

Tidal evolution of galaxies in the most massive cluster of IllustrisTNG-100

Ewa L. Łokas

Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland
e-mail: lokas@camk.edu.pl

February 18, 2022

ABSTRACT

We study the tidal evolution of galaxies in the most massive cluster of the IllustrisTNG-100 simulation. For the purpose of this work, we selected 112 galaxies with the largest stellar masses at present and followed their properties over time. Using their orbital history, we divided the sample into unevolved (infalling), weakly evolved (with one pericenter passage), and strongly evolved (with multiple pericenters). The samples are clearly separated by the value of the integrated tidal force from the cluster the galaxies experienced during their entire evolution and their properties depend strongly on this quantity. As a result of tidal stripping, the galaxies of the weakly evolved sample lost between 10 and 80% of their dark mass and less than 10% of stars, while those in the strongly evolved one lost more than 70% of dark mass and between 10 and 55% of stellar mass, and are significantly less, or not at all dark-matter dominated. While 33% of the infalling galaxies do not contain any gas, this fraction increases to 67% for the weakly evolved sample, and to 100% for the strongly evolved sample. The strongly evolved galaxies lose their gas earlier and faster (within 2-6 Gyr), but the process can take up to 4 Gyr from the first pericenter passage. These galaxies are redder and more metal rich, and at redshift $z = 0.5$, the population of galaxies in the cluster becomes predominantly red. As a result of tidal stirring, the morphology of the galaxies evolves from oblate to prolate and their rotation is diminished, thus the morphology-density relation is reproduced in the simulated cluster. The strongly evolved sample contains at least six convincing examples of tidally induced bars and six more galaxies that had their bars enhanced by their interaction with the cluster.

Key words. galaxies: evolution – galaxies: interactions – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: structure – galaxies: clusters: general

1. Introduction

Evolution of galaxies in clusters has been the subject of intensive study for five decades. The early findings were summarized in a review by Dressler (1984), who was then already able to identify many of the processes involved. Clusters of galaxies are presently the largest gravitationally bound structures in the Universe. According to the commonly accepted hierarchical theory of structure formation, they grow through the accretion of smaller structures such as galaxies and groups. As new galaxies enter the cluster, they start to interact with it via a number of processes that affect their properties. Since the infalling galaxies increase their velocities and continue to move rather fast as they orbit in the cluster, their interactions with other galaxies become less probable. Instead, the effect of the cluster as a whole becomes dominant.

The main processes that can affect galaxies in clusters fall into two categories: gravitational and hydrodynamical. The gravitational effects manifest themselves mainly as tidal forces exerted by the cluster gravitational potential on the galaxies. The tidal effects result in tidal stripping of the galaxies in the form of mass loss and tidal stirring that disturbs the morphology and dynamics of the galaxies, and that may lead to the transformation of their shapes from disks to spheroids and the rotation of the stars to random motions (Valluri 1993; Moore et al. 1999; Gnedin 2003; Mastropietro et al. 2005). The effects of tidal stirring manifest themselves in the morphology-density relation: the early-type objects tend to dominate the cluster center, while late-

type ones are predominantly found in the outskirts of clusters (Dressler 1980). Such a relation has been observed in many clusters including the well-studied Coma cluster where ellipticals are found to have a significantly steeper density profile than spirals (Łokas & Mamon 2003).

Among the hydrodynamical processes, the one of ram pressure stripping by the hot intracluster gas seems to play a crucial role (Gunn & Gott 1972). As a normal spiral galaxy with a significant gas content enters the cluster, it is swept clean of the interstellar medium, and the lost gas feeds the central giant galaxy or is heated to the temperature of the intracluster gas and distributed smoothly within the cluster. The loss of gas leads to reduced star formation in cluster galaxies compared to the field, a phenomenon often referred to as environmental quenching (Lotz et al. 2016). As a result, galaxy clusters at higher redshift contain a larger fraction of blue galaxies than the low-redshift ones, which has long been known as the Butcher-Oemler effect (Butcher & Oemler 1978, 1984). The reality of the ram pressure stripping has now been confirmed by numerous observations of "jellyfish" galaxies in clusters (Ebeling et al. 2014; McPartland et al. 2016; Poggianti et al. 2016).

Until recently, theoretical studies of galaxy evolution in clusters using N -body and hydro simulations were plagued by the difficulty to include all physical processes operating on different scales and were thus necessarily restricted to either pure dark matter simulations, semi-analytic models, low-resolution, or controlled simulations of single galaxies evolving in the cluster potential. The suite of Illustris and the follow-up Il-

IllustrisTNG simulations (Springel et al. 2018; Marinacci et al. 2018; Naiman et al. 2018; Nelson et al. 2018; Pillepich et al. 2018a) are the first successful attempts to model the formation of galaxies in the cosmological context, including their evolution in clusters. On one hand, the use of large enough simulation boxes makes it possible to follow structure formation from cosmologically motivated initial conditions and provides a realistic environment of galaxy formation, while on the other hand, high enough resolution makes it possible to study the evolution of properties of individual galaxies. Most importantly, the simulations include state-of-the-art treatment of baryonic processes, such as gas radiative mechanisms, star formation, stellar population evolution and chemical enrichment, stellar and black hole feedback, and the evolution of cosmic magnetic fields (Pillepich et al. 2018b; Weinberger et al. 2017).

The IllustrisTNG simulations have already been used in a number of studies pertaining to galaxy clusters. Pillepich et al. (2018a) looked at the stellar content of clusters, Gupta et al. (2018) studied chemical pre-processing of cluster galaxies, Barnes et al. (2018) investigated the census of cool-core galaxy clusters, Yun et al. (2019) focused on gas-stripping phenomena and jellyfish galaxies, while Sales et al. (2020) studied the formation of ultra-diffuse galaxies in clusters.

This work is to some extent motivated by the study of Łokas et al. (2016), who used controlled N -body simulations to follow the evolution of a single, initially disk galaxy similar to the Milky Way on different orbits around a cluster similar to Virgo. They found that with subsequent pericenters, the galaxy tends to lose rotation and increase the amount of random motions of the stars while its disk thickens. The tidal forces from the cluster were also found to be very effective in the formation of tidally induced bars and spiral arms (Semczuk et al. 2017). The mechanisms operating in the cluster are essentially the same as those in the Local Group, where tidal stirring has been invoked as a way to transform disk dwarf galaxies orbiting the Milky Way or M31 into dwarf spheroidals (Mayer et al. 2001; Kazantzidis et al. 2011). In this case, the formation of tidally induced bars was also found to be an intermediate stage in the transformation (Łokas et al. 2014; Gajda et al. 2017). These configurations are just two among many that are known to lead to the formation of tidally induced bars, including fly-by interactions of galaxies of similar size or perturbations by satellites (Gerin et al. 1990; Noguchi 1996; Miwa & Noguchi 1998; Mayer & Wadsley 2004; Berentzen et al. 2004; Lang et al. 2014).

In this paper, we attempt to place this tidal evolution scenario in the cosmological context by studying the evolution of galaxies in the most massive cluster of the Illustris TNG-100 simulation. We take advantage not only of the realistic treatment of the evolving environment but also the inclusion of baryonic processes. We restrict the analysis to about a hundred galaxies with the highest stellar mass, which are bound to the cluster at the present epoch. Such a choice allows us on one hand to study the evolution of the structural properties of individual galaxies with sufficient accuracy, and on the other to have adequate samples of galaxies in different evolutionary stages for comparison.

The paper is organized as follows. The main results of this work are presented in Section 2. In the subsequent subsections of this part, we present our sample selection, describe the orbital evolution of the galaxies, the mass loss they experience, and we estimate different timescales of their evolution. Next, we focus on the evolution of color and metallicity, as well as the morphological and kinematical changes induced in the galaxies by the cluster, and we discuss the properties of tidally induced bars. The summary and discussion follow in Section 3.

2. Evolution of the galaxies

2.1. Sample selection

In this work, we used the publicly available simulation data from IllustrisTNG described by Nelson et al. (2019). For the purpose of this study, we selected the simulation TNG-100 from the IllustrisTNG set, where the number 100 refers to the size of the simulation box in Mpc. The simulation data are available in 100 snapshots from redshift $z = 20$ to the present epoch, $z = 0$, which is sufficient to trace the evolution of galaxies. The simulation box contains two massive galaxy clusters at $z = 0$ from which we select the most massive one (with total mass $M = 4 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot}$), which is more regular and relaxed as indicated by its temperature distribution. This object bears the identification number ID=0 in the catalog of halos and subhalos identified in this simulation at $z = 0$.

Using the simulation output 99 corresponding to $z = 0$, we selected subhalos identified with the Subfind algorithm (Springel et al. 2001) parented by this halo with stellar masses $M_* > 10^{10} M_{\odot}$. This threshold translates to the number of stars per galaxy above 10^4 , which allows us to reliably measure their global structural properties. After rejecting spuriously identified subhalos with almost no dark matter, we are left with 112 galaxies whose properties we study. We trace the history of these galaxies using main progenitor branches of Sublink merger trees for the subhalos (Rodríguez-Gómez et al. 2015) to the earliest time possible, that is, to the snapshot when the subhalo was first identified. For the selected galaxies, these earliest snapshots of subhalo existence are among the first few snapshots available, that is, the galaxies can be traced down to redshifts $z = 10 - 20$.

One of the most interesting properties of galaxies from the point of view of tidal evolution is their mass. Using the galaxy properties provided in the Sublink catalogs, we constructed the evolutionary histories of the galaxies in terms of their mass evolution in three components: dark matter, stars and gas. Examples of such evolution for six galaxies in the cluster are shown in Fig. 1. The most characteristic feature of these curves is that all the galaxies increase their dark masses up to the point when they start to lose it after entering the cluster, as we discuss in detail below.

2.2. Orbital history

Using the subhalo positions provided in the Sublink catalogs, we calculated the orbits of the galaxies in the cluster in terms of their physical distance from the cluster center as a function of time. Examples of the evolution of this distance for six galaxies on tight orbits around the cluster are shown in the second row of panels in Figs. 2 and 3.

Inspection of the orbital history of the whole sample of 112 galaxies allows us to divide them into three distinct subsamples. It turns out that 48 out of 112 galaxies (43%) are on their first infall into the cluster and did not have any pericenter passage on their orbit. These objects are bound to the cluster and approaching it, but in some cases are still well beyond the virial radius of the cluster, which at $z = 0$ is equal to 2 Mpc. This sample comprises a variety of galaxies of different types, properties, and histories that may have interacted with other objects or evolved in groups prior to their accretion by the cluster but were not yet significantly affected by the cluster environment. We do not study these galaxies further in detail here, but we use them as a reference for comparison with the properties of galax-




Fig. 1. Evolution of masses of galaxies with ID=53, 80, 81, 87, 92, and 101 in the simulated cluster. The mass of the dark, stellar, and gas component is shown with the blue, red, and green lines, respectively, with the mass scale adjusted to the range of dark matter masses.

ies that were affected by the cluster. In the following, we refer to this subsample as our reference or unevolved sample.

The next, more interesting subsample contains 37 out of 112 galaxies (33%) that experienced one pericenter passage on their orbit around the cluster with the pericenter distance within the virial radius of the cluster at that time. We refer to these galaxies as weakly evolved. The most interesting sample from the point of view of the effects of environment is the one containing 27 out of 112 galaxies (24%) that experienced more than one pericenter passage. We consider this subsample as strongly evolved.

In order to quantify the strength of the interaction of each galaxy with the cluster we used the orbital data to calculate the integrated tidal force (ITF) from the cluster experienced by the galaxy over its entire history. Looking at the dependence of different galaxy properties on this quantity rather than just redshift or time allows us to isolate the effect of the cluster from the cosmological evolution of galaxies in general. ITF was obtained by multiplying the tidal force experienced by the galaxy at a given simulation snapshot by the timestep between this and the following snapshot, and summing up such terms for all snapshots. The tidal force was approximated as in Łokas et al. (2011a) by the formula $F_{\text{tidal}} \propto rM(<d)/d^3$, where r is the characteristic scale length of the galaxy at which the tidal force operates, d is the distance between the center of the galaxy and the center of the cluster, and $M(<d)$ is the mass of the cluster within this distance.

As the characteristic scale of the galaxy, we adopted $r = 1$ kpc for all of them, which is always of the order of the radius containing half stellar mass. The distance d was taken from the orbital histories of the galaxies, such as those shown in the second row of panels in Figs. 2 and 3. The mass of the cluster $M(<d)$ was calculated for each snapshot using the virial masses provided by the Sublink tree catalog for the cluster and approximating the mass dependence on radius by the Navarro-Frenk-White profile (Navarro et al. 1997; Łokas & Mamon 2001). The integrated tidal force thus calculated is in units of $M_{\odot} \text{ Gyr kpc}^{-2}$, but since its values are large, in the following we use log ITF without quoting the units, since only the relative differences between its values for different galaxies are important. The values

of log ITF for our selection of six galaxies in Figs. 2 and 3 are listed in the second column of Table 1, and their present distances from the cluster center in the third column.

2.3. Mass loss

The evolution of different mass components in time for the six selected galaxies shown in Fig. 1 is replotted in the upper rows of panels in Figs. 2 and 3 with the mass scale adjusted to the mass of gas and stars, to enable easy comparison with the orbital history and other properties of the galaxies. The stellar and dark masses of these galaxies in the final simulation snapshot, at $z = 0$, are listed in the fourth and fifth columns of Table 1.

The dark versus stellar masses of the 112 galaxies from our sample at $z = 0$ are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4 with points of different color indicating their orbital history. Here, and in the following Figures, the galaxies that are infalling and did not yet have any pericenter passage are denoted with blue circles, those with a single pericenter with green dots, and those with multiple pericenters with red symbols. As expected, for the majority of objects dark matter masses are higher than the stellar ones. However, the dark masses are systematically much higher than the stellar ones for the unevolved and weakly evolved sample (blue and green points) than for the strongly evolved one (red symbols). This already suggests that the strongly evolved sample lost much more dark matter than the other samples.

In the lower panel of Fig. 4, we plot the ratio of the dark to stellar mass for each galaxy as a function of the ITF. We see that the samples are now clearly separated by the total tidal force experienced by the galaxies. The division between the unevolved and weakly evolved samples (blue and green points) occurs around $\log \text{ITF} = 5.5$ and between the weakly and strongly evolved samples (green and red points) at $\log \text{ITF} = 6.5$. In addition, the weakly and strongly evolved samples (green and red) form a distinct branch with a strong dependence of the mass ratio on ITF. The correlation between the two quantities is almost linear between the log of mass ratio and log ITF. Along this branch, the galaxies from the weakly evolved sample (green)




Fig. 2. Evolution of galaxies in simulated cluster. Columns: results for different galaxies, with ID=53, 80 and 81. Upper row: the evolution of the dark, stellar and gas mass shown with the blue, red, and green lines, respectively. The data are the same as in Fig. 1, but the mass scale was adjusted to the range of stellar and gas masses. Second row: the orbit of the galaxy within the cluster in terms of its distance from the cluster center in physical units. Third row: the evolution of three structural properties of the galaxies, the axis ratios b/a (blue line) and c/a (red), and the triaxiality parameter T (green). Fourth row: the rotation measure in terms of the fractional mass of stars on circular orbits f (blue) and the bar strength A_2 (red). Fifth row: the $g-r$ color and metallicity Z (in solar units, divided by 3 to fit the same scale as the color).

have dark to stellar mass ratios roughly between 5 and 20, while the strongly evolved objects only between 0.4 and 6.

In both panels of Fig. 4, the solid line indicates the equality between the dark and stellar masses. There are seven data points for which the dark mass is smaller than the stellar mass. Six





Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 2, but for galaxies with ID=87, 92 and 101.

of these seven points belong to the sample of strongly evolved galaxies and three of them correspond to the galaxies with Sublink catalog identification numbers ID = 87, 92, and 101 whose mass and orbital evolution is shown in Fig. 3. In these cases, the galaxies evolved on very tight orbits with many pericenters and lost a large fraction of their dark masses. Since the dark matter distribution is usually more extended than the stars, it is more


easily stripped by tidal forces. While stellar mass is also lost, the effect is much milder than in the case of dark matter. We emphasize that these galaxies are genuine cosmologically formed objects that were strongly dark matter dominated prior to their evolution in the cluster. Their present low dark matter content is the result of strong tidal stripping by the tidal forces from the cluster.

Table 1. Properties of galaxies identified as tidally induced bars at $z = 0$.

ID	log ITF	d [Mpc]	M_* [$10^{10} M_\odot$]	M_{dm} [$10^{10} M_\odot$]	$g-r$ [mag]	Z [Z_\odot]	b/a	c/a	T	f	$A_{2,\text{max}}$
53	6.93	1.02	4.27	11.35	0.79	2.15	0.48	0.40	0.92	0.12	0.67
80	7.41	0.28	3.14	4.59	0.80	2.16	0.55	0.41	0.84	0.12	0.58
81	7.17	0.55	3.10	4.28	0.81	2.09	0.64	0.47	0.75	0.16	0.45
87	8.07	0.14	3.19	1.96	0.83	2.79	0.59	0.49	0.86	0.09	0.47
92	7.70	0.29	3.04	1.32	0.83	2.58	0.47	0.40	0.92	0.10	0.65
101	7.39	0.13	2.69	2.07	0.82	3.22	0.59	0.47	0.83	0.05	0.49

**Fig. 4.** Stellar and dark matter masses of selected galaxies at the last simulation snapshot corresponding to the present time. Upper panel: the dark versus stellar masses for our selection of 112 galaxies with colors coding their orbital history. Galaxies infalling for the first time are marked with blue symbols, those that experienced one pericenter passage with green dots and those with more than one pericenter passage with red points. Lower panel: the ratio of dark to stellar mass as a function of the integrated tidal force. In both panels, the black line indicates the equality of dark and stellar masses.

The mass history of the galaxies shown in Fig. 1 and the upper rows of Figs. 2 and 3 is typical for all galaxies associated with the cluster. The dark mass (blue lines) reaches a maximum value at some point in the past, after which it declines. In the case of evolved samples, this decline occurs when the galaxy ap-

**Fig. 5.** Mass loss in galaxies associated with the cluster. Upper panel: the relative dark matter mass loss in terms of the difference between the maximum and the present dark mass with respect to the maximum mass, as a function of ITF. Lower panel: the same quantity for the stellar mass of the galaxies. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 4.

proaches the first pericenter of its orbit around the cluster. The maximum gas mass (green lines) usually coincides in time with the time of the maximum dark mass. As the galaxy approaches the cluster center, the gas is gradually depleted, but it continues to form stars so that the time when the maximum stellar mass is reached (red lines) occurs much later than the maximum of the dark and gas content. At the same time, the gas is stripped by ram pressure from the hot gas halo of the cluster, so the gas

is completely lost soon after the first or second pericenter passage. This process is reflected in the final (present) gas content of the galaxies: while only 16 out of 48 galaxies (33%) of the unevolved sample contain no gas at redshift $z = 0$, this fraction rises to 25 out of 37 galaxies (67%) for the weakly evolved sample, and to 27 out of 27 objects (100%) for the strongly evolved one.

The mass loss in the galaxies is further illustrated in Fig. 5, where we show the relative mass loss in dark matter (upper panel) and stars (lower panel) as a function of ITF. The values were calculated as the difference between the maximum and the present mass divided by the maximum mass. We can see that, again, the weakly and strongly evolved samples follow a branch of tight dependence on the ITF and are clearly separated within this branch. While the galaxies of the weakly evolved sample lose between 10 and 80% of their dark mass, the objects of the strongly evolved one always lose more than 70%. On the other hand, the mass loss in stars is much weaker, the galaxies with one pericenter passage lose between 0 and 10% of the stellar mass, while those with multiple pericenters lose between 10 and 55% of the stars.

The results of this subsection concerning the mass loss are in good agreement with the studies of Smith et al. (2016), who used zoom-in cosmological simulations of clusters, and Niemiec et al. (2019), who studied subhalo populations in clusters of the original Illustris simulations. Both these studies found that, in general, dark matter is tidally stripped more effectively than stars and that the galaxies continue to form stars after they are accreted by the cluster, which makes the cluster galaxies less dominated by dark matter.

2.4. Timescales of galaxy evolution

Figure 6 illustrates the timescales of galaxy evolution in the cluster. In the upper panel, we plot the times elapsed between the time when the galaxy reached its maximum dark matter mass and the present time as a function of log ITF, which is the look-back time to the moment of maximum dark matter mass. The time ranges for the evolved samples are again clearly separated and depend on the ITF reflecting the amount of time the galaxies spent in the cluster. While the times for the weakly evolved sample (green points) cover the range between 2 and 11 Gyr, those for the strongly evolved sample (red points) are in the range between 6 and 12 Gyr. This is expected, since galaxies with more pericenters tend to enter the cluster and start losing mass earlier.

The middle panel of Fig. 6 shows the timescales of gas loss as a function of log ITF. Here, only galaxies that lost all their gas until $z = 0$ are shown. The timescales are measured as the time elapsed between the time of the maximum gas mass the galaxy possessed during its lifetime and the time it lost all the gas. The dependence on the strength of the tidal interaction is again very pronounced. The weakly evolved galaxies (green points) needed between 3 and 10 Gyr to lose all their gas, while the strongly evolved objects (red points) need only between 2 and 6 Gyr to do so.

In the lower panel of Fig. 6, we compare the time (in terms of the age of Universe) of the first pericenter passage to the time when the galaxy loses all its gas. The comparison is obviously shown only for galaxies that experienced at least one pericenter passage. In the case of strongly evolved galaxies (red points) the causal connection between these two events is stronger: for all of these objects, except two, the gas is lost at or after the first pericenter passage. For the weakly evolved sample (green points), this connection is not as tight, since for a significant fraction of




Fig. 6. Timescales of galaxy evolution in the cluster. Upper panel: time since the maximum dark matter mass for all galaxies as a function of ITF. Middle panel: timescale of gas loss for the subsamples of galaxies that do not contain any gas at $z = 0$. Lower panel: time of gas loss as a function of the time of the first pericenter passage for the galaxies that had at least one pericenter and lost all the gas. The diagonal black line in the lower panel indicates the equality between these times. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 4.




Fig. 7. Color and metallicity of galaxies in the cluster at $z = 0$. Upper panel: color measure $g - r$ as a function of ITF. Lower panel: metallicity Z as a function of ITF. The color coding of the points is the same as in Fig. 4.

these galaxies the gas is lost even before the first pericenter. We note, however, that in most cases of the evolved sample, the gas is not lost immediately at the first pericenter passage, and the process may take up to 4 Gyr from the first pericenter (it sometimes coincides with the second pericenter passage).

2.5. Evolution in color and metallicity

The gas loss discussed in the previous subsection has a great impact on the evolution of the cluster galaxies in terms of star formation, which is suppressed. This environmental quenching leads to the change in galaxy color. IllustrisTNG has been shown to provide a significant improvement over the original Illustris in reproducing galaxy colors in comparison with the data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) (Nelson et al. 2018). Thus, we may also expect to see some signal in the color evolution for the cluster galaxies.

The Sublink catalogs of IllustrisTNG provide the magnitudes of galaxies in eight bands based on the summed-up luminosities of all the stellar particles of the galaxy, from which we chose




Fig. 8. Distributions of $g - r$ color for cluster galaxies at different redshifts. The three panels from top to bottom show the distributions at redshift $z = 1$, $z = 0.5$ and $z = 0$, respectively. Data for 64 galaxies from the weakly and strongly evolved samples were included.

SDSS broad-band filters g and r . We used $g - r$ as a measure of galaxy color, in which case $g - r = 0.6$ may be used as a value separating the blue ($g - r < 0.6$) from the red population ($g - r > 0.6$). The present ($z = 0$) values of the color for all our galaxies are plotted in the upper panel of Fig. 7 as a function of ITF. We can see that while the infalling galaxies (blue dots) show a wide range of colors, the galaxies become redder as we go to the evolved samples. Among the weakly evolved galaxies (green points), there are still four with $g - r < 0.6$, but all the galaxies from the strongly evolved sample (red points) are decidedly red with $g - r > 0.7$.

In the lower panel of Fig. 7, we also show the metallicities of the same sample of galaxies estimated as the mass-weighted average metallicity (of all elements above He) of the stellar particles within twice the stellar half-mass radius. Here, the dependence on the evolutionary stage of the galaxies is much weaker, but still the galaxies from the strongly evolved sample tend to be more metal-rich. For each galaxy in the sample, we traced the evolution of the color and metallicity in time. In the lower pan-

els of Figs. 2 and 3, we plot examples of such evolution for our six selected, strongly evolved galaxies. In all cases, the curves look similar: while the metallicities increase rather fast early on and reach a constant level, the colors seem more related to the orbital history. The galaxies remain blue until about the time of their first pericenter passage around the cluster and soon after, when they lose all the gas, their color index rather quickly increases to above $g - r = 0.6$, and they become red. The values of $g - r$ continue to grow slowly and reach $g - r = 0.8$ by the present time. The $z = 0$ values of these quantities for the six galaxies are listed in the sixth and seventh column of Table 1, respectively.

It is interesting to check when exactly the transition from the blue to red population takes place, that is when the galaxies become red and dead as a result of their evolution in the cluster. To address this issue, in Fig. 8 we show the distribution of the $g - r$ color at different redshifts for our sample of 64 evolved galaxies, meaning for the weakly and strongly evolved samples combined. At redshift $z = 1$ (upper panel), most of the galaxies are still blue, with $g - r < 0.6$, and at redshift $z = 0.5$ (middle panel), the sample is divided equally into blue and red galaxies as 32 objects (exactly 50%) have $g - r < 0.6$ and the other half has the color larger than this value. At redshift $z = 0$ (lower panel), the population is strongly dominated by red galaxies. Therefore, redshift $z = 0.5$ may be considered as the time when the population of galaxies in the cluster becomes predominantly red. This is understandable in the context of the orbital history of the galaxies in the evolved sample. As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 6, at redshift $z = 1$ (corresponding to the age of the Universe $t = 5.9$ Gyr) only a small fraction of galaxies that lost all their gas by now have already experienced their first pericenter passage. Instead, at $z = 0.5$ ($t = 8.6$ Gyr) about half of them did.

Although no similar comparison can be made for any real, observed cluster since different clusters are seen at different redshifts, the evolution shown in Fig. 8 clearly explains the origin of the Butcher-Oemler effect, namely that galaxy clusters at higher redshift contain a larger fraction of blue galaxies than the low-redshift ones (Butcher & Oemler 1978, 1984; Rakos & Schombert 1995; Margoniner et al. 2001; Goto et al. 2003a). If we treat the simulated cluster as representative of the population of clusters at different redshifts, then given the large scatter in the fraction of blue galaxies among clusters, different ways to measure color (Goto et al. 2003a), and the dependence on limiting distance and cluster richness, the value of the blue fraction of the order of 0.5 at redshift $z = 0.5$ found here seems to be in reasonable agreement with observations (Margoniner et al. 2001).

2.6. Morphological and kinematical evolution

In addition to the tidal stripping that manifests itself in mass loss, the galaxies in the cluster also experience what is sometimes referred to as tidal stirring. This process, proposed as a way to transform originally disk dwarf galaxies into dwarf spheroidals in the context of the Local Group evolution, has been discussed in detail in a number of studies (Kazantzidis et al. 2011; Łokas et al. 2011b; Buck et al. 2019). The repeated action of the tidal forces from a bigger host, mostly during the pericenter passages, is supposed to reduce the ordered motions of the stars in the form of rotation and increase the amount of random motion as well as thicken their disks, thus turning oblate rotating disks into triaxial, prolate, or spherical objects dominated by random motions.

In order to quantify these changes in the case of our galaxies evolving in the cluster, we used the measures of the shape




Fig. 9. Measures of shape and rotation for galaxies in the cluster at $z = 0$. Upper panel: triaxiality parameter T as a function of ITF. Lower panel: rotation parameter f as a function of ITF. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 4.

and rotation provided by the Illustris team and calculated as described in Genel et al. (2015). The shape is described using the axis ratios of the stellar component determined within two stellar half-mass radii. They were estimated from the eigenvalues of the mass tensor of the stellar mass inside this radius obtained by aligning each galaxy with its principal axes and calculating three components ($i=1,2,3$): $M_i = (\sum_j m_j r_{j,i}^2 / \sum_j m_j)^{1/2}$, where j enumerates over stellar particles, $r_{j,i}$ is the distance of stellar particle j in the i axis from the center of the galaxy, and m_j is its mass. The eigenvalues are sorted so that $M_1 < M_2 < M_3$, therefore the shortest to longest axis ratio is $c/a = M_1/M_3$, while the intermediate to longest is $b/a = M_2/M_3$. In order to describe the shape of the galaxies with just one number, we also used the triaxiality parameter $T = [1 - (b/a)^2] / [1 - (c/a)^2]$. With this parameter, one can classify the galaxy shapes into oblate ($T < 1/3$), triaxial ($1/3 < T < 2/3$) and prolate ($T > 2/3$).

The evolution of the axis ratios c/a , b/a and the triaxiality parameter T in time for our six selected galaxies from the strongly evolved sample is shown in the third row of panels in Figs. 2 and 3. The measurements of these parameters are restricted to subhalos with stellar mass $M_* > 3.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ within




Fig. 10. Morphology-density relation for galaxies in the cluster. The upper and lower panel show, respectively, the rotation parameter f and the galaxy color $g-r$ at the present time as a function of the distance of the galaxy from the cluster center. The color coding of the points is the same as in Fig. 4.

twice the stellar half-mass radius, and at least 100 stars so they do not extend so far into the past as the measurements of the mass and orbital history. The final ($z = 0$) values of these parameters for these six galaxies are listed in the eighth, ninth, and tenth column of Table 1. The $z = 0$ values of triaxiality for all our galaxies are plotted in the upper panel of Fig. 9 as a function of log ITF. We can see that while the weakly evolved sample (green points) is dominated by oblate objects (majority of galaxies have $T < 0.5$), prolate galaxies are more numerous (majority of galaxies have $T > 0.5$) in the strongly evolved sample (red points).

In order to quantify the amount of rotation support in the galaxies, we used the rotation parameter f defined as the fractional mass of all stars with circularity parameter $\epsilon > 0.7$, where $\epsilon = J_z/J(E)$. Here J_z is the specific angular momentum of the star along the angular momentum of the galaxy, while $J(E)$ is the maximum angular momentum of the stellar particles at positions between 50 before and 50 after the particle in question in a list where the stellar particles are sorted by their binding energy. The evolution of the rotation parameter f for our selection of six

galaxies is shown in the fourth row of the panels in Figs. 2 and 3. The final values of this parameter (at $z = 0$) are given in the 11th column of Table 1.

The final snapshot values of f for all galaxies are plotted in the lower panel of Fig. 9 as a function of log ITF. As in the case of shape parameters, there is a systematic trend of f evolution when we go from the least to the most evolved samples, to such an extent that there is less rotation support in the more evolved galaxies. While the galaxies from our weakly evolved sample (green points) take values from a wide range of f between 0 and 0.5, the galaxies from the strongly evolved sample (red points) almost all have $f < 0.2$. We note that $f > 0.2$ is a reasonable threshold to define late-type or disk galaxies in Illustris (Peschken & Łokas 2019; Peschken et al. 2020), so $f < 0.2$ means that our evolved galaxies are no longer disks.

The results on the shape and kinematics evolution of this subsection combined with the color changes discussed in the previous subsection indicate that indeed as the galaxies orbit the cluster, preferably on tight orbits with many pericenter passages, they change their shapes from disk to triaxial or prolate, and their rotation is suppressed and replaced by random motions while they become increasingly red. In Fig. 10, we again plot the rotation parameters f and colors $g-r$ of the galaxies at the present time, but as a function of their distance from the cluster center. Since the distance is the indicator of cluster density, the Figure illustrates the presence of the morphology-density relation in the simulated cluster. The galaxies with little rotation support and red colors, which would be classified as early type by observers, are predominantly found near the cluster center, while those with a significant amount of rotation and blue colors (late type) are mostly present in the outskirts of the cluster. The trend is thus similar to the morphology-density (or morphology-radius) relation found in real clusters (Dressler 1980, 1984; Goto et al. 2003b; Postman et al. 2005).

2.7. Tidally induced bars

The evolution of the shape and kinematics parameters shown in the third and fourth rows of the panels in Figs. 2 and 3 reveals that all these objects were in the past oblate rotating disks, meaning they had their rotation parameters $f > 0.2$ and their triaxiality parameters $T < 1/3$. Later on, at some point, the rotation parameters started to decrease and the triaxiality parameters increased. For these six galaxies, the time of this transition clearly coincides with one of the first pericenter passages of the galaxy on its orbit around the cluster. With time, f decreased down to almost zero while T reached values above $2/3$. This means that the disks turned into prolate, slowly rotating spheroids, with properties similar to tidally induced bars.

To verify this, we extracted, from the last simulation snapshot, the cutouts containing the stellar particle data for these galaxies and rotated the stellar positions to align the x , y , and z coordinates with the principal axes using the same procedure as was used to determine the axis ratio. The coordinate system was chosen so that the x axis is along the longest axis of the stellar component and the y and z axes are along the intermediate and shortest ones, respectively. The projections of the stellar mass density along the shortest z axis for the six galaxies from Figs. 2 and 3 are shown in Fig. 11. They correspond to the face-on views of the stellar component and clearly reveal the presence of elongated shapes along the x axis, which is characteristic of bars.

In order to further confirm that these are indeed tidally induced bars, we calculated the measure of the bar strength as the




Fig. 11. Surface density distributions of the stellar component viewed face-on for galaxies identified as tidally induced bars. The surface density was normalized to the central maximum value in each case, and the contours are equally spaced in $\log \Sigma$.

$m = 2$ mode of the Fourier decomposition of the surface density distribution of stellar particles projected along the short axis: $A_m(R) = |\Sigma_j m_j \exp(im\theta_j)| / \Sigma_j m_j$. Here, θ_j is the azimuthal angle of the j th star, m_j is its mass and the sum is up to the number of particles in a given radial bin. The radius R is the standard radius in cylindrical coordinates in the xy plane, $R = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$. The results of such measurements within two stellar half-mass radii are shown as a function of time in the fourth row of panels in Figs. 2 and 3 together with the rotation parameter f . We can see that before accretion the galaxies were normal disks with a lot of rotation and no bar, as the A_2 parameter remains close to zero. After one of the pericenter passages A_2 starts to increase (while the rotation decreases) signifying the formation of a tidally induced bar for all galaxies.

The profiles of the bar mode as a function of radius, $A_2(R)$, are plotted in Fig. 12 for the six galaxies. The profiles show a behavior characteristic of galactic bars: they increase to a maximum value and then decrease to almost zero. The maximum values of the profiles, $A_{2,\max}$, can be used as a measure of the bar strength and are listed in the 12th column of Table 1. All these values are above 0.4, signifying rather strong bars, and they are well within the range of values for bars in observed galaxies (Diaz et al. 2016). The profiles in Fig. 12 can be also used to estimate the length of the bars as the radius R , where $A_2(R)$ drops to half the maximum value. These lengths turn out to be of the order of 4–6 kpc, in agreement with the visual impression from Fig. 11 and with the observed values (Aguirri et al. 2005; Diaz et al. 2016; Font et al. 2017).




Fig. 12. Profiles of bar mode $A_2(R)$ for galaxies identified as tidally induced bars. The measurements were done in bins of $\Delta R = 0.5$ kpc containing at least 10 stars.

Since the six galaxies we identified as tidally induced bars are prolate spheroids rather than bars embedded in disks, we also looked at the velocity distribution of their stars. One example, for our strongest tidally induced bar (ID=53), is shown in Fig. 13. In all cases, the velocity field measured in projection




Fig. 13. Surface density distribution (left column) and the line-of-sight velocity field (right column) of the stars for the galaxy with ID=53. Rows show, respectively, the face-on, edge-on, and end-on view. The surface density and velocity were normalized to the maximum values measured in the end-on view (along the bar).

along the three principal axes of the stellar component shows features characteristic of bars (Athanasoula & Misiriotis 2002). Namely, there is a strong rotation signal when the galaxy is seen edge-on and end-on, while there is no systematic rotation in the face-on view, so the galaxies rotate around their shortest axis. This means that these objects are indeed bars and not prolate spheroids with prolate rotation (around the long axis) of the kind studied by Ebrova & Łokas (2017).

We also estimated the pattern speeds Ω_b of these tidally induced bars. Since the simulation outputs are spaced quite widely in time, they cannot be measured directly by the change of the position of the bar major axis between outputs and we had to use the kinematic method proposed by Tremaine & Weinberg (1984). Although the velocity field of the bars seems quite regular, judging by the example in Fig. 13, these measurements are

rather noisy due to the low resolution of the simulated galaxies. Still, for all the bars, the method yields values of the order of $10\text{--}20\text{ km s}^{-1}\text{ kpc}^{-1}$, with high uncertainty, but similar to those obtained by Peschken & Łokas (2019) for the population of tidally induced and all bars in Illustris. These values are, however, on the low end of the range typically measured in real barred galaxies (Font et al. 2017).

The six galaxies whose properties are illustrated in Figs. 2-3 and 11-12 were selected as the most convincing examples of bars induced by the galaxy interaction with the cluster. These galaxies did not experience any significant merger with another subhalo since their accretion onto the cluster and the abrupt change in their morphology and kinematics coincides with one of their first pericenter passages. However, it is difficult to prove beyond reasonable doubt that their transformation is caused by their interaction with the cluster, as some other small accretion events or interactions (not recorded in the merger trees) are still possible, and the nature of bar instability may be to some extent stochastic (Sellwood & Debattista 2009).

The picture painted by the analysis of these six examples is, however, strongly supported by the more statistical approach we presented in the previous subsection. The differences in the distributions of T and f values for the weakly and strongly evolved samples in Fig. 9 demonstrate that indeed on average as the galaxies orbit the cluster, their rotation tends to decrease, and their prolateness to increase, which supports the interpretation in terms of tidally induced bars.

Among the sample of strongly evolved galaxies in Fig. 9, in addition to the six objects already described in detail, there are seven other galaxies with $T > 2/3$, which suggests a bar-like shape. One of them (ID=11) is prolate but also quite spherical with axis ratios b/a and c/a of the order of 0.8, and so it cannot be considered a bar. The remaining six (ID=34, 45, 58, 66, 96, 105) are genuine bars, but their morphology and kinematics changes occur before or after the pericenter passage, or their stellar distribution was already a bit elongated before the interaction so they could be enhanced by the cluster but not necessarily induced by it. We note that there is no correlation between the strength of the bar, as measured by $A_{2,\text{max}}$, and the ITF, because although strong tidal interaction can induce a bar, a repeated action of tidal forces can also reduce its strength later on by making the galaxy more spherical.

The remaining 14 galaxies of the strongly evolved sample have intermediate or low values of T and one may wonder why they did not form bars despite their significant interaction with the cluster. These cases have very different histories, but their failure to produce strong bars by $z = 0$ can be traced to one or a combination of the following reasons: (1) they had bars earlier, but they were weakened by $z = 0$; (2) they did not possess enough rotation initially; (3) their pericenter distances were rather large, so the strength of the interaction was smaller; (4) their orbits in the cluster were retrograde, which is known to suppress bar formation (Łokas et al. 2015; Łokas 2018; Peschken & Łokas 2019). In particular, the three galaxies of this sample that remain oblate with $T \sim 0.1$ at $z = 0$ with ID = 21, 90, 124 fall into the categories (3), (2)-(3), and (2)-(3)-(4), respectively.

Finally, we note that out of six galaxies with $T > 2/3$ in the weakly evolved sample (green points in Fig. 9), four are quite spherical with high axis ratios, and two are more bar-like, but in all cases the transition to prolate shapes is not related to the pericenter passage, which is usually quite recent, but rather to earlier mergers and interactions. On the other hand, the unevolved sample (blue points in Fig. 9), which also contains a significant

number of prolate objects, includes bona fide bars corresponding to the points with the highest $T > 0.8$ (ID = 44, 55, 91). The formation of these bars is not related to the fact that the galaxies are infalling into the cluster and took place earlier.

3. Summary and discussion

We studied the evolution of galaxies in the most massive cluster of the Illustris TNG-100 simulation. The galaxies were characterized by deriving their orbital history, mass evolution, and changes in their color and metallicity as well as in their morphology and kinematics. We divided our sample of galaxies into three subsamples according to their orbital evolution: the unevolved group of infalling objects, the weakly evolved galaxies that passed just one pericenter, and the strongly evolved ones that experienced more than one pericenter up to now. Our results are summarized in Fig. 14, where we plot the distributions of galaxies with different properties for our weakly and strongly evolved samples of galaxies. The properties considered are the same as those discussed in detail in Section 2 and shown in Figs. 4-7 and 9-10. We do not show the distributions for the unevolved sample as these galaxies only served as a reference. The data in Fig. 14 were binned to emphasize the difference between the subsamples.

Figure 14 clearly demonstrates that the distributions are distinctly different for the two samples. This means that as the galaxies evolve in the cluster, their properties change significantly. In particular, we find that in comparison to the weakly evolved sample, the galaxies of the strongly evolved sample experienced much larger integrated tidal force, their first pericenter took place earlier and they reached their maximum dark mass a longer time ago. The dark matter fraction of these galaxies is now much lower, and they lost a bigger fraction of their dark and stellar mass. They lost their gas earlier and on a shorter timescale. Finally, they became redder and more metal rich and lost more rotation while their shapes evolved into more prolate. In addition, a significant fraction of the strongly affected galaxies formed tidally induced bars or had them enhanced as a result of their interaction with the cluster.

The results presented here demonstrate that galaxies are indeed affected by their evolution in clusters. In the lower right panel of Fig. 14, we show the distributions of the present ($z = 0$) distances of the galaxies from the cluster center for both subsamples. As expected, the galaxies from the strongly evolved sample concentrate near the cluster center and are all within the cluster virial radius (2 Mpc), while the radial distribution of the galaxies from the weakly evolved sample is more extended. The three lower panels of Fig. 14 together with the histograms for color and metallicity again confirm that the morphology-density relation is reproduced in the simulated cluster: the galaxies in the denser region are more prolate or spherical, have less rotation, and are redder than the galaxies in the outskirts of the cluster.

While describing the evolution of different properties of the galaxies in the cluster, we used the concept of the integrated tidal force, the total tidal force from the cluster experienced by a given galaxy during its entire history. The concept, originally used in Łokas et al. (2011a) to study the properties of dwarf galaxies evolving around the Milky Way in controlled simulations, also proved to be very useful here, in the study of galaxy evolution in the cluster environment, and it allowed us to isolate the effect of the cluster from the global cosmological evolution. We found that different galaxy properties such as mass loss, evolution timescales, and morphological properties depend very strongly on ITF. We thus confirm the essential similarity of the

tidal evolution processes in environments such as small groups and massive clusters of galaxies and demonstrate that in both cases they lead to the changes in galactic properties that manifest themselves in the form of the morphology-density relation.

Many of the galaxies in the strongly evolved sample turned out to possess or indeed "be" tidally induced bars. They "are" tidally induced bars in a sense that their stellar component is essentially a bar-like spheroid rather than a bar embedded in a disk. We identified six such tidally induced bars among the 27 galaxies of the strongly evolved sample. Their tidal origin was confirmed by the fact that the time of their morphological and kinematic transformation coincides with one of their first pericenter passages around the cluster. Six more galaxies of this sample probably had their bars enhanced rather than induced by their interaction with the cluster. We therefore confirm the picture proposed in Łokas et al. (2016) that a significant fraction of barred galaxies in clusters can be of tidal origin. While Łokas et al. (2016) used an idealized approach of controlled simulations of a single galaxy orbiting a cluster, we corroborate that the scenario is also valid in the cosmological context of IllustrisTNG.

If this scenario is true, we should expect to find a higher fraction of barred galaxies toward the centers of clusters. Unfortunately, there is still no convincing observational evidence in favor or against this hypothesis. Some studies (Thompson 1981; Andersen 1996; Barazza et al. 2009) found a higher concentration of barred galaxies in the cluster center, while others (Méndez-Abreu et al. 2010; Lansbury et al. 2014; Łokas et al. 2016) found no (or very weak) evidence for such a trend, pointing instead to other factors contributing to the bar fraction, such as stellar mass rather than environment (Cervantes Sodi et al. 2015).

Recently, Peschken & Łokas (2019) studied tidally induced bars in galaxies from the original Illustris simulation. Their sample included the whole population of galaxies, without the restriction to cluster members, with a similar resolution threshold as adopted here. However, their selection of galaxies included only late-type objects, with rotation parameter $f > 0.2$ at the present time, that is galaxies that, while forming a bar, retained a significant amount of rotation and their disk character. Instead, almost all the galaxies of our strongly evolved sample and all the tidally induced or enhanced bars had $f < 0.2$ as a result of their evolution in the cluster, which means that they would not be included in the late-type sample similar to the one used by Peschken & Łokas (2019). This may explain why their sample included mainly fly-by type of interactions with other galaxies, and why the fraction of barred galaxies they found in Illustris was lower than observed among real galaxies.

It would be interesting to compare the properties of the tidally induced bars formed in the cosmological context to those produced in controlled simulations such as those of Gerin et al. (1990), Miwa & Noguchi (1998), Lang et al. (2014), or Łokas (2018). One of the predictions of such simulations is that tidally induced bars should have lower pattern speeds than the bars formed in isolation by disk instability. Such a comparison is rather difficult due to different resolutions and the lack of correspondence between cases. Still, it was attempted by Peschken & Łokas (2019) who, however, found no statistically significant difference between the pattern speeds of tidally induced bars and the whole population of bars. This is at least partly due to the low accuracy of such measurements, which we also experienced here.

In addition to our analysis of the mass loss and morphological evolution of the galaxies, we also looked into the evolution of their gas component. We found that only 1/3 of infalling galax-




Fig. 14. Histograms of distributions of different properties in the weakly evolved (one pericenter, green) and strongly evolved (more than one pericenter, red) sample of galaxies. The panels summarize the results shown in Figs. 4-7 and 9-10 by binning the data instead of plotting them as points, with N corresponding in each case to the number of galaxies in a given bin.

ies are gas free, and the fraction increases to 2/3 and 1 for the weakly and strongly evolved samples, respectively. This means that the cluster environment is extremely effective in stripping the galaxies of their gas. We also determined that the strongly

evolved galaxies lost their gas much faster, over 2-6 Gyr, while the weakly evolved ones needed 3-10 Gyr to do so. In most cases, the gas is not lost immediately after the first pericenter, but it may take up to 4 Gyr from that point, in contrast to the re-

sults of Lotz et al. (2016), who found much shorter timescales. Our results are, however, in very good agreement with those of Jung et al. (2018), who studied the mechanisms of gas depletion of galaxies in simulated clusters. They also found 1/3 of galaxies to be gas poor before entering the cluster as a result of pre-processing in groups, a significant fraction that lost their gas prior to the first pericenter passage, and some that retained their gas after the first pericenter.

In agreement with Rosas-Guevara et al. (2020), who studied the population of massive barred galaxies in IllustrisTNG, we found that the fraction of bars is larger among gas-poor galaxies, since bars are mostly found among our strongly evolved, gas-free sample. However, in our case this is not related to the bar quenching but rather to the processes of gas stripping and bar formation happening concurrently as the galaxies evolve in the cluster. The two processes may be related, however, because gas loss is supposed to enhance bar formation, since strong bars form more easily in galaxies with lower gas fractions (Athanassoula et al. 2013; Łokas 2020).

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to the anonymous referee for useful comments and to the IllustrisTNG team for making their simulations publicly available. This work was supported in part by the Polish National Science Center under grant 2013/10/A/ST9/00023.

References

- Aguerre, J. A. L., Elias-Rosa, N., Corsini, E. M., & Munoz-Tunon, C. 2005, *A&A*, 434, 109
- Andersen, V. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 1805
- Athanassoula, E., & Misiriotis, A. 2002, *MNRAS*, 330, 35
- Athanassoula, E., Machado, R. E. G., & Rodionov, S. A. 2013, *MNRAS*, 429, 1949
- Barazza, F. D., Jablonka, P., Desai, V., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 497, 713
- Barnes, D. J., Vogelsberger, M., Kannan, R., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 1809
- Berentzen, I., Athanassoula, E., Heller, C. H., & Fricke, K. J. 2004, *MNRAS*, 347, 220
- Buck, T., Maccio, A. V., Dutton, A. A., Obreja, A., & Frings, J. 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 1314
- Butcher, H., & Oemler, A. 1978, *ApJ*, 219, 18
- Butcher, H., & Oemler, A. 1984, *ApJ*, 285, 426
- Cervantes Sodi, B., Li, C., & Park, C. 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 111
- Díaz-García, S., Salo, H., Laurikainen, E., & Herrera-Endoqui, M. 2016, *A&A*, 587, A160
- Dressler, A. 1980, *ApJ*, 236, 351
- Dressler, A. 1984, *ARA&A*, 22, 185
- Ebeling, H., Stephenson, L. N., & Edge, A. C. 2014, *ApJ*, 781, L40
- Ebrov, I., & Łokas, E. L. 2017, *ApJ*, 850, 144
- Font, J., Beckman, J. E., Martínez-Valpuesta, I., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 835, 279
- Gajda, G., Łokas, E. L., & Athanassoula, E. 2017, *ApJ*, 842, 56
- Genel, S., Fall, S. M., Hernquist, L., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 804, L40
- Gerin, M., Combes, F., & Athanassoula, E. 1990, *A&A*, 230, 37
- Gnedin, O. Y. 2003, *ApJ*, 589, 752
- Goto, T., Okamura, S., Yagi, M., et al. 2003a, *PASJ*, 55, 739
- Goto, T., Yamauchi, C., Fujita, Y., et al. 2003b, *MNRAS*, 346, 601
- Gunn, J. E., & Gott, J. R. 1972, *ApJ*, 176, 1
- Gupta, A., Yuan, T., Torrey, P., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, L35
- Jung, S. L., Choi, H., Wong, O. I., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 865, 156
- Kazantzidis, S., Łokas, E. L., Callegari, S., Mayer, L., & Moustakas, L. A. 2011, *ApJ*, 726, 98
- Lang, M., Holley-Bockelmann, K., & Sinha, M. 2014, *ApJ*, 790, L33
- Lansbury, G. B., Lucey, J. R., & Smith, R. J. 2014, *MNRAS*, 439, 1749
- Lotz, M., Remus, R.-S., Dolag, K., Biviano, A., & Burkert, A. 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 5370
- Łokas, E. L. 2018, *ApJ*, 857, 6
- Łokas, E. L. 2020, *A&A*, 634, A122
- Łokas, E. L., & Mamon, G. A. 2001, *MNRAS*, 321, 155
- Łokas, E. L., & Mamon, G. A. 2003, *MNRAS*, 343, 401
- Łokas, E. L., Kazantzidis, S., Mayer, L., & Callegari, S. 2011b, in *Environment and the Formation of Galaxies: 30 years later*, ed. I. Ferreras, & A. Pasquali, *Astrophysics and Space Science Proceedings* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg), 229
- Łokas, E. L., Kazantzidis, S., Mayer, L. 2011, *ApJ*, 739, 46
- Łokas, E. L., Athanassoula, E., Debattista, V. P., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 1339
- Łokas, E. L., Semiczuk, M., Gajda, G., & D’Onghia, E. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 100
- Łokas, E. L., Ebrov, I., del Pino, A., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 826, 227
- Margoniner, V. E., de Carvalho, R. R., Gal, R. R., & Djorgovski, S. G. 2001, *ApJ*, 548, L143
- Marinacci, F., Vogelsberger, M., Pakmor, R., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 5113
- Mastropietro, C., Moore, B., Mayer, L., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 364, 607
- Mayer, L., & Wadsley, J. 2004, *MNRAS*, 347, 277
- Mayer, L., Governato, F., Colpi, M., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 754
- McPartland, C., Ebeling, H., Roediger, E., & Blumenthal, K. 2016, *MNRAS*, 455, 2994
- Méndez-Abreu, J., Sánchez-Janssen, R., & Aguerri, J. A. L. 2010, *ApJ*, 711, L61
- Miwa, T., & Noguchi, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 499, 149
- Moore, B., Lake, G., Quinn, T., & Stadel, J. 1999, *MNRAS*, 304, 465
- Naiman, J. P., Pillepich, A., Springel, V., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, 1206
- Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M. 1997, *ApJ*, 490, 493
- Nelson, D., Pillepich, A., Springel, V., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 624
- Nelson, D., Springel, V., Pillepich, A., et al. 2019, *Computational Astrophysics and Cosmology*, 6, 2
- Niemiec, A., Jullo, E., Giocoli, C., Limousin, M., & Jauzac, M. 2019, *MNRAS*, 487, 653
- Noguchi, M. 1996, *ApJ*, 469, 605
- Peschken, N., & Łokas, E. L. 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 2721
- Peschken, N., Łokas, E. L., & Athanassoula, E. 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 1375
- Pillepich, A., Springel, V., Nelson, D., et al. 2018b, *MNRAS*, 473, 4077
- Pillepich, A., Nelson, D., Hernquist, L., et al. 2018a, *MNRAS*, 475, 648
- Poggianti, B. M., Fasano, G., Omizzolo, A., et al. 2016, *AJ*, 151, 78
- Postman, M., Franx, M., Cross, N. J. G., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 623, 721
- Rakos, K. D., & Schombert, J. M. 1995, *ApJ*, 439, 47
- Rodríguez-Gómez, V., Genel, S., Vogelsberger, M., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 49
- Rosas-Guevara, Y., Bonoli, S., Dotti, M., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 491, 2547
- Sales, L. V., Navarro, J. F., Penafiel, L., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 494, 1848
- Sellwood, J. A., & Debattista, V. P. 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 1279
- Semiczuk, M., Łokas, E. L., & del Pino, A. 2017, *ApJ*, 834, 7
- Smith, R., Choi, H., Lee, J., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 833, 109
- Springel, V., White, S. D. M., Tormen, G., & Kauffmann, G., 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 726
- Springel, V., Pakmor, R., Pillepich, A., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 676
- Thompson, L. A. 1981, *ApJ*, 244, L43
- Tremaine, S., & Weinberg, M. D. 1984, *ApJ*, 282, L5
- Valluri, M. 1993, *ApJ*, 408, 57
- Weinberger, R., Springel, V., Hernquist, L., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 3291
- Yun, K., Pillepich, A., Zinger, E., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 1042