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Abstract. We study \( N \)-differential graded (NDG) categories and their the derived categories. First, we introduce \( N \)-differential modules over an NDG category \( A \). Then we show that the category \( C_{\text{NDG}}(A) \) of \( N \)-differential \( A \)-modules is a Frobenius category, and that its homotopy category \( K_{\text{NDG}}(A) \) is a triangulated category. Second, we study the properties of the derived category \( D_{\text{NDG}}(A) \) and give triangle equivalences of Morita type between derived categories of NDG categories. Finally, we show \( D_{\text{NDG}}(A) \) is triangle equivalent to the derived category of some ordinary DG category.

0. Preliminaries

The notion of \( N \)-complexes, that is, graded objects with \( N \)-differential \( d (d^N = 0) \), was introduced by Kapranov ([Ka]). He also described the relation between an \( N \)-differential and a primitive \( N \)-th root \( q \) of unity. Dubois-Violette studied homological properties of \( N \)-complexes ([Du]). Afterwards, Iyama, Kato and Miyachi studied derived categories of \( N \)-complexes of an abelian category ([IKM]). Dubois-Violette and Kerner introduced \( N \)-differential \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded algebras, and studied homological properties ([Du2], [DK]). In this article, we study the derived category of an \( N \)-differential \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded (NDG) category \( A \). In particular we study the homotopy category \( K_{\text{NDG}}(A) \) of right NDG \( A \)-modules and the derived category \( D_{\text{NDG}}(A) \).

Let \( k \) be a commutative ring, \( q \) a primitive \( N \)-th root of unity of \( k \), \( U,V \) \( N \)-differential complex of \( k \)-modules. Let \( U \otimes^q V \) is the tensor product \( (\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U \otimes^q V) \).
\[ V, d^{q}_{U \otimes V}(u \otimes v) \text{ where } U \otimes V = \coprod_{r+s=n} U^{r} \otimes V^{s} \text{ with an } N\text{-differential } d^{q}_{U \otimes V}(u \otimes v) = d^{q}_{r}(u) \otimes v + q^{r}u \otimes d^{q}_{r}(v) \text{ for any } u \in U^{r} \text{ and } v \in V. \text{ Then } U \otimes V \text{ is also an } N\text{-complex } (\mathbb{K}_{A}). \text{ An } N\text{-complex } V^{q}_{*}U \text{ is also defined. But } V^{q}_{*}U \text{ is not isomorphic to } U \otimes V \text{ in case of } N > 2 \text{ (Lemma 2.34). Moreover it is difficult to find the tensor product of } NDG\text{-algebras } (\mathbb{S}_{1}). \text{ This situation implies the difficulty to deal with } NDG \text{ modules, especially } NDG \text{ bi-modules as functors from } NDG \text{ categories. Therefore we deal with } NDG \text{ modules using module-theoretical language (Definitions 2.3, 2.28).}

In Section 1 we give some formulas on } q\text{-numbers. We use these formulas to describe } NDG \text{ modules with complicated scalar multiplication of } NDG \text{ categories.}

In Section 2 we define an } N\text{-differential graded category } A \text{ with respect to } q \text{ (} = N_{q}DG \text{ category), and a right } NDG \text{ } A\text{-module, that is a graded module with right scalar multiplication of } A. \text{ We show the following two results are obtained similarly to the case of } N\text{-complexes } (\mathbb{LKM}). \text{ First, we show that the category } C_{N_{q}dg}(A) \text{ of right } NDG \text{ } A\text{-modules is a Frobenius category.}

**Theorem 0.1** (Theorem 2.25). The homotopy category } K_{N_{q}dg}(A) \text{ is an algebraic triangulated category.}

Moreover, } C_{N_{q}dg}(A) \text{ has two auto-equivalences: the shift functor } \theta_{q} \text{ of grading and the suspension functor } \Sigma \text{ as a triangulated category. Then we have the following relation.}

**Theorem 0.2** (Theorem 2.27). \[ \Sigma^{2} \simeq \theta_{q}^{N} \text{ in } K_{N_{q}dg}(A). \]

Furthermore, we define an } NDG \text{ } B\text{-}A\text{-bimodule } M, \text{ and we have triangle functors}

\[ \text{Hom}^{q}_{A}(M, -): K_{N_{q}dg}(A) \to K_{N_{q}dg}(B), - \otimes B M: K_{N_{q}dg}(B) \to K_{N_{q}dg}(A). \]

**Theorem 0.3** (Theorems 2.33). For an } NDG \text{ } B\text{-}A\text{-bimodule } M, - \otimes B M \text{ is the left adjoint of } \text{Hom}^{q}_{A}(M, -).

In section 3 we describe the derived categories of } NDG \text{ modules, and the derived functors induced by bimodules, especially the necessary and sufficient conditions for these functors to be equivalences.

**Theorem 0.4** (Theorem 3.9). For an } N_{q}DG \text{ category } A, \text{ we have the following triangle equivalent}

\[ D_{N_{q}dg}(A) \simeq K_{N_{q}dg}(A) \simeq K_{N_{q}dg}(A). \]

Here } K_{N_{q}dg}(A) \text{ (resp., } K_{N_{q}dg}(A) \text{) is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of } K_{N_{q}dg}(A) \text{ consisting of } \theta_{q}^{n}A \text{ (resp. } \theta_{q}^{n}A \text{) for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } A \in A \text{ which is closed under taking coproducts (resp. products), where } A = A(\cdot, \cdot), \hat{A} = A(\cdot, -), \Delta A = \text{Hom}_{k}^{q}(A, E) \text{ for an injective cogenerator } E \text{ for } k\text{-modules.}

**Theorem 0.5** (Theorem 3.12). Let } A \text{ and } B \text{ be small } N_{q}DG \text{ categories. The following hold for an } NDG \text{ } B\text{-}A\text{-bimodule } M.

1. The functor \[ - \otimes B M: D_{N_{q}dg}(B) \to D_{N_{q}dg}(A) \text{ is the left adjoint of the functor } R \text{Hom}^{q}_{A}(M, -). \]

2. The following are equivalent.
Then we have
\[ k \text{ injection for Lemma 1.1.} \]

Theorem 0.6 (Theorem 3.13). For any \( N_q \) DG category \( A \), there exists a DG category \( B \) such that \( D_{N_q}(A) \) is triangle equivalent to the derived category \( D(B) \).

Throughout this note we fix a positive integer \( N \geq 2 \) and a commutative ring \( k \) with unity 1 and we assume that \( k \) has a primitive \( N \)-th root \( q \) of 1. We will write \( \text{Hom} \) and \( \otimes \) for \( \text{Hom}_k \) and \( \otimes_k \).

1. \( q \)-NUMBERS

In this section, we collect some formulas on \( q \)-numbers. We will omit proofs of some elementary facts. For a commutative ring \( k \) with unity 1 and a positive integer \( N \geq 2 \), we say that an element \( q \) of \( k \) is a primitive \( N \)-th root of 1 if \( q^N - 1 = 0 \) and \( q^l - 1 \) is a non-zero-divisor for any \( 1 \leq l \leq N - 1 \). Then \( \lfloor m \rfloor = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{m-1} \) is a non-zero-divisor for \( 1 \leq m < N \), and \( \lfloor N \rfloor = 0 \). We define q-numbers in the total quotient ring \( K \) of \( k \). For any positive integer \( m \), \( \lfloor m \rfloor = [m][m-1] \cdots [1] \) and \( [0] = 1 \). For \( 0 \leq l \leq m \leq N \) with \((l, m) \neq (N, N) \) or \((0, N) \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\lfloor m \rfloor &= \frac{[m]!}{[l]![m-l]!} \\
\lfloor N \rfloor &= \begin{bmatrix} N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Then we have \( \lfloor m \rfloor = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m-l \end{bmatrix} \).

By the following lemma, we can consider \( \begin{bmatrix} m \\ l \end{bmatrix} \) lies in \( k \) through the canonical injection \( k \to K \).

Lemma 1.1. For \( 1 \leq l < m \leq N \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ l-1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ l \end{bmatrix} q^l &= \begin{bmatrix} m \\ l \end{bmatrix}, \\
\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ l-1 \end{bmatrix} q^{m-l} + \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ l \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} m \\ l \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]
We show the following lemma for the lemma after the next.

**Lemma 1.2.** For \(1 \leq t \leq N\),
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{t} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \left[ \frac{t}{j} \right] = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Set \(a_t = \sum_{j=0}^{t} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \left[ \frac{t}{j} \right] \). We have that \(a_1 = 1 - 1 = 0\). For any \(2 \leq t \leq N\), by Lemma 1.1,
\[
a_t = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \left[ \frac{t-1}{j} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{t} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \left[ \frac{t-1}{j} \right] q^{t-j} - a_{t-1}.
\]
Therefore \(a_t = 0\) for any \(1 \leq t \leq N\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 1.3.** Let \(n\) be an integer with \(1 \leq n \leq N\) and \(X\) a \(k\)-module. For any morphisms \(\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(X, X)\) with \(\psi \phi = q \phi \psi\), the following hold.

1. \((\phi + \psi)^n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] \phi^{n-l} \psi^l.\)
2. \(\phi^n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} (-1)^l q^{\frac{(l-1)}{2}} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] (\phi + \psi)^{n-l} \psi^l.\)

**Proof.** Set \(a_n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] \phi^{n-l} \psi^l.\) By Lemma 1.3

\[
a_n = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{n-1}{l} \right] \phi^{n-l} \psi^l + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{n-1}{l-1} \right] q^{n-1} \phi^{n-l} \psi^l
\]

\[= \phi \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{n-1}{l} \right] \phi^{n-1-l} \psi^l + \phi \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{n-1}{l-1} \right] \phi^{n-1-l} \psi^{l-1}
\]

\[= (\phi + \psi) a_{n-1}
\]

\[= (\phi + \psi)^n.
\]

Set \(b_n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} (-1)^l q^{\frac{(l-1)}{2}} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] (\phi + \psi)^{n-l} \psi^l.\) By Lemma 1.3 (1),

\[
b_n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \left[ \frac{n-l}{j} \right] \phi^{n-l-j} \psi^j \psi^l
\]

\[= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] \left[ \frac{n-l}{j} \right] \phi^{n-(l+j)} \psi^{l+j}
\]

\[= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] \left[ \frac{n-l}{j} \right] \phi^{n-(l+j)} \psi^{l+j} + \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] \phi^{n-l} \psi^l
\]

\[= \sum_{l=0}^{n} (-1)^l q^{\frac{(l-1)}{2}} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] \phi^n.
\]

By Lemma 1.2 \(b_n = \phi^n.\) \(\square\)
We prepare the following lemma also, which will be used later.

**Lemma 1.4.** For any $1 \leq t \leq N - 1$ and $0 \leq l \leq N - t$,

$$(-1)^l q^{(l+1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} N-t \\ l \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} l + t - 1 \\ l \end{array} \right].$$

In particular, $(-1)^l q^{-\frac{(l+1)}{2}} \left[ N - 1 \atop l \right] = 1$ for $0 \leq l \leq N - 1$.

**Proof.** Since $[j] + q^j [N-j] = [N] = 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq N - 1$,

$$[t][t+1] \cdots [t+l-1] = (-1)^l q^{\frac{(l+1)}{2}} [N-t][N-(t+1)] \cdots [N-(t+l-1)]$$

for $l \geq 1$. Hence

$$(-1)^l q^{(l+1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} N-t \\ l \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} l + t - 1 \\ l \end{array} \right].$$

\[ \square \]

## 2. NDG categories

In this section, we introduce $N$-differential graded modules over an $N$-differential graded category and give the definition of some categories.

We recall the definition of the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $k$-modules. The category $\text{Gr}(k)$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $k$-modules is defined as follows:

1. An object is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $k$-module $U = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} U^i$.
2. The morphism set between $U$ and $V$ is given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(k)}(U, V) := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(U^i, V^i)$$

where

$$\text{Hom}(U^i, V^i) := \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(U^l, V^{l+i}).$$

The composition

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(k)}(V, W) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(k)}(U, V) \to \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(k)}(U, W), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto fg$$

is $k$-bilinear and homogeneous of degree 0.

The category $\text{Gr}^0(k)$ is the subcategory of $\text{Gr}(k)$ whose objects are same as $\text{Gr}(k)$ and the morphism set between $X$ and $Y$ is given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}^0(k)}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}^0(X, Y).$$

### 2.1. $N$-differential graded $k$-modules

In this subsection we study $N$-differential graded ($NDG$) $k$-modules.

A sequence $X = (X, d_X)$ of $k$-modules is called an $N$-differential graded ($NDG$) $k$-module if a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $k$-module $X$ endowed with $d_X \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(k)}^{1}(X, X)$ satisfying

$$d_X^{(N)} = 0.$$ Here $d^{(i)}$ means the $i$-th power of $d$. The category $\text{C}_{\text{NDG}}(k)$ of $N$-differential $k$-modules is defined as follows:

1. Objects are $N$-differential graded $k$-modules.
2. The morphism set between $X = (X, d_X)$ and $Y = (Y, d_Y)$ is given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{C}_{\text{NDG}}(k)}(X, Y) = \{ f \in \text{Hom}^0(X, Y) \mid f \circ d_X = d_Y \circ f \}$$

and the composition is given by the composition of maps.
Remark 2.1. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{NDG}}(k)$ and $\text{Gr}^0(k)$ are abelian categories with ordinary exact sequences.

For NDG $k$-modules $U$ and $V$, a sequence $\text{Hom}^\bullet(U, V)$ is defined as follows.

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(U, V) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(k)}(U, V)$$

and

$$d_{\text{Hom}^\bullet(U, V)}(f) = d_V \circ f - q^r f \circ d_U$$

for any $f \in \text{Hom}^r(U, V)$. A sequence $U \otimes V$ is defined as follows.

$$U \otimes V = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} U \otimes^i V$$

where

$$U \otimes^i V = \prod_{l+m=i} U^l \otimes V^m$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$d_{U \otimes V}^\bullet(u \otimes v) = d_U(u) \otimes v + q^s u \otimes d_V(v)$$

for any $u \in U^s$ and $v \in V$.

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq n \leq N$ and sequences of $k$-modules $U$ and $V$, the following hold.

1. For any $f \in \text{Hom}^r(U, V)$,

$$d_{\text{Hom}^\bullet(U, V)}^\{n\}(f) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l q^{r+\frac{l(l-1)}{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ l \end{array} \right] d_V^{(n-l)} \circ f \circ d_U^{(l)}.$$

2. For any $u \in U^s$ and $v \in V$,

$$d_{U \otimes V}^{(n)}(u \otimes v) = \sum_{l=0}^n q^s \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ l \end{array} \right] d_U^{(n-l)}(u) \otimes d_V^{(l)}(v).$$

Proof. For any $f \in \text{Hom}^r(U, V)$, set $\phi(f) = d_V \circ f$ and $\psi(f) = -q^r f \circ d_U$, then $d_{\text{Hom}^\bullet(U, V)}^\bullet(f) = (\phi + \psi)(f)$ and $\psi(\phi) = q^s \psi(f)$. By Lemma 1.3,

$$d_{\text{Hom}^\bullet(U, V)}^\{n\}(f) = (\phi + \psi)^n(f)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^n \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ l \end{array} \right] \phi^{n-l} \psi^l(f)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l q^{r+\frac{l(l-1)}{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ l \end{array} \right] d_V^{(n-l)} \circ f \circ d_U^{(l)}.$$
For any $u \in U^*$, $v \in V$, set $\phi(u \otimes v) = d_U(u) \otimes v$ and $\psi(u \otimes v) = q^*u \otimes d_V(v)$, then $d_{U \otimes V}^{(n)}(u \otimes v) = (\phi + \psi)(u \otimes v)$ and $\psi(\phi(u \otimes v) = q\phi(u \otimes v)$. By Lemma 2.3

\[
d_{U \otimes V}^{(n)}(u \otimes v) = (\phi + \psi)^n(u \otimes v)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \phi^{n-l}\psi^l(u \otimes v)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{n} q^{ls} \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right] d_U^{n-l}(u) \otimes d_V^{l}(v).
\]

\[\square\]

**Corollary 2.3 ([Ko]).** For NDG $k$-modules $U$ and $V$, the sequences $\operatorname{Hom}^{*q}(U, V)$ and $U \otimes V$ are $N$-differential $k$-modules.

**Proof.** Since $0 = [N]! = [l]!\cdot[N - l]! \cdot \left[ \frac{N}{l} \right]$ and $[l]!\cdot[N - l]!$ is a non-zero-divisor for any $1 \leq l \leq N - 1$, we have $\left[ \frac{N}{l} \right] = 0$ for any $1 \leq l \leq N - 1$. By Lemma 2.2

\[
d_{\operatorname{Hom}^{*q}(U, V)}^{(N)}(f) = d_{U}^{(N)} \circ f + (-1)^N q^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} f \circ d_{U}^{(N)} = 0
\]

for any $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(U, V)$. Similarly we have $d_{U \otimes V}^{(N)} = 0$. \[\square\]

The following lemma is easy to check.

**Lemma 2.4.** For NDG $k$-modules $U$, $V$ and $W$, the following hold.

1. We have the canonical isomorphism $(U \otimes V) \otimes W \simeq U \otimes (V \otimes W)$ in $C_{Ndg}(k)$.
2. $V$ induces the functors $V \otimes - : C_{Ndg}(k) \rightarrow C_{Ndg}(k)$, $- \otimes V : C_{Ndg}(k) \rightarrow C_{Ndg}(k)$.
3. $V$ induces the functors $\operatorname{Hom}^{*q}(V, -) : C_{Ndg}(k) \rightarrow C_{Ndg}(k)$, $\operatorname{Hom}^{*q}(-, V) : C_{Ndg}(k) \rightarrow C_{Ndg}(k)$.
4. We have the canonical isomorphism

\[
\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{Ndg}(k)}(U \otimes V, W) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{C_{Ndg}(k)}(U, \operatorname{Hom}^{*q}(V, W)).
\]

5. We have an isomorphism $U \otimes V \simeq V \otimes U$ in $C_{Ndg}(k)$.
6. We have an isomorphism

\[
\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{Ndg}(k)}(U \otimes V, W) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{C_{Ndg}(k)}(V, \operatorname{Hom}^{*q-1}(U, W)).
\]

**Proof.** (1), (2), (3) It is easy.
4. By the ordinary isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(U^r \otimes V^s, W^{r+s}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(U^r \operatorname{Hom}(V^s, W^{r+s}))$, it is easy to check.
5. The morphism $U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ which is defined by $U^r \otimes V^s \rightarrow V^s \otimes U^r \ (u \otimes v \mapsto q^{-1}u \otimes v)$ is an isomorphism in $C_{Ndg}(k)$.
6. By (4) and (5). \[\square\]
Remark 2.5. In the above $V^\otimes -$ (resp., $\text{Hom}^\otimes(V, -)$) is left (resp., right) exact in the sense of Remark 2.7.

2.2. $N$-differential graded categories. In this subsection, we give the definition of the $N$-differential graded category with respect to a primitive $N$-th root $q$ of 1 and the definition of the homotopy category of the $N$-differential graded category.

Definition 2.6. An $N_qDG$ category $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by the following datum.

1. A class of objects $\text{Ob}\mathcal{A}$.
2. The morphism set

$$\mathcal{A}(A, B) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}^i(A, B)$$

which is an $\text{NDG} k$-module for any $A$ and $B$ in $\text{Ob}\mathcal{A}$, and the composition

$$\mu : \text{Hom}_{\text{NDG}}^\otimes (A, C) \otimes \mathcal{A}(A, B) \to \mathcal{A}(A, C), \quad (f \otimes g \mapsto fg)$$

That is, $\mu \circ (\mu \otimes 1) = \mu \circ (1 \otimes \mu)$ in $\text{C}_{\text{NDG}}(k)$.

The above condition that $\mu$ lies in $\text{C}_{\text{NDG}}(k)$ is equivalent to that $\mu$ lies in $\text{NDG}^0(k)$ and satisfies $d_{\mathcal{A}(A, C)} \circ \mu = \mu \circ d_{\text{Hom}_{\text{NDG}}^\otimes (A, C) \otimes \mathcal{A}(A, B)}$, namely,

$$d(fg) = d(f)g + q^r fd(g)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{A}^r(B, C)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}(A, B)$.

Example 2.7. Let $N_qdg(k)$ be the category of $\text{NDG} k$-modules of which morphism sets $\text{Hom}^\otimes(X, Y)$ for all $\text{NDG} k$-modules $X, Y$. Then $N_qdg(k)$ is a $N_qDG$ category.

We give the definitions of right $N$-differential graded modules over an $N_qDG$ category and morphisms between these modules.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $N_qDG$ category. A right graded $\mathcal{A}$-module $X = (X; \rho_X)$ is a collection of a graded $k$-module $X(A)$ for any object $A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ with a homogeneous morphism of degree 0 as a scalar multiplication

$$\rho_X : X(A_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(A_2, A_1) \to X(A_2) \quad \text{in} \ \text{Gr}^0(k)$$

satisfying

1. $x1_A = x$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in X(A)$ and $1_A \in \mathcal{A}(A, A)$.
2. $\rho_X \circ (1 \otimes \mu) = \rho_X \circ (\rho_X \otimes 1)$ in $\text{Gr}^0(k)$.

Namely, we have $x(fg) = (xf)g$ for any $x \in X(A_1)$, $f \in \mathcal{A}(A_2, A_1)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}(A_3, A_2)$ and any $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathcal{A}$.

The category $\text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{A})$ of right graded $\mathcal{A}$-modules is defined by the following datum:

1. objects are right graded $\mathcal{A}$-modules.
2. For right graded $\mathcal{A}$-modules $X = (X; \rho_X), Y = (Y; \rho_Y)$, a morphism $F : X \to Y$ is defined by a collection of morphisms $F_A : X(A) \to Y(A)$ in
\( \text{Gr}^0(k) \) such that
\[
X(A_1) \otimes A(A_2, A_1) \xrightarrow{\rho_X} X(A_2)
\]
\[
F_{A_2} \otimes 1 \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow F_{A_2}
\]
\[
Y(A_1) \otimes A(A_2, A_1) \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} Y(A_2)
\]
is commutative in \( \text{Gr}^0(k) \).

**Definition 2.9.** A right \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-module \( X = (X, d_X; \rho_X) \) is a collection of an \( \text{NDG} \ k \)-module \( X(A) = (X(A), d_{X(A)}) \) for any object \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) with a homogeneous morphism of degree 0 as a scalar multiplication

\[
\rho_X : X(A_1) \otimes^q A(A_2, A_1) \to X(A_2) \quad \text{in} \ C_{\text{NdG}}(k)
\]
satisfying

1. \( x1_A = x \) for any \( A \in \mathcal{A} \), \( x \in X(A) \) and \( 1_A \in A(A, A) \).
2. \( \rho_X \circ (1 \otimes \mu) = \rho_X \circ (\rho_X \otimes 1) \) in \( C_{\text{NdG}}(k) \).

The category \( C_{\text{NdG}}(\mathcal{A}) \) of right \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-modules is defined by the following datum:

1. objects are right \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-modules.
2. For right \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-modules \( X = (X, \rho_X), Y = (Y, \rho_Y) \), a morphism \( F : X \to Y \) is defined by a collection of morphisms \( F_A : X(A) \to Y(A) \) in \( C_{\text{NdG}}(k) \) such that

\[
X(A_1) \otimes^q A(A_2, A_1) \xrightarrow{\rho_X} X(A_2)
\]
\[
F_{A_2} \otimes 1 \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow F_{A_2}
\]
\[
Y(A_1) \otimes^q A(A_2, A_1) \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} Y(A_2)
\]
is commutative in \( C_{\text{NdG}}(k) \).

Similarly, left graded \( \mathcal{A} \)-modules and left \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-modules are defined.

**Remark 2.10.** As well as Remark 2.1, it is easy to see that \( C_{\text{NdG}}(\mathcal{A}) \) and \( \text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{A}) \) are abelian categories with ordinary exact sequences.

By Lemma 2.4, we know that the above definition of left \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-modules is equivalent to covariant functors from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( N_{q-1}\text{dq}(k) \) as the same as \( \text{[Ke]} \). But by the language of functors, right \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-modules are defined by contravariant functors from \( \mathcal{A} \) to the \( N_{q-1}\text{DG} \) category \( N_{q-1}\text{dq}(k) \), because by Lemma 2.4 the opposite category \( \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \) of an \( N_q\text{DG} \) category \( \mathcal{A} \) is an \( N_{q-1}\text{DG} \) category. Therefore in case of \( N > 2 \) it is difficult to define right \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-modules, especially \( \text{NDG B-} \mathcal{A} \)-bimodules on the same ground (see Definition 2.29).

**Example 2.11.** For any \( N_q\text{DG} \) category \( \mathcal{A} \) and any object \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \), \( A' = \mathcal{A}(\cdot, A) \) is a right \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-module and \( A = \mathcal{A}(A, \cdot) \) is a left \( \text{NDG} \ \mathcal{A} \)-module.

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be an \( N_q\text{DG} \) category. A homogeneous morphism \( F : X \to Y \) of degree \( n \) between right graded \( \mathcal{A} \)-modules \( X \) and \( Y \) is a collection of \( F_A \) in \( \text{Hom}^n(X(A), Y(A)) \) for any object \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) satisfying

\[
F_{A_2}(xf) = F_{A_1}(x)f
\]
for any \( x \in X(A_1) \) and \( f \in A(A_2, A_1) \).

We denote by \( \text{Hom}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \) the set of homogeneous morphisms of degree \( n \) between right graded \( \mathcal{A} \)-modules \( X \) and \( Y \). For \( F \in \text{Hom}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \) and \( G \in \text{Hom}^m_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, Z) \), we define \((GF)_A = G_AF_A\), so \( GF \in \text{Hom}^{n+m}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Z) \).
Definition 2.12. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $N_q$DG category. The category $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{A})$ of right graded $\mathcal{A}$-modules is defined as follows.

1. Objects are right graded $\mathcal{A}$-modules.
2. The morphism set between $X$ and $Y$ is given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y).$$

To give the definition of the homotopy category $K_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})$ over an $N_q$DG category $\mathcal{A}$, we show the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.13. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $N_q$DG category, $X$, $Y$ right NDG $\mathcal{A}$-modules. For any $F \in \text{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$d_Y \circ F - q^i F \circ d_X \in \text{Hom}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y).$$

Therefore, $(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y), (d_Y \circ (-) - q^i (-) \circ d_X)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ is an $N$-differential graded $k$-module. We denote $(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y), (d_Y \circ (-) - q^i (-) \circ d_X)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X, Y) = (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(X, Y), d_{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X, Y)}).$$

Proof. Given $F \in \text{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$, for any $x \in X^s(A_1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}(A_2, A_1)$,

$$(d_{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X, Y)}(F))_{A_2}(xf) = (d \circ F_{A_2} - q^i F_{A_2} \circ d)(xf)$$

$$= d(F_{A_1}(x)f) - q^i F_{A_2}(d(x)f + q^s xd(f))$$

$$= d(F_{A_1}(x)f + q^{s+i} F_{A_1}(x)d(f))$$

$$- q^i(F_{A_1}(d(x))f + q^s F_{A_1}(x)d(f))$$

$$= d(F_{A_1}(x)f) - q^i F_{A_1}(d(x))f$$

$$= (d_{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X, Y)}(F))_{A_2}(xf).$$

Hence we have $d_{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(X, Y)}(X, Y) \in \text{Hom}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y).$ \qed

Lemma 2.14. For any right NDG $\mathcal{A}$-module $X$ and any object $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(A^*, X) \simeq X(A) \text{ in } C_{Ndg}(k).$$

In particular, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A^*, X) \simeq X(A)^n$ for any $n$.

Proof. Define $\phi : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(A^*, X) \to X(A)$ by

$$\phi(F) = F_{A}(1_A)$$

for any $F \in \text{Hom}^1(A^*, X)$, and $\psi : X(A) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(A^*, X)$ by

$$\psi(x)_B(a) = xa$$
NDG CATEGORIES

for any $x \in X(A)^i$, $a \in A'(B)$ and $B \in A$.

$$
\phi(d_{\text{Hom}_A(A',X)}(F)) = (d_X \circ F - q^i Fd_A)(1_A)
$$

$$
= d_X(F_A(1_A)) - q^i Fd_A(1_A)
$$

$$
= d_X(\phi(F)),
$$

$$
d_X(\psi(x))_B(a) = (d_X \circ \psi(x) - q^i \psi(x)d_A)_B(a)
$$

$$
= d_X(xa) - q^i xd(a)
$$

$$
= d_X(x) + q^i xd(a) - q^i xd(a)
$$

$$
= \psi(d(x))_B(a).
$$

Since $\phi \psi = \text{id}$, $\psi \phi = \text{id}$, we have $\text{Hom}_A(A',X) \simeq X(A)$ in $\text{C}_{N dg}(k)$. \hfill \Box

**Definition 2.15.** Let $X$ be an $N$-differential $k$-module. For $0 < r < N$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the following $k$-modules:

$$
Z^r_{(1)}(X) := \text{Ker}(d^{r-1}_X \cdots d^0_X), \quad B^r_{(1)}(X) := \text{Im}(d^{r-1}_X \cdots d^0_X),
$$

$$
C^r_{(1)}(X) := \text{Cok}(d^{r-1}_X \cdots d^0_X), \quad H^r_{(1)}(X) := Z^r_{(1)}(X)/B^r_{(1)}(X).
$$

**Lemma 2.16.** Let $A$ be an $N_q$DG category and $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ right $N$DG $A$-modules.

1. For any $F \in Z^m_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Y)$ and $G \in Z^n_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(Y,Z)$, we have that $GF \in Z^{m+n}_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Z)$.
2. For any $F \in B^m_{(N-1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Y)$ and $G \in Z^n_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(Y,Z)$, we have that $GF \in B^{m+n}_{(N-1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Z)$.
3. For any $F \in B^m_{(N-1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(Y,Z)$ and $G \in Z^n_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Y)$, we have that $FG \in B^{m+n}_{(N-1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Z)$.

**Proof.** For any $F \in Z^m_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Y)$ and $G \in Z^n_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(Y,Z)$, we have that $d(GF) = d(G)F + q^n Gd(F) = 0$. Therefore $GF \in Z^{m+n}_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Z)$.

For any $F \in B^m_{(N-1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Y)$ and $G \in Z^n_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(Y,Z)$, we have that $F = d^{(N-1)}(F')$ for some $F' \in \text{Hom}_A^{m-N+1}(X,Y)$ and $d \circ G = q^n G \circ d$. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma \[L.4\]

$$
GF = G \circ d^{(N-1)}(F') = G \circ \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (-1)^l q^{l(m-N+1)+\frac{N-l-1}{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} N-1 \\ l \end{array} \right] d^{(N-l-1)} \circ F' \circ d^{(l)}
$$

$$
= \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (-1)^l q^{l(m-N+1)+\frac{N-l-1}{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} N-1 \\ l \end{array} \right] d^{(N-l-1)} \circ GF' \circ d^{(l)}
$$

$$
= q^n d^{(N-1)}(GF').
$$

Hence $GF \in B^{m+n}_{(N-1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Z)$.

Similarly (3) is obtained. \hfill \Box

For an $N_q$DG category $A$, it is easy to see that $\text{Hom}_{C_{N dg}(A)}(X,Y) = Z^0_{(1)} \text{Hom}_A^q(X,Y)$ for any $X, Y \in C_{N dg}(A)$. 

**Definition 2.17.** For an $N_qDG$ category $\mathcal{A}$, the homotopy category $K_{N dg}(\mathcal{A})$ of right $N dg$ $\mathcal{A}$-modules is defined as follows.

1. Objects are the right $N dg$ $\mathcal{A}$-modules.
2. The morphism set between $X$ and $Y$ is given by
   \[
   \text{Hom}_{K_{N dg}(\mathcal{A})}(X,Y) = \text{Hom}^N_{\mathcal{A}}(X,Y)
   \]
   and the composition is given by the composition of maps (see Lemma 2.16).

**Remark 2.18.** For $S \in \text{Hom}^{1-N}_{\mathcal{A}}(X,Y)$, by Lemmas [1.4, 2.2]
\[
d^{(N-1)}(S) &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (-1)^l q^{l(-N+1)+\frac{l(l+1)}{2}} \binom{N-1}{l} d^{(N-l-1)} \circ S \circ d^{(l)} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} d^{(N-l-1)} \circ S \circ d^{(l)}.
\]
Then the above definition of morphisms is equivalent to the definition of homotopy relation in the sense of [Ka].

2.3. **Shift functor and suspension functor.** In this subsection, we show that the homotopy category $K_{N dg}(\mathcal{A})$ is an algebraic triangulated category and study the relationship between the shift functor and the suspension functor on $K_{N dg}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $r$ be an integer and $\mathcal{A}$ an $N_qDG$ category. We define the functor
\[
U_r : C_{N dg}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{A})
\]
by $U_r X(A)^n = X(A)^{n+r}$ and $U_r (F_A)^n = F_A^{n+r}$ for any right $N dg$ $\mathcal{A}$-module $X$ and $F \in \text{Hom}_{C_{N dg}(\mathcal{A})}(X,Y)$. For any $a \in \mathcal{A}(B,A)$ and integer $n$, we denote by $(a^n_{st})_t$ an $l \times l$ matrix whose $s,t$-entry is
\[
a^n_{st} = 0 \ (s > t) \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} t-1 \\ s-1 \end{array}\right] q^{n(t-s)} d^{(t-s)}(a) \ (s \leq t).
\]

We define the functor
\[
Q_r : \text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_{N dg}(\mathcal{A})
\]
by
\[
(Q_r X)(A)^n = \prod_{i=1}^{N} X(A)^{r+n-N+i} \\
= \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} \mid x_i \in X(A)^{r+n-N+i} \right\},
\]
\[
xa = t^{l}(t^{x} a_{st}^{n} N),
\]
\[
d_{(Q_r X)(A)}(x) = Jx = t^{l} x^t J, \quad \text{where} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
for any right graded $\mathcal{A}$-module $X$, $x \in (Q_r X)(A)^n$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(B,A)$ (see Lemma 2.19 below),
Proof. Since Lemma 2.19. Let \( F \) for any \( i \) for any \( a \) for any \( b \) for any \( a \)

\[ Q_r(F)^n = \begin{pmatrix} F^{r+n-N+1} & \cdots & F^{r+n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F^{r+n} & \cdots & F^{r+n} \end{pmatrix} : Q_r(X)^n \to Q_r(Y)^n \]

for any \( F \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}^n(A)}(X,Y) \).

**Lemma 2.19.** Let \( A \) be an \( N_q \)DG category. For any right graded \( A \)-module \( X \), \( Q_rX \) is a right NDG \( A \)-module.

**Proof.** Since

\[ d(xa) = \iota(t(x(a^m_{st}))N^tJ) \]

and

\[ d(xa + q^na xa) = \iota(t(x^tJ(a^m_{st})N) + q^n \iota(t(x(a^m_{st})N) \]

for any \( a \in A(A,B) \) and \( x \in X(B)^n \), we show that

\[ (a^m_{st})N^tJ = \iota(tJ(a^m_{st})N + q^n(d(a)_{stN})^n. \]

The \( i, j \)-entry

\[ ((a^m_{st})N^tJ)_{ij} = a^m_{i+1,j} \]

where we set \( a^m_{i+1} = 0 \)

and

\[ (tJ(a^m_{st})N)_{ij} = a^m_{i+1} \]

where we set \( a^m_{0} = 0 \).

In the case that \( i = 1 \),

\[ a^m_{i+1,j} + q^n d(a)_{ij} = q^n d(a)_{ij} = a^m_{ij} \]

In the case that \( 1 \leq j < i \leq N \),

\[ a^m_{i+1,j} + q^n d(a)_{ij} = a^m_{i+1,j} = a^m_{ij} \]

In the case that \( 2 \leq i \leq j \leq N \),

\[ a^m_{i+1,j} + q^n d(a)_{ij} = a^m_{i+1,j} = a^m_{ij} \]

Since \( (x)a = \iota(t(x(a^m_{st}))N(b^m_{st})N) \) and \( x(ab) = \iota(t(x((ab)_{st}))N) \) for any \( a \in A^m(B,C), b \in A(A,B) \) and \( x \in X(C)^n \), we show that \( (a^m_{st})N(b^m_{st})N = ((ab)_{st})N \). The \( i,j \)
entry

\[
(a^a_{st})_N(b^a_{st} + m)_{ij} = \sum_{l=0}^N a^n_{il} b^{n+m}_{lj}
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=1}^j \left[ \frac{l-1}{i-1} \right] q^{n(l-i)} d^{(l-i)}(a) \left[ \frac{j-1}{l-1} \right] q^{(n+m)(j-l)} d^{(j-l)}(b)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=1}^j q^{n(j-i)+m(j-l)} \left[ \frac{l-1}{i-1} \right] \left[ \frac{j-1}{l-1} \right] d^{(l-i)}(a) d^{(j-l)}(b)
\]

\[
= \sum_{u=0}^{j-i} q^{n(j-i)+mu} \left[ \frac{j-u-1}{i-1} \right] \left[ \frac{j-1}{j-u-1} \right] d^{(j-u-i)}(a) d^{(u)}(b)
\]

\[
= \left[ \frac{j}{i-1} \right] q^{n(j-i)} \sum_{u=0}^{j-i} q^{mu} \left[ \frac{j-i}{u} \right] d^{(j-u-i)}(a) d^{(u)}(b)
\]

\[
= \left[ \frac{j-1}{i-1} \right] q^{n(j-i)} d^{(j-i)}(ab) \quad \text{(see Lemma 2.21 below.)}
\]

\[
= ((a^a_{st})_N)_{ij}.
\]


\[\square\]

**Lemma 2.20.** For any \( f \in A(B, C) \) and \( g \in A(A, B) \),

\[
d^{(n)}(fg) = \sum_{l=0}^n q^l r^{\left[ \frac{n}{l} \right]} d^{(n-l)}(f) d^{(l)}(g).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \rho : A(B, C) \otimes A(A, B) \to A(A, C) \) be the composition of \( A \). By Lemma 2.2,

\[
d^{(n)}(fg) = d^{(n)}(\rho(f \otimes g)) = d^{(n)}(\rho d^{(n)}(f \otimes g)) = d^{(n)}(\rho \left( \sum_{l=0}^n q^l r^{\left[ \frac{n}{l} \right]} d^{(n-l)}(f) \otimes d^{(l)}(g)) = \sum_{l=0}^n q^l r^{\left[ \frac{n}{l} \right]} d^{(n-l)}(f) d^{(l)}(g).
\]

\[\square\]

To show Proposition 2.23, we prepare the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.21. For \( x \in (Q_rX)^n(A) \) and \( a \in A(B,A) \),

\[
\begin{align*}
    d^{(i)}(xa) &= \sum_{l=0}^{i} d^{(i-l)}(x) a_{i-l+1}^{n} \\
    d^{(N-i)}(x)a &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-i} d^{(N-i-l)}(xa_{i+l}^{n})
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Let \( \rho: (Q_rX)(A) \otimes A(B,A) \to (Q_rX)(B) \) in \( C_{Ndg(k)} \) be the scalar multiplication of a right \( NDG \) \( A \)-module \( Q_rX \). By Lemma 2.2

\[
d^{(i)}(xa) = \rho(d^{(i)}(x \otimes a)) = \rho(\sum_{l=0}^{i} q^n x q a_{i-l+1}^{n+1} (x \otimes d^{(i)}(a)))
\]

Set \( \phi(x \otimes a) = d(x) \otimes a \) and \( \psi(x \otimes a) = q^nx \otimes d(a) \), then \( \phi + \psi = d_{(Q_rX)(A) \otimes A(B,A)} \) and \( \phi \psi = q \phi \psi \). By Lemma 1.3 (2) and Lemma 2.2

\[
d^{(N-i)}(x)a = \rho(\phi^{N-i}(x \otimes a)) = \rho(\sum_{l=0}^{N-i} (-1)^l q^l \begin{bmatrix} N-i \cr l \end{bmatrix} (\phi + \psi)^{N-i-l} \psi^l (x \otimes a))
\]

Lemma 2.22. The following morphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
    \pi_X : Q_{-r}U_rX \to X, \quad \pi_X(A)^m(x) &= (d^{(N-1)} \cdots d \ 1)x, \quad x \in Q_{-r}U_rX(A)^m \\
    \eta_X : X \to Q_{-r+N-1}U_rX, \quad \eta_X(A)^m(x) &= (1 \ d \ \cdots \ d^{(N-1)})x, \quad x \in X(A)^m
\end{align*}
\]

lie in \( C_{Ndg(A)} \).
Proof. By a direct calculation, both morphisms lie in $C_{N^*}(k)$. By Lemma \ref{2.21},

\[ t\eta_X(xa) = (xa, d(xa), \cdots, d^{(N-1)}(xa)) = (x, d(x), \cdots, d^{(N-1)}(x))(a^m_N) \]

hence $\eta_X \in C_{N^*}(A)$. For $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_N) \in Q_{-r}U_r X(A)^m$, by Lemma \ref{2.21}

\[ \pi_X(x)a = \sum_{i=0}^{N}d^{i}(x_i)a \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}d^{i}(x_i)a_i \]

\[ = \pi_X(x)a, \]

hence $\pi_X \in C_{N^*}(A)$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 2.23.** For any integer $r$, $Q_{-r}$ is the left adjoint of $U_r$, and $U_r$ is the left adjoint of $Q_{-r+N-1}$.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be a right NDG $A$-module and $Y$ a right graded $A$-module. The morphisms

\[ \pi_X : Q_{-r}U_r X \rightarrow X, \pi_{X(A)^m} = (d^{(N-1)} \cdots d 1)x, x \in Q_{-r}U_r X(A)^m \]

in $C_{N^*}(A)$ and

\[ \zeta_Y : Y \rightarrow U_r Q_{-r}Y, \eta_{Y(A)^m} = (0 \cdots 0 1)y, y \in Y(A)^m \]

in $Gr^0(A)$ imply $Q_{-r}$ is the left adjoint of $U_r$, on the other hand, the morphisms

\[ \zeta_Y : U_r Q_{-r+N-1}Y \rightarrow Y, \zeta_{Y(A)^m} = (1 0 \cdots 0)y, y \in U_r Q_{-r+N-1}Y(A)^m \]

in $Gr^0(A)$ and

\[ \eta_X : X \rightarrow Q_{-r+N-1}U_r X, \eta_{X(A)^m} = (1 d \cdots d^{(N-1)})x, x \in X(A)^m \]

in $C_{N^*}(A)$ imply that $U_r$ is the left adjoint of $Q_{-r+N-1}$. \hfill \Box

Let $A$ be an $N_1$DG category, $E_A$ the collection of exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{N^*}(A)$, and any right graded $A$-module $W$ and any integer $r$, we have the following exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Gr^0(A)}(U_r(Z), W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Gr^0(A)}(U_r(Y), W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Gr^0(A)}(U_r(X), W) \rightarrow 0. \]

By Proposition \ref{2.22}, we have the following exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{C_{N^*}(A)}(Z, Q_{-r}(W)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{C_{N^*}(A)}(Y, Q_{-r}(W)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{C_{N^*}(A)}(X, Q_{-r}(W)) \rightarrow 0. \]

Hence $Q_n(W)$ is injective in $C_{N^*}(A)$ for any integer $n$. Dually one can show that $Q_n(W)$ is projective in $C_{N^*}(A)$ for any integer $n$. For any projective object $X$ and injective object $Y$ of $C_{N^*}(A)$, we have that $\rho_X : Q_0U_0X \rightarrow X$ is a split epimorphism and $\eta_Y : Y \rightarrow Q_{N-1}U_0Y$ is a split monomorphism. Hence any object of $C_{N^*}(A)$ is projective if and only if it is injective. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 2.25.** The stable category of the Frobenius category $(C_{N^*}(A), E_A)$ is the category $K_{N^*}(A)$. In particular, $K_{N^*}(A)$ is an algebraic triangulated category.
Proof. It is enough to show that \( F \in B_{N-1}^0 \) \( \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{N}}(X,Y) \) if and only if \( F \) factors through the \( \eta_X \) in \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A) \). For any \( F \in B_{N-1}^0 \) \( \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{N}}(X,Y), \) \( F = d^{(N-1)}(H) \) for some \( S \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{1-N}(X,Y) \). By Remark 2.18,

\[
d^{(N-1)}(S) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} d^{(N-i-1)} \circ S \circ d^{(i)}
\]

Hence \( F \) factors through the \( \eta_X \).

For any \( F \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A)}(X,Y) \), we assume that \( F \) factors through \( \eta_X \), namely, \( F = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} F_i \circ d^{(i)} \) for some \( (F_0, \cdots, F_{N-1}) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A)}(Q_{N-1}U_0(X), Y) \). By the adjointness \( \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A)}(Q_{N-1}U_0(X), Y) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}_0(\mathcal{A})}(U_0(X), U_{1-N}(Y)) \), we have that \( (F_0, \cdots, F_{N-1}) = \zeta_Y Q_{N-1}(F') \) for some \( F' \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{1-N}(X,Y) \). Hence \( F = \zeta_Y Q_{N-1}(F') \eta_X = d^{(N-1)}(F') \). \( \square \)

In the rest of this subsection, we study the shift functor and the suspension functor on \( K_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A) \). We define the shift functor \( \theta_q : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A) \) by

\[
\theta_q(X)(A)^m = X(A)^{m+1},
\]

\[
d_{\theta_q(X)(A)} = q^{-1} d_X(A)
\]

for any right NDG \( \mathcal{A} \)-module \( (X,d) \) and any object \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \). One can check that \( d_{\theta_q(X)(B)}(xf) = d_{\theta_q(X)(A)}(x)f + q^m x d(f) \) for any \( x \in \theta_q(X)(A)^m \) and \( f \in A(B,A) \).

We define functors \( \Sigma \) (resp. \( \Sigma^{-1} \)) : \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A) \) by

\[
(\Sigma X)^m = \prod_{i=m+1}^{m+N-1} X^i \quad (\text{resp.} \quad (\Sigma^{-1} X)^m = \prod_{i=m-N+1}^{m-1} X^i),
\]

\[
xa = \begin{pmatrix} t(x(a_{\Sigma^{-1}})^m) \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
d_{\Sigma^{-1}X}^m \quad (\text{resp.} \quad a_{\Sigma^{-1}X}^m) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
-d^{(N-1)} & -d^{(N-2)} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -d
\end{pmatrix}
\]

for any right NDG \( \mathcal{A} \)-module \( (X,d), x \in \Sigma X(A)^m \) (resp. \( x \in \Sigma^{-1} X(A)^m \)) and \( a \in A(B,A) \).

Lemma 2.26. We have the following short exact sequences which belong to \( \mathcal{E}_A \) in \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Ndg}}(A) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1} X \quad \eta_X \longrightarrow Q_0 U_0 X \quad \pi_X \longrightarrow X \quad \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad 0
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. By a direct calculation, the short exact sequences lie in $C_{Ndg}(k)$. By Lemma \[2.22\] $\pi_X$ and $\eta_X$ are in $C_{Ndg}(A)$. For $x = i(0, \cdots, 0, x_i, 0, \cdots, 0) \in (\Sigma X)^m (x_i \in X^{m+i-1})$ and $a \in A$, the $t$-entry

$$
\delta_X(xa)_t = -d(x_i a^m_{it}) + x_i a^m_{i+1},
$$

then $\delta_X(xa) = \delta_X(x)a$.

For $x = i(0, \cdots, 0, x_i, 0, \cdots, 0) \in (\Sigma^{-1} X)^m (x_i \in X^{m-(N-i)})$ and $a \in A$, the $t$-entry

$$
(\epsilon_X(xa))_t = x_i a^m_{i-1} - d^{N-1}(x_i) a^m_{N-t},
$$

$$
(\epsilon_X(xa))_t = x_i a^m_{i-1} (t \neq N) \text{ and } - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} d^{N-1}(x_i) a^m_{N-t} (t = N).
$$

By Lemma \[2.21\] $\epsilon_X(xa) = \epsilon_X(xa)$. \hfill \qed

The shift functor $\theta_q : C_{Ndg}(A) \to C_{Ndg}(A)$ induces the shift functor $\theta_q : K_{Ndg}(A) \to K_{Ndg}(A)$ which is a triangle functor. Moreover, $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{-1}$ induces the suspension functor and its quasi-inverse of the triangulated category $K_{Ndg}(A)$. Then we have the following observation.

**Theorem 2.27.** We have that

$$
\Sigma \simeq \Sigma^{-1} \theta_q^N \simeq \theta_q^N \Sigma^{-1} \text{ in } C_{Ndg}(A),
$$

especially,

$$
\Sigma^2 \simeq \theta_q^N \text{ in } K_{Ndg}(A).
$$

**Proof.** In Lemma \[2.20\] we have $\Sigma X = \Sigma^{-1} \theta_q^N X$. \hfill \qed

We remark that $\Sigma \simeq \Sigma^{-1} \theta_q^N \simeq \theta_q^N \Sigma^{-1}$ and $\Sigma^{-1}$ is not an inverse functor of $\Sigma$ on $C_{Ndg}(A)$. 

\[\text{where}\]

$$
(\epsilon_X)^m = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
-d^{N-1} & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (\pi_X)^m = \begin{pmatrix} d^{N-1} & \cdots & d & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
(\eta_X)^m = \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
d \\
\vdots \\
d^{(N-1)}
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (\delta_X)^m = \begin{pmatrix}
-d & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -d & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
2.4. Bimodules and triangle functors. In this subsection, we give the definition of bimodules over $\text{NDG}$ categories and show the adjointness between the tensor functor and the hom functor.

**Definition 2.28.** Let $A$ and $B$ be $N_q DG$ categories. An $NDG B$-$A$-bimodule $M$ is defined by

1. $(M(A, -), d_{M(A, -)}; \rho_M)$ is a left $NDG B$-module for any $A \in A$,
2. $(M(-, B), d_{M(-, B)}; \lambda_M)$ is a right $NDG A$-module for any $B \in B$,
3. we have the following commutative diagram in $C_{NDG}(k)$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B} \otimes M \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_M \otimes 1} & \mathcal{B} \otimes M \\
\downarrow \lambda_M & & \downarrow \lambda_M \\
M \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\rho_M} & M.
\end{array}
\]

Namely, we have a collection of $NDG k$-modules $(M(A, B), d_{M(A,B)}; \lambda_M, \rho_M)$ for any $A \in A$ and $B \in B$ satisfying that $(M(A, -), d_{M(A, -)}; \rho_M)$ is a left $NDG B$-module for $A \in A$, $(M(-, B), d_{M(-, B)}; \lambda_M)$ is a right $NDG A$-module for $B \in B$ and $f(mg) = (fm)g$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}(B_1, B_2)$, $m \in M(A_1, B_1)$ and $g \in A(A_2, A_1)$. One can check that $d(f(mg)) = d((fm)g)$.

**Example 2.29.** Let $A$ be an $N_q DG$ category. Then $A$ is an $NDG A$-$A$-bimodule. We take $k$ as an $N_q DG$ category with one object $*$ and the morphism set $k(*, *) = k^0(*,*) = k$. Any right (resp. left) $NDG A$-module $X$ is an $NDG k$-$A$-bimodule (resp. $NDG A$-$k$-bimodule).

Let $A$ and $B$ be small $N_q DG$ categories, $X$ a right $NDG B$-module, $Y$ a right $NDG$ right $A$-module and $M$ an $NDG B$-$A$-bimodule. We define a right $NDG B$-module $\text{Hom}_A^{\otimes B}(M, Y)$ by

\[\text{Hom}_A^{\otimes B}(M, Y)(B) = \text{Hom}_A^{\otimes B}(M(-, B), Y)\]

and

\[\rho : \text{Hom}_A^{\otimes B}(M, Y)(B_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(B_2, B_1) \to \text{Hom}_A^{\otimes B}(M, Y)(B_2)\]

\[\rho(F \otimes b)_A(m) = F_A(bm)\]

for any $B, B_1$ and $B_2 \in B$, $m \in M(A, B_2)$ and $A \in A$. One can check that $\rho$ is in $C_{NDG}(k)$. We define a right $NDG A$-module $X \otimes_B M$ by

\[(X \otimes_B M)(A) = \text{Cok} \nu_A\]

in $C_{NDG}(k)$ for any $A$ in $A$ where

\[\nu_A : \bigoplus_{B_1, B_2 \in B} X(B_2) \otimes \mathcal{B}(B_1, B_2) \otimes M(A, B_1) \to \bigoplus_{B_3 \in B} X(B_3) \otimes M(A, B_3),\]

\[\nu_A(x \otimes b \otimes m) = x b \otimes m - x \otimes bm\]

and

\[\rho : X(B) \otimes_B M(A_1, B) \otimes \mathcal{A}(A_2, A_1) \to X(B) \otimes_B M(A_2, B)\]

\[\rho(x \otimes m \otimes a) = x \otimes ma\]
for any $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. One can check that $\mu_A$ and $\rho$ are in $C_{Nd}(k)$.

Similarly, a left $NDG \mathcal{A}$-module $M \otimes B Y$ is defined for any left $NDG \mathcal{B}$-module $Y$.

It is easy to see the following Remark and Lemmas.

**Remark 2.30.** $\text{Hom}^\bullet_A(M,-) : C_{Nd}(\mathcal{A}) \to C_{Nd}(\mathcal{B})$ (resp., $\text{Hom}_{Gr}(\mathcal{A})(M,-) : \text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{B})$) is left exact between abelian categories in the sense of Remark 2.18. And $- \otimes B M : C_{Nd}(\mathcal{B}) \to C_{Nd}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp., $(- \otimes B M) |_{Gr^0(\mathcal{B})} : \text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{A})$) is right exact between abelian categories, where $(- \otimes B M) |_{Gr^0(\mathcal{B})}$ is the restriction of $- \otimes B M$ to $\text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{B})$.

**Lemma 2.31.** Let $A$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be small $N_d\mathcal{A}$ categories, $X$ a right $NDG \mathcal{A}$-module, and $M$ an $NDG \mathcal{B}.\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the canonical isomorphism in $C_{Nd}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$(\theta_n X) \otimes_B M \simeq \theta_n (X \otimes_B M_{\mathcal{A}})$$

**Lemma 2.32.** Let $A$ (resp., $B$) be an object of $\mathcal{A}$ (resp., $\mathcal{B}$), $M$ an $NDG \mathcal{B}.\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then the following hold.

1. $B \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} M \simeq M(-,B)$ as right $NDG \mathcal{A}$-modules.
2. $M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} A \simeq M(A,-)$ as left $NDG \mathcal{B}$-modules.

**Proof.** (1) It is easy to see that

$$\prod_{B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}} B(B_2, B) \otimes B(B_1, B_2) \otimes M(-, B_1) \tilde{\to} \prod_{B_1 \in \mathcal{B}} B(B_1, B) \otimes M(-, B_1) \tilde{\to} M(-, B)$$

is a composition of morphisms in $C_{Nd}(\mathcal{A})$, where $\nu = 1 \otimes \lambda_M - \mu_B \otimes 1$, $\pi = \Sigma \lambda_{B_1}$ with $\lambda_{B_1} : B(B_1, B) \otimes M(-, B_1) \to M(-, B_1)$. Then it suffices to show that the following sequence is exact in $C_{Nd}(k)$:

$$\prod_{B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}} B(B_2, B) \otimes B(B_1, B_2) \otimes M(A, B_1) \tilde{\to} \prod_{B_1 \in \mathcal{B}} B(B_1, B) \otimes M(A, B_1) \tilde{\to} M(A, B) \to 0$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. It is trivial that $\pi = \Sigma \lambda_{B_1}$ is an epimorphism. Since $\lambda_{B_1} \circ (\mu_B \otimes 1) = \lambda_{B_1} \circ (1 \otimes \lambda_{B_1})$, we have $\pi \circ \nu = 0$. Then there is an epimorphism $\alpha$ such that $\pi = \alpha \circ \text{cok}(\nu)$. For any finite set $\{B_1, \cdots, B_n\}$ of objects of $\mathcal{B}$ with $B_1 = B$, consider an $n \times n$ matrix ring $\Lambda = \{B(B_i, B_j)\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, a left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\hat{M} = \prod_{i=1}^n M(A, B_i)$, then $M(A, B) = e_1 A \otimes_{\Lambda} \hat{M}$, where $e_1$ is the idempotent corresponding to $B_1$. Then we have the following exact sequence

$$\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} B(B_i, B) \otimes B(B_i, B_i) \otimes M(A, B_i) \tilde{\to} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} B(B_i, B) \otimes M(A, B_i) \tilde{\to} M(A, B) \to 0$$

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is a restriction of $\nu$. Since $\prod_{B_1 \in \mathcal{B}} B(B_1, B) \otimes M(A, B_1)$ is the inductive limit $\varinjlim_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B(B_i, B) \otimes M(A, B_i)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite set of objects which contains $B$, there is an epimorphism $\beta$ such that $\text{cok}(\nu) = \beta \circ \pi$. Hence we have the above exact sequence in $C_{Nd}(k)$.

(2) Similarly. □
**Theorem 2.33.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be small $N_q$DG categories. The following hold for a right NDG $\mathcal{B}$-module $X$, a right NDG $\mathcal{A}$-module $Y$ and an NDG $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $M$.

1. $\text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(X \otimes_B M, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{B}(X, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$ in $\text{C}_{N_d}(k)$.
2. $\text{Hom}_{C_{N_d}(\mathcal{A})}(X \otimes_B M, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{C_{N_d}(\mathcal{B})}(X, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$.
3. $\text{Hom}_{K_{N_d}(\mathcal{A})}(X \otimes_B M, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K_{N_d}(\mathcal{B})}(X, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$.

**Proof.** Define $\alpha : \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(X \otimes_B M, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{B}(X, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$ as

$$\alpha(F)(x) = F_A(x \otimes m)$$

for any $F \in \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(X \otimes_B M, Y)$, $x \in X(B)$ and $m \in M(A, B)$. For any $F \in \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(X \otimes_B M, Y)$, any $g \in B(B', B)$,

$$\alpha(F)(B)(xg)(m) = F_A(xg \otimes m) = F_A(x \otimes gm) = \alpha(F)(B)(x)(gm) = ((\alpha(F)(B)(x))_A)(m).$$

Therefore $\alpha(F) \in \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{B}(X, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$.

For any $F \in \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(X \otimes_B M, Y)$, $x \in X(B)^+$ and $m \in M(A, B)$,

$$(\alpha(d(F))(B)(x))_A(m) = \alpha(d \circ F \circ d_B)(B)(x)_A(m) = (d \circ F \circ d)(B)(A(x \otimes m)) = d \circ F_A(x \otimes m) - q^s F_A(d(x) \otimes m + q^s x \otimes d(m)) = d \circ F_A(x \otimes m) - q^s F_A(d(x) \otimes m) - q^{s+1} F_A(x \otimes d(m)).$$

Then $\alpha \circ d = d \circ \alpha$, so that $\alpha$ is in $\text{C}_{N_d}(k)$, and $\alpha$ is well defined. Therefore it suffices to show that $\alpha : \text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{B})}(X \otimes_B^q M, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{A})}(X, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$ is an isomorphism in $\text{Gr}^0(k)$. Let $X = \theta_q^q B^q$. By Lemmas 2.31 and 2.32 $\alpha$ is an isomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{A})}(\theta_q^q B^q \otimes_B M, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{A})}(\theta_q^q M, (-), B), Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{B})}(\theta_q^q B^q, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y)).$$

According to Lemma 2.14 we have a projective presentation of $X$ in $\text{Gr}^0(B)$:

$$\bigwedge_{i_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1} \bigwedge_{i_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0} \theta_q^{i_1} B_1^{-\theta_q^{i_1}} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0} \theta_q^{i_0} B_0^{-\theta_q^{i_0}} \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0.$$

By applying $- \otimes_B M$ to the above, we have an exact sequence in $\text{Gr}^0(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\bigwedge_{i_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1} \bigwedge_{i_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0} \theta_q^{i_1} B_1^{-\theta_q^{i_1}} \otimes_B M \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0} \theta_q^{i_0} B_0^{-\theta_q^{i_0}} \otimes_B M \rightarrow X \otimes_B M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{B})}(\cdot, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$ and $\text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{A})}(\cdot, Y)$ preserve the left exactness of the above exact sequences, by Remark 2.30 and Lemmas 2.31 and 2.32,

$$\alpha : \text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{A})}(X \otimes_B^q M, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{Gr(\mathcal{B})}(X, \text{Hom}_q^\mathcal{A}(M, Y))$$

is an isomorphism. Thus one can show (2) and (3). $\square$

**Corollary 2.34.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be small $N_q$DG categories. The following hold for an NDG $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $M$. 

Lemma 3.2. The following hold in \( A \in \mathcal{F} \in \text{NDG direct summands}. \) The derived category of right \( \mathcal{F} \in \text{NDG} \) modules.

Proof. By Proposition \[\text{(3.1)}\], it suffices to show the above functors send projective objects to projective objects. Consider

\[ \text{Hom}_{K_{\text{NDG}}(A)}(X \otimes B M, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K_{\text{NDG}}(B)}(X, \text{Hom}_A^\bullet(M, Y)) \]

If \( Y = 0 \) in \( K_{\text{NDG}}(A) \), then the left side is equal to \( 0 \) for any \( X \in K_{\text{NDG}}(B) \). Therefore \( \text{Hom}_A^\bullet(M, Y) = 0 \) in \( K_{\text{NDG}}(B) \). Similarly \( X \otimes B M = 0 \) in \( K_{\text{NDG}}(A) \) if \( X = 0 \) in \( K_{\text{NDG}}(B) \).

\[\tag*{\Box}\]

3. Derived categories of \( \text{NDG} \) modules

In this section, we study the derived category of \( \text{NDG} \) modules and establish triangles equivalence of Morita type for \( \text{NDG} \) categories. Furthermore, we show that any derived category of \( \text{NDG} \) modules have a set of symmetric generators, and then describe derived equivalences induced by \( \text{NDG} \) bimodules.

Definition 3.1. For an \( N \) \( \mathcal{D} \) \( \mathcal{G} \) category \( \mathcal{A} \), let \( Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}) \) be the category whose objects are the same as \( \mathcal{A} \) and the morphism set between \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) is given by \( Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A})(A_1, A_2) = Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A})(A_1, A_2) \), \( \text{Mod}(Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A})) \) the category of contravariant \( k \)-linear functors from \( Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}) \) to the category of \( k \)-modules. For \( 0 < r < N \) and \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), we define the following covariant functors from \( C_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A}) \) to \( \text{Mod}(Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A})) \):

\[
Z_{(r)}(X) := \ker(d_X^{i+r-1} \cdots d_X^i), \quad B_{(r)}(X) := \text{im}(d_X^{i-1} \cdots d_X^0),
\]

\[
C_{(r)}(X) := \text{cok}(d_X^{i-1} \cdots d_X^0), \quad H_{(r)}(X) := Z_{(r)}(X)/B_{(r)}(X).
\]

We call \( X \in C_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A}) \) an \( N \)-acyclic if \( H_{(r)}^i(X) = 0 \) in \( \text{Mod}(Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A})) \) for any \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( 1 \leq r \leq N - 1 \). According to [Ka, Proposition 1.5], this is enough for \( r = 1 \). We call a morphisms \( F : X \to Y \) in \( C_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A}) \) a quasi-isomorphism if \( F \) induces an isomorphism \( H_{(r)}^i(F) : H_{(r)}^i(X) \to H_{(r)}^i(Y) \) in \( \text{Mod}(Z_0^{(1)}(\mathcal{A})) \) for any \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( 1 \leq r \leq N - 1 \). By the following Lemma, this is enough for \( r = 1, N - 1 \). We denote by \( K_{\text{NDG}}^\phi(\mathcal{A}) \) the full subcategory of \( K_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A}) \) consisting of \( N \)-acyclic right \( \text{NDG} \) \( \mathcal{A} \)-modules. According to the hexagon of homologies in [Du] (see Lemma 3.2), \( K_{\text{NDG}}^\phi(\mathcal{A}) \) is a full triangulated subcategory of \( K_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A}) \) which is closed under direct summands. The derived category of right \( \text{NDG} \) \( \mathcal{A} \)-modules is defined by the quotient category

\[ D_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A}) = K_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A})/K_{\text{NDG}}^\phi(\mathcal{A}). \]

Lemma 3.2. The following hold in \( K_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{A}) \).

(1) For a triangle \( X \to Y \to Z \to \Sigma X \) we have the following exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to H_{(r)}^i(X) \to H_{(r)}^i(Y) \to H_{(r)}^i(Z) \to H_{(r)}^{i+r}(X) \to H_{(r)}^{i+r}(Y) \to H_{(r)}^{i+r}(Z) \to H_{(r)}^{i+2r}(X) \to \cdots.
\]

(2) \( H_{(r)}^i \Sigma X \simeq H_{(N-r)}^{i+r} X \) for any \( i, 1 \leq r < N \).

(3) A morphism \( F : X \to Y \) is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if \( H_{(1)}^1(F) \) and \( H_{(N-1)}^1(F) \) are isomorphisms for any \( i \).
null is a zero object of $K$. Proof.
(2) Since $X \rightarrow Q_{N-1}U_0(X) \rightarrow \Sigma X$ is a triangle, and $H_{(r)}^{i} Q_{N-1}U_0(X) = 0$ for any $i$, $1 \leq r < N$, $H_{(r)}^{i}(\Sigma X) \simeq H_{(N-r)}^{i+r} X$.

(3) In the triangle of (1), if $H_{(r)}^{i}(F)$ is an isomorphism for any $i$, $r = 1, N - 1$, then $H_{(r)}^{i} Z = 0$ for any $i$, $r = 1, N - 1$. According to [Ka] Proposition 1.5, $H_{(r)}^{i} Z = 0$ for any $i$, $1 \leq r < N - 1$. □

**Example 3.3.** Since $C_{Ndg}(k)$ is the category $C_{N}(\text{Mod } k)$ of complexes of $k$-modules, $K_{Ndg}(k)$ is the homotopy category $K_{N}(\text{Mod } k)$ of complexes of $k$-modules. Then we have $D_{Ndg}(k) = D_{N}(\text{Mod } k)$. In this case, we have the following pull-back square $(D_{(r)}^{n})$ (see [IKM]):

\[0 \rightarrow Z_{(1)}^{n}(X) \rightarrow Z_{(r)}^{n}(X) \rightarrow Z_{(r-1)}^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow 0\]

\[0 \rightarrow Z_{(1)}^{n}(X) \rightarrow Z_{(r+1)}^{n}(X) \rightarrow Z_{(r)}^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow 0\]

**Lemma 3.4.** In an abelian category for a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_1 & \xrightarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} & V_2 \\
\downarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})} & & \downarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})} \\
0 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2 & \xrightarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} & V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 \\
\downarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})} & & \downarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} \\
0 \rightarrow V_2 & \xrightarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})} & V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_3 \\
\downarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})} & & \downarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} \\
0 & \rightarrow 0 & \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

where all rows and columns are exact. Via an isomorphism \((\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & f \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}): V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3\), we can replace $f$ by 0 in the above.

**Proposition 3.5.** If $k$ is a field, then $D_{Ndg}(k) = K_{Ndg}(k)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that every NDG $k$-module $X$ of which all homologies are null is a zero object of $K_{Ndg}(k)$. Since $Z_{(r)}^{n}(X) = B_{(N-r)}^{n}(X)$ for any $i$, $1 \leq r \leq N - 1$, we have an exact square

\[Z_{(r)}^{n}(X) \xrightarrow{d} Z_{(r-1)}^{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{d} Z_{(r)}^{n+1}(X)\]

where all rows (resp., columns) are monic (resp., epic) for any $n$, $1 \leq r < N$. Here $Z_{(0)}^{n+1}(X) = 0$ and $Z_{(N)}^{n+1}(X) = X^{n}$. By applying Lemma 3.4 to the above diagram for $1 \leq r < N$, it is easy to see that $X$ is isomorphic to $(Y, d_{Y})$ in $C_{Ndg}(k)$, where
Proposition 3.6. For any right NDG $A$-module $X$, $Y$ and any object $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$,

1. $\text{Hom}_{K_{NDG}(A)}(\theta^{-n}X, Y) \cong H^n_{(1)} \text{Hom}^\bullet_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$,
2. $\text{Hom}_{K_{NDG}(A)}(\theta^{-n}X, \Sigma Y) \cong H^n_{(N-1)} \text{Hom}^\bullet_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$.

In particular, by Lemma 2.14,

\[ H^n_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \Sigma^n Y) \]

We have that $H^n_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ if and only if $d_Y \circ F = F \circ d_{\theta^{-n}X} = q^n F \circ d_X$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \Sigma^n Y) = 0$.

Therefore $X$ is a zero object of $K_{NDG}(k)$. \qed

Definition 3.7. A set $U$ of objects in a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is called a set of symmetric generators for $\mathcal{D}$ if the following hold:

1. $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, X) = 0$ implies $X = 0$.
2. There is a set $V$ of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ such that for any $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$ the induced morphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, Y)$ is surjective for any $U \in U$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(V, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, V)$ is injective for any $V \in V$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small $\text{NDG} A$ category. Let $U$ be a set $\{\Sigma^i \theta^j A^* | A \in \mathcal{A}, 1 - N \leq j \leq 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then the following hold.
(1) \( U \) is a set of compact generators for \( D_{Ndg}(A) \).
(2) \( U \) is a set of symmetric generators for \( D_{Ndg}(A) \).

Proof. (1) For any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), by Theorem \( \ref{thm:6.6} \), \( \theta^n_q A' = \Sigma^i \theta^n q A' \) for some \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( 1 - N \leq j \leq 0 \). By Proposition \( \ref{prop:6.6} \) \( \hom_{Ndg(A)}(\theta^n_q A', X) = 0 \) for any \( N \)-acyclic \( X \). Thus, for any \( Y \in D_{Ndg(A)} \),
\[
\hom_{D_{Ndg}(A)}(\theta^n_q A', Y) \simeq \hom_{Ndg(A)}(\theta^n_q A', Y) \\
\simeq H^{-n}_{(1)}(Y)(A)
\]
and, for any \( Y_i \in D_{Ndg(A)} (i \in I) \),
\[
\hom_{D_{Ndg}(A)}(\Sigma^n \theta^n_q A', \prod_{i \in I} Y_i) \simeq H^{-n}_{(1)}(\Sigma^n \prod_{i \in I} Y_i)(A) \\
\simeq \prod_{i \in I} H^{-n}_{(1)}(\Sigma^n Y_i)(A) \\
\simeq \prod_{i \in I} \hom_{D_{Ndg}(A)}(\Sigma^n \theta^n_q A', Y_i).
\]
Hence (1) is proved.

(2) Consider \( \mathbb{V} = \{ \Sigma^j \theta^n q \mathcal{A} \mid A \in A, 0 \leq j \leq N, i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \). For any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), by Theorem \( \ref{thm:6.6} \), \( \theta^n q \mathcal{A} = \Sigma^j \theta^n q \mathcal{A} \) for some \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( 0 \leq j \leq N - 1 \). By Proposition \( \ref{prop:6.6} \) and Lemma \( \ref{lem:6.6} \)
\[
\hom_{Ndg(A)}(X, \theta^n_q \mathcal{A}) \simeq \hom_{Ndg(A)}(\theta^n q X, \mathcal{A}) \\
\simeq H^n_{(1)} \hom_A^*(X, \hom^*(A, E)) \\
\simeq H^n_{(1)} \hom_A^*(X \otimes_A A, E) \\
\simeq H^n_{(1)} \hom_A^*(X(A), E) \\
\simeq \hom(H^n_{(1)}(X(A), E)).
\]
Therefore \( \hom_{Ndg(A)}(X, \theta^n q \mathcal{A}) = 0 \) for any \( N \)-acyclic \( X \). Thus, for any \( Y \in D_{Ndg(A)} \),
\[
\hom_{D_{Ndg}(A)}(Y, \theta^n_q \mathcal{A}) \simeq \hom_{Ndg(A)}(Y, \theta^n q \mathcal{A}) \\
\simeq \hom(H^n_{(1)}(Y(A), E)).
\]
For a morphism \( X \to Y \) in \( D_{Ndg(A)} \), \( H^n_{(1)}(X(A)) \to H^n_{(1)}(Y(A)) \) is surjective if and only if \( \hom(H^n_{(1)}(Y(A), E)) \to \hom(H^n_{(1)}(X(A), E)) \) is injective. Hence (2) is proved.

Let \( A \) be an \( N_q \text{DG} \) category. We denote by \( K^p_{Ndg}(A) \) (resp. \( K^s_{Ndg}(A) \)) the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \( K_{Ndg}(A) \) consisting of \( \theta^n q A' \) (resp. \( \theta^n q \mathcal{A} \)) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( A \in A \) which is closed under taking coproducts (resp. products).

**Theorem 3.9.** For an \( N_q \text{DG} \) category \( A \), the following hold.

1. \( D_{Ndg}(A) \simeq K^p_{Ndg}(A) \).
2. \( D_{Ndg}(A) \simeq K^s_{Ndg}(A) \).

Proof. (1) This is essentially the Brown Representability Theorem. Since \( \hom_{Ndg(A)}(\theta^n q A', N) = 0 \) for any \( N \in K^p_{Ndg}(A) \), we have \( \hom_{Ndg(A)}(P, N) = 0 \) and then \( \hom_{Ndg(A)}(P, Y) \simeq \hom_{D_{Ndg}(A)}(P, Y) \) for any \( P \in K^p_{Ndg}(A) \), any \( Y \in

\(K_{Ndg}(A)\). According to [Kr1] Theorem A, there is a sequence \(X_0 \to X_1 \to \cdots\) in \(K_{Ndg}(A)\) of which the homotopy colimit \(\hocolim X_i\) has a morphism \(\hocolim X_i \to X\) in \(K_{Ndg}(A)\) such that \(\text{Hom}_{K_{Ndg}(A)}(P, \hocolim X_i) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K_{Ndg}(A)}(P, X)\) for any \(P \in K_{Ndg}(A)\). Then \(\text{Hom}_{K_{Ndg}(A)}(P, Z) = 0\) for any \(P \in K_{Ndg}(A)\), where \(\hocolim X_i \to X \to Z \to \Sigma \hocolim X_i\) is a triangle in \(K_{Ndg}(A)\). Therefore we have \(Z \in K_{Ndg}(A)\).

Since \(\hocolim X_i \in K_{Ndg}(A)\), (1) is proved.

(2) By Theorem 3.8, \(\text{Hom}_{\text{Ndg}}(X, I) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{DG}}(X, I)\) for any \(I \in K_{Ndg}(A)\), any \(X \in K_{Ndg}(A)\). And \(\{\Sigma^j \theta_q A^i | A \in A, 1 \leq j \leq 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) is a set of symmetric generators for \(\text{NDG}^2\). Therefore the above functor induces a triangle bi-functor \(3.6\). Therefore we have a recollement

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{Ndg}(A) & \xrightarrow{i} & K_{Ndg}(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{NDG} & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{D}_{Ndg}(A) \\
\end{array}
\]

where \(i\) is the canonical embedding (see [BBD, M]).

By the above proof, we can check that \((K_{Ndg}(A), K_{Ndg}(A), K_{Ndg}(A))\) are stable t-structures in \(K_{Ndg}(A)\) (see [IKM]). Hence the canonical quotient \(Q : K_{Ndg}(A) \to \text{D}_{Ndg}(A)\) has the left adjoint \(p : \text{D}_{Ndg}(A) \to K_{Ndg}(A)\) and a right adjoint \(i : \text{D}_{Ndg}(A) \to K_{Ndg}(A)\). Therefore we have a recollement

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{Ndg}(A) & \xrightarrow{i} & K_{Ndg}(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{NDG} & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{D}_{Ndg}(A) \\
\end{array}
\]

Let \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) be small \(N_q DG\) categories. For any \(DG\ \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\)-bimodule \(M\), we define the functor \(- \otimes \mathcal{B} M : \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})\) by

\[
X \otimes \mathcal{B} M = pX \otimes \mathcal{B} M
\]

for any right \(DG\ \mathcal{B}\)-module \(X\) and the functor \(\text{R Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(M, -) : \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{B})\) by

\[
\text{R Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(M, Y) = \text{Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(M, iY)
\]

for any right \(DG\ \mathcal{A}\)-module \(Y\).

**Definition 3.10.** Consider a bifunctor \(\text{Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(-, -) : \text{C}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})^{op} \times \text{C}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{C}_{Ndg}(k)\). Let \(X \in \text{C}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})\), \(N\) a projective object of \(\text{C}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})\). By Corollary 2.3A \(\text{Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(X, N)\) is a projective object of \(\text{C}_{Ndg}(k)\). In the case that \(k\) is a field, by Proposition 3.2B \(\text{Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(N, X)\) is a projective object of \(\text{C}_{Ndg}(k)\) because \(\text{Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(N, X)\) is an \(DG\) \(k\)-module of which all homologies are null by Proposition 3.6. Therefore the above functor induces a triangle bi-functor

\[
\text{Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(-, -) : K_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})^{op} \times K_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A}) \to K_{Ndg}(k)
\]

and hence we have the derived functor

\[
\text{R Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(-, -) : \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})^{op} \times \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{D}_{Ndg}(k).
\]

**Remark 3.11.** If \(k\) is not a field, then \(\text{Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(N, X)\) may be not projective in \(\text{C}_{Ndg}(k)\) in case of \(N > 2\).

**Theorem 3.12.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) be small \(N_q DG\) categories. The following hold for an \(DG\ \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}\)-bimodule \(M\).

1. The functor \(- \otimes \mathcal{B} M : \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{D}_{Ndg}(\mathcal{A})\) is the left adjoint of the functor \(\text{R Hom}^q_\mathcal{A}(M, -)\).
(2) The following are equivalent.

(a) \( Lq_B M \) is a triangle equivalence.

(b) \( \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(M, -) \) is a triangle equivalence.

(c) \( \{ \Sigma^n q^{-m} B \otimes_B M \mid B \in \mathcal{B}, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) is a set of compact generators for \( D_{\text{NDG}}(A) \), and the canonical morphism

\[
\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(A)}(\theta^i B_1, \Sigma^i B_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(A)}(\theta^i B_1 \otimes_B M, \Sigma^i B_2 \otimes_B M)
\]

is an isomorphism for \( i = 0, 1, j = 0 \) and any \( B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B} \).

If \( k \) is a field, then the above are equivalent to

(d) \( \{ \Sigma^n q^{-m} B \otimes_B M \mid B \in \mathcal{B}, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) is a set of compact generators for \( D_{\text{NDG}}(A) \), the canonical map

\[
\mathcal{B}(B_1, B_2) \to \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(B_1 \otimes_B M, B_2 \otimes_B M)
\]

is an isomorphism in \( D_{\text{NDG}}(k) \) for any \( B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B} \).

Proof. (a) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (b) For any right NDG \( B \)-module \( X \) and right NDG \( A \)-module \( Y \),

\[
\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(A)}(X \otimes_B M, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(A)}(p X \otimes_B M, Y)
\]

\[
\simeq \text{Hom}_{K_{D_{\text{NDG}}}(A)}(p X \otimes_B M, i Y)
\]

\[
\simeq \text{Hom}_{K_{D_{\text{NDG}}}(\mathcal{B})}(p X, \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(M, i Y))
\]

\[
\simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{B})}(X, \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(M, i Y))
\]

\[
\simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{B})}(X, \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(M, Y)).
\]

Thus \( \Leftrightarrow \otimes_B M \) is the left adjoint of \( \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(M, -) \), so that \( \otimes_B M \) is a triangle equivalence if and only if \( \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(M, -) \) is a triangle equivalence.

(a) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (c) Note that \( \Sigma^n q^{-m} B \otimes_B M \simeq \Sigma^n q^{-m} B \otimes_B M \). Since \( D_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{B}) \) has a set of compact generators \( \{ \Sigma^n q^{-m} B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}, 0 \leq m \leq N - 1, i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \), by Theorem 4.2.

\( \Leftrightarrow \) (c) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (d) For any \( B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B} \), the canonical map

\[
\alpha : \mathcal{B}(B_1, B_2) \to \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}^\bullet_A(B_1 \otimes_B M, B_2 \otimes_B M)
\]

induces

\[
H^n(\mathcal{B}(B_1, B_2), \delta) \xrightarrow{H^n(\alpha)} H^n(\text{Hom}^\bullet_A(B_1 \otimes_B M, B_2 \otimes_B M))
\]

\[
H^n_{(N-1)}(\mathcal{B}(B_1, B_2), \delta) \xrightarrow{H^n_{(N-1)}(\alpha)} H^n_{(N-1)}(\text{Hom}^\bullet_A(B_1 \otimes_B M, B_2 \otimes_B M))
\]

for any \( n \). By Lemma 3.2 \( \alpha \) is an isomorphism in \( D_{\text{NDG}}(k) \) if and only if \( H^n(\alpha) \) and \( H^n_{(N-1)}(\alpha) \) are isomorphisms for any \( n \). By Proposition 3.6 this is equivalent to

\[
\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(\mathcal{B})}(\theta^n q B_1, \Sigma^i B_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{NDG}}(A)}(\theta^n q B_1 \otimes_B M, \Sigma^i B_2 \otimes_B M)
\]

is an isomorphism for \( i = 0, 1 \) and any \( n \). \( \Box \)
Finally, we show that the derived category $D_{N_{dg}}(A)$ of right $NDG$ $A$-modules is triangle equivalent to derived categories of some ordinary $DG$ category (see also Remark 3.14).

**Theorem 3.13.** For any $N_{q}DG$ category $A$, there exists a $DG$ category $B$ such that $D_{N_{dg}}(A)$ is triangle equivalent to the derived category $D_{dg}(B)$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.25, $K_{N_{dg}}(A)$ is an algebraic triangulated category. By Theorems 3.9, 3.8, $D_{N_{dg}}(A)$ is a compactly generated algebraic triangulated category with a set $\{ \Sigma \theta_q^j A^i | A \in A, 1 - N \leq j \leq 0, i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ of compact generators (see Appendix for definition). According to [Kr2, 7.5 Theorem], there are a $DG$ category $B$ and a triangle equivalence $F : D_{N_{dg}}(A) \sim D_{dg}(B)$. □

**Remark 3.14.** We denote by $F : C_{N_{dg}}(A) \to K_{N_{dg}}(A)$ the canonical projection. Let $C_{N_{dg}}^p(A)$ be the full subcategory of $C_{N_{dg}}(A)$ consisting of complexes $X$ with $F(X)$ in $K_{N_{dg}}(A)$. Then $C_{N_{dg}}^p(A)$ is a Frobenius category. Let $C^o(C_{N_{dg}}(A))$ be the category of exact complexes $X$ satisfying that $B^i(X) = Z^i(X)$ for all $i$, and that $0 \to Z^i(X) \to X^i \to Z^{i+1}(X) \to 0 \in C^o(C_{N_{dg}}(A))$. Let $B$ be the $DG$ category of objects $X \in C^o(C_{N_{dg}}(A))$ with $Z^i(X) = \theta_q^j A^i (1 - N \leq j \leq 0)$ and the morphism sets $\text{Hom}_{C^o(C_{N_{dg}}(A))}(X,Y)$ for $X,Y \in B$. According to [Kr2 Theorem 7.5], this category $B$ satisfies the above theorem.

### 4. Appendix

In this section, we give the following results concerning Frobenius categories and triangulated categories. Let $C$ be an exact category with a collection $E$ of exact sequences in the sense of Quillen [Q1]. An exact sequence $0 \to X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \to 0$ in $E$ is called a conflation, and $f$ and $g$ are called an inflation and a deflation, respectively. An additive functor $F : C \to C'$ is called exact if it sends conflations in $C$ to conflations in $C'$. An exact category $C$ is called a Frobenius category provided that it has enough projectives and enough injectives, and that any object of $C$ is projective if and only if it is injective. In this case, the stable category $\mathcal{C}$ of $C$ by projective objects is a triangulated category (see [Ha]). This stable category is called an algebraic triangulated category.

**Proposition 4.1.** ([IKM2 Proposition 7.3]. Let $(\mathcal{C}, E_C), (\mathcal{C}, E_{C'})$ be Frobenius categories, $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ an exact functor. If $F$ sends projective objects of $\mathcal{C}$ to projective objects of $\mathcal{C}'$, then it induces the triangle functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category with arbitrary coproducts. An object $U$ in $\mathcal{D}$ is compact if the canonical $\prod_i \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, X_i) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, \prod_i X_i)$ is an isomorphism for any set $\{X_i\}$ of objects in $\mathcal{D}$. A triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is called compactly generated if there is a set $U$ of compact objects such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, X) = 0$ implies $X = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a compactly generated triangulated category with a set $U$ of compact generators for $\mathcal{D}$. Let $F : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ be a triangle functor between triangle categories such that $F$ preserves coproducts. Then the following are equivalent.

1. $F$ is a triangle equivalence.
2. (a) $F(U)$ is a set of compact generators for $\mathcal{D}'$.
   (b) $\mathcal{D}(U, V) \to \mathcal{D}'(FU, FV)$ is an isomorphism for any $U, V \in U$. 

Proof. (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2) Trivial. 
(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (1) We may assume that \(U = \Sigma U\). Let \(\mathcal{U}\) be the full subcategory of coproducts of objects \(U\) in \(U\). Let \(< U >_0 : = \mathcal{U}\). For \(n \geq 1\) let \(< U >_n\) be the full subcategory of \(\mathcal{D}\) consisting of objects \(X\) such that there is a triangle \(U \rightarrow V \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Sigma U\) with \(U \in U >_0\), \(V \in U >_{n-1}\). Given \(U \in U\), for any \(U_i \in U\) \((i \in I)\), we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, \coprod_{i \in I} U_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(FU, \coprod_{i \in I} U_i) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\coprod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, U_i) & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(FU, U_i)
\end{array}
\]

such that all vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Therefore, for any \(V \in U\) we have the canonical morphism \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, V) \xymatrix{\sim \ar[r] & } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(FU, V)\) is an isomorphism. For any \(W \in U >_n\) we have a triangle \(U' \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow \Sigma U\) with \(U' \in U >_0\), \(V \in U >_{n-1}\). Applying \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, -)\) to this triangle, by the induction five lemma implies that the canonical morphism \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, W) \xymatrix{\sim \ar[r] & } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(FU, W)\) is an isomorphism. According to Brown’s representability theorem (e.g. [Ne]), for any \(Y \in \mathcal{D}\), there is a sequence \(Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow \cdots\) with \(Y_i \in U >_i\) which has a triangle

\[
\coprod_i Y_i \xymatrix{\rightarrow \ar[r]^{1-\text{shift}}_1 & \coprod_i Y_i \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \Sigma \coprod_i Y_i
\]

Applying \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, -)\) to this triangle, five lemma implies that the canonical morphism \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, Y) \xymatrix{\sim \ar[r] & } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(FU, FY)\) is an isomorphism. Similarly, by the induction on \(n\) for any \(W \in U >_n\), any \(Y \in \mathcal{D}\) the canonical morphism \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(W, Y) \xymatrix{\sim \ar[r] & } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(FW, FY)\) is an isomorphism. Brown’s representability theorem implies that the canonical morphism \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y) \xymatrix{\sim \ar[r] & } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(FX, FY)\) is an isomorphism for any \(X, Y \in \mathcal{D}\). Then \(F\) is fully faithful. Therefore we have \(< F(U) >_i = F(< U >_i)\) for any \(i\). Since \(F(U)\) is a set of compact generator for \(\mathcal{D}'\), for any \(Z \in \mathcal{D}'\), there is a sequence \(Z_0 \xymatrix{\rightarrow \ar[r]^{\beta_0} & } Z_1 \xymatrix{\rightarrow \ar[r]^{\beta_1} & } \cdots\) with \(Z_i \in < F(U) >_i\) which has a triangle

\[
\coprod_i Z_i \xymatrix{\rightarrow \ar[r]^{1-\text{shift}}_1 & \coprod_i Z_i \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma \coprod_i Z_i
\]

Then there is a morphism \(\alpha_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}\) such that \(F\alpha_i = \beta_i\) for any \(i\). Let \(X\) be the homotopy colimit of the sequence \(X_0 \xymatrix{\alpha_0 \ar[r] & } X_1 \xymatrix{\alpha_1 \ar[r] & } \cdots\), then we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\coprod_i FX_i \xymatrix{\rightarrow \ar[r]^{1-\text{shift}}_1 & } \coprod_i FX_i \rightarrow FX \rightarrow \Sigma \coprod_i FX_i \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\coprod_i Z_i \xymatrix{\rightarrow \ar[r]^{1-\text{shift}}_1 & } \coprod_i Z_i \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma \coprod_i Z_i
\end{array}
\]

where first, second, fourth vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Then third vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Therefore \(F\) is dense, and hence a triangle equivalence. \(\square\)
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