$. The action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}$ on the transpositions is transitive and Proposition 5.1 implies that the inertia group for each prime of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ dividing $N$ is generated by a transposition. Hence there is prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid q$ in $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ whose inertia group is generated by $t$. Since $t$ fixes $K$ but not $K_{1}=F^{H_{1}}$, the prime below $\mathfrak{q}$ is unramified in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, but ramifies in $K_{1} / K$.

See Appendix A for a review of the notion of conductor exponent for field extensions used in the next Proposition.

Proposition 5.7. Assume that $N$ is prime. Let $L$ be an elementary 2-extension of $F$, Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$, with $L / F$ unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$ and conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L / F) \leq 2 g$ for all primes $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$ in L. Let $L_{0}$ be the maximal subfield of $L$ abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the following properties hold.
i) $L_{0}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{N^{*}}\right)$, with $N^{*}= \pm N \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
ii) At each prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid N$, the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L / \mathbb{Q})=\left\langle\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right\rangle$ is generated by an involution $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ whose projection to $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}$ is a transposition.
iii) Fix a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ dividing $N$. The conjugates of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ generate $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Since $\sqrt{N^{*}}$ is in $F$, the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{N^{*}}\right)$ is contained in $L_{0}$. By our assumptions, $L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ is unramified outside $\{2, N, \infty\}$. Since the conductor exponent of $L / F$ satisfies $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L / F) \leq 2 g$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \leq \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L / \mathbb{Q}) \leq(4 g+1) /(2 g+1)<2
$$

by (A.1) and so 2 is unramified in $L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$. Proposition 5.1(iv) shows that each inertia group over $N$ in $F$ is generated by a transposition. Since $\mathfrak{q}$ is unramified in $L / F$, item (ii) holds. Then by Kronecker-Weber, $L_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{N^{*}}\right)$, with equality by Proposition5.1(iii). The subfield of $L$ fixed by all the conjugates of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$ and is therefore contained in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ by the Odlyzko bounds and our 2 -adic conductor bound (see [BK1, Prop. 3.1]), so equals $\mathbb{Q}$. This proves (iii).

Lemma 5.8. If $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F / \mathbb{Q})=\left\langle\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right\rangle$ at a prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid N$ of residue characteristic $q$, then the action of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ on left cosets of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ is the product of $2 g-1$ transpositions. Equivalently, $(q) \mathcal{O}_{K}=\mathfrak{a b}{ }^{2}$ where $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are squarefree, relatively prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ with respective absolute norms $q^{2 g^{2}-3 g+2}$ and $q^{2 g-1}$.

Proof. Let $f$ be the minimal polynomial of an element $\alpha$ satisfying $F_{0}=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Choose a field $k$ linearly disjoint from $F$, such that the residue degree of each prime over $q$ in $k F / k$ is 1. By Proposition 5.1(iv), $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ acts as a transposition on the roots of $f$, so there are $r_{1}=2 g-1$ roots in $\widetilde{k}=F_{0} k$ and $r_{2}=1$ pair of roots in a ramified quadratic extension over $\widetilde{k}$. The claims now follow from Lemma 5.12 below.

Up to isomorphism, the smallest non-trivial irreducible representation of $\mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}$ is given by the hyperplane

$$
H=\left\{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{2 g+1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2 g+1} \mid a_{0}+\cdots+a_{2 g+1}=0\right\}
$$

with the permutation action [Di]. This representation preserves a symplectic form, so that $\rho_{H}: \mathcal{S}_{7} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. It takes transpositions to transvections and is absolutely irreducible. If $r$ is the order of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z} /(2 g+1) \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$and $\sigma$ is a $(2 g+1)$-cycle in $\mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}$, then there is an element $\tau$ of order $r$ such that

$$
\Delta=\left\langle\sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^{2 g+1}=1, \tau^{r}=1, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}=\sigma^{2}\right\rangle
$$

is a metacyclic group $\langle\sigma\rangle \rtimes\langle\tau\rangle$ of order $r(2 g+1)$. The characteristic polynomial of $\sigma$ is the polynomial $\xi(x)=\left(x^{2 g+1}-1\right) /(x-1)$. To obtain the irreducible $r$ dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$-modules, let $\zeta_{j}$ range over a set of representatives for the orbits under the action of squaring on primitive $(2 g+1)$-th roots of unity and let $E_{j}$ be induced from the representation of $\langle\sigma\rangle$ determined by $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_{j}$. These representations are permuted by $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2 g+1}\right)$. The other irreducible representations of $\Delta$ can be obtained similarly, as in [CR, §47].

Proposition 5.1(ii) indicates that if $F$ is the Galois closure of a favorable field $F_{0}$, and $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$, then the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F / \mathbb{Q})=\left\langle\sigma_{p}\right\rangle$ is cyclic of order $2 g+1$ and the decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\Delta$.

Proposition 5.9. Let $A$ be a favorable abelian $g$-fold. Then $\mathcal{E}=A[2]$ is a simple finite flat group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$. The restriction $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ is biconnected and the simple constituents of $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ correspond to the irreducible factors of $\xi(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Proof. Since $E$ is a faithful $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[\mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}\right]$-module of dimension $2 g$, it is isomorphic to $H$. As an $\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$-module, $E$ has no one-dimensional constituents, since $\xi(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial for the action of $\sigma$. Thus, the étale and multiplicative components of $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ are trivial and so $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ is biconnected. Indeed, the structure of $E$ as an $\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$-module determines the constituents of $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$.

To obtain a family of favorable abelian $g$-folds, let $J$ be the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve $C: y^{2}+y=f(x)$, with $f$ monic in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\operatorname{deg} f=2 g+1$. Then $C$ has good reduction at 2 and so $J[2]$ is a finite flat group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. If $\theta$ is a root of $h(x)=1+4 f(x)$, then $F=\mathbb{Q}(J[2])$ is the Galois closure of $F_{0}=\mathbb{Q}[\theta]$. The Newton polygon of $h$ shows that there is unique prime over 2 in $F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$, totally ramified of degree $2 g+1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{2}(\theta)=-\frac{2}{2 g+1}$. Hence $h$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ and so also over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since 2 is tamely ramified, $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(\operatorname{disc}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}}\right)=2 g$. If, in addition, the discriminant of $h$ is $\pm 2^{2 g} N$, with $N$ odd and square-free, then $F_{0}$ is a favorable field and $J$ is a favorable $g$-fold.

When $N$ is prime, the global group theory associated to extensions of $A[2]$ by itself, described in $\S 6$ for $g=3$ and in BK2 for $g=2$, can be generalized to arbitrary $g$. In fact, the lattice of $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[\mathcal{S}_{2 g+1}\right]$-submodules of $\operatorname{End}(A[2]) \simeq H \otimes H^{*}$ depends only on the parity of $g$. The local study over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is more delicate and
depends in a subtle way on $g$. One needs to confront the issues in $\S 3$ and $\S 4$ for all the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$-modules in $A[2]$ and their extensions.
Proposition 5.10. Let $F$ be the Galois closure of a favorable heptic field $F_{0}$ of discriminant $\pm 2^{6} N$. For $g=3$, let $E \simeq H$ afford the Galois representation

$$
\rho_{E}: \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_{7} \xrightarrow{\rho_{H}} \operatorname{Sp}_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) .
$$

Then $E$ prolongs to a unique finite flat group scheme $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ such that $F=\mathbb{Q}(E)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2}$, where the $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ 's are the simple group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ corresponding to the Honda systems $\left(\mathrm{M}_{i}, \mathrm{~L}_{i}\right)$ of Proposition 3.5.
Proof. The decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ at any prime $\mathfrak{p}$ over 2 is isomorphic $\Delta$. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$-modules associated to $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$, respectively and described in (4.3.2) and (4.3.3). Then $E \simeq E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ as an $\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$-module. Since $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ are biconnected, it follows from Fontaine and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of Schoof [Sc1, Cor. 2.4] that $E$ prolongs to a unique finite flat group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$.

Finally, we verify the two general number-theoretic lemma cited above. The first is used in the proof of Proposition 5.1(ii), to interchange completion with Galois closure. The second is used to understand ramification over $N$ and $\infty$ in the proofs of Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.8

Lemma 5.11. Let $L_{0} / k$ be a finite extension of number fields and let $L$ be the Galois closure of $L_{0}$ over $k$. Fix a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $k$ and let $P$ be a prime over $\mathfrak{p}$ in $L$. Assume that $\mathfrak{P}=P \cap L_{0}$ is the unique prime over $\mathfrak{p}$ in $L_{0}$. Denote the respective completions by $\widetilde{L}=L_{P}, \widetilde{L}_{0}=\left(L_{0}\right)_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $\widetilde{k}=k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $\widetilde{L}$ is the Galois closure of $\widetilde{L}_{0}$ over $\widetilde{k}$.
Proof. Let $D=D_{P}(L / k) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / \widetilde{k})$ be the decomposition group of $P$ inside $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / k)$ and let $H=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$. Because $\widetilde{L}$ is Galois over $\widetilde{k}$ and contains $\widetilde{L}_{0}$, the Galois closure $M$ of $\widetilde{L}_{0}$ is contained in $\widetilde{L}$. The decomposition group $D_{P}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$ of $P$ inside $H$ is given by $J=D \cap H \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{L} / \widetilde{L}_{0}\right)$.

Since there is only one prime over $\mathfrak{p}$ in $L_{0}$, the group $H$ acts transitively on the set of primes over $\mathfrak{p}$ in $L$. Thus, for each $g$ in $G$, there is some $h$ in $H$ such that $g(P)=h(P)$ and so $G=H D=D H$. Since $L$ is a minimal Galois extension of $k$ containing $L_{0}$, we conclude that

$$
\{1\}=\bigcap_{g \in G} g H g^{-1}=\bigcap_{d \in D} d H d^{-1} \supseteq \bigcap_{d \in D} d J d^{-1}
$$

Hence, $M=\widetilde{L}$ as desired.
Lemma 5.12. Suppose that $G=\operatorname{Gal}_{k}(f)$ acts doubly transitively on the roots of a separable polynomial $f$ over a field $k$. Let $\widetilde{k}$ be an extension of $k$ containing exactly $r_{1}$ of the roots of $f$ and assume that the remaining $2 r_{2}$ roots lie in a quadratic extension of $\widetilde{k}$. For any two distinct roots $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ of $f$, there are exactly $r_{1}^{\prime}=\binom{r_{1}}{2}+r_{2}$ embeddings of $k\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)$ into $\widetilde{k}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r_{1}}$ be the roots of $f$ in $\widetilde{k}$ and let $\beta_{1}, \bar{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r_{2}}, \bar{\beta}_{r_{2}}$ be the conjugate pairs of roots not in $\widetilde{k}$. The conjugates of $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}$ in $\widetilde{k}$ have the form $\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq r_{1}$ or $\beta_{i}+\bar{\beta}_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_{2}$.

## 6. Favorable abelian threefolds

Throughout this section, $F$ is the Galois closure of a favorable heptic field of discriminant $\pm 2^{6} N$ with $N$ prime. Also, $F=\mathbb{Q}(E)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the group scheme over $R=\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ introduced in Proposition 5.10. Thus $\mathcal{E}$ is absolutely simple and $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ is biconnected.

Let $\underline{E}$ be the category of finite flat group schemes over $R$ defined in $\$ 2$ Recall from [BK2, §3] that $\underline{E}$ is a full subcategory of the category of 2-primary group schemes over $R$, closed under taking products, closed flat subgroup schemes and quotients by closed flat subgroup schemes. In particular, Ext ${ }_{E}^{1}$ is defined. If $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are in $\underline{E}$ and annihilated by 2 , let $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ denote the subgroup of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ whose classes are represented by extensions killed by 2.

When $A$ is a favorable threefold, our hypotheses on $\mathcal{E}$ are satisfied by $\mathcal{E}=A[2]$, according to Proposition5.9. As a consequence of BK2, Theorem 3.7], the following uniqueness criterion holds.

Criterion 6.1. Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})=0$. Let $B$ be a semistable abelian variety of conductor $N^{d}$ such that $B[2]^{s s} \simeq d \mathcal{E}$. Then $B$ is isogenous to $A^{d}$.

The aim of this section is to obtain explicit conditions on the field $F$ to guarantee the vanishing of $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. When there is an extension $V$ of $E$ by $E$ as Galois modules, it is essential for us to compare the restriction of $V$ to a decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{Q}(V) / \mathbb{Q})$ at a prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$ with the Galois modules arising from Honda systems in $\$ 4.3$.

We use a fixed but non-standard basis for $E$ to define a map $\iota: \mathcal{S}_{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, as follows. Write the following $6 \times 6$ matrices as blocks of $3 \times 3$ matrices, using the notation for the local representations in (4.3.2) and (4.3.3):

$$
\mathbf{s}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
s_{1} & 0  \tag{6.2}\\
0 & s_{2}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right]
$$

Fix $\iota$ by demanding that $(1234567) \mapsto \mathbf{s}$ and $(12) \mapsto \mathbf{r}$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the transvection

$$
\mathbf{r}=I+\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \tag{6.3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $\iota:(124)(365) \mapsto \mathbf{t}$. There is a prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$ in $F$ such that $\rho_{E}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\mathbf{s}$ and $\rho_{E}\left(\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\mathbf{t}$, where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ generates the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ and $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius. Moreover, there is a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ over $N$ in $F$ such that the generator $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ of the inertia $\operatorname{group} \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F / \mathbb{Q})$, satisfies $\rho_{E}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=\mathbf{r}$. From now on, $\rho_{E}$ denotes the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{E}: \operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{7} \xrightarrow{\iota} \operatorname{Sp}_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with this normalization.
An extension $V$ of $E$ by $E$ of exponent 2 and field of points $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$ provides a representation

$$
\rho_{V}: G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x & y  \tag{6.5}\\
0 & x
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, x \in \iota\left(\mathcal{S}_{7}\right), y \in M_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{E, E}$ is the parabolic group described in (2.4). The field $L$ contains $F$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ is an elementary 2-group. Moreover, $L$ does not depend on the choice of representative for the extension class $[V]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Q}}^{1}(E, E)$. We always arrange for $\pi \rho_{V}=\rho_{E}$, where $\pi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \iota\left(\mathcal{S}_{7}\right)$ is the projection map $\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & y \\ 0 & x\end{array}\right] \mapsto x$. The radical of $\mathcal{P}$ is $\mathcal{R}=$ ker $\pi$. If, in addition, $V$ is the Galois representation associated to a
class $[\mathcal{V}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, we shall see in Proposition 6.13 that $\rho_{V}(G)$ is conjugate in $\mathcal{P}$ to one of the groups that we now define.

Set $\gamma_{0}=0$ in $M_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ and define the following matrices $\gamma_{a}$ in $M_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, writing them as blocks of $3 \times 3$ matrices in terms of the matrix $t$ from (4.3.2):

| $a$ | 6 | 14 | 20 | 29 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma_{a}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc}t & t^{2}+1 \\ t^{2} & t^{2}\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 t^{2}+1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | $\gamma_{6}+\gamma_{14}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ t^{2} & 0\end{array}\right]$ | $\gamma_{6}+\gamma_{29}$ |

The group ring $S=\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[\mathcal{S}_{7}\right]$ acts on $M_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ via conjugation by the matrices in $\iota\left(\mathcal{S}_{7}\right)$.
Notation 6.7. Let $c: M_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by $c(m)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & m \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ and $d: \operatorname{Sp}_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by $d(m)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}m & 0 \\ 0 & m\end{array}\right]$. Let $G_{0} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{7}$ be the image under $d$ of $\iota\left(\mathcal{S}_{7}\right)$. For $\gamma_{a}$ in (6.6) define the following S -submodules of $M_{6}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{6}=\mathrm{S} \gamma_{6} \quad \Gamma_{14}=\mathrm{S} \gamma_{14}, \quad \Gamma_{20}=\mathrm{S} \gamma_{20}, \quad \Gamma_{29}=\mathrm{S} \gamma_{29}, \quad \Gamma_{35}=\mathrm{S} \gamma_{35} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $G_{a}=<G_{0}, c\left(\gamma_{a}\right)>=c\left(\Gamma_{a}\right) \rtimes G_{0}$.
The radical of $G_{a}$ is $\mathcal{R}_{a}=c\left(\Gamma_{a}\right)$ and has size $2^{a}$. The abelianization of $G_{a}$ has order 2. For $a$ in $\{29,35\}$, the center of $G_{a}$ is generated by $c(1)$, but the center is trivial for the other values of $a$. Inclusions among the groups $G_{a}$ are indicated in the Hasse diagram below by ascending lines:


Moreover, $G_{20}$ is isomorphic to the fiber product of $G_{14}$ and $G_{6}$ over $G_{0}$ and similarly for the other parallelograms. The identity on $G_{0}$ extends to a surjection $f_{a, b}: G_{a} \rightarrow G_{b}$ sending $c\left(\gamma_{a}\right)$ to $c\left(\gamma_{b}\right)$.
Definition 6.10. An involution $h$ is good in a group $H$ if the normal closure of $\langle h\rangle$ in $H$ equals $H$. If $h$ is good in a subgroup $H$ of the parabolic group $\mathcal{P}$ and $\operatorname{rank}(h-1)=2$, then $h$ is very good in $H$.
Remark 6.11. A Magma verification shows that each $G_{a}$ has a unique conjugacy class of very good involutions, represented by $d(\mathbf{r})$ with $\mathbf{r}$ given in (6.3).

Let $[V]$ be a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Q}}^{1}(E, E)$, with $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$ and $F=\mathbb{Q}(E)$. We shall impose the following assumptions, which do not depend on the choice of representative for the class $[V]$.

G1. The extension $L / F$ is unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$.
G2. If $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L / \mathbb{Q})=\left\langle\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right\rangle$ at a prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid N$ and $h=\rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$, then $\operatorname{rank}(h-1)=2$.
G3. At primes $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$, the ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L / F) \leq 6$.
Proposition 6.12. If $\mathcal{V}$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, then the associated Galois module $V$ satisfies G1-G3.
Proof. By E2, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ has order 2 in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$, so all the ramification at primes over $N$ has already occurred in $F / \mathbb{Q}$ and thus $\mathbf{G 1}$ holds for $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$. Since $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ acts tamely on $V$, the conductor exponent of $V$ at $N$ is given by

$$
\mathfrak{f}_{N}(V)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(V / V^{\left\langle\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right\rangle}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)-1\right)
$$

But $\mathfrak{f}_{N}(V)=2$ by E3, so G2 holds. Finally, G3 is the conductor bound for $\mathcal{V}_{\mid \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ given by Theorem 4.2.2

Proposition 6.13. If $[V]$ satisfies $\mathbf{G 1 - G 3}$, then $\rho_{V}(\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V) / \mathbb{Q}))$ is conjugate in $\mathcal{P}$ to some $G_{a}$. Given a prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid N$ there is a representative $V$ such that

$$
\text { (i) } \quad \rho_{V}(\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V) / \mathbb{Q}))=G_{a} \quad \text { and } \quad \text { (ii) } \quad \rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=d(\mathbf{r}) \text {. }
$$

In particular, $a=0$ if and only if $V$ is a split extension. If $V^{\prime}$ is another representative for $[V]$ satisfying (i) and (ii), then $\rho_{V^{\prime}}=\alpha \rho_{V} \alpha^{-1}$ for some $\alpha$ in the centralizer of $d(\mathbf{r})$ in $G_{a}$.

Proof. G1-G3 translate to the following properties of $\bar{G}=\rho_{V}(\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V) / \mathbb{Q}))$ :
a) the projection $\pi: \bar{G} \rightarrow \iota\left(\mathcal{S}_{7}\right)$ is surjective;
b) $\bar{G}$ is the normal closure of an involution $h$ with $\operatorname{rank}(h-1)=2$;
c) the abelianization of $\bar{G}$ has order 2 .

Item (a) holds because $\pi \rho_{V}=\rho_{E}$. Item (b) holds for $h=\rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$ by $\mathbf{G} 2$ and Proposition5.7, which also gives (c). One verifies that any subgroup of $\mathcal{P}$ satisfying (a)-(c) is conjugate by some $\alpha$ in the radical $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ to $G_{a}$ for some $a$. By Lemma 2.6, replacing $\rho_{V}$ by $\rho_{W}=\alpha \rho_{V} \alpha^{-1}$ simply changes $V$ to another representative $W$ for the same extension class, now satisfying $\rho_{W}(G)=G_{a}$. In particular, if $a=0$, then $W$ is a split extension. Since $\rho_{W}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$ is a very good involution, it is conjugate in $G_{a}$ to $d(\mathbf{r})$ by Remark 6.11. Applying this inner automorphism to $G_{a}$ gives $\rho_{W}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=d(\mathbf{r})$.
Definition 6.14. A $G_{a}$-class is a class $[V]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Q}}^{1}(E, E)$ satisfying G1-G3.
Fix a pair-resolvent $K$ for $F=\mathbb{Q}(E)$ by taking $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ to be the centralizer of the transposition (12). For primes $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$, the ramification index $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(K / \mathbb{Q})=7$ by Lemma 5.3. In addition, any choice of Frobenius at $\mathfrak{p}$ is the product of two 3 -cycles in $\mathcal{S}_{7}$ so it is not in $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$. Hence, as a special case of Remark [5.4, there is one prime $\mathfrak{p}_{K}$ over 2 in $K$ and it has residue degree 3 . Let $\Omega_{K}$ be the maximal elementary 2-extension of $K$ of modulus $\mathfrak{p}_{K}^{6} \infty$, where $\infty$ means that ramification is allowed at each archimedean place. Let $K^{\prime}$ be a subfield of $\Omega_{K}$ quadratic over $K$. Refer to the diagram of fields and Galois groups below:


Proposition 6.15. Let $K$ be the pair-resolvent of $F$. There is a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{G_{a} \text {-classes }[V] \mid a \in\{6,14,20\}\right\} \longleftrightarrow\left\{\text { subfields } K^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega_{K} \mid\left[K^{\prime}: K\right]=2\right\} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\mathbb{Q}(V)$ is the Galois closure of $K^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}$.
Proof. Fix $a$ in $\{6,14,20\}$ and let $H=\pi^{-1}(C)$ be the inverse image under the projection map $\pi: G_{a} \rightarrow \iota\left(\mathcal{S}_{7}\right)$ of the centralizer $C$ of $\mathbf{r}=\iota((12))$. Among the four subgroups of index 2 in $H$, exactly one, say $J$, enjoys both of the following properties: (i) the action of $G_{a}$ on $G_{a} / J$ is faithful and (ii) $d(\mathbf{r})$ has exactly 22 fixed points in this action.

Given a $G_{a}$-class [ $V$ ], fix a prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid N$ in $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$ and choose a representative $V$ satisfying $\rho_{V}(\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q}))=G_{a}$ and $\rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=d(\mathbf{r})$, as in Proposition 6.13. The subfield of of $L$ fixed by $\rho_{V}^{-1}(H)$ is the pair-resolvent field $K$ for $F / \mathbb{Q}$ as in Notation 5.2 independent of the choice of representative $V$. The fixed field $K^{\prime}$ of $\rho_{V}^{-1}(J)$ is quadratic over $K$ and also is independent of the choice of $V$. Indeed, if $\left[V^{\prime}\right]=[V]$, then $\rho_{V^{\prime}}=\alpha \rho_{V} \alpha^{-1}$ for some $\alpha$ in $G_{a}$ centralizing $d(\mathbf{r})$ by Proposition 6.13. But then $\alpha$ is in $H$, so $\alpha$ normalizes $J$. Hence $\rho_{V^{\prime}}^{-1}(J)=\rho_{V}^{-1}(J)$.

By (ii) and the factorization of the ideal $(N) \mathcal{O}_{K}$ given by Lemma 5.8 all primes over $N$ in $K$ are unramified in $K^{\prime} / K$. Since the primes $\mathfrak{p}$ over 2 are unramified in $F / K$ by Lemma 5.3, we have $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)=\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L / F)$, for example by BK2, Lemma C.11]. Hence G3 implies that $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right) \leq 6$, so $K^{\prime}$ is contained in $\Omega_{K}$ and we have a well-defined map $[V] \mapsto K^{\prime}$ in (6.16).

Conversely, let $K^{\prime}$ be a subfield of $\Omega_{K}$ quadratic over $K, L$ the Galois closure of $K^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}, G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q}), H=\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ and $J=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / K^{\prime}\right)$. Then $L$ properly contains $F$, since each quadratic extension of $K$ in $F$ does ramify at some prime over $N$ by Lemma [5.6. By Proposition 5.7] the generator $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ of inertia $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ at each prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid N$ is a good involution in $G$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ can be chosen in $H$. Since no prime over $N$ ramifies in $K^{\prime} / K$, the action of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ on $G / J$ has 22 fixed points. The following group-theoretic properties of $G$ have been established:
i) There is a surjection $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{7}$ whose kernel has exponent 2 and is the radical of $G$.
ii) The abelianization of $G$ has order 2 .
iii) If $H$ is the inverse image under $\pi$ of the centralizer of a transposition in $\mathcal{S}_{7}$, then there is a subgroup $J$ of index 2 in $H$ such that the action of $G$ on $G / J$ is faithful, so $G$ is a transitive permutation group on 42 letters.
iv) There is a good involution $g$ in $G$ whose action on $G / J$ has 22 fixed points.

We have (i), since the radical of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ and (ii) by Lemma 5.7(i). For (iii), note that $[G: J]=42$ and $G$ acts faithfully on $G / J$ because $L$ is the Galois closure of $K^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}$. In addition, $H=\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Gal}(F / K))$, where $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ is the centralizer of (12) in $\mathcal{S}_{7}$.

In the Magma database of 9491 transitive groups of degree 42, items (i)-(iv) hold for exactly three permutation groups up to conjugation in $\mathcal{S}_{42}$. They are isomorphic to $G_{6}, G_{14}$ and $G_{20}$, respectively, via an isomorphism sending $g$ in (iv) to a very good involution in $G_{a}$, conjugate to $d(\mathbf{r})$ by Remark 6.11. All automorphisms of $G_{6}$ are inner. For $a=14$ or 20 , the outer automorphism group of $G_{a}$ has order 2 and non-trivial outer automorphisms move $d(\mathbf{r})$ to good involutions $j$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(j-1)=6$.

Let $\rho_{W}: G \rightarrow G_{a}$ be the representation given by an isomorphism that preserves the conjugacy class of $d(\mathbf{r})$. Then $\pi \rho_{W}$ and $\rho_{E}$ are conjugate in $\iota\left(\mathcal{S}_{7}\right)$ because the automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}_{7}$ are inner. By surjectivity of $\pi$, there is a representation $\rho_{V}: G \rightarrow G_{a}$, conjugate to $\rho_{W}$, such that $\pi \rho_{V}=\rho_{E}$. In addition, $\rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$ is conjugate to $d(\mathbf{r})$ in $G_{a}$, so $\mathbf{G} 2$ holds. Since $K^{\prime}$ is contained in $\Omega_{K}$, we have $\mathbf{G 1}$ and G3, making $[V]$ a $G_{a}$-class.

Suppose that $\rho_{V^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow G_{a}$ is another representation such that $\pi \rho_{V^{\prime}}=\rho_{E}$ and $\rho_{V^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$ is conjugate to $d(\mathbf{r})$ in $G_{a}$. Since the only automorphisms of $G_{a}$ preserving the conjugacy class of $d(\mathbf{r})$ are inner, we have $\rho_{V^{\prime}}=\alpha \rho_{V} \alpha^{-1}$ for some $\alpha$ in $G_{a}$. But then $\pi(\alpha)=1$ because the center of $\mathcal{S}_{7}$ is trivial, so $\alpha$ is in the radical $\mathcal{R}_{a}$ of
$G_{a}$. Hence $\left[V^{\prime}\right]=[V]$ and we therefore have a well-defined inverse map from $K^{\prime}$ to the extension class $[V]$.

From now on, $[V]$ is a $G_{a}$-class and $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$. In particular, $V$ represents a class in $\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\prime}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, where $R^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2 N}\right]$ and the conductor bound $\mathbf{G 3}$ holds. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence of Schoof [Sc1, Cor. 2.4] with $R=\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ implies that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\prime}}^{1}(E, E) \times \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{1}(E, E)
$$

is exact. Thus $V$ prolongs to a group scheme $\mathcal{V}$ over $R$ if and only if there is some class $[\mathcal{W}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, as determined in $\S 4.3$, such that the images of $[V]$ and $[\mathcal{W}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{1}(E, E)$ agree. If so, the other properties of a $G_{a}$-class guarantee that $[\mathcal{V}]$ is in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. Next, we sketch our strategy for examining this patching problem and review the notation required.

Recall that $\mathfrak{h}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}(E, E)$ and let the cocycle $\psi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ represent the cohomology class in $H^{1}\left(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathfrak{h}\right)$ associated to the $G_{a}$-class [ $V$ ], as in (2.3). Let $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completions of $L$ and $F$ at a fixed prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$. The decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ admits the quotient $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq\langle\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}\rangle$, where $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ are defined in (6.2). By G3, $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is contained in the maximal elementary 2-extension $T$ of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with ray class conductor exponent at most 6 . Let res $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}[\psi]$ denote the restriction to the decomposition group.

In the local theory of $\S 4.3$, a class $[\mathcal{W}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ gives rise to a Honda character $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h})$, where $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}\left(T / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{R}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[\Delta]$. As noted before Definition 4.3.7, $\operatorname{res}_{\Gamma}$ and $\inf _{L_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{T}$ are injective. Let

$$
\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{res}_{\Gamma} \inf _{L_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{T} \operatorname{res}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}}([\psi])
$$

It follows that $V$ prolongs to a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ if and only if $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}=\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h})$. To test whether this last equality holds, it suffices to compare $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ on the generators $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{3}$ for $\Gamma$ defined before Proposition 4.3.5

See Definition 4.3.4 for corner spaces. Since $g_{1}$ is in $C_{1}(\Gamma)$, but $g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ are in $C_{2}(\Gamma)$, the values of $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ on these generators lie in the corresponding corner spaces of $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $E_{2}, E_{1}$ and $D$ be the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]$-modules from $\S 4.3$, with respective $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-dimensions $3,3,2$.

Lemma 6.17. The $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]$-module structure of the the groups $\Gamma_{a}$ and generators for their corner spaces are as follows.

- $C_{2}\left(\Gamma_{6}\right)=\left\langle\gamma_{6}\right\rangle, C_{1}\left(\Gamma_{6}\right)=\left\langle\bar{\gamma}_{6}\right\rangle$ and $\Gamma_{6} \simeq E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$, where

$$
\gamma_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t & t^{2}+1 \\
t^{2} & t^{2}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\gamma}_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t^{2} & t \\
t+1 & t
\end{array}\right] .
$$

- $C_{2}\left(\Gamma_{14}\right)=\left\langle\gamma_{14}, \gamma_{14}^{\prime}\right\rangle, C_{1}\left(\Gamma_{14}\right)=\left\langle\bar{\gamma}_{14}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\Gamma_{14} \simeq E_{1}^{2} \oplus E_{2}^{2} \oplus D$, where $\gamma_{14}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & t^{2}+1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right], \quad \gamma_{14}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{2}\end{array}\right], \quad \bar{\gamma}_{14}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ t+1 & 0\end{array}\right], \quad \bar{\gamma}_{14}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}t^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{array}\right]$.
- $C_{2}\left(\Gamma_{29}\right)=\left\langle\gamma_{29}, \gamma_{29}^{\prime}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{14}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $C_{1}\left(\Gamma_{29}\right)=\left\langle\bar{\gamma}_{29}, \bar{\gamma}_{29}^{\prime}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where

$$
\gamma_{29}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
t^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \gamma_{29}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
t^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \bar{\gamma}_{29}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & t \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \bar{\gamma}_{29}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t^{2} & t \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\Gamma_{29} \simeq E_{1}^{4} \oplus E_{2}^{4} \oplus D^{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}$.
Moreover, $\Gamma_{20}=\Gamma_{6} \oplus \Gamma_{14}$ and $\Gamma_{35}=\Gamma_{6} \oplus \Gamma_{29}$, with corresponding sums of corners.

The proof consists of a Magma verification.
Proposition 6.18. Let $V$ represent a $G_{a}$-class and $L_{a}=\mathbb{Q}(V)$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{a} / F\right)$ be the ray class conductor exponent of the extension $\widetilde{L} / \widetilde{F}$, where $\widetilde{L}$ and $\widetilde{F}$ are the completions of $L_{a}$ and $F$ at a place $\mathfrak{p}$ over 2 . If $V$ prolongs to a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$, then $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{a} / F\right) \leq 6$. In addition, the following stricter bounds must hold:

$$
\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{6} / F\right) \leq 2, \quad \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{14} / F\right) \leq 4, \quad \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{29} / F\right) \leq 4
$$

Proof. We use some of the notation recalled above. If $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{3}\right)$ is not trivial and matches $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}\left(g_{3}\right)$ for some Honda cocycle $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$, then $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{3}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ t^{2} & 0\end{array}\right]$ by the local theory in Lemma 4.3.8. But this element is not in any of the global corner groups $C_{2}\left(\Gamma_{a}\right)$ for $a=6,14$ or 29, according to Lemma 6.17. Hence $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{a} / F\right) \leq 4$ in those cases. Suppose further that $a=6$. If $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{2}\right)$ matches $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}\left(g_{2}\right)$ for some Honda cocycle $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$, then $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{2}\right)$ has the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}* 0 \\ * *\end{array}\right]$, also by Lemma 4.3.8, But $C_{2}\left(\Gamma_{6}\right)=\left\langle\gamma_{2}\right\rangle$ by Lemma 6.17, forcing $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{2}\right)=0$. Hence $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L_{6} / F\right) \leq 2$.

We next recall the concept of an amiable field from $\S 1$. As is standard for this section, $F$ is the Galois closure of a favorable heptic field $F_{0}$ with $\operatorname{disc}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}}= \pm 2^{6} N$ and $N$ is prime. Also, $K$ is a pair-resolvent for $F$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is the prime over 2 in $K$.
Definition 6.19. Write $\Omega_{K}$ for the maximal elementary 2-extension of $K$ unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$, such that the ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right) \leq 6$. We say that $F$ is amiable if either $\Omega_{K}=K$ or one of the following conditions holds:
i) $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right]=2$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right)=6$, or
ii) $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right]=2, \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right)=4$ and the Galois closure of $\Omega_{K} / \mathbb{Q}$ has group $G_{6}$.

Proposition 6.20. For $F$ to be amiable, both of the following conditions must hold:
i) the narrow class number of $K$ is odd and
ii) the number of real embeddings of $F_{0}$ satisfies $r_{1} \leq 3$.

Proof. If (i) fails, then $\Omega_{K}$ contains a quadratic extension of $K$ whose conductor exponent is 0 , so $F$ is not amiable. If (ii) fails, then $r_{1} \geq 5$, so $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right) \geq 4$ by Lemma 5.5, also contradicting amiability.

Lemma 6.21. Let $[V]$ be a $G_{a}$-class and let $\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ be a $G_{a^{\prime}-c l a s s . ~}^{\text {-clas }}$.
i) The Baer sum $\left[V^{\prime \prime}\right]=[V]+\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ is a $G_{b}$-class for some $b$.
ii) If there is a surjection $f_{a, b}: G_{a} \rightarrow G_{b}$ in diagram (6.9), then the Galois module for $f_{a, b} \rho_{V}$ represents a $G_{b}$-class.
Proof. If $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$ and $L^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$, then $L^{\prime \prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a subfield of the compositum $L L^{\prime}$. Conditions $\mathbf{G 1}$ and $\mathbf{G} 3$ hold for ramification in $L^{\prime \prime} / F$ because they are valid for $L$ and $L^{\prime}$. By Proposition 6.13, we can choose the representative $V$ and a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ over $N$ in $L L^{\prime}$ such that $\rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=d(\mathbf{r})$. Let the cocycles $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ belong to $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, as in (2.3). Then $\psi^{\prime \prime}=\psi+\psi^{\prime}$ represents the cocycle class for $V^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\psi\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=0$, we have $\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$. Conclude that $\mathbf{G} 2$ holds for [ $V^{\prime \prime}$ ] by using $\rho_{V^{\prime \prime}}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$.

For part (ii), let $L^{\prime}$ be the subfield of $L$ fixed by $\rho_{V}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{a, b}\right)$. Then $L^{\prime}$ contains $F$ and $f_{a, b} \rho_{V}$ induces an isomorphism $\rho_{V^{\prime}}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow G_{b}$, where $V^{\prime}$ is the corresponding Galois module. The ramification conditions in $\mathbf{G 1}$ and $\mathbf{G} 3$ hold for subfields of $L$ containing $F$. Since $f_{a, b}$ is the identity on $G_{0}$ we find that $\rho_{V^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)=\rho_{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$. Hence $\mathbf{G} 2$ also holds for $V^{\prime}$.

Proposition 6.22. If $F=\mathbb{Q}(E)$ is amiable, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})=0$.
Proof. If $K$ is a pair-resolvent field of $F$, then $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right] \leq 2$ by definition of an amiable field. Suppose that there is a non-trivial class $[\mathcal{V}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], E}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. By Proposition 6.13, $[V]$ is $G_{a}$-class with $a \neq 0$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$ properly contains $F$.

If $a=20$ or 35 , we have both a $G_{6}$-class [ $V^{\prime}$ ] and a $G_{14}$-class [ $V^{\prime \prime}$ ], according to diagram (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii). Then Proposition 6.15]shows that there are two independent quadratic extensions of $K$ in $\Omega_{K}$ corresponding to $V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$, which contradicts $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right] \leq 2$.

If $a=6$ or 14 , Proposition 6.15 provides a quadratic extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ in $\Omega_{K}$ corresponding to $V$ and so $K^{\prime}=\Omega_{K}$. By Proposition 6.18, $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right) \leq 2$ if $a=6$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right) \leq 4$ if $a=14$. Either case violates amiability.

If $a=29$, then $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L / F) \leq 4$ by Proposition 6.18. Use (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii) to obtain a $G_{14}$ class $\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ with $L^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ contained in $L$. Hence $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L^{\prime} / F\right) \leq 4$, but no such $G_{14}$-class is permitted by amiability.

Theorem 6.23. Let $A$ be a favorable abelian threefold of prime conductor $N$ such that $F=\mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ is amiable. If $B$ is a semistable abelian variety of dimension $3 d$ and conductor $N^{d}$, with $B[2]$ filtered by $\mathcal{E}=A[2]$, then $B$ is isogenous to $A^{d}$.
Proof. To apply Criterion 6.1, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})=0$. If $K$ is a pair-resolvent of $F$, then $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right] \leq 2$ since $F$ is amiable. Suppose that there is a non-trivial class $[\mathcal{V}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. By Proposition 6.13, [ $V$ ] is $G_{a}$-class with $a \neq 0$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(V)$ properly contains $F$. We next eliminate the other values of $a$.

If $a=20$ or 35 , we have both a $G_{6}$-class [ $V^{\prime}$ ] and a $G_{14}$-class [ $V^{\prime \prime}$ ], according to diagram (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii). Then Proposition 6.15 shows that there are two independent quadratic extensions of $K$ in $\Omega_{K}$ corresponding to $V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$, thus contradicting $\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right] \leq 2$.

If $a=6$ or 14 , Proposition 6.15 provides a quadratic extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ in $\Omega_{K}$ corresponding to $V$ and so $K^{\prime}=\Omega_{K}$. By Proposition 6.18, $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right) \leq 2$ if $a=6$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right) \leq 4$ if $a=14$. Either case violates amiability.

If $a=29$, then $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L / F) \leq 4$ by Proposition 6.18, Use (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii) to obtain a $G_{14}$ class $\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ with $L^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ contained in $L$. Hence $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(L^{\prime} / F\right) \leq 4$, but no such $G_{14}$-class is permitted by amiability.

## 7. SOME DATA FOR HEPTIC FAVORABLE CURVES

Recall Definition 6.19 and Proposition 6.20 about amiability. Consider the subsets of favorable heptic fields $F_{0}$ enjoying, respectively, the following properties.
$R$ : the number of real places of $F_{0}$ satisfies $r_{1} \leq 3$.
$Z$ : the ray class field $\Omega_{K}=K$, where $K$ is the corresponding pair-resolvent.
$\mathfrak{f} 4:\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right]=2, \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right)=4$ and the Galois closure of $\Omega_{K} / \mathbb{Q}$ has group $G_{6}$.
$\mathfrak{f} 6:\left[\Omega_{K}: K\right]=2$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Omega_{K} / K\right)=6$.
$A: F_{0}$ is amiable, that is $A=Z \cup \mathfrak{f} 4 \cup \mathfrak{f} 6$.
The simplest favorable curves of prime conductor $N$ have the shape

$$
y^{2}+y=g(x)=x^{7}+a_{0} x^{6}+a_{1} x^{5}+a_{2} x^{4}+a_{3} x^{3}+a_{4} x^{2}+a_{5} x+a_{6}
$$

with the discriminant of $f(x)=1+4 g(x)$ equal to $\pm 2^{12} N$. The favorable number field $F_{0}$ defined by a root of $f(x)$ has discriminant $\pm 2^{6} N$. A small search gave us 38759 favorable curves of prime conductors at most $10^{10}$. Of those, 8171 have at
most 3 real places and $N \leq 10^{9}$. We describe the distribution of the amiable curves among them in Table 3

In Column $j$ of Tables 3 and 5, we consider primes $N$ between $10^{8} j$ and $10^{8}(j+1)$. In the respective rows, we count fields $F_{0}$ in the corresponding sets.

TABLE 3. Amiable favorable curves with $r_{1} \leq 3$ and $N \leq 10^{9}$

| $j$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | 2069 | 1041 | 815 | 763 | 706 | 622 | 574 | 538 | 534 | 509 | 8171 |
| Z | 35 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 126 |
| $\mathfrak{f} 4$ | 163 | 53 | 41 | 40 | 31 | 27 | 42 | 21 | 22 | 27 | 467 |
| $\mathfrak{f} 6$ | 256 | 103 | 73 | 62 | 67 | 59 | 50 | 39 | 54 | 42 | 805 |
| A | 454 | 164 | 127 | 110 | 108 | 99 | 102 | 69 | 85 | 80 | 1398 |

In addition to the table, we summarize what was found about the even sparser collection of 24132 favorable curves with $10^{9} \leq N \leq 10^{10}$ and at most 3 real places. Of those, 472 satisfy Z, 1110 satisfy $\mathfrak{f} 4$ and 2177 satisfy $\mathfrak{f} 6$ for a total of 3759 amiable curves. The ten amiable curves of largest conductors found are given by $y^{2}=f(x)$ with $f(x)$ in Table 4 and the last column indicates the aspect of amiability that applies.

TABLE 4. Amiable curves with conductor near $10^{10}$

| $f(x)$ | Conductor |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4 x^{7}-12 x^{6}-4 x^{5}-4 x^{4}-12 x^{3}-8 x^{2}+1$ | 9936420433 | $\mathfrak{f} 6$ |
| $4 x^{7}-8 x^{5}-20 x^{4}+16 x^{3}+48 x^{2}+28 x+5$ | 9942023503 | $\mathfrak{f} 4$ |
| $4 x^{7}+8 x^{6}-12 x^{5}-28 x^{4}-8 x^{3}+28 x^{2}+24 x+5$ | 9946958657 | $\mathfrak{f} 6$ |
| $4 x^{7}-16 x^{6}+28 x^{5}-16 x^{4}-12 x^{3}+16 x^{2}+4 x-3$ | 9950037247 | Z |
| $4 x^{7}+12 x^{5}-4 x^{4}-20 x^{3}+20 x^{2}-8 x+1$ | 9951742121 | $\mathfrak{f} 6$ |
| $4 x^{7}+12 x^{6}+28 x^{5}+36 x^{4}+32 x^{3}+16 x^{2}-4 x+1$ | 9959376079 | $\mathfrak{f} 4$ |
| $4 x^{7}+4 x^{6}-8 x^{5}+4 x^{4}+4 x^{3}-24 x^{2}+24 x-7$ | 9979868599 | $\mathfrak{f} 6$ |
| $4 x^{7}-8 x^{6}+20 x^{5}-36 x^{4}-16 x^{3}+52 x^{2}+4 x-15$ | 9984318889 | $\mathfrak{f} 4$ |
| $4 x^{7}+8 x^{6}-4 x^{5}-16 x^{4}+8 x^{3}-4 x^{2}+1$ | 9988954033 | $\mathfrak{f} 4$ |
| $4 x^{7}+20 x^{5}+12 x^{4}+16 x^{3}+32 x^{2}-16 x-7$ | 9988955521 | $\mathfrak{f} 4$ |

Andrew Sutherland Su has a large database of hyperelliptic curves of genus 3 . Of those, 660 are favorable, including 171 amiable curves. In fact, the favorable curves in that data base with the eight smallest conductors, namely

$$
[10487,13399,18839,36383,37321,38567,42239,42473]
$$

are amiable.
With no claim of completeness, we gathered 54270 non-isomorphic favorable fields of prime conductor $N \leq 10^{9}$. Some are fields whose Galois closure is the twodivision field of a favorable curve. Others are favorable fields defined by polynomials of the shape

$$
f=x^{7}+2\left(a_{0} x^{6}+a_{1} x^{5}+a_{2} x^{4}+a_{3} x^{3}+a_{4} x^{2}+a_{5} x+2 a_{6}+1\right)
$$

with $a_{i} \leq 20$. We analyzed these heptic fields as to amiability in Table 5
For comparison, we had found only 1302 out of the 2416 favorable fields with $N \leq 31250000$ and at most 3 real embeddings in the complete table of heptic fields with discriminant of absolute value at most $2 \cdot 10^{9}$ determined by Driver and Jones

TABLE 5. Amiable favorable fields with $r_{1} \leq 3$ and $N \leq 10^{9}$

| $j$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | 13889 | 7265 | 5572 | 5343 | 4688 | 4319 | 3994 | 3812 | 3658 | 3328 | 55868 |
| Z | 1746 | 664 | 562 | 490 | 446 | 370 | 367 | 349 | 301 | 291 | 5586 |
| $\mathfrak{f} 4$ | 1317 | 591 | 462 | 402 | 316 | 300 | 316 | 250 | 262 | 245 | 4461 |
| $\mathfrak{f} 6$ | 3308 | 1467 | 1122 | 992 | 905 | 809 | 713 | 725 | 655 | 618 | 11314 |
| A | 6371 | 2722 | 2146 | 1884 | 1667 | 1479 | 1396 | 1324 | 1218 | 1154 | 21361 |

in DJ]. Of the latter, 530 have property Z, 691 have property $\mathfrak{f} 6$ and 243 have property $\mathfrak{f} 4$ for a total of 1464 amiable fields.

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referee for suggestions that helped to improve the exposition. Research of the second author was partially supported by PSC-CUNY Awards, cycles 50 and 52. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest. All accessible data appears in the manuscript.

## Appendix A. Conductors for Artin-Schreier-Like extensions

We use the conventions of Serre [Se, IV] for conductors. Let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ be the Galois group of a finite extension of local fields of residue characteristic $p$. Write $G_{j}$ for the $j$-th ramification subgroup of $G$ and $c_{L / K}=\max \left\{j \mid G_{j} \neq\{1\}\right\}$. The conductor exponent of $L / K$ is given by

$$
\mathfrak{f}(L / K)=1+\frac{1}{\left|G_{0}\right|}\left(\left|G_{1}\right|+\ldots\left|G_{c_{L / K}}\right|\right) .
$$

If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $H_{j}=H \cap G_{j}$. In particular, if $G_{1} \subseteq H$ and $F$ is the fixed field of $H$, then $H_{j}=G_{j}$ for $j \geq 1$ and $c_{L / F}=c_{L / K}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{f}(L / K)=1+\frac{1}{\left[G_{0}: H_{0}\right]}(\mathfrak{f}(L / F)-1) . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume from now on that $K$ is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and fixed algebraic closure $\bar{K}$. Finding the field of points of a group scheme $\mathcal{V}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ from the linear algebra of its associated Honda system often leads to rather complicated congruences. In $\S 4.2$, such congruences were simplified by a suitable change of variables, to arrive at a characteristic 0 version of Artin-Schreier theory. A key property is that distinct solutions to our Artin-Schreier-like equations differ by units, although the solutions themselves are not integral.

Let $q=p^{n}$ with $n \geq 1$ and let $F$ be a finite extension of $K\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q-1}\right)$ in $\bar{K}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, maximal ideal $m_{F}$ and valuation $v_{F}$, normalized so that $v_{F}(p)=e_{F}$ is the ramification index of $F / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

Proposition A.2. Let $f(x)=x^{q}-x+C$, where $C=u w^{-p^{m}}$ with:

- u a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$,
- $w$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{F}$,
- $p \nmid v_{F}(w)$,
- $0 \leq m<n$.

Let $L$ be the splitting field of $f$. If $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)>q /(1-q)$, then $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is an elementary abelian p-group and $L / F$ is totally ramified, with conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}(L / F)=v_{F}(w)+1$.
Proof. For $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $L$ and an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$, write $\alpha=\beta+\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{a})$ if $\alpha-\beta$ is in $\mathfrak{a}$. To estimate interior terms of a binomial expansion, recall that if $1 \leq j \leq q-1$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\binom{q}{j}=n-\operatorname{ord}_{p} j \geq 1$.

Fix a root $\theta$ of $f$ in $L$, let $g(x)=f(x+\theta)$ and $M=F(\theta)$. By assumption, $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)<0$, so $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\theta)=\frac{1}{q} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)>\frac{1}{1-q}$. For $j=1, \ldots, q-1$, the coefficient of $x^{j}$ in $(x+\theta)^{q}$ is in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{M}$. Indeed,

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\binom{q}{j} \theta^{j}\right) \geq 1+j \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\theta) \geq 1+(q-1) \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\theta)>0
$$

Thus, $g(x)=x^{q}-x+h(x)$, where $h(x)$ is in $\mathfrak{m}_{M}[x]$ and $h(0)=0$.
By Hensel's Lemma, every element of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q-1}$ can be refined to a root of $g$ in $M$, so $L=M$. Furthermore, for $\sigma$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, the root $\sigma(\theta)$ of $f$ has the form $\sigma(\theta)=\theta+\zeta_{\sigma}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)$, with $\zeta_{1}=0$ if $\sigma=1$ is the identity and $\zeta_{\sigma}$ in $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q-1}$ otherwise. Pass to the residue field, to obtain an injective homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_{\sigma}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group.

Since $u$ is a unit in the unramified ring $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ with perfect residue field, there is a unit $u_{1}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ satisfying $u_{1}^{p^{m}} \equiv u(\bmod p)$. Set $t=\theta^{p^{n-m}}+u_{1} w^{-1}$. We claim that $t^{p^{m}}=\epsilon \theta$ for some unit $\epsilon$ in $\mathcal{O}_{L}$, thanks to the following estimates. Note first that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\theta^{p^{n-m}}\right)=p^{-m} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)=-\operatorname{ord}_{p}(w)
$$

If $m>0$ and $1 \leq j \leq p^{m}-1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\binom{p^{m}}{j}\left(\theta^{p^{n-m}}\right)^{j} w^{-\left(p^{m}-j\right)}\right) \geq 1-p^{m} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(w)=1+\operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)>\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\theta)
$$

where last inequality follows from our lower bound on $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)$. Hence

$$
t^{p^{m}}=\theta^{q}+\left(u_{1} w^{-1}\right)^{p^{m}}+\lambda \theta=\theta-C+\left(u_{1} w^{-1}\right)^{p^{m}}+\lambda \theta=\theta+\frac{u_{1}^{p^{m}}-u}{w^{p^{m}}}+\lambda \theta
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{m}_{L}$. In addition,

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\left(u_{1}^{p^{m}}-u\right) w^{-p^{m}}\right) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(p w^{-p^{m}}\right)=1+\operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)>\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\theta)
$$

so $t^{p^{m}}=\epsilon \theta$ for some unit $\epsilon$ in $L$, as claimed. If $m=0$ take $u_{1}=u$, so $t=\theta$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(t)=p^{-m} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\theta)=-q^{-1} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(w) \text { for all } m
$$

Since $p \nmid \operatorname{ord}_{p}(w)$, the ramification index of $L / F$ is a multiple of $q$. But $[L: F] \leq q$, so $L / F$ is totally ramified of degree $q$ and $L=F(t)$. Similar estimates of interior terms give

$$
\sigma\left(\theta^{p^{n-m}}\right)=\left(\theta+\zeta_{\sigma}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)\right)^{p^{n-m}}=\theta^{p^{n-m}}+\zeta_{\sigma}^{p^{n-m}}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)
$$

Then $\sigma(t)-t=\zeta_{\sigma}^{p^{n-m}}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)$, since $u_{1} w^{-1}$ is in $F$. If $e_{L}$ is the ramification index of $L / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $v_{L}$ is the valuation on $L$ satisfying $v_{L}(p)=e_{L}$, we find that

$$
v_{L}(t)=e_{L} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(t)=q e_{F} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(t)=-e_{F} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(w)=-v_{F}(w)
$$

is prime to $p$. Hence $\mathfrak{f}=v_{F}(w)+1$ by [BK2, Prop. C.5].
Remark A.3. If $f(x)=x^{q}-x+C$, with $C$ in $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, then Artin-Schreier theory over $k_{F}$ implies that the splitting field $L$ of $f(x)$ is unramified over $F$, of degree dividing $p$. Indeed, as in the proof above, the map $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is injective, so $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ is an elementary $p$-group and it has order at most $p$, since unramified extensions are cyclic. In particular, if $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(C)>0$, then the elements of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q-1}$ lead to roots of $f$ by Hensel's Lemma, so $L=F$.
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