NONLINEAR THERMODYNAMICAL FORMALISM

JÉRÔME BUZZI AND RENAUD LEPLAIDEUR

Abstract. We define a nonlinear thermodynamical formalism which translates into dynamical system theory the statistical mechanics of generalized mean-field models, extending the quadratic formalism of Leplaideur and Watbled.

Under suitable conditions, we prove a variational principle for the nonlinear pressure and we characterize the nonlinear equilibrium measures and relate them to specific classical equilibrium measures.

1. Introduction

In the 1970s, Sinai, Ruelle, Bowen, and others (see, e.g., [13, 12, 2]) developed a thermodynamical approach to dynamical systems inspired by the statistical mechanics of lattice systems. In a recent work [7], the second named author and Watbled applied this program to the Curie-Weiss mean-field theory: they introduced a new thermodynamical formalism over the full shift where the energy functional is quadratic. They obtained precise results using the specific structure of this setting.

Our goal in this paper is to understand the generality of their results. It turns out that we can define the nonlinear pressure of a measure as the sum of its entropy and of an arbitrary smooth function of the integral of the potential. In fact, we are able to study the corresponding nonlinear thermodynamical formalism assuming only some classical dynamical conditions. These conditions (called regularity below) are satisfied, in particular, by Hölder-continuous potentials and uniformly hyperbolic systems.

First, we prove a variational principle: the supremum of the nonlinear pressure of the measures is equal to a combinatorial formula involving the classical coverings of the dynamics by Bowen-Dinaburg balls. Second, we characterize the equilibrium measures, i.e., those achieving the previous supremum. We show that they are classical equilibrium measures for some specific multiples of the potential. Adding the assumption of real-analyticity, we obtain finiteness but not in general uniqueness. Thus we get a new type of phase transitions for dynamical systems.
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1.1. Classical thermodynamical formalism. We recall the classical definitions (see, e.g., [15]). We will sometimes call these notions linear to distinguish them from the one we introduce in this paper.

Let \((T, \psi)\) be a continuous system, i.e., a continuous self-map \(T : X \to X\) of a compact metric space together with a continuous function \(\psi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})\). The function \(\psi\) is called the potential. We denote by \(\text{Prob}(T)\) the set of invariant Borel probability measures and by \(\text{Prob}_\text{erg}(T)\) be the subset of ergodic measures. We use the weak star topology on \(\text{Prob}(T)\).

The weight of order \(n\) of a subset \(C \subset M\) is:

\[
w_n(C) := \sum_{x \in C} \exp S_n\phi(x)
\]

where \(S_n\phi\) denotes a Birkhoff sum:

\[
S_n\phi(x) := \phi(x) + \phi(Tx) + \cdots + \phi(T^{n-1}x).
\]

Given \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), the partition function is:

\[
Z(\varepsilon, n) := \inf_C w_n(C)
\]

where \(C\) ranges over the \((\varepsilon, n)\)-coverings of \(X\), i.e., subsets \(C\) such that:

\[
\bigcup_{x \in C} B(x, \varepsilon, n) = X \text{ where } B(x, \varepsilon, n) := \{ y \in X : \forall 0 \leq k < n \ d(T^k y, T^k x) < \varepsilon \}.
\]

A \((\varepsilon, n)\)-Gibbs ensemble is any probability measure

\[
\mu := \frac{1}{Z(n, \varepsilon)} \sum_{x \in C} e^{S_n\phi(x)} \frac{\delta_x + \delta_{Tx} + \cdots + \delta_{T^{n-1}x}}{n}
\]

where \(C\) is some adapted \((\varepsilon, n)\)-covering, i.e., an \((\varepsilon, n)\)-covering such that \(w_n(C) = Z(\varepsilon, n)\). The (linear) topological pressure is:

\[
P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z(\varepsilon, n).
\]

Recall that the (linear) pressure of a measure \(\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)\) with respect to the potential \(t\psi\) is:

\[
P(T, \psi, \mu) := h(T, \mu) + \int \psi \, d\mu.
\]

The variational principle states that:

\[
P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)} P(T, \psi, \mu).
\]

An equilibrium measure for \((T, \psi)\) is then an invariant probability measure \(\mu\) such that \(P(T, \psi, \mu) = P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi)\), i.e., a measure that achieves the above supremum.

The (linear) pressure function is the function \(\beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(T, \beta \psi)\) where \(\beta\) is a real parameter.
1.2. **Nonlinear formalism.** We propose the following generalization. We fix a nonlinearity, i.e., a function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

The nonlinear weight of order $n$ of a subset $C \subset M$ is:

\[ \omega_n(C) := \sum_{x \in C} \exp nF(S_n \phi(x)/n) \]

The nonlinear partition function is:

\[ \zeta(\varepsilon, n) := \inf_{\mathcal{C}} \omega_n(\mathcal{C}) \]

where $\mathcal{C}$ ranges over the $(\varepsilon, n)$-coverings of $X$.

A nonlinear $(\varepsilon, n)$-Gibbs ensemble is any probability measure

\[ \mu := \frac{1}{\zeta(n, \varepsilon)} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} e^{nF(S_n \phi(x)/n)} \delta_x + \delta_{T^1 x} + \cdots + \delta_{T^n x} \]

where $\mathcal{C}$ is some adapted $(\varepsilon, n)$-covering, i.e., such that $\omega_n(\mathcal{C}) = \zeta(\varepsilon, n)$.

The nonlinear topological pressure is:

\[ \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta(\varepsilon, n) \]

where $\mathcal{C}$ ranges over the $(\varepsilon, n)$-covering of $X$.

We define the nonlinear pressure of an invariant probability measure $\mu$ to be:

\[ \Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) := h(T, \mu) + F \left( \int \psi \, d\mu \right) \]

More general notions could be studied, see Sections 1.5 and 1.6.

A nonlinear equilibrium measure is any measure $m \in \text{Prob}(T)$ achieving the topological pressure i.e., :

\[ \Pi^F(T, \psi, m) = \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) \]

1.3. **Main results.** Consider a continuous system $(T, \psi)$, i.e., a continuous self-map $T$ of a compact metric space together with a continuous function $\Psi$. We will say that $(T, \psi)$ has an abundance of ergodic measures if for any $\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an ergodic $\nu \in \text{Prob}(T)$ such that $h(T, \nu) \geq h(T, \mu) - \varepsilon$ and $|\nu(\phi) - \mu(\phi)| < \varepsilon$. This property can be understood as a kind of irreducibility. In particular, it holds whenever $T$ satisfies the specification property [3].

Our first result establishes a variational principle generalizing eq. (1.2).

**Theorem 1.** Let $(T, \psi)$ be a continuous system with an abundance of ergodic measures. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nonlinearity. The nonlinear topological pressure then satisfies:

\[ \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)} \Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta(\varepsilon, n) \]

\[ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta(\varepsilon, n). \]
Moreover, if $T$ is expansive with some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, then
\[
\Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta(\varepsilon_0, n).
\]

As in the classical setting, existence of an equilibrium measure is easily obtained under expansivity assumptions:

**Theorem 2.** Let $(T, \psi)$ be a continuous system with an abundance of ergodicity. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nonlinearity. Assume that $\mu \mapsto h(T, \mu)$ is upper semicontinuous. Then there exists a nonlinear equilibrium measure.

If $T$ is expansive for some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, then any accumulation point of any sequence $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of nonlinear Gibbs $(\varepsilon_0, n)$-ensembles belongs to the closure of the convex span of all nonlinear equilibrium measures.

We now study the uniqueness of the nonlinear equilibrium measures. For this, we need stronger assumptions captured by the following definition:

**Definition 1.8.** We say that $(T, \psi)$ is a $C^r$ regular system for some $2 \leq r \leq \omega$ ($\omega$ denoting the analytic case) if:

- for each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a unique (linear) equilibrium measure $\nu_\beta \in \text{Prob}(T)$ for the potential $\beta \psi$, i.e.,
  \[ P(T, \beta \psi, \nu_\beta) = P_{\text{top}}(T, \beta \psi). \]

- the linear topological pressure
  \[ P : \beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(T, \beta \psi) \]
  is finite, $C^r$, and strictly convex over $\mathbb{R}$.

- the entropy function $\mu \in \text{Prob}(T) \mapsto h(T, \mu)$ is uppersemicontinuous.

We emphasize that topologically transitive Anosov diffeomorphisms with Hölder-continuous potentials which are not coboundaries are regular systems.

**Theorem 3.** Let $(T, \psi)$ be a $C^r$ regular system for some $r \geq 2$ and let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be $C^r$. Then there is a nonempty compact subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the nonlinear equilibrium measures are exactly the linear equilibrium measures $\{\nu_t : t \in C\}$.

**Addendum 1.9.** In the above setting, the set $C$ can be computed from the function $P : \beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(T, \beta \psi)$. Indeed,
\[
C = (P')^{-1}(B) \text{ with } B := \{ z \in \mathbb{R} : F(z) + h(z) = \sup_w \{ F(w) + h(w) \} \}
\]
where $P'$ is the derivative of $P$ and $h(z) := \sup \{ h(T, \mu) : \mu(\psi) = z \}$.

The above function $h(z)$ can be computed from $P$, as $-h$ is the convex conjugate of $P$.

---

This holds, e.g., if $(X, T)$ is a subshift (see, e.g., [10] or a $C^\infty$ smooth map [1].
Remarks 1.10.

Any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ can be realized as the set $C$ above by choosing a suitable $C^\infty$ smooth nonlinearity $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Our proof will apply to a more general notion of equilibrium measures (see eq. (4.8)).

Corollary 1.11. Let $(T, \psi)$ be a $C^\omega$ regular system with a real-analytic $F$. Then, the set $C$ in Theorem 1 is finite. In particular, there are only finitely many nonlinear equilibrium measures.

Note that we do not need to restrict to ergodic equilibrium measures. On the other hand, there can be several nonlinear equilibrium measures for, say, a topologically transitive subshift of finite type with a Hölder-continuous potential.

The above characterization shows that, for mixing subshifts of finite type, the nonlinear equilibrium measures share the good ergodic properties of the classical equilibrium measures. Let us recall some of them.

Corollary 1.12 (Folklore). Let $(X, T, \psi)$ be a mixing subshift of finite type (not reduced to a fixed point) with a Hölder-continuous $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, any nonlinear equilibrium measure is

- ergodic, mixing and in fact Bernoulli;
- has exponential decay of correlation;
- satisfies the almost sure invariance principle and in particular the central limit theorem.

where the two last properties are understood to hold w.r.t. Hölder-continuous observables.

These results are folklore in the sense that some of them are immediate consequences of the founding results of Sinai, Ruelle, and Bowen, while others were first considered in more general settings. The following are convenient references: ergodicity, mixing, and exponential decay of correlation follow from Ruelle’s Perron-Frobenius theorem (see, e.g., [1, chapter 1]), the Bernoulli property follows then from a theorem of Friedman and Ornstein (see, e.g., [12, Theorem 5.10]), the almost sure invariance principle, which implies many limit theorems was proved in [9] in much greater generality.

1.4. Examples. In particular, the above theorems include the following as special cases:

- the classical case $F(z) = z$, i.e., maximizing $h(T, \mu) + \int \psi d\mu$. Here $C = \{1\}$;
- the Curie-Weiss model $F(z) = \frac{\beta}{2}z^2$ with parameter $\beta > 0$, i.e., maximizing $h(T, \mu) + \frac{\beta}{2} \mu(\psi)^2$. Then the set $C$ can have one or two elements depending on the value of $\beta$: see [7].

\footnote{The notations were slightly different: $\Pi_{top}^C(T, \psi)$ here was $\mathcal{P}_2(\psi)$ in [7], $h(z)$ was $H(z)$ and $g(z)$ was $\bar{\varphi}(z)$.}
1.5. **Comments.** From the physical point of view, a phase transition is a combination of phenomena: loss of the analyticity of the pressure with respect to physical parameters, multiple equilibrium states, failure of the central limit theorem.

Sarig has shown such an equivalence in the setting of Markov shifts. In contrast, we see here that failure of the uniqueness (Section 4.5) can occur though the central limit theorem continues to hold (Corollary 1.12). Such distinctions have been observed before in [6] and [14].

We note that several thermodynamical quantities are lacking from this nonlinear formalism, e.g., there is no temperature.

1.6. **Questions.**

– Without assuming abundance of ergodicity or convexity of the nonlinearity, does a variational principle hold in restriction to ergodic measures? (See Remark 2.4.) That is,

$$\sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}_{\text{erg}}(T)} \Pi^{F}(T, \psi, \mu) = \Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi).$$

– Is the nonlinear equilibrium measure unique for a generic potential $\psi$ (as in the linear case)?

– Can the thermodynamical formalism be further extended to include Potts model? For instance, one can consider $\mu \mapsto h(T, \mu) + F(\mu(\psi_1), \ldots, \mu(\psi_r)))$ for some fixed potentials $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r$ and smooth function $F : \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathbb{R}$.

2. **Variational principle**

We prove a variational principle which includes Theorem 1. We first introduce some convenient notations. We fix $(T, \psi)$ and often omit them from the notations. For $C \subset X$, recall the definition of the nonlinear weight:

$$\omega_n(C) := \sum_{x \in C} \exp \left( nF(S_n \psi(x)/n) \right)$$

and the partition function $\zeta_n(\varepsilon) := \inf\{ \omega_n(C) : C (\varepsilon, n)\text{-cover of } X \}$. We define for use in this section the notations:

$$\Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta_n(\varepsilon) \text{ and } \Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \varepsilon) := \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta_n(\varepsilon).$$

We set $\Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \varepsilon)$ and $\Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \varepsilon)$.

**Theorem 2.1** (Variational principle). Let $(T, \psi)$ be a continuous system. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nonlinearity. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $(T, \psi)$ has an abundance of ergodic measures, or

(ii) $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex.

Then the nonlinear topological pressure satisfies:

$$\Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) = \Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) = \Pi^{F}_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)} \Pi^{F}(T, \psi, \mu).$$
Moreover, if $T$ is expansive, then, for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ which is an expansivity constant for $T$,

\[(2.3)\quad \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta_n(\varepsilon).\]

**Remark 2.4.** If we do not assume abundance of ergodicity or convexity of the nonlinearity, then we only know the following inequalities:

\[
\sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}_{\text{erg}}(T)} \Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) \leq \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) \leq \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)} \Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu).
\]

**Example 2.5.** The following example shows that one cannot simply remove assumption (i) or (ii). Let $(X, T)$ be the union of two distinct fixed points $p, q$. Let $F(z) = -z^2$, $\psi(p) = 1$, and $\psi(q) = -1$. Note that $\Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) = 0$ for $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_p + \delta_q)$ whereas $\Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) = -1$.

We now prove the equality (2.2) by considering the two corresponding inequalities.

### 2.1. Upper bound for ergodic measures.

To begin with, we show that the following inequality:

\[(2.6)\quad \forall \mu \in \text{Prob}_{\text{erg}}(T) \quad P(T, \psi, \mu) \leq \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi).\]

We let $\gamma, \varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily small.

Since $\psi$ is uniformly continuous on the compact set $X$, one can find $\delta, \delta' \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta \implies |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| < \delta'/2$ and $|s - t| < \delta' \implies |F(s) - F(t)| < \delta$. Since a $(\delta, n)$-cover is an $(\varepsilon, n)$-cover, $\zeta_n(\delta) \geq \zeta_n(\varepsilon)$.

Birkhoff theorem provides a subset $A \subset X$ of measure $3/4$ and an integer $M$ such that, for all $x \in A$ and $n \geq M$,

\[
\left| \frac{1}{n} S_n \psi(x) - \mu(\psi) \right| < \delta'/2.
\]

Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem yields a subset $B \subset X$ of measure $3/4$ and an integer $N$ such that, for all $x \in B$ and $n \geq N$,

\[
\left| \frac{1}{n} \log \mu(B(x, 2\delta, n)) + h(T, \mu) \right| < \gamma.
\]

Let $C_n$ be a $(\delta, n)$-cover of $X$ achieving $\zeta_n(\delta)$. Let $C'_n \subset C_n$ be a minimal $(\delta, n)$-cover of $A \cap B$. For each $x \in C'_n$, the ball $B(x, \delta, n)$ intersects $B$, hence $B(x, \delta, n) \subset B(y, 2\delta, n)$ for some $y \in B$. Thus, $\mu(B(x, \delta, n)) \leq e^{-n(h(T, \mu) - \gamma)}$. In particular,

\[
|C'_n| \geq \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\max_{x \in C} \mu(B(x, \delta, n))} \geq \frac{1}{4} e^{n(h(T, \mu) - \gamma)}.
\]

On the other hand, for each $x \in C'_n$, $B(x, \delta, n)$ contains some $y \in A$, hence:

\[
\left| \frac{1}{n} S_n \psi(x) - \mu(\psi) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} S_n \psi(x) - \frac{1}{n} S_n \psi(y) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} S_n \psi(y) - \mu(\psi) \right| < \delta'.
\]
Thus, \(|F(\frac{1}{n}S_n\psi(x)) - F(\mu(\psi))| < \gamma\) so,
\[
\omega_n(C_n) \geq \omega_n(C'_n) \geq |C'_n| \cdot e^{n(F(\mu(\gamma)) - \gamma)} \geq \frac{1}{4} e^{n(h(T,\mu) - \gamma)} \times e^{n(F(\mu(\gamma)) - \gamma)}
\]
Hence, for all \(\gamma > 0\),
\[
\Pi^F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \Pi^F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \delta) \geq h(T, \mu) + F(\mu(\psi)) - 2\gamma.
\]

We have obtained the inequality (2.6). It remains to extend it to non necessarily ergodic measures \(\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)\).

2.2. Upper bound for all measures. Let \(\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)\). If \((T, \psi)\) has an abundance of ergodic measures, then there are \(\mu_n \in \text{Prob}_\text{erg}(T)\) such that \(h(T, \mu_n) \to h(T, \mu)\) and \(\mu_n(\psi) \to \mu(\psi)\). Since \(F\) is continuous, \(\Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu_n) \to \Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu)\) so \(\Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) \leq \Pi^F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi)\).

If \(F\) is convex, then consider the ergodic decomposition \(\mu = \int \mu_x d\mu\) and write:
\[
\Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) = h(T, \mu) + F \left( \int \mu_x(\phi) d\mu \right).
\]
Applying Jensen’s inequality we obtain:
\[
\Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) \leq h(T, \mu) + \int F(\mu_x(\phi)) d\mu = \int h(\mu_x) + F(\mu_x(\phi)) d\mu
\]
\[
= \int \Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu_x) d\mu.
\]
Therefore the supremum of the pressure over \(\text{Prob}(T)\) is equal to that over \(\text{Prob}_\text{erg}(T)\).

2.3. Lower bound. We let \(\gamma > 0\) and look for an invariant measure such that \(\Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu) \geq \Pi^F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) - 4\gamma\). We will reduce the problem to the classical variational principle and more precisely the main step in its proof by Misurowicz [10].

We fix \(\varepsilon > 0\) arbitrarily small such that \(\Pi^F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \varepsilon) > \Pi^F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) - \gamma\).

For each \(n \geq 1\), let \(S_n\) be an \((\varepsilon, n)\)-separated subset of \(X\) that maximizes its weight \(\omega_n(S_n)\). Note that \(S_n\) is an \((\varepsilon, n)\)-cover of \(X\). Thus, there are integers \(n_k \to \infty\) such that
\[
\omega_{n_k}(S_{n_k}) \geq \exp(n_k(\Pi^F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) - \gamma)).
\]

The set \(\psi(X)\) is a compact set in \(\mathbb{R}\) with some finite diameter \(\text{diam}(\psi(X))\).

Since \(F\) is uniformly continuous over the compact set \(\Psi(X)\) taking \(N \geq 1\) large enough, the set \(\Pi^F(B(\alpha, \text{diam}(\psi(X))/N))\) has diameter less than \(\gamma\) for any \(\alpha \in \psi(X)\). We cover \(\psi(X)\) by disjoint subintervals \(I_1, \ldots, I_N\), each with length less than \(\text{diam}(\psi(X))/N\). Hence,
\[
F(I_i) \subset B(\alpha_i, \gamma) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq N \text{ and some } \alpha_i \in I_i.
\]
Select $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that, maybe after passing to a subsequence, for all $n \in \{n_k : k \geq 1\}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_n^i := \{ x \in \mathcal{S}_n : \frac{1}{n} S_n \psi(x) \in I_i \}$$

satisfies: $\omega_n(\mathcal{T}_n^i) \geq \frac{1}{N} \omega_n(\mathcal{S}_n)$. Note that such an $i$ does exist since $\omega_n(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is a convex combination of the $\omega_n(\mathcal{T}_n^i)$'s and there are $N$ intervals $I_j$.

Since for all $x \in \mathcal{T}_n^i$, $|F(\frac{1}{n} S_n \psi(x)) - F(\alpha_i)| < \gamma$, we get for all large such $n$ (so that $N \leq e^{\gamma n}$)

$$\# \mathcal{T}_n^i \geq \omega_n(\mathcal{T}_n^i) \cdot \exp -n(F(\alpha_i) + \gamma) \geq \exp n(\prod_{i=1}^{N} (T, \psi) - F(\alpha_i) - 3\gamma).$$

Following Misiurewicz, we define the measures:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{T}_n^i} := \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{T}_n^i} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{T}_n^i} \delta_x$$

and

$$\mu^i := \lim_{n_k \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} T^j_k (\mu_{\mathcal{T}_n^i})$$

Inspection of the argument (see, e.g., [11, pp. 270–272]) shows that, passing to a further subsequence, $\mu^i$ converges to some $\mu^i$ in the weak * topology satisfying

$$h(T, \mu^i) \geq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \log \# \mathcal{T}_n^i \geq \prod_{i=1}^{N} (T, \psi) - F(\alpha_i) - 3\gamma$$

and

$$\mu^i(\psi) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu^i(\psi) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu_{\mathcal{T}_n^i}(S_n \psi) \in \overline{B(\alpha_i, 1/N)}$$

Therefore,

$$\Pi^F(T, \psi, \mu^i) = h(T, \mu^i) + F(\mu^i(\psi)) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{N} (T, \psi) - F(\alpha_i) - 3\gamma + F(\alpha_i) - \gamma = \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) - 4\gamma.$$

### 2.4. Conclusion and remarks.

Assuming the abundance of ergodic measure or the convexity of $F$, we have shown that:

$$\Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) \leq \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) \leq \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi)$$

Since, obviously, $\Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi) \leq \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi)$, the above inequalities must be equalities. This proves eq. (2.2).

We turn to eq. (2.3). We assume that $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is an expansivity constant and show that $\Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi, \varepsilon) = \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi, \varepsilon_0)$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ so there is no need to take the limit as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0. The same argument will apply to $\Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi, \varepsilon)$. It will then follow from eq. (2.2) that the upper and lower limits as $n$ goes to $\infty$ coincide and are a true limit.

Observe first that any $(\varepsilon, n)$-covering is an $(\varepsilon_0, n)$-covering, hence $\zeta_n(\varepsilon_0) \leq \zeta_n(\varepsilon)$ and $\Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi, \varepsilon_0) \leq \Pi_{\text{top}}^F(T, \psi, \varepsilon)$. We turn to the converse inequality.

Define two-sided dynamical balls as:

$$\hat{B}(x, \varepsilon, n) := \{ y \in X : \forall |k| < n \; d(T^k y, T^k x) < \varepsilon \} \quad (x \in X, \; n \geq 1).$$
By the expansivity of $T$ and the compactness of $X$, we can find $N \geq 1$ such that, for any $x \in X$ and $m \geq 1$, $B(x, \varepsilon_0, m + N) \subset B(x, \varepsilon, m)$. In particular,

$$T^N B(x, \varepsilon_0, n + 2N) \subset B(T^N x, \varepsilon, n).$$

Therefore, if $C$ is an $(\varepsilon_0, n + 2N)$ covering, then $T^N(C)$ is an $(\varepsilon, n)$-covering.

We fix $\gamma > 0$ arbitrarily small. By the uniform continuity of $F$ on $\psi(X)$, for $n$ large enough, the previous argument shows:

$$\exp nF(S_n \phi(T^N x)/n) \leq e^{\gamma n} \exp((n + 2N)F(S_{n+2N} \psi(x)/(n + 2N))).$$

Therefore, for all large $n \geq 1$, $\zeta_n(\varepsilon) \leq e^{\gamma n} \cdot \zeta_{n+2N}(\varepsilon_0)$. Taking the upper limit as $n$ goes to infinity and then letting $\gamma$ go to 0 gives

$$\Pi F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \mu) = \Pi F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi, \mu_\infty).$$

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Existence of an equilibrium measure and convergence of the Gibbs ensembles

The existence claim of Theorem 2 is a simple consequence of the variational principle we just established as Theorem 1. Indeed, there must be measures $\mu_n \in \text{Prob}(T)$ such that $\Pi F(T, \psi, \mu_n) \to \Pi F(T, \psi)$. Now $\mu \mapsto h(T, \mu)$ is continuous by definition of the weak star topology on $\text{Prob}(T)$ and $\mu \mapsto h(T, \mu)$ is lower semicontinuous by assumption. Therefore, if $\mu_\infty$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 1}$,

$$\Pi F(T, \psi, \mu_\infty) = h(T, \mu_\infty) + F(\mu_\infty(\psi)) \geq \lim_{n} h(T, \mu_n) + F(\mu_n(\psi)) = \Pi F(T, \psi).$$

The converse inequality is trivial so $\Pi F(T, \psi, \mu_\infty) = \Pi F(T, \psi)$ and $\mu_\infty$ is an equilibrium measure.

We now study the accumulation points of Gibbs ensembles when $T$ admits some expansivity constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. As we have seen,

$$(3.1) \quad \Pi F_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \zeta(n, \varepsilon_0).$$

For each $n \geq 1$, let $S_n$ be an $(\varepsilon_0, n)$-covering with $w_n(S_n) = \zeta(n, \varepsilon_0)$. We follow closely the argument in Section 2.3. Let

$$\mu_{S_n} := \frac{1}{\# S_n} \sum_{x \in S_n} \delta_x \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j \mu_{S_n}.$$

(We take $n_k = k$ since the limit in (3.1) exists.) We need to study an arbitrary accumulation point $\nu$ of the sequence $\mu_n$. 
Recall the partition of $\psi(X)$ into intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_N$ and the associated objects $\alpha, T^i, \mu^i$. Observe that

$$\mu_n = \sum_{j=1}^N a_n^i \mu_n^i \text{ with } a_n^i := \frac{\# T^i_n}{\# S_n}.$$ 

By passing to a subsequence we can assume that the probability vector $(a_1^n, \ldots, a_N^n)$ converges to some $(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ and that $\mu_n$ converges to the given $\nu$.

By Section 2.3 for each $i$ with $a_i > 1/N^2$, the sequence $\mu_n^i$ converges to some equilibrium measure $\mu^i$. The sum of the remaining $a_n^i$'s is less than $1/N$.

To conclude, let $\nu^N := (a_1^1 \mu^1 + \cdots + a_N^N \mu^N)/(a_1^1 + \cdots + a_N^N)$. This measure belongs to $E$, the closure of the convex set generated by nonlinear equilibrium measures. Thus, for all $n$ large enough in the considered subsequence, $\mu_n \in B(\nu^N, 2/N)$. It follows that the accumulation point $\nu$ itself belongs to $E$.

Theorem 2 is established.

4. Characterization of the equilibrium measures

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 3, i.e., to identify the nonlinear equilibrium measures. We will prove Theorem 4.1 a slightly stronger and more general result.

4.1. Values of the integral of $\psi$. We start by a few easy remarks on the values taken by $\nu_\beta(\psi)$, the integral of $\psi$ with respect to the unique linear equilibrium measure $\nu_\beta$ of $(T, \beta \psi)$. To begin with, since $\mu \mapsto \mu(\psi)$ is continuous and affine on $\text{Prob}(T)$ with the weak star topology, the set of values for this integral is some nonempty, compact interval:

$$\{\mu(\psi) : \mu \in \text{Prob}(T)\} = [A, B].$$

where $A := \inf_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)} \mu(\psi)$ and $B := \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)} \mu(\psi)$. Note that $A < B$ since otherwise $P$ would be affine.

Below $C^{r-1}$ is the same as $C^r$ is $r$ is infinite (i.e., $r = \omega$ or $\infty$).

Lemma 4.1. Let $(T, \psi)$ be a $C^r$ regular system with $2 \leq r \leq \omega$. Equilibrium measures realize all possible values except for the extrema:

$$(A, B) = \{\mu(\psi) : \mu \text{ is a linear equilibrium for } \beta \psi\}.$$ 

More precisely, there is a $C^{r-1}$ increasing diffeomorphism $t : (A, B) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for every $A < z < B$, $\nu_t(z)(\psi) = z$.

Proof. Since $P$ is strictly convex and $C^r$, $I : t \mapsto \nu_t(\psi) = P'(t)$ (see Fact A.2) is a $C^{r-1}$ function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with derivative $P''(t) > 0$. Its image $I(\mathbb{R})$ is therefore an open interval. We will prove that $\sup I(\mathbb{R}) = B$. The same argument applied to $-\psi$ will yield $\inf I(\mathbb{R}) = A$ showing that $I(\mathbb{R}) = (A, B)$. Thus it will be enough to set $t = I^{-1} : (A, B) \to \mathbb{R}$. Because $P''$ is continuous and non vanishing, $I^{-1}$ is $C^{r-1}$ with derivative $1/P'' \circ I^{-1}$.

By definition of $B$, there is $\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)$ with $\mu(\psi) = B$. Recall that $\nu_t$ is the unique equilibrium measure for $t\psi$ so $h(\nu_t) + t\nu_t(\psi) \geq h(\mu) + t\mu(\psi)$. It
follows that, for any \( t > 0 \), \( \nu_t(\psi) \geq B - (h(\mu) - h(\nu_t)) / t \geq B - h_{\text{top}}(T) / t \) and
\[
\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \nu_t(\psi) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \nu_t(\psi) = B.
\]

### 4.2. General theorem

The following implies Theorem 3 together with its Addendum 1.9.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \((T, \psi)\) be a \( \text{C}^r \) regular system with \( r \geq 2 \) and let \( G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be \( \text{C}^r \) with \( \inf \partial_t G > 0 \). Then there is a nonempty, compact subset \( \mathcal{C} \subset (A, B) \) with the following properties.

1. The maximizers of \( \mu \mapsto G(h(T, \mu), \mu(\psi)) \) over \( \text{Prob}(T) \) are exactly the elements of \( \{ \nu_{t(z)} : z \in \mathcal{C} \} \).
2. \( \mathcal{C} \) is the set of maximizers of \( z \mapsto G(h(z), z) \) where \( h(z) := \sup \{ h(T, \mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(z) \} \).

### 4.3. Conditional entropy and mme’s

To begin with,

**Fact 4.4.** The above function \( h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \) is upper semicontinuous and concave. It is even finite and continuous over \([A, B]\).

**Proof.** As \( h = -\infty \) outside of \([A, B]\), it is enough to check the semicontinuity on that compact interval. It follows by a routine argument from the upper semicontinuity of \( \mu \mapsto h(T, \mu) \), the continuity of \( \psi \), and the compactness of \( \text{Prob}(T) \).

For the concavity, consider \( z_1, z_2 \in [A, B] \), pick \( \mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(z_1) \) and \( \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(z_2) \) such that \( h(T, \mu_i) = h(z_i) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Thus, for arbitrary \( 0 \leq s \leq 1 \), using that the entropy is affine,
\[
h(sz_1 + (1 - s)z_2) \geq h(T, s\mu_1 + (1 - s)\mu_2) = sh(T, \mu_1) + (1 - s)h(T, \mu_2)
\]
\[
= sh(z_1) + (1 - s)h(z_2).
\]
Since \( \mathcal{M}(z) \neq \emptyset \) for \( z \in [A, B] \), the finiteness of \( h \) over that interval follows. To conclude, note that any bounded, uppersemicontinuous, concave, finite function, on an interval must be continuous.

Recall the function \( t : (A, B) \to \mathbb{R} \) from Lemma 4.1.

**Proposition 4.5.** For any \( z \in [A, B] \), there is a measure \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}(z) \) maximizing the entropy: \( h(T, \mu) = h(z) \). Moreover, if \( A < z < B \), this measure is unique and coincides with the equilibrium measure \( \nu_{t(z)} \).

**Proof.** The existence of a maximizing \( \mu \) follows from the upper semicontinuity of \( \mu \mapsto h(T, \mu) \) as soon as the compact \( \mathcal{M}(z) \) is not empty. For \( A < z < B \), Lemma 4.1 gives an equilibrium measure \( \nu_{t(z)} \). Since \( \nu_{t(z)}(\psi) = \mu(\psi) \),
\[
P(T, t\psi, \mu) = h(T, \mu) + t\mu(\psi) \geq h(T, \nu_t) + t\nu_t(\psi).
\]
Thus \( \mu \) is also a linear equilibrium measure for \( t\psi \) and therefore must coincide with \( \nu_{t(z)} \).

We will need the following Fact (A.2). The function \( P \) is derivable over \( \mathbb{R} \) and, for all \( \beta \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
P'(\beta) = \nu_{\beta}(\psi).
\]
Proposition 4.6. Consider the function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{ -\infty \}$. It is finite, concave and continuous on $[A, B]$. It is $C^r$ on $(A, B)$. More precisely, for $A < z < B$:

$$h(z) = P(t(z)) - t(z)z \text{ with } t = (P')^{-1} \text{ and } P(t) := P_{\psi}(T).$$

In particular, $h'(z) = -t(z)$.

Proof. We already saw $h$ to be continuous, concave, and finite over $[A, B]$, see Fact 4.4. By definition $P(t) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(z)} \mu(t) + h(T, \mu) \right) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} (tz - (-h)(z))$

i.e., $P$ is the convex conjugate of $-h$. Since $-h$ is convex and lower semicontinuous, $-h$ is the convex conjugate of $P$ by the Fenchel-Moreau theorem [5, Theorem VI.5.3], i.e.,

$$(4.7) \quad h(z) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (P(t) - tz)$$

Since $P$ is smooth and strictly convex, $h(z) = P(t(z)) - t(z)z$. By Lemma 4.4 the function $t$ is $C^{r-1}$ over $(A, B)$, hence $h$ is $C^{r-1}$ function on the same interval (recall that $r \geq 2$). Since $P'(t(z)) = z$, we easily check $h'(z) = -t(z)$. Thus, $h|_{(A,B)}$ is $C^r$.

4.4. Nonlinear equilibrium measures. Recall that we seek the maximizers of

$$(4.8) \quad \mu \mapsto G(h(T, \mu), \mu(\psi))$$

for a given function $G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is $C^r$, $r \geq 2$, with $\inf \partial_1 G > 0$. We are going to study

$$\mathcal{C} := \{ \mu(\psi) : \mu \text{ maximizes (4.8)} \}$$

by introducing the function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{ -\infty \}$ defined by:

$$g(z) := \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(z)} G(h(T, \mu), z).$$

Formula (4.8) is a generalization of our previous definition of non-linear pressure. Of course, one could decide to study the variational principle for full general $G$ without any restriction. Nevertheless we point out that:

1. Assumption $\inf \partial_1 G > 0$ is crucial (it reduces the problem to a maximization of the entropy under a linear constraint).

2. In the classical variational principle, the term $h_\mu$ comes from the summation over $(\varepsilon, n)$-covers in the Gibbs measures (see Formula (1.6)). There is for the moment no candidate to replace this summation to create the $G(h, \cdot)$.

We have:

Lemma 4.9. For $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(z)$, maximizing $G(T(h, \nu), \nu(\psi))$ coincides with maximizing $h(T, \nu)$. In particular,

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} G(h(z), z) & \text{if } A \leq z \leq B, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
and this function is $C^\infty$ over $(A, B)$ and continuous over $[A, B]$. The set $\mathcal{C}$ coincides with the set of maximizers of $g$.

**Proof.** The equivalence follows from the fact that $h \mapsto G(h, z)$ is increasing for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

The continuity and regularity of $g$ follow from the same properties of $h$, see Fact 4.4.

Let $z$ be a maximizer of $g$: $g(z) = \sup_{\nu \in \text{Prob}(T)} G(h(T, \mu), \mu(\psi))$. Obviously $g(z) \neq -\infty$ so $A \leq z \leq B$. Thus $\mathcal{M}(z)$ is compact and non-empty and there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(z)$ maximizing the upper semicontinuous entropy function, hence the function in eq. (4.8), i.e., $z \in \mathcal{C}$.

Conversely, if $z \in \mathcal{C}$, then $z = \mu(\psi)$ for some maximizer $\mu$ and the inequalities $G(h(T, \mu), \mu(\psi)) \leq g(z) \leq \sup_{\nu \in \text{Prob}(T)} G(h(T, \nu), \nu(\psi)) = \sup g$ must all be equalities: $z$ is a maximizer for $g$. □

**Lemma 4.10.** The set $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in the set of critical points of $g|_{(A, B)}$. In particular, it is a nonempty, compact subset of the open interval $(A, B)$.

**Proof.** Observe that $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ since by compact and continuity maximizers of eq. (4.8) do exist. Now let $z \in \mathcal{C}$. We have seen that it is a maximizer for the function $g$ in $[A, B]$. We first check that $z$ cannot be one of the endpoints. Indeed, over $(A, B)$, $h'(z) = -t(z)$ and:

$$g'(z) = -t(z) \partial_1 G(h(z), z) + \partial_2 G(h(z), z).$$

Since both $z$ and $h(z)$ are bounded

$$\inf_{A < z < B} \partial_1 G(h(z), z) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{A < z < B} \partial_2 G(h(z), z) < \infty.$$

Moreover, $t : (A, B) \to (-\infty, \infty)$ is an increasing bijection. It follows that $\lim_{t \to A^+} g'(z) = +\infty$ so, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $g|_{(A, A+\varepsilon)}$ is increasing. But $g$ is continuous over $[A, B]$ since $h$ is. Therefore $g$ is in fact increasing over $[A, A+\varepsilon]$. Therefore neither $A$ nor any point close to it can be a maximizer. The same applies to $B$. Thus $\mathcal{C}$ is a compact subset of $(A, B)$.

Since $z \in (A, B)$ and $g$ is differentiable, $z$ must be a critical point.

□

**Lemma 4.11.** Any $\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)$ maximizing eq. (4.8) is the linear equilibrium measure for $t(\mu(\psi))\psi$.

**Proof.** The value $z = \mu(\psi)$ belong to $\mathcal{C} \subset (A, B)$. Therefore the linear equilibrium measure $\nu_{t(z)}$ is well-defined and belongs to $\mathcal{M}(z)$. Since $\mu$ maximizes the entropy in $\mathcal{M}(z)$,

$$P(T, t(z)\psi, \mu) = h(T, \mu) + t(z)z \geq h(T, \nu_{t(z)}) + \nu_{t(z)}(\psi) = P_{\text{top}}(T, t(z)\psi).$$

Hence, $\mu$ is the linear equilibrium measure for $t(z)\psi$. □

**Proof of Theorem 4.3.** By Lemma 4.11, $\mathcal{C} := \{\mu(\psi) : \mu \text{ maximizer of eq. (4.8)}\}$ is a nonempty compact subset of $(A, B)$. Moreover, for each $z \in \mathcal{C}$, the only maximizer $\mu$ such that $\mu(\psi) = z$ is the classical equilibrium measure $\nu_{t(z)}$ by Lemma 4.11.
Proof of Theorem 3. It is enough to set $G(h, z) = h + F(z)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.11. When $r = \omega$, $C$ is the set of critical points of the $C^\omega$ function $g$ over $(A, B)$. These points could only accumulate on $A$ or $B$ by analyticity. By Lemma 1.10 this is not possible. Therefore, the set $C$ is finite. □

4.5. Some examples.

4.5.1. About Analyticity assumption. First we show that if one weakens the analyticity assumption of Theorem 3 to $C^\infty$ smoothness, then one can realize any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ as the critical set of a suitable system $(T, \psi, F)$:

$$C := \{ \mu(\psi) : \mu \text{ is a nonlinear equilibrium measure for } (T, \psi, F) \}.$$  

We assume that we have some nonempty compact set $K \subset (-1, 1)$, leaving the reduction of the general case to the diligent reader. We let $T$ be the shift on $X := \{-1, +1\}^\mathbb{Z}$ and define $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\psi(x) = x_0$. It is easy to check (see below) that the entropy function is $h(z) = -\frac{1+z}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{2} - \frac{1-z}{2} \log \frac{1-z}{2}$. In particular it is finite on $[-1, 1]$ and analytic on $(-1, +1)$.

One can choose the nonlinearity $F$ to be $C^\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}$ and satisfy $F + h \leq 0$ and $h(z) + F(z) = 0 \iff z \in K$. This yields that $C = K$ as claimed. We have realized every nonempty compact set.

In particular, if $K$ is infinite one obtains infinitely many nonlinear equilibrium measures $\{\nu(z) : z \in C\}$. We further see that this both countable infinite and uncountable infinite will be obtained depending on the choice of $K$.

4.5.2. Back to the Curie-Weiss Model. For the Curie-Weiss model, the dynamics is the left shift $T$ on $X := \{-1, +1\}^\mathbb{N}$ with the potential $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi(x) = x_0$ and nonlinearity $F_B(z) := B \frac{z^2}{2}$ where $B > 0$ is a parameter (the physical temperature).

We first compute the linear pressure function $p(\beta) := P_{\text{top}}(T, \beta \psi)$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that the dynamics is expansive and the partition functions are given by

$$Z(\varepsilon_0, n) = \sum_{i,j} (A^n)_{i,j} = \|A^n\|_{\ell^1} \text{ with } A := \begin{pmatrix} e^\beta & e^{-\beta} \\ e^{-\beta} & e^\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Hence let $\rho(A)$ be the spectral radius of $A$, we have $p(\beta) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log Z(\varepsilon_0, n) = \log \rho(A) = \log 2 + \log \cosh \beta$, which yields $p'(\beta) = \text{th}(\beta)$. Thus, $\beta = \text{argth}(z)$ and

$$h(z) = p(\beta) - \beta \cdot z = -\frac{1+z}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{2} - \frac{1-z}{2} \log \frac{1-z}{2},$$

so

$$g_B(z) := h(z) + F_B(z) = -\frac{1+z}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{2} - \frac{1-z}{2} \log \frac{1-z}{2} + B \frac{z^2}{2}.$$  

\[3\]Take for instance the distance $d(x, y) = \exp -\inf \{ |k| : x_k \neq y_k \}$ so that $\varepsilon_0 := 1/e$ is an expansivity constant.
A simple computation shows that there are two cases:

1. For $0 \leq B \leq 1$, $0$ is the unique critical point of $g_B$ and is indeed a maximum. Thus, $C = \{0\}$.
2. For $B > 1$, there are three distinct critical points $\{-z_B, 0, z_B\}$ among which $0$ is a local minimum and $-z_B < z_B$ are two global maxima. Hence, $C = \{-z_B, z_B\}$.

Thus, we recover the result of [7] that the nonlinear equilibrium measure is unique for $0 \leq B \leq 1$ but that there are two of them for $B > 1$, in line with the physical model.

**Appendix A. Pressure, entropy, and equilibrium measures**

We recall some basic facts about the classical thermodynamical formalism. We indicate some simple proofs for the convenience of the reader even though they can be found in [12, chap. 3].

Recall the variational principle from eq. (1.3). This can be rewritten as the pressure being the Legendre transform of $\mu \mapsto -h(T, \mu)$:

$$P_{\text{top}}(T, \phi) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)} (\mu(\phi) - (-h)(\mu)).$$

From basic results about convex functions (see [5, chap. 6]), $-h$ is lower semicontinuous and convex (it is in fact affine over $\text{Prob}(T)$). Hence, the Legendre transform maps $-h$ back to the topological pressure:

$$-h(\mu) = \sup_{\phi \in C(X)} (\mu(\phi) - P_{\text{top}}(T, \phi)).$$

This shows:

**Fact A.1.** For any dynamical system $(T, X)$ such that $\mu \mapsto h(T, \mu)$ is upper semicontinuous over $\text{Prob}(T)$, we have:

$$h(\mu) = \inf_{\phi \in C(X)} (P_{\text{top}}(T, \phi) - \mu(\phi)).$$

This variational principle relates equilibrium measures and subdifferentials of the pressure:

**Fact A.2.** Assume that $(T, X)$ is a dynamical system such that $\mu \mapsto h(T, \mu)$ is upper semicontinuous over $\text{Prob}(T)$. Let $\psi \in C(X)$ and $\mu \in \text{Prob}(T)$.

Then $\mu$ is a classical equilibrium measure for $\psi$ if and only if it is a subdifferential of the topological pressure at $\psi$, i.e.,

$$\forall \phi \in C(X) \quad P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) + \mu(\phi) \leq P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi + \phi).$$

More precisely, if $Q_{\psi, \phi} : t \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi + t\phi)$ is derivable at $t = 0$, then any equilibrium measure $\nu$ for $\psi$ satisfies

$$(A.3) \quad \nu(\psi) = Q_{\psi, \phi}^\prime(0).$$
**Proof.** Let $\psi$ and $\mu$ as above. If $\mu$ is an equilibrium for $(T, \psi)$, then, for any $\phi \in C(X)$,

$$P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) + \mu(\phi) = h(T, \mu) + \mu(\psi) + \mu(\phi) = h(T, \mu) + \mu(\psi + \phi) \leq P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi + \phi)$$

where the last inequality follows from the variational principle \[\text{(A.3)}.\] Thus $\mu$ is a subdifferential. Since a derivative gives a unique subdifferential, the same computation shows eq. \[\text{(A.3)}.\]

Conversely, if $\mu$ is a subdifferential, then, for all $\phi \in C(X)$,

$$P_{\text{top}}(T, \phi) + \mu(\phi) \leq P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi + \phi)$$

so

$$P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) - \mu(\psi) \leq P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi + \phi) - \mu(\psi + \phi)$$

As $\psi + \phi$ ranges over $C(X)$, this implies:

$$P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) - \mu(\psi) \leq \inf_{\varphi \in C(X)} P_{\text{top}}(T, \varphi) - \mu(\varphi) = h(T, \mu)$$

where the last equality comes from Fact \[\text{A.1}.\] Thus,

$$P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi) \leq h(T, \mu) + \mu(\psi)$$

Comparing with \[\text{(A.3)}.\], we see that $\mu$ is an equilibrium measure for $(T, \psi)$. \[\Box\]

A convex function is Gateaux-differentiable if and only if it has a unique subdifferential. Therefore, we obtain:

**Corollary A.4.** Assume that $(T, X)$ is a dynamical system such that $\mu \mapsto h(T, \mu)$ is upper semicontinuous over $\text{Prob}(T)$. If there is a unique equilibrium measure $\nu$ for $\psi \in C(X)$, then $\nu$ is the Gateaux differential of the pressure at $\psi$, i.e.:

$$\forall \phi \in C(X) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi + \varepsilon \phi) - P_{\text{top}}(T, \psi)}{\varepsilon} = \nu(\phi).$$
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